


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 21999

# Formation of oxygen protective layer on monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> via low energy electron irradiation†

Aissara Rasritat,<sup>1</sup> Manunya Tapakidareekul,<sup>1</sup> Kritsana Saego,<sup>1</sup> Worawat Meevasana<sup>1</sup> and Sorawis Sangtawesin<sup>1</sup>\*

Monolayer molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) semiconductors are the new generation of two-dimensional materials that possess several advantages compared to graphene due to their tunable bandgap and high electron mobility. Several approaches have been used to modify their physical properties for optical device applications. Here, we report a facile and non-destructive surface modification method for monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> via electron irradiation at a low, 5 kV accelerating voltage. After electron irradiation, the results of Raman and photoluminescence spectroscopy confirmed that the structure remains unchanged. However, when the modified surface was illuminated with a 532 nm laser for a prolonged period, the PL intensity was quenched as a result of oxygen desorption. Interestingly, the PL intensity can be recovered when left in ambient conditions for 10 h. The analysis of the PL spectrum revealed a decrease of trion, which is consistent with the readsorbed O<sub>2</sub> molecules on the surface that deplete electrons and lead to PL recovery. We attribute this effect to the enhancement of the n-type character of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> after electron irradiation. The sensitive nature of the modified surface to oxygen suggests that this approach may be used as a tool for the fabrication of MoS<sub>2</sub> oxygen sensors.

Received 7th May 2024  
Accepted 27th June 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra03362k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are an emerging class of materials with highly desirable properties for investigating novel physical phenomena and exploring various applications. Monolayer molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) is one of the most extensively studied TMDs owing to its distinctive structural and physical properties.<sup>1–4</sup> Specifically, molybdenum (Mo) and sulfur (S) atoms are arranged in a honeycomb-like network by covalent bond in a sequence of S–Mo–S and form a monolayer structure of MoS<sub>2</sub>, where each layer is stacked by a weak van der Waals force.<sup>5</sup> When thinned down from bulk into a monolayer structure, MoS<sub>2</sub> exhibits photoluminescence (PL) due to transition from indirect band gap (1.2 eV) to direct band gap (1.8 eV),<sup>6</sup> which enhances both light absorption and emission. This unique transition makes MoS<sub>2</sub> effective for applications such as photocatalysts,<sup>7</sup> sensors,<sup>8–10</sup> and electronic devices.<sup>11–14</sup> Although several methods have been developed to synthesize monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) technique is one of the most promising processes that helps obtain high-quality materials with a large area.<sup>15</sup> Experimental studies have shown that MoS<sub>2</sub> may contain several types of defects, including S monovacancy, S divacancy,

antisite defects, and MoS<sub>x</sub> complexes.<sup>16</sup> In CVD process, the most commonly found defect is S monovacancy, which exhibits n-type features due to its lowest formation energy compared to the other defects.<sup>17,18</sup> According to various studies, the S vacancy generates trap states that reduce radiative recombination, leading to PL suppression.<sup>19</sup> As a result, these defects must be minimized or subsequently repaired to maintain the optical properties of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.


Defect passivation approaches have been extensively developed to overcome this issue. It has been reported that the original PL spectrum of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> can be recovered by both chemical and physical adsorption of oxygen molecules (O<sub>2</sub>) on the S vacancy.<sup>20</sup> The adsorbed O<sub>2</sub> molecules will withdraw electrons from the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> owing to the heavy p-type nature of O<sub>2</sub> molecules. This charge transfer process depletes n-type property and stabilizes excitons that create a new gap state, resulting in an increase of radiative recombination.<sup>21–24</sup> In 2017, Zhao *et al.* used first-principles calculations to examine the effect of transition metal doping on the surface of the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> and found that the doping of transition metal can decrease the adsorption energy of O<sub>2</sub> by providing an additional electron from the 3d orbital of the transition metal into 2π\* orbital of the O<sub>2</sub> molecule.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, it can be expected that an addition of excess electron will enhance O<sub>2</sub> physical adsorption, leading to PL recovery.

Inspired by the abovementioned finding, this work investigates the potential of direct electron passivation on the surface of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> as a technique for enhancing O<sub>2</sub> adsorption.

School of Physics, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand. E-mail: sorawis.s@g.sut.ac.th

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra03362k>





Scheme 1 Schematic demonstration of O<sub>2</sub> physical adsorption on the modified surface of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.

To remove the original O<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on the surface of this material, a focused 532 nm laser was used to clean the sample. The PL intensities were evaluated by confocal microscopy during the O<sub>2</sub> desorption and re-adsorption processes (Scheme 1).

The optical results show that the irradiated electrons are permanently passivated on the surface of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, which led to the ability to re-adsorb O<sub>2</sub> following 532 nm exposure, as evidenced by the PL recovery.

## Experimental

### Sample

Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> was purchased from 2D Semiconductors, USA. This hexagonal phase, undoped monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> was prepared on a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate using atmospheric pressure chemical vapor deposition (APCVD). The monolayer uniformly covers the entire substrate with a surface roughness of <0.2 nm and 99.9995% purity as determined by nano-SIMS.

### Electron beam irradiation

Electron beam irradiation was performed in a Zeiss model Auriga. The electron dose was varied by adjusting the scanning time while fixing the scanning area, ensuring an accelerating voltage of 5 kV and a specimen current of 264 pA. The electron dose ( $D$ ) for irradiation was calculated by the number of electrons received per unit area, as shown below:

$$D = \frac{I \cdot t}{A}$$

where  $I$  is the specimen current,  $t$  is the total scanning time, and  $A$  is the scanning area.

### Confocal PL measurement

PL measurements were carried out at room temperature using a home-built confocal microscope equipped with a 100× objective lens (Olympus MPlanFL N 100×). A 532 nm excitation laser (Coherent OBIS 532), with an approximate spot size of 1 μm was used for sample exposure. A relatively low laser power of 118 μW (at the back aperture of the objective lens) was used for scanning to avoid sample damage. Photoluminescence was collected from the sample with the same objective and was separated from the excitation with a 567 nm dichroic mirror

(Thorlabs DMLP567) and was filtered with a 600 nm long-pass filter (Thorlabs FELH0600). Finally, the signals were divided into two parts using a fiber beam splitter for intensity measurements with an avalanche photodiode detector (APD), which yields the pixel intensity in terms of the photon count rate (Hz), and for spectrum analysis with a spectrometer (Andor DU940P-BU2, 300 L mm<sup>-1</sup> grating, Kymera 328i CCD). The long-pass filter was removed during spectrum analysis.

The effect of gas species on the PL variation of MoS<sub>2</sub> was investigated by low electron dose condition again by exposing the sample with a high-power laser in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere before changing to ambient conditions. To perform the experiment with N<sub>2</sub>, we enclosed the sample area of the microscope and continuously flowed nitrogen gas into the enclosure with the tank outlet pressure set between 12 and 16 psi to ensure no ambient air can leak back into the enclosure (Fig. S1 and S2†). Then, the high-power 532 nm laser at 14.7 mW was exposed to the sample for 10 s, and we monitored the PL intensity and spectra in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 10 h. Finally, we turned off the gas flow and let the ambient air leak back into the enclosure while monitoring the PL for another 10 h.

### Raman measurement

Raman measurements were obtained by a Bruker Optics SENTERRA II Dispersive Raman Microscope under ambient conditions. The 532 nm excitation laser was set at 0.5 mW to avoid laser-induced damage. The excitation is focused onto the sample with a 100× objective, and a grating with 1200 L mm<sup>-1</sup> was used to perform spectra imaging, with a nominal spectral resolution of 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## Results and discussion

The monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> sample was irradiated with an electron beam on the surface at different regions (Fig. 1). The accelerating voltage was fixed at a low value of 5 kV to avoid generation of additional defects.<sup>26</sup> Two different electron doses,  $D = 0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  and  $D = 5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , referred to as the low electron dose and the high electron dose, respectively, were used to investigate the effect of the dose on the PL properties.

The samples were characterized using optical spectroscopic techniques, to determine the effect of electron irradiation on structural and chemical properties of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. We can






Fig. 1 (a) Schematic illustration of electron beam irradiation on monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> by SEM with 5 kV accelerating voltage. Confocal PL intensity images of the irradiated samples with different electron irradiation doses (b)  $D = 0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  and (c)  $D = 5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , respectively. The irradiation areas are shown in dash line boxes.

observe that the PL intensity from the MoS<sub>2</sub> after low electron dose condition is the same as that of the surrounding pristine area (Fig. 1b). However, we observed a photobleaching effect in the area exposed to a high electron dose (Fig. 1c). Photobleaching is a situation where the MoS<sub>2</sub> surface undergoes a permanent loss of fluorescence capability owing to chemical damage,<sup>27</sup> resulting in a decrease in PL intensity.

It is well-known that monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> prepared by CVD approach generally exhibits S vacancy with other types of defects.<sup>23,28,29</sup> Therefore, the investigation of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> structure prior to electron irradiation is necessary. Typically, Raman spectroscopy is used to investigate defects such as S vacancy, which is created by electron irradiation.<sup>14</sup> Moreover, it can be used to determine the number of layers and uniformity of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> samples by evaluating the difference in energy of the vibrational modes. According to Raman spectra in Fig. 2a, the characteristic peaks appear at  $\sim 385$  and  $\sim 403 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , corresponding to in-plane  $E_2$  mode and out-of-plane  $A_1$  mode, respectively. The peak positions for  $E_2$  and  $A_1$  modes were determined by fitting two Lorentzian functions to the spectra. The difference between these two modes is  $20.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , consistent with a monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>30</sup> In the case where the MoS<sub>2</sub> is irradiated with high electron energy, more defects may be generated due to the loss of S atoms. The formation of S vacancy will reduce the molecular symmetry of the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> and lead to the change of symmetry assignment in Raman vibrational modes. Here, we show that after electron irradiation, the peak positions remained unchanged in both low and high electron dose conditions. Hence, it can be assumed that electron irradiation did not generate additional S vacancies or structural alterations at the chosen 5 kV acceleration voltage.





Fig. 2 (a) Raman spectra of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> without electron irradiation (black line),  $D = 0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  (red line), and  $D = 5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  (blue line) respectively. The left and right dashed line indicate the position of  $E_2$  (in-plane vibration) and  $A_1$  (out-of-plane vibration) peaks. (b) Comparison of the PL spectra of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> without electron irradiation (black line),  $D = 0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  (red line), and  $D = 5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  (blue line), respectively. Each spectrum consists of three components including B exciton peak at 623 nm, A exciton peak at 677 nm, and trion peak at 690 nm. All PL peaks were fitted by Lorentzian function (green lines).

In the PL spectra (Fig. 2b), the trion peak was observed at 690 nm. This trion state is attributed to two electrons in a hole or negatively charged exciton.<sup>31</sup> An optical band gap of 677 nm was observed, which confirmed the monolayer thickness of the sample.<sup>32,33</sup> After electron irradiation, we do not observe any shift in the PL spectra that has been previously associated with the generation of S vacancies,<sup>34</sup> thus confirming that the structure remained unchanged after electron irradiation. We note that the lower intensity of the unnormalized PL spectra for the high electron dose condition is attributed to photobleaching. To study the O<sub>2</sub> desorption and re-adsorption processes, we selectively illuminated some spots on the surface with a high-power 532 nm laser (14.7 mW) as shown in Fig. 3a. Normally, this high-power illumination is performed to clean adsorbed gas (N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O) away from the surface of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Fig. 3e–g show the quenching of PL intensity after laser illumination, which is consistent with the








**Fig. 3** (a) Schematic illustration of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> which was irradiated by 532 nm laser at 14.7 mW under ambient atmosphere. Confocal images of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> before laser illumination of (b) pristine, (c)  $D = 0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  (white box), and (d)  $D = 5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , respectively. After laser illumination (14.7 mW) for 10 s the PL images show in (e) pristine, (f) electron dose  $0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , and (g)  $5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ . After storing in the ambient air for 10 hours in the low electron dose condition, the quenching area disappears, as shown in (i), and is still unchanged in (h) pristine and (j) high electron dose. Dashed white boxes indicate the electron exposed areas and the white circles indicate the high-power laser exposed areas used for PL spectra measurements.

O<sub>2</sub> desorption. Then, after storing in ambient conditions for 10 h, the PL intensities of the pristine and high electron dose conditions remained quenched (Fig. 3h and j), whereas the low electron dose condition exhibited PL recovery (Fig. 3i). To quantitatively study the recovery, relative PL intensity was calculated by dividing the PL intensity of the quenched area after exposure by the PL intensity of the same area prior to the exposure. In the case of the pristine sample, the relative PL intensity of the illuminated area decreased by 50%, and there was no recovery of PL intensity with ambient storage (Fig. 4a). We attribute this behavior to the lack of active sites for gas adsorption on the cleaned surface.<sup>35</sup>

However, the PL recovery can be observed in the case of low electron dose condition, where the PL intensity gradually increased to close to the original state after storing the sample in ambient conditions for 10 h (Fig. 4b). In this case, we hypothesized that the irradiation provides electronic charge on the surface of MoS<sub>2</sub>, which stimulates gas adsorption.<sup>35,36</sup> Then, the charge transfer from the sample to the gas molecule can occur, leading to PL recovery. In the high electron dose condition, however, the original PL intensity cannot be recovered, and the quenching area remains unchanged during ambient storage. We attribute this irreversibility to photobleaching, which is evidenced by the lower initial PL intensity (dark patch in Fig. 3d). Moreover, we showed that exposure to a lower dose of  $D = 0.2 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$  can result in PL recovery (Fig. S9†), while with the intermediate dose  $D = 2.0 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , where photobleaching starts to occur, the PL intensity cannot be recovered after high-power laser exposure (Fig. S11†).

To identify the gas species associated with the PL recovery mechanism, we further investigated the PL behavior of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> irradiated by low electron dose condition again in the N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 10 h, followed by ambient storage for another 10 h. As shown in Fig. 5, the PL intensity remained unchanged in the N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. However, the PL



**Fig. 4** Relative PL intensity with varying different conditions consists of (a) pristine, (b) electron dose of  $0.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , and (c)  $5.3 \times 10^3 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$ , at the spots indicated by white circles in Fig. 3(e)–(g), respectively.

intensity gradually increased in the subsequent exposure to ambient conditions. This result suggests that the significant recovery of PL can be attributed to the physical adsorption of






Fig. 5 The relative PL intensity under  $N_2$  and ambient.

$O_2$  molecules from the air, which then influences charge transfer from the  $MoS_2$  and then depletes the n-type character of the sample. This depletion weakens the electrostatic screening effect that would otherwise destabilize excitons.<sup>22</sup> However, physical adsorption between gas molecules and the surface of  $MoS_2$  is formed by a weak van der Waals force, and under ambient conditions with approximately 78%  $N_2$  and 22%  $O_2$ , these two types of gas may compete to adsorb on the surface of  $MoS_2$  leading to slow PL recovery.<sup>37</sup>

To further analyze the effect of laser exposure, the spectra of the low-dose electron irradiated sample before and after exposure to the high-power laser are compared in Fig. 6. We found that the overall PL intensity decreased after exposure to the high-power laser, remained suppressed when kept in  $N_2$  for 10 h, and recovered after another 10 h of exposure to ambient conditions (Fig. 6a). Furthermore, red shift was observed in the overall PL spectrum after the exposure and the shift remained unchanged during which the sample was maintained in  $N_2$ . Conversely, the spectrum shifted back to the original position after the subsequent ambient exposure.

To investigate this phenomenon, we quantitatively analyzed the PL spectra by deconvoluting the data with three Lorentzian peaks associated with the A exciton, B exciton, and the trion, with the peak positions of A and B excitons fixed at 677 and 623 nm, respectively.<sup>31–33</sup>

The A and B excitons are the energy difference between the two resonances that results from spin–orbit coupling in the valence band.<sup>38,39</sup> The transition probability of the A exciton is typically higher than that of the B exciton due to its lower energy.<sup>32</sup> Consequently, the PL spectrum prominently exhibits the A exciton peak.

However, when the electron density is increased, the A exciton transition probability can be suppressed due to the formation of trion, which is the state in which two electrons are bound to a hole exhibiting finite binding energies.<sup>40–43</sup> This process leads to a decrease in exciton absorption and a decrease in PL. Before high-power laser exposure (Fig. 6b), we determined the deconvoluted areas to be 72% A exciton, 12% B




Fig. 6 (a) The comparison of the background-subtracted PL spectra of monolayer  $MoS_2$  irradiated by low electron dose condition at the location indicated by the white circle, and the normalized PL spectra (b) before high power laser irradiation, (c) immediately after high power laser irradiation, (d) after 10 hour storage under  $N_2$ , and (e) after another 10 hour storage in ambient conditions. The spectra in (a) are smoothed with 20-point boxcar averaging window for clarity.

exciton, and 16% trion. After the exposure, while under a  $N_2$  atmosphere (Fig. 6c), the integrated A exciton intensity suddenly decreased to 46%, the trion intensity increased to 36%, while the B exciton remained low at 18%. The increase in the trion contribution is consistent with an n-type doping that was previously reported.<sup>31,44,45</sup>

During the 10 h of storage in  $N_2$ , we did not observe any significant change in the exciton and trion intensity. Then, when the sample is re-exposed to ambient atmosphere, the trion intensity gradually decreased, along with the recovery of the A exciton intensity (Fig. 7a). These results confirmed the suppression of trion by the physical re-adsorption of  $O_2$  molecules.






Fig. 7 The relative peak area as a function of time under  $N_2$  and ambient conditions. Diamonds indicate the percentages before laser exposure.

## Conclusions

In this work, we show that the surface of a monolayer  $MoS_2$  can be simply modified by low-energy electron irradiation. Under appropriate conditions, the structure of the monolayer can be preserved, as confirmed by Raman and PL spectroscopy, and the passivated electrons can induce an n-type behavior in the  $MoS_2$ . Consequently, the modified surface can efficiently adsorb  $O_2$  molecules from the atmosphere.

Most importantly, the adsorption and desorption of  $O_2$  molecules can affect charge transfer from  $MoS_2$ , which results in change in the exciton and trion transition probability and consequently the PL intensity and spectra. When combined with the ability to remove the adsorbed oxygen using laser exposure, this optical dependence enables monitoring of the oxygen adsorption processes and the results present a promising approach for optical  $O_2$  gas sensing and optoelectronic applications.

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

## Author contributions

Aissara Rasritat – conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing – original draft. Manunya Tapakidareekul – conceptualization, visualization, writing – review & editing. Kritsana Saego – formal analysis, methodology. Worawat Meevasana – conceptualization, resources, supervision. Sorawis Sangtawesin – conceptualization, software, data curation, methodology, supervision, project administration, writing – review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Office of the Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research, and Innovation [grant number RGNS 63-121]. A. R. was financially supported by the science achievement scholarship of Thailand (SAST). W. M. acknowledges support from the NSRF via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation [grant number B39G670018].

## Notes and references

- 1 F. Xia, H. Wang and Y. Jia, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4458.
- 2 S. Manzeli, D. Ovchinnikov, D. Pasquier, O. V. Yazyev and A. Kis, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, **2**, 17033.
- 3 M. Xu, T. Liang, M. Shi and H. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 3766–3798.
- 4 X. Chen, Z. Zhou, B. Deng, Z. Wu, F. Xia, Y. Cao, L. Zhang, W. Huang, N. Wang and L. Wang, *Nano Today*, 2019, **27**, 99–119.
- 5 X. Li and H. Zhu, *J. Materiomics*, 2015, **1**, 33–44.
- 6 X. Yang and B. Li, *Nanophotonics*, 2020, **9**, 1557–1577.
- 7 N. Thomas, S. Mathew, K. M. Nair, K. O'Dowd, P. Forouzandeh, A. Goswami, G. McGranaghan and S. C. Pillai, *Mater. Today Sustain.*, 2021, **13**, 100073.
- 8 R. Canton-Vitoria, N. Tagmatarchis, Y. Sayed-Ahmad-Baraza, C. Ewels, D. Winterauer, T. Batten, A. Brunton and S. Nufer, *NATO Science for Peace and Security Series A: Chemistry and Biology*, Springer Verlag, 2019, pp. 71–95.
- 9 F. K. Perkins, A. L. Friedman, E. Cobas, P. M. Campbell, G. G. Jernigan and B. T. Jonker, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 668–673.
- 10 L. Yan, H. Shi, X. Sui, Z. Deng and L. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 23573–23582.
- 11 X. Lv, W. Wei, P. Zhao, J. Li, B. Huang and Y. Dai, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 1897–1903.
- 12 Z. Wang, Q. Jingjing, X. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, X. Huang and W. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 6128–6174.
- 13 N. R. Chandra and V. K. Malik, *MRS Adv.*, 2019, **4**, 2127–2134.
- 14 W. M. Parkin, A. Balan, L. Liang, P. M. Das, M. Lamparski, C. H. Naylor, J. A. Rodríguez-Manzo, A. T. C. Johnson, V. Meunier and M. Drndić, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 4134–4142.
- 15 Y. H. Lee, X. Q. Zhang, W. Zhang, M. T. Chang, C. Te Lin, K. Di Chang, Y. C. Yu, J. T. W. Wang, C. S. Chang, L. J. Li and T. W. Lin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2320–2325.
- 16 W. Zhou, X. Zou, S. Najmaei, Z. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Kong, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, B. I. Yakobson and J. C. Idrobo, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2615–2622.
- 17 S. Najmaei, J. Yuan, J. Zhang, P. Ajayan and J. Lou, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 31–40.
- 18 J. A. Ke, S. Garaj and S. Gradečak, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 26228–26234.



- 19 Y. Zhu, J. Lim, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, S. Sarkar, H. Ramsden, Y. Li, H. Yan, D. Phuyal, N. Gauriot, A. Rao, R. L. Z. Hoye, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 13545–13553.
- 20 H. Ardekani, R. Younts, Y. Yu, L. Cao and K. Gundogdu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 38240–38246.
- 21 P. K. Gogoi, Z. Hu, Q. Wang, A. Carvalho, D. Schmidt, X. Yin, Y. H. Chang, L. J. Li, C. H. Sow, A. H. C. Neto, M. B. H. Breese, A. Rusydi and A. T. S. Wee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2017, **119**, 077402.
- 22 S. Tongay, J. Zhou, C. Ataca, J. Liu, J. S. Kang, T. S. Matthews, L. You, J. Li, J. C. Grossman and J. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2831–2836.
- 23 H. Nan, Z. Wang, W. Wang, Z. Liang, Y. Lu, Q. Chen, D. He, P. Tan, F. Miao, X. Wang, J. Wang and Z. Ni, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 5738–5745.
- 24 K. Wang and B. Paulus, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 19544–19550.
- 25 B. Zhao, L. L. Liu, G. D. Cheng, T. Li, N. Qi, Z. Q. Chen and Z. Tang, *Mater. Des.*, 2017, **113**, 1–8.
- 26 X. Wu, Y. Gu, R. Ge, M. I. Serna, Y. Huang, J. C. Lee and D. Akinwande, *npj 2D Mater. Appl.*, 2022, **6**, 31.
- 27 A. P. Demchenko, *Methods Appl. Fluoresc.*, 2020, **8**, 022001.
- 28 W. Zhou, X. Zou, S. Najmaei, Z. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Kong, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, B. I. Yakobson and J. C. Idrobo, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2615–2622.
- 29 J. Hong, Z. Hu, M. Probert, K. Li, D. Lv, X. Yang, L. Gu, N. Mao, Q. Feng, L. Xie, J. Zhang, D. Wu, Z. Zhang, C. Jin, W. Ji, X. Zhang, J. Yuan and Z. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6293.
- 30 H. Li, Q. Zhang, C. C. R. Yap, B. K. Tay, T. H. T. Edwin, A. Olivier and D. Baillargeat, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 1385–1390.
- 31 K. F. Mak, K. He, C. Lee, G. H. Lee, J. Hone, T. F. Heinz and J. Shan, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 207–211.
- 32 K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan and T. F. Heinz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**, 136805.
- 33 A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C. Y. Chim, G. Galli and F. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1271–1275.
- 34 X. Xie, J. Kang, W. Cao, J. H. Chu, Y. Gong, P. M. Ajayan and K. Banerjee, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 9965.
- 35 Q. Yue, Z. Shao, S. Chang and J. Li, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2013, **8**, 425.
- 36 D. Karmakar, R. Halder, N. Padma, G. Abraham, K. Vaibhav, M. Ghosh, M. Kaur, D. Bhattacharya and T. V. Chandrasekhar Rao, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2015, **117**, 135701.
- 37 P. Klement, C. Steinke, S. Chatterjee, T. O. Wehling and M. Eickhoff, *2D Mater.*, 2018, **5**, 045025.
- 38 R. Coehoorn, C. Haas, J. Dijkstra, C. J. F. Flipse, R. A. De Groot and A. Wold, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1987, **35**, 6195.
- 39 R. Coehoorn, C. Haas and R. A. De Groot, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1987, **35**, 6203.
- 40 V. Huard, R. T. Cox, K. Saminadayar, A. Arnoult and S. Tatarenko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, **84**, 187.
- 41 K. Kheng, R. T. Cox, Y. Merle D'aubigne, F. Bassani, K. Saminadayar and S. Tatarenko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1993, **71**, 1752.
- 42 B. Stebe and A. Ainane, *Superlattices Microstruct.*, 1989, **5**(4), 545.
- 43 A. Thilagam, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6457–6464.
- 44 B. Liu, W. Zhao, Z. Ding, I. Verzhbitskiy, L. Li, J. Lu, J. Chen, G. Eda and K. P. Loh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6457–6464.
- 45 S. Mouri, Y. Miyauchi and K. Matsuda, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 5944–5948.

