RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 20623

Green and efficient iron-catalyzed crossdehydrogenative coupling for the synthesis of α , β unsaturated ketones *via* C(sp³)–H functionalization[†]

Manjit Singh b and Poonam Rajesh Prasad *

An efficient C–C cross-coupling approach for the synthesis of α , β -unsaturated ketones was developed through C(sp³)–H functionalization of acetophenone and methylarene under thermal conditions in the presence of a green catalyst, FeCl₃·6H₂O, with DMF as a solvent and atmospheric O₂ (air) as an oxidant. The method was useful for a wide range of substrates, indicating good functional group compatibility and providing an innovative approach to forming new C–C bonds from inexpensive, readily available starting materials. Thus, the main advantages of the present methods are one-pot reactions, environmentally friendly approaches, cost-effectiveness, broad substrate scope, short reaction times, easy workup procedures, and good yields.

Received 20th March 2025 Accepted 19th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5ra01979f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

In the new era of science, the functionalization of $C(sp^3)-H/$ C(sp³)-H bonds, typically considered inert, has attracted considerable attention as an innovative and atom-economical strategy for directly transforming simple substrates into valuable molecules. Methylarenes, in particular, play key structural roles in a wide range of organic compounds, bioactive agents, and natural products.1 In the field of organic chemistry, many researchers have often used methylarenes as a substrate for organic synthesis. Cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) involves the introduction of an acyl group across the π -bond of an arene or acetophenone, resulting in the formation of an enone or ketone, respectively.² Acetophenone plays an essential role in the formation of C-C bonds from C-H bonds.³ α , β -Unsaturated ketones have been widely employed in synthetic organic chemistry as versatile compounds, including as essential substrates for Michael addition, Diels-Alder, Morita-Baylis reactions, and other coupling reactions.⁴ In the field of organic chemistry, a catalyst plays a very crucial role in the formation of C-C bonds from C-H bonds. Catalysis is essential for many chemical processes, and the catalyst's qualities to improve the reactions. Many effective transition metal catalysts are used for C-C coupling reactions, such as palladium, ruthenium, and copper.5,6 Herein, report we iron-catalyzed crossdehydrogenative coupling reactions between two $C(sp^3)$ -H

bonds that interact to form C-C double bonds. Iron is the second most abundant metal in crustal abundance on Earth. Iron salt is less expensive and less toxic as compared to other metals.7 Its salts have limited toxicity, which has been a key factor in their use as catalysts in the food and pharmaceutical industries. Because of these benefits, its salts serve as highly effective catalysts in chemical reactions.8,9 In the present method, we use molecular oxygen (O_2) (air) as the oxidizing agent. In conventional ways, a researcher commonly uses an oxidizing agent for oxidations, which is expensive and results in environmental pollution; however, herein, iron salt was oxidized by oxygen (air). The availability of air makes it very easy to use as a substitute for oxidants, thereby rendering it an ideal oxidizing agent with an eco-friendly nature and economic benefits. Synthetic chalcones display a wide range of biological activities, making them valuable for significant therapeutic advancements. They show anti-inflammatory,10 antibiotic,11 antioxidant,12 anticancer,13 antiplatelet,14 antidiabetic,15 aldose reductase-inhibitory, immunomodulatory,16 and non-purine xanthine oxidase-inhibitory activities. Many chalcone derivatives are used as key components of some bioactive molecules, such as metochalcone¹⁷ (Scheme 5), a choleretic drug. The above advantage can be seen, researchers also synthesis for chalcone in different reaction conditions such as powerful basesupported aldol condensation,18 palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling between aryl halides and propargyl alcohols,19 carbonylative Heck coupling,20 cross-coupling of ketones with arenes or aryl carboxylic acids (Scheme 1a and b).²¹ Unfortunately, these methods suffer some drawbacks, including stoichiometric amounts of strong bases or toxic transition metal catalysts and limited functional group compatibility. To

Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, UP, 221005, India. E-mail: manjitsingh.rs.chy19@itbhu.ac.in; poonamrp.chem@ bhu.ac.in

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra01979f



overcome this drawback, we designed a new method that fulfills the conditions of green chemistry. In this article, author report a new methodology for the synthesis of chalcone from acetophenone and toluene in the presence of the green catalyst $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and atmospheric air (Scheme 1c), which makes the high-function group compatibilities, C–H functionalization, green catalyst, biologically relevant compounds, and atmospheric oxygen is used as an ideal oxidant. Thus, the present method is accomplished with the criteria of economically and environmentally benign conditions.

Result and discussion

A one-pot synthesis of *E*-chalcone was conducted to address reaction limitations, using stoichiometric amounts of toluene (**1a**, **1.0** mmol), acetophenone (**2a**, **1.0** mmol), and a green catalyst in a suitable solvent. This model reaction was chosen to

optimize various reaction parameters, including the type of solvent, catalyst, amount of the catalyst, reaction temperature, and time, all under ambient atmospheric conditions. Firstly, we investigated the role of the solvent using various polar, nonpolar, and polar aprotic solvents (Table 1). We observed that polar protic solvents, such as water, ethanol, methanol, and isopropanol, resulted in E-chalcone (3a) with 0%-trace% yields (Table 1, entries 1-4). Alternatively, when we use non-polar solvents, such as toluene, hexane, and xylene, a slight enhancement was seen in the yield of the products (Table 1, entries 5-7). Similarly, we used polar aprotic solvents such as acetonitrile, 1,4-dioxane, dichloromethane, DMSO, DMF, and acetonitrile, which resulted in (3a) with 30-70% yields (Table 1, entries 8-12). Moreover, we investigated to check the next parameter-the role of the catalyst in the model reactionusing DMF as a solvent (Table 1, entries 12-14). Based on the findings, iron salt was found to be the most active catalyst,

Entry	Catalyst (mol 5%)	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)	Yields% ^b
1	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	H ₂ O	65	6	NA
2	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	MeOH	65	6	NA
3	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	ⁱ PrOH	65	6	NA
4	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	EtOH	65	6	Trace
5	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	Toluene	65	6	30
6	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	Hexane	65	6	35
7	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	Xylene	65	3	40
8	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	Acetonitrile	65	6	45
9	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	1,4-Dioxane	65	6	40
10	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DCM	65	6	46
11	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMSO	65	6	60
12	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	65	3	70
13	Fe(OAc) ₂ /air	DMF	65	6	35
14	FeBr ₃ /air	DMF	65	6	50
15	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	65	6	84
16	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	65	6	84
17	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	70	6	NR
18	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	75	8	84
19	FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	85	10	84
20	FeCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O/air	DMF	100	6	84

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: methyl arene (1.0 mmol), acetophenone (1.20 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), solvent (5 mL), (6 h) under open air at 65 °C temperature. ^{*b*} Isolated yields.

Table 2 Investigation of the catalyst loading effect on the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3a}^a$

Entry	Amount of the catalyst system	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
1	FeCl₃·6H₂O (5 mol%)	78
2	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (10 mol%)	84
3	$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (15 mol%)	84

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: methyl arene **1a** (1.0 mmol), acetophenone **2a** (1.0 mmol), and iron as the catalyst **3a** (10 mmol). ^{*b*} Isolated yields.



Scheme 2 Substrate scope for the one-pot conversion of *E*-chalcones into the corresponding methyl ketone.^{*a*} Reaction conditions: all reactions were carried out with acetophenone (1) (1.0 mmol) and methyl arene (2a) (1.0 mmol) using the DMF solvent system in the presence of iron salt as the catalyst system. ^{*b*}Isolated yields.

yielding product **3a** in 70% yield (Table 1, entry 12). Similarly, we investigated the effect of temperature on the model reaction than the observed when temperature increases (70–100 °C) no effect on the percentage of yield thus, 65 °C is a suitable temperature for the model reaction (Table 1, entries 17–20). Next, we explored the effect of time on the model reaction. When time increased to 6–10 h (Table 1, entries 17–19) no effect on yield was observed. Thus, 6 hours was considered the optimal reaction time.

Subsequently, the optimized reaction conditions were used to evaluate catalyst loading to improve cost-effectiveness. Several trials were conducted to reduce the amount of iron salt, and it was found that using 10 mol% of $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ was sufficient to achieve a high product yield (Table 2).

After the optimization of the reaction conditions, we next investigate the substrate scope for the synthesis of the *E*-chalcone derivatives. Substrates utilized to selectively produce (*E*)isomers *via* hydroacylation involved acetophenones (1) and methyl arenes (2a) (Scheme 2). First, we explored a range of acetophenones and methyl arenes, and results demonstrated that the method exhibits excellent functional group compatibility. Acetophenones bearing aryl groups with varying electronic and steric properties were well tolerated, yielding the desired acylated α , β -unsaturated ketones in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2). When acetophenone contains an electrondonating group (EDG) and an electron-withdrawing group (EWG), a good to excellent yield is obtained. When methyl, methoxy, and 2-methyl are present, 80-85% yield is obtained. Alternatively, when acetophenone contains nitro and fluoro groups, 3d and 3e with 85-84% yield are obtained. Moreover, the efficiency of this transformation is largely unaffected by the steric hindrance of the aryl group (3d-3f). Disubstituted benzene rings and 1-ethynyl naphthalene effectively yielded chalcones with a 74% yield (3g). Acetophenone containing a thiophene ring produced heteroaromatic chalcones (3h) with a 65% yield, while the use of cyclohexyl-substituted acetophenone (3i) resulted in a reduced yield of 50%. Next, we focused on disubstituted acetophenones; however, no product was obtained, likely due to steric hindrance from the substituents obstructing the approach of the incoming acyl radical (see ESI, Fig. S42[†]). We next screened the scope of methyl arenes.

After showing the universality of the acetophenone derivatives, we explored the substrate scope for the methyl arene. The formal C–C coupling reaction proceeded smoothly, producing chalcones with the required high yields, when methylsubstituted arenes were used as substrates at the *ortho*, *meta*, or *para* positions (Scheme 3). Methyl arenes bearing electrondonating groups (EDGs) such as methoxy, methyl, hydroxyl, and amino groups effectively interacted with acetophenone to generate chalcones. This approach yielded products in the range of 86–88% and 70% (**3bc–3be** and **3bf**), respectively. The hydroacylation process proceeded efficiently with methyl arenes substituted with EWGs such as fluoro, nitro, chloro, and cyano at the *ortho, meta*, or *para* positions, yielding products in the



Scheme 3 Substrate scope for the one-pot conversion of *E*-chalcone into the corresponding substituted methyl arene.^a ^aReaction conditions: all reactions were carried out with acetophenone (**1bd**) (1.0 mmol) and substituted methylarene (**2c**) (1.0 mmol) using the DMF solvent system in the presence of iron salt as the catalyst system. ^bIsolated yields.



Scheme 4 Substrate scope for the one-pot conversion of *E*-chalcone into the corresponding 4-substituted methyl ketone and 4-bromomethylarene.^{*a* a}Reaction conditions: all reactions were carried out with acetophenone (**1a**) (1.0 mmol) and 4-bromo methylarene (**2b**) (1.0 mmol) using the DMF solvent system in the presence of iron salt as the catalyst system. ^{*b*}Isolated yields.

range of 80–88% and 87% (**3bg** and **3bh**; **3bi**). Similarly, heteroatom substitution (**3bj–3bk**) and naphthalene-ring substitution (**3cb–3db**) provided good yield (65–72%) and disubstituted methyl arene produced the anticipated chalcone derivatives (**3cb** and **3db**) in good (89% and 75%) yields, respectively, as shown in Scheme 3. Similarly, after the optimization of methyl arene derivatives, we further investigated the substrate scope corresponding to 4-acetophenone and 4bromomethyl arene for obtaining good to excellent yield (**3jb– 3ob**) (Scheme 4). Additionally, we explored a biologically active chalcone derivative (**3ad**) to obtain a 76% yield (Scheme 5).

Next, we explored a controlled experiment (Scheme 6) for a mechanistic study using the radical scavenger (2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO) (Scheme 6A) to investigate the reaction pathway. By maintaining the previously enhanced reaction parameters with 3 mmol of TEMPO, less than 5% of the desired product (**3a**) was obtained. This observation shows that the reaction proceeds through the radical pathway, which was confirmed using HRMS spectra. The next control reaction was performed between methyl arene **1a** (1.0 mmol) and acetophenone **2a** (1.0 mmol). The reaction was conducted under an inert atmosphere (N₂), resulting in only a trace amount of **3a** (Scheme 6B). This result signifies the importance of air (molecular oxygen). Air can be considered diluted oxygen, which helps the oxidation of Fe(π) to Fe(π).²²



Scheme 5 Substrate scope for the one-pot conversion of *E*-chalcone into its corresponding biologically active molecule.^a ^aReaction conditions: all reactions were carried out with 4-ome acetophenone (1a) (1.0 mmol) substituted with disubstituted methyl arene (2d) (1.0 mmol) using the DMF solvent system in the presence of iron salt as the catalyst system. ^bIsolated yields.



Scheme 6 Control experiment and mechanistic investigation.

However, the next control reaction was performed without a catalyst, which failed to give the product (Scheme 6C). This indicates that acetophenone is not converted into an acetophenone radical intermediate without iron salt as a catalyst in the presence of air and DMF as a promoting medium. The same reaction was carried out in the presence of iron salt as a catalyst to provide the desired product in 84% yield (Scheme 6D). These results indicate that the catalyst not only participates in the oxidation of acetophenone to a α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound through the cross-dehydrogenative coupling reaction but also acts as a coupling reagent.

Plausible reaction mechanism

On the basis of the above control experiment and previous reports,^{23–30} a plausible mechanism was proposed, as shown in Scheme 7. In the presence of air and Fe(III), the sp³ carbon of acetophenone is converted into the carbon radical of acetophenone (**B**) *via* the single electron transfer (SET) reaction and the removal of H⁺ while simultaneously forming Fe(II).³¹ At this stage, the substrate (**A**) serves as an auxiliary ligand, coordinating with Fe(III) to form a chelated Fe complex (**A**'). This intermediate may play a crucial role in the oxidation process, facilitating the transformation A to B. A methyl arene radical (**C**) is generated when the acetophenone radical (**B**) removes hydrogen from methyl arene. Next, an intermediate (**D**) arises when the methyl arene radical (**C**) and acetophenone radical (**B**) combine,²³ and finally, D completes the oxidation to form **E**²³ *via* two SETs and the loss of two H⁺.²⁶

Gram-scale synthesis of E-chalcone derivatives

To establish the potential synthetic application of this methodology, the synthesis of **3a** was carried out on a gram scale with acetophenone (**1a**) (1.2 g, 10 mmol) and methylarene (**2a**) (1.1 g, 10 mmol) using iron salt (5.0 mg, 10 mmol) under optimized reaction conditions. Using iron salt as a catalyst, air (oxidising agent), and DMF as a promoting medium, the required products (**3a**) with an 84% yield (5.2 g) were obtained at 65 °C. The



Scheme 7 Plausible reaction mechanism for the synthesis of E-chalcone (E).



model reaction described in Scheme 8 was carried out on a gram scale.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we developed a unique method for the C–C coupling reaction of acetophenone $C(sp^3)$ –H functionalized methyl arenes as the acylating agent using Fe(m) catalysis with DMF as a solvent. This cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction allows various chalcones to be smoothly formed in good to excellent yields with elevated tolerance for functional groups. In the current approach, we used iron as an economical, safe catalyst and atmospheric air as an oxidant in place of chemical oxidants (H₂O₂, Na₂S₂O₆, and KMnO₄, *etc.*), which make it cost-effective, atom-efficient, and ecologically benign and tolerate various functional groups.

Data availability

The data underlying this study are available in the published article and its ESI.[†]

Author contributions

Manjit Singh performed all the experiments and manuscript writing as well as constructed all the figures; Poonam Rajesh Prasad provided valuable suggestions during the experimental work and reviewed the whole manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful for the instrumentation facilities provided by CIFC (BHU) and the financial support provided by a Raja Jwala Prasad Post-Doctoral Fellowship (RJP-PDF) under BHU-IOE, Varanasi.

References

- 1 (a) C. Zhou, T. Lei, X.-Z. Wei, C. Ye, Z. Liu, B. Chen, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142(39), 16805–16813; (b) J. Zeng, J.-P. Wan and Y. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 2022, 87(19), 13195–13203; (c) C.-S. Wang, P. H. Dixneuf and J.-F. o. Soule, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118(16), 7532–7585; (d) Q. Liu and L.-Z. Wu, Natl. Sci. Rev., 2017, 4, 359–380.
- 2 (a) A. Ghosh, K. F. Johnson, K. L. Vickerman, J. A. Walker and L. M. Stanley, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3(5), 639-644; (b) M. C. Willis, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 725-748; (c) S. Paul and J. Guin, Chem.-Eur. J., 2021, 27(13), 4412-4419; (d) E. Voutyritsa and C. G. Kokotos, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59(4), 1735-1741.
- 3 (a) D. C. Fabry and M. M. Rueping, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49(9), 1969–1979; (b) V. Murugesan, A. Muralidharan, G. V. Anantharaj, T. Chinnusamy and R. Rasappan, Org. Lett., 2022, 24(45), 8435–8440.
- 4 (a) S. Tripathi, R. Kapoor and L. D. S. Yadav, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2018, **360**(7), 1407–1413; (b) A. K. Kushwaha, A. Kamal, H. K. Singh, S. Kumar Maury, T. Mondal and S. Singh, *Org. Lett.*, 2024, **26**(7), 1416–1420.
- 5 (a) C. S. Yeung and V. M. Dong, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1215–1292; (b) S. A. Girard, T. Knauber and C.-J. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 74–100; *Angew. Chem.*, 2014, **126**, 76103; (c)

C.-J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 335–344; (d) C. J. Scheuermann, Chem.–Asian J., 2010, 5, 436–451.

- 6 (a) B.-J. Li and Z.-J. Shi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 5588-5598;
 (b) J. Wencel-Delord, T. Drçge, F. Liu and F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 4740-4761;
 (c) C. L. Sun, B.-J. Li and Z.-J. Shi, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 677-685;
 (d) R. Giri, B.-F. Shi, K. M. Engle, N. Maugel and J.-Q. Yu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 3242-3272;
 (e) M. Lersch and M. Tilset, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 2471-2526.
- 7 M. Singh, V. B. Yadav, M. D. Ansari, M. Malviya and I. R. Siddiqui, *ChemistrySelect*, 2020, 5, 7026–7030.
- 8 L.-X. Liu, Curr. Org. Chem., 2010, 14, 1099.
- 9 C. Bolm, J. Legros, J.-L. Le Paih and L. Zani, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 6217.
- 10 H. ur Rashid, Y. Xu, N. Ahmad, Y. Muhammad and L. Wang, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2019, **87**, 335–365.
- 11 (a) J. Higgs, C. Wasowski, A. Marcos, M. Jukič, C. H. Pavan, S. Gobec, F. de Tezanos Pinto, N. Colettis and M. Marder, *Heliyon*, 2019, 5(3), e01376; (b) B. Lakshminarayanan, N. Kannappan and T. Subburaju, *Int. J. Pharmaceutical Res. Biosci.*, 2020, **11**(10), 4974–4981.
- 12 A. T. Bale, U. Salar, K. M. Khan, S. Chigurupati, T. Fasina, F. Ali, M. Ali, S. S. Nanda, M. Taha and S. Perveen, *Lett. Drug Des. Discovery*, 2021, 18(3), 249–257.
- 13 M. Čižmáriková, P. Takáč, G. Spengler, A. Kincses, M. Nové, M. Vilková and J. Mojžiš, *Anticancer Res.*, 2019, **39**(12), 6499– 6505.
- 14 N. Fakhrudin, K. K. Pertiwi, M. I. Takubessi, E. F. Susiani,
 A. Nurrochmad, S. Widyarini, A. Sudarmanto,
 A. A. Nugroho and S. Wahyuono, *Pharmacia*, 2020, 67(4), 173–180.
- 15 S. Welday Kahssay, G. S. Hailu and K. Taye Desta, *Drug Des.*, *Dev. Ther.*, 2021, **15**, 3119–3129.
- 16 P. Bhoj, N. Togre, S. Bahekar, K. Goswami, H. Chandak and M. Patil, *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.*, 2019, 34, 225–229.
- 17 S. Saini, K. Kumar, P. Saini, D. K. Mahawar, K. S. Rathore, S. Kumar, A. Dandia and V. Parewa, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, 12(50), 32619–32629.

- 18 C. Thebtaranonth and Y. Thebtaranonth, in *The Chemistry of Enones*, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1989, vol. 29, pp. 199–280.
- 19 (a) T. J. J. Müller, M. Ansorge and D. Aktah, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1253–1256; (b) R. U. Braun, M. Ansorge and T. J. J. Müller, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 9081–9094.
- 20 (a) X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann and M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 5284–5288; (b) X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann, A. Spannenberg, T. Schulz, H. Jiao and M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14596–14602; (c) P. Hermange, T. M. Gøgsig, A. T. Lindhardt, R. H. Taaning and T. Skrydstrup, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2444–2447.
- 21 (a) Y. Shang, X. Jie, J. Zhou, P. Hu, S. Huang and W. Su, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 1299–1303; (b) J. Zhou, G. Wu, M. Zhang, X. Jie and W. Su, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 8032–8036.
- 22 M. L. Pegis, D. J. Martin, C. F. Wise, A. C. Brezny, S. I. Johnson, L. E. Johnson, N. Kumar, S. Raugei and J. M. Mayer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**(20), 8315–8326.
- 23 Z.-Y. Tan, K.-X. Wu, L.-S. Huang, R.-S. Wu, Z.-Y. Du and D.-Z. Xu, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22–2**, 332–335.
- 24 H.-R. Wu, L. Cheng, D.-L. Kong, H.-Y. Huang, C.-L. Gu, L. Liu, D. Wang and C.-J. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, 18(6), 1382– 1385.
- 25 H.-R. Wu, H.-Y. Huang, C.-L. Ren, L. Liu, D. Wang and C.-J. Li, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**(47), 16744–16748.
- 26 E. Tang, Q. Q. Zhou and J. P. Wan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, 60(58), 7471–7474.
- 27 M. Munda, D. Chatterjee, M. Majhi, S. Biswas, D. Pal and A. Bisai, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**(28), 20420–20424.
- 28 Z. Wang, E. Tang, Q. Zhou and J. P. Wan, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2024, **11**(4), 1157–1162.
- 29 X. Li, Y. Liu and J. P. Wan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **89**(21), 16049–16054.
- 30 Z. Wang, L. Gan, Z. Song, Y. Liu and J. P. Wan, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2024, **42**(23), 3041–3046.
- 31 J. Lin, W. Ye, M. Xie, D. H. Seo, J. Luo, Y. Wan and B. Van der Bruggen, *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.*, 2023, 4(11), 785–803.