Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript



ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Catalytic arylsulfonyl radical-triggered 1,5-enyne-bicyclizations and hydrosulfonylation of α,β-conjugates

Zhen-Zhen Chen,[a,1] Shuai Liu,[a,1] Wen-Juan Hao,[a] Ge Xu,[a] Shuo Wu,[a] Jiao-Na Miao,[a] Bo Jiang,[a,*] Shu-Liang Wang,[a] Shu-Jiang Tu,[a,*] and Guigen Li [b,c,*]

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Catalytic bicyclization reaction of 1,5-enynes anchored by α,β-conjugates with arylsulfonyl radicals generated *in situ* from sulfonyl hydrazides has been established by using TBAI (20 mol %) and Cu(OAc)$_2$ (5 mol %) as co-catalysts under convenient conditions. In addition, the use of benzoyl peroxide (BPO) as the oxidant and pivalic acid (PivOH) as an additive was proven to be necessary for this reaction. The reactions occurred through *5-exo-dig/6-endo-trig* bicyclizations and homolytic aromatic substitution (HAS) cascade mechanism to give benzo[*b*]fluorens regioselectively. Similar catalytic process was developed for the synthesis of γ-ketosulfones. These reactions feature readily accessible starting materials and simple one-pot operation.

The search for efficient cyclization reactions, particularly for those in radical cascade processes, has been actively pursued in past several decades because they are extremely useful for total synthesis of numerous important targets.[1] These reactions enable the rapid, reliable and straightforward protocols to create multicyclic ring systems by using readily available starting materials with features shown by unparalleled efficiencies, high functional tolerability and convenient conditions. Among these cyclization reactions, the majority of efforts have been devoted to conduct radical ene-cyclization cascades, in which terminal alkenes were utilized for most cases *via* either metal-free or transition-metal-mediated radical processes (scheme 1, eq 1).[3] However, the use of internal alkenes as radical acceptors has been highly challenging (Scheme 1, eq 2)[4] owing to their relatively low reactivity and larger steric hindrance as compared with their terminal counterparts.



Scheme 1 Two modes of radical ene-cyclizations

1,5-Enynes endowed with extra unsaturated moieties are privileged building blocks, and have been widely serving for direct and selective tandem cyclizations *via* synergistic additions across C=C and C≡C bonds in a one-step operation.[5] These cyclizations would enhance both bond formation and annulation efficiencies with high levels of structural complexity with reduced generation of wastes. So far, two main methods for 1,5-enyne cyclizations have been developed through metal catalysis[6] or electrophilic cyclization.[7] However, the radical bicyclization of 1,5-enynes for generating multi-substituted polycycles has not been documented well. The literature survey revealed that sulfonyl radicals can be generated from sulfonyl hydrazides and utilized *in situ* for radical sulfonylation of alkenes.[8] Due to the importance of sulfonyl-containing compounds in photovoltaic materials, nonlinear optics and in general synthetic and medicinal areas,[9] we envisioned that under the suitable catalytic radical conditions, the *in situ* generated sulfonyl radicals would be able to be invovled in cascade bond-forming events with internal C=C and C≡C bonds of 1,5-enyne conjugate systems, resulting in *5-exo-dig/6-endo-trig* bicyclizations and homolytic aromatic substitutions (HAS) (Scheme 2). Herein, we would like to report preliminary results of this endeavour (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2 Envisaged new reactivity of 1,5-Enynes

At first, 3-(2-(phenylethynyl)phenyl)-1-(*p*-tolyl)prop-2-en-1-one **1a** was selected as benchmark substrate to investigate the additions by sulfonyl radicals. With 20 mol % tetrabutylammoniumiodide (TBAI) as the catalyst, the reaction of substrate **1a** with tosylhydrazide **2a** was performed in CH_3CN in the presence of benzoperoxide (BPO) (4.0 equiv.) as an oxidant at 70 °C under air conditions, affording the expected benzo[*b*]fluorens **3a**, albeit with a low yield of 18% (Table 1, entry 1). Other solvents, such as dichloromethane (DCM), 1,4-dioxane and toluene, were also examined, with CH_3CN showing the best performance (entries 2-4). Raising the reaction temperature to 100 °C slightly ameliorates the yield of **3a** (entry 5). A subsequent investigation of other catalysts was conducted in CH_3CN. As illustrated in entries 6−8, different types of catalysts including I_2, KI, and CuI were employed in the model reaction, and it turned out that I_2 and KI hardly facilitate the reaction (entries 6 and 7), while CuI as a catalyst only led to a poor yield of 16%. Next, we turned our attention to evaluating different

additives (entries 9−11). we found that the addition of PivOH (1.0 equiv) delivered **3a** in 35% yield (entry 11). Notably, the reaction of **1a** and **2a** in the presence of 2.0 equiv of PivOH gave **3a** in 71% yield by using co-catalyst of TBAI (20 mol %) and $Cu(OAc)_2$ (5 mol %) with complete consumption of the starting material **1a** (entry 15). Without PivOH, the yield of expected product **3a** decreased remarkably (entry 17). Further screening of other oxidants, such as TBHP (64% yield), DTBP (very poor yield) and H_2O_2 (no product) for this transformation showed that BPO was the best choice (See supporting information).

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions[a]



Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Additives (equiv)	Solvent	T (°C)	Yield[b] (%)
1	TBAI (20)	-	MeCN	70	18
2	TBAI (20)	-	DCM	70	10
3	TBAI (20)	-	1,4-Dioxane	70	trace
4	TBAI (20)	-	Toluene	70	0
5	TBAI (20)	-	MeCN	100	25
6	I_2 (15)	-	MeCN	100	messy
7	KI (20)	-	MeCN	100	messy
8	CuI (20)	-	MeCN	100	16
9	TBAI (20)	HOAc (1.0)	MeCN	100	28
10	TBAI (20)	L-proline (1.0)	MeCN	100	33
11	TBAI (20)	PivOH (1.0)	MeCN	100	35
12	TBAI (20)/ CuI (5)	PivOH (1.0)	MeCN	100	49
13	TBAI (30)/ $Cu(OAc)_2$ (5)	PivOH (1.0)	MeCN	100	53
14	TBAI (20)/ $Cu(OAc)_2$ (5)	PivOH (1.0)	MeCN	100	61
15	TBAI (20)/ $Cu(OAc)_2$ (5)	PivOH (2.0)	MeCN	100	71
16	TBAI (20)/ $Cu(OAc)_2$ (10)	PivOH (2.0)	MeCN	100	63
17	TBAI (20)/ $Cu(OAc)_2$ (5)	-	MeCN	100	33

[a]Reaction conditions: 1,5-conjugated enyne (**1a**, 0.25 mmol), tosylhydrazide (**2a**, 0.50 mmol), BPO (1.0 mmol), solvent (2.5 mL), 12 h. [b]Isolated yields based on **1**.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we examined the substrate scope of sulfonyl hydrazide **2** by treating them with 1,5-enynes **1a** (Scheme 3). As anticipated, the substituents on the phenyl ring of arylsulfonyl hydrazide **2** did not hamper the catalytic process, but affected the reaction efficiency. Reactions of methyl- or bromo, *t*-butyl-substituted arylsulfonyl hydrazide **2** with **1a** afforded the desired products in moderate to good yields. Besides, benzenesulfonohydrazide exhibited higher reactivity, allowing 1,5-enyne-bicyclization cascades toward the formation of benzo[*b*]fluorens **3c** in 84% yield. 1,5-Enynes bearing an electron-donating or electron-withdrawing group (methoxy and chloro) at the para position of the aromatic ring (Ar^1) directly bound to the C≡C bond gave the corresponding sulfonated products **3e-f** in 55% and 68% yields, respectively. Alternatively, naphthalen-1-yl substituents linked to the C≡C bond was also well-tolerated, affording the product **3g** in 60% chemical yield. Similarly, either electron-donating (methyl) or electron-withdrawing (bromo) group (R^1) at para position of phenyl ring tethered to enone unit were well-suited for this radical 1,5-enyne-bicyclizations (**3a-3k**). 1,5-Enynes **1** carrying electron-neutral groups was also smoothly converted into the corresponding sulfonated benzo[*b*]fluorens **3l-3n** in 39%-67% yields. Notably, 2-naphthalenylethanone-derived 1,5-enynes furnished the unprecedented pentacyclic indeno[2,1-*b*]phenanthren-7-ols **3n** in 67% chemical yield though sulfonyl radicals triggered 1,5-enyne-bicyclization. Unfortunately, a bulky *ortho*-Br substituent and benzylsulfonyl hydrazide did not work at all (**3o** and **3p**). Besides the NMR and HR-MS spectroscopic analysis for benzo[*b*]fluorens **3**, the X-ray diffraction for this product has been perfomed as shown in Figure 1.

Scheme 3. Substrate scope of hydrosulfonylation reaction



[a]Reaction conditions: 1,5-conjugated enyne (**1**, 0.25 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide (**2**, 0.50 mmol), TBAI (0.05 mmol), $Cu(OAc)_2$ (0.0125 mmol), PivOH (0.50 mmol), BPO (1.0 mmol), CH_3CN (2.5 mL), 100 °C, 12 h. [b]Isolated yields based on **1**.



Figure 1. The ORTEP Drawing of **3f**

In view of our success with the synthesis of functional benzo[*b*]fluorens **3**, we reasoned that in the absence of alkyne moieties, chalcone **4** would be able to accept sulfonyl radicals *via* typical 1,4-additions, which can expand its utility for synthesizing γ-ketosulfones. We thus explored this feasibility through a one-pot reaction of (4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**4a**) with **2a** under the conditions described above. The expected γ-ketosulfones **5a** was obtained but with a lower yield (15%) intially. After careful optimizations were performed, we found that although $Cu(OAc)_2$ and PivOH did promote this catalytic process, the use of co-oxidants of BPO (2.0 equiv.) and TBHP (1.0 equiv., 70% in water) in the 20 mol% of TBAI proved to be suitable for the current hydrosulfonylation, furnishing product **5a**

in 77% yield. Subsequently, we further studied the reaction scope by reacting arylsulfonyl hydrazides **2** with various chalcones **4** under this condition (Scheme 4). It turned out that the presence of various substituents, including methoxyl, methyl, chloro and bromo groups, on the aryl rings of chalcones all worked well, giving access to a wide range of γ-ketosulfones **5a-5o** with yields ranging from 50% to 90%. Alternatively, arylsulfonyl hydrazides **2** carrying either electronically neutral or rich groups can be successfully engaged in this catalysis. Unfortunately, aliphatic sulfonyl hydrazide (**5p**) was proven not to be adaptable substrates for this reaction, which may be ascribed to the relative instability of the sulfonyl radicals generated in situ from aliphatic sulfonyl hydrazides. Joining previously reported work,[10] this catalytic radical addition provided a new protocol for the formation of γ-ketosulfones, which are important building blocks in organic synthesis.

Scheme 4 Substrate scope of the synthesis of γ-ketosulfones



[a]Reaction conditions: chalcone (**4**, 0.25 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide (**2**, 0.50 mmol), TBAI (0.05 mmol), BPO (0.50 mmol), TBHP (0.25 mmol, 70% in water), CH_3CN (2.5 mL), 100 °C, 6 h. [b]Isolated yields based on **4**.



[a] Reaction conditions: 1,5-conjugated enyne (**1h**, 0.25 mmol), sulfonyl hydrazide (**2h**, 0.50 mmol), TBAI (0.05 mmol), $Cu(OAc)_2$ (0.0125 mmol), PivOH (0.50 mmol), BPO (1.0 mmol), CH_3CN (2.5 mL), 100 °C, 12 h.

Scheme 5 Controlling reactions

To understand the mechanism, several control experiments were conducted. The treatment of 1,5-enynes **1h** with tosylhydrazide **2a** in the presence of radical scavenger TEMPO (4.0 equiv.) under standard conditions gave complex mixtures without observation of desired product **3l**, confirming the existence of a radical mechanism (Scheme 5, eq 1). In the absence of BPO, the reation did not show the desired product (eq 2). To further confirm the sulfonylation sequence, subjecting 1,5-enynes **1h** to the standard condition in the absence of **2a** failed to generate any desired benzo[*b*]fluoren product **5** (eq 3). These controlled experiments suggest that BPO is essential for the catalytic cycles and *in situ* generated sulfonyl radical triggers a *5-exo-dig/6-endo-trig* bicyclization cascades.

On the basis of the above observations and those reported in literature,[8,11] a mechanism is proposed and shown in Scheme 6. The first step is to form the sulfonyl radical **A** from sulfonyl hydrazides by benzoyloxy radical generated *in situ* from the I anion-assisted the decomposition of BPO. The intermolecular addition of the resulting sulfonyl radical **A** onto 1,5-conjugated enynes **1** followed by *5-exo-dig* cyclization gives intermediate **B**, in which the homolysis of carbon-copper(III) affords vinyl radical **C.** Intermediate **C** is converted into aryl radical **D** *via 6-endo-trig* cyclization. Intermediate **D** undergoes SET (single electron transfer) oxidation and subsequent deprotonation to provide intermediate **F**. The tautomerization of **F** leads to the formation of benzo[*b*]fluorens **3**. Although the generation of sulfonyl radicals triggered by various oxidants has been achieved well,[8] the bicyclizations[12] towards fused carbocycles *via* sulfonyl radical initiated bifunctionalizations of enynes is very rare in organic chemistry as mentioned earlier.



Scheme 6. Proposed mechanism for forming products **3**

Conclusions

In summary, we have discovered new 1,5-enyne-bicyclization and hydrosulfonylation reactions of α,β-conjugates under convenient co-catalytic conditions. The addition of *in situ* generated sulfonyl radicals onto the activated double bond is able to trigger a cascade *5-exo-dig/6-endo-trig* bicyclizations and HAS sequence, delivering tetracyclic sulfonylated benzo[*b*]fluorens in a successive C−S and C−C bond-forming process. Using chalcones as replacement for 1,5-conjugated enynes, this reaction enables hydrosulfonylation of alkenes to form γ-ketosulfones with good to excellent yields. These two methods allow easy accesses to important functional sulfones for potential applications in organic and medicinal chemistry.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful for financial support from the NSFC (No. 21232004, 21272095, 21472071 and 21332005), PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions, the Qing Lan Project (12QLG006), Robert A. Welch Foundation (D-1361, USA) and NIH (R33DA031860, USA), the Outstanding Youth Fund of Jiangsu Normal University (YQ2015003), and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Normal University (14XLR005).

Notes and references

[a]*Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Green Synthetic Chemistry for Functional Materials, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 211116, P. R. China; e-mail: jiangchem@jsnu.edu.cn (B. Jiang); laotu@jsnu.edu.cn (S.-J. Tu); Tel./fax: +8651683500065;* [b]*Institute of Chemistry & BioMedical Sciences, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Life Sciences, School of Chem. and Chem. Eng., Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China; and* [c] *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-1061, USA; guigen.li@ttu.edu;* [1]these authors are equal to this work. *Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1406678 (**3f**). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/*

1. For selected reviews, see: (a) M. Malacria, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 289. (b) D. P. Curran, *Aldrichimica Acta*, 2000, **33**, 104. (c) S. Z. Zard, *Radical Reactions in Organic Synthesis*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2003. (d) Togo, H. *Advanced Free Radical Reactions for Organic Synthesis*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2004. (e) B. B. Snider, *Oxidative Free-Radical Cyclizations and Additions with Mono and β-Dicarbonyl Compounds.* In Handbook of *C-H Transformations: Applications in Organic Synthesis*; G. Dyker, Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; Vol. 2, pp 371-377. (f) M. Albert, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacote and M. Malacria, *Topics in Current Chemistry*; Gansäuer, A., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2006; Vol. 264, p 1. (g) P. Renaud and M. P. Sibi, *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008. (h) E. Godineau and Y. Landais, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 3044. (i) S. Hata and M. P. Sibi, *Science of Synthesis-Stereoselective Reactions of C-C double bonds*; J. G. de Vries, Ed.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 2011; p873.
2. For selected examples, see: (a) W. Kong, N. Fuentes, A. Garcia-Dominguez, E. Merino and C. Nevado, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2487. (b) N. Fuentes, W. Kong, L. Fernandez-Sanchez, E. Merino and C. Nevado, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2015, **137**, 964. (c) W. Kong, E. Merino and C. Nevado, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*., 2014, **53**, 5078. (d) W. Kong, M. Casimiro, N. Fuentes, E. Merino, and C. Nevado, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 13086.
3. For selected examples, see: (a) W.-T. Wei, M.-B. Zhou, J.-H. Fan, W. Liu, R.-J. Song, Y. Liu, M. Hu, P. Xie and J.-H. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3638; (b) M.-B. Zhou, C.-Y. Wang, R.-J. Song, Y. Liu, W.-T. Wei and J.-H. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10817; (c) Y. Meng, L.-N. Guo, H. Wang and X.-H. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 7540; (d) H. Wang, L.-N. Guo and X.-H. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10370; (e) Z. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Zhang and Z.-Q. Liu, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 382.
4. (a) S.-L. Zhou, L.-N. Guo, S. Wang and X.-H. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 3589. (b) W.-P. Mai, J.-T. Wang, L.-R. Yang, J.-W. Yuan, Y.-M. Xiao, P. Mao and L.-B. Qu, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 204. (c) W.-P. Mai, G.-C. Sun, J.-T. Wang, G. Song, P. Mao, L.-R. Yang, J.-W. Yuan, Y.-M. Xiao and L.-B. Qu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 8094.
5. L. Zhang, J. Sun and S. A. Kozmin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 2271.
6. For selected examples, see: (a) K. Speck, K. Karaghiosoff and T. Magauer, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 1982. (b) C. Blaszykowski, Y. Harrak, M.-H. Goncalves, J.-M. Cloarec, A.-L. Dhimane, L. Fensterbank and M. Malacria, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 3771. (c) C.-H. Chen, Y.-C. Tsai and R.-S. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4599. (d) E. Tudela, J. Gonzalez, R. Vicente, J. Santamaria, M. A. Rodriguez and A. Ballesteros, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 12097. (f) K. Fukamizu, Y. Miyake and Y. Nishibayashi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2534. (g) J. Zhang, D. Wu, X. Chen, Y. Liu and Xu, Z. *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 4799. (h) H. Zheng, R. J. Felix and M. R. Gagne, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 2272.
7. (a) F. Huber and S. F. Kirsch, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 2780. (b) A. Pradal, A. Nasr, P. Y. Toullec and V. Michelet, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 5222. (c) B. Crone, S. F. Kirsch and K.-D. Umland, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4661.
8. For selected sulfonyl radicals generated in situ from sulfonyl hydrazides, see: (a) X. Li, X. Xu and C. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 12240. (b) J. Zhang, Y. Shao, H. Wang, Q. Luo, J. Chen, D. Xu and X. Wan, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3312. (c) X. Li, Y. Xu, W. Wu, C. Jiang, C. Qi and H. Jiang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 7911. (d) S. Tang, Y. Wu, W. Liao, R. Bai, C. Liu and A. Lei, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 4496. (e) W. Wei, C. Liu, D. Yang, J. Wen, J. You, Y. Suo and H. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10239. (f) T. Taniguchi, Y. Sugiura, H. Zaimoku and H. Ishibashi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 10154. (g) G. Rong, J. Mao, H. Yan, Y. Zheng and G. Zhang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 4697.
9. (a) N. Simpkins, *In Sulfones in Organic Synthesis*; J. E. Baldwin, P. D. Magnus , Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1993. (b) E. Block, *Reaction of Organosulfur Compounds*; Academic Press: New York, 1978. (c) P. D. Magnus, *Tetrahedron*, 1977, **33**, 2019. (d) E. N. Prilezhaeva, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **69**, 367. (e) A. Costa, C. Najera, J. M. Sansano, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 5216. (f) M. S. Vedula, A. B. Pulipaka, C. Venna, V. K. Chintakunta, S. Jinnapally, V. A. Kattuboina, R. K. Vallakati, V. Basetti, V. Akella, S. Rajgopal, A. K. Reka, S. K. Teepireddy, P. K. Mamnoor, R. Rajagopalan, G. Bulusu, A. Khandelwal, V. V. Upreti and S. R. Mamidi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **38**, 811. (g) S. F. Barbuceanu, G. L. Almajan, I. Saramet, C. Draghici, A. I. Tarcomnicu and G. Bancescu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **44**, 4752. (h) T. M. Williams, T. M. Ciccarone, S. C. MacTough, C. S. Rooney, S. K. Balani, J. H. Condra, E. A. Emini, M. E. Goldman, W. J. Greenlee, L. R. Kauffman, J. A. O'Brien, V. V. Sardana, W. A. Schleif, A. D. Theoharides and P. S. Anderson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1993, **36**, 1291.
10. (a) N. K. Konduru, S. Dey, M. Sajid, M. Owais and N. Ahmed, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, **59**, 23. (b) Y. Chen, Y. Lam and Y.-H. Lai, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 1067. (c) B. Sreedhar, M. A. Reddy, P. S. Reddy, *Synlett*, **2008**, 1949. (d) H. Gilman and L. F. Cason, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1950, **72**, 3469. (e) M. Fernandez, U. Uria, L. Orbe, J. L. Vicario, E. Reyes and L. Carrillo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 441. (f) T. A. Kovalchuk, N. M. Kuzmenok and A. M. Zvonok, *Chem. Heterocycl. Comp.*, 2005, **41**, 1237.
11. (a) S. Mondal, B. Gold, R. K. Mohamed, H. Phan and I. V. Alabugin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 7491. (b) S. Mondal, R. K. Mohamed, M. Manoharan, H. Phan and I. V. Alabugin, *Org. Lett*., 2013, **15**, 5650. (c) G.-B. Deng, Z.-Q. Wang, J.-D. Xia, P.-C. Qian, R.-J. Song, M. Hu, L.-B. Gong and J.-H. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1535. (d) Y. Liu, J.-L. Zhang, M.-B. Zhou, R.-J. Song and J.-H. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14412. (e) Y. Liu, J.-L. Zhang, R.-J. Song, P.-C. Qian and J.-H. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9017.
12. (a) O. Miyata, A. Nishiguchi, I. Ninomiya, T. Naito, K. Aoe and K. Okamura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 229. (b) O. Miyata, Y. Ozawa, I. Ninomiya and T. Naito, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, **56**, 6199. (c) O. Miyata, Y. Ozawa, I. Ninomiya and T. Naito, *Synlett*, **1997**, 275. (d) T. Naito, Y. Honda, O. Miyata and I. Ninomiya, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **1995**, 19.

