# RSC Advances

## PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 21975

Received 4th April 2018 Accepted 9th June 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02899k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### Introduction

Cancer is one of the most deadly diseases worldwide; for example it is reported that approximately 1.5 million people are killed by lung cancer every year.<sup>1</sup> Although modern medical science has achieved notable advancements over the past decade, the long-term survival rates for lung cancer patients are still very poor and even less than 10% for advanced stage lung cancer patients.<sup>2</sup> Locally advanced lung cancer is notoriously resistant to many types of cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiotherapy.<sup>3</sup> As a result, there are currently no effective therapies for lung cancer. New therapy strategies need to be explored to deal with the problems.

Along with the development of nanotechnology, novel targeted drug delivery systems with high efficiency, low toxicity and minimal side effects have been developed to improve the efficacy of chemotherapy and radiotherapy.<sup>4</sup> Particularly, nanoparticle sensitized X-ray radiotherapy therapy provides

Zideng Dai, Xue-Z[hi](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1730-7290) [S](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1730-7290)ong, D Junkai Cao, Yunping He, Wen Wen, Xinyu Xu and Zhenquan Tan  $\mathbf{D}^*$ 

Biological applications of nanosheets are rapidly increasing currently, which introduces new possibilities to improve the efficacy of cancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Herein, we designed and synthesized a novel nano-drug system, doxorubicin (DOX) loaded titanium peroxide (TiO<sub>x</sub>) nanosheets, toward the synergistic treatment of lung cancer. The precursor of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets with high specific surface area was synthesized by a modified hydrothermal process using the polymer P123 as a soft template to control the shape. TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets were obtained by oxidizing TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The anticancer drug DOX was effectively loaded on the surface of TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets. Generation of reactive oxygen species, including H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>,  $\cdot$ OH and  $\cdot$ O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, was promoted from TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets under X-ray irradiation, which is effective for cancer radiotherapy and drug release in cancer cells. In this way, chemotherapy and radiotherapy were combined effectively for the synergistic therapy of cancers. Our results reinforce the DOX loaded TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets as a pH sensitive and X-ray controlled dual-stimuliresponsive drug release system. The cytotoxicity, cellular uptake, and intracellular location of the formulations were evaluated in the A549 human non-small cell lung cancer cell line. Our results showed that TiOx/DOX complexes exhibited a greater cytotoxicity toward A549 cells than free DOX. This work demonstrates that the therapeutic efficacy of DOX-loaded  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets is strongly dependent on their loading mode and the chemotherapeutic and radiotherapy effect is improved under X-ray illumination, which provides a significant breakthrough for future applications of TiO<sub>x</sub> as a light activated drug carrier in cancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. **PAPER**<br> **Dual-stimuli-responsive TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX nanodrug<br>
System for lung cancer synergistic therapy<br>
The the mass case, 2018, 2018 System for lung cancer synergistic therapy<br>
Elemen Dai Xue-Zhi Song <sup>®</sup> Jurkai Cao, Yunping** 

a promising treatment strategy for efficient tumor ablation and minimally invasive treatment.<sup>5</sup> Combination of anti-cancer drugs thereby enhancing the sensitivity of chemotherapy, a drug delivery system based on X-ray sensitized nanoparticles show great potential in the synergistic therapy of cancer. Recently, a variety of inorganic nanocarriers, such as iron oxide nanoparticles, mesoporous silica nanoparticles, graphene oxide nanosheets and titanium dioxide  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>)$  nanoparticles have been widely studied for drug delivery and cancer therapy.<sup>6</sup>

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is a potential dynamic therapy agent in cancer therapy due to excellent biocompatibility and its unique optical properties.<sup>7</sup> The photocatalytic activity and cytotoxicity of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  are higher than those of the rutile forms.<sup>8</sup> Recently,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ have been used in the phototherapy of malignant cancer cells and are regarded as potential photosensitizing agents for photodynamic therapy because they play unique phototoxic effects on ultraviolet (UV) irradiation.<sup>9</sup> However, this strategy seems to be invalid in treating many cancers and is difficult to apply clinically for two main reasons. Firstly, UV light cannot penetrate the human body to reach internal organs such as the respiratory system, pancreas, and liver, thus limiting the application of this technique to superficial tumors.<sup>10</sup> Secondly, the UV-mediated generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)



School of Petroleum and Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Panjin 124221, P. R. China. E-mail: tanzq@dlut.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02899k

Dual-stimuli-responsive TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX nanodrug system for lung cancer synergistic therapy†

lasts short enough to provide a continuous and prolonged killing effect.<sup>11</sup> Radiation therapy using X-ray is known to be effective in the treatment of various cancers. But, to our knowledge, there is seldom report about use of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to enhance the therapy effect of X-ray irradiation.<sup>12</sup> Wang et al. demonstrated that the potential biological effects of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  depend on their size, crystalline phase, surface coating, and chemical composition.<sup>13</sup> For example,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanomaterials with a high aspect ratio such as nanorod, nanobelt and nanofilament have much high reactivity, which enables the insoluble  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  to agglomerate and affect cellular uptake.<sup>14</sup> Anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  induces greater ROS production and cell responses, which is more toxic than rutile due to the active sites on its surface.<sup>15</sup> So many coating approaches on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  were provided to reduce the pulmonary inflammatory response and cytotoxicity.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, some modifications may need to be made in order to make  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  suitable as a reagent for enhancing the radiation effect.

Herein, we designed and synthesized  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets derived from  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets toward the novel drug delivery system by a modified hydrothermal method.<sup>17</sup> The designed  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets have an atom-layered thickness, which provides a very large specific surface area comparing to the zeroand one-dimensional TiO<sub>2</sub> for small molecular drug loading.<sup>18</sup>  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets have a mixed phase of rutile and anatase, which allows them a high ability to generate ROS than rutile and is less cytotoxic than pure anatase, thus eliminating the need to surface coating with a layer of inorganic or organic substances to reduce its toxicity.<sup>15</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were further changed to TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets by the oxidation of  $H_2O_2$ , which enhanced its ability to generate ROS, including  $\cdot$ OH and  $\cdot$ O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, under X-ray irradiation. Such kind of active oxygen species are known as effective reagents for cancer radiotherapy.<sup>19</sup> In addition, the surface of TiO<sub>x</sub> is more hydrophilic after the oxidation by  $H_2O_2$ , which improves the performance of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  as an adsorbent and various carriers, and also provides convenience for surface modification and improve its dispersibility in water.<sup>16b</sup> In this way, the chemotherapy and radiotherapy were combined effectively for the synergistic therapy of cancers.<sup>20</sup> **ESC** Advances<br>
Mark shown also provide a continuous and probagged<br>
Marke are change of the product in the control of the common access Article is the common and density are the common and density and  $\chi$  and  $\chi$  and  $\chi$ 

In this study, we investigated the properties of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets and achieved the effect of efficiently treating cancer by Xray irradiation in combination with the anticancer drug doxorubicin synergistic effect on lung cancer.<sup>21</sup> Scheme 1 demonstrates the schematic approach for the synthesis of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ nanosheets by using polyethylene oxide polyoxypropylene– polyethylene oxide (P123) and ethylene glycol (EG) as cosurfactants to control the shape (the details were shown in Experimental section). We achieved the effect of efficiently treating cancer by X-ray irradiation in combination with the anticancer drug DOX synergistic effect on lung cancer.<sup>18</sup> Our findings indicate that  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  can not only act as a drug carrier due to the high specific surface area that can bind to more DOX molecules, but also kill cancer cells by ROS generated under Xray irradiation. TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets carry DOX into the cells through endocytosis. The high carrier rate of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets increases the uptake of cells, significantly increases the intracellular DOX concentration, and obviously improves the anticancer cell effect. TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets can generate more ROS to



Scheme 1 Schematic representation for the synthesis of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets. Here precursor oligomer is a mixture of titanium tetrachloride and hydrochloric acid.

activate apoptosis under X-ray irradiation.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets loaded DOX improve the efficacy of drug chemotherapy and X-ray radiotherapy.<sup>22</sup>

## Results and discussion

#### Physical characterization and formation of nanosheets

Fig. 1a shows the photo of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets before and after oxidation. It can be seen from the figure that the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets are pure white before oxidation and yellow after oxidation. TEM investigation was employed to characterize the microstructure of samples. Fig. 1b and c show the morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, which was prepared through the hydrothermal reaction. Fig. 1e and f present the morphology of oxidized  $TiO_x$  nanosheets. It can be seen that all the products are nanosheets, and their edges roll up due to surface tension that is similar to graphene. TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets seem to be more curly and flexible, probably due to the oxidation treatment by  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ . This can also be seen in the SEM image of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> in Fig. S1 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> In order to confirm whether  $H_2O_2$  changes the crystal structure of TiO<sub>2</sub>, the prepared TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets were characterized by XRD. As shown in Fig. 1d, the XRD pattern of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets suggests a mixed phase of anatase and rutile after solvothermal treatment. TiO<sub>x</sub>



Fig. 1 (a) A photo comparing TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub>. (b and c) TEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. (d) XRD patterns of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> (A: anatase, R: rutile). (e and f) TEM image of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets.

also shows the same properties, but with a much lower crystallization after  $H_2O_2$  oxidation treatment. The deformation and disordering of the lattice under  $H_2O_2$  oxidation lead to a decrease in the intensity of the XRD peak.

 $N_2$  adsorption–desorption experiment was conducted to illustrate the effects of  $H_2O_2$  treatment on the specific surface area and the corresponding pore size distribution. As shown in Fig. S2,† the pore size distribution demonstrated the mesoporous structure. And the  $H_2O_2$  treatment has little effect on the specific surface area of the sample, and the difference between before and after oxidation is less than 15  $\mathrm{m}^2$   $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  maintains a surface area similar to that of TiO<sub>2</sub> and has a similar drug-loading effect, which facilitates the subsequent drug loading process.

#### Drug loading and pH controlled drug release

The drug loading was confirmed by the UV-vis spectra. The UVvis absorption spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets red shifted after DOX loading showed that the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was modified by the ligand (DOX) (Fig. S3†). Moreover, the absorbance at 490 nm increased significantly, indicating that DOX has been supported on TiO<sub>2</sub>. TiO<sub>x</sub> also showed the same situation.<sup>23</sup>

The successful loading of DOX to the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was convincingly supported by the change in zeta potential (Fig. S4†). At pH = 7.4, zeta potential of TiO<sub>2</sub> was  $-37.43$  mV due to the surface hydroxyl groups. At the same pH condition, the zeta potential of the nanocomposite was  $-3.57$  mV due to the amino group of DOX which was loaded on the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , indicating that the adsorption of DOX on the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is also driven by the electrostatic interaction. The potential value changes relatively large, indicating that more DOX load to the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets. For  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets, the zeta potential was 39.67 mV, which indicates that oxidation treatment changes the surface potential by formation of the more hydroxyl groups and peroxyl groups.<sup>24</sup> Typically, both of the prepared  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$ and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  systems are quite stable in ambient environment free of any special protection for 5 day storage, showing light red color without obvious aggregation in the transparent PBS solution (Fig. S5†).

Fig. S6† shows the FTIR spectra of DOX, TiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX,  $TiO_x$  and  $TiO_x/DOX$ . For the DOX sample, the infrared spectrum of DOX contains many absorption peaks, including the O–H stretching vibration mode at 3318  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , the C-H stretching vibration mode at 2910  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ , the stretching vibration mode of carbonyl at 1731  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , the stretching vibration mode at 1290  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  of the skeleton vibration, and the C–O stretching vibration at 1000  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ . For TiO<sub>2</sub> samples, strong absorption was observed at about 3485  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ , 1643  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  and 630  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  due to O–H stretching vibration of surface hydroxyl groups, H–O–H bending vibration of physically adsorbed water, and interconnected octahedral [TiO $_6$ ] Ti-O-Ti stretching vibration.<sup>17</sup> In addition, the two weak bands of 2939 and 2865  $cm^{-1}$  (vCH and  $\nu$ CH<sub>2</sub>) in the grown TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets can be attributed to the characteristic frequencies of residual organic species, which are not completely removed by washing with distilled water. Note that the two peaks at 2939 and 2865 cm<sup>-1</sup> of TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets

almost disappeared after oxidation. This may be due to the decomposition of organic species.<sup>9c</sup> Similarly, the characteristic infrared band of DOX was also observed for  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$ , indicating that DOX was immobilized on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface.<sup>21</sup> Nevertheless, the absorption peak of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  is mainly the peak of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  or DOX, but no new bands are observed. The results clearly confirm that the DOX loading onto the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ surface is indeed *via* a non-covalent bonding process. The  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/$ DOX band also shows the same structure.

We measured the isoelectric point of these two kind of nanosheets (TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub>) using the standard zeta potential method. The results are shown in Fig. S7.† The isoelectric point of TiO<sub>2</sub> is 3.84, which is a little higher than that of TiO<sub>x</sub> (2.23). This result indicates that  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  has more negative charge than  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in the case of larger pH (such as pH = 7.4), which is consistent with the results in Fig. S4.† The more negative charges around the  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets allow the more DOX loading by electrostatic adsorption.

In order to investigate quantitatively the DOX loading of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  nanocomposites, the rates of DOX loading were calculated based on UV-vis absorption spectra results. We measured the UV-vis absorption spectra of free DOX at different concentrations, in which the peaks were located at about 480 nm (Fig. S8a†). By fitting a series of UV-vis characteristic peaks, the standard curves of DOX were obtained, as shown in Fig. S8b.† We quantitatively studied the loading behavior of DOX on TiO<sub>2</sub> at  $pH = 3-7.4$ . As shown in Fig. 2a, the loading of DOX was the largest at  $pH = 7.4$ , indicating a pH effect on the drug loading that pH of the solution may change the surface potential and thus affect the rug loading, which is consistent with the measurement of the isoelectric point (Fig.  $57\dagger$ ). Therefore, the condition of pH = 7.4 was chosen for the drug loading. We also studied the change of drug loading rate with time under the condition of  $pH = 7.4$ . It can be seen from Fig. 2b that the drug loading rate increases with time. Here, in order to save time, we select 24 h As the medication time. Based on the above results, we investigated the loading of DOX in TiO<sub>x</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> at pH = 7.4. Fig. 2c displays the DOX loading efficiency under identical concentration of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ but different initial DOX concentrations, revealing that increase of initial DOX concentration leads to enhancement of DOX loading on TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>x</sub>. Notably, the loading amount of DOX could reach 100% (w/w). These results validate our hypothesis that a designed system with a higher surface area can effectively conjugate drug molecules.<sup>25</sup> Paper<br>
Non-tect Article in the Constitution of the Common and decomposition of equile and the Constitution of the COLOMN

> Furthermore, the pH sensitivity of DOX release was also determined. Fig. 3 showed drug release kinetics of TiO2/DOX and  $TiO_x/DOX$  at different pH values and with X-ray irradiation in buffer solution. Within 48 h, it was observed that the cumulative release rate of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  loaded DOX increased from 14.7% to 50.0% with the pH value decreased from 7.4 to 5.0. In addition, it was observed that the cumulative release rate of DOX loaded on TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX increased from 11.4% to 60.0% with the pH value decreased from 7.4 to 5.0. Furthermore, under the X-ray irradiation, DOX release raised up to 75%. The release of DOX from TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>x</sub> was accelerated due to both of the pH decrease of PBS buffers and the irradiation of X-ray.<sup>26</sup>



Fig. 2 (a) Quantitation of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  on DOX loading with different DOX concentrations at different pH values (loading pHs 3, 5 and 7.4). (b) The drug load changes with time at a pH of 7.4. (c) Comparative study of DOX concentration dependent loading on TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> at pH = 7.4.

The results suggested that the as-prepared  $TiO_x/DOX$  nanocomposites were pH-responsive and X-ray-stimulative to release DOX. Moreover, the DOX release rate of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ -based drug system is better than that of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -based system, which is a great benefit to our future cell experiments.

#### In vitro ROS detection

Quantification of ROS generation from the nanosheets in response to X-ray irradiation in a cell-free system was performed by using ROS fluorescence probe APF (2-[6-(4'-amino)phenoxy-3H-xanthen-3-on-9-yl]benzoic acid).<sup>5</sup> 10  $\mu$ M of APF was added to different concentrations of the suspensions of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets prepared in cuvettes. Each cuvettes was then exposed to the same doses of X-ray radiation for 30 min. The APF signals were detected by fluorescence spectrophotometer at excitation/emission wavelengths of 490/510 nm, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4, under the same conditions, the



Fig. 3 DOX release curves of TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX and TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX from 0 h to 48 h under the pH values of 7.4, 5.0, and  $TiO_x/DOX$  under the pH values of 5.0 with X-ray irradiation.

level of ROS generated from  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  is much higher than that of TiO<sub>2</sub>. This result suggests that a DOX loaded TiO<sub>x</sub> drug system, in theory, must be more effective in cancer therapy due to the synergistic effect of radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  served not only as drug carriers for controlled release of X-rays, but also as an agent for enhancing radiation therapy. Intracellular ROS levels are an important indicator of radiation therapy; therefore, we compared the levels of ROS produced by X-ray irradiation in the blank group,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and TiO<sub>x</sub>.<sup>8a</sup> ROS generation with different excitations was observed



Fig. 4 (a) Fluorescence spectrum of ROS with TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> in vitro under X-ray irradiation. Since ROS production is a fast phenomenon, we measured ROS levels after 2 hours (after treatment). (b) The fluorescence intensity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  under different concentrations.

in the A549 human lung caner cell line using 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) fluorescent probe staining. As shown in Fig. 5, an enlarged DCFH signal (green fluorescence) was observed in the group irradiated with X-rays, and the DCFH signal from  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  was the largest one.

However, none of the X-ray irradiated groups showed a trace amount of DCFH fluorescence, indicating that ROS generation was affected by both  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  and X-ray radiation. Note that a small amount of ROS could be produced from background solution after a X-ray irradiation. This is consistent with our cytotoxicity experiments. The DCFH signal (green fluorescence) did not increase much in the existence of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , indicating that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is not much ROS generated under X-ray irradiation. But after the addition of TiO $_{x}$ , it is clear seen that the DCFH signal remarkably enhanced to a high level, which is consistent with our cytotoxicity experiments.

#### Cytotoxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> on A549 lung cancer cells

It has been demonstrated that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is environmentally friendly and relatively nontoxic, we evaluated the cytotoxicity of large quantity of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> that was determined in vitro by CCK8. Fig. 6 shows the viabilities of A549 cells incubated with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  in a variety of concentrations. After 48 hours of incubation with TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> with a concentration of 500  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, the viabilities of A549 cells were 93.64% and 86.74%, respectively. These results verify the low cytotoxicity of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ , which is very important for the biomedical applications.<sup>27</sup>

#### Drug intracellular localization

To enhance the chemotherapy of DOX, it was critical to release DOX into nucleus. Using laser scanning confocal microscope, the cellular uptake and release of DOX in  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/$ DOX were investigated, respectively. Fig. 7 shows the confocal microscopy images of A549 cells incubated with DOX alone,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  for 8 h, respectively. Amount of DOX was adjusted to the same value  $(10 \ \mu\mathrm{g\ m}l^{-1})$  in the treatment of different samples. Most of the DOX were located in the nucleus of A549 cells. This is in good agreement with the widely accepted mechanism of cytotoxicity of DOX in which the



Fig. 5 In vitro studies of X-ray-responsive TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> detection of intracellular ROS by DCFH-DA staining in A549 cells. (a–c) represent ROS generation in A549 cells by control,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ . (d–f) represent ROS generation in A549 cells by control,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  after X-ray irradiation.



Fig. 6 Cytotoxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> in lung cancer A549 cells with incubation time of 48 h. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 3$ .

cytotoxicity arises mainly from the direct intercalation of DOX into DNA and, subsequently, inhibition of DNA replication in nucleus.<sup>28</sup> In the treatment involving in TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX and TiO<sub>x</sub>/ DOX nanocomposite, most of the drugs were distributed in the nucleus, while some in granular form were located in the cytoplasm. Since DOX is a water-soluble species and won't aggregate to form granules, the granules may be attributed to the TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX and TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX nanocomposite that can be invaginated by cells to form endosomes but the drugs were not released completely from the granules. The improvement of



Fig. 7 Laser confocal microscopy images of A549 cells incubated for 8 h with (a–c) free DOX (10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> DOX), (d–f) TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX system containing 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of loaded DOX, and (g-i) TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX system containing 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of loaded DOX. DAPI were used to label cell membrane nucleus (blue fluorescence) and DOX fluorescence is red. (scale bar is 50  $\mu$ m)

accumulation and release of drugs was important to enhance chemotherapeutic efficacy of DOX in cancer therapy.

Fig. 8 shows that DOX is released in a time-dependent manner from TiO<sub>x</sub> nanodrug system. Typically, TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX is first swallowed into the cells and accumulated in the cytoplasm in initial 2 h incubation (Fig. 8a–c). Note that DOX molecules can be efficiently released from  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  distributed in lysosome due to acidic environment of the cell (pH 5.0). Meanwhile, the red shiny DOX is initially observed in both the cytoplasm of the cells (2 h incubation, Fig. 8b), and then increasingly accumulated around (4 h incubation, Fig. 8e) and finally entered the nucleus (8 h incubation, Fig. 8h) accompanied with the incubation time. The above results indicate that the drug release depends on the incubation time and the acidic environment of the cell. The drug delivery mechanism involves the internalization of  $TiO_x/DOX$ , followed by deprotonation in endosomes/ lysosomes, and subsequent drug penetration in the nucleus of cells.<sup>29</sup> BSC Advances<br>
Access Article 2018. The control of the Creative Common Comm

#### Cytotoxic effect via radiotherapy and chemotherapy of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/$ DOX

By CCK8 method, the chemotherapy and radiotherapy in vitro were assessed in A549 cells. At first, the chemotherapy performance was investigated by measuring the viabilities of A549 cells incubated with free DOX,  $TiO_2/DOX$  and  $TiO_x/DOX$ , respectively. The free DOX and nanocomposites was incubated with cells for 48 h. As shown in Fig. 9a, when the concentration of free DOX reached 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, 90.64%  $\pm$  0.62% of cells were killed. This result indicated that free DOX had strong effect on killing A549 cells. For nanocomposites,  $86.24\% \pm 0.63\%$  and 88.45%  $\pm$  0.91% of A549 lung cancer cells were killed with the

Fig. 8 LSCFM images of intracellular internalization of TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX (containing 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> of DOX, loading pH = 7.4) and delivery of DOX to the nucleus in A549 cells. Cells are incubated with  $TiO_x/DOX$  for specific times at 37 °C. DAPI were used to label cell membrane nucleus (blue fluorescence) and DOX fluorescence is red. Scale bar  $=$  50  $\mu$ m.

e

h

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  or  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  treatment (with similar DOX concentration) at 48 h, respectively. The in vitro cell killing effect of nanocomposites are very near to that of free DOX. These data suggest that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  or  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  demonstrate prolonged drug release and higher antitumor cell capabilities. Taken together, the results of our in vitro studies demonstrate that the anticancer activity of DOX is enhanced upon loading onto the  $TiO_2/DOX$  or  $TiO_x/DOX$  nanodrug carriers. And  $TiO_x/DOX$  has a higher killing effect than  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  in cancer chemotherapy.<sup>30</sup>

We studied the effect of  $TiO_x/DOX$  at a concentration of 5 µg  $ml^{-1}$  (containing 5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> DOX) on X-ray exposure-dependent cancer cell killing. The result we obtained is shown in Fig. 9b. Initially, A549 cells were incubated with  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  for 24 hours and then exposed to X-ray irradiation for a period of time. Cancer cell viability was detected after further incubation for 24 hours. The cell viability of the X-ray only group, bare  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$  decreased with the increase of X-ray irradiation time. X-ray irradiation alone showed only small cytotoxic effects on A549 cells with a survival rate of approximately 75% after a 30 min radiation. For the exposed TiO<sub>x</sub> group, the cell viability was restricted to 60.7% after a 30 min X-ray irradiation, mainly due to the fact that  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  was promoted to generate ROS internal cancer cells under X-ray irradiation as shown in Fig. 4 and 5. TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX showed a much lower cell viability of 14.0% after 30 minutes of X-ray irradiation, which is due to the synergistic effect of ROS caused by X-ray irradiation and



Fig. 9 (a) Viability of A549 cells incubated with free DOX,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$ and TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX for 48 h. (b) Radiotherapy anticancer efficacy of X-ray alone, X-ray with  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ , and X-ray with  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$ . Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD;  $n = 3$ .

얻

순

욶

the efficient release of DOX from the  $TiO_x/DOX$  drug system. Therefore, the synergistic effect of X-ray based radiotherapy and drug based chemotherapy plays a dominant role in the anticancer effect of TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX.

## Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized unique  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets with high specific surface area as drug carriers, and loaded DOX-enhanced chemotherapy and X-ray irradiation-triggered radiotherapy in order to effectively treat lung cancer. In this work, DOX was loaded onto  $TiO_x$  nanosheets to form DOX loaded  $TiO_x$  nanocomposites. We determined the nature of the interaction between DOX and TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets by UV-vis, IR and Zeta potential. In addition, our results show that  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets can be loaded with a great deal of DOX due to the high specific surface area. In drug sustained-release studies,  $TiO_x/DOX$  can release more DOX under acidic conditions and X-ray irradiation. Under normal conditions,  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  exhibits low anti-cancer effect, which can greatly reduce side effects on normal cells, and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ shows effective load and transport on cancer cells. Under the irradiation of X-rays, both  $TiO_x$  and  $TiO_x$ -release DOX play important roles in killing cancer cells. Importantly, because exposure in a large dose of X-ray radiation have harmful side effect that does harm to the normal organs during the cancer radiotherapy, our  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$ -based nanodrug system is very effective to reduce dose of X-ray irradiation but achieve much better anticancer effect at the same time. We believe that the  $TiO_x$  nanosheets studied here can be a good treatment for cancer through combination chemotherapy and radiation therapy, which provides a new strategy for the future treatment of cancer. Paper Work the effective basis of LOV, Dex basis are all consideration and a consideration and a common and a common and a common and a creative common and a creative common and the proposition-term intervent intervent int

## Experimental

#### Materials and reagents

Unless otherwise noted, all chemicals used are of analytical grade and are used without further purification. Titanium tetrachloride was supplied by Aladdin Chemicals (Shanghai, China) with a purity of 99%. Doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX) was supplied by Solarbio. polyethylene oxide polyoxypropylene– polyethylene oxide (PEO20-PPO70-PEO20, P123) and ROS Brite™ active oxygen fluorescence probe APF was supplied by AAT Bioquest. Dialysis Bags MD25 and PBS phosphate buffers were purchased from Solarbio (Beijing, China). Ethylene glycol (EG) and ethanol was purchased from Tianjin great Mao Co., Ltd. Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  was purchased from Tianjin commie chemical reagent co. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8), 2-[6- (4′-amino)phenoxy-3*H-*xanthen-3-on-9-yl]benzoic acid (APF), 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) and 4',6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) were supplied by Beyotiome Biotechnology Co. Ltd.

#### Instruments

Samples were characterized by Ultra high resolution field emission scanning electron microscopy (fe-sem, Nova NanoSEM 450) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai G2 F30) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the as prepared samples were recorded on a SHIMADZU XRD-7000S diffractometer with Cu K as the radiation source in the a range of 10-90 $^{\circ}$  with a scan speed of 5 $^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface areas were measured by JW-BK132F at  $-196$  °C. The pore volumes and pore diameter distributions were calculated with the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model. Particle sizes were acquired on a Malvern Zeta sizer Nano ZS. X-ray irradiation was performed using BJI-1 (Xianwei, Shanghai, China) at a voltage of 60–75 kV and a current of 0.15– 0.35 mA. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images were recorded on a Leica TCS SP8 inverted confocal microscope. DOX loading and release studies were carried out in a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. The determination of ROS was measured using Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. The cell cytotoxicity was assessed by BioTek SynergyH1 Fullfeatured microplate detector.

#### Preparation of ultrathin 2D  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets were prepared using a solvothermal method. Scheme 1 shows a schematic diagram of our fabrication approach of TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets. We synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets by using P123 as a surfactant together with EG as a co-surfactant in ethanol solvent. The surfactant and co-surfactant in solution form an inverted laminar micelle, with the limitation of hydrated inorganic TiO<sub>2</sub> precursor oligomers. Afterwards, solvent heat treatment is performed to form 2D nanosheets.<sup>31</sup> The resulting  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets were subsequently oxidized to  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets with hydrogen peroxide. In a typical synthesis, 0.56 g of titanium tetrachloride was added to 0.74 g of concentrated HCl solution in vigorously stirred (A bottle); and  $0.2$  g of P123 was dissolved in  $3.0$  g of ethanol (bottle B). After stirring for 10 minutes, the solution in bottle B was added to vial A and stirred for an additional 30 minutes. Then, 2.5 ml of titanium tetrachloride solution having 20 ml of EG was transferred to a 45 ml autoclave and heated at 150  $\degree$ C for 20 hours. The hydrothermal reaction product was washed three times with water and ethanol, and the white powder was collected and dried at 60 °C for 12 h.<sup>32</sup>

#### Preparation of  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  nanosheets

The as-producing  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosheets were dissolved in water under stirring. Afterward, 2 ml of hydrogen peroxide solution was added into the TiO<sub>2</sub> suspension and reacted for 30 minutes under stirring. The product was washed three times with water to remove hydrogen peroxide for later use.

#### Loading of anticancer drug DOX

The resulting TiO<sub>x</sub> nanosheets were dissolved in  $pH = 7.4$  buffer solution under stirring condition. DOX was added into the solution and stirred for 24 h. Afterward, the solution was centrifuged at 13 500 rpm for 10 minutes to remove free DOX. The resulting DOX-loaded TiO<sub>x</sub> (TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX) nanocomposite was washed three times with buffer and then stored at refrigerator.<sup>29</sup> The same procedure was used to prepare DOX-loaded TiO<sub>2</sub>  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX)$  as a control.

#### Drug release

DOX release experiments from  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX$  nanocomposites were investigated at  $pH = 7.4$  and  $pH = 5.0$ . TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX sample was transferred into two dialysis bags, where each bag contained 4 ml TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX. The dialysis bags were then immersed into 20 ml buffer solution at pH 7.4 and 5.0 respectively. The dialysis solution was stirred for 48 h. A 2 ml external dialysis solution was collected at scheduled time intervals, and replaced with the same fresh buffer solution. UV-visible absorptions of DOX with concentration gradients from 0.002 to 0.3 mM were characterized respectively and a standard curve was therefore obtained. Concentration–absorption curve of DOX was calculated according to UV-visible absorptions of DOX concentration gradients. The amount of released DOX in the dialysis solution was then determined by the absorbance measured with UVvisible spectroscopy. The same method was used to investigate drug release for the  $TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX$ , and the effect of irradiating the X-ray at pH 5 on the TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX release was investigated.<sup>26</sup> FISC Advances<br>
Observe Contents from 110-jUUX annocomposites were all included and the National Dirac Directification and the Creation a

#### Cell culture

The A549 human non-small cell lung carcinoma cell line was obtained from Institute of Basic Medical Science, Chinese Academy of Medical Science (Beijing. China). The cells were cultured in normal DMEM with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U ml<sup>-1</sup> of penicillin/streptomycin, and in a humidified incubator at 37 °C in 95% air and 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>24</sup>

#### Cell toxicity study

In vitro cytotoxicity studies of TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>x</sub> were performed using A549 cells. A549 cells were plated in 96 well plates and then incubated for 24 h. After to be attached,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  with different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500  $\mu\mathrm{g\;ml}^{-1})$ were added into each well in quadruplicate and further kept for 48 hours. Afterward, the TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>x</sub> incubation medium was removed and new medium and 10 µl of CCK8 solution were added into each well, including the control wells without  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ or TiO<sub>x</sub>. The plates were incubated for 1 hour before it was monitored by the absorbance at 450 nm to calculate the cell viability. All experiments were replicated three times, and the data are graphically presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation  $(SD).$ <sup>33</sup>

#### In vitro ROS assay and intracellular ROS detection

The level of ROS production in vitro with and without X-ray treatment bare  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  was measured using ROS Brite™ active oxygen fluorescence probe APF by means of uorescence spectrophotometer. We added 2 ml of APF to different concentrations of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  suspensions prepared in a cuvette (10 ml). Each cuvette is then exposed to the same dose of X-ray radiation for 30 min. The samples were placed for an hour in the dark. The fluorescent signal was measured at excitation and emission wavelengths of 490 and 510 nm respectively.<sup>5</sup>

Intracellular ROS production following X-ray irradiation was detected using DCFH-DA ROS Assay Kit. A549 cells were seeded at  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per well in 24-well plates. DCFH-DA was loaded into the cells after 24 hours incubation with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>x</sub>$  (30  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. After incubation for 30 min, the cells were washed twice with PBS and then exposed to X-ray irradiation for 30 min. After incubation for 1 h, fluorescence images of treated cells were acquired using a fluorescence microscope (LEICA DMI4000 B).<sup>29</sup>

#### Cancer cell killing experiments

A549 lung cancer cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells per well. After incubation for 24 h, the cell culture medium was changed to fresh medium containing various doses of  $TiO_2/DOX$ ,  $TiO_x/DOX$  and free drug DOX (0.1 to 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>). The cells were continuously incubated for 48 h, and a CCK8 assay was performed to detect the killing effect. To study the X-ray irradiation effect on cell killing, the cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells per well and incubated for 24 h. Afterward, the same doses of TiO<sub>x</sub> (5 µg  $ml^{-1}$ ) or TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX (5 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) were added into the wells and then incubation for 24 hours. The cells were treated with X-ray irradiation for 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 minutes with control, and continue to incubate for 24 hours. The toxicity assessment was performed according to the above CCK8 protocol. All experiments were replicated three times, and the data are graphically presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD).

#### In vitro cell uptake of drugs

Confocal imaging was used to analyze cellular uptake. A549 cells were plated on 24-well plates at a density of  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per well and incubated for 24 h. The growth medium was then replaced with free DOX, TiO<sub>2</sub>/DOX, TiO<sub>x</sub>/DOX (10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>). After incubation for another 8 h, the cells were rinsed three times with PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 min, and washed three times with PBS. A549 cells were stained with 10 µl of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min in order to visualize the cell nuclei. The cells were washed three times with PBS, and the cells in the micro dishes were observed with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. DAPI is visible at an excitation wavelength of 405 nm, while DOX is visible at an excitation wavelength of 511 nm.<sup>34</sup>

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21571028, No. 21601027), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. DUT15RC(3)055, No. DUT16TD19, No. DUT17LK33), and the Education Department of the Liao Province of China (LT2015007).

## Notes and references

- 1 N. Soni, N. Soni, H. Pandey, R. Maheshwari, P. Kesharwani and R. K. Tekade, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2016, 481, 107.
- 2 (a) T. S. Armstrong, T. Mendoza, I. Gning, I. Gring, C. Coco, M. Z. Cohen, L. Eriksen, M.-A. Hsu, M. R. Gilbert and C. Cleeland, J. Neuro-Oncol., 2006, 80, 27; (b) E. G. Sarris, M. W. Saif and K. N. Syrigos, Pharmaceuticals, 2012, 5, 1236; (c) T. G. Whitsett, L. J. Inge, H. D. Dhruv, P. Y. Cheung, G. J. Weiss, R. M. Bremner, J. A. Winkles and N. L. Tran, Transl. Lung Cancer Res., 2013, 2, 273; (d) R. K. Tekade, S. R. Youngren-Ortiz, H. Yang, R. Haware and M. B. Chougule, Mol. Pharm., 2014, 11, 3671.
- 3 K. A. Goodman and C. Hajj, J. Surg. Oncol., 2013, 107, 86.
- 4 H. Chang, L. Tang, Y. Wang, J. Jiang and J. Li, Anal. Chem., 2010, 82, 2341.
- 5 M. Nakayama, R. Sasaki, C. Ogino, T. Tanaka, K. Morita, M. Umetsu, S. Ohara, Z. Tan, Y. Nishimura, H. Akasaka, K. Sato, C. Numako, S. Takami and A. Kondo, Radiat. Oncol., 2016, 11, 91.
- 6 (a) E. S. Lee, Z. Gao and Y. H. Bae, J. Controlled Release, 2008, 132, 164; (b) S. Bhattacharyya, R. A. Kudgus, R. Bhattacharya and P. Mukherjee, Pharm. Res., 2011, 28, 237; (c) F. M. Kievit, F. Y. Wang, C. Fang, H. Mok, K. Wang, J. R. Silber, R. G. Ellenbogen and M. Zhang, J. Controlled Release, 2011, 152, 76; (d) R. Gomez-Pastor, E. T. Burchfiel, D. W. Neef, A. M. Jaeger, E. Cabiscol, S. U. McKinstry, A. Doss, A. Aballay, D. C. Lo, S. S. Akimov, C. A. Ross, C. Eroglu and D. J. Thiele, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 14405; (e) C. Leroy, Q. Shen, V. Strande, R. Meyer, M. E. McLaughlin, E. Lezan, M. Bentires-Alj, H. Voshol, D. Bonenfant and L. A. Gaither, Oncogene, 2015, 34, 5593; (f) A. Zakharchenko, N. Guz, A. M. Laradji, E. Katz and S. Minko, Nat. Catal., 2018, 1, 73; (g) Y. Liu, J. Peng, S. Wang, M. Xu, M. Gao, T. Xia, J. Weng, A. Xu and S. Liu, NPG Asia Mater., 2018, 10, e458. Published on 14 Certaire Common Controller Common Comm
	- 7 (a) C. Chen, L. Kuai, Y. Chen, Q. Wang, E. Kan and B. Geng, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 98254; (b) Y. Yin, W.-W. Zhu, L.-P. Guo, R. Yang, X.-S. Li and Y. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2013, 117, 125.
	- 8 (a) J. Shi, Z. Chen, B. Wang, L. Wang, T. Lu and Z. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 28554; (b) Z. Tan, K. Sato and S. Ohara, Adv. Powder Technol., 2015, 26, 296.
	- 9 (a) T. Bose, D. Latawiec, P. P. Mondal and S. Mandal, J. Nanopart. Res., 2014, 16, 2527; (b) T. Xu, H. Zheng, P. Zhang, W. Lin and Y. Sekiguchi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 19115; (c) J. Yang, Y.-L. Jiang, L.-J. Li, E. Muhire and M.-Z. Gao, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 8170.
	- 10 B. Liu, C. Li, Z. Cheng, Z. Hou, S. Huang and J. Lin, Biomater. Sci., 2016, 4, 890.
	- 11 C. R. Gordijo, A. Z. Abbasi, M. A. Amini, H. Y. Lip, A. Maeda, P. Cai, P. J. O'Brien, R. S. DaCosta, A. M. Rauth and X. Y. Wu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 1858.
	- 12 P. Retif, S. Pinel, M. Toussaint, C. Frochot, R. Chouikrat, T. Bastogne and M. Barberi-Heyob, Theranostics, 2015, 5, 1030.
	- 13 J. Wang and Y. Fan, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2014, 15, 22258.
- 14 (a) D. W. Porter, N. Wu, A. F. Hubbs, R. R. Mercer, K. Funk, F. Meng, J. Li, M. G. Wolfarth, L. Battelli, S. F. M. Andrew, R. Hamilton Jr, K. Sriram, F. Yang, V. Castranova and A. Holian, Toxicol. Sci., 2013, 131, 179; (b) A. Magrez, L. Horvath, R. Smajda, V. Salicio, N. Pasquier, L. Forro and B. Schwaller, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 2274; (c) R. F. Hamilton, N. Wu, D. Porter, M. Buford, M. Wolfarth and A. Holian, Part. Fibre Toxicol., 2009, 6, 35.
- 15 (a) A. Simon-Deckers, B. Gouget, M. Mayne-L'Hermite, N. Herlin-Boime, C. Reynaud and M. Carrière, Toxicology, 2008, 253, 137; (b) J. Jiang, G. Oberdorster, A. Elder, R. Gelein, P. Mercer and P. Biswas, Nanotoxicology, 2008, 2, 33; (c) C. M. Sayes, R. Wahi, P. A. Kurian, Y. P. Liu, J. L. West, K. D. Ausman, D. B. Warheit and V. L. Colvin, Toxicol. Sci., 2006, 92, 174.
- 16 (a) D. B. Warheit, T. R. Webb, K. L. Reed, S. Frerichs and C. M. Sayes, Toxicology, 2007, 230, 90; (b) P. Thevenot, J. Cho, D. Wavhal, R. B. Timmons and L. Tang, Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine, 2008, 4, 226; (c) M. Hamzeh and G. I. Sunahara, Toxicol. In Vitro, 2013, 27, 864.
- 17 B. Yan, P. Zhou, Q. Xu, X. Zhou, D. Xu and J. Zhu, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 6133.
- 18 G. Xiang, D. Wu, J. He and X. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11456.
- 19 T. Wang, H. Jiang, L. Wan, Q. Zhao, T. Jiang, B. Wang and S. Wang, Acta Biomater., 2015, 13, 354.
- 20 (a) P. Xu, R. Wang, J. Ouyang and B. Chen, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2015, 10, 94; (b) M. I. Setyawati, V. N. Mochalin and D. T. Leong, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 1170; (c) B. Cao, M. Yang, Y. Zhu, X. Qu and C. Mao, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4627.
- 21 (a) S. G. Kumar and L. G. Devi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 13211; (b) R. Subbaiya, M. Saravanan, A. R. Priya, K. R. Shankar, M. Selvam, M. Ovais, R. Balajee and H. Barabadi, IET Nanobiotechnol., 2017, 11, 965; (c) M. Kima, J. H. Seo, W. I. Jeon, M.-Y. Kim, K. Cho, S. Y. Lee and S.-W. Joo, Talanta, 2012, 88, 631; (d) U. Ikoba, H. Peng, H. Li, C. Miller, C. Yu and Q. Wang, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 4291.
- 22 H. M. Yadav, N. D. Thorat, M. M. Yallapu, S. A. M. Tofail and J.-S. Kim, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2017, 5, 1461.
- 23 J. Song, X. Yang, O. Jacobson, L. Lin, P. Huang, G. Niu, Q. Ma and X. Chen, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 9199.
- 24 W. Ren, L. Zeng, Z. Shen, L. Xiang, A. Gong, J. Zhang, C. Mao, A. Li, T. Paunesku, G. E. Woloschak, N. S. Hosmaned and A. Wu, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 20855.
- 25 X. Zhai, M. Yu, Z. Cheng, Z. Hou, P. Ma, D. Yang, X. Kang, Y. Dai, D. Wang and J. Lin, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 12818.
- 26 L. Zeng, Y. Pan, Y. Tian, X. Wang, W. Ren, S. Wang, G. Lu and A. Wu, Biomaterials, 2015, 57, 93.
- 27 Z. Hou, Y. Zhang, K. Deng, Y. Chen, X. Li, X. Deng, Z. Cheng, H. Lian, C. Li and J. Lin, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 2584.
- 28 H. Meng, M. Liong, T. Xia, Z. Li, Z. Ji, J. I. Link and A. E. Nel, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 4539.
- 29 X. Ji, F. Peng, Y. Zhong, Y. Su, X. Jiang, C. Song, L. Yang, B. Chu, S.-T. Lee and Y. He, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 1029.
- 30 X. Xue, Y. Zhao, L. Dai, X. Zhang, X. Hao, C. Zhang, S. Huo, J. Liu, C. Liu, A. Kumar, W.-Q. Chen, G. Zou and X.-J. Liang, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 712. NSC Advances<br>
OPEN Access Article. Downloaded on 14 Qasa Dirrier, C. Zou and X-J. Linning. 32 Z. Stars, T. Linning. No. S. During, M. S. Particle. Published articles. Article. Downloaded on 12 Day. The United Articles. Art
	- 31 G. Xiang, T. Li, J. Zhuang and X. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 6801.
- 32 Z. Sun, T. Liao, Y. Dou, S. M. Hwang, M.-S. Park, L. Jiang, J. H. Kim and S. X. Dou, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 1.
- 33 L. Yu, Y. Chen, M. Wu, X. Cai, H. Yao, L. Zhang, H. Chen and J. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 9881.
- 34 J. Meng, P. Zhang, F. Zhang, H. Liu, J. Fan, X. Liu, G. Yang, L. Jiang and S. Wang, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 9284.