

Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 5136

Supersensitive CeO_x-based nanocomposite sensor for the electrochemical detection of hydroxyl free radicals†

 Surachet Duanghathaiornsuk,^a Dong-Shik Kim,^a Tamara L. Phares,^b Cheng-Han Li,^c Joerg R. Jinschek^c and Ana C. Alba-Rubio^{*a}

It is well known that an excess of hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) in the human body is responsible for oxidative stress-related diseases. An understanding of the relationship between the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ and those diseases could contribute to better diagnosis and prevention. Here we present a supersensitive nanosensor integrated with an electrochemical method to measure the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ *in vitro*. The electrochemical sensor consists of a composite comprised of ultrasmall cerium oxide nanoclusters (<2 nm) grafted to a highly conductive carbon deposited on a screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were used to analyze the interaction between cerium oxide nanoclusters and $\cdot\text{OH}$. The CV results demonstrated that this electrochemical sensor had the capacity of detecting $\cdot\text{OH}$ with a high degree of accuracy and selectivity, achieving a consistent performance. Additionally, EIS results confirmed that our electrochemical sensor was able to differentiate $\cdot\text{OH}$ from hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), which is another common reactive oxygen species (ROS) found in the human body. The limit of detection (LOD) observed with this electrochemical sensor was of 0.6 μM . Furthermore, this nanosized cerium oxide-based electrochemical sensor successfully detected *in vitro* the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in preosteoblast cells from newborn mouse bone tissue. The supersensitive electrochemical sensor is expected to be beneficially used in multiple applications, including medical diagnosis, fuel-cell technology, and food and cosmetic industries.

Received 2nd January 2021,
Accepted 25th February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1nr00015b

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are well known for being extremely reactive. Extensive research has been conducted to understand the impact of ROS on different industries^{1,2} and on human health.^{3,4} Among the ROS, the study of hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) is especially challenging due to their high reactivity and extremely short lifetime of nanoseconds.⁵ The generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ possesses several advantages and disadvantages, depending on the field of study. For example, the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$ results to be beneficial for the destruction of harmful contaminants in solids and liquids *via* Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) and Electrochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes (EAOPs).^{6–8} However, the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$ can also

offer disadvantages, such as the degradation of the polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) in fuel cells,⁹ which leads to low efficiency and shorter lifetime. In the human body, hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) are generated in mitochondria,¹⁰ blood,¹¹ and interstitial fluid¹² to preserve the normal functions of cells, including immunity,¹³ apoptosis,¹⁴ cell signaling,¹⁵ and cellular senescence.¹⁶ However, the overproduction of $\cdot\text{OH}$ can damage adjacent cells resulting in the development of oxidative stress-related diseases, such as aging, cancer,¹⁷ and Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases.^{18,19} A better understanding of the impact of $\cdot\text{OH}$ on those oxidative stress-related diseases is necessary to effectively prevent and treat them. Therefore, it is important to develop technologies for monitoring the levels of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in human tissues, organs, and blood, as this can help to diagnose these severe diseases in the early stages.

Various researchers have integrated sensing elements such as proteins^{20,21} and metal oxides nanoparticles^{22,23} with electrochemical techniques to develop nanosensors for the detection of chemical species of interest. The use of electrochemical techniques possesses several advantages over other methods, as the sensitivity and selectivity can be easily controlled by modifying the sensing element on the surface of the

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA. E-mail: ana.albarubio@utoledo.edu

^bDepartment of Bioengineering, The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

^cDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1nr00015b




Fig. 1 Redox reaction between CeNPs and hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$). Reprinted from S. Duanghathapornasuk, F. A. O. Alateeq, S. S. Kim, D.-S. Kim and A. C. Alba-Rubio, The effects of size and content of cerium oxide nanoparticles on a composite sensor for hydroxyl radicals detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, **321**, 128467, Copyright (2020), with permission from Elsevier.³³

electrode.^{24,25} Moreover, the electrochemical techniques can provide a real-time detection, which is especially important when monitoring species with short lifetime.²⁶ Inspired by previous findings, this study reports the use of ultrasmall cerium oxide nanoclusters as the sensing element for the development of a highly sensitive and selective electrochemical sensor for $\cdot\text{OH}$ detection. According to former reports, cerium oxide nanoparticles (CeNPs) demonstrated a selective removal of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in living tissues.^{27,28} The scavenge of $\cdot\text{OH}$ by CeNPs is based on the dual oxidation state of cerium oxide, which can be easily switched between Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} by oxidizing or reducing species in the medium, respectively.^{29,30} Fig. 1 shows the mechanism for which Ce^{3+} sites on CeNPs specifically reacts with $\cdot\text{OH}$ *via* the oxidation reaction turning into Ce^{4+} sites. After that, Ce^{4+} can be reversibly reduced to Ce^{3+} *via* the reduction reaction.^{29,31,32} The redox reaction between CeNPs (sensing element) and $\cdot\text{OH}$ (analyte) permits the use of the electrochemical technique for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$.

As Ce^{3+} sites are responsible for the reaction with $\cdot\text{OH}$, several research groups have sought to maximize the concentration of Ce^{3+} sites on CeNPs to improve their $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavenging capacity.^{33,34} In order to minimize the size of CeNPs, and thus, maximize the amount of Ce^{3+} sites for $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavenging and detection, we employed Surface Organometallic Chemistry (SOMC) to obtain nanosized CeO_x .^{35,36} We hypothesized that the size and dispersion of the CeO_x nanoclusters could be adjusted by controlling the loading of the organometallic precursor. Even though the size and dispersion of the CeO_x nanoclusters could be controlled, the conductivity of the electrochemical sensor is also essential to provide a high sensitivity. For this reason, we decided to anchor CeO_x nanoclusters onto a highly-conductive carbon using a SOMC method.^{37,38} The successful development of a supersensitive composite sensor for $\cdot\text{OH}$ detection is expected to help understand the relationship between the $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentration and some oxidative stress-related diseases, which in turn would facilitate more effective prevention and treatments.

Materials and methods

Materials

Tris(cyclopentadienyl)cerium(III) was purchased from Strem Chemicals, Inc. Vulcan XCmax 22 highly-conductive carbon

and tetrahydrofuran (99.9%, extra pure, anhydrous, stabilized with BHT) were obtained from Cabot, USA and ACROS Organics, USA, respectively. Potassium hexacyanoferrate(II) trihydrate (98.5–102.0%), potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) (>99%), iron(II) sulfate heptahydrate (>99%), potassium chloride (>99%), and a 30 wt% hydrogen peroxide solution were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Screen-printed carbon electrodes (Pine Instruments, USA) coated with a 2 mm-diameter carbon working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a carbon counter electrode were used as the sensor base. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed using a Gamry Reference 600 potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, USA).

Acid treatment of carbon support

Highly-conductive Vulcan XCmax 22 carbon was treated with a 5 M HNO_3 aqueous solution under reflux (105 °C) for 6 h to functionalize the surface with carboxyl groups. After that, the acid-treated carbon was filtered and washed with deionized water until the pH was around 7. Then, the sample was dried in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h.

Anchorage of the CeO_x nanoclusters to the acid-treated carbon

Prior to the synthesis of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composite, the acid-treated carbon was dried at 105 °C under vacuum for 24 h. Then, the CeO_x organometallic precursor was anchored to the carboxyl groups using a SOMC method. To do so, a calculated mass of tris(cyclopentadienyl)cerium(III) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) under inert atmosphere. This solution was then added to the dried acid-treated carbon and stirred under inert atmosphere for total uptake (color disappearance). After 6 h of stirring, the flask was connected to a Schlenk line to remove THF. Subsequently, the dried solid product was treated at 400 °C for 2 h under nitrogen for ligands removal (Fig. S1†). Finally, the product was collected and used later as the sensing element for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$.

Characterization of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites

The success of the acid treatment and the anchorage of the CeO_x precursor to the carbon surface was confirmed using an FTS-4000 Varian Excalibur Series Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer in the range of 800–3800 cm^{-1} . For that, we used 5 mg of a blended powder containing the sample and KBr (1:300 wt ratio) to make a thin pellet. Moreover, the samples were characterized using a TA Instruments Q50 thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) to determine the required temperature to remove the ligands of the CeO_x precursor. To do so, 12 mg of sample was heated from 25 to 1200 °C with a heating ramp of 10 °C min^{-1} under 50 mL min^{-1} N_2 flow. The size and the dispersion of the CeO_x nanoclusters on the carbon surface were studied by high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) with collection angles of 106–200 mrad using a FEI Titan³™ G2 60–300 S/TEM and by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping using a probe-corrected Thermo Scientific Themis-Z™ STEM. For that, the composite powders

were dispersed in ethanol followed by sonication for 10 min. After that, the dispersion was dropped onto 300-mesh copper TEM grids coated with lacey carbon films. The actual loading of cerium (as CeO_x nanoclusters) on the carbon support was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) at Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. Finally, the surface contents of Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} were determined from spectra obtained in a Kratos Axis Ultra XPS with a monochromatic Al X-ray source operating at 10 mA and 15 kV.

Deposition of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composite onto the screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE)

First, 10 mg of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composite was suspended in 10 mL of deionized water. Then, the solution was sonicated for 1 h and deposited onto the working electrode by delivering a single droplet (8 μL) using a micropipette. The droplet was then dried in an oven at 60 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 1 h. Once dried, the sensor was rinsed with deionized water and dried by gently flowing nitrogen. The CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified electrode was then used for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in the Fenton reaction and for the *in vitro* detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ from preosteoblast cells.

Use of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified electrode for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ produced by the Fenton reaction

The Fenton reaction was used to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ for testing the sensor. To do so, equal volumes of a solution of H_2O_2 and a solution of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were used to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ via the reduction of H_2O_2 in the presence of Fe^{2+} ions, in which the concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ was varied from 0.1 to 10 mM. Then, the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified electrode was put into the Fenton reaction, and the interaction between the electrode and $\cdot\text{OH}$ was characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV). The potential range selected for CV was from 0.4 to -0.6 V with a 100 mV s^{-1} scan rate. The first cycle of CV was carried out by submerging the electrode in the H_2O_2 solution. After that, the electrode was removed from the solution, and then an equal volume of the iron(II) sulfate solution was added to the H_2O_2 solution to generate $\cdot\text{OH}$. Then, the electrode was immersed in the solution of H_2O_2 and $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to detect $\cdot\text{OH}$. The current changes in the modified electrode before and after generating $\cdot\text{OH}$ were measured and referred to the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$.

Use of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified electrode for the *in vitro* detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in preosteoblast cells

Preosteoblast cells (MC3T3) from newborn mouse bone tissue were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). A growth medium consisting of Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) α , nucleosides, 10 vol% of Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), and 1 vol% of antibiotics and antimycotics was used to culture MC3T3 in an incubator under 5% CO_2 /air at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$. To collect MC3T3 from the growth media, 4 mL of Trypsin-EDTA solution was added into the cell culture and left undisturbed for 3 min followed by the addition of 10 mL of the growth medium. Then, the solution was centrifuged at 32 000 rpm for 15 min to separate MC3T3 from the solution. The collected

MC3T3 ($\sim 6 \times 10^6$ cells) were resuspended in 1 mL of 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to detect $\cdot\text{OH}$ released from MC3T3. The signal from the sensor was analyzed using CV and EIS. For CV and EIS analyses, 200 μL of the MC3T3 suspension was dropped on the surface of the 0.64 wt% Ce/carbon-modified electrode for the first cycle. Subsequent CV and EIS cycles were performed by dropping 100 μL of 0.0003, 0.004, and 0.05 mg mL^{-1} lipopolysaccharides (LPS) from *E. Coli* solutions in PBS, respectively, in order to induce additional oxidative stress. To compare the signals obtained from the MC3T3 suspension with the $\cdot\text{OH}$ signal generated by the Fenton reaction in a PBS solution, 60 mL of a 0.01 M PBS solution containing 10 mM iron(II) sulfate was degassed by flowing argon for 2 h to dissolve $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$. After that, 1 mL of a 610 mM H_2O_2 solution in 0.01 M PBS was injected through a septum to produce $\cdot\text{OH}$. Then, the 0.64 wt% Ce/carbon-modified electrode was submerged into the Fenton reaction to detect the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$. The experimental conditions for CV were described in the previous section, and the same procedure was used to perform EIS. The impedance changes and phase angle shifts in terms of frequencies in Bode plots were used to identify the presence of $\cdot\text{OH}$. All EIS experiments were run in a frequency range of 0.01–10 000 Hz with an alternating-current (AC) potential of $V_{\text{rms}} = 5$ mV and direct-current (DC) potential of 0.23 V.

Results and discussion

Functionalization of carbon by acid treatment

Since carboxyl groups on the carbon surface are used as the grafting points for the anchorage of the CeO_x precursor, the highly-conductive carbon was previously functionalized by acid treatment with 5 M HNO_3 to increase the number of carboxyl groups. The success of the functionalization was evaluated using FTIR before and after the acid treatment. As shown in Fig. 2, there are no significant bands on the untreated carbon




Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of (a) carbon, (b) acid-treated carbon, and (c) CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composite (0.64 wt% Ce) after thermal treatment for ligands removal.

(Fig. 2a). However, the FTIR spectrum of acid-treated carbon (Fig. 2b) shows some emergent bands at 1230 and 1377 cm^{-1} , which can be assigned to the O–H bending deformation and the C=O symmetric deformation of the carboxyl groups, respectively.^{39,40} Furthermore, it presents two bands at 1580 and 1735 cm^{-1} , which can be attributed to the C=C stretching vibration and C=O symmetric stretching mode, respectively.^{41,42} The presence of those four bands after the acid treatment confirms an increase in the number of carboxyl groups on the surface of carbon.

Characterization of CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites

Different characterization techniques were used to assess the effectiveness of the SOMC method for anchoring the CeO_x precursor to the carboxyl groups on the carbon surface for the synthesis of the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites, as shown in Fig. S1†. FTIR was used again to confirm the anchorage of the CeO_x precursor to the carboxyl groups. When comparing Fig. 2b and c, it can be observed that the intensity of the bands at 1230, 1377, 1580, and 1735 cm^{-1} significantly decreases after performing SOMC, which indicates the consumption of the carboxyl groups by the CeO_x organometallic precursor to form CeO_x nanoclusters on the carbon surface upon ligands removal by thermal treatment (Fig. S1†).

Once confirmed the anchorage of the CeO_x precursor on the carbon surface, we synthesized a series of composites with different loadings of tris(cyclopentadienyl)cerium(III), and these were labelled as X wt% Ce/Carbon, where X wt% Ce represents the theoretical Ce loading. Then, prior to the thermal treatment for ligands removal (Fig. S1†), we used TGA for further characterization of the materials. Fig. 3 compares the TGA profiles obtained with acid-treated carbon, 12.3, 18.4, and 24.6 wt% Ce/Carbon. As it can be seen in Fig. 3b, the acid-treated carbon shows two significant derivative weight losses at around 100 and 200–300 °C, which can be attributed to the loss of water and the degradation of the carboxyl groups, respectively.⁴³ On the other hand, when the CeO_x organo-

metallic precursor is anchored to the carbon surface, there are four significant weight losses at around 100, 300–400, 400–500, and 700–1100 °C. As before, the first one corresponds to the loss of water. The derivative weight losses at 300–400 °C and 400–500 °C could be attributed to the thermal decomposition of the CeO_x precursor physisorbed on the surface of the carbon support and the removal of the precursor ligands, respectively. And lastly, the derivative weight loss at 700–1100 °C could be ascribed to the decomposition of the CeO_x precursor bound to the carbon surface. With the exception of the evolution of water of hydration at around 100 °C, it is noted that the area under every derivative weight loss increases with the loading of CeO_x precursor added (Fig. 3b), which could be attributed to a large fraction of the CeO_x precursor anchored to the carboxyl groups on carbon. Additionally, the higher evolution at 300–400 °C (physisorbed precursor) observed with 24.6 wt% Ce/Carbon could be explained as the saturation of the carboxyl groups on carbon due to efficient anchorage of the precursor, as it can be inferred by the similar decomposition obtained with 18.4 and 24.6 wt% Ce/Carbon at higher temperatures (700–1100 °C). Therefore, TGA also confirmed the success of the SOMC method. It also helped us select the right temperature (400 °C) for the ligands removal from the CeO_x precursor for the generation of the CeO_x nanoclusters on the carbon surface (Fig. S1†).

ICP-OES was used to determine the actual Ce loading in the form of CeO_x nanoclusters on the surface of the carbon support (Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the Ce loading

Table 1 Theoretical and actual cerium loadings determined by ICP-OES

Sample (theoretical Ce loading)	Actual Ce loading (wt%)
0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon	0.49
2.5 wt% Ce/Carbon	3.34
18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon	13.50
38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon	20.80




Fig. 3 TGA results obtained with acid-treated carbon and 12.3, 18.4, and 24.6 wt% Ce/Carbon composites (before thermal treatment for ligands removal).

increases with the amount of CeO_x precursor added during the synthesis. While there was a good correlation between the theoretical and the actual Ce loadings when using diluted solutions of the CeO_x precursor, a lower efficiency on the immobilization of the CeO_x precursor was observed at higher loadings (Fig. S2†). For example, for a theoretical Ce loading of 38.9 wt%, the actual loading determined by ICP-OES was of 20.8 wt%, which is 54% lower than the theoretical one. This deviation is likely due to the saturation of the carboxyl groups on the carbon surface for efficient anchorage of the CeO_x precursor. As a result, a fraction of the CeO_x precursor is regarded weakly adsorbed on the carbon surface without chemically interacting with the support, being this easily decomposed during the thermal treatment for the removal of ligands. This is consistent with the TGA data presented in Fig. 3.

As previously stated, it has been reported in the literature that there is a correlation between the concentrations of Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ species and the size of CeNPs, being the smaller ones richer in Ce³⁺, which are the active species for ·OH scavenging and detection.^{31,44} In order to estimate the amount of active

sites in our CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites, we also characterized them by XPS. Fig. S3† shows the deconvolution of the XPS spectra obtained with the different CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites. These spectra are composed of two multiplets (u and v) that correspond to the Ce 3d_{3/2} and Ce 3d_{5/2} spin-orbit components, respectively. While v₀, v', u₀, and u' represent the characteristic peaks of Ce³⁺, v'', v''', u'', and u''' represent those of Ce⁴⁺. Table 2 presents a summary of the results obtained from the deconvolution of these spectra, in which the abundance of Ce³⁺ sites was calculated as $\left(\frac{[Ce^{3+}]}{[Ce^{3+}] + [Ce^{4+}]}\right) \times 100$, where [Ce³⁺] represents the sum of the areas of the Ce³⁺ peaks (*i.e.*, v₀ + v' + u₀ + u') and [Ce⁴⁺] represents the sum of the areas of the Ce⁴⁺ peaks (*i.e.*, v'' + v''' + u'' + u'''). As it can be seen in Table 2, there is a clear correlation between the Ce loading and the Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺ ratio, which provides additional information about the increased size of the CeO_x nanoclusters at higher loadings. Likewise, the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon composite showed the highest content of Ce³⁺ sites due to the smaller size of the CeO_x nanoclusters (higher dispersion). Remarkably, the SOMC method allowed us to obtain a Ce³⁺ content of 46.3%, the highest found in the literature when compared to previous reports that used different synthesis methods.^{30,45,46} Therefore, it can be concluded that the size of the CeO_x nanoclusters increases with the loading of CeO_x precursor, likely due to the saturation of the surface and agglomeration of the nanoclusters at higher loadings, which becomes significant on the 38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon composite.

Fig. 4 shows some STEM images of the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon (Fig. 4a) and 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon (Fig. 4b) composites. Even

Table 2 Surface contents of Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ sites (by XPS) as a function of the theoretical Ce loading (as CeO_x nanoclusters)

Sample (theoretical Ce loading)	% Ce ³⁺	% Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ³⁺ /Ce ⁴⁺
0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon	46.3	53.7	0.86
2.5 wt% Ce/Carbon	43.4	56.6	0.77
18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon	42.5	57.5	0.74
38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon	29.0	71.0	0.41




Fig. 4 HAADF-STEM images of (a) 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon and (b) 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composites.

when there is a uniform distribution of CeO_x nanoclusters on carbon in both samples, it is evident the high degree of agglomeration and lower dispersion on the 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon sample (Fig. 4b). This is in agreement with the lower content of Ce^{3+} observed on the composites with higher CeO_x loadings (Table 2). In contrast, we found ultrasmall well-dispersed CeO_x nanoclusters on the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon sample (Fig. 4a). The high dispersion of the CeO_x nanoclusters on that sample was also confirmed by EDS mapping (Fig. S4†).

Hydroxyl radical detection in the Fenton reaction with the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified sensor

Fig. 5 shows the reduction and oxidation responses (*i.e.*, redox response) in CV. The redox response (ΔA) of the composite sensor was calculated using the current difference between the oxidation and reduction peaks resulted from the redox reaction between CeO_x nanoclusters and $\cdot\text{OH}$, as described in Fig. 5a. The redox response of the different CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites to 10 mM $\cdot\text{OH}$ is shown in Fig. 5b. Interestingly, the composite with the highest loading of CeO_x nanoclusters (38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon) showed the lowest redox response, which is due to the larger particle size resulted from

agglomeration and the lower content of Ce^{3+} sites. On the other hand, the 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composite showed the highest redox response due to the combination of a high CeO_x loading and dispersion (high content of Ce^{3+} sites).

ΔA obtained with the different CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon samples have also been plotted in Fig. 6a for easier comparison. As it can be seen, the electrode modified with the highest CeO_x loading (38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon) demonstrated a four times lower response (4×10^{-5} A) than the 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composite. As previously stated, the lower redox response can be attributed to the high degree of agglomeration and lower content of Ce^{3+} sites, as shown in Table 2.

It should be noted that even though the 2.5 and 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composites present similar Ce^{3+} contents, 43.4 and 42.5%, respectively, the latter resulted in a much higher redox response (1.6×10^{-4} A) compared to that obtained with the 2.5 wt% Ce/Carbon composite (1.1×10^{-4} A). This is due to the higher loading of CeO_x nanoclusters that provide a larger number of Ce^{3+} active sites to interact with $\cdot\text{OH}$. In order to compare the efficiency of the different composites for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$, ΔA s in Fig. 6a were normalized per mass of Ce on the composite, and plotted in Fig. 6b. Even when the




Fig. 5 (a) Calculation example of the current response to hydroxyl radicals and (b) CV results of the sensor response toward 10 mM of $\cdot\text{OH}$ (Fenton reaction) with the different CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites.




Fig. 6 (a) Redox response obtained with the different CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon composites toward 10 mM of $\cdot\text{OH}$ (Fenton reaction) and (b) redox response normalized per mass of cerium on the composite. The error bars represent the standard deviation of three repetitive measurements.

highest sensor response was obtained with the 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composite (Fig. 6a), the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon showed to be the most efficient, as it provided the highest reactivity per gram of Ce used (Fig. 6b), which is beneficial from an economic point of view. As shown in Fig. 6b, the ΔA per gram of Ce was of 20 mA g⁻¹ with the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon composite, while it was of 0.15 mA g⁻¹ with the 38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon sample, so that means that 1 g of Ce as CeO_x nanoclusters on 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon is 133 times more reactive towards $\cdot\text{OH}$ than the 38.9 wt% Ce/Carbon composite due to the small size of the CeO_x nanoclusters, the higher content of active sites (Ce³⁺), and the lower degree of agglomeration. As the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon composite showed the highest efficiency (ΔA per gram of Ce), we used this material to further investigate the sensor response towards different concentrations of $\cdot\text{OH}$ generated from the Fenton reaction (Fig. S5†). As it can be seen in Fig. S5,† there are two distinctive regions: a linear relationship at low $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentrations (0–1 mM) and another that deviates from the linearity at high $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentrations, likely due to the lack of enough Ce³⁺ sites (due to the low CeO_x loading) for complete $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavenging and detection. By using the linear relationship at low $\cdot\text{OH}$ concentrations, we obtained a limit of detection (LOD) as low as 0.6 μM , which is regarded as highly sensitive compared to others reported in the literature, as shown in Table 3.

In vitro detection of hydroxyl radicals in preosteoblast cells with the CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-modified sensor

The efficacy of the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon-modified electrode was also assessed *in vitro* with preosteoblast cells from newborn mouse bone tissue (MC3T3). As shown in Fig. S6,† the CV results showed a current response in the presence of MC3T3, which is attributed to be due to the generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ from the cells. It is thought that MC3T3 cells were under oxidative stress due to the unfavorable conditions of centrifugation, transfer, and CV and EIS in the buffer solution, which caused the cells to generate ROS. As Wang *et al.* reported, due to the short lifetime of $\cdot\text{OH}$, it seems difficult for the intercellular $\cdot\text{OH}$ to diffuse out of the cell membrane.⁴⁹ It is thought that relatively stable ROS, such as superoxide (O_2^-), penetrates the cell membrane and $\cdot\text{OH}$ is generated extracellularly. In order to induce additional oxidative stress for MC3T3 and to boost the production of $\cdot\text{OH}$ extracellularly, we added 0.01 mg mL⁻¹ of LPS and recorded the sensor response.⁴⁹ As shown in Fig. S6,† upon the addition of LPS, the redox response increased as the sensor detected the additional generation of

$\cdot\text{OH}$. This increase in the current response is attributed to the generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$, and not to the presence of LPS, as the LPS curve showed a negligible response comparable to that of the PBS buffer solution.

In terms of the sensor selectivity, the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon-modified electrode also showed a distinctive response toward $\cdot\text{OH}$ when compared to a similar oxidizing chemical as H₂O₂. As shown in Fig. 7, the pattern of the phase angle change for $\cdot\text{OH}$ generated from the Fenton reaction is significantly different than that for H₂O₂. It is concluded that our composite sensor is not only highly sensitive, but it is also able to distinguish $\cdot\text{OH}$ from another similar oxidizing chemical such as H₂O₂. It is noted that MC3T3 + LPS shows a similar pattern of phase angle shift as $\cdot\text{OH}$ generated from the Fenton reaction, which confirms the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ released from the cells. For $\cdot\text{OH}$, phase angle shifts occur at 0.06 and 0.3 Hz (Fenton reaction) and 0.16 and 0.6 Hz (cell + LPS), whereas only a shift was observed at 0.5 Hz for H₂O₂.

In order to further study the induced oxidative stress in MC3T3 by the addition of LPS, CV was performed in the presence of different concentrations of LPS: 0.0001, 0.001, and 0.01 mg mL⁻¹. These low concentrations were chosen to promote the oxidative stress and the generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ without significant cells death.⁵⁰ As previously stated, these




Fig. 7 Phase shifts in Bode plots for the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) results obtained with the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon-modified electrode in the presence of PBS, LPS, H₂O₂, Fenton reaction, MC3T3, and MC3T3 + LPS (additional induced oxidative stress).

Table 3 Comparison of the LODs reported in the literature for the electrochemical detection of hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$)

Sensor	Linear range (M)	LOD (M)	Ref.
CeNPs/GO-GCE	1×10^{-5} to 1×10^{-3}	8.5×10^{-5}	33
N-C/AuNPs/DNA/MCH/GCC	5×10^{-5} to 5×10^{-4}	2.5×10^{-5}	47
NPCN/PGE	1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-5}	1.0×10^{-6}	48
Graphene/Au/Cys-PP-Zn ²⁺	1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-4}	1.0×10^{-10}	49
Ce/carbon/GCE	1×10^{-5} to 1×10^{-3}	6.0×10^{-7}	This work




Fig. 8 (a) CV results of the sensor response to MC3T3 and MC3T3 with three different concentrations of LPS (additional induced oxidative stress) with the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon composite and (b) Normalized current response by the concentration of MC3T3 (MC3T3 count per μL).

experiments were carried out sequentially by the addition of further volumes of LPS solutions in PBS. Thus, the first CV curve was recorded after dropping 200 μL of MC3T3 in PBS onto the electrode. For the second one, 100 μL of a 0.0003 mg mL^{-1} LPS solution in PBS was added onto the previous droplet (200 μL) to reach an overall concentration of 0.0001 mg mL^{-1} LPS. Likewise, for the third cycle, 100 μL of a 0.004 mg mL^{-1} LPS solution in PBS was added onto the previous droplet (300 μL) to achieve a concentration of 0.001 mg mL^{-1} LPS. Finally, for the fourth cycle, 100 μL of a 0.05 mg mL^{-1} LPS solution in PBS was added onto the previous droplet (400 μL) to make a final concentration of 0.01 mg mL^{-1} LPS. As expected, the current response increased with the addition of LPS (Fig. 8a) as a result of the increased generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ due to the additional induced oxidative stress of MC3T3. In order to account for the dilution effect on the second, third, and fourth cycles, Fig. 8b shows the current response normalized by the concentration of MC3T3, which was calculated in terms of the number of cells per droplet volume in every cycle. As it can be seen in Fig. 8b, the 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon-modified electrode was able to detect the increased concentration of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in MC3T3 by the addition of LPS. It is noted that the sensor produced a proportional redox response to the increase of LPS, rising by 1.9×10^{-9} A per count per μL every time that the LPS concentration was increased in an order of magnitude. These results demonstrate the high sensitivity and selectivity of the sensor for the *in vitro* detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ in biological systems.

Conclusions

We developed a highly sensitive CeO_x nanoclusters/carbon-based electrochemical sensor for the detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$. Surface organometallic chemistry (SOMC) was successfully used to anchor CeO_x nanoclusters with a high content of Ce^{3+} sites (46.34%) to the surface of a highly-conductive carbon to produce a composite to be used as the sensing element for the electrochemical sensor. While the 18.4 wt% Ce/Carbon composite provided the largest current response toward $\cdot\text{OH}$, another

composite with a much lower CeO_x loading (0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon) showed the highest sensitivity per mass of cerium used. The 0.64 wt% Ce/Carbon-modified sensor provided a limit of detection (LOD) as low as 0.6 μM of $\cdot\text{OH}$. It was concluded that CeO_x nanoclusters with a high content of Ce^{3+} sites can be effectively used for the electrochemical detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$. In terms of selectivity, this sensor demonstrated the ability to differentiate $\cdot\text{OH}$ from a similar oxidizing chemical such as H_2O_2 , which is essential for its use in complex systems. Remarkably, this sensor was successfully used for the direct detection of $\cdot\text{OH}$ from preosteoblast cells of mouse tissue with induced oxidative stress. The ability of this sensor to detect $\cdot\text{OH}$ *in situ* in the micromolar range paves a way to the use of the proposed technology in the diagnosis and treatment of oxidative stress-related diseases. It could be also used in other industrial applications, such as fuel-cell, food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1817294. Electron microscopy was performed at the Center for Electron Microscopy and Analysis (CEMAS) at The Ohio State University. The authors are also thankful to G. Alba for the design of the graphical abstract.

References

- 1 T. M. Nolte, T. Nauser and L. Gubler, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 4516–4525.

- 2 J. Chen, X. Yan, C. Fu, Y. Feng, C. Lin, X. Li, S. Shen, C. Ke and J. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 37779–37786.
- 3 S. Manoharan, G. J. Guillemain, R. S. Abiramasundari, M. M. Essa, M. Akbar and M. D. Akbar, *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity*, 2016, **2016**, 1–15.
- 4 G. Tse, B. P. Yan, Y. W. F. Chan, X. Y. Tian and Y. Huang, *Front. Physiol.*, 2016, **3**, 1–11.
- 5 J. M. Fontmorin, R. C. Burgos Castillo, W. Z. Tang and M. Sillanpää, *Water Res.*, 2016, **99**, 24–32.
- 6 G. Lyngsie, L. Krumina, A. Tunlid and P. Persson, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 1–9.
- 7 M. Cheng, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Lai, P. Xu, C. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **284**, 582–598.
- 8 F. C. Moreira, R. A. R. Boaventura, E. Brillas and V. J. P. Vilar, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **202**, 217–261.
- 9 A. Panchenko, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2006, **278**, 269–278.
- 10 A. Glasauer and N. S. Chandel, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 2014, **92**, 90–101.
- 11 B. Wachowicz, B. Olas, H. M. Zbikowska and A. Buczyński, *Platelets*, 2002, **13**, 175–182.
- 12 A. V. Crowe, A. McArdle, F. McArdle, D. M. Pattwell, G. M. Bell, G. J. Kemp, J. M. Bone, R. D. Griffiths and M. J. Jackson, *Nephrol., Dial., Transplant.*, 2007, **22**, 1177–1183.
- 13 J. F. Curtin, M. Donovan and T. G. Cotter, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2002, **265**, 49–72.
- 14 X. Li, S. Hao, A. Han, Y. Yang, G. Fang, J. Liu and S. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2019, **7**, 4008–4016.
- 15 V. J. Thannickal and B. L. Fanburg, *Am. J. Physiol.: Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.*, 2000, **279**, 1005–1028.
- 16 T. Sakai, J. Imai, T. Ito, H. Takagaki, M. Ui and S. Hatta, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2017, **482**, 1183–1189.
- 17 P. Poprac, K. Jomova, M. Simunkova, V. Kollar, C. J. Rhodes and M. Valko, *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*, 2017, **38**, 592–607.
- 18 W. Ahmad, B. Ijaz, K. Shabbiri, F. Ahmed and S. Rehman, *J. Biomed. Sci.*, 2017, **24**, 1–10.
- 19 A. Umeno, V. Biju and Y. Yoshida, *Free Radic. Res.*, 2017, **51**, 413–427.
- 20 B. Zhang, J. Chen, B. Liu and D. Tang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **69**, 230–234.
- 21 Y. Lin, Q. Zhou, J. Li, J. Shu, Z. Qiu, Y. Lin and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 1030–1038.
- 22 J. Tang, X. Chen, J. Zhou, Q. Li, G. Chen and D. Tang, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 4327–4333.
- 23 M. Yuan, X. Guo, N. Li, Q. Li, S. Wang, C. Liu and H. Pang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **320**, 128556.
- 24 S. Duanghathaipornsuk, E. J. Farrell, A. C. Alba-Rubio, P. Zelenay and D.-S. Kim, *Biosensors*, 2021, **11**, 30.
- 25 Y. Cheng, X. Xiao, X. Guo, H. Yao and H. Pang, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 8675–8680.
- 26 Y. Zhang, S.-Y. Lu, Z. Shi, Z. L. Zhao, Q. Liu, J.-C. Gao, T. Liang, Z. Zou and C. M. Li, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 4471–4477.
- 27 A. Gupta, S. Das, C. J. Neal and S. Seal, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, **4**, 3195–3202.
- 28 A. L. Popov, S. I. Zaichkina, N. R. Popova, O. M. Rozanova, S. P. Romanchenko, O. S. Ivanova, A. A. Smirnov, E. V. Mironova, I. I. Selezneva and V. K. Ivanov, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 106141–106149.
- 29 Y. Xue, Q. Luan, D. Yang, X. Yao and K. Zhou, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 4433–4438.
- 30 I. Celardo, M. De Nicola, C. Mandoli, J. Z. Pedersen, E. Traversa and L. Ghibelli, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 4537–4549.
- 31 P. Trogadas, J. Parrondo and V. Ramani, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 5098–5102.
- 32 J. M. Perez, A. Asati, S. Nath and C. Kaittanis, *Small*, 2008, **4**, 552–556.
- 33 S. Duanghathaipornsuk, F. A. O. Alateeq, S. S. Kim, D.-S. Kim and A. C. Alba-Rubio, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **321**, 128467.
- 34 F. Zhang, P. Wang, J. Koberstein, S. Khalid and S.-W. Chan, *Surf. Sci.*, 2004, **563**, 74–82.
- 35 C. Copéret, A. Comas-Vives, M. P. Conley, D. P. Estes, A. Fedorov, V. Mougél, H. Nagae, F. Núñez-Zarur and P. A. Zhizhko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 323–421.
- 36 C. Copéret, A. Fedorov and P. A. Zhizhko, *Catal. Lett.*, 2017, **147**, 2247–2259.
- 37 M. J. Lázaro, L. Calvillo, V. Celorrio, J. I. Pardo, S. Perathoner and R. Moliner, in *Carbon black: production, properties and uses*, ed. I. J. Sanders and T. L. Peeten, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2011, pp. 41–68.
- 38 D. Pantea, H. Darmstadt, S. Kaliaguine and C. Roy, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2003, **217**, 181–193.
- 39 T.-T. Zhao, W.-Z. Ge, Y.-X. Nie, Y.-X. Wang, F.-G. Zeng and Y. Qiao, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2016, **150**, 71–77.
- 40 J. Zhang, L. Chen, H. Yin, S. Jin, F. Liu and H. Chen, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2017, **225**, 86–92.
- 41 P. E. Fanning and M. A. Vannice, *Carbon*, 1993, **31**, 721–730.
- 42 S. Mutyala and J. Mathiyarasu, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **172**, 1517–1529.
- 43 S. A. Chernyak, A. S. Ivanov, N. E. Strokova, K. I. Maslakov, S. V. Savilov and V. V. Lunin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 17465–17474.
- 44 A. Filippi, F. Liu, J. Wilson, S. Lelieveld, K. Korschelt, T. Wang, Y. Wang, T. Reich, U. Pöschl, W. Tremel and H. Tong, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 11077–11081.
- 45 K. M. Kumar, M. Mahendhiran, M. C. Diaz, N. Hernandez-Como, A. Hernandez-Eligio, G. Torres-Torres, S. Godavarthi and L. M. Gomez, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **214**, 15–19.
- 46 S. Deshpande, S. Patil, S. V. N. T. Kuchibhatla and S. Seal, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 133113.
- 47 Y. Huang, A. Sinha, H. Zhao, X. Dang, Y. Zhang and X. Quan, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, **4**, 12507–12511.
- 48 J. Ouyang, Z.-Q. Li, J. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Wang, X.-H. Xia and G.-J. Zhou, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 3416–3422.
- 49 Z. Wang, K. Yi, Q. Lin, L. Yang, X. Chen, H. Chen, Y. Liu and D. Wei, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1544.
- 50 C. Guo, L. Yuan, J.-G. Wang, F. Wang, X.-K. Yang, F.-H. Zhang, J.-L. Song, X.-Y. Ma, Q. Cheng and G.-H. Song, *Inflammation*, 2014, **37**, 621–631.