

Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Integration of colorimetric and SERS detection for rapid screening and validation of melamine in milk

Tingting Lang,[‡] Shintaro Pang,[†] Lili He*,[†]

[†]Department of Food Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

[‡]College of Optical and Electronic Technology, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310018, China

ABSTRACT: Various analytical methods have been developed for detecting melamine in milk. Herein, we developed a novel method which integrated two gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) based techniques, colorimetric and surface enhanced Raman spectroscopic (SERS) analysis, for rapid screening and validation of melamine in milk. The colorimetric method, which utilizes the color change of Au NPs from red to blue or purple upon interaction with melamine, was used for rapid screening. However, the colorimetric method presents false positive and inaccurate quantitative signals in the presence of interfering compounds. To overcome these limitations, the SERS method, which can directly utilize Au NPs from the colorimetric method, was employed as a rapid validation tool. In order to optimize the integration of these two methods, three sizes (15, 30, 50 nm) of Au NPs were evaluated, and the 30 nm Au NPs was determined to be the best size for both colorimetric and SERS methods based on limits of detection (LODs) and quantification capability of melamine. Using the developed colorimetric-SERS method, we were able to rapidly screen and validate as low as 0.25 ppm melamine in milk within 20 min. Integrating colorimetric and SERS methods exploits the advantages of both methods, and provides a more rapid, accurate, and cost-effective way for monitoring melamine contamination in large amounts of food or feed products.

INTRODUCTION

Melamine (1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triamine) is an industrial compound with 66% nitrogen by mass. Since the scandal revealing its illegal adulteration in dairy products as a fake protein, various detection methods have been developed to meet the maximum residual level (MRL) of 2.5 ppm melamine in milk and 1 ppm in infant formula in the US.^{1,2,3} Methods based on high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC),⁴ gas chromatography (GC),⁵ liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS),⁶ enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA),⁷ have been used as gold standards. However, these methods are limited to more sophisticated lab facilities as they require expensive equipment and extensive sample preparation.

A colorimetric method, which is based on Au NPs aggregation upon the addition of melamine, has been developed to provide a much simpler and more straightforward way to detect melamine. Optical results can be realized without the use of a large instrument, which advances this technique for on-site application. The melamine molecule contains multiple amino ligands and can bind strongly to the surface of Au NPs. After interacting with trace amounts of melamine, Au NPs cross-link to these amino ligands, aggregate quickly, and show an obvious change in color from red to blue or purple due to the shift in the surface plasmon band to a longer wavelength. This phenomenon establishes the foundation for a simple, easy and rapid method for detecting melamine. Many different formats of this colorimetric method have been proposed, such as using 1-(2-mercaptoethyl)-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-

trione(MTT), thiol-functionalized cyanuric acid (CA) derivative stabilized Au NPs,⁸ unmodified citrate-stabilized Au NPs,⁹⁻¹¹ aptamer-modified nanogold probes,¹² and bare gold nanoparticles prepared by the borohydride reduction method.¹³

Although colorimetric methods look promising for on-site measurements of melamine, they can be greatly influenced by the sample matrices, resulting in high rates of false positive and false negative data. Therefore, tedious sample preparation steps are usually needed to remove the matrix effect and achieve desirable sensitivity and accuracy. In real application, these methods are limited to screening uses and require another method for validation and quantification of samples.

Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) has shown great feasibility in the identification and quantification of various analytes.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ SERS utilizes noble metallic nanostructures, such as Au NPs, to enhance the Raman signals of analytes and to identify compounds based on their chemical signatures. SERS has been applied to detect and quantify melamine at ppb levels using a variety of substrates, such as SERS-active gold nanosubstrates,¹⁹ Au NPs,²⁰ 4-mercaptopyridine-modified gold nanoparticles,²¹ Ag-coated Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ microspheres,²² Ag micro- and nanostructures created by the galvanic displacement of Cu,²³ silver nanorod array,²⁴ Ag nanoparticle coated poly(styrene-co-acrylic acid) nanospheres,²⁵ and so on. The main limitation of SERS when compared to the colorimetric method is that a Raman spectrometer is needed, which can be costly. In addition, the performance of SERS on melamine detection highly depends on the type of SERS substrates. The lack of a standardized SERS substrate greatly limits this meth-

od to be applied as a routine method for melamine detection. Therefore, a SERS substrate that is commercially available and cost-effective, such as the citrate coated Au NPs, will be ideal for real application of SERS for melamine detection.

Herein, we aimed to integrate colorimetric and SERS methods based on the fact that both utilizes Au NPs. The colorimetric method will be applied first to screen for positive samples. Then, SERS will be applied to the same sample used in the colorimetric method for validation and quantification. A similar colorimetric and SERS dual-mode detection method was proposed for the telomerase activity.^{26,27} For melamine detection, the integration of these two methods can serve as a practical approach to screen melamine in milk samples on-site, and to subsequently validate and quantify melamine in a lab with a bench-top Raman instrument, or more conveniently on-site with a portable Raman instrument.

To implement this integration, two key studies were performed. First, the size of Au NPs was optimized so that it would work for both colorimetric and SERS methods. The optimal size was determined based on the limit of detection (LOD) and the quantification capability of both the colorimetric and SERS method. Second, a suitable sample pretreatment method was developed to cater to both methods. The sample pretreatment had to be simple and rapid, without the use of any bench-top equipment, in order to facilitate on-site measurements of milk products. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first study that attempts to integrate colorimetric and SERS methods for rapid screening and validation of melamine in milk. With this approach, melamine contamination in large numbers of food and feed products can potentially be detected accurately, quickly, and efficiently.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and apparatus

Melamine (purity 99%, $C_3H_6N_6$, Acros organics) and acetoguanamine (purity 98%, 6-Methyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. Three kinds of Au NPs with diameters of 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm were purchased from NanopartzTM. The weight concentrations were all 50 ppm and were all citrate capped. 2% reduced fat raw milk (Big Y, MA, USA) was purchased from a local grocery store. Acetonitrile, sodium chloride, and syringe filters fitted with Mixed Cellulose Esters (MCE) membrane (pore size 0.22 μm) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All reagents were of analytical grade. Ultra-pure water (18.2 $M\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$) was used in all experiments.

Absorption spectra were measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (SpectraMax M2e from Molecular devices). All samples were added into 96 well plates, and the absorption ratio coefficient was measured using the wavelength range between 400-800 nm.

The Raman spectra were measured by a DXR Raman Spectro-microscope (Thermo Scientific) with the following parameters: a 50x confocal microscope objective (1 μm spot diameter, 4.7-8.7 cm^{-1} spectra resolution), 780 nm excitation wavelength, 5 mW laser power and 50 mm slit width for 2 s integration time. OMNICTM software version 9.1 was used to control the Raman instrument. More than 10 spots were selected

randomly for each sample within the spectral range of 400-3000 cm^{-1} .

A sonic dismembrator (Fisher scientific model 50), equipped with a tapered microtip was used to homogenize samples. Maximum power was 50 watts. 20% amplitude was used.

Melamine detection assay

First, 2 mg of melamine was spiked into 4 ml of double distilled water to obtain a 500 ppm stock solution. The standard stock solution was sequentially diluted by water into a series of concentrations, typically 0.025 ppm, 0.05 ppm, 0.1 ppm, 0.25 ppm, 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm and 2.5 ppm. Double distilled water was used as the control (0 ppm).

Pure melamine, acetoguanamine and mixtures of melamine and acetoguanamine at 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 concentration ratios at 0.25 ppm each were prepared using double distilled water.

Melamine was spiked into the 2% reduced fat raw milk, and then diluted to a series of different concentrations, typically 0.25 ppm, 2.5 ppm and 25 ppm. Milk with no melamine was used as the control.

170 μl of Au NPs solution was premixed with varying concentrations of sodium chloride and incubated for 10 min to optimize its sensitivity. Then, 20 μl of target samples were added into Au NPs and incubated for an additional 5 min. 100 μl of the mixture was then transferred into a 96 well plate and the absorbance was measured at 520 nm and 640 nm. For Raman analysis, 5 μl of the mixture from the colorimetric method was deposited onto a gold slide to dry. The dried samples were then analyzed under the DXR Raman spectro-microscope. All colorimetric samples were tested in triplicates while SERS was tested with at least 5 spots per sample.

Melamine extraction from milk samples

Milk samples were deproteinized with acetonitrile at a ratio of 1:2 (milk:acetonitrile, v/v). After a quick vortex, the samples were sonicated for 3 min at 10 W. Then, the treated samples were spun down for 5 min with a portable mini centrifuge (Fisher Scientific). Finally, the supernatant were taken out and filtered with MCE filter. The whole process took less than 10 min.

Statistical analysis

The collected SERS data were analyzed using TQ Analyst software (version 8.0) from Thermo Scientific. The SERS data gathered from different spots were averaged and then normalized using a Raman peak representing Au NPs located between 2000–2200 cm^{-1} . The Raman peaks representing melamine and acetoguanamine were both between 600 and 800 cm^{-1} , and therefore the statistical analysis was narrowed to that range. Second derivative Raman spectra were calculated and analyzed. The smoothing of spectra was achieved using the Norris derivative filter, with segment length and gap between segments being 9 and 9 respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Size Optimization of Au NPs for the integration of colorimetric and SERS methods

In order to integrate the colorimetric and SERS method, the size of Au NPs was optimized. Three Au NPs sizes were evaluated for this study; 15 nm, 30 nm, and 50 nm. These sizes were chosen because the highest SERS enhancement was previously reported to be between 50-80 nm²⁸ whereas typical colorimetric assays reported using Au NPs that were less than 20 nm.⁸⁻¹³ The limit of detection (LOD) and quantification capability of the colorimetric and SERS methods were compared using these three different Au NPs sizes.

LOD and Quantification Capability of the Colorimetric Method using Three Different Au NPs Sizes

Several mechanistic variations of the colorimetric method have been reported previously.⁸⁻¹³ In this study, a simple model was chosen whereby melamine interacted directly with Au NPs through its multiple amine groups, inducing a bridging effect / aggregation of Au NPs that changed its color from red to blue or purple. Citrate stabilized Au NPs were used in this study because they can be easily fabricated and are widely commercially available. In order to promote ligand exchange between citrate and melamine at the surface of Au NPs, a pre-determined amount of salt (sodium chloride) was mixed in before the addition of melamine. To determine the optimum NaCl concentration, a preliminary study was performed to find the highest concentration of NaCl at which no Au NPs aggregation would occur without the addition of melamine. Different concentrations of NaCl were incubated with Au NPs for 10 min, and the absorbance at varying wavelengths was measured, as shown in Fig. S1. The optimum NaCl concentration was 50 mM (final concentration), 30 mM and 30 mM for 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm Au NPs respectively.

Next, different concentrations of melamine were incubated with the three Au NPs sizes, and their visual images were captured (Fig. S2). Visible color changes from red to purple were observed as the concentration of melamine increased; proving that melamine induced the aggregation of Au NPs. Sample measurements using a UV-vis spectrophotometer also showed a peak shift in the absorption spectrum to a longer wavelength. The evolution of UV-vis absorbance spectra of Au NPs suspension with different concentrations of melamine were presented in Fig. S3-5. The absorption ratio (A_{640}/A_{520}) was then calculated to quantify the change in color. As shown in Fig. 1, all three sizes of Au NPs showed an increase in the absorption ratio when melamine was added, signifying an increase in Au NPs aggregation. This is interesting to note because the Au NPs sizes tested were relatively larger than what was typically used for colorimetric measurements in most studies, but nevertheless, yielded promising results. Our results showed that the limit of detection (LOD) for 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm Au NPs were 0.5 ppm, 0.25 ppm, and 0.25 ppm respectively. Furthermore, a broader concentration dependent trend was observed with the 30 nm Au NPs (i.e. 0.1 to 1 ppm melamine) when compared to the 50 nm Au NPs (i.e. 0.1 to 0.5 ppm melamine). These results suggests that 30 nm Au NPs has the best characteristics for a colorimetric detection assay in terms of sensitivity (i.e. LOD) and quantification capability (i.e. concentration dependent range).




Fig. 1. The absorption ratio (A_{640}/A_{520}) versus different concentrations of melamine in water (0 ppm, 0.025 ppm, 0.05 ppm, 0.1 ppm, 0.25 ppm, 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm and 2.5 ppm) when the diameters of Au NPs were 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm, respectively. The limit of detection (LOD) of melamine for 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm Au NPs was 0.5 ppm, 0.25 ppm, and 0.25 ppm respectively, as indicated by a blue star on the graph (based on T-test).

LOD and Quantification Capability of the SERS Method using Three Different Au NPs Sizes

After performing the colorimetric assay, a small volume (5 μ L) of the same sample was deposited onto a gold coated slide, quickly dried and analyzed under a Raman microscope. Raw SERS spectra were shown in Fig. S6. The Raman peak intensity ratio of melamine (around 710 cm^{-1}) and Au NPs (around 2100 cm^{-1}) was calculated to determine the LOD and quantification capability of the SERS method. The peak intensity ratios of melamine and Au NPs were used instead of using the melamine peak alone in order to minimize the variations caused by different aggregation states of Au NPs.

As shown in Fig. 2, all three Au NPs sizes had an LOD of 0.025 ppm melamine and exhibited a linear trend over a wide concentration range (0-2.5 ppm), demonstrating the superior sensitivity and quantification capability of the SERS method over the colorimetric method. The 15 nm Au NPs provided the best linearity ($R^2=0.9977$), followed by the 30 nm Au NPs ($R^2=0.9375$) and the 50 nm Au NPs ($R^2=0.8553$). In terms of relative enhancement (i.e. Raman peak intensity ratio), the 30 nm Au NPs performed better than the 15 nm Au NPs. Based on results obtained from the colorimetric and SERS methods, the 30 nm Au NPs were determined to be the best size for the integration of these two methods.




Fig. 2. The SERS peak intensity ratio of the melamine peak to Au NP peak (P710/P2100) versus different concentrations of melamine in water (0 ppm, 0.025 ppm, 0.05 ppm, 0.1 ppm, 0.25 ppm, 0.5 ppm, 1 ppm and 2.5 ppm) when the diameters of Au NPs were 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm respectively. The limits of detection (LODs) for 15 nm, 30 nm and 50 nm Au NPs were all 0.025 ppm, as indicated by a blue star (based on T-test).

Detection of Melamine in the Presence of an Interfering Compound using the Colorimetric-SERS Method

Colorimetric methods employing the aggregation of Au NPs for color change has been shown to be simple, straightforward and quick. However, when interfering compounds (i.e. compounds that can interact with Au NPs) are present, false positive signals and inaccurate quantification results become inevitable. In order to demonstrate this concept, the absorption ratios (A640/A520) of melamine and acetoguanamine, two similar looking amino compounds, at different concentration ratios were tested. The rationale to test acetoguanamine as the interfering compound is based on the study by Chi *et al* (2010) that acetoguanamine was the most interfering compound for melamine in the colorimetric assay.⁹ Fig. 3A shows the absorption ratios of three samples: 0.5 ppm melamine (M), 2.5 ppm mixture of melamine (M) and acetoguanamine (A) at a 1:4 concentration ratio, and 2.5 ppm acetoguanamine (A). All three samples exhibited varying degrees of Au NPs aggregation as shown by their absorbance ratio (A640/A520), demonstrating that the colorimetric method alone could not provide accurate information about what was being added. These results suggest that the colorimetric method can merely be treated as a rapid screening method, and would require an additional validation method in order to accurately determine and quantify the presence of melamine. To do this, SERS measurements were performed immediately on the same Au NP samples that were used in the colorimetric method.

Fig. 3B shows the SERS spectra of the three samples reported in Fig. 3A. A clear differentiation is visible between these three SERS spectra. In particular, the melamine peak at 710 cm^{-1} is clearly separated from the acetoguanamine peak, which is situated at 686 cm^{-1} . Therefore, unlike colorimetric methods, SERS can easily discriminate different amino compounds, and thus provide more accurate information. It is worthy to note, however, that a smaller melamine peak is present at 678 cm^{-1} , which may have caused the acetoguanamine peak to shift from 686 cm^{-1} to 684 cm^{-1} . Nevertheless, this slight

overlap does not limit the capability of the SERS method to differentiate melamine from acetoguanamine.




Fig. 3. (A) The absorption ratio (A640/A520) of three samples: 0.5 ppm melamine (M), 2.5 ppm mixture of melamine (M) and acetoguanamine (A) at a 1:4 concentration ratio, 2.5 ppm acetoguanamine (A); (B) The second derivative SERS spectra of three samples: 0.5 ppm melamine (M), 2.5 ppm mixture of melamine (M) and acetoguanamine (A) at a 1:4 concentration ratio, 2.5 ppm acetoguanamine (A). The SERS peak of melamine is around 710 cm^{-1} , whereas the SERS peak of acetoguanamine is around 680 cm^{-1} .

Since SERS validates the existence of specific compounds based on its chemical signature, it has the potential of quantifying melamine in the presence of interfering compounds. In order to demonstrate this, five samples containing 0.25 ppm of total compounds (i.e. pure melamine, pure acetoguanamine, and mixtures of melamine and acetoguanamine at 1:2, 1:1 and 2:1 concentration ratios) were tested. Their second derivative SERS spectra can be found in Fig. S7. Fig. 4 shows the effect of melamine concentration on Raman peak intensity ratios (i.e. P710/P2100 for melamine) in the presence of acetoguanamine. A fairly decent concentration dependent peak intensity trend was observed for melamine even at low concentrations (up to 0.25 ppm), which demonstrated the quantitative capability of SERS for melamine in the presence of an interfering compound ($R^2 = 0.8656$). The relatively large error bars may be due to the low concentrations and narrow concentration range tested.




Fig. 4. A concentration standard curve showing the effect of melamine (M) concentration on a Raman peak intensity ratio (P710/P2100) in the presence of acetoguanamine (A) [M+A = 0.25 ppm for all samples].

Detection and validation of melamine in milk sample using the colorimetric-SERS method

Since many ingredients in milk, including proteins, lactose, lipids, vitamins and minerals, would interfere with the aggregation of Au NPs, a pretreatment of milk samples was necessary. The extraction of melamine from a complex food matrix is not easy. Some studies used complicated and time consuming solid phase extraction (SPE) purification.^{8,9} Others mixed milk with trichloroacetic acid or acetic acid to remove proteins and lipids, and then adjusted the pH of the supernatant with NaOH.^{11,12} Initially, the trichloroacetic acid method was attempted. Unfortunately, the colorimetric results were not very reliable as pH greatly influenced Au NP aggregation, and subsequently, the amount of added NaOH varied considerably due to the heterogeneity of milk. Eventually, an extraction procedure was standardized, as provided under the experimental section. This simple and rapid pretreatment procedure was developed to quickly screen samples with the colorimetric method. To achieve this, the type of filters used and the volume ratio of milk to acetonitrile were optimized. The whole process took less than 10 min.

Melamine was spiked in milk at four different levels, 0 ppm, 0.25 ppm and 2.5 ppm and 25 ppm. Then, the pretreatment step and colorimetric method was performed. As shown in Fig. 5, a statistically significant difference was observed between the control (0 ppm) and 0.25 ppm melamine in milk. This concentration is significantly lower than the MRL of 2.5 ppm established in the US. However, the sensitivity and quantitative capability of this method was not as good as other procedures that required tedious separation steps and/or large equipment. In spite of this limitation, the simplicity of our pretreatment and screening procedure makes it ideal for rapid on-site applications, especially when it is integrated with SERS.

Positive results, as determined by the colorimetric assay, can be validated directly by SERS with minimal preparation. Fig. 6 shows the Raman spectra of melamine spiked in milk at four different levels, 0 ppm, 0.25 ppm and 2.5 ppm and 25 ppm. The melamine peak was at 683 cm⁻¹ in milk compared to 710 cm⁻¹ in water. This may be due to the interaction between melamine and proteins, which shifted the melamine peak. This

result, however, revealed the importance to determine the matrix effect when applying the SERS method. When different matrices are tested, the shift of target peaks need to be predetermined using standards. By observing the melamine peak, we can validate the presence of melamine in milk.




Fig. 5. The absorption ratio (A640/A520) of milk samples containing different concentrations of melamine (0 ppm, 0.25 ppm, 2.5 ppm and 25 ppm).




Fig. 6. The second derivative SERS spectra of milk samples containing different concentrations of melamine (0 ppm, 0.25 ppm, 2.5 ppm and 25 ppm)

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this is the first report of a colorimetric-SERS method that is able to detect trace amounts of melamine in milk within a short time (i.e. 20 min). The colorimetric method, by itself, can be used for rapid screening of large amounts of samples. SERS can be performed immediately to validate the results obtained from the colorimetric method. The integration of these two methods leverages the advantages of both techniques, providing a rapid and accurate on-site method for 1) quality control of incoming ingredient and 2) on-site safety evaluation and monitoring. More study is needed to further improve the extraction procedure for milk.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* Tel: +1 (413) 545-5847, Email: lilihe@foodsci.umass.edu

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. / All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

- (1) FAO - News Article: International experts limit Melamine levels in food <http://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/43719/icode/> (accessed Oct 2, 2014).
- (2) Codex alimentarius commission, Geneva, Switzerland <http://www.codexalimentarius.org/input/download/report/744/al33REPe.pdf> (accessed Feb 14, 2014).
- (3) Liu, Y.; Todd, E. E. D.; Zhang, Q.; Shi, J.; Liu, X. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* **2012**, *13*, 525–532.
- (4) Peng, X.-T.; Shi, Z.-G.; Feng, Y.-Q. *Food Anal. Methods* **2010**, *4*, 381–388.
- (5) Jurado-Sanchez, B.; Ballesteros, E.; Gallego, M. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 7519–7526.
- (6) Ibáñez, M.; Sancho, J. V.; Hernández, F. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2009**, *649*, 91–97.
- (7) Garber, E. A. E. *J. Food Prot.* **2008**, *71*, 590–594.
- (8) Ai, K.; Liu, Y.; Lu, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9496–9497.
- (9) Chi, H.; Liu, B.; Guan, G.; Zhang, Z.; Han, M.-Y. *Analyst* **2010**, *135*, 1070–1075.
- (10) Xing, H.; Wu, Y.; Zhan, S.; Zhou, P. *Food Anal. Methods* **2013**, *6*, 1441–1447.
- (11) Li, L.; Li, B.; Cheng, D.; Mao, L. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 895–900.
- (12) Xing, H.; Zhan, S.; Wu, Y.; He, L.; Zhou, P. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 17424.
- (13) Chen, W.; Deng, H.-H.; Hong, L.; Wu, Z.-Q.; Wang, S.; Liu, A.-L.; Lin, X.-H.; Xia, X.-H. *Analyst* **2012**, *137*, 5382–5386.
- (14) Han, G.; Liu, R.; Han, M.-Y.; Jiang, C.; Wang, J.; Du, S.; Liu, B.; Zhang, Z. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**.
- (15) Xie, W.; Schlücker, S. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 5329–5344.
- (16) Harper, M. M.; McKeating, K. S.; Faulds, K. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 5312–5328.
- (17) Chen, Y.; Chen, Z.-P.; Long, S.-Y.; Yu, R. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**.
- (18) Pang, S.; Labuza, T. P.; He, L. *Analyst* **2014**, *139*, 1895–1901.
- (19) He, L.; Liu, Y.; Lin, M.; Awika, J.; Ledoux, D. R.; Li, H.; Mustapha, A. *Sens. Instrum. Food Qual. Saf.* **2008**, *2*, 66–71.
- (20) Wen, Z.-Q.; Li, G.; Ren, D. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **2011**, *65*, 514–521.
- (21) Lou, T.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.; Peng, H.; Xiong, H.; Chen, L. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2011**, *401*, 333–338.
- (22) Hu, H.; Wang, Z.; Pan, L.; Zhao, S.; Zhu, S. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 7738–7742.
- (23) Betz, J. F.; Cheng, Y.; Rubloff, G. W. *Analyst* **2012**, *137*, 826–828.
- (24) Du, X.; Chu, H.; Huang, Y.; Zhao, Y. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **2010**, *64*, 781–785.
- (25) Li, J.-M.; Ma, W.-F.; Wei, C.; You, L.-J.; Guo, J.; Hu, J.; Wang, C.-C. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 14539–14544.
- (26) Zong, S.; Wang, Z.; Chen, H.; Cui, Y. *Small* **2013**, *9*, 4215–4220.
- (27) Zong, S.; Wang, Z.; Chen, H.; Hu, G.; Liu, M.; Chen, P.; Cui, Y. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 1808–1816.
- (28) Bell, S. E. J.; McCourt, M. R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 7455–7462.