Nanoscale

MINIREVIEW

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2024, 16, 9710

Strategies to alleviate distortive phase transformations in Li-ion intercalation reactions: an example with vanadium pentoxide

Muhammad Waseem Yaseen, 🕑 ^a Manju P. Maman, 🕑 ^a Shashank Mishra, 🕑 ^a Ibrahim Mohammad ^b and Xuefei Li 🕩 *^a

Chemical and electrochemical Li-ion insertion in transition metal oxides, either via a phase transformation reaction (ions insert into specific crystallographic sites of the host lattice) or a solid solution insertion (ions distribute uniformly throughout the host lattice), enables high energy density electrochemical energy storage. Many phase transformation cathode materials, that undergo two-phase reactions, exhibit high theoretical capacities arising from multi-electron redox reactions. However, challenges in distortive phase transformations and uncontrolled phase nucleation, propagation, segregation, and co-existence continue to limit the energy density, (dis)charging rate performances, and cycling stability of available phase transformation cathode materials. Vanadium pentoxide (V2O5), a classical layered intercalation host material with high theoretical capacity, undergoes irreversible structural changes and capacity fading when intercalating more than one lithium ion per V_2O_5 unit in its thermodynamically stable phase. Here, we review recent synthetic strategies to alter the V-O connectivity, thereby alleviating distortive phase transformations and promoting solid solution-based Li-ion insertion in V₂O₅. We also summarize several widely accessible and classical molecular-based analytical tools that can provide local structural dynamics and phase transformation mechanism information on the lithiation of V₂O₅, including single-crystal X-ray diffraction, infrared and Raman spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

Received 1st December 2023, Accepted 7th March 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d3nr06138h

rsc.li/nanoscale

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30302, USA. E-mail: xli81@gsu.edu

^bChattahoochee High School, Johns Creek, Georgia 30022, USA



Muhammad Waseem Yaseen

Muhammad Waseem Yaseen is currently a research graduate student Georgia State at University. He obtained his M.S. from the National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad. His current research focuses on the development of new ion storage materials for electrochromic devices and batteries.

1 Introduction

Vanadium pentoxide (V_2O_5), a highly oxidizing layered oxide, reacts readily with lithium through multi-electron redox reactions and accommodates Li ions within its interlayer spacings, making it a promising cathode material for Li-ion batteries



Manju P Maman

Manju. P. Maman is currently a post-doctoral fellow at Georgia State University. She obtained her Ph.D. from the Indian Institutes of Science Education and Research at Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM). Her research focuses on the synthesis and characterization of atomically precise nanoclusters.



View Article Online

Nanoscale

with high voltage and high theoretical capacity.¹⁻⁸ The theoretical capacity for full lithiation of V₂O₅ is 442 mA h g⁻¹ and the practical capacity without distortive phase transformation is ~147 mA h g⁻¹ at about one Li ion per V₂O₅, which is comparable to commercialized lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) and nickel manganese cobalt (LiNi_xMn_yCo₂O₂, *x*+*y*+*z*=1) type Li-ion battery cathode materials.^{9,10} Commercially, V₂O₅ is utilized as a cathode material in small-size Li-ion batteries.¹¹ Additionally, V₂O₅ exhibits multi-electrochromic color switching and in the thin film form, it is a good candidate material for charge-balancing counter electrodes in electrochromic windows.^{3,12-15} An understanding in extending the reversible ion insertion region underpins the design of reversible energy storage materials with higher capacity and better rate performances.

Thermodynamically stable orthorhombic α -V₂O₅ consists of two-dimensional single-polyhedron thick layers at an interlayer distance of 4.38 Å.¹⁶ In each polyhedron (VO₅ square pyramid), the vanadium atom forms a short vanadyl bond with an apical oxygen (V–O_A at 1.54 Å), a V–O bond with doubly coordinated oxygen between two corner-shared pyramids (bridge V–O_B–V at 1.77 Å), and three V–O bonds with three triply coordinated edge-shared oxygen (3V–O_C at 1.88, 1.88, and 2.02 Å).¹⁷ Edgeand corner-shared VO₅ square pyramids within each layer form "up–up–down–down" zig-zag chains and the sixth V…O weak electrostatic interaction between layers allows interlayer intercalation of ions and small molecules (Fig. 1 and 8).¹⁸

 α -V₂O₅ has an open circuit potential of 3.7 V *vs.* Li/Li⁺ and can readily react with lithium *via x*Li⁺ + *x*e⁻ + V₂O₅ = Li_xV₂O₅ (0 < *x* < 3). The electrode capacity, in practice, depends on the structural reversibility, which in turn is limited by the extent of lithiation, *x*, in Li_xV₂O₅.¹⁹ As shown in Fig. 1, pristine α -V₂O₅ transforms to α -Li_xV₂O₅ at *x* < 0.1 with only increased interlayer spacing and then to ε -Li_xV₂O₅ at 0.3 < *x* < 0.7 with increased interlayer spacing and puckering. A δ -Li_xV₂O₅ phase is formed when Li intercalation approaches *x* ≈ 0.8–1 at around 3.1–3.2 V *vs.* Li/Li⁺. This first-order transition proceeds by gliding of alternating layers for half a unit cell along the *b*-axis and can result in hysteresis in charge and discharge pro-

files. At a higher Li concentration (x > 1), the layered structures undergo irreversible distortive phase transformation to y- and ω -Li_xV₂O₅ phases, which have prohibited the full theoretical capacity of V₂O₅ from being realistically achieved (~442 mA h g^{-1} for three Li ions). δ -Li_xV₂O₅ structurally rearranges to distinctly different γ -Li_xV₂O₅ phases at around 2.3–2.4 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Instead of the "up-up-down-down" arrangement of VO₅ square pyramids in the α -, ε -, and δ -phases, the VO₅ square pyramids in γ -Li_xV₂O₅ are arranged in a highly puckered "updown-up-down" manner. Once the γ -Li_xV₂O₅ phase forms, complete delithiation of γ -Li_xV₂O₅ no longer restores the original layered α -V₂O₅. Instead, metastable γ' -V₂O₅ forms, with retained "up-down-up-down" structural motifs. At deeper discharging potentials of around 2.0-2.1 V vs. Li/Li⁺, a highly disordered rock-salt ω -Li_xV₂O₅ forms, typically with a significant loss of long-range ordering.²⁰⁻²⁴

At a higher Li-ion concentration $(x > 1 \text{ per } \text{Li}_x \text{V}_2 \text{O}_5)$, while abovementioned distortive structural transformations effectively accommodate increasing Li-ion flux with minimized cationic repulsions, incommensurate lattices at the boundaries of co-existing phases that have different Li concentrations present challenges in Li ion diffusion and mechanical stability. Phase boundaries within particles and at interfaces also strongly modulate ion diffusion between the two phases and experimental diffusivities can be orders of magnitude lower than theoretical values.²⁵ Lattice mismatch at phase boundaries also induces dislocations and stress build-up, which may propagate and aggregate to cause cracks. Materials that lost electrical contact due to the crack become electrochemically inactive, accelerating capacity fading. In addition, as the phase transformation requires a first-order reaction with the nucleation of new phases from existing phases, the electrode particle size, geometry, and connectivity strongly influence the spatiotemporal dynamics of lithiation, potentially leading to inconsistent observations of the electrochemical performances due to variations in materials processing and packing during device fabrication.

Despite the problems with distortive phase transformation at higher Li concentrations, certain highly lithiated phases can



Shashank Mishra

Shashank Mishra is currently a research graduate student at Georgia State University. Shashank obtained his M.S. from the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IIT Delhi) and he currently focuses on synthesizing transition metal oxides and chalcogenide nanomaterials for electrochemical applications.



Ibrahim Mohammad

Ibrahim Mohammad is currently senior student а at Chattahoochee High School. recipient of Ibrahim was а National Honors for USChemistry Olympiad in 2023 and joined Dr Li's lab in May 2023, where he worked on the synthesis and electrochemical of transition characterization metal oxides and sulfides.




Fig. 1 Lithiation-induced phase transformation in thermodynamically stable bulk α -V₂O₅, the lithium atoms are omitted to highlight the structural transformation of the V₂O₅ host.

be topochemically deintercalated to obtain metastable V₂O₅ polymorphs that have the same composition and theoretical capacity, but different atomic connectivities and Li-ion insertion pathways. y-Li_xV₂O₅ with a highly puckered "up-downup-down" arrangement of VO₅ square pyramids can reversibly cycle 1.5 Li ions per V2O5 via solid solution-based Li-ion insertion (0 < x < 1.5)²⁶ The disordered rock-salt ω -Li₃V₂O₅ can reversibly cycle through a solid solution mechanism without phase transformation.²⁷ A deeply discharged disordered rocksalt $Li_{3+x}V_2O_5$ (0 < x < 2) anode material obtained from reducing V2O5 down to 0.01 V vs. Li/Li+ allows fast and reversible insertion of two Li ions with a specific capacity of 266 mA h g^{-1} . The fast Li diffusion through a redistributive lithium insertion mechanism along concerted tetrahedra-octahedratetrahedra sites enables over 1000 stable charge-discharge cycles with negligible decay.28

From the solid solution-based lithiation mechanism observed in γ - and ω -Li_xV₂O₅, altering atomic connections without changing the V₂O₅ stoichiometry retains the thermodynamic driving forces; therefore the high voltage and high capacitance of V₂O₅ remain. However, reconfigured atomic connections can change the kinetics of Li-ion diffusion, which is governed by interlayer and interfacial migration barriers.



Xuefei Li


Prof. Xuefei Li has been an Assistant Professor in Chemistry at Georgia State University since August 2022. She obtained her Ph.D. in Chemistry from the Pennsylvania State University in 2019 with Prof. Ray Schaak and did her postdoc work with Prof. Jianguo Mei at Purdue. Her research group focuses on the synthesis and characterization of nanomaterials for electrochemical applications, covering materials systems including

atomically precise nanoclusters, transition metal oxides, and chalcogenides, with application interests in electrochemical energy storage, conversion, and ion enrichment. She received the ACS PRF Doctoral New Investigator Grant in 2023 and is a Scialog fellow for Sustainable Minerals, Metals, and Materials in 2024. The change in structures potentially induces a solid solutionbased lithiation mechanism instead of phase transformation, thereby enhancing ion diffusion kinetics and cycling reversibility. We review the synthetic strategies for structures that retain the high voltage and high capacity of V₂O₅, but with an altered atomic connectivity, increased Li-ion diffusivity, and structural, electrochemical, and mechanical stability (Fig. 2). We also discuss several less-explored but commonly accessible molecular-based analytical tools that play unique roles and can provide dynamics of structural transformation during (de) lithiation.²⁹⁻³¹ Such tools are complementary to synchrotronbased X-ray techniques that provide atomistic information on lattice transformation with high temporal or spatiotemporal resolution, but are not universally available.^{32,33} Widely accessible molecular-based tools like single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD), infrared (IR) spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy are highlighted for their potential applications in differentiating phase transformation mechanisms during (de)intercalation.³⁴⁻³⁸ Single-crystal XRD, with its precise determination of Li atom positions for millimetre scale single crystals, identifies Li positions for differently lithiated V₂O₅ in addition to lattice transformation and captures diffusion pathways.^{16,36} IR spectroscopy, sensitive to V-O bond stretching and bending, implicates lithiationinduced structural changes in V2O5, while Raman spectroscopy reveals local disorder and ion coordination dynamics during electrochemical reactions.^{38,39} EPR that measures unpaired electrons $(V^{4+}, 3d^1)$ distinguishes several crystalline phases during lithiation with high sensitivity.³⁷ NMR spectroscopy that employs isotopes including ⁷Li and ⁵¹V offers valuable information on local environments such as the Li environment and hydration sites.^{34,40,41} The collaborative use of these techniques holds immense potential for advancing the design and optimization of V2O5 materials for electrochemical energy storage applications.

2 Strategies

2.1 Theoretical considerations

The thermodynamically stable α -V₂O₅ exhibits an interlayer distance of 4.38 Å, and the diffusion of Li ions in bulk α -V₂O₅ is sluggish at around 10⁻¹² cm s⁻¹. At a higher Li-ion concen-



tration of x > 1 for Li_xV₂O₅, the Li-ion diffusion through the framework and the diffusion coefficient decreases to 10^{-15} cm s⁻¹.²⁴ Additionally, high local coordination of the Li ions and polaron–ion interactions further increase the migration barriers and impede Li-ion diffusion.^{36,42,43} Due to sluggish diffusion, even in the reversible lithiation region of 0 < x < 1, intercalation of lithium into bulk α -V₂O₅ often results in the co-existence of multiple lithiated phases.²⁰ The phase coexistence further slows down the overall lithium diffusion.

Li-ion migration energetics and diffusion pathways in various lithiated phases of V2O5 have been systematically calculated using the Density Functional Theory with Nudged Elastic Band (DFT NEB) method at the atomistic scale. The Liion diffusion coefficient shows an exponential dependence on the activation energy barrier, a 0.06 eV change in the migration barrier correlates with a one-order-of-magnitude change in the diffusion rate. In α -V₂O₅, Li ions encounter a 0.17 eV energy barrier per unit cell while diffusing between cubooctahedral coordination environments. The transformation to the ε -Li_xV₂O₅ phase results in a migration barrier of 0.29 eV, attributed to the further constriction of the trigonal site where ions reside. In the δ -phase, Li ions encounter a migration barrier of 0.20 eV along a distinctive diffusion pathway. The γ-phase displays the lowest migration barrier at 0.13 eV, attributed to the presence of a relatively spacious square-planar transition state between tetrahedral Li-ion sites.²⁵ Between different V₂O₅ metastable phases, NEB calculations show that lithium ions exhibit suitable migration barriers (<0.525 eV for micrometersized particles, <0.650 eV for nanometer-sized particles) in multiple phases of V₂O₅ with minimal differences due to the limited ability of Li ions to polarize the anion framework. Conversely, larger cations, such as sodium, magnesium, and aluminum, display substantial variations in migration barriers

across different metastable phases of V_2O_5 , arising from their better ability to polarize the anion framework.²⁵

As DFT NEB is an atomistic method without consideration of defects and phase boundaries, the roles of electrode particle size, geometry, grain boundaries, and stacking pressure during cell assembly further lead to a deviation of experimentally measured macroscopic ion diffusivity with theoretically predicted results. Nevertheless, the calculation of Li-ion diffusion and migration energetics in different diffusion pathways helps in rationalizing and designing materials with optimized lattice-ion interaction, facilitating fast and solid solutionbased lithiation. The design rules include decreasing Li-ion coordination with lattice oxygens, reducing lattice polarization, and expanding the interlayer distance, which will be introduced in the following sections.^{20,25}

2.2 Nanosizing

Nanosized α -V₂O₅ enhances the accessible electrode volume for reversible ion storage, reduces lithiation heterogeneity, and improves electrochemical reversibility.^{44–46} Crystallite size and geometry alter the local electrochemical potential at surfaces and energy penalty for phase boundary formation within the particle and at interfaces. Meanwhile, nanostructures with a high surface-to-volume ratio offer improved contact with the electrolyte, shorter Li-ion diffusion paths, and efficient strain relaxation.²⁵

Early work by Chan and Cui *et al.* reported fast and completely reversible Li insertion in V₂O₅ nanoribbons from the deeply discharged, disordered rock salt ω -Li_xV₂O₅ back to the original single-crystalline α -V₂O₅ without the formation of phase boundaries. Meanwhile, the bulk deeply discharged α -V₂O₅ retains the disordered rock-salt structure during delithiation and cannot fully reverse back to the original α -V₂O₅, but instead remains in the ω -V₂O₅. The authors also observed that the Li diffusion in nanoribbons surpasses that of the bulk material by three orders of magnitude, requiring less time for complete lithiation.²⁴ Luo and Banerjee et al. systematically compared the phase transformation dynamics in bulk and nanoscale α -V₂O₅ under otherwise identical packing and device assembly methods. The irregularly shaped α -V₂O₅ microparticles and α -V₂O₅ nanospheres (~50 nm) were investigated within a voltage range of 2.8 V-4.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺ (Fig. 3). The α -V₂O₅ microparticle shows severe phase coexistence during lithiation, where δ -LiV₂O₅ co-exists with ϵ - and ε' -Li_xV₂O₅ at around 2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. In contrast, ~50 nm α -V₂O₅ is stabilized by the metastable ϵ '-Li_xV₂O₅ that is structurally similar to ε-Li_xV₂O₅ but accommodates Li flux through solid solution-based Li re-ordering with minimized structural rearrangement and less mechanical stress build-up. Because of the suppressed phase transformation and controlled coexistence through the metastable ε' phase, the nanosphere V₂O₅ exhibits improved Li-ion diffusivity, substantially decreased charge-discharge hysteresis, and enhanced capacity retention.47

The change in the lithiation mechanism by the nanosizing effect – from distortive phase transformation to the solid solution mechanism – has been extensively explored in LiFePO₄-based cathode materials. In large single crystals at room temperature, there is a miscibility gap between FePO₄ and LiFePO₄.⁴⁸ Below a particle size of ~50–100 nm, the miscibility gap decreases, therefore the single-phase regions increase. As smaller nanoparticle sizes show increased energy penalty for phase boundary formation, phase boundaries cannot be stabilized within a particle and each crystallite below 15–25 nm is a single phase.⁴⁹ The solid solution lithiation mechanism minimizes restricted ionic diffusion observed in bulk electrode




Fig. 3 Charging/discharging profile and cyclic voltammetry data of (a and c) α -V₂O₅ microparticle and (b and d) α -V₂O₅ nanosphere. Reproduced from ref. 47 with permission from Springer Nature. Copyright 2021.

materials in two-phase reactions, ultimately enhancing the rate performance, reversibility, and active material utilization of cathode materials.¹⁰

2.3 Pre-intercalation

Distortive phase transformation in α -V₂O₅ involves the gliding of alternating single-polyhedron thick layers. To circumvent distortive phase transformation, one effective strategy is to expand the interlayer spacing and "hinge" the layers by preintercalation of metal ions before the primary intercalation process, thereby stabilizing V2O5 for an extended lithiation region.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Zhong, Huang and Liu et al. demonstrated the preintercalation of α-V₂O₅ through the hydrothermal reaction into lithium-ordered Li_{0.0625}V₂O₅ nanobelts with superstructures (Fig. 4a). This superstructure consists of eight V_2O_5 unit cells, with about 1% expansion along the *c*-axis. The VO₅ square pyramids are distorted and compressed, and the pre-intercalated lithium-ions form LiO₆ triangular prisms located between the layers of VO5. The pre-intercalation leads to additional pseudocapacitive reactions in a low voltage range of 2.5-3.2 V vs. Li/ Li⁺, and the reversible capacity is significantly increased to 215 mA h g⁻¹ at 1.5 Li ions per V_2O_5 within the voltage range of 2.5–4 V vs. Li/Li⁺. By pre-intercalation, the superstructure is stable for 1000 cycles without capacity fade, while pure α-V₂O₅ cycles 1 Li ion per V₂O₅ in the same voltage range and lost more than 60% capacity within 100 cycles under the same cycling conditions. In addition to the enhanced cycling stability and increased reversible capacity, the rate performance of $Li_{0.0625}V_2O_5$ is also much higher than α -V₂O₅ with a similar size and geometry.⁵³

The pre-intercalation strategy to stabilize α -V₂O₅ is applicable to ions other than lithium. Pre-intercalation of larger yttrium Y³⁺ ions form yttrium-ordered Y_{0.02}V₂O₅ structures, with YO₆ octahedra pillars that together with the role of V⁴⁺ formed during pre-intercalation, effectively enhanced Na⁺ diffusion and stabilized the layer structure during discharge and charge cycles.⁵⁴ The pre-intercalation of Na⁺ in V₂O₅ into Na_xV₂O₅ (x = 0.00, 0.005, 0.01, or 0.02) "hinges" the VO₅ layers, while providing more space for hosting the lithium ions with lower insertion energy, therefore enhancing the cycling stability and rate capabilities for Li intercalation.⁵⁵ Li and Cao *et al.* reported Sn-doped α -V₂O₅, where introduction of Sn⁴⁺ in between VO₅ slabs leads to a slightly expanded lattice along the *a*- and *c* axes and compression along the *b* axis. The SnO₆




Fig. 4 Pre-intercalated α -V₂O₅ into (a) "hinged" structure and (b) bilayered structures with expanded interlayer distance. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 58 with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2019.

octahedra stabilized the VO₅ polyhedron layers and enhanced the capacity and cycling stability of α -V₂O₅.⁵⁶ Theoretical calculations reported by Suthirakun *et al.* rationalized the preintercalation effect, where Sn intercalation is energetically preferred than the substitution of vanadium and that the Sn insertion increased the number of charge carriers to improve electronic conductivity, while the lattice distortion introduced diffusion pathways with lower-lying energy levels, thereby facilitating the Li intercalation.⁵⁷

In addition to the "hinging" effect, pre-intercalation can also lead to expanded bilayered structures. Zhu, Yan, and Jiang et al. demonstrated potassium pre-intercalated double-layered δ -K_{0.51}V₂O₅ nanobelts with greatly enhanced capacity and faster ion diffusion for K-ion storage than pristine α -V₂O₅. The double-layered structure with reconstructed two single sheets of VO₆ octahedra within each layer, has significantly larger interlayer spacing, 9.5 Å, compared to 4.38 Å in α -V₂O₅ and the increased interlayer distance mitigated the high diffusion barriers for K ions (Fig. 4b).⁵⁸ Due to their expanded interlayer distance and optimized growth orientation, the nanobelt structures exhibit extended single-phase reactions regime upon insertion and extraction of K ions. The primary single-phase mechanism was confirmed by ex situ XRD from subtle shifts in peak positions across wide voltage windows. The pre-intercalated δ -K_{0.51}V₂O₅ exhibits a high and completely reversible specific capacity of 131 mA h g^{-1} for reversible K-ion insertion, compared to 14 mA h g^{-1} for α -V₂O₅.⁵⁸

The pre-intercalation strategy, where guest metal ions are introduced in between the layers before primary electrochemical (de)intercalation, is distinct from doping, where guest ions can substitute vanadium from the host lattice. Examples of metal doping in introducing "pre-transformation" have also been demonstrated, which suppresses the distortive phase transformation and extends the solid-solution regime of intercalation, thereby enhancing the reversibility of V₂O₅ lithiation.⁵²

2.4 Metastable structures

Metastable polymorphic V_2O_5 structures with substantially different atomic connectivities and bonding motifs from the thermodynamically stable α -V₂O₅ phase provide a comparable

theoretical capacity but offer a range of alternative ion diffusion pathways and potentially minimized distortion in phase transformations.42,59-62 Topochemical deintercalation of alkali and transition metal ions, such as Li, Ag, and Cu, from ternary bronze phases can introduce new and otherwise inaccessible phases under mild conditions with preserved key structural features that are distinct from thermodynamically stable V₂O₅.^{16,26,63} A well-explored example is the metastable γ' -V₂O₅ from the chemical or electrochemical deintercalation of the γ' -LiV₂O₅ bronze phase.²⁶ Such metastable structures present a diverse range of alternative diffusion pathways, along with an abundance of interstitial sites that have relatively shallow potential energies in between layers and channels, which can accommodate Li ions with minimized distortion of the host structure upon (de)intercalation.^{20,78,79} Reported metastable V₂O₅ structures with different V-O atomic arrangements include tunnel (ζ -V₂O₅) and layered (ρ , γ , δ , λ -V₂O₅) structures, as shown in Table 1.80

2.4.1 Tunnel structures. The metastable tunnel-structured ζ -V₂O₅ shares the same composition with layered α -V₂O₅, yet accommodates increasing concentration of Li ions by extensive cation reordering via sequential occupancy of a series of energetically distinct interstitial sites.¹⁶ The Li-ion reordering mechanism eliminates distortive phase transformation during reversible Li-ion insertions. The Banerjee group synthesized tunnel-structured ζ-V2O5 as nanobeams and millimeter-scale single crystals by topochemical leaching of Ag or Cu ions from bronze β -Ag_{0.33}V₂O₅ and β '-Cu_{0.64}V₂O₅.^{16,73,81,82} The open framework of the tunnel structure, originally occupied by Ag or Cu, is enclosed by edge-sharing VO₆ octahedra and VO₅ square pyramids that form chains along the tunnel direction.43 Chemical and electrochemical lithiation of ζ -V₂O₅ accompanies the filling of a series of Li occupancy sites within a preserved tunnel framework. Only minor structural expansion was observed between different states with varying Li-ion contents and occupancies.^{16,43,81} As the cation reordering mechanism of ζ-V₂O₅ eliminates the distortive phase transformation and phase co-existence with preserved tunnel structures (Fig. 5), completely reversible Li-ion insertion, much higher capacity retention, and three-orders-of-magnitude higher ionic conductivities were obtained.

| Table 1 List of structures and energy barriers of thermodynamically stable and metastable polymorphs of V_2O_5 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Polymorph | Space group | Crystal system | Structure | Synthesis | Interlayer distance (Å) | Diffusion barrier (eV) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| α -V ₂ O ₅ | Pmmn ¹⁶ | Orthorhombic ¹⁶ | 2D-layered ¹⁶ | Direct chemical ¹⁶ | $2.753^{26} 4.4^{64}$ | 0.34^{73} |
| $\beta - V_2 O_5$ | $P21/m^{65}$ | Tetragonal ⁶⁶ | Layered ⁶⁶ | Direct chemical (high pressure) ⁶⁸ | 7.12^{69} | 0.45^{70} |
| $\delta - V_2 O_5$ | Cmcm ⁷¹ | Monoclinic ⁶⁷ Orthorhombic ⁷¹ | 3D-layered ⁷¹ | Direct chemical (high pressure) ⁷² | $11.5 - 13^{64}$ | 0.07–0.19 ²⁰ (δ') |
| $\epsilon\text{-}V_2O_5$ | $C2/m^{73}$ | Monoclinic ⁶⁷ | 2D-layered ⁷³ | Cu leaching from ϵ -Cu_xV_2O_5^{20,74} | 4.159^{36} | 0.37 ⁷³ |
| λ -V ₂ O ₅ | $C2/m^{75}$ | Monoclinic ³⁶ | 2D-layered ³⁶ | Cu leaching from Cu _{0.85} V ₂ O ₅ ³⁶ | 4.13 ³⁶ | _ |
| $\gamma - V_2 O_5$ | Pnma ⁷¹ | Orthorhombic ⁶⁷ | 2D-layered ^{26,76} | Leaching from γ -Li _x V ₂ O ₅ ^{26,76} Direct chemical synthesis | 5.325^{26} | $0.10.18^{20}\left(\gamma'\right)$ |
| $\zeta\text{-}V_2O_5$ | $C2/m^{77}$ | Monoclinic ¹⁶ | Tunnel ¹⁶ | Leaching from β -Ag _x V ₂ O ₅ ¹⁶ | _ | 0.24^{73} |




Fig. 5 Structural and electrochemical data of metastable ζ -V₂O₅. (a) crystal structures and (b) XRD patterns of ζ -V₂O₅ and lithiated ζ -V₂O₅. (c) cyclic voltammogram and (d) galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of ζ -V₂O₅. Reproduced with adaptation from ref. 43 with permission from American Chemical Society. Copyright 2017.

At the atomic level, higher ionic diffusion in ζ -V₂O₅ than α -V₂O₅ can be attributed to different electronic structures and Li-ion coordinations arising from the reconfigured atomic connectivity.²⁶ Altered V-O bonding, revealed by the oxygen K-edge in the X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurement and electron density calculations, increases bond covalency and facilitates ionic diffusion through the tunnel.⁴³ The degeneracy of vanadium 3d states at the bottom of the conduction band destabilizes polarons and decreases electron localization, thereby improving cation mobility. Moreover, ζ -V₂O₅ exhibits a less drastic change in Li-ion coordination during ion hopping, $4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$, leading to faster ion diffusion compared to α -V₂O₅, 8 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 8.^{16,43,73,82} These structures feature a significantly lower migration barrier than α -V₂O₅, making ζ -V₂O₅ a promising candidate host material for not just Li-ion energy storage, but also larger cations such as Na and Mg.

2.4.2 Layered structures. Metastable V₂O₅ obtained from millimeter size single crystals that are chemically delithiated from bronze phases without exfoliation helps identify "out-ofequilibrium" Li interstitial sites that reveal Li site preferences, filling sequence and, therefore, diffusion pathways, which are unattainable from "equilibrated" LixV2O5 directly synthesized at a higher temperature.⁸³ For example, the topochemical conversion of γ -LiV₂O₅ into metastable γ' -V₂O₅ allows stepwise chemical lithiation back to the original single-crystal γ-LiV₂O₅.²⁶ At low levels of lithiation, the metastable, highly puckered. $\gamma' V_2 O_5$ transformed to γ -Li_xV₂O₅ (0 < x < 1), where a tetrahedral site is slightly stabilized and serves as an intermediate site between 6-coordinated sites to support facile Li diffusion pathways. At higher lithiation content, the tetrahedral site loses its stability, and instead, Li occupies highly stable octahedral sites in random distribution. Re-lithiated

 γ -LiV₂O₅ closely resembles the starting single-crystal γ -LiV₂O₅, exhibiting no distortive phase transformation.^{23,26}

Topochemical deintercalation of two-dimensional bronze phases can also provide new metastable V₂O₅ with interlayer distances and Li-ion coordination environments that are different than α -V₂O₅. For instance, the leaching of Cu from ε - $Cu_{0.85}V_2O_5$ produced layered λ - V_2O_5 . This λ - V_2O_5 maintains a preserved V-O connectivity but a shift of layers compared to the original ε -Cu_{0.85}V₂O₅, and has substantial void space from the original Cu positions between layers that can accommodate Li ions.^{75,84,85} Chemical lithiation with dilute *n*-butyllithium transforms the metastable layered λ -V₂O₅ to λ -LiV₂O₅, where Li preferentially occupies 5-coordinated sites that distinct from the 6-coordinated sites originally are occupied by Cu, before residing in sites that are originally occupied by Cu at higher lithiation levels.^{26,36} The metastable λ -V₂O₅ transforms to a thermodynamically stable phase at 350-400 °C.

2.4.3 Other metastable V₂O₅ polymorphs. At elevated temperature and pressure, the coordination number of vanadium increases from five to six, leading to the formation of β -V₂O₅ (density = 3.77 g cm⁻³, 6 GPa) and rutile-like δ -V₂O₅ (density = 4.16 g cm⁻³, 8 GPa), respectively.^{86,87} The β phase features a V₄O₁₀ quadruple basic unit and can be regarded as the parent of a series of vanadium oxide bronze phases.88 The β phase transforms to thermodynamically stable α -V₂O₅ at around 370-380 °C. The vanadium-oxygen layers are intermittently connected by shared oxygen atoms, resulting in a more close-packed pseudo-layered structure.⁸⁹ Note that several theoretically calculated phases are not experimentally reported yet, such as $\rho'-V_2O_5$ and $\delta'-V_2O_5$. $\rho'-V_2O_5$ and $\delta'-V_2O_5$ differ from α -V₂O₅ by having double-layered V₄O₁₀ sheets along the c axis. δ' -V₂O₅ has a C2/m symmetry with two distinct VO₆ octahedra forming layers. The layers in δ' -V₂O₅ and ρ' -V₂O₅ share a similar local structure but differ in stacking along the *a* axis, leading to a change in the space group of ρ' -V₂O₅ to Cmcm (orthorhombic). ρ' -V₂O₅ and δ' -V₂O₅ have calculated diffusion barriers of as low as 0.07 eV, as compared to 0.17-0.34 eV in α -V₂O₅ and the hopping process involves fewer coordination changes in δ' -V₂O₅ (5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5) and ρ' -V₂O₅ (6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 $\rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6$) compared to α -V₂O₅ (8 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 8). These structures' ability to maintain stable coordination environments and undergo minimal changes in the coordination geometry along the diffusion path make them intriguing candidates as highperformance electrode materials (Fig. 6).²⁰

2.5 V₂O₅·nH₂O

Unlike crystalline V_2O_5 which relies on multi-electron redox processes for its energy storage capacity,^{90,91} quasi-crystalline hydrated $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ stores potentially more ions through a pseudocapacitive mechanism that involves both redox and capacitive processes. In electrochemical reactions, crystalline V_2O_5 displays distinct voltammogram peaks and multiple charge–discharge plateaus corresponding to a sequence of redox events along with phase transformation and co-existence, whereas quasi-crystalline $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ shows a featureless




Fig. 6 Structural comparison between several metastable structures.

and smooth voltammogram and charge–discharge profile (Fig. 7).^{92–95} Many reports show that, Li-ion intercalation in quasi-crystalline or amorphous V₂O₅ exhibits higher lithium intercalation capacity ranging from 3.3 to more than 5 Li ions per V₂O₅.^{96–100} However, there are reports where the high surface area V₂O₅. nH_2O gel experiences rapid capacity loss during extended cycling due to the accumulation of electrochemically inactive LiOH.¹⁰¹

The hydrated $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ was primarily identified as amorphous V_2O_5 in early literature. More recent studies, however, have shown ordering in the quasi-crystalline, bilayer δ - $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ with layers of double polyhedra stacked along the *c* axis and a large interlayer spacing of 9–13 Å. The removal of interlayer water causes a smaller interlayer spacing. For example, the interlayer spacing is 8.7 Å for $V_2O_5 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ and 11.6 Å for $V_2O_5 \cdot 1.6H_2O$. Broad XRD reflections for the (001) planes corresponding to the large interlayer spacings facilitate structural identification resolved by the atomic pair distribution function and density functional theory calculation.^{104–106} The bilayer δ - $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ is synthesized through methods including




Fig. 7 Structural and electrochemical comparison between crystalline V_2O_5 and bilayered δ - V_2O_5 · nH_2O . Reproduced and adapted from ref. 23, 102 and 103, with permission from Elsevier, copyright 1994, John Wiley & Sons, copyright 2016, American Chemical Society, copyright 2002.

(1) sol–gel processes, where organometallic precursors such as pervanadate ions condense under controlled pH;^{107,108} (2) cooling of molten V₂O₅ (splat cooling);^{109,110} and (3) electrochemical deposition.^{111,112} These methods preserve structural water between the layers, which reside in the layers in two forms: intercalated (removable at 120 °C) and tightly bound (removable at above 200 °C), respectively. The structural water coordinates with incoming ions, shielding positive charges and enhancing diffusion.^{113–115} The bilayer δ -V₂O₅·*n*H₂O is the precursor of high surface area V₂O₅ xerogel and aerogel structures, which are produced by freeze drying (xerogel) and supercritical CO₂ drying (aerogel) of δ -V₂O₅·*n*H₂O obtained from sol–gel methods.¹¹⁶

The expanded interlayer distance allows the quasi-crystalline bilayer δ -V₂O₅·*n*H₂O, or its dried derivative aerogel and xerogel, to accommodate for the intercalation of larger ions such as Na⁺, K⁺, Zn²⁺, and Mg²⁺ in organic and aqueous electrolytes. Wei, He, and Mai et al. reported reversible Na-ion intercalation in the V2O5 nH2O xerogel with significant interlayer spacing shrinkage and decreased crystallinity upon intercalation, which partially reverses upon deintercalation.¹¹⁶ Tepavcevic, Stamenkovic, Johnson, and Rajh et al. reported ordering upon Na-ion intercalation and disordering upon Na-ion extraction from bilayered V2O5. The different trends in ordering upon intercalation from different quasicrystalline or amorphous V2O5 systems suggest a potential structural diversity produced from different synthetic methods.^{117,118} As an example, an aerogel has larger interlayer spacing, higher porosity, and a smaller ion diffusion pathway than a xerogel; therefore it has a significantly higher specific capacity and better reversibility. Despite the possible structural variations of quasi-crystalline or amorphous V2O5, certain trends are consistent with its crystalline counterparts, for example, oxygen defects in amorphous V2O5 demonstrated enhanced performance in aqueous Zn-ion batteries and the same trend has been observed in crystalline V₂O₅ as well.^{119,120}

3 Characterization by conventional molecular tools

Characterizing different V₂O₅ polymorphs and probing their in situ phase transformation pathways upon lithiation requires multiple corroborative structural analysis tools. Techniques such as synchrotron-based X-ray diffraction, absorption, and scattering reveal in situ and in operando structural information with high temporal resolution, including phase inhomogeneities, Li gradient, phase co-existence, local structural environments, structural defects, and impurities.92 However, the advanced characterization tools are not universally available in many research institutions and data interpretation is not trivial. Therefore, we highlight several widely available molecular-based tools that are more commonly found in institutional chemistry departments and shared research facilities, including single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD), infrared (IR) spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spec-




Fig. 8 Overview of structural motifs that can be resolved by molecularbased characterization techniques.

troscopy. Each of the techniques has its own set of advantages and limitations, therefore needs to be used corroboratively, as shown in Fig. 8.

3.1 Single-crystal XRD

Operando and series of ex situ powder X-ray diffraction can provide information on the phase transformation pathways of electrode materials at the lattice level.¹²¹ However, its ability to directly image the positions of low-Z atoms and resolve their site occupancies, which is crucial for extrapolating Li diffusion pathways, is limited, with exceptions corroborated by neutron diffraction. In contrast, single-crystal X-ray diffraction with a Mo target ($\lambda = 0.71$ Å), typically used for identifying molecular single crystals, provides precise determination of low-Z atoms at the Angstrom level by accurately mapping their electron densities. The detailed depiction of Li atomic positions by singlecrystal XRD, which captures approximately 1000 times more raw reflections than powder XRD, illustrates diffusion pathways through snapshots at different intercalation concentrations and guides metastable cathode material design.^{26,36,81,123} For instance, single-crystal XRD was utilized by the Banerjee group to examine millimeter-sized 2D layered single-crystal λ -V₂O₅ formed from topochemical leaching of ε -Cu_{0.85}V₂O₅. The single-crystal XRD traced the sites occupied by Li ions in sequence during different stages of chemical lithiation in λ -V₂O₅, capturing sub-Å resolution positions of Li ions as they diffuse across the 2D host lattice.36,75 Similarly, structural motifs and atomistic diffusion pathways were reconstructed by high-resolution "snapshots" of a chemical lithiation-delithiation cycle for ζ -V₂O₅ with 1D tunnels. The "snapshot" approach revealed a series of Li-ion accommodation sites, including those originally occupied by Cu atoms, and reconstructed a cation reordering process in tunnel-structured ζ -V₂O₅ in contrast to phase coexistence in the thermodynamically stable α -V₂O₅.^{16,81}

In addition to single-crystal X-ray diffraction, a few studies combined *operando* X-ray diffraction, which monitors the change in diffraction patterns, peaks shift or broadening as lattice parameters change during (de)intercalation, and neutron diffraction to locate cation sites.^{124,125} These work illustrated the structural dynamics of host upon (de) intercalation,^{47,69,126} and we refer the readers to review papers focusing on the advanced synchrotron techniques.¹²¹

Advanced apparatus revealed phase transformations at high temporal or spatiotemporal resolution, such as AMPIX cell (Argonne's multi-purpose in situ X-ray cell) that applies uniform stack pressure for various X-ray scattering and spectroscopic measurements to be performed in operando with high temporal resolution.^{47,127} Synchrotron-based microscopy methods such as scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM) can collect near-edge fine structure with sub-micron resolution for both chemically (de)lithiated samples and samples from electrochemically (de)lithiated and disassembled coin cells.¹⁶ High spatiotemporal resolution can be achieved by operando synchrotron energy dispersive XRD (EDXRD), which can map phase inhomogeneity across the thickness of the electrode in a coin cell during electrochemical cycling.47 Compared with the synchrotron-based measurements, the single-crystal XRD method is uniquely advantaged to identify Li positions and occupancy for different V₂O₅ phases at varying stages of lithiation, while in operando synchrotron diffraction and absorption spectroscopy do not resolve the Li positions. However, synchrotron-based diffraction resolves the positions of larger cations with higher electron density, such as Mg²⁺.^{122,128}

3.2 Infrared (IR) spectroscopy

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy is an important method to analyze the structural modifications of lithiated metal oxides in both inorganic glasses and crystalline solids with peak splitting, shifting, and intensity change, and can measure both ex situ and in situ (e.g. a sputtered film in a three-electrode configuration with a thin layer of electrolyte).¹²⁹ In crystalline V₂O₅, signals for V-O bond stretching, bending, and the relative motion of structural units can be divided into three distinct regions.^{38,39,130,131} The bands between 1020 and 950 cm⁻¹ are associated with the stretching mode of the short vanadyl V-OA bond, a structural feature that is generally observed in both crystalline V_2O_5 and quasi-crystalline $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ gels. The bridging V-O_B-V stretching between 700 and 900 cm⁻¹ corresponds to oscillation along the a-axis. The bands below 600 cm⁻¹ correspond primarily to the bridge V-O_B-V deformation and 3-fold-coordinated 3V-O_C stretching modes.^{109,132} The 3-fold-coordinated triple bridging 3V-O_C modes are typically absent in disordered V_2O_5 , suggesting a disruption in the pyramids linkage between edge-shared VO_5 square (Fig. 9).^{107,130,133}

During lithiation in the range of 0 < x < 1, polaron absorption from electronic transitions emerges in charged crystalline V₂O₅, extending from near-infrared to 2000 cm⁻¹. The polaron intensity is correlated with the amount of intercalated Li⁺ under applied potentials. The V–O_A stretching modes shift to 1000 and 986 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of V⁴⁺–O group modes and interactions with lithium ions. The stretching mode for V–O_B–V at 795 cm⁻¹ and 3V–O_C at 503 cm⁻¹ completely diminished. At more reductive potentials for x > 1, the polaron absorption disappears, concomitant with the loss of long-range ordering during irreversible phase transformations (Fig. 9).^{129,134}




Fig. 9 IR absorption spectra of the V_2O_5 crystalline film at pristine, intercalated, and de-intercalated states. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 129 with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 1999.

The limitations of the IR spectroscopy technique include the sensitivity to surface species and limited penetration depth. The absence of certain vibrational modes for thin film samples with preferred orientation, and band overlap and broadening upon lithiation further complicates the interpretation of change in structural motifs. In addition, the accurate interpretation of IR modes requires comparison with reference data, which may not be available for certain new metastable phases or lithiation dynamics.³⁹

3.3 Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy measurements provide an understanding of local disorder, bond length, and ion coordination dynamics during electrochemical reactions. As shown in Fig. 10a, Raman modes of crystalline α -V₂O₅ can be categorized into three main peak groups: a high-frequency mode at around 1000 cm⁻¹ related to vanadyl V=O bond (V–O_A) stretching, several bands in the 500–1000 cm⁻¹ range for stretching and in the 200–500 cm⁻¹ range for bending, and the low-frequency translation mode at 145 cm⁻¹ for the shear motion and rotations of the ladders between VO₅ square pyramids. Disordered and




Fig. 10 Raman spectra for V_2O_5 at varying extents of lithiation with (a) the phase transformation in chemically lithiated bulk V_2O_5 and (b) the solid solution mechanism in V_2O_5 nano particle thin films. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 137 and 139 with permission from Elsevier, copyright 2009 and American Chemical Society, copyright 2006.

oxygen-deficient V_2O_5 exhibits broadened Raman peaks with an additional peak at around 932 cm⁻¹, indicating the V⁴⁺=O double bond and a diminished translational band at 145 cm⁻¹ due to the lack of long-range order (shown in Fig. 10a).^{135,136}

In situ Raman microspectrometry during electrochemical lithiation has been reported to distinguish between the solid solution or phase transformation Li-ion insertion mechanisms, where V₂O₅ is sputtered onto quartz optical windows with Au wire current collectors for in situ electrochemical reactions. The solid solution mechanism has been observed in nanostructured α -V₂O₅ thin films, which exhibits good electrochemical reversibility in the range of 0 < x < 0.94 for Li_xV₂O₅ with complete recovery of the Raman spectrum after the de-intercalation of Li ions. As shown in Fig. 10b, during intercalation in the range of 0 < x < 0.5, the vanadyl stretching mode at 997 cm⁻¹ gradually disappears, while a new peak at 985 cm⁻¹ keeps increasing in intensity and shifts to 980 cm⁻¹. A stiffening of the lattice along the *a*-axis is reflected in the shift of several stretching and bending modes at 404 cm⁻¹ and 527 cm⁻¹ toward higher wavenumbers (V-O_B-V). An increase in disorder between the square pyramids (3V-O_C) is reflected by progressively quenched and shifted translational mode as the peak shift from 145 cm⁻¹ to 153 cm⁻¹.¹³⁷

At $x \ge 0.75$, the vanadyl peak at 980 cm⁻¹ remained unchanged, while a new peak emerged at 958 cm⁻¹, indicating the formation of Li-rich ε -Li_{0.94}V₂O₅ without the formation of δ -Li_xV₂O₅ as observed in bulk V₂O₅.¹³⁷ The Raman mode shift for the solid solution mechanism, corroborated by a continuous shift in XRD peaks, is distinct from the fingerprint patterns for distinct lithiated Li_xV₂O₅ phases obtained through *ex situ* measurement of chemically (de)lithiated V₂O₅ that is shown in Fig. 10b.^{138,139}

At more reductive potentials (2.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺) and over cycles, most of the Raman signals rapidly diminish for the electrochemically obtained γ - and ω -phases, indicating the distortion of crystallinity and near-amorphous nature of the highly lithiated phases. The Raman band at around 980 cm⁻¹ broadens and shifts to lower wavenumbers, indicating the elongation and disordering of the vanadyl bond upon further lithiation, which is correlated with capacity fading.³¹ Well-defined Raman shifts have been reported from chemically prepared γ - and ω -Li_xV₂O₅ in contrast to electrochemically obtained near amorphous structures.

3.4 Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR)

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), also known as electron spin resonance, is a technique used for studying materials with unpaired electrons. Key parameters analyzed in EPR include the "g tensor", "signal width", and "A factor", which resolve electrons' response to magnetic fields, the width of the signal, and interactions with nearby atomic nuclei, respectively. In vanadium oxides, EPR reveals paramagnetic local environments, such as V⁴⁺ and vanadyl VO²⁺ ions, for *ex situ* measurement of samples ranging from single crystals, powder, and cathode materials disassembled from a coin cell.^{132,140}

EPR effectively differentiates various crystalline phases of $Li_xV_2O_5$ during the lithiation, as shown in Fig. 11. In the topotactic lithiation range (0 < x < 1), the EPR signal primarily reflects the interactions between V4+ ions. While the EPR features of the ε -Li_xV₂O₅ phase closely resemble that of α -V₂O₅, substantial shifts emerge at x > 0.75, indicating the nucleation onset of the δ-Li_xV₂O₅ phase. Low-temperature EPR helps in differentiating signals for some of the distinct phases, such as for ε-Li_xV₂O₅ where the signal width linearly varies with temperature to be differentiated with α -Li_xV₂O₅.³⁷ When x > 1, the EPR signals exhibit entirely different features, indicating significant changes in the interactions of unpaired electrons coupled with major phase transformations. This change is manifested by the emergence of new EPR signals from liberated VO²⁺ ions, leading to irreversible phase transformations when $x > 1.^{141}$

One advantage of EPR in identifying phase co-existence is the sensitivity. The EPR study reveals that both α -Li_xV₂O₅ and ϵ -Li_xV₂O₅ phases coexist at x = 0.01 in powder samples undergoing electrochemical lithiation.¹⁴¹ For metastable polymorphs of V₂O₅, a broader range of electronic states in the EPR spectra indicates increased lithiation levels and a nonuniform pattern of site filling.^{36,142} The limitation of EPR is that EPR is most effective on materials with well-ordered structures, as it detects magnetic moments associated with unpaired electrons within a crystalline lattice. In quasi-crystalline or amorphous V₂O₅, the lack of long-range order results in more complicated EPR spectra that are difficult to interpret. Additionally, only a subset of vanadium ions has unpaired electrons, leading to low signal concentrations.

3.5 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, employing nuclei such as ⁷Li, ¹⁷O, ¹⁹F, ³¹P, and ⁵¹V, provides important information regarding the local environments and chemical structures of transition metal oxides. ⁵¹V and ¹⁷O NMR magic-angle spinning (MAS) spectroscopy, using VOCl₃ and H₂O as references, shows a clear distinction between crystalline V₂O₅ and quasi-crystalline V₂O₅·*n*H₂O and can characterize single crystalline and powder samples that are either directly synthesized, chemically (de)lithiated, or electrochemically (de) lithiated and disassembled from a coin cell.^{34,41} Crystalline




Fig. 11 Experimental and calculated EPR spectra of ε -Li_{0.26}V₂O₅, γ -Li_{0.97}V₂O₅, and δ -Li_{0.97}V₂O₅. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 141 with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 1995.

 V_2O_5 shows a single resonance at -620 ppm in ⁵¹V NMR, representing the V₁ site, whereas layered V₂O₅·*n*H₂O gels show superimposed patterns of spinning sidebands representing multiple distinct sites at -580 ppm, -593 ppm, -597 ppm, -620 ppm, and -663 ppm (Fig. 12a), which suggest different coordination of vanadium in the presence of water in the gel. Upon dehydration at increasing temperature up to 350 °C (the temperature that converts V₂O₅·*n*H₂O gels to crystalline V₂O₅), the resonances of the V₁ site gradually emerge, while the sites coordinated with water disappear (Fig. 12b). While crystalline V₂O₅ does not undergo re-hydration, the re-hydration of the partially dehydrated structure V₂O₅·0.06H₂O revealed preferred initial hydration at sites that are *trans* to vanadyl oxygen, which is then readily exchanged into all types of oxygen sites.³⁴

Holland *et.al.* used ⁷Li MAS NMR spectroscopy to investigate the local environments of lithium ions as *x* increases in $\text{Li}_x V_2 O_5 \cdot 0.5 \text{H}_2 \text{O}$ xerogels and resolved two distinct lithium environments (interfacial sites, shown in Fig. 13a (A) and intercalated sites, shown in Fig. 13a (B)) in comparison with crystalline $\text{Li}_x V_2 O_5$, which has only one intercalated site. For both xerogel and crystalline $\text{Li}_x V_2 O_5$, as the Li-ion content increases, a broad upfield resonance continues to shift further to a lower frequency. The upfield shift is ascribed to the enhanced hyperfine interaction between intercalated lithium nuclei and paramagnetic V^{4+} sites on the lattice, as the number of paramagnetic V^{4+} ions increases when the Li content increases (Fig. 13a). The difference between crystalline V_2O_5 and $\text{Li}_x V_2O_5 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ is that the xerogel exhibits a narrow resonance positioned close to 0 ppm, which is




Fig. 12 (a) Different coordination configurations of vanadium sites with water and (b) ⁵¹V MAS NMR spectra of $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ gels upon drying. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 34 with permission from American Chemical Society. Copyright 2002.




Fig. 13 ⁷Li MAS NMR spectra of (a) $\text{Li}_{x}\text{V}_{2}\text{O}_{5}\cdot0.5\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ xerogels upon lithiation and (b) crystalline $\text{Li}_{0.55}\text{V}_{2}\text{O}_{5}$ and $\text{Li}_{0.55}\text{V}_{2}\text{O}_{5}\cdot0.5\text{H}_{2}\text{O}$ xerogel. Reproduced with adaption from ref. 40 with permission from American Chemical Society. Copyright 2002.

assigned to surface-bound Li⁺ sites at interfaces within the xerogel material (Fig. 13b). From ⁷Li MAS NMR, upon initial lithiation, the majority of Li ions were loaded onto the interface of the xerogel. At x = 0.17 in Li_xV₂O₅, the lithium ions occupy both the interfacial (capacitive) and interlayer (intercalation) at equal percentages. At $x \ge 0.55$, the interfacial capacitive sites are saturated and only the interlayer intercalation sites continue to fill. The continuous upfield shift in the lithiation of Li_xV₂O₅-0.5H₂O xerogels is distinct from the chemical shift in lithiated crystalline Li_xV₂O₅, where certain downfield shifts correspond to phase transformation and the change in Li coordination, such as from the trigonal prism in ε -Li_xV₂O₅ to tetrahedral in δ -Li_xV₂O₅.⁴⁰

Challenges using NMR spectroscopy to study V_2O_5 materials include the broad NMR signals from ⁵¹V nuclei, the availability of a magic angle spinning probe, and data interpretation. To fully understand the structure of V_2O_5 using NMR, complementary techniques including XRD and EPR can provide corroborative information.

4 Conclusion and outlook

In summary, materials design and synthesis strategies including nanosizing, pre-intercalation, and crystal engineering for metastable and quasi-crystalline structures effectively alleviate distortive phase transformations in V_2O_5 and promote alternative solid solution mechanisms, thereby enhancing the Li-ion diffusion and reversible capacity. Building on the recent progress in the field, we envision that future research efforts in the directions below will contribute to further understanding and development of reversible V_2O_5 energy storage materials.

Substantial progress in understanding the lithiation mechanism has been made by either high spatial resolution methods using free-standing electrode materials or high temporal resolution *in operando* electrochemical setups or operating devices. For example, *ex situ* diffraction and imaging at sub-Angstrom resolution for free-standing particles or millimeter scale single crystals reveal atomistic information for "relaxed" structures, while operando measurement of cathode materials reveal real-time structural dynamics and phase coexistence with tens of hundreds of nanometers spatial resolution. While characterization techniques with higher spatiotemporal resolution and three-dimensional reconstruction keep advancing (such as synchrotron-based tomography and Bragg coherent diffractive imaging, and electron-beam based 4D scanning transmission electron microscopy), one should take into consideration the different electrochemical and mechanical conditions and parameters when comparing and correlating the data from ex situ diffraction with the ones from operando conditions. Meanwhile, the identification of distortive phase transformations and solid solution mechanisms, especially in early literature, has relied on structural identification tools such as powder XRD, which has relatively low detection limits and can be complicated by heterogeneous Li gradient, phase coexistence, and amorphization. Mechanisms such as non-distortive Li reordering require techniques with an enhanced signal-to-noise ratio and high spatio-temporal resolution. We expect opportunities to arise from "rediscovering" solid solution and Li-ion reordering mechanisms that continue to guide the design and synthesis of host materials without distortive phase transformations, and understanding of the roles of phase boundary-induced modifications of Li diffusion, especially for larger and more convoluted transport pathways involving dislocations and extended defects, that is beyond the computational power of atomistic calculation. Future advancements and better understanding of electrochemical phase transformation pathways should empower the design of new phases that facilitate developments in diverse fields such as energy storage materials and electrochromics.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

X. L. acknowledges the startup fund from Georgia State University and Department of Energy, Basic Energy Science DE-SC0024457.

References

- N. A. Chernova, M. Roppolo, A. C. Dillon and M. S. Whittingham, Layered Vanadium and Molybdenum Oxides: Batteries and Electrochromics, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**(17), 2526, DOI: **10.1039/b819629j**.
- 2 X. Xu, F. Xiong, J. Meng, X. Wang, C. Niu, Q. An and L. Mai, Vanadium-Based Nanomaterials: A Promising Family for Emerging Metal-Ion Batteries, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**(10), 1904398, DOI: **10.1002**/ **adfm.201904398**.

- 3 P. Hu, P. Hu, T. D. Vu, M. Li, S. Wang, Y. Ke, X. Zeng, L. Mai and Y. Long, Vanadium Oxide: Phase Diagrams, Structures, Synthesis, and Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, 123(8), 4353–4415, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00546.
- 4 T. K. Le, P. V. Pham, C.-L. Dong, N. Bahlawane, D. Vernardou, I. Mjejri, A. Rougier and S. W. Kim, Recent Advances in Vanadium Pentoxide (V₂O₅) towards Related Applications in Chromogenics and beyond: Fundamentals, Progress, and Perspectives, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, 10(11), 4019–4071, DOI: 10.1039/D1TC04872D.
- 5 Y. Wang and G. Cao, Synthesis and Enhanced Intercalation Properties of Nanostructured Vanadium Oxides, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **18**(12), 2787–2804, DOI: **10.1021/cm052765h**.
- 6 X. Liu, J. Zeng, H. Yang, K. Zhou and D. Pan, V₂O₅-Based Nanomaterials: Synthesis and Their Applications, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**(8), 4014–4031, DOI: **10.1039/C7RA12523B**.
- 7 Q. Wang, J. Xu, W. Zhang, M. Mao, Z. Wei, L. Wang, C. Cui, Y. Zhu and J. Ma, Research Progress on Vanadium-Based Cathode Materials for Sodium Ion Batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6(19), 8815–8838, DOI: 10.1039/C8TA01627E.
- 8 H. T. Tan, X. Rui, W. Sun, Q. Yan and T. M. Lim, Vanadium-Based Nanostructure Materials for Secondary Lithium Battery Applications, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7(35), 14595–14607, DOI: **10.1039/C5NR04126K**.
- 9 M. S. Whittingham, Lithium Batteries and Cathode Materials, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**(10), 4271–4302, DOI: **10.1021/cr020731c.**
- 10 M. S. Whittingham, Ultimate Limits to Intercalation Reactions for Lithium Batteries, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**(23), 11414–11443, DOI: **10.1021/cr5003003**.
- Y. Zhang, K. S. R. Chandran and H. Z. Bilheux, Imaging of the Li Spatial Distribution within V₂O₅ Cathode in a Coin Cell by Neutron Computed Tomography, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, 376, 125–130, DOI: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.11.080.
- 12 X. Li, K. Perera, J. He, A. Gumyusenge and J. Mei, Solution-Processable Electrochromic Materials and Devices: Roadblocks and Strategies towards Large-Scale Applications, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, 7(41), 12761–12789, DOI: 10.1039/C9TC02861G.
- 13 X. Li, Z. Wang, K. Chen, D. Y. Zemlyanov, L. You and J. Mei, Stabilizing Hybrid Electrochromic Devices through Pairing Electrochromic Polymers with Minimally Color-Changing Ion-Storage Materials Having Closely Matched Electroactive Voltage Windows, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13(4), 5312–5318, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.0c19685.
- 14 X. Li, X. Wang, L. You, K. Zhao and J. Mei, Improving Electrochemical Cycling Stability of Conjugated Yellow-to-Transmissive Electrochromic Polymers by Regulating Effective Overpotentials, *ACS Mater. Lett.*, 2022, **4**(2), 336– 342, DOI: **10.1021/acsmaterialslett.1c00760**.
- 15 C. G. Granqvist, Electrochromics for Smart Windows: Oxide-Based Thin Films and Devices, *Thin Solid Films*, 2014, **564**, 1–38, DOI: **10.1016/j.tsf.2014.02.002**.

- 16 Y. Luo, S. Rezaei, D. A. Santos, Y. Zhang, J. V. Handy, L. Carrillo, B. J. Schultz, L. Gobbato, M. Pupucevski, K. Wiaderek, H. Charalambous, A. Yakovenko, M. Pharr, B.-X. Xu and S. Banerjee, Cation Reordering Instead of Phase Transitions: Origins and Implications of Contrasting Lithiation Mechanisms in 1D ζ - and 2D α -V₂O₅, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2022, **119**(4), e2115072119, DOI: **10.1073/pnas.2115072119**.
- 17 J. Yao, Y. Li, R. C. Massé, E. Uchaker and G. Cao, Revitalized Interest in Vanadium Pentoxide as Cathode Material for Lithium-Ion Batteries and Beyond, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2018, **11**, 205–259, DOI: **10.1016/j.** ensm.2017.10.014.
- 18 M. S. Whittingham, The Role of Ternary Phases in Cathode Reactions, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1976, 123(3), 315– 320, DOI: 10.1149/1.2132817.
- 19 M. S. Whittingham, Electrical Energy Storage and Intercalation Chemistry, *Science*, 1976, **192**(4244), 1126– 1127, DOI: **10.1126/science.192.4244.1126**.
- 20 A. Parija, D. Prendergast and S. Banerjee, Evaluation of Multivalent Cation Insertion in Single- and Double-Layered Polymorphs of V₂O₅, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9(28), 23756–23765, DOI: 10.1021/ acsami.7b05556.
- 21 D. Koch, V. V. Kulish and S. Manzhos, A First-Principles Study of Potassium Insertion in Crystalline Vanadium Oxide Phases as Possible Potassium-Ion Battery Cathode Materials, *MRS Commun.*, 2017, 7(4), 819–825, DOI: 10.1557/mrc.2017.107.
- 22 J. M. Cocciantelli, J. P. Doumerc, M. Pouchard, M. Broussely and J. Labat, Crystal Chemistry of Electrochemically Inserted Li_xV₂O₅, *J. Power Sources*, 1991, 34(2), 103–111, DOI: 10.1016/0378-7753(91)85029-V.
- 23 C. Delmas, H. Cognac-Auradou, J. M. Cocciantelli, M. Ménétrier and J. P. Doumerc, The Li_xV₂O₅ System: An Overview of the Structure Modifications Induced by the Lithium Intercalation, *Solid State Ionics*, 1994, **69**(3), 257– 264, DOI: **10.1016/0167-2738(94)90414-6**.
- 24 C. K. Chan, H. Peng, R. D. Twesten, K. Jarausch, X. F. Zhang and Y. Cui, Fast, Completely Reversible Li Insertion in Vanadium Pentoxide Nanoribbons, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, 7(2), 490–495, DOI: 10.1021/nl062883j.
- 25 Y. Luo, L. R. De Jesus, J. L. Andrews, A. Parija, N. Fleer, D. J. Robles, P. P. Mukherjee and S. Banerjee, Roadblocks in Cation Diffusion Pathways: Implications of Phase Boundaries for Li-Ion Diffusivity in an Intercalation Cathode Material, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10(36), 30901–30911, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b10604.
- 26 J. V. Handy, J. L. Andrews, B. Zhang, D. Kim, N. Bhuvanesh, Q. Tu, X. Qian and S. Banerjee, Topochemical Stabilization and Single-Crystal Transformations of a Metastable 2D γ' -V₂O₅ Intercalation Cathode, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2022, 3(1), 100712, DOI: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100712.
- 27 C. Delmas, S. Brèthes and M. Ménétrier, $\omega\text{-Li}_xV_2O_5\text{---a}$ New Electrode Material for Rechargeable Lithium

Batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 1991, **34**(2), 113–118, DOI: **10.1016/0378-7753(91)85030-Z**.

- 28 H. Liu, Z. Zhu, Q. Yan, S. Yu, X. He, Y. Chen, R. Zhang, L. Ma, T. Liu, M. Li, R. Lin, Y. Chen, Y. Li, X. Xing, Y. Choi, L. Gao, H. S. Cho, K. An, J. Feng, R. Kostecki, K. Amine, T. Wu, J. Lu, H. L. Xin, S. P. Ong and P. Liu, A Disordered Rock Salt Anode for Fast-Charging Lithium-Ion Batteries, *Nature*, 2020, 585(7823), 63–67, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2637-6.
- 29 A. Mukherjee, H. A. Ardakani, T. Yi, J. Cabana, R. Shahbazian-Yassar and R. F. Klie, Direct Characterization of the Li Intercalation Mechanism into α -V₂O₅ Nanowires Using *in situ* Transmission Electron Microscopy, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **110**(21), 213903, DOI: **10.1063/1.4984111**.
- 30 S. Ki Park, P. Nakhanivej, J. Seok Yeon, K. Ho Shin, W. M. Dose, M. De Volder, J. Bae Lee, H. Jin Kim and H. S. Park, Electrochemical and Structural Evolution of Structured V_2O_5 Microspheres during Li-Ion Intercalation, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2021, 55, 108–113, DOI: 10.1016/j. jechem.2020.06.028.
- 31 G. Zhang, T. Xiong, X. Pan, Y. Zhao, M. Yan, H. Zhang, B. Wu, K. Zhao and L. Mai, Illumining Phase Transformation Dynamics of Vanadium Oxide Cathode by Multimodal Techniques under Operando Conditions, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**(4), 905–910, DOI: **10.1007/s12274-019-2321-z**.
- F. Lin, Y. Liu, X. Yu, L. Cheng, A. Singer, O. G. Shpyrko, H. L. Xin, N. Tamura, C. Tian, T.-C. Weng, X.-Q. Yang, Y. S. Meng, D. Nordlund, W. Yang and M. M. Doeff, Synchrotron X-Ray Analytical Techniques for Studying Materials Electrochemistry in Rechargeable Batteries, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, 117(21), 13123–13186, DOI: 10.1021/acs. chemrev.7b00007.
- 33 Q. Fu, X. Wu, X. Luo, Z. Ding, S. Indris, A. Sarapulova, Z. Meng, M. Desmau, Z. Wang, W. Hua, C. Kübel, B. Schwarz, M. Knapp, H. Ehrenberg, Y. Wei and S. Dsoke, Ca²⁺ Pre-Intercalated Bilayered Vanadium Oxide for High-Performance Aqueous Mg-Ion Batteries, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2024, 66, 103212, DOI: 10.1016/j.ensm.2024.103212.
- 34 C. J. Fontenot, J. W. Wiench, G. L. Schrader and M. Pruski, 17O MAS and 3QMAS NMR Investigation of Crystalline V_2O_5 and Layered $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ Gels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**(28), 8435–8444, DOI: **10.1021**/ **ja0265254**.
- 35 Y. Xu, Z. Wang, Z. Yang, J. Na, A. Azhar, S. Wang, J. Yu and Y. Yamauchi, New Insights into the Lithium-Ion Diffusion Mechanism in Vanadate Compounds, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, **6**(3), 886–892, DOI: **10.1021**/ **acsenergylett.0c02586**.
- 36 J. V. Handy, J. L. Andrews, S. Perez-Beltran, D. R. Powell, R. Albers, L. Whittaker-Brooks, N. Bhuvanesh and S. Banerjee, A "Li-Eye" View of Diffusion Pathways in a 2D Intercalation Material from Topochemical Single-Crystal Transformation, ACS Energy Lett., 2022, 7(6), 1960–1962, DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.2c00739.

- 37 D. Gourier, A. Tranchant, N. Baffier and R. Messina, EPR Study of Electrochemical Lithium Intercalation in V₂O₅ Cathodes, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1992, 37(15), 2755–2764, DOI: 10.1016/0013-4686(92)85203-W.
- 38 E. Culea, A. Nicula and I. Eratu, An Infrared Study of $xV_2O_5 \cdot (1 x)B_2O_3$ Glasses, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 1984, 83(1), K15-K18, DOI: 10.1002/pssa.2210830152.
- 39 C. Sanchez, J. Livage and G. Lucazeau, Infrared and Raman Study of Amorphous V₂O₅, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 1982, 12(1), 68–72, DOI: 10.1002/jrs.1250120110.
- 40 G. P. Holland, D. A. Buttry and J. L. Yarger, ⁷Li NMR Studies of Electrochemically Lithiated V_2O_5 Xerogels, *Chem. Mater.*, 2002, 14(9), 3875–3881, DOI: 10.1021/ cm020260a.
- 41 D. Rehder, T. Polenova and M. Bühl, Vanadium-51 NMR, in *Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy*, ed. G. A. Webb, Academic Press, 2007, vol. 62, pp. 49–114. DOI: 10.1016/ S0066-4103(07)62002-X.
- J. L. Andrews, A. Mukherjee, H. D. Yoo, A. Parija,
 P. M. Marley, S. Fakra, D. Prendergast, J. Cabana,
 R. F. Klie and S. Banerjee, Reversible Mg-Ion Insertion in
 a Metastable One-Dimensional Polymorph of V₂O₅, *Chem*,
 2018, 4(3), 564–585, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2017.12.018.
- 43 G. A. Horrocks, A. Parija, L. R. De Jesus, L. Wangoh, S. Sallis, Y. Luo, J. L. Andrews, J. Jude, C. Jaye, D. A. Fischer, D. Prendergast, L. F. J. Piper and S. Banerjee, Mitigating Cation Diffusion Limitations and Intercalation-Induced Framework Transitions in a 1D Tunnel-Structured Polymorph of V₂O₅, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29(24), 10386–10397, DOI: 10.1021/acs. chemmater.7b03800.
- 44 J. L. Andrews, P. Stein, D. A. Santos, C. J. Chalker, L. R. De Jesus, R. D. Davidson, M. A. Gross, M. Pharr, J. D. Batteas, B.-X. Xu and S. Banerjee, Curvature-Induced Modification of Mechano-Electrochemical Coupling and Nucleation Kinetics in a Cathode Material, *Matter*, 2020, 3(5), 1754– 1773, DOI: 10.1016/j.matt.2020.08.030.
- 45 D. Fraggedakis, N. Nadkarni, T. Gao, T. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. Han, R. M. Stephens, Y. Shao-Horn and M. Z. Bazant, A Scaling Law to Determine Phase Morphologies during Ion Intercalation, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2020, 13(7), 2142–2152, DOI: 10.1039/D0EE00653J.
- 46 M. G. Boebinger, O. Yarema, M. Yarema, K. A. Unocic, R. R. Unocic, V. Wood and M. T. McDowell, Spontaneous and Reversible Hollowing of Alloy Anode Nanocrystals for Stable Battery Cycling, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2020, 15(6), 475– 481, DOI: 10.1038/s41565-020-0690-9.
- 47 Y. Luo, Y. Bai, A. Mistry, Y. Zhang, D. Zhao, S. Sarkar, J. V. Handy, S. Rezaei, A. C. Chuang, L. Carrillo, K. Wiaderek, M. Pharr, K. Xie, P. P. Mukherjee, B.-X. Xu and S. Banerjee, Effect of Crystallite Geometries on Electrochemical Performance of Porous Intercalation Electrodes by Multiscale Operando Investigation, *Nat. Mater.*, 2022, 21(2), 217–227, DOI: 10.1038/s41563-021-01151-8.
- 48 A. Yamada, H. Koizumi, S. Nishimura, N. Sonoyama, R. Kanno, M. Yonemura, T. Nakamura and Y. Kobayashi,

Room-Temperature Miscibility Gap in Li_xFePO₄, *Nat. Mater.*, 2006, **5**(5), 357–360, DOI: **10.1038/nmat1634**.

- 49 N. Meethong, H.-Y. S. Huang, W. C. Carter and Y.-M. Chiang, Size-Dependent Lithium Miscibility Gap in Nanoscale Li_{1-x}FePO₄, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2007, 10(5), A134, DOI: 10.1149/1.2710960.
- 50 E. Pomerantseva, Chemical Preintercalation Synthesis of Versatile Electrode Materials for Electrochemical Energy Storage, Acc. Chem. Res., 2023, 56(1), 13–24, DOI: 10.1021/ acs.accounts.2c00193.
- 51 M. Clites and E. Pomerantseva, Bilayered Vanadium Oxides by Chemical Pre-Intercalation of Alkali and Alkali-Earth Ions as Battery Electrodes, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2018, **11**, 30–37, DOI: **10.1016/j.ensm.2017.09.005**.
- 52 P. Schofield, Y. Luo, D. Zhang, W. Zaheer, D. Santos, G. Agbeworvi, J. D. Ponis, J. V. Handy, J. L. Andrews, E. J. Braham, A. R. Balakrishna and S. Banerjee, Doping-Induced Pre-Transformation to Extend Solid-Solution Regimes in Li-Ion Batteries, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2022, 7(10), 3286–3292, DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.2c01868.
- 53 W. Zhong, J. Huang, S. Liang, J. Liu, Y. Li, G. Cai, Y. Jiang and J. Liu, New Prelithiated V₂O₅ Superstructure for Lithium-Ion Batteries with Long Cycle Life and High Power, ACS Energy Lett., 2020, 5(1), 31–38, DOI: 10.1021/ acsenergylett.9b02048.
- 54 C. Liu, J. Yao, Z. Zou, Y. Li and G. Cao, Boosting the Cycling Stability of Hydrated Vanadium Pentoxide by Y³⁺ Pillaring for Sodium-Ion Batteries, *Mater. Today Energy*, 2019, 11, 218–227, DOI: 10.1016/j.mtener.2018.12.003.
- 55 X. Li, C. Liu, C. Zhang, H. Fu, X. Nan, W. Ma, Z. Li, K. Wang, H. Wu and G. Cao, Effects of Preinserted Na Ions on Li-Ion Electrochemical Intercalation Properties of V₂O₅, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8(37), 24629– 24637, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.6b08052.
- 56 Y. Li, J. Yao, E. Uchaker, M. Zhang, J. Tian, X. Liu and G. Cao, Sn-Doped V₂O₅ Film with Enhanced Lithium-Ion Storage Performance, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**(45), 23507–23514, DOI: **10.1021/jp406927m**.
- 57 S. Suthirakun, S. Jungthawan and S. Limpijumnong, Effect of Sn-Doping on Behavior of Li-Intercalation in V₂O₅ Cathode Materials of Li-Ion Batteries: A Computational Perspective, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, 122(11), 5896–5907, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b12321.
- 58 Y.-H. Zhu, Q. Zhang, X. Yang, E.-Y. Zhao, T. Sun, X.-B. Zhang, S. Wang, X.-Q. Yu, J.-M. Yan and Q. Jiang, Reconstructed Orthorhombic V₂O₅ Polyhedra for Fast Ion Diffusion in K-Ion Batteries, *Chem*, 2019, 5(1), 168–179, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2018.10.004.
- 59 L. R. De Jesus, J. L. Andrews, A. Parija and S. Banerjee, Defining Diffusion Pathways in Intercalation Cathode Materials: Some Lessons from V_2O_5 on Directing Cation Traffic, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**(4), 915–931, DOI: **10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00156**.
- 60 A. J. Martinolich and J. R. Neilson, Toward Reaction-by-Design: Achieving Kinetic Control of Solid State

Chemistry with Metathesis, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**(2), 479–489, DOI: **10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b04861**.

- 61 A. Parija, G. R. Waetzig, J. L. Andrews and S. Banerjee, Traversing Energy Landscapes Away from Equilibrium: Strategies for Accessing and Utilizing Metastable Phase Space, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**(45), 25709–25728, DOI: **10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b04622**.
- 62 W. Sun, S. T. Dacek, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, A. Jain, W. D. Richards, A. C. Gamst, K. A. Persson and G. Ceder, The Thermodynamic Scale of Inorganic Crystalline Metastability, *Sci. Adv.*, 2016, 2(11), e1600225, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1600225.
- 63 L. T. Alameda, P. Moradifar, Z. P. Metzger, N. Alem and R. E. Schaak, Topochemical Deintercalation of Al from MoAlB: Stepwise Etching Pathway, Layered Intergrowth Structures, and Two-Dimensional MBene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**(28), 8833–8840, DOI: **10.1021/jacs.8b04705**.
- 64 M. Clites, W. B. Byles and E. Pomerantseva, Bilayered Vanadium Oxide as the Host Material for Reversible beyond Lithium Ion Intercalation, *Adv. Mater. Lett.*, 2017, 8(6), 679–688, DOI: 10.5185/amlett.2017.1536.
- 65 J. M. Gallardo-Amores, N. Biskup, U. Amador, K. Persson, G. Ceder, E. Morán and M. E. Arroyo y de Dompablo, Computational and Experimental Investigation of the Transformation of V_2O_5 Under Pressure, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**(22), 5262–5271, DOI: **10.1021/cm071360p.**
- 66 R. Baddour-Hadjean, M. B. Smirnov, K. S. Smirnov, V. Y. Kazimirov, J. M. Gallardo-Amores, U. Amador, M. E. Arroyo-de Dompablo and J. P. Pereira-Ramos, Lattice Dynamics of β-V₂O₅: Raman Spectroscopic Insight into the Atomistic Structure of a High-Pressure Vanadium Pentoxide Polymorph, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**(5), 3194– 3201, DOI: **10.1021/ic202651b**.
- 67 Q. Fu, H. Zhao, A. Sarapulova and S. Dsoke, V₂O₅ as a Versatile Electrode Material for Postlithium Energy Storage Systems, *Appl. Res.*, 2023, 2(3), e202200070, DOI: 10.1002/appl.202200070.
- 68 D. Vernardou, M. Apostolopoulou, D. Louloudakis, N. Katsarakis and E. Koudoumas, Hydrothermally Grown β -V₂O₅ Electrode at 95 °C, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2014, 424, 1–6, DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2014.03.004.
- 69 R. Córdoba, J. Goclon, A. Sarapulova, Q. Fu, J. Maibach, S. Dsoke, F. Fauth, A. Kuhn and F. García-Alvarado, From High-Pressure β -V₂O₅ to κ-NaxV₂O₅ (x = 0.4 – 0.55): A Structural, Chemical, and Kinetic Insight into a Sodiated Phase with a Large Interlayer Space, *Appl. Res.*, 2023, 2(1), e202200052, DOI: 10.1002/appl.202200052.
- 70 J. Jiang, Z. Wang and L. Chen, Structural and Electrochemical Studies on β -Li_xV₂O₅ as Cathode Material for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**(28) 10707–10711, DOI: **10.1021/jp0716350**.
- 71 G. Sai Gautam, P. Canepa, A. Abdellahi, A. Urban, R. Malik and G. Ceder, The Intercalation Phase Diagram of Mg in V₂O₅ from First-Principles, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27(10), 3733–3742, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b00957.
- 72 A. M. Kannan and A. Manthiram, Synthesis and Electrochemical Properties of High Capacity $V_2O_5 \delta$

Cathodes, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2003, 150(7), A990, DOI: 10.1149/1.1581261.

- 73 A. Parija, Y. Liang, J. L. Andrews, L. R. De Jesus, D. Prendergast and S. Banerjee, Topochemically De-Intercalated Phases of V₂O₅ as Cathode Materials for Multivalent Intercalation Batteries: A First-Principles Evaluation, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28(16), 5611–5620, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b01006.
- 74 T. M.Tolhurst, B. Leedahl, J. L. Andrews, S. Banerjee and A. Moewes, The Electronic Structure of ϵ' -V₂O₅: an Expanded Band Gap in a Double-Layered Polymorph with Increased Interlayer Separation, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 23694–23703, DOI: **10.1039/C7TA05066F**.
- 75 P. Rozier, M. Dollé and J. Galy, Ionic Diffusion Mastering Using Crystal-Chemistry Parameters: τ -Cu_{1/2}Ag_{1/2}V₂O₅ Structure Determination and Comparison with Refined δ -Ag_xV₂O₅ and ϵ -Cu_xV₂O₅ Ones, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2009, **182**(6), 1481–1491, DOI: **10.1016/j.jssc.2009.03.017**.
- 76 M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano and J. Sauer, Reduction of the (001) Surface of γ-V₂O₅ Compared to α-V₂O₅, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**(1), 374–380, DOI: **10.1021/jp046233k**.
- P. M. Marley, T. A. Abtew, K. E. Farley, G. A. Horrocks,
 R. V. Dennis, P. Zhang and S. Banerjee, Emptying and
 Filling a Tunnel Bronze, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6(3), 1712–1718,
 DOI: 10.1039/C4SC03748K.
- 78 A. J. Mannix, B. Kiraly, M. C. Hersam and N. P. Guisinger, Synthesis and Chemistry of Elemental 2D Materials, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2017, 1(2), 1–14, DOI: 10.1038/s41570-016-0014.
- 79 M. Q. Arguilla, J. Katoch, K. Krymowski, N. D. Cultrara, J. Xu, X. Xi, A. Hanks, S. Jiang, R. D. Ross, R. J. Koch, S. Ulstrup, A. Bostwick, C. Jozwiak, D. W. McComb, E. Rotenberg, J. Shan, W. Windl, R. K. Kawakami and J. E. Goldberger, NaSn₂As₂: An Exfoliatable Layered van Der Waals Zintl Phase, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**(10), 9500–9508, DOI: **10.1021/acsnano.6b04609**.
- 80 R. Baddour-Hadjean, M. Safrany Renard and J. P. Pereira-Ramos, Unraveling the Structural Mechanism of Li Insertion in $\gamma' V_2 O_5$ and Its Effect on Cycling Properties, *Acta Mater.*, 2019, **165**, 183–191, DOI: **10.1016/j. actamat.2018.11.043**.
- 81 J. V. Handy, Y. Luo, J. L. Andrews, N. Bhuvanesh and S. Banerjee, An Atomic View of Cation Diffusion Pathways from Single-Crystal Topochemical Transformations, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59(38), 16385–16392, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202005513.
- 82 R. Shepard and M. Smeu, EvaluationAb initio Investigation of α - and ζ -V₂O₅ for Beyond Lithium Ion Battery Cathodes, *J. Power Sources*, 2020, **472**, 228096, DOI: **10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.228096**.
- 83 D. A. Santos, J. L. Andrews, Y. Bai, P. Stein, Y. Luo, Y. Zhang, M. Pharr, B.-X. Xu and S. Banerjee, Bending Good Beats Breaking Bad: Phase Separation Patterns in Individual Cathode Particles upon Lithiation and Delithiation, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2020, 7(12), 3275–3290, DOI: 10.1039/D0MH01240H.

- 84 J. Galy, D. Lavaud, A. Casalot and P. Hagenmuller, Les Bronzes Oxygénés de Vanadium de Formule Cu_xV₂O₅:
 I. Structure Cristalline Des Phases Cu_xV₂O₅β et Cu_xV₂O₅∈, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1970, 2(4), 531–543, DOI: 10.1016/0022-4596(70)90048-4.
- 85 J. L. Andrews, L. R. De Jesus, T. M. Tolhurst, P. M. Marley, A. Moewes and S. Banerjee, OnesIntercalation-Induced Exfoliation and Thickness-Modulated Electronic Structure of a Layered Ternary Vanadium Oxide, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29(7), 3285–3294, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00597.
- 86 I. Loa, A. Grzechnik, U. Schwarz, K. Syassen, M. Hanfland and R. K. Kremer, Vanadium Oxides V₂O₅ and NaV₂O₅ under High Pressures: Structural, Vibrational, and Electronic Properties, *J. Alloys and Compd.*, 2001, **317–318**, 103–108, DOI: **10.1016/S0925-8388(00)01404-3**.
- 87 J. M. Cocciantelli, P. Gravereau, J. P. Doumerc, M. Pouchard and P. Hagenmuller, On the Preparation and Characterization of a New Polymorph of V₂O₅, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1991, 93(2), 497–502, DOI: 10.1016/0022-4596 (91)90323-A.
- 88 V. P. Filonenko, M. Sundberg, P.-E. Werner and I. P. Zibrov, Structure of a High-Pressure Phase of Vanadium Pentoxide, β-V₂O₅, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2004, **60**(4), 375– 381, DOI: **10.1107/S0108768104012881**.
- 89 I. P. Zibrov, V. P. Filonenko, S. G. Lyapin and V. A. Sidorov, The High Pressure Phases β - and δ -V₂O₅: Structure Refinement, Electrical and Optical Properties, Thermal Stability, *High Pressure Res.*, 2013, **33**(2), 399–408, DOI: **10.1080/08957959.2013.796375**.
- 90 B. E. Conway, Transition from "Supercapacitor" to "Battery" Behavior in Electrochemical Energy Storage, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1991, **138**(6), 1539, DOI: **10.1149**/ **1.2085829**.
- 91 M. Clites, B. W. Byles and E. Pomerantseva, Bilayered Vanadium Oxide as the Host Material for Reversible beyond Lithium Ion Intercalation, *Adv. Mater. Lett.*, 2017, 8(6), 679–688, DOI: 10.5185/amlett.2017.1536.
- 92 O. B. Chae, J. Kim, I. Park, H. Jeong, J. H. Ku, J. H. Ryu, K. Kang and S. M. Oh, Reversible Lithium Storage at Highly Populated Vacant Sites in an Amorphous Vanadium Pentoxide Electrode, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26(20), 5874–5881, DOI: 10.1021/cm502268u.
- 93 M. Nabavi, C. Sanchez, F. Taulelle, J. Livage and A. de Guibert, Electrochemical Properties of Amorphous V₂O₅, *Solid State Ionics*, 1988, 28–30, 1183–1186, DOI: 10.1016/ 0167-2738(88)90353-0.
- 94 J. Livage, Sol-Gel Chemistry and Electrochemical Properties of Vanadium Oxide Gels, *Solid State Ionics*, 1996, **86–88**, 935– 942, DOI: **10.1016/0167-2738(96)00336-0**.
- 95 W. Dong, J. S. Sakamoto and B. Dunn, Electrochemical Properties of Vanadium Oxide Aerogels, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2003, 4(1), 3–11, DOI: 10.1016/S1468-6996(03)00012-3.
- 96 S. Wu, Y. Ding, L. Hu, X. Zhang, Y. Huang and S. Chen, Amorphous V₂O₅ as High Performance Cathode for Aqueous Zinc Ion Battery, *Mater. Lett.*, 2020, 277, 128268, DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128268.

- 97 H. Y. Lee and J. B. Goodenough, Ideal Supercapacitor Behavior of Amorphous V₂O₅·nH₂O in Potassium Chloride (KCl) Aqueous Solution, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1999, **148**(1), 81–84, DOI: **10.1006/jssc.1999.8367**.
- 98 Y. Sakurai, S. Okada, J. Yamaki and T. Okada, Electrochemical Behaviour of Amorphous V₂O₅(-P₂O₅) Cathodes for Lithium Secondary Batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 1987, 20(3), 173–177, DOI: 10.1016/0378-7753(87)80108-8.
- 99 M. Chiku, H. Takeda, S. Matsumura, E. Higuchi and H. Inoue, Amorphous Vanadium Oxide/Carbon Composite Positive Electrode for Rechargeable Aluminum Battery, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7(44), 24385– 24389, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b06420.
- 100 D. Kim and J. H. Ryu, Amorphous V₂O₅ Positive Electrode Materials by Precipitation Method in Magnesium Rechargeable Batteries, *Electron. Mater. Lett.*, 2019, 15(4), 415–420, DOI: 10.1007/s13391-019-00138-7.
- 101 L. W. Wangoh, Y. Huang, R. L. Jezorek, A. B. Kehoe, G. W. Watson, F. Omenya, N. F. Quackenbush, N. A. Chernova, M. S. Whittingham and L. F. J. Piper, Correlating Lithium Hydroxyl Accumulation with Capacity Retention in V_2O_5 Aerogel Cathodes, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**(18), 11532–11538, DOI: **10.1021**/ **acsami.6b02759**.
- 102 S. Correal, D. Hernández-Gómez, A. S. Esquivel, A. Cardona-Rodríguez, A. Reiber, Y. Hernandez, R. González-Hernández and J. G. Ramírez, Tuning Electronic and Magnetic Properties through Disorder in V₂O₅ Nanoparticles, *Sci. Rep.*, 2023, 13(1), 6752, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-023-32642-0.
- 103 V. Petkov, P. N. Trikalitis, E. S. Bozin, S. J. L. Billinge, T. Vogt and M. G. Kanatzidis, Structure of V₂O₅·nH₂O Xerogel Solved by the Atomic Pair Distribution Function Technique, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**(34), 10157–10162, DOI: **10.1021/ja026143y**.
- 104 A. Moretti and S. Passerini, Bilayered Nanostructured V_2O_5 ·nH₂O for Metal Batteries, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, 6(23), 1600868, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201600868.
- 105 V. Petkov, V. Parvanov, P. Trikalitis, C. Malliakas, T. Vogt and M. G. Kanatzidis, Three-Dimensional Structure of Nanocomposites from Atomic Pair Distribution Function Analysis: Study of Polyaniline and (Polyaniline) 0.5V₂O₅·1.0H₂O, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**(24), 8805– 8812, DOI: **10.1021/ja051315n**.
- H. H. Kristoffersen and H. Metiu, Structure of V₂O₅·nH₂O Xerogels, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, 120(7), 3986–3992, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b12418.
- 107 E. Uchaker, Y. Z. Zheng, S. Li, S. L. Candelaria, S. Hu and G. Z. Cao, Better than Crystalline: Amorphous Vanadium Oxide for Sodium-Ion Batteries, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2(43), 18208–18214, DOI: 10.1039/C4TA03788J.
- 108 C. J. Fontenot, J. W. Wiench, M. Pruski and G. L. Schrader, Vanadia Gel Synthesis via Peroxovanadate Precursors. 1. In Situ Laser Raman and ⁵¹V NMR Characterization of the Gelation Process, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2000, **104**(49), 11622–11631, DOI: **10.1021/jp0021897**.

- 109 L. Rivoalen, A. Revcolevschi, J. Livage and R. Collongues, Amorphous Vanadium Pentoxide, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 1976, 21(2), 171–179, DOI: 10.1016/0022-3093(76)90039-9.
- 110 M. Gotić, S. Popović, M. Ivanda and S. Musić, Sol-Gel Synthesis and Characterization of V_2O_5 Powders, *Mater. Lett.*, 2003, 57(21), 3186–3192, DOI: 10.1016/S0167-577X (03)00022-3.
- 111 Y. Song, T.-Y. Liu, B. Yao, T.-Y. Kou, D.-Y. Feng, X.-X. Liu and Y. Li, Amorphous Mixed-Valence Vanadium Oxide/ Exfoliated Carbon Cloth Structure Shows a Record High Cycling Stability, *Small*, 2017, 13(16), 1700067, DOI: 10.1002/smll.201700067.
- 112 S. Liu, Z. Tong, J. Zhao, X. Liu, J. Wang, X. Ma, C. Chi, Y. Yang, X. Liu and Y. Li, Rational Selection of Amorphous or Crystalline V₂O₅ Cathode for Sodium-Ion Batteries, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**(36), 25645–25654, DOI: **10.1039/C6CP04064K**.
- 113 G. Sai Gautam, P. Canepa, W. D. Richards, R. Malik and G. Ceder, Role of Structural H_2O in Intercalation Electrodes: The Case of Mg in Nanocrystalline Xerogel- V_2O_5 , *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**(4), 2426–2431, DOI: **10.1021**/ **acs.nanolett.5b05273**.
- 114 R. Y. Wang, B. Shyam, K. H. Stone, J. N. Weker, M. Pasta, H.-W. Lee, M. F. Toney and Y. Cui, Reversible Multivalent (Monovalent, Divalent, Trivalent) Ion Insertion in Open Framework Materials, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, 5(12), 1401869, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201401869.
- 115 M. Yan, P. He, Y. Chen, S. Wang, Q. Wei, K. Zhao, X. Xu, Q. An, Y. Shuang, Y. Shao, K. T. Mueller, L. Mai, J. Liu and J. Yang, Water-Lubricated Intercalation in $V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$ for High-Capacity and High-Rate Aqueous Rechargeable Zinc Batteries, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**(1), 1703725, DOI: **10.1002**/adma.201703725.
- 116 Q. Wei, J. Liu, W. Feng, J. Sheng, X. Tian, L. He, Q. An and L. Mai, Hydrated Vanadium Pentoxide with Superior Sodium Storage Capacity, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3(15), 8070–8075, DOI: 10.1039/C5TA00502G.
- 117 D. B. Le, S. Passerini, F. Coustier, J. Guo, T. Soderstrom,
 B. B. Owens and W. H. Smyrl, Intercalation of Polyvalent Cations into V₂O₅ Aerogels, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, 10(3), 682–684, DOI: 10.1021/cm9705101.
- 118 S. Tepavcevic, H. Xiong, V. R. Stamenkovic, X. Zuo, M. Balasubramanian, V. B. Prakapenka, C. S. Johnson and T. Rajh, Nanostructured Bilayered Vanadium Oxide Electrodes for Rechargeable Sodium-Ion Batteries, ACS Nano, 2012, 6(1), 530–538, DOI: 10.1021/nn203869a.
- 119 S.-H. Cho, J.-S. Park, J. H. Kim, Y.-H. Chang, J. Ahn, J. S. Nam, J.-W. Jung, I.-D. Kim and H.-S. Kim, Oxygen-Related Defect Engineering of Amorphous Vanadium Pentoxide Cathode for Achieving High-Performance Thin-Film Aqueous Zinc-Ion Batteries, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2023, 6(5), 2719–2727, DOI: 10.1021/ acsaem.2c03055.
- 120 J. Cao, D. Zhang, Y. Yue, T. Pakornchote, T. Bovornratanaraks, M. Sawangphruk, X. Zhang and

J. Qin, Revealing the Impacts of Oxygen Defects on Zn^{2+} Storage Performance in V₂O₅, *Mater. Today Energy*, 2021, 21, 100824, DOI: 10.1016/j.mtener.2021.100824.

- 121 Q. He, B. Sheng, K. Zhu, Y. Zhou, S. Qiao, Z. Wang and L. Song, Phase Engineering and Synchrotron-Based Study on Two-Dimensional Energy Nanomaterials, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, 123(17), 10750–10807, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00389.
- 122 Q. Fu, A. Sarapulova, V. Trouillet, L. Zhu, F. Fauth, S. Mangold, E. Welter, S. Indris, M. Knapp, S. Dsoke, N. Bramnik and H. Ehrenberg, In Operando Synchrotron Diffraction and in Operando X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy Investigations of Orthorhombic V₂O₅ Nanowires as Cathode Materials for Mg-Ion Batteries, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141(6), 2305–2315, DOI: 10.1021/ jacs.8b08998.
- 123 X. Yao, Y. Zhao, F. A. Castro and L. Mai, Rational Design of Preintercalated Electrodes for Rechargeable Batteries, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, 4(3), 771–778, DOI: 10.1021/ acsenergylett.8b02555.
- 124 R. Wang, Y. Sun, A. Brady, S. Fleischmann, T. B. Eldred, W. Gao, H.-W. Wang, D. Jiang and V. Augustyn, Fast Proton Insertion in Layered $H_2W_2O_7$ via Selective Etching of an Aurivillius Phase, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**(1), 2003335, DOI: **10.1002/aenm.202003335**.
- 125 N. Sharma, W. K. Pang, Z. Guo and V. K. Peterson, In Situ Powder Diffraction Studies of Electrode Materials in Rechargeable Batteries, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, 8(17), 2826– 2853, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.201500152.
- 126 A. H. McCarthy, K. Mayilvahanan, M. R. Dunkin, S. T. King, D. Quilty, L. M. Housel, J. Kuang, K. J. Takeuchi, E. S. Takeuchi, A. C. West, L. Wang and A. C. Marschilok, Lithium Vanadium Oxide (Li_{1.1}V₃O₈) Thick Porous Electrodes with High Rate Capacity: Utilization and Evolution upon Extended Cycling Elucidated via Operando Energy Dispersive X-Ray Diffraction and Continuum Simulation, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, 23(1), 139–150, DOI: 10.1039/D0CP04622A.
- 127 O. J. Borkiewicz, B. Shyam, K. M. Wiaderek, C. Kurtz, P. J. Chupas and K. W. Chapman, The AMPIX Electrochemical Cell: A Versatile Apparatus for in Situ X-Ray Scattering and Spectroscopic Measurements, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2012, 45(6), 1261–1269, DOI: 10.1107/ S0021889812042720.
- 128 Q. Fu, A. Sarapulova, L. Zhu, G. Melinte, A. Missyul, E. Welter, X. Luo, M. Knapp, H. Ehrenberg and S. Dsoke, *In Operando* Study of Orthorhombic V₂O₅ as Positive Electrode Materials for K-Ion Batteries, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2021, 62, 627–636, DOI: 10.1016/j.jechem.2021.04.027.
- 129 A. Šurca and B. Orel, IR Spectroscopy of Crystalline V_2O_5 Films in Different Stages of Lithiation, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1999, 44(18), 3051–3057, DOI: 10.1016/S0013-4686(99) 00019-5.
- 130 T. R. Gilson, O. F. Bizri and N. Cheetham, Single-Crystal Raman and Infrared Spectra of Vanadium(v) Oxide,

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 3, 291–294, DOI: 10.1039/DT9730000291.

- 131 L. Abello, E. Husson, Y. Repelin and G. Lucazeau, Vibrational Spectra and Valence Force Field of Crystalline V_2O_5 , *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 1983, **39**(7), 641–651, DOI: **10.1016/0584-8539(83)80040-3**.
- 132 C. Sanchez, J. Livage, J. P. Audiere and A. Madi, Influence of the Quenching Rate on the Properties of Amorphous V₂O₅ Thin Films, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 1984, 65(2), 285–300, DOI: 10.1016/0022-3093(84)90053-X.
- 133 N. Özer, Electrochemical Properties of Sol-Gel Deposited Vanadium Pentoxide Films, *Thin Solid Films*, 1997, 305(1), 80–87, DOI: 10.1016/S0040-6090(97)00086-2.
- 134 A.Š Vuk, S. Benčič, B. Orel and F. Decker, UV-Visible and, IR Spectroelectrochemical Properties of V₂O₅ Crystalline Films Charged/Discharged in Extended Potential Range, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, 2002, 23(1), 53–66, DOI: 10.1023/ A:1013375803983.
- 135 C. V. Ramana, O. M. Hussain, B. Srinivasulu Naidu and P. J. Reddy, Spectroscopic Characterization of Electron-Beam Evaporated V_2O_5 Thin Films, *Thin Solid Films*, 1997, **305**, 219–226, DOI: **10.1016/S0040-6090(97)00141-7**.
- 136 S.-H. Lee, H. M. Cheong, M. Je Seong, P. Liu, C. E. Tracy, A. Mascarenhas, J. R. Pitts and S. K. Deb, Microstructure Study of Amorphous Vanadium Oxide Thin Films Using Raman Spectroscopy, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2002, **92**(4), 1893– 1897, DOI: **10.1063/1.1495074**.
- 137 R. Baddour-Hadjean, C. Navone and J. P. Pereira-Ramos, In Situ Raman Microspectrometry Investigation of Electrochemical Lithium Intercalation into Sputtered Crystalline V₂O₅ Thin Films, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2009, 54(26), 6674–6679, DOI: 10.1016/j.electacta.2009.06.052.
- 138 R. Baddour-Hadjean and J.-P. Pereira-Ramos, Raman Microspectrometry Applied to the Study of Electrode Materials for Lithium Batteries, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110(3), 1278–1319, DOI: 10.1021/cr800344k.
- 139 R. Baddour-Hadjean, E. Raekelboom and J. P. Pereira-Ramos, New Structural Characterization of the $\text{Li}_x V_2 O_5$ System Provided by Raman Spectroscopy, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **18**(15), 3548–3556, DOI: **10.1021/cm060540g**.
- 140 J. Livage and R. Collongues, Semiconducting Properties of Amorphous V_2O_5 Prepared by Splat Cooling, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 1976, 23(2), 297–299, DOI: 10.1016/0025-5416(76) 90213-5.
- 141 B. Pecquenard, D. Gourier and N. Baffier, EPR Identification of $\text{Li}_x V_2 O_5$ Phases Generated by Chemical and Electrochemical Lithium Intercalation in $V_2 O_5$, *Solid State Ionics*, 1995, **78**(3–4), 287–303, DOI: **10.1016/0167-2738(95) 00099-R**.
- 142 C. Szczuka, R.-A. Eichel and J. Granwehr, Exploring the Solvation Sphere and Spatial Accumulation of Dissolved Transition-Metal Ions in Batteries: A Case Study of Vanadyl Ions Released from V_2O_5 Cathodes, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2022, 5(1), 449–460, DOI: 10.1021/acsaem.1c02979.