

Chemical Science

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience



ISSN 2041-6539



EDGE ARTICLE

Hai-Long Jiang *et al.*

Metal-organic framework-based CoP/reduced graphene oxide:
high-performance bifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting

175 YEARS

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 1690

Metal–organic framework-based CoP/reduced graphene oxide: high-performance bifunctional electrocatalyst for overall water splitting†

Long Jiao, Yu-Xiao Zhou and Hai-Long Jiang*

Efficient and cost-effective electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), especially bifunctional catalysts for overall water splitting, are highly desired. In this work, with rationally designed sandwich-type metal–organic framework/graphene oxide as a template and precursor, a layered CoP/reduced graphene oxide (rGO) composite has been successfully prepared *via* pyrolysis and a subsequent phosphating process. The resultant CoP/rGO-400 exhibits excellent HER activity in acid solution. More importantly, the catalyst manifests excellent catalytic performances for both the HER and OER in basic solution. Therefore, it can be utilized as a bifunctional catalyst on both the anode and cathode for overall water splitting in basic media, even displaying superior activity to that of the integrated Pt/C and IrO₂ catalyst couple.

Received 18th November 2015
Accepted 9th January 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c5sc04425a

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

With increasing environmental concerns and consumption of fossil fuels, there is an urgent demand to develop alternative clean energies, such as, hydrogen. Electrocatalytic water splitting, a combination of the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) to generate hydrogen and oxygen, respectively, has been recognized to be one of the most promising ways for energy conversion.¹ To reach this goal, the development of efficient catalysts to accelerate the kinetics of both half-cell reactions is a prerequisite.¹ Precious metals (Pt) and noble metal oxides (RuO₂ and IrO₂) possess the best activity for the HER and OER, respectively, while their large-scale industrial application is impeded by their high cost and scarcity.^{1b,f} It is highly desirable to develop cost-effective catalysts with high activity and durability. Currently, much effort has been devoted to the exploration of the half-cell reactions and great progress has been achieved, for example, transition-metal sulfides, selenides, carbides and phosphides exhibit stable catalytic activity for the HER in acid solution,² and transition metal oxides/hydroxides are found to be active for the more challenging OER in basic solution.³ To meet the practical application, the HER and OER should be conducted in the same electrolyte based on a single catalyst to achieve the overall water

splitting. However, the current prevailing water splitting requires the integration of two types of catalysts that are specially suitable for the HER and OER, respectively. Such a combination is usually incompatible and thus results in a poor overall performance. Therefore, the development of bifunctional catalysts, highly active for both the HER and OER, is of prime importance for overall water splitting, which remains a significant challenge and has been rarely reported thus far.^{1f,g,4}

To achieve high catalytic activity, nanostructuring the catalysts with porous character would be an essential way to expose active sites as far as possible to the electrolyte and substrate. In this context, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), a family of crystalline porous materials with well-organized structures, should be ideal precursors.⁵ Recently, MOFs as templates/precursors have been demonstrated to afford various porous nanostructured carbon/metal oxides with good catalytic performances.^{6,7} Despite this, the poor electrical conductivity of MOF-derived nanocomposites is unfavorable for the electrocatalysis. Given that graphene oxide (GO) has been well documented to be an excellent conductor, the formation of hybrid structures between the MOF-derived nanocomposites and GO might effectively exert their synergistic effect by taking advantage of their respective advantages.⁷

Among the different electrocatalysts for the HER or OER, transition metal phosphides (TMP) are very promising not only because of their high abundance and low cost but also owing to their great acid–base stability in the pH range of 0–14. In this work, we have fabricated a MOF/GO sandwich-type composite with a sheet-like structure based on the template role of GO *via* a facile one-step room-temperature reaction, without any bridging agent. Upon pyrolysis, the Co-based MOF/GO was

Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China. E-mail: jianglab@ustc.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Preparation of graphene oxide (GO), figures referred in the text and comparison of the literature catalytic parameters of various non-noble electrocatalysts. See DOI: 10.1039/c5sc04425a

converted to porous $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$, which was further phosphated to afford a porous CoP/rGO nanocomposite with retained sheet-like morphology (Scheme 1). The MOF-derived porous crystal-line CoP nanostructure guarantees highly exposed active sites, and the close contact between CoP and rGO contributes to a consecutive conductive network, which is crucial for electron transfer. As a result, the CoP/rGO nanocomposite exhibits excellent HER activity in a wide pH range of 0–14 with overpotentials of 105 mV in acidic solution and 150 mV in basic solution at 10 mA cm^{-2} . In addition, it also displays outstanding OER activity in basic solution with an overpotential of 340 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2} . When CoP/rGO was employed as a bifunctional catalyst in both the anode and cathode, overall water splitting in basic solution was achieved with high efficiency, which is even superior to the integrated Pt/C and IrO_2 catalyst couple.

Experimental

Materials and instrumentation

All chemicals were from commercial sources and used without further purification. Deionized water with a specific resistance of $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}$ was obtained using reversed osmosis followed by ion-exchange and filtration.

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies were carried out on a Japan Rigaku SmartLabTM rotation anode X-ray diffractometer or a Holland X'Pert PRO fixed anode X-ray diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54 \text{ \AA}$). Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) was carried out with a field emission scanning electron micro-analyzer (Zeiss Supra 40 scanning electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 5 kV). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and high-resolution TEM images were acquired on a JEOL-2100F with an electron acceleration energy of 200 kV. The content of nitrogen was measured using a VarioEL III Elemental analyzer. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed using an ESCALAB 250Xi high-performance electron spectrometer using monochromatized $\text{Al K}\alpha$ ($h\nu = 1486.7 \text{ eV}$) as the excitation source. The nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured using automatic volumetric adsorption equipment (Micromeritics ASAP 2020). Prior to the nitrogen adsorption/desorption

measurement, the samples were dried overnight at 150°C under vacuum.

Synthesis

Preparation of $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -400. $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (383 mg) was dispersed in 15 mL methanol and 15 mL ethanol to form solution A. 2-Methylimidazole (410 mg) was dispersed in 5 mL methanol and 5 mL ethanol to form solution B. The GO solution (7.5 mg , 5 mg mL^{-1}) was added into solution A dropwise under vigorous stirring, followed by the introduction of solution B and the mixed solution was stirred for another 5 min. Then the mixture was kept undisturbed at room temperature for 24 h. The product was obtained by suction filtration and washing with water at least three times. After freeze drying, the purple powder was obtained and heated at 700°C for 10 min at a heating rate of 5°C min^{-1} under a N_2 atmosphere and then in air at 400°C for another 2 h to afford $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -400. For comparison, $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -350 and $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -450 were also synthesized *via* a similar procedure, except for the calcination temperature in air being changed to 350 and 450°C , respectively.

Preparation of CoP/rGO -400. The $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -400 composite (10 mg) and NaH_2PO_2 (100 mg) were put at two separate positions in a porcelain boat and charged into a tube furnace with NaH_2PO_2 at the upstream side of the furnace. The furnace was allowed to heat to 300°C for 2 h with a heating speed of 2°C min^{-1} . Next, the impurities and unstable composition were removed by soaking the sample in HCl (2 M) followed by thorough rinsing to yield CoP/rGO -400. CoP/rGO -350 and CoP/rGO -450 were also obtained using the same phosphating treatment starting from $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -350 and $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ -450, respectively.

Preparation of CoP -400. The CoP -400 was synthesized *via* the same method as that for CoP/rGO -400 without adding the GO solution.

Preparation of rGO . The graphene oxide (20 mg) obtained by freeze drying was treated using the same process as that for CoP/rGO -400.

Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical measurements were performed with a CHI 760E electrochemical analyzer (CH Instruments, Inc., Shanghai) and a rotating disk electrode (RDE) (Pine Instruments, Grove City, PA) with a speed of 1600 rpm. All electrochemical measurements were conducted in a typical three-electrode setup with a Pt counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrodes. The $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution and 1 M KOH solution were used for the electrochemical measurements and the solutions were purged with N_2 for 30 min prior to the HER or overall water splitting test or with O_2 prior to the OER test. Before recording the electrochemical activity of the catalyst, the catalyst was activated using 20 cyclic voltammetry scans at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} . LSV measurements were conducted with a scan rate of 5 mV s^{-1} . All potentials reported in this paper were converted from *vs.* Ag/AgCl to *vs.* RHE by adding a value of $0.197 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$. All data were presented without iR compensation.



Scheme 1 Illustration of the fabrication procedure for the CoP/rGO -T nanocomposite.



The catalyst ink was prepared by dispersing 2 mg of catalyst into 1 mL of ethanol solvent containing 10 μL of 5 wt% Nafion and sonicating for 30 min. Then 28 μL of the catalyst ink was loaded onto a GCE of 5 mm diameter (loading amount: $\sim 0.28 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$).

To evaluate the bifunctionality of CoP/rGO in alkaline solutions, the catalyst was also loaded on two $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}$ carbon fiber papers (loading amount: $\sim 0.28 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$).

Results and discussion

The zeolite-type MOF, $\text{Co}(\text{2-MIM})_2$ (called ZIF-67, 2-MIM = 2-methylimidazole),⁸ featuring a three-dimensional (3D) network with 1.1 nm cages and a large surface area (BET, $>1500 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), is very suitable for acting as a template/precursor and can be converted to Co or a CoO_x -based composite upon pyrolysis.⁹ The introduction of a GO template into the growth solution for ZIF-67 in mixed solvents leads to the successful fabrication of ZIF-67/GO with the inherited sheet-like morphology of GO. It is clearly visible that ZIF-67 particles in 100–200 nm size are grown on both surfaces of GO to give a sandwich-type structure, clearly demonstrating the template role of GO. The thickness of the ZIF-67/GO layer with rough surfaces is 200–400 nm and the successful synthesis of ZIF-67 is supported by the powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Fig. 1a and S1†). It is noteworthy that, although a few MOF–GO composites have been synthesized by the direct growth of MOFs in the presence of GO, no sheet-like morphology was reported for their resultant products;^{7a,10} a ZIF-8/GO layered structure can be obtained only in the presence of PVP as an additional binder.^{7c} This is a very rare synthesis of MOF/GO sheets based on a GO template without any bridging agent.

The ZIF-67/GO underwent pyrolysis at 700°C in a N_2 atmosphere and subsequent oxidation at different temperatures to afford $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}-T$ (T represents oxidation temperature) with a retained layered structure (Fig. S2†), the BET surface area of which reached $40 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, thanks to the high porosity of ZIF-67

(Fig. S3 and S4†). Upon the further phosphating process for the cobalt oxides, $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-T$ ($T = 350, 400, 450$) were obtained and the presence of the CoP species was proven by the powder XRD profiles (Fig. S5†). As a representative, $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-400$ has been found to mostly retain the sheet-like morphology (Fig. 1b and S6†), although the thickness shrinks to $\sim 200 \text{ nm}$ due to the removal of organic species during the heat treatment. As expected, $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-400$ exhibits a porous character and possesses hierarchical pores, especially macropores (Fig. S7†), which is in good agreement with the TEM result (Fig. 1c). The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image reveals clear lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.19 nm that corresponds to the (211) planes of CoP, further evidencing the formation of a crystalline CoP species. In addition, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern exhibits the individual spots associated with concentric rings indexed to the (011), (111), (211) and (301) planes of orthorhombic CoP (Fig. 1d).^{2f,11} The GO was reduced during the thermal treatment, as indicated by the weakened intensity of the oxygen-containing bonds in the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey (Fig. S8†).

To evaluate the electrocatalytic HER activity, the catalysts were deposited on the RDE with a fixed mass loading ($\sim 0.28 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$). The HER performances were measured in acid ($0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$) and alkaline (1 M KOH) solutions (Fig. 2). Bare GCE, rGO, CoP and commercial Pt/C (20 wt%) were also examined for comparison. As expected, Pt/C shows the most excellent activity



Fig. 1 Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of (a) ZIF-67/GO and (b) $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-400$. (c) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and (d) high-resolution TEM images of $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-400$ (inset in (d): SAED pattern for CoP nanocrystal).



Fig. 2 Electrochemical HER activity of $\text{CoP}/\text{rGO}-400$. (a) LSV curves, (c) Tafel slopes and (e) durability test in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution; (b) LSV curves, (d) Tafel slopes and (f) durability test in 1 M KOH solution. Insets in (e and f): time-dependent current density curves under static overpotentials of 105 mV in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and 150 mV in 1 M KOH solution, respectively.



while bare GCE and rGO have very poor HER performances. Surprisingly, ZIF-67-derived CoP exhibits very high activity and CoP/rGO-400 is more active, possibly due to the synergistic effect between the porous CoP and conductive rGO, the latter of which significantly lowers the impedance of CoP/rGO-400 (Fig. S9†). As determined from the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) in acid solution (Fig. 2a), the HER onset potential of CoP/rGO-400 is ~ -13 mV and the kinetic current density reaches 10 mA cm^{-2} at an overpotential of 105 mV. The corresponding Tafel slope is 50 mV dec^{-1} (Fig. 2c). The very low overpotential and Tafel slope indicate a higher HER activity than almost all state-of-the-art HER catalysts in acid solution, upon considering the same catalyst loading (Table S1†).¹² Moreover, its polarization curve after 3000 cycles of continuous CV scanning shows negligible difference with the initial one in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (Fig. 2e). The chronoamperometry test for CoP/rGO-400 at an overpotential of 105 mV suggests that the activity remains very good even after 22 hours (Fig. 2e, inset). In addition, similar results have been obtained when CoP/rGO-400 was applied under alkaline conditions. It exhibits a low overpotential of 150 mV at 10 mA cm^{-2} , superior to those of CoP, rGO and bare GCE (Fig. 2b), and a Tafel slope of 38 mV dec^{-1} which is comparable to that of Pt/C (Fig. 2d). These values are much lower than those for other base metal HER catalysts in basic media (Table S2†). There is only a slight activity loss after 3000 cycles, while the chronoamperometry test for 22 hours presents its reliable stability at an overpotential of 150 mV (Fig. 2f). It is noteworthy that although Pt/C shows a very small onset potential, the catalytic current density of CoP/rGO-400 surpasses that of Pt/C when the overpotential exceeds 240 mV in alkaline solution. Taken together, the CoP/rGO-400 shows excellent HER activity and durability in both acidic and alkaline solutions.

It is even more challenging to obtain an efficient catalyst for the OER as it is a very complex and energy-intensive process, in which the O–H bond breaking and O=O bond formation as well as the four-electron transfer process have sluggish kinetics.^{16c} It has been reported very recently that CoP can act as an OER catalyst *via in situ* transformation.^{4b,13} Therefore, the OER performance of CoP/rGO-400 was investigated in 1 M KOH solution. Delightfully, CoP/rGO-400 can reach a current density of 10 mA cm^{-2} at an overpotential of 340 mV with a Tafel slope of 66 mV dec^{-1} , which is superior to CoP, rGO and even IrO_2 , the state-of-the-art OER catalyst (Fig. 3a and b). The durability test indicates that although there is a little regression after 3000 cycles, CoP/rGO-400 is pretty stable at an overpotential of 340 mV for 22 hours and remains at a very high activity (Fig. 3c). Control experiments for the CoP/rGO-*T* catalysts prepared at different oxidation temperatures have suggested the best electrochemical performances of CoP/rGO-400 for both the HER and OER (Fig. S10–12†). The XPS spectra for CoP/rGO-400 after the OER test clearly demonstrate the negligible incorporation of Fe species into the catalyst during the OER reaction in KOH solution, different from a recent report (Fig. S13†).¹⁴ In addition, given that $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO-400}$ is electrochemically active in alkaline solution, the comparison between CoP/rGO-400 and $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO-400}$ has been made and the result shows that CoP/rGO-400



Fig. 3 (a) LSV curves, (b) Tafel slopes, and (c) durability test for the electrochemical OER of CoP/rGO-400 (inset: time-dependent current density curve of CoP/rGO-400 under static overpotential of 340 mV) in 1 M KOH solution. (d) Bifunctional water electrolysis tested by LSV in 1 M KOH solution.

is much more active than $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO-400}$ for the HER and OER in 1 M KOH (Fig. S14†).

To probe the composition change of CoP/rGO-400 after the HER and OER, high-resolution XPS spectra for the as-prepared, post-HER and post-OER catalysts were collected. For the as-prepared catalyst, the Co 2p spectra show two peaks at 793.4 and 778.4 eV, corresponding to Co 2p_{3/2} and Co 2p_{1/2} of metallic Co, respectively (Fig. S15a†);¹⁵ the P 2p spectra display two peaks at 129.4 eV and 130.2 eV related to the signals of phosphide, while the peak at 133.6 eV gradually decreasing along with Ar⁺ sputtering should be attributed to the superficial oxidation of CoP (Fig. S15b†).^{15,16} The peaks of Co 2p for the post-HER catalyst are similar to those of the as-prepared one, implying the retained metallic Co during the HER (Fig. S15c†). Interestingly, only the peak at 129.9 eV is observable while the phosphate peak at 133.6 eV is absent from the P 2p spectra of the post-HER sample, possibly due to the dissolution of cobalt phosphate under the cathodic conditions (Fig. S15d†).

In comparison, besides the metallic Co 2p peaks at 778.4 and 793.4 eV, two new peaks at 781.4 and 797.0 eV, as well as their satellite peaks at 786.8 and 803.6 eV, emerge in the Co 2p spectra for the post-OER catalyst and those can be assigned to Co_3O_4 (Fig. S15e†).^{4b} The P 2p spectra also show a phosphate peak at 133.4 eV, together with the phosphide feature at 129.5 eV (Fig. S15f†). Different from those in the as-prepared CoP/rGO-400, the peaks for Co_3O_4 and phosphate in the post-OER catalyst remain strong even after long-time Ar⁺ sputtering, indicating that the CoP in the catalyst is partially oxidized to Co_3O_4 and cobalt phosphate during the OER. Such an *in situ* transformation explains the excellent OER activity of CoP/rGO-400 and that is in accordance with the reported results.^{4b,13}

Encouraged by the above results, a two-electrode configuration was employed with CoP/rGO-400 as a bifunctional electrocatalyst to investigate its performance for overall water splitting. Since Pt/C is well-established for the HER and IrO_2 for



the OER, the integration of the Pt/C and IrO₂ couple should provide a superb catalytic system, which, indeed, realizes water splitting with an overpotential of 480 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 201 mV dec⁻¹. When Pt/C was used as both electrodes, a much reduced catalytic performance was obtained (overpotential of 600 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 251 mV dec⁻¹). In stark contrast, CoP/GO-400, being applied as both electrodes, outperforms both systems and affords an overpotential of 470 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² and a Tafel slope of 135 mV dec⁻¹ (Fig. 3d and S16†). Particularly, the H₂ and O₂ yields during the water splitting over CoP/GO-400 were measured and the obtained molar ratio of H₂/O₂ fits well with 2 : 1 and the total yield fits a 100% faradaic efficiency (Fig. S17†). The separated HER and OER as well as the overall water splitting performances of CoP/rGO-400, compared with Pt/C, IrO₂ and their integrated couples, are shown in Table 1, clearly presenting the superb electrocatalytic activity of CoP/rGO-400.

It should be noted that Sun *et al.* reported HER catalysts derived from ZIF-67 during the submission of our work.¹⁷ Apparent advantages of our work have been found after careful investigation into their work and the results reported herein. The detailed comparisons are listed as follows: (1) For catalysis, to clarify the real active site, a phase pure catalyst is highly desired unless one can demonstrate that a mixture possesses obvious advantages. The catalysts reported by Sun *et al.* are a mixture of CoP and Co₂P, while no pure CoP or Co₂P was obtained in their work. In contrast, pure CoP was obtained as the active site in our work (Fig. S5†). (2) The heterogeneous nucleation/growth of ZIF-67 on a substrate is very challenging as the nucleation of ZIF-67 is very fast and it is prone to self-nucleation.¹⁸ For the first time, we have successfully synthesized 2D ZIF-67/GO sheets with a sandwich-like morphology in the absence of a binding agent *via* a facile room-temperature route (Scheme 1). Our success could offer an important reference for the synthesis of other MOFs/GO composites. (3) To fabricate a highly active electrocatalyst, we have rationally combined ZIF-67 (as a porous CoP precursor) and GO (as a 2D hard template) to give 2D ZIF/GO sheets and the derived CoP/rGO-400 composite (Fig. 1a). As a result, with the help of GO, the conductivity and electrochemical activity of CoP/rGO-400 is much better than pure CoP, which fits well with our original expectation. Compared to our rational design and synthesis, Sun *et al.* just worked on the thermal conversion of single ZIF-67 to a Co-P mixture species. (4) Thanks to the rational design, the resultant CoP/rGO-400 catalyst exhibits superb HER and OER

activity in 1 M KOH with an overpotential of 150 mV and 340 mV, respectively, at a mass loading of 0.28 mg cm⁻² (Fig. 2b and 3a). In contrast, Sun's work is about 180 mV and 350 mV at 0.283 mg cm⁻². Note that both the HER and OER activities of CoP/rGO-400 increase along with an increased mass loading (Fig. S18†). When the mass loading reached 0.42 mg cm⁻², the overpotential of our CoP/rGO-400 for the HER and OER in 1 M KOH is 104 mV and 320 mV, respectively, which are even better than the result at 1 mg cm⁻² in Sun's work (154 mV for HER and 319 mV for OER). (5) In our work, the H₂ and O₂ yields over time during the overall water splitting have demonstrated that the molar ratio of H₂/O₂ fits well with 2 : 1 and the total yield fits 100% faradaic efficiency (Fig. S17†), while similar tests are lacking in Sun's report. On the whole, our work is significantly different from Sun's work, not only the idea of the catalyst design, but also the catalyst fabrication, main active sites/composition (CoP and Co₂P mixture for Sun *et al.*, while CoP only for us) as well as the final catalytic performances.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed CoP/rGO layered composites as bifunctional catalysts for overall water splitting, *via* a GO-templated MOF growth and subsequent pyrolysis and phosphating process. The resultant CoP/rGO-400 nanocomposite exhibits superior HER catalytic performance in acid solution. Moreover, it is able to behave as an electrocatalyst for both the HER and OER, in alkaline solution with great efficiency and durability. The excellent electrocatalytic performance might be attributed to the synergistic effect between the MOF-derived CoP and rGO in terms of the porous nanostructures, high electrical conductivity and stability against corrosion during the HER and OER. Significantly, CoP/rGO-400 can be directly employed as a catalyst for both electrodes to afford efficient H₂ and O₂ generation in a single electrolyzer, making it a promising overall water splitting catalyst. This study opens up an exciting avenue to the design of efficient electrocatalysts based on MOF-GO composites by integrating their respective merits. Given the huge diversity and tailorability of MOFs, the strategy presented herein holds great promise for electrocatalysis and studies along this line are ongoing in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments and valuable suggestions. This work is supported by the NSFC (21371162, 51301159 and 21521001), the 973 program (2014CB931803), the Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (WK2060190026).

Notes and references

- (a) J. Greeley, T. F. Jaramillo, J. Bonde, I. Chorkendorff and J. K. Nørskov, *Nat. Mater.*, 2006, 5, 909; (b) H. B. Gray, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, 1, 7; (c) M. G. Walter, E. L. Warren, J. R. McKone, S. W. Boettcher, Q. Mi, E. A. Santori and

Table 1 Comparison of the catalytic results for overall water splitting in 1 M KOH solution

HER _{catalyst}	OER _{catalyst}	<i>E</i> _{HER} ^a	<i>E</i> _{OER} ^b	<i>E</i> ^c (V)
Pt/C	Pt/C	−90	1742	1.83
Pt/C	IrO ₂	−90	1625	1.71
CoP/rGO-400	CoP/GO-400	−150	1570	1.70

^a Potentials for the HER (unit: mV). ^b Potentials for the OER (unit: mV).

^c Potentials for overall water splitting at a current density of 10 mA cm⁻².



- N. S. Lewis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6446; (d) T. R. Cook, D. K. Dogutan, S. Y. Reece, Y. Surendranath, T. S. Teets and D. G. Nocera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6474; (e) R. Subbaraman, D. Tripkovic, K.-C. Chang, D. Strmcnik, A. P. Paulikas, P. Hirunsit, M. Chan, J. Greeley, V. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 550; (f) Y. Yang, H. Fei, G. Ruan and J. M. Tour, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3175; (g) H. Wang, H.-W. Lee, Y. Deng, Z. Lu, P.-C. Hsu, Y. Liu, D. Lin and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7261.
- 2 (a) T. F. Jaramillo, K. P. Jørgensen, J. Bonde, J. H. Nielsen, S. Horch and I. Chorkendorff, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 100; (b) Y. Li, H. Wang, L. Xie, Y. Liang, G. Hong and H. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7296; (c) C. G. Morales-Guio and X. Hu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2671; (d) M.-R. Gao, J.-X. Liang, Y.-A. Zheng, Y.-F. Xu, J. Jiang, Q. Gao, J. Li and S.-H. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 5982; (e) L. Liao, S. Wang, J. Xiao, X. Bian, Y. Zhang, M. D. Scanlon, X. Hu, Y. Tang, B. Liu and H. H. Girault, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 387; (f) Q. Liu, J. Tian, W. Cui, P. Jiang, N. Cheng, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 6710; (g) E. J. Popczun, C. G. Read, C. W. Roske, N. S. Lewis and R. E. Schaak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 5427; (h) D. Li, U. N. Maiti, J. Lim, D. S. Choi, W. J. Lee, Y. Oh, G. Y. Lee and S. O. Kim, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 1228.
- 3 (a) T. Y. Ma, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13925; (b) Z. Zhuang, W. Sheng and Y. Yan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 3950; (c) H. Jin, J. Wang, D. Su, Z. Wei, Z. Pang and Y. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2688; (d) L. Wu, Q. Li, C. H. Wu, H. Zhu, A. Mendoza-Garcia, B. Shen, J. Guo and S. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7071.
- 4 (a) C. Tang, N. Cheng, Z. Pu, W. Xing and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 9351; (b) N. Jiang, B. You, M. Sheng and Y. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6251; (c) Y.-P. Zhu, Y.-P. Liu, T.-Z. Ren and Z.-Y. Yuan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 7337.
- 5 (a) J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1213; (b) H.-C. Zhou, J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 673; (c) H.-C. Zhou and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5415.
- 6 (a) S. Ma, G. A. Goenaga, A. V. Call and D.-J. Liu, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 2063; (b) W. Zhang, Z.-Y. Wu, H.-L. Jiang and S.-H. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14385; (c) Q. Lin, X. Bu, A. Kong, C. Mao, X. Zhao, F. Bu and P. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2235; (d) Y.-Z. Chen, C. Wang, Z.-Y. Wu, Y. Xiong, Q. Xu, S.-H. Yu and H.-L. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5010; (e) W. Xia, A. Mahmood, R. Zou and Q. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1837; (f) P. Zhang, F. Sun, Z. Xiang, Z. Shen, J. Yun and D. Cao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 442; (g) D. Zhao, J.-L. Shui, L. R. Grabstanowicz, C. Chen, S. M. Commet, T. Xu, J. Lu and D.-J. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 1093; (h) S. Zhao, H. Yin, L. Du, L. He, K. Zhao, L. Chang, G. Yin, H. Zhao, S. Liu and Z. Tang, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 12660; (i) G. Huang, Y.-Z. Chen and H.-L. Jiang, *Acta Chim. Sinica*, 2016, DOI: 10.6023/A15080547.
- 7 (a) M. Jahan, Z. Liu and K. P. Loh, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5363; (b) Y. Hou, T. Huang, Z. Wen, S. Mao, S. M. Cui and J. H. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1400337; (c) H.-X. Zhong, J. Wang, Y.-W. Zhang, W.-L. Xu, W. Xing, D. Xu, Y.-F. Zhang and X.-B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14235.
- 8 (a) R. Banerjee, A. Phan, B. Wang, C. Knobler, H. Furukawa, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2008, **319**, 939; (b) J. Qian, F. Sun and L. Qin, *Mater. Lett.*, 2012, **82**, 220.
- 9 (a) R. Wu, X. Qian, X. Rui, H. Liu, B. Yadian, K. Zhou, J. Wei, Q. Yan, X.-Q. Feng, Y. Long, L. Wang and Y. Huang, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 1932; (b) N. L. Torad, M. Hu, S. Ishihara, H. Sukegawa, A. A. Belik, M. Imura, K. Ariga, Y. Sakka and Y. Yamauchi, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 2096; (c) Y.-X. Zhou, Y.-Z. Chen, L. Cao, J. Lu and H.-L. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8292; (d) W. Zhong, H. Liu, C. Bai, S. Liao and Y. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1850; (e) H. Hu, B. Guan, B. Xia and X. W. Lou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5590.
- 10 (a) C. Petit and T. J. Bandoz, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4753; (b) M. Jahan, Q. Bao, J.-X. Yang and K. P. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14487; (c) C. Petit and T. J. Bandoz, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 2108; (d) J. H. Lee, S. Kang, J. Jaworski, K. Y. Kwon, M. L. Seo, J. Y. Lee and J. H. Jung, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 765.
- 11 Y. Li, M. A. Malik and P. O'Brien, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16020.
- 12 (a) Y. Yan, B. Xia, Z. Xu and X. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1693; (b) C. G. Morales-Guio, L. A. Stern and X. Hu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6555.
- 13 (a) J. Ryu, N. Jung, J. H. Jang, H. J. Kim and S. J. Yoo, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4066; (b) J. Chang, Y. Xiao, M. Xiao, J. Ge, C. Liu and W. Xing, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 6874.
- 14 M. S. Burke, M. G. Kast, L. Trotochaud, A. M. Smith and S. W. Boettcher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 3638.
- 15 A. P. Grosvenor, S. D. Wik, R. G. Cavell and A. Mar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 8988.
- 16 F. H. Saadi, A. I. Carim, E. Verlage, J. C. Hemminger, N. S. Lewis and M. P. Soriaga, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 29294.
- 17 B. You, N. Jiang, M. Sheng, S. Gul, J. Yano and Y. Sun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7636.
- 18 H. K. Kwon, H.-K. Jeong, A. S. Lee, H. S. An and J. S. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12304.

