# **Materials Horizons**

# MINIREVIEW



Cite this: Mater. Horiz., 2014, 1, 314

## Spatial atmospheric atomic layer deposition: a new laboratory and industrial tool for low-cost photovoltaics

David Muñoz-Rojas<sup>\*abc</sup> and Judith MacManus-Driscoll<sup>c</sup>

Recently, a new approach to atomic layer deposition (ALD) has been developed that doesn't require vacuum and is much faster than conventional ALD. This is achieved by separating the precursors in space rather than in time. This approach is most commonly called Spatial ALD (SALD). In our lab we have been using/ developing a novel atmospheric SALD system to fabricate active components for new generation solar cells, showing the potential of this novel technique for the fabrication of high quality materials that can be integrated into devices. In this minireview we will introduce the basics of SALD and illustrate its great potential by highlighting recent results in the field of photovoltaics.

Received 18th November 2013 Accepted 6th February 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c3mh00136a

rsc.li/materials-horizons

#### Atomic layer deposition: unique pros, significant cons

Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) is a powerful deposition technique offering unique assets: deposition of high quality films at low temperatures, very precise control over film thickness and

a Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Barcelona, ICMAB-CSIC, Campus de la UAB, Bellaterra, 08193, Spain. E-mail: davidmunozrojas@gmail.com

<sup>ь</sup>Laboratoire des Matériaux et du Génie Physique (LMGP), UMR 5628 CNRS – Grenoble INP Minatec, 3, Parvis Louis N´eel MINATEC CS 50257, 38016 Grenoble Cedex 1, France

c Department of Materials Science and Metallurgy, University of Cambridge, 27 Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, UK

highly conformal coatings, *i.e.* complete and uniform coating of high aspect ratio features. These properties have rendered ALD the technique of choice in the microelectronics industry (e.g. deposition of gap dielectrics for magnetic heads, high-k oxide dielectrics and metal electrodes for dynamic random-access memories, DRAM).<sup>1-4</sup> But the burst of nanoscience and nanotechnology in the last decades has also brought an associated boom of ALD popularity, since it allows the nanoengineering of surfaces with precise nanoscale control. As an example, the first report on the homogenous coating of nanoparticles by ALD dates from 2000.<sup>5</sup> In the case of nanoparticles, a fluidized bed reactor is more convenient.<sup>6</sup> This increase in the use of ALD is testified by the publication of 7 general reviews dedicated to **MINIREVIEW**<br>
Spatial atmospheric atomic layer deposition: a new Mindelson<br>
Spatial atmospheric atomic layer deposition: a new Mindelson<br>
Spatial atmospheric atomic layer deposition: a new Mindelson<br>
Spatial Municz-Rojas<sup></sup>



David Muñoz-Rojas received his degree in Organic Chemistry at the Instituto Químico de Sarrià (IQS, 1999) and his PhD in Materials Science (2004) at the Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Barcelona. Thereafter, he worked as a postdoc at the Laboratoire de Réactivité et Chimie des Solides in Amiens, the Research Centre for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology in Barcelona and at the University of

Cambridge (Device Materials Group). He is currently a permanent researcher at the Laboratoire des Matériaux et du Génie Physique in Grenoble. His research focuses on using and developing cheap and scalable chemical approaches for the fabrication of novel functional materials for electronic and optoelectronic applications.



Judith MacManus-Driscoll is a professor of materials science in the department of materials science and metallurgy of the University of Cambridge (UK). Her research focuses on the properties of complex oxide materials and nanostructures with wide-ranging functions. During the last 25 years of her career, she has published more than 300 papers in this area. She is editor of APL Materials, a

fellow of the American Physical Society and the Institute of Physics (UK), a member of the Materials Research Society, and a long-term visiting staff member at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

ALD in the last decade.<sup>3,7-12</sup> Apart from those, reviews have been written covering the application of ALD in specific areas such as nanotechnology, $13,14$  the environment<sup>15</sup> and energy (lithium batteries,<sup>16</sup> fuel cells<sup>17</sup> and photovoltaics<sup>18,19</sup>), and medical and biologic applications.<sup>20</sup> Despite this increasing success, ALD still faces two main drawbacks which compromise both its generalised use in the laboratory and its implementation in large scale, high throughput production lines. First, ALD is a very slow technique (up to 2 orders of magnitude slower than chemical vapour deposition (CVD)) and, second, it usually involves processing in vacuum, thus making it complicated and expensive to scale up.

Both the unique advantages and drawbacks of ALD are the consequence of how it works: ALD is a particular case of CVD in which the reaction is restricted to the sample surface, thus being self-limited. This is achieved by exposing the sample to the reactants at different time,<sup>21</sup> *i.e.* in a sequence of pulses (Fig. 1a). In this way, the metal precursors (e.g.  $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_3)_3$  in the case of fabrication of  $Al_2O_3$ ) are supplied and react with the surface, ideally forming a monolayer. Excess precursor is then purged, usually by evacuation. The second precursor  $(e.g. H<sub>2</sub>O)$ 



Fig. 1 (a and b) Temporal vs. spatial ALD. Copyright 2011, AIP Publishing LLC; reprinted with permission from ref. 22.

is then injected and reacts with the chemisorbed layer forming a monolayer of the desired material (*i.e.*  $Al_2O_3$ ) plus by-products  $(CH<sub>4</sub>)$  that have to be purged along with the excess precursor. The cycle is then repeated the necessary number of times to obtain a very precise film thickness. Thus, the unique advantages and drawbacks of conventional ALD are a consequence of separating the different precursors in time (Fig. 1a, conventional ALD is thus also called temporal ALD).

### The key to atmospheric, high throughput ALD: from temporal to spatial

Although there have been several approaches to realizing ALD without the need of a high vacuum, Spatial ALD (SALD) has been the most popular in the last years due to its conceptual simplicity and the high deposition rates that can be achieved, and thus has also been called Atmospheric ALD (AALD).<sup>23</sup> Conversely, atmospheric temporal ALD approaches based on fluidized reactors show comparable deposition rates with conventional vacuum ALD since those still rely on injection-purge cycles.<sup>24-27</sup> The key idea allowing high throughput atmospheric spatial ALD is not new and was indeed patented in 1977 and 1983 by Suntola et al.<sup>28,29</sup> It simply consists in separating the precursors in space rather than in time (Fig. 1b), as opposed to temporal ALD. Thus, in SALD the different precursors are supplied constantly in between inert gas regions. Films are then grown by alternatively exposing the substrate from one precursor region to the other going across the inert gas regions (Fig. 1b). In this way, the oscillation of the substrate (or of the gases injector) from one precursor zone to the second one, going across the inert gas regions, reproduces the classical temporal ALD scheme: the first metal precursor reacts with the surface forming a monolayer while any unreacted precursor is swept away and purged in the inert region; then the second precursor reacts with the previous monolayer forming a layer of material; finally the sample returns to the first precursor region again going across the inert region where any by-products and excess precursor are purged. This approach allows much faster deposition rates, which are mainly limited by the precursor reaction kinetics, so that a full reaction can take place while the sample is within the precursor zones. As recently reviewed by Poodt et al.,<sup>22</sup> from 2008 there has been a variety of SALD designs exploiting this idea, some of them already being commercialized. The versatility of Suntola's approach can be appreciated by the multiple designs and configurations presented so far.<sup>22,30</sup> Menteview Access Article is exact of the published on 0.001 and space are article. The published on 2014. The common access Article is exactly and the common access Article is exactly and the common access Article is lice

> One of the approaches used in several of the recent SALD designs is the use of a short distance between the precursor delivery zone and the substrate (typically below 100  $\mu$ m, Fig. 2a). In this configuration, referred to as "close proximity SALD", the different gas regions are located along alternative channels where the gases flow parallel to each other, as illustrated in Fig. 2a. The close distance of the substrate, *i.e.* the small gap between injector and substrate, prevents the different precursors from mixing since the inert gas channel acts as an effective barrier. This approach has several advantages including: (i) the possibility of having smaller designs since the precursor regions



Fig. 2 (a) Close-proximity SALD approach. The sample oscillates under the different flows reproducing the cycles in conventional ALD (numbered arrows); (b) scheme of the deposition head designed by Kodak. Copyright 2013 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Adapted with permission from ref. 23.

are very small; (ii) simpler designs which are compatible with roll-to-roll processing; (iii) the possibility of using gas-bearings.<sup>22</sup> Although several patents on close-proximity SALD were filed from 2008 to 2011,<sup>22</sup> Levy et al. from Kodak were the first ones to present and publish their results on the deposition of ZnO thin film transistors, thus showing the suitability of SALD for fabricating device components.<sup>31</sup> Later Poodt et al. also demonstrated high quality  $Al_2O_3$  films obtained by SALD. These films were used to passivate the surface of conventional silicon solar cells.<sup>32</sup> The design used by Levy et al. consists of a deposition head in which the different gas flows are distributed along the parallel channels (see scheme in Fig. 2b). This design is very simple and can be made very small, being indeed reminiscent of a printer head. Furthermore it is very easy to scale up, modify and customize. Finally, it is readily compatible with roll-to-roll processing. Such an approach is therefore very readily applicable both in laboratory and industrial settings. A similar approach has already been commercialized for the deposition of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  passivation layers on silicon and Cu(In, Ga)Se<sub>2</sub> thin-film solar cells.<sup>22,33</sup>

#### The potential of SALD: fabrication of active components for low-cost solar cells

During the last years, in our group we have been using and developing a Kodak close-proximity SALD system, pioneering

the deposition, study and implementation of high quality SALD films in low-cost/new generation photovoltaic devices.<sup>23,34-40</sup> Our results include: (i) the demonstration of the feasibility of using SALD for the ultra-fast deposition of high-quality films that can be integrated in devices; (ii) the deposition of materials with superior properties compared to other low temperature and scalable methods; (iii) the fundamental studies of new-generation solar cells by using doped oxide films; and (iv) the nanoengineering of surfaces with SALD thin films to improve solar cells efficiencies. These results are succinctly reviewed next.

In the first example we used SALD to grow high quality  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ blocking layers for organic (poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5 diyl):[6,6]-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (P3HT:PCBM)) solar cells.<sup>23</sup> Dense, uniform thin TiO<sub>2</sub> films were grown at temperatures as low as 100 °C in only 37 s ( $\sim$ 20 nm min<sup>-1</sup> growth rate). Incorporation of these films in P3HT-PCBM-based solar cells showed performances comparable with cells made using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  films deposited with much longer processing times and/or higher temperatures (SALD at 350 $\degree$ C and spray pyrolysis at 450 °C). The high quality of the amorphous films obtained at 100  $\degree$ C allowed the use of only 12 nm thick blocking layers, thus compensating for the lower conductivity of amorphous  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ with respect to crystalline  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , and resulting in two orders of magnitude faster deposition than other low-temperature scalable methods (Fig. 3a). We also studied thin ZnO (<200 nm) films grown by SALD in a matter of minutes as a hole-blocking layer in P3HT:PCBM based inverted solar cells. Despite the very rapid, open atmosphere growth method, the performances of the cells were comparable with the best cells of the same type reported in the literature. The performances were also maintained after 200 days of storage in air in the dark (Fig. 3b), $34$ showing the high stability of the ZnO films made by SALD. Materials Horizons<br> **Commons Article is an access Article in the commons Article and Solution**<br> **Commons Article and Solution Access Article and Solution Access Article and Solution Access Article and Solution 3.0 Unporte** 

Secondly, we successfully deposited phase pure  $Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  films of thickness 50–120 nm using our SALD system at temperatures between 125 and 225 °C (Fig. 3c, inset).<sup>35</sup> Growth rates were  $\sim$ 1 nm  $min^{-1}$ , which is two orders of magnitude faster than conventional ALD. The films grown at 225  $\degree$ C had carrier mobility values above 5  $\text{cm}^2$  V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Good carrier concentrations of around  $10^{16}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> were obtained for all deposition temperatures. The mobility values achieved were much higher than those obtained for electrodeposited films and approached the obtained for thermally oxidized films grown at 400  $^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 3c). Subsequently, we demonstrated SALD grown  $p+ Cu<sub>2</sub>O$ films on top of electrodeposited  $ZnO/Cu<sub>2</sub>O$  solar cells (Fig. 3d) to build in the electric field, thereby permitting the use of a thinner ( $\sim$ 1 mm compared to  $\sim$ 3 mm) electrodeposited Cu<sub>2</sub>O layer. This thinner cell design gave reduced recombination losses and increased charge collection from both incident light and light reflected off the back electrode, resulting in a 29% increase in efficiency.<sup>36</sup>

Another of the advantages of SALD is that doping can be achieved very easily by adding precursors to the different precursor lines. As an example, nitrogen doped ZnO (ZnO:N) can be deposited by using an ammonia solution instead of water.<sup>41</sup> N doping decreases the carrier concentration of ZnO and this has allowed us to perform fundamental studies of PbX  $(X = S, Se)$  quantum dot  $(QD)/ZnO$  solar cells. For QDs where



Fig. 3 (a) Best SALD cell efficiency compared with equivalent cells from the literature in terms of blocking layer fabrication time; Copyright 2013 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Reprinted with permission from ref. 23. (b) Efficiency of devices with SALD ZnO hole-blocking layers measured one week after manufacture and 200 days after manufacture; Copyright 2013, Elsevier. Reprinted from ref. 34. (c) Mobility values as a function of temperature for SALD Cu2O films compared to reported values for other non-vacuum methods (ED: electrodeposition, ThOx: thermal oxidation); inset: optical photographs of Cu<sub>2</sub>O films deposited at 150 °C on 6.3  $\times$  6.3 cm<sup>2</sup> glass and flexible PEN substrates; Copyright 2012, American Institute of Physics. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported. Reprinted from ref. 35. (d) Cross section SEM image of an SALD Cu<sub>2</sub>O-enhanced electrodeposited ZnO/Cu<sub>2</sub>O cell; Copyright 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. Reproduced with permission from ref. 36. (e) Open-circuit voltage of PbX ( $X = S$ , Se)/ZnO:N cells as a function of nitrogen-doping precursor fraction; Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society. Reprinted with permission from ref. 37. (f) Photovoltaic measurement of hybrid ZnO/P3HT devices employing approximately 60 nm ZnO films with and without a ZnO:N coating approximately 20 nm thick; Copyright 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. Reproduced with permission from ref. 39. (g) Open-circuit voltage (with device structure inset) for the  $Zn_{1-x}Mg_xO/PbSe$  cells over a doping series. (h) Light J–V curves comparing the highest efficiency Zn<sub>1-x</sub>Mg<sub>x</sub>O–PbSe CQDSC with the most efficient ZnO–PbSe CQDSC ones. Copyright 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. Reproduced from ref. 40.

there is a signicant density of intragap states, recombination at the ZnO/QD interface can be limited by reducing the ZnO carrier concentration through N doping since it prevents the back-transfer of electrons and/or enables the collection of trapped electrons via sub-bandgap states in the ZnO. As a result, both the cell open circuit voltage  $(V_{\text{OC}})$  and efficiency increased with increasing N dopant level (Fig. 3e).<sup>37</sup> We have also used SALD to engineer the surface of ZnO by depositing a 20 nm coating of N:ZnO on plain ZnO. The reduction in electron concentration in the surface results in enhanced exciton dissociation in ZnO/P3HT cells due to an improved lightinduced de-trapping of electrons at the ZnO:N surface. This enhances exciton dissociation and electron transfer from the P3HT to the oxide, resulting in 4 times higher short circuit density (Fig. 3f).<sup>39</sup> Finally, Mg doped ZnO has been successfully deposited using SALD, allowing a continuous tuning of the ZnO bandgap from 3.3 eV to 5.5 eV by adjusting the Mg percentage in

 $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Mg}_x\text{O}$ . We then used  $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Mg}_x\text{O}$  in PbSe/ZnO solar cells, increasing the  $V_{\text{OC}}$  from 408 mV up to 608 mV at a  $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Mg}_x\text{O}$ bandgap of 3.55 eV, the highest  $V_{OC}$  reported to date for this type of cell (Fig. 3g and h).<sup>40</sup>

#### Future challenges for SALD: towards a materials printer

SALD is a technique that has only just recently begun to be used for the deposition of high quality materials in functional devices. Indeed, the first theoretical<sup>42-44</sup> and kinetic<sup>45,46</sup> studies on SALD have been published in just the last months and reports evaluating the effect of deposition conditions on the properties of SALD ZnO and  $Al_2O_3$  were also published recently.<sup>47-49</sup> To date,  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Cu_2O$ , TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO (including doping such as Al:ZnO, Mg:ZnO and ZnO:N) have already been



demonstrated (Table 1 presents a summary of these materials and the application to solar cells detailed above). Hence, while it is early days, it is clear that there is tremendous potential for SALD, as briefly discussed in this minireview. The emergence and importance of SALD has also been recognized by the inclusion of a dedicated symposium on high throughput ALD at the AVS60 meeting (Fall 2013).

Despite this success, the ultimate challenge is to create a versatile materials printer based on the SALD approach where all the characteristics, materials and applications that are currently achievable with ALD can also be obtained with SALD, while maintaining the vacuum-free environment, use of simple equipment, and the orders of magnitude faster deposition rates. One of the main limitations of SALD compared to ALD is that only relatively high volatility precursors can be used in order to have a sufficient amount of precursor molecules in the carrier gas.

As the technique becomes more popular, we expect novel precursors to be designed specifically for SALD systems with higher volatility and reactivity. Of course, the price of novel SALD precursors will need to be reasonable in order not to compromise the low cost associated to SALD. But in this sense, SALD is intrinsically more efficient in terms of precursor utilisation, thus allowing the use of precursors with slightly higher prices than conventional ALD/CVD precursors. Other limitations that will have to be overcome include the ability to maintain the high deposition rates of SALD when high aspect ratio features have to be coated, since precursor diffusion plays a key role in these cases (particularly in the case of high aspect ratio cavities, such as supported alumina templates<sup>51,52</sup>). The coating of freeform samples will require novel SALD designs, especially in the case of the close proximity approach. Additionally, while plasmas have already been implemented in some SALD systems,<sup>22</sup> their use in atmospheric systems will have to be developed by implementing atmospheric plasmas. Finally, the deposition of complex materials such as multicomponent oxides remains to be explored using SALD.

One of the main advantages of SALD is that it is based on a very simple idea that allows for freedom of design modification, and that it is a very simple and cost-effective research tool, implementable in many different laboratory settings. Thus, customized systems for specific materials or substrates will surely be developed in the coming years. We expect that over the next years we will see a proliferation in the utilization of SALD, both in terms of the number of system designs and applications targeted - the areas of flextronics and barrier layer coatings being primary examples.

#### Acknowledgements

DMR acknowledges funding from the Marie Curie Actions (FP7/ 2007-2013, grant agreement number 219332), the Ramon y Cajal 2011 programme from the Spanish MICINN and the European Social Fund, and the Comissionat per a Universitats i Recerca (CUR) del DIUE de la Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain. JLMD acknowledges the support of the European Research Council (ERC-2009-AdG 247276 NOVOX).

## References

- 1 R. G. Gordon, in Atomic Layer Deposition for Semiconductors, ed. C. S. Hwang, Springer, 2013, p. 15.
- 2 T. Kääriäinen, D. Cameron, M.-L. Kääriäinen and A. Sherman, in Atomic Layer Deposition: Principles, Characteristics, and Nanotechnology Applicatons, Wiley-Scrivener, 2nd edn, 2013, p. 215.
- 3 W. M. M. (Erwin) Kessels and M. Putkonen, MRS Bull., 2011, 36, 907–913.
- 4 G. N. Parsons, J. W. Elam, S. M. George, S. Haukka, H. Jeon, W. M. M. (Erwin) Kessels, M. Leskelä, P. Poodt, M. Ritala and S. M. Rossnagel, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2013, 31, 050818. Members **References**<br> **Pacific articles** Articles. The Constant anticometer Access Articles Articles. The Constant anticle is licensed on the Creative Commons (2014). The Commons Access Articles. The Commons Articles Arti
	- 5 J. Ferguson, A. Weimer and S. George, Thin Solid Films, 2000, 371, 95–104.
	- 6 E. Rauwel, O. Nilsen, P. Rauwel, J. C. Walmsley, H. B. Frogner, E. Rytter and H. Fjellvåg, Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2012, 18, 315–325.
	- 7 M. Leskelä and M. Ritala, Thin Solid Films, 2002, 409, 138-146.
	- 8 M. Leskelä and M. Ritala, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5548–5554.
	- 9 R. L. Puurunen, J. Appl. Phys., 2005, 97, 121301.
	- 10 G. Clavel, E. Rauwel, M.-G. Willinger and N. Pinna, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 454.
	- 11 S. M. George, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 111–131.
	- 12 V. Miikkulainen, M. Leskelä, M. Ritala and R. L. Puurunen, J. Appl. Phys., 2013, 113, 021301.
	- 13 M. Knez, K. Nielsch and L. Niinistö, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 3425–3438.
	- 14 D. M. King, X. Liang and A. W. Weimer, Powder Technol., 2012, 221, 13–25.
	- 15 C. Marichy, M. Bechelany and N. Pinna, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 1017–1032.
	- 16 X. Meng, X.-Q. Yang and X. Sun, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 3589– 3615.
	- 17 M. Cassir, A. Ringuedé and L. Niinistö, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 8987.
	- 18 J. R. Bakke, K. L. Pickrahn, T. P. Brennan and S. F. Bent, Nanoscale, 2011, 3, 3482–3508.
	- 19 J. A. van Delft, D. Garcia-Alonso and W. M. M. Kessels, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 2012, 27, 074002.
	- 20 S. A. Skoog, J. W. Elam and R. J. Narayan, Int. Mater. Rev., 2013, 58, 113–129.
	- 21 M. Ritala and M. Leskelä, in Handbook of Thin Film Materials, ed. H. S. Nalwa, Academic Press, 2002, pp. 103–159.
	- 22 P. Poodt, D. C. Cameron, E. Dickey, S. M. George, V. Kuznetsov, G. N. Parsons, F. Roozeboom, G. Sundaram and A. Vermeer, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2012, 30, 010802.
	- 23 D. Muñoz-Rojas, H. Sun, D. C. Iza, J. Weickert, L. Chen, H. Wang, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications, 2013, 21, 393–400.
	- 24 A. Hunter and A. H. Kitai, J. Cryst. Growth, 1988, 91, 111–118.
- 25 R. Beetstra, U. Lafont, J. Nijenhuis, E. M. Kelder and J. R. van Ommen, Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2009, 15, 227–233.
- 26 J. S. Jur and G. N. Parsons, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 299–308.
- 27 A. Goulas and J. Ruud van Ommen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 4647.
- 28 T. S. Suntola, A. J. Pakkala and S. G. Lindfors, 1983, US Pat., 4,389,973.
- 29 T. S. Suntola and J. Antson, 1977, US Pat., 4,058,430.
- 30 A. S. Yersak, Y. C. Lee, J. A. Spencer and M. D. Groner, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2014, 32, 01A130.
- 31 D. H. Levy, D. Freeman, S. F. Nelson, P. J. Cowdery-Corvan and L. M. Irving, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2008, 92, 192101.
- 32 P. Poodt, A. Lankhorst, F. Roozeboom, K. Spee, D. Maas and A. Vermeer, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 3564–3567.
- 33 A. Illiberi, F. Grob, C. Frijters, P. Poodt, R. Ramachandra, H. Winands, M. Simor and P. J. Bolt, Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications, 2013, 21, 1559–1566.
- 34 R. L. Z. Hoye, D. Muñoz-Rojas, D. C. Iza, K. P. Musselman and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2013, 116, 197–202.
- 35 D. Muñoz-Rojas, M. Jordan, C. Yeoh, A. T. Marin, A. Kursumovic, L. Dunlop, D. C. Iza, A. Chen, H. Wang and J. L. MacManus-driscoll, AIP Adv., 2012, 2, 042179.
- 36 A. T. Marin, D. Muñoz-Rojas, D. C. Iza, T. Gershon, K. P. Musselman and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 3413–3419.
- 37 B. Ehrler, K. P. Musselman, M. L. Böhm, F. S. F. Morgenstern, Y. Vaynzof, B. J. Walker, J. L. MacManus-Driscoll and N. C. Greenham, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 4210–4220.
- 38 R. L. Z. Hoye, K. P. Musselman and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, APL Mater., 2013, 1, 060701.
- 39 K. P. Musselman, S. Albert-Seifried, R. L. Z. Hoye, A. Sadhanala, D. Muñoz-Rojas, J. L. Macmanus-Driscoll and R. H. Friend, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, DOI: 10.1002/ adfm.201303994.
- 40 R. L. Z. Hoye, B. Ehrler, M. L. Böhm, D. Muñoz-Rojas, R. M. Altamimi, A. Y. Alyamani, Y. Vaynzof, A. Sadhanala, G. Ercolano, N. C. Greenham, R. H. Friend, J. L. MacManus-Driscoll and K. P. Musselman, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201301544.
- 41 L. Dunlop, A. Kursumovic and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2008, 93, 172111.
- 42 S. Suh, S. Park, H. Lim, Y.-J. Choi, C. S. Hwang, H. J. Kim and S.-J. Won, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2012, 30, 051504.
- 43 A. Holmqvist, T. Törndahl and S. Stenström, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2013, 96, 71–86.
- 44 C. D. Travis and R. A. Adomaitis, Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2013, 19, 4–14.
- 45 P. Poodt, J. van Lieshout, A. Illiberi, R. Knaapen, F. Roozeboom and A. van Asten, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2013, 31, 01A108.
- 46 P. Poodt, A. Illiberi and F. Roozeboom, Thin Solid Films, 2013, 532, 22–25.
- 47 M. B. M. Mousa, C. J. Oldham, J. S. Jur and G. N. Parsons, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2012, 30, 01A155.
- 48 P. Ryan Fitzpatrick, Z. M. Gibbs and S. M. George, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A, 2012, 30, 01A136.
- 49 A. Illiberi, F. Roozeboom and P. Poodt, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 268–272.
- 50 A. Illiberi, R. Scherpenborg, Y. Wu, F. Roozeboom and P. Poodt, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 13124–13128.
- 51 X. Ren, T. Gershon, D. Iza, D. Muñoz-Rojas, K. Musselman and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 365604. Materials Horizons<br>
18 P. Ryan Pitchelle. Published on 06 S. M. Grozger, J. Vet. 36 E. Metal, T. Graholma D. Bat, D. Mathronicals, R. Materialsons, 2014. April 2014<br>
17. Commons Article. A. D. Materialsons, 2014. A. S. Apr
	- 52 K. P. Musselman, G. J. Mulholland, A. P. Robinson, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. L. MacManus-Driscoll, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 4470–4475.