RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 58292

Electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolation of thiols with trifluoromethanesulfenamide†

Marjan Jereb* and Darko Dolenc

The highly selective and effective, metal-free, acid promoted trifluoromethylthiolation of thiols to the corresponding trifluoromethyl disulfides is described. The aryl-, benzyl-, aliphatic-, and heteroaromatic thiols reacted selectively, thus proving excellent reaction generality. The method offers practical and easy access to the previously mostly unknown or rarely reported trifluoromethyl disulfides. Comparison of the relative reactivity of thiophenols suggests formation of an electron-deficient intermediate in the transition state, which was supported by quantum chemical calculations. The supposed reaction course is discussed.

Received 22nd April 2015 Accepted 26th June 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5ra07316b

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

Fluorinated organic molecules have been gaining significance in different fields *i.e.* medicinal and agrochemistry, highperformance advanced materials and new reaction media such as fluorinated ionic liquids and perfluorinated solvents.¹ The fluorine atom in an organic molecule brings about several stereoelectronic changes, and the C–F bond is an important conformational and bioisosteric tool in bioorganic chemistry.² The fluorine atom is important in inter- and intramolecular interactions; moreover, it is of particular significance in molecular recognition³ and crystal engineering.⁴ The trifluoromethyl group is one of the strategic fluorine-containing substituents and there has been immense interest in its introduction into organic molecules.^{5,6} The discovery of the first electrophilic CF₃-transfer agent, by Yagupolskii in 1984,⁷ induced new developments in this field.^{8,9} The introduction of the trifluoromethyl group into organic molecules using nucleophilic,¹⁰ radical¹¹ and metal-based¹² CF₃ sources has also progressed very rapidly.

A very attractive modulation of the CF_3 – group is CF_3S – trifluoromethylthiol group, although its introduction have been studied much less than that of the CF_3 – group. The trifluoromethylthiol group CF_3S – exhibits a remarkably high lipophilicity parameter, and is one of the key fragments in certain biologically relevant compounds.¹³ The $2'$ -SCF₃ substituted uridine derivative was found to be a potent label for probing structure and function of RNA by 19 F NMR spectroscopy.¹⁴ There are different ways of introduction of CF_3S – functionality. The

introduction of the CF_3S – group could be performed directly,¹⁵ by interconversion of functional groups¹⁶ or by trifluoromethylation of suitable sulfur-containing moieties.¹⁷ Direct methods usually rely on heavy-metal-based reagents¹⁸ and/or catalysts or on the use of extremely toxic and hazardous tri fluoromethyldisulfide¹⁹ or trifluoromethylsulfenyl chloride.²⁰ Recently, considerable steps forward have been made in the direct introduction of the CF₃S– functionality.²¹ Several new SCF₃ transfer agents have been developed, particular of an electrophilic nature. The recent progress was initiated by the work of Billard, Langlois and coworkers when they published a synthesis of PhNHSCF₃ 1 and its derivatives.²² These compounds are easyto-handle electrophilic $SCF₃$ reagents that can react with alkenes and alkynes, 23 indoles, 24 organometallic species, 25 tryptamines, 26 amines,²⁷ allyl silanes²⁸ and phenols.²⁹ An interesting cyclization was observed with different internal alkynes and PhNHSCF₃ furnishing the corresponding trifluoromethylthio substituted indoles, 30 benzofurans and benzothiophenes, 31 1H-isochromen-1-ones,³² 2H-benzo[e][1,2]thiazine 1,1-dioxides³³ and benzofulvenes.³⁴ A recently developed trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide was shown to be an effective tri fluoromethylthiolating agent after an in situ reduction of trifluoromethanesulfonyl group.³⁵ N-(Trifluoromethylthio)succinimide was utilized in a selective trifluoromethylthiolation of arenes,³⁶ while an in situ-generated reagent from AgSCF₃ and NCS was applied for the trifluoromethylthiolation of terminal alkynes.³⁷ N-Trifluoromethylthiophthalimide was employed in trifluoromethylthiolation of boronic acids,³⁸ alkynes,³⁹ amines and thiols,⁴⁰ and a combination with cinchona alkaloids was utilized in a catalytic asymmetric trifluoromethylthiolation of $oxin doles⁴¹$ and β -ketoesters.⁴² One interesting trifluoromethylthiolating agent is a thioperoxide-based reagent containing a reactive O-S bond.^{43,44} It was found to be effective in trifluoromethylthiolation of boronic acids,⁴⁵ Grignard reagents, alkynes, indoles, β -ketoesters, oxindoles, indoles,⁴⁶ sodium PAPER

Solution and the control of this section of this section of the section of this section of this section of the section of th

Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Večna pot 113, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mail: marjan.jereb@fkkt.uni-lj.si; Fax: +386 1 241 9144; Tel: +386 1 479 8577

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Copies of ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of all products, and computed geometries and energies of model species. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra07316b

sulfinates⁴⁷ and carboxylic acids.⁴⁸ It was also utilized in the activation of thioglycoside donors⁴⁹ and in catalytic asymmetric trifluoromethylthiolations.⁵⁰ N-Trifluoromethylthiosaccharin⁵¹ was applied in the trifluoromethylthiolation of alcohols, amines, thiols, arenes, 52 aldehydes, ketones, acyclic β -ketoesters, and alkynes. Functionalization of allylic alcohols furnished the corresponding trifluoromethyl sulfoxides via a $[2,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangement.⁵³ A combination of AgSCF₃ and trichloroisocyanuric acid was utilized as an in situ electrophilic $SCF₃$ source in enantioselective catalytic functionalization of oxindoles⁵⁴ and in the synthesis of 3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)- $4H$ chromen-4-ones.⁵⁵ Carbonyl compounds⁵⁶ and arenes⁵⁷ were trifluoromethylthiolated with a new N - $($ (trifluoromethyl)thio) benzenesulfonamide type of reagent.

Trifluoromethyl disulfides are important as precursors of biologically active trifluoromethyl thiosulfonates^{58,59} and can be prepared from thiols⁶⁰ and CF₃SCl or uncommon pyrole-SCF₃ derivatives,⁶¹ upon reaction of bis(trifluoromethyl) trisulfide and organolithium reagents, 62 and via the photochemical reaction of trifluoromethylated thioesters with disulfides.⁶³ The first of these²⁰ is not very convenient or safe, while the latter two methods^{62,63} were neither selective nor synthetically useful. The recently developed reagents N-trifluoromethylthiosaccharin⁵¹ and N-trifluoromethylthiophthalimide⁴⁰ were demonstrated to be suitable for the synthesis of trifluoromethyl disulfides. We were interested in the reactivity of 1 with thiols because several different transformations are possible. Aromatic ring functionalization could take place; oxidation of thiols into the corresponding disulfides and synthesis of the trifluoromethyl disulfides are the other options. Here, we report on a straightforward, selective and efficient synthesis of trifluoromethyl disulfides. Paper
 SEC ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE CONTRACT CONTR

Results and discussion

Initially, 4-methylthiophenol 2a was reacted with 1 in dichloromethane (DCM), and only traces of 4-methylphenyl trifluoromethyl disulfide 3a were noted (Table 1, entry 1). Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using octafluoronaphthalene as internal standard. Structures of the products were further verified independently. It is known that the electrophilic power of 1 is not high enough to react without promoters/additives.²³ Several potential additives in the transformation of 2a were examined; the results are summarized in Table 1.

Reaction of 2a with 1 in the presence of 2 equivalents of trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) gave 3a in 51% yield (entry 2). Transformations of 2a in the presence of some other Lewis acids were not very selective and efficient (entries 3–6). Consequently, we turned our attention to Brønsted acids such as trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (TfOH). Conversion of 2a in the presence of 0.5 equivalents of TfOH was only 20% (entry 7). The reaction progressed well in the presence of 1 equivalent of TfOH, however 10% of 2a remained unreacted (entry 8). Full conversion of 2a was achieved with 1.2 equivalents of TfOH, and 3a was isolated in good yield (entry 8); while a little amount of 2a remained unreacted with 1.1 equivalents of Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a

Additive/(equiv.)	Yield b (%)
	Trace ^c
	51
	35 ^d
$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O/5$	46^e
TMSOTf/2	57
$Tf_2O/2$	51
CF ₃ SO ₃ H/0.5	20
CF ₃ SO ₃ H/1.0	62
CF ₃ SO ₃ H/1.2	81 $[72]$ [†]
$CH_3SO_3H/0.5$	9
$CH_3SO_3H/1.0$	38
$CH_3SO_3H/1.3$	82 [72]
	(CF ₃ CO) ₂ O/2 CF ₃ COOH/2

^a Reaction conditions: 2a (0.2 mmol), 1 (0.24 mmol), additive, CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) , Ar atmosphere, rt, 12 h. $\frac{b}{b}$ Yields were determined by 19 F NMR spectroscopy using octafluoronaphthalene as internal standard. c Di(4methylphenyl) disulfide was the main product. d Ratio 3a/the disulfide by-product $(1.9/1)$ was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. e Ratio $3a$ /the disulfide by-product $(3.5/1)$ was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. f Isolated yield.

TfOH. Methanesulfonic acid (MSA) was tested similarly. Conversion of 2a in the presence of 0.5 equivalents of MSA was as low as 9% (entry 10), while it rose up to 38% when using 1 equivalent of MSA (entry 11). Transformation of 2a in the presence of 1.2 equivalents of MSA was almost complete, while full conversion was achieved in the presence of 1.3 equivalents of MSA (entry 12). The product 3a was isolated in a good yield as a sole product. The best reaction selectivity was obtained in entries 9 and 12 yielding 3a only. We decided to use MSA as an additive; however, in some cases better results were obtained with TfOH.

The role of solvent polarity on the reaction course of 2a with 1 was also examined (Table 2). Functionalization in hexane was completely selective; however, the conversion was not complete (entry 1). Selectivity in toluene was somewhat lower as well as the yield of 3a (entry 2). Diethyl ether would not be a suitable solvent because of low conversion of 2a into 3a (entry 3). Conversion in acetone was good, but some 2a remained unreacted (entry 4). Methanol was a poor solvent for this transformation, since the conversion of 2a remained low (entry 5). In acetonitrile, reaction took place well; however, a part of 2a remained unreacted (entry 6). Functionalization was completely suppressed in water where only traces of 3a were detected, and 2a and 1 were recovered (entry 7). Interestingly, good yields were obtained in hexane and in acetonitrile in spite of a remarkably different polarity. The protic solvents and water are obviously not suitable for this transformation. Dichloromethane was found to be the best solvent for this reaction (entry 8).

Table 2 The role of solvent polarity on the reaction course a

 a Reaction conditions: 2a (0.2 mmol), 1 (0.24 mmol), MSA (0.26 mmol), solvent (2 mL), Ar atmosphere, rt, 12 h. b Yields were determined by $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR spectroscopy using octafluoronaphthalene as internal standard. ϵ Isolated yield.

The reactivity of different thiols with 1 in dichloromethane under argon atmosphere was tested, and the optimal amount of acid (1.2 and 1.3 equivalents) was used. The results are summarized in Table 3. The model thiol 2a was efficiently

 a Reaction conditions: (a) Thiols 2a-2j (0.5 mmol), 1 (0.6 mmol) and MSA (0.6–0.7 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at rt for 12 h under Ar. Thiols (2k–2s) were functionalized under the same reaction conditions in the presence of TfOH (0.6 mmol) . b Isolated yields.

converted into 3a, which was isolated on 0.5 mmol scale in higher yield than on a 0.2 mmol scale (entry 1, Table 3).

The highly activated 4-methoxythiophenol 2b selectively yielded 3b in the presence of MSA, whereas TfOH produced poorer results. A small amount of by-product was formed, which was not isolated, but, as could be judged from the NMR spectra, triuoromethylthiolation of the aromatic ring took place. The functionalization of 4-hydroxythiophenol 2c, 2-methoxythiophenol 2d and 2,4-dimethylthiophenol 2e smoothly yielded the corresponding trifluoromethyl disulfides 3c, 3d and 3e in the presence of MSA (entries 3–5, Table 3). The less electron-rich 2,5-dimethylthiophenol 2f, 3,5-dimethylthiophenol 2g, 4-i-propylthiophenol 2h, 2-naphthalenethiol 2i and 4-chlorothiophenol 2j were selectively converted into their trifluoromethylthio derivatives 3f–3j in the presence of MSA (entries 6–10, Table 3). It was established that thiols bearing electronwithdrawing groups as a rule required stronger activation in comparison with the thiols bearing electron-donating groups. Thiophenol 2k, 3-methoxythiophenol 2l, fluoro-substituted thiophenols 2m–2o and dichloro-substituted thiophenols 2p and 2q were transformed into the desired trifluoromethyl disulfides $3k-3q$ in the presence of TfOH (entries 11-17, Table 3). **PSCARIATER EXAMENT (ACCESS ARTICLE)**

Table 2. The result of article is licensed under a control of article is licensed under a creative commons are commons are commons are commons are commons are commons are commons are

The reactions were selective without substantial formation of other side-products. Functionalization of electron-deficient 3-(trifluoromethyl)thiophenol 2r and 4-nitrothiophenol 2s with 1 took place efficiently in the presence of TfOH yielding the desired products 3r and 3s (entries 18 and 19, Table 2). For illustration, 2p and 2s did not react completely in the presence of 1.5 equiv. of MSA, while the full conversion was observed in the presence of 1.2 equiv. of TfOH.

Reactivity of benzyl thiols with 1 was also examined (Table 4). 4-Methoxybenzyl thiol 4a was selectively transformed into its trifluoromethyl disulfide 5a in the presence of MSA (entry 1, Table 4). It was established that benzyl thiols were of higher

 a Reaction conditions: Thiol 4 (0.5 mmol), 1 (0.6 mmol), MSA (0.6-0.65 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at rt for 12 h under Ar. Entry 10: 4j (0.5 mmol), 1 (1.2 mmol) , MSA (1.3 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at rt for 12 h under Ar. Isolated yields.

Table 5 Functionalization of sterically hindered and heteroaromatic thiols with 1^a

 a Reaction conditions: Thiol 6 (1.0 equiv.), 1 (1.2 equiv.), acid in DCM at rt for 12 h under Ar. Acids: 6a and 6b (1.2 equiv. of TfOH). 6d (1.3 equiv. of TfOH per SH group). 6c, 6f and 6g (5 equiv. of p TSA·H₂O). 6e (2 equiv. of MSA). b Isolated yields.

reactivity than thiophenols and MSA was found to be a suitable additive regardless on the substituents. Reactions of benzyl thiols in the presence of TfOH were much more complex with more unidentified by-products. Benzyl thiol 4b, 4-fluorobenzyl thiol 4c, 4-chlorobenzyl thiol 4d, and 3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl thiol 4e were efficiently converted into the corresponding

trifluoromethyl disulfides 5b-5e (entries 2-5, Table 4). It is once again obvious, that a relationship for the higher yield exists between the nucleophilicity of the thiols and strength of the promoter, i.e. strongly nucleophilic thiols require milder activation. 1-Phenylethanethiol 4f was detected in a peel oil extract of Pontianak oranges as a mixture of enantiomers $((R):(S))$ = 76 : 24, and it contributes to the characteristic odor of this citrus fruit. The compound has a very low odour threshold of 0.005 ng L^{-1} in the air.⁶⁴ Functionalization of racemic 4f with 1 in the presence of MSA led to the desired product 5f (entry 6, Table 4).

Some aliphatic thiols were also examined in reaction with 1. As could have been anticipated, the suitable additive was MSA. 1-Octanethiol 4g and 1-dodecanethiol 4h selectively furnished the related trifluoromethyl disulfides 5g and 5h (entries 7 and 8, Table 4). The reaction of cyclohexanethiol 4i yielded the corresponding product 5i in a reasonable yield (entry 9, Table 4). 1,6-Hexanedithiol 4j was tested, and double functionalization took place, thus yielding 5j in a high yield (entry 10, Table 4).

Furthermore, we focused on the reactivity of sterically hindered- and heteroaromatic thiols (Table 5). 2,4,6-Trimethylthiophenol 6a was successfully converted into trimethyl disulfide 7a in the presence of TfOH, while MSA was not an effective promoter. A remarkably sterically hindered thiol group in triphenylmethanethiol 6b was efficiently functionalized in the presence of TfOH, and product 7b was isolated in a high yield. 2-Phenylthiophenol 6c gave the expected trifluoromethyl disulfide $7c$ in the presence of 5 equiv. of p -toluenesulfonic acid hydrate. 4-Methyl-1,2-benzenedithiol 6d possesses two adjacent thiol groups, and both were functionalized with 1 in the presence of TfOH giving 7d.

The transformation of 2-thiophenethiol 6e into 7e occurred in the presence of MSA, while selectivity dropped remarkably in the presence of TfOH. 2-Mercaptopyrimidine 6f and

Table 6 Functionalization of the acid sensitive and biologically important thiols⁴

^a Reaction conditions: Thiol 8 (1 equiv.), 1 (1.2 equiv.), acid in DCM at rt for 12 h under Ar. Acids: 8a, 8c and 8e (1.3 equiv. of TfOH). 8b and 8g (1.2 equiv. of TfOH). 8d (5 equiv. of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$). 8f (1.2 equiv. of MSA). ^b Isolated yields.

2-mercaptobenzothiazole 6g were converted into the related trifluoromethyl disulfides 7f and 7g in the presence of 5 equiv. of p-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate. It could be concluded that sterically hindered- and heteroaromatic thiols could be effectively functionalized with 1.

Next, we turned our attention to the structurally diverse, acid-sensitive and biologically important thiols (Table 6). It is known that the camphor skeleton could undergo an acidcatalyzed rearrangement, and it is frequently used in organocatalysis as well as a chiral auxiliary. (\pm) -7,7-Dimethylbicyclo-1-(mercaptomethyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one 8a yielded the expected trifluoromethyl disulfide 9a in the presence of TfOH, and no rearrangement was noted.

This is a significant indication that the applied acidic reaction conditions turned to be compatible with acid-labile substrates. 7-Mercapto-4-methylcoumarin 8b was selectively converted into 3j in the presence of TfOH in spite of the presence of the acid-labile lactone group. Amide 8c was converted into 9c in the presence of TfOH in a moderate yield. The functionalization of 2-mercapto-1-phenylethanone 8d with 1 in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ led to the related α -trifluoromethyldisulfido ketone 9d. The reaction of thiobenzoic acid 8e in the presence of TfOH produced 9e, although it was isolated in a moderate yield. **Examples Articles Arti**

In addition, we examined the reactivity of two biologically relevant thiols, i.e. the protected cysteine derivative 8f and 1 thioglucose derivative 8g. The both substrates are particularly challenging because of the acidic reaction conditions. The functionalization of 8f proceeded completely in the presence of 1.2 equiv. of MSA, and 9f was obtained in a 58% yield. The reaction of 8g in the presence of 1.2 equiv. of MSA took place smoothly; however, two sets of signals were observed in $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectrum. Interestingly, the transformation of 8g in the presence of 1.2 equivalents of TfOH furnished a single stereoisomer 9g, and no epimerization took place. We were pleased that protecting groups were compatible with the acidic reaction conditions and that epimerization in the case of 8g could be avoided.

There is hardly any mention of trifluoromethyl thiosulfonates in the literature.⁶⁵ Recently, an efficient synthetic method starting from sodium sulfonates was published.⁴⁷ Thiosulfonates possess different biological activities^{58,59} and could be utilized as sulfenylating agents.⁶⁶ Upon reaction with 1 in the presence of 5 equiv. of p TSA \cdot H₂O, sodium sulfinates 10a and 10b produced the corresponding trifluoromethyl thiosulfonates 11a and 11b in high yields (Scheme 1).

Scheme 2 Reaction of benzeneselenol 12 with PhNHSCF₃.

Additionally, the reactivity of the selenium analog 12 was examined (Scheme 2). Benzeneselenol 12 reacted fully with 1 in the presence of 1.5 equivalents of MSA, giving product 13 and a substantial amount of diphenyl diselenide.

The reaction of 12 in the presence of 1.2 equiv. of TfOH was considerably more selective, and phenylselenyl(trifluoromethyl) sulfide 13 was obtained as the sole product. The starting material 12 already contained a small amount of diphenyl diselenide; however, no appreciable additional oxidation of 12 took place.

The $SSCF₃$ group is a relatively strong electron-withdrawing group, and the reactivity of trifluoromethyl disulfides is substantially unexplored. We were interested in how the $SSCF_3$ group affects the reactivity of the aromatic ring. A model disulfide 3a was reacted with a mixture of concentrated $HNO₃/$ $H₂SO₄$ at 50 °C (Scheme 3).

Trace amounts of the 3-nitro regioisomer were also detected in the crude reaction mixture. No oxidation of the sulfur atoms or of the methyl group was noted, while nitration took place, furnishing 2-nitro derivative 14 as the main product.

Mechanism

A detailed reaction mechanism is not known, although several conclusions could be drawn. The relative reactivity of the arylsubstituted thiols with 1 was determined, and a slope of the Hammett linear free energy relationship was obtained to be $\rho =$ -1.65 with a good correlation ($r^2 > 0.95$) (Fig. 1).

The more electron-deficient thiols are of lower reactivity than the electron-rich ones, thus indicating that the electron density on the sulfur atom is more important than the acidity of the starting thiol. The Hammett correlation indicated that an important amount of the positive charge was developed in the transition state. The formation of the thiolate anion under the acidic reaction conditions was likely not to be a signicant process, but thiols remained in the molecular form that is presumably able to react with the activated form of the reagent 1. An unactivated reagent 1 is essentially an amine of relatively low electrophilic power. The acid seemingly protonates 1 on the

Scheme 1 Transformation of sodium sulfinates with PhNHSCF $_3$.

Scheme 3 Functionalization of 3a with $HNO₃/H₂SO₄$.

Fig. 1 Hammett correlation for functionalization of the arylsubstituted thiols with 1.

nitrogen atom, thus generating a species of higher electrophilicity, able to react with thiols as nucleophiles. The reaction of 2a with 1/TfOH was examined in the presence of TEMPO, and the full conversion of 2a into 3a was noted. The yield of the product was practically the same as without TEMPO, and radicals are not likely to be important reaction intermediates.^{9e}

Some additional experiments were performed in order to get a deeper insight into a reaction mechanism. The acid has a double role in this transformation; it acts as a promoter and as a reagent, since one of the final products is a salt of an aromatic amine. Reagent 1 was dissolved in CDCl₃ and separately treated with MSA and TfOH in two NMR tubes. 1 reacted fully with TfOH immediately, and an instantaneous downfield shift of one major and two minor singlets appeared in the 19 F NMR spectrum. This is an indication that protonation of 1 occurred, moreover it appears that there was an equilibrium between several species. On the contrary, reaction of 1 and MSA was far from completion after five minutes at room temperature; however there appeared three downfield shifted singlets in the 19 F NMR spectrum, indicating a partial protonation of 1. MSA is likely too weak to protonate 1 in a weakly polar medium to an appreciable extent. The subsequent addition of 2a to both NMR tubes resulted in an immediate formation of 3a in both cases. In the case of TfOH, the activated form of 1 was already present, while addition of 2a in the case of MSA was a driving force to shift equilibrium with the activated form of 1 completely in the direction of formation of 3a. Based on the Hammett ρ value, we proposed a reaction of the protonated 1 with thiol as a nucleophilic substitution on the sulfur atom in a bimolecular reaction.

To elucidate further the reaction course, the quantum chemical calculations were performed. 1, methanethiol and methanesulfonic acid were chosen as a model system. Since the formation of ionic intermediates and/or transition states was proposed, calculations in vacuum would give erroneous results, therefore all calculations were carried out for species in dichloromethane solution, using the Poisson–Boltzmann model. Still, when energies of the participating species were computed individually, some computed energies were unrealistically high. In poorly polar medium, such as dichloromethane, extensive ion pairing exists, and when this was taken into account, a more realistic picture was obtained, presented in Fig. 2.

The reaction is, according to calculations, a two-step $(A + D)$ nucleophilic substitution, starting with attack of thiol to a protonated amine. In the first step of the reaction, an intermediate is formed in a shallow depression. In this step, no appreciable activation barrier was found. The central S atom in the intermediate bears formally ten valence electrons, which causes the N–S–S bonding to be essentially a 3c-4e (hypervalent) bond. This is reflected in elongated bonds, nearly linear arrangement of N–S–S atoms and typical charge distribution (see ESI†). In the intermediate and the transition state a charge of +0.561 and +0.775 a.u. (NBO), respectively, appears on methanethiol moiety, which is in accordance with value of the measured Hammett ρ constant. The process in and around the transition state is essentially a movement of sulfonate anion,

Fig. 2 Energy diagram of the reaction of N-[(trifluoromethyl)thio]aniline with methanethiol and methanesulfonic acid in dichloromethane, computed at MPW1K/6-311+G** level. Enthalpies in kJ mol $^{-1}$, in first two structures methanethiol is omitted.

Fig. 3 Transition state for the nucleophilic substitution on the sulfur atom of 1 with methanethiol, MPW1K/6-311+G**

attached initially to an N–H proton, towards the S–H proton. Removal of the latter proton causes simultaneous cleavage of the NH–O and N–S bonds and disintegration of the aggregate into products (Fig. 3).

Conclusions

The reactivity of the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent PhNHSCF₃ was tested on aryl-, benzyl-, alkyl-, heteroaryl-, sterically hindered-, biologically relevant- and acid sensitive thiols in the presence of acidic additives. The aryl-substituted thiols reacted well, regardless of the nature of the substituents. Trifluoromethyl disulfides were obtained as the sole products, no aromatic ring functionalization or parent disul des formation were noted. Some acid-sensitive substrates were efficiently functionalized, thus proving compatibility with the acidic reaction conditions. The more electron-rich thiophenols required milder activation (methanesulfonic acid), and the more electron-deficient thiols required triflic acid. The nucleophilicity of the starting thiols appears to be of higher importance than their acidity. Sodium sulfonates were demonstrated to be suitable substrates for the synthesis of trifluoromethyl thiosulfonates using 1 and p -toluenesulfonic acid hydrate as an additive. Benzeneselenol was conveniently transformed into PhSeSCF₃, a novel type of selenium compounds. The reactivity of trifluoromethyl disulfide product 3a with $HNO₃/H₂SO₄$ was examined. Nitration of the aromatic ring took place, and no oxidation of sulfur atoms or methyl group was observed. The Hammett correlation analysis on the functionalization of the substituted thiophenols revealed the formation of the electrondeficient intermediate $\rho = -1.65$. MSA or TfOH most likely protonated 1 and enhanced its reactivity due to the stronger polarization between sulfur and nitrogen atoms. The generated sulfur electrophile is then able to react with thiols. Reactions in the presence of free radical TEMPO revealed that radicals are not very likely to be important reaction intermediates. The quantum chemical calculations indicated protonation of 1 in the initial stage, followed by a nucleophilic attack of thiol to the

protonated 1, forming an intermediate, which decomposes through a transition state in which the sulfonate anion, attached initially by hydrogen bonding to an N–H proton, approaches the S–H proton. Removal of the S–H proton brings about an instantaneous collapse of the transition aggregate into products. In the intermediate and transition state a considerably positive charge is formed on the thiol moiety, which is in a good agreement with the Hammett correlation study.

Experimental section

General information

All reactions were carried under an argon atmosphere with stirring at room or elevated temperature. Dichloromethane (>99.9%) was used as received. Most of thiols, benzeneselenol, other catalysts and acids were obtained from commercial sources and used as received.

Crude trifluoromethylthiolated products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel (63-200 μm, 70-230 mesh ASTM; Fluka) using hexane or hexane/diethyl ether. TLC was performed on Merck-60- F_{254} plates using mixtures of hexane and diethyl ether. The melting points were determined in opencapillaries on Büchi 535 apparatus and are uncorrected. All products were characterized by their 1 H NMR, 19 F NMR, 13 C NMR spectra, IR, HRMS and/or elemental analysis. HRMS data were obtained on Agilent 6224 Accurate Mass TOF LC/MS instrument (ESI-TOF) at Infrastructure Centre at UL FCCT in Ljubljana and on Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive spectrometer with Ion Max ion source equipped with a Syagen Technology PhotoMate Krypton lamp (APCI and APPI with orbitrap mass analyzer) in the Central Laboratory for Environmental, Plant & Microbial Metabolomics at the Karl-Franzens-University in Graz. The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 DPX, Bruker Avance III 500, and on Varian System 600 MHz instruments. The 19 F NMR spectra were only recorded on Bruker Avance III 500 instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) values relative to the TMS ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) and to the residual CHCl₃ ($\delta = 7.26$ ppm) for ¹H NMR, to the

central line of CDCl₃ (δ = 77.0 ppm) and to the central line of acetone-d₆ (δ = 30.83 ppm) for ¹³C NMR. ¹⁹F NMR spectra are referred to CFCl₃ (δ = 0.00 ppm).

Computational details. For DFT calculations, all structures are fully optimized on MPW1K/6-311+G** level of theory. B3LYP functional gives similar results, however MPW1K was chosen because it is better suited for structures with elongated bonds and transition states.⁶⁷ All geometries were optimized for species in dichloromethane solution, using Poisson–Boltzmann model. Vibrational frequencies were calculated in vacuum on solution-optimized structures. Atomic charges were calculated using NBO analysis.⁶⁸ All calculations were run on Jaguar, Schrödinger Release 2014-4: Jaguar, version 8.6, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.

Preparation of 1 and starting thiols. N -[(Trifluoromethyl)thio]aniline 1 was prepared according to the published procedure.²² 8f was prepared from L-cysteine ethyl ester hydrochloride,⁶⁹ and 8g was prepared according to the known method.⁷⁰ KSAc was prepared according to the published procedure.⁷¹ 2-Mercapto-1-phenylethanone 8d was prepared from 2-bromoacetophenone in two steps.⁷² The first step was modified, while the literature procedure was followed in the second step. The first step: to a solution of 2-bromoacetophenone (15 mmol, 3.0 g) in DMF (20 mL) KSAc (20.9 mmol, 2.39 g) was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature (TLC indicated consumption of the starting ketone). The reaction mixture was diluted with water (30 mL) and the product extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The ethereal phase was washed with water, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, and the solvent evaporated. The thus-obtained crude 2-acetylthioacetophenone was transformed into 2-mercapto-1 phenylethanone 8d, according to the published procedure.⁷² (\pm) -1-Phenylethanethiol 4f and (\pm) -10-thiocamphor 8a were prepared according to the published procedure.⁷³ $N-(4-$ Bromophenyl)-2-mercaptoacetamide 8c was prepared in three steps, starting from 4-bromoaniline (20 mmol, 3.44 g), and bromoacetyl bromide thus producing N-(4-bromophenyl)-2 bromoacetamide (13.9 mmol, 4.08 g) according to the published procedure.⁷⁴ In the second step, $N-(4\textrm{-}b$ romophenyl $)-2\textrm{-}b$ bromoacetamide was reacted with KSAc in DMF as described above, and N-(4-bromophenyl)-2-thioacetylacetamide (10.2 mmol, 2.9 g) was isolated in the same way as described above in the case of 8d. In the last step, the crude N-(4-bromophenyl)- 2-thioacetylacetamide was dissolved in a mixture of diethyl ether (10 mL) and THF (15 mL) and 20 mL of aqueous solution of NaOH (50 mmol, 2 g) was added. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 2 h at room temperature (TLC indicated consumption of the starting material). The reaction mixture was acidified with a 37% aqueous solution of HCl (3 mL) to acidic pH. The product was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 20$ mL), washed twice with water and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent evaporated. Yellowish crystals of N -(4-bromophenyl)-2-mercaptoacetamide⁷⁵ 8c were purified by crystallization from dichloromethane/hexane. 2-Phenylbenzenethiol⁷⁶ 6c was prepared according to the published procedure.⁷⁷ 10a and 10b were prepared according to the known procedure.⁷⁸ Paper

Controlling (CDG), $(\delta = 7.8)$ published on ¹² Cons. ¹³ NoW spectra are this self-thereone are computation of

computational details. Per DPT exhabitions, all structures To a solution of 4-melyhomoreticle 2nd, 0

Representative procedure for the trifluoromethylthiolation of thiols with PhNHSCF₃

To a solution of 4-methybenzenethiol 2a (0.5 mmol, 62 mg) in dichloromethane (5 mL), N-[(trifluoromethyl)thio]aniline 1 (0.6 mmol, 116 mg) and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (0.65 mmol, 63 mg) were added and the mixture was stirred under argon for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with 15 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , washed with aqueous solution of NaHCO₃, water and dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H and 19 F NMR spectroscopy. Pure product 3a as yellow oil (92 mg, 82%) was obtained after column chromatography on silica gel using hexane as eluent.

The same procedure was used also in the case of benzeneselenol 12.

Experimental procedure for nitration of 3a

65% nitric acid (3.1 mmol, 300 mg) and 98% sulfuric acid (1.5 mmol, 150 mg) were added to 3a (0.6 mmol, 135 mg), and the mixture was stirred at 50 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 minutes. Full consumption of 3a was noted by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, diluted with 15 mL of dichloromethane washed with aqueous solution of NaHCO₃, water and dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{19}F$ NMR spectroscopy. Pure product 14 as yellow solid (114 mg, 71%) was obtained after column chromatography on silica gel using hexane/diethyl ether (1/10) as eluent.

Determination of the relative reactivity of the substituted thiophenols in the Hammett correlation analysis

The relative rates of substituted thiophenols (Fig. 1) were determined by competitive reactions as follows: to a mixture of two substrates (reference PhSH (0.2 mmol) and the examined substituted thiophenol (0.2 mmol)), PhNHSCF₃ (0.2 mmol) and MSA or TfOH (0.24–0.26 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt. The reaction was quenched with water, and products were extracted with DCM (2×10 mL) and washed with water. The phases were separated and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the reaction mixture was analyzed by 19 F NMR. Octafluoronaphthalene was used as internal standard. The difference in reactivity of PhSH and 4-nitrothiophenol was too high to obtain reliable k_{rel} in this manner. For this reason, 4-chlorothiophenol was taken as a second reference molecule for 4-nitrothiophenol. The relative reactivity of 4-nitrothiophenol *vs.* PhSH was therefore determined indirectly: k (4- $NO₂)/k(4-H)$ was obtained from: $k(4-Cl)/k(4-H)$ and $k(4-Cl)/k(4-H)$ $NO₂$). Relative reactivities expressed by the relative rate factors k_{R} were calculated from the equation⁷⁹ $k_{\text{R}} = k_A/k_B = \log((A - X)/2)$ $A)/log((B - Y)/B)$, derived from the Ingold–Shaw relation,⁸⁰ where A and B are the amounts of starting material and X and Y the amounts of products derived from them. The relative rate factors thus obtained, presented in Fig. 1, are the averages of at least three measurements.

1-Methyl-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3a).⁵¹ (0.5 mmol of 2a, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (92 mg, 82%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.37 (s, 3H), 7.15–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.52 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCI₃)$: δ 21.2, 129.3 (q, J = 313.9 Hz, SCF₃), 130.2, 131.2, 131.5, 139.8. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1140, 1096, 804, 751. HRMS: (APCI + PI) calcd for $C_8H_7F_3S_2$ 223.9936, found 223.9927.

1-Methoxy-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3b). (0.5 mmol of 2b, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (91 mg, 76%). ¹ H NMR (CDCl3): d 3.83 (s, 3H), 6.87–6.91 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.59 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.2 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCI₃)$: δ 55.4, 115.0, 125.3, 129.5 (q, $J = 313.6$ Hz, $SCF₃$), 134.8, 161.2. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1590, 1492, 1291, 1250, 1137, 1095, 1031, 826, 751. HRMS: $(APCI + PI)$ calcd for $C_8H_7F_3OS_2$ 239.9885, found 239.9876.

1-Hydroxy-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3c). (0.5 mmol of 2c, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (99 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.27 (br s, 1H), 6.79–6.86 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.55 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.2 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 116.4, 125.6, 129.4 (q, $J = 313.6$ Hz, SCF₃), 135.0, 157.2. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3330, 1583, 1493, 1431, 1244, 1137, 1088, 825, 751. HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_7H_4F_3OS_2$ 224.9664, found $224.9664~(M - H)⁺.$

1-Methoxy-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3d). (0.5 mmol of 2d, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (109 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.91 (s, 3H), 6.88-6.93 (m, 1H), 6.98 (dt, $J = 7.6$, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.64 (dd, $J =$ 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.1 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCI₃)$: δ 56.0, 111.2, 121.2, 122.2, 129.3 $(q, J = 313.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}₃)$, 130.6, 131.6, 158.0; IR (neat) cm^{-1} : 2940, 2839, 1582, 1475, 1463, 1434, 1295, 1275, 1244, 1132, 1097, 1060, 1038, 1023, 798, 748, 678. HRMS: $(Cl + PI)$ calcd for $C_8H_7F_3OS_2$ 239.9885, found 239.9884. **BSC** Advances **From Caster Commons Articles** Articles. Published on 26 June 2015. Download Access Articles. Published on 26 June 2015. Download Access Articles. 2016. Download Access Articles. 2016. The Commons Articles.

2,4-Dimethyl-1-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3e). (0.5 mmol of 2e, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil $(107 \text{ mg}, 90\%)$. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: δ 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 7.00–7.04 (m, 1H), 7.05–7.08 (m, 1H), 7.55 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 20.4, 21.1, 127.8, 129.4 $(q, J = 313.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 130.0, 131.6, 133.4, 140.3, 140.3. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2923, 1602, 1475, 1446, 1378, 1140, 1096, 1044, 875, 810, 751. HRMS: (CI) calcd for $C_9H_9F_3S_2$ 238.0092, found 238.0092.

1,4-Dimethyl-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3f). (0.5 mmol of 2f, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil $(109 \text{ mg}, 92\%).$ ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: δ 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 7.05–7.09 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.49 (m, 1H); ^{19}F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.0 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 19.8, 20.8, 129.3 (q, $I = 314.0$ Hz, SCF_3), 130.4, 130.6, 132.7, 132.9, 136.5, 136.8. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2923, 1488, 1447, 1380, 1097, 874, 811, 751, 704. HRMS: $(CI + PI)$ calcd for $C_9H_9F_3S_2$ 238.0092, found 238.0094.

1,3-Dimethyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3g). (0.5 mmol of 2g, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (106 mg, 89%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.32 (s, 6H), 6.96-6.99 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.21 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.4 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 21.2, 128.0, 129.2 (q, $J = 314.0$ Hz, SCF₃), 130.9,

134.1, 139.2. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2921, 1602, 1579, 1142, 1097, 839, 751, 681. HRMS: $[CI + PI]$ calcd for $C_9H_9F_3S_2$ 238.0092, found

1-Isopropyl-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3h). (0.5 mmol of 2h, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.70 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (113 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.26 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 2.93 $(\text{sept}, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.20 - 7.26 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.49 - 7.56 \text{ (m, 2H)};$ ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 23.8, 33.9, 127.6, 129.3 (q, $J = 313.9$ Hz, SCF₃), 131.4, 131.4, 150.6. IR (neat) cm-1 : 2963, 1142, 1098, 1052, 824, 752. HRMS: (CI + PI) calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}F_3S_2$ 252.0249, found 252.0251.

238.0095.

2-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)naphthalene (3i).⁴⁰ (0.5 mmol of 2i, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (118 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.64 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.80–7.88 (m, 3H), 8.08 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.2 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 127.0, 127.2, 127.2, 127.8, 129.2 $(q, J = 314.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 129.4, 130.1, 131.6, 133.1, 133.3. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1140, 1094, 853, 808, 742. HRMS: (APCI) calcd for $C_{11}H_7F_3S_2$ 259.9936, found 259.9935.

1-Chloro-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3j).⁵¹ (0.5 mmol of 2j, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (103 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.33-7.37 (m, 2H), 7.51-7.55 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 129.0 (q, $J = 314.2$ Hz, SCF₃), 129.6, 131.9, 133.1, 135.5. IR (neat) cm-1 : 1475, 1389, 1143, 1092, 1012, 815, 752. HRMS: (APCI) calcd for $C_7H_4ClF_3S_2$ 243.9389, found 243.9380.

((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3k).⁶³ (0.5 mmol of 2k, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (79 mg, 75%). 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.34-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.57-7.62 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.4 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 129.0, 129.1 $(q, J = 314.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 129.4, 130.4, 134.6. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2923, 2853, 1590, 1493, 1291, 1251, 1143, 1100, 1032, 751, 687. HRMS: (APCI) calcd for $C_7H_5F_3S_2$ 209.9779, found 209.9779.

1-Methoxy-3-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (31). (0.5 mmol of 2l, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (106 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 3H), 6.86-6.91 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.14 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.18 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.30 (m, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 55.4, 114.9, 115.0, 122.1, 129.0 $(q, J = 314.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 130.2, 135.7, 160.1; IR (neat) cm-1 : 2837, 1590, 1576, 1478, 1425, 1284, 1249, 1232, 1140, 1096, 1039, 992, 858, 770, 752, 683. HRMS: (PI) calcd for $C_8H_7F_3OS_2$ 239.9885, found 239.9883.

1-Fluoro-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3m).⁵¹ (0.5 mmol of 2m, 0.55 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (95 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.04-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.63 $(m, 2H);$ ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃), –111.1 $(m, 1F);$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 116.7 (d, J = 22.3 Hz), 129.2 (q, J = 313.9 Hz, SCF₃), 130.0 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz), 133.9 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 163.5 (d, $J =$ 250.8 Hz). IR (neat) cm-1 : 1589, 1489, 1234, 1142, 1096, 1013, 828, 752, 627. HRMS: (CI) calcd for $C_7H_4F_4S_2$ 227.9685, found 227.9684.

1-Fluoro-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3n). (0.5 mmol of 2n, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (95 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.10-7.15 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.63–7.69 (m, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.3 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, SCF₃), -108.3 (m, 1F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 116.3 (d, $J = 21.9$ Hz), 121.7 (d, $J = 17.3$ Hz), 124.9 (d, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 129.1 (q, $J = 314.0$ Hz, SCF₃), 131.8 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 133.7, 161.5 $(d, J = 249.6 \text{ Hz})$. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1472, 1448, 1263, 1229, 1143, 1096, 826, 752. HRMS: $(Cl + PI)$ calcd for $C_7H_4F_4S_2$ 227.9685, found 227.9685.

2,4-Difluoro-1-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (30). (0.5 mmol of 2o, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (99 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.87–6.97 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.69 (m, 1H) ; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, SCF₃), –102.0 (m, 1F), -105.1 (m, 1F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 105.1 (t, *J* = 26.0 Hz), 112.5 (dd, $J = 21.9$, 3.9 Hz), 117.5 (dd, $J = 18.1$, 4.1 Hz), 129.1 (q, $J = 313.8$ Hz, SCF_3), 136.2 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz), 162.5 (dd, $J =$ 252.9, 12.7 Hz), 164.5 (dd, $J = 254.3$, 11.3 Hz); IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2926, 2854, 1596, 1484, 1466, 1421, 1268, 1143, 1099, 966, 852, 810, 752. HRMS: (PI) calcd for C₇H₃F₅S₂ 245.9591, found 245.9589. Paper
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. Article is licensed on $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. Article is licensed under a creative Common Case are the sec. Article is licensed under a
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Creative Commons Application 3.0 Unported Unported Universit

1,2-Dichloro-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3p). (0.5 mmol of 2p, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil $(123 \text{ mg}, 88\%).$ ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3): \delta$ 7.41 $(dd, J = 8.4, 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.2 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 128.8 (q, *J* = 314.5 Hz, SCF₃), 129.1, 131.1, 131.5, 133.5, 133.6, 134.5. IR (neat) cm-1 : 1458, 1367, 1143, 1095, 1031, 808, 752. HRMS: (APCI + PI) calcd for $C_7H_3Cl_2F_3S_2$ 277.9000, found 277.8999.

1,4-Dichloro-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3q). (0.5 mmol of 2q, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil $(125 \text{ mg}, 89\%).$ ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3): \delta$ 7.24 $(dd, J = 8.5, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 128.6 (q, *J* = 314.8 Hz, SCF3), 129.0, 129.5, 131.0, 131.5, 133.7, 134.9. IR (neat) cm-1 : 1447, 1149, 1091, 1030, 868, 810, 752. HRMS: (CI) calcd for $C_7H_3Cl_2F_3S_2$ 277.9000, found 277.9003.

1-(Trifluoromethyl)-3-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3r). (0.5 mmol of 2r, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (114 mg, 82%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.49–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.58– 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.75–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.82–7.86 (m, 1H); 19F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃), –63.4 (s, CF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 123.4 (q, $J = 272.7$ Hz, CF_3), 125.6 (q, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 126.3 (q, $J = 3.6$) Hz), 128.8 (q, $J = 314.4$ Hz, SCF₃), 129.9, 131.9 (q, $J = 32.9$ Hz, SCF_3), 132.7, 136.1. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1321, 1284, 1129, 1096, 1071, 794, 752, 694, 683, 651. HRMS: (CI + PI) calcd for $C_8H_4F_6S_2$ 277.9653, found 277.9655.

1-Nitro-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (3s).⁵¹ (0.5 mmol of 2s, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (111 mg, 87%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.69–7.75 (m, 2H), 8.22–8.27 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.1 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 124.4, 127.8, 128.5 (q, $J = 315.0$ Hz, $SCF₃$), 142.9, 147.4. IR $(n$ eat) cm⁻¹: 1578, 1518, 1339, 1145, 1092, 843, 740, 680. HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_7H_5F_3NO_2S_2$ 255.9708, found $255.9715 (M + H)^+$.

1-Methoxy-4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)benzene (5a). (0.5 mmol of 4a, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (120 mg, 94%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.81 (s, 3H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 6.84–6.91 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.27 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.4 $(s, SCF₃);$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 43.9, 55.3, 114.2, 127.1, 129.7 (q, J $=$ 313.6 Hz, SCF₃), 130.7, 159.5; IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1609, 1510, 1302, 1250, 1235, 1135, 1096, 1033, 830, 749. HRMS: (CI + PI) calcd for $C_9H_8F_3OS_2$ 252.9963, found 252.9963 $(M - H)^+$.

(((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)benzene (5b).⁴⁰ (0.5 mmol of 4b, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (90 mg, 80%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.09 (s, 2H), 7.28-7.39 (m, 5H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.4 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 44.4, 128.1, 128.8, 129.5, 129.6 (q, $J = 313.7 \text{ Hz}$, SCF₃), 135.3. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1135, 1097, 751, 696. HRMS: $(APCI + PI)$ calcd for $C_8H_6F_3S_2$ 222.9857, found 222.9858 $(M - H)^+$.

1-Fluoro-4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)benzene (5c). (0.5 mmol of 4c, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (95 mg, 78%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.05 (s, 2H), 7.01-7.07 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.30 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃), –114.1 $(m, 1F);$ ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 43.4, 115.7 (d, J = 21.7 Hz), 129.5 (q, $J = 313.7$ Hz, SCF₃), 131.1 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 131.2 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 162.5 (d, $J = 247.3$ Hz). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1509, 1227, 1137, 1098, 834. HRMS: $[CI + PI]$ calcd for $C_8H_6F_4S_2$ 241.9841, found 241.9843.

1-Chloro-4-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)benzene (5d). (0.5 mmol of 4d, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (113 mg, 87%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.03 (s, 2H), 7.22-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.35 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 43.5, 129.0, 129.5 (q, $J = 313.8$ Hz, SCF₃), 130.8, 133.8, 134.1. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1490, 1136, 1093, 1015, 831. HRMS: $(APCI + PI)$ calcd for $C_8H_5ClF_3S_2$ 256.9468, found 256.9468 $(M - H)^{+}$.

1-(Trifluoromethyl)-3-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)benzene (5e). (0.5 mmol of 4e, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (136 mg, 93%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.10 (s, 2H), 7.47-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.60 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.2 (s, SCF₃), -63.3 (s, CF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 43.5, 123.8 (q, J = 272.3 Hz), 124.9 (q, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 126.2 (q, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 129.3, 129.4 $(q, J = 313.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3), 131.2 (q, J = 32.5 \text{ Hz}), 132.8, 136.4. \text{ IR}$ $(\text{neat}) \text{ cm}^{-1}$: 1329, 1124, 1099, 1075, 906, 888, 803, 750, 700, 659. HRMS: (PI) calcd for $C_9H_5F_6S_2$ 290.9731, found 290.9724 (M $H)^+$.

1-(((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)ethyl)benzene (5f). (1 mmol of 4f, 1.2 mmol of 1, 1.3 mmol of MSA). Slightly yellow oil (138 mg, 58%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.73 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 4.25 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.38 (m, 5H); ¹⁹F NMR(CDCl₃): δ -46.2 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 20.4, 50.9, 127.8, 128.2, 128.7, 129.4 (q, J = 313.7 Hz, SCF₃) 140.1. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3032, 2973, 2929, 1493, 1454, 1376, 1135, 1099, 764, 751, 696. HRMS: (PI) calcd for $C_9H_8F_3S_2$ 237.0014, found: 237.0005 $(M - H)^+$.

1-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)octane (5g). (0.5 mmol of 4g, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (102 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.21-1.35 (m, 8H), 1.35–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.74 (m, 2H), 2.83–2.89 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.7 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 22.6, 28.2, 28.6, 29.0, 29.1, 31.8, 39.9, 129.6 (q, $J = 313.4$ Hz, SCF_3); IR (neat) cm-1 : 2958, 2926, 2856, 1140, 1101, 751. HRMS: (PI) calcd for $C_9H_{17}F_3S_2$ 246.0718, found 246.0714.

1-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)dodecane (5h). (0.5 mmol of 4h, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.65 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (130 mg, 86%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.44 (m, 18H), 1.63-1.76 (m, 2H), 2.86 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.7 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 22.7, 28.3, 28.6, 29.1, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 31.9, 40.0, 129.6 $(q, J = 313.5)$

Hz, SCF₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2924, 2854, 1140, 1102, 751. HRMS: $(APCI + PI)$ calcd for $C_{13}H_{25}F_{3}S_{2}$ 302.1344, found 302.1341.

((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)cyclohexane (5i). (1 mmol of 4i, 1.2 mmol of 1, 2 mmol of MSA). Slightly yellow oil (123 mg, 57%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.18–1.43 (m, 5H), 1.60–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.87 (m, 2H), 2.02–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.86–2.98 (m, 1H); 19F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.6 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 25.4, 25.8, 32.3, 50.1, 129.3 (q, $J = 313.4$ Hz, SCF₃); IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2933, 2856, 1449, 1137, 1099, 996, 751. HRMS: (PI) calcd for C₇H₁₁F₃S₂ 216.0249, found 216.0248.

1,6-Bis((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)hexane (5j). (0.5 mmol of 4j, 1.2 mmol of 1, 1.3 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (154 mg, 88%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.40-1.47 (m, 4H), 1.68-1.77 (m, 4H), 2.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.6 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 27.7, 28.4, 39.7, 129.5 (q, $J = 313.5$ Hz, SCF₃). IR (neat) cm-1 : 2932, 1135, 1097, 750. HRMS: (APCI + PI) calcd for $C_8H_{12}F_6S_4$ 349.9720, found 349.9719.

1,3,5-Trimethyl-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (7a). (0.5 mmol of 6a, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil $(118 \text{ mg}, 93\%).$ $^1\text{H NMR (CDCl}_3)$: δ 2.29 $(\text{s}, 3\text{H}),$ 2.52 $(\text{s}, 6\text{H}),$ 6.96 (s, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –45.4 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 21.1, 21.4, 129.2, 129.6, 129.6 $(q, J = 313.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 140.6, 142.8. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2925, 1601, 1459, 1377, 1138, 1096, 850, 752. HRMS: (PI) calcd for C₁₀H₁₁F₃S₂ 252.0249, found 252.0248.

(((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methanetriyl)tribenzene (7b). (0.5 mmol of 6b, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). White crystals (168 mg, 89%), mp 72.5–73.4 °C. ^1H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.25–7.39 (m, 15H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –43.6 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 73.3, 127.5, 128.0, 128.3 (q, $J = 315.9$ Hz, SCF₃), 130.1, 142.6. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1488, 1439, 1137, 1093, 1032, 1000, 757, 726, 698, 664, 625, 616. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₁₅F₃S₂: C, 63.81; H, 4.02. Found: C, 63.64; H, 3.73.

2-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (7c). (0.8 mmol of 6c, 0.96.0 mmol of 1, 4.0 mmol of p-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate). Yellow oil (167 mg, 73%). 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.29 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.47 (m, 7H), 7.83 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H);
¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.0 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 128.0, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 129.0 $(q, J = 314.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 129.2 $(q, J = 1.0$ Hz), 129.5, 130.6, 132.9, 139.3, 142.7. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1586, 1142, 1100, 748, 700. Anal. calcd for $C_{13}H_9F_3S_2$: C 54.53; H 3.17. Found: C 54.38; H 2.89.

4-Methyl-1,2-bis((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (7d). (1 mmol of 6d, 2.4 mmol of 1, 2.6 mmol of TfOH). Slightly yellow oil (185 mg, 52%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.41 (s, 3H), 7.18 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H);
¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -45.6 (s, SCF₃), -45.7 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 21.2, 128.9 (q, $J = 314.5$ Hz, SCF₃), 129.2 (q, $J = 314.2$ Hz, SCF₃), 130.6, 131.2, 131.7, 132.9, 136.6, 141.3. IR (neat) cm-1 : 2049, 1587, 1457, 1140, 1087, 1030, 813, 751. HRMS (CI + PI): calcd for $C_9H_6F_6S_4$: 355.9251, found: 355.9248.

2-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)thiophene (7e). (1 mmol of 6e. 1.2 mmol of 1, 2 mmol of MSA). Yellow oil (115 mg, 53%). $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.03 (dd, J = 5.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 3.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, $J = 5.3$, 1.1 Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 127.9, 129.3 (q, J = 313.2 Hz, SCF₃), 132.6, 133.3, 137.4. IR (neat) cm $^{-1}$: 1140, 1096, 991, 851,

837, 752, 705. HRMS: $\left(\text{CI} + \text{PI} \right)$ calcd for $\text{C}_5\text{H}_3\text{F}_3\text{S}_3$ 215.9343, found 215.9343.

2-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)pyrimidine (7f). (1.0 mmol of $6f$, 1.2 mmol of 1, 5.0 mmol of p-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate). Bright brown solid (127 mg, 60%), mp = 42–45 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.20 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H);
¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.4 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 118.9, 128.6 (q, $J = 314.2$ Hz, SCF₃), 158.2, 168.0. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3482, 2930, 2854, 1445, 984, 955, 755, 700. HRMS: (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_5H_4F_3N_2S_2$ 212.9768, found: 212.9762 $(M + H)^+$.

2-((Trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzo[d]thiazole (7g).⁵⁰ (0.8 mmol of 6g, 0.96 mmol of 1, 4.0 mmol of p-toluenesulfonic acid hydrate). Bright brown oil (146 mg, 68%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.38–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.82–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.93– 7.97 (m, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl₃)$: δ 121.3, 122.8, 125.6, 126.7, 128.2 $(q, J = 315.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}₃)$, 136.2, 154.1, 166.5. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3062, 1465, 1427, 1151, 1101, 1006, 751, 724. HRMS: (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_8H_5F_3NS_3$ 267.9536, found: $267.9532 (M + H)⁺$.

 (\pm) -7,7-Dimethyl-1-(((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (9a). (1 mmol of 8a, 1.2 mmol of 1, 1.3 mmol of TfOH). Slightly yellow oil (196 mg, 69%). ¹H NMR (CDCl3): d 0.92 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 1.38–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.65–1.74 $(m, 1H)$, 1.90 $(d, J = 18.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 1.96–2.07 $(m, 2H)$, 2.12 $(t, J =$ 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (ddd, $J = 18.5, 4.7, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, $J = 13.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.40 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –47.0 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 19.8, 20.1, 26.5, 26.7, 40.6, 42.9, 43.6, 48.0, 61.6, 129.7 (q, $J = 313.5 \text{ Hz}$, SCF₃), 216.6. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 2961, 1740, 1416, 1392, 1374, 1134, 1101, 751. HRMS: (ESI-TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₁₆F₃OS₂ 285.0595, found: 285.0600 $(M + H)^+$. BSC Advances Werelates States Articles. Published on 26 June 2015. This article is likely and the common of μ , are the common and μ are the com

4-Methyl-7-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (9b). (0.5 mmol of 8b, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). White solid (113 mg, 77%), mp 95.2–96.7 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.44 $(d, J = 1.1$ Hz, 3H), 6.32 (m, 1H), 7.46 (dd, $J = 8.5$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR $(CDCI₃)$: δ –46.1 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 18.7, 115.6, 116.4, 119.9, 123.6, 125.4, 128.7 (q, $J = 314.8$ Hz, SCF₃), 139.2, 151.6, 153.7, 160.0. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1737, 1548, 1365, 1108, 948, 877, 862, 768, 707. Anal. calcd for C₁₁H₇F₃O₂S₂: C, 45.20; H, 2.41. Found: C, 45.12; H, 2.35.

N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)acetamide (9c). (2 mmol of 8c, 2.4 mmol of 1, 2.6 mmol of TfOH). Slightly yellow solid (208 mg, 30%), mp 87–90 °C. $^1\text{H NMR (CDCl}_3): \delta$ 3.75 (s, 2H), 7.41-7.50 (m, 4H), 7.67 (br s, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR(CDCl₃): δ –46.0 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 44.3, 117.9, 121.7, 129.1 $(q, J = 314.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCF}_3)$, 132.1, 136.0, 164.6. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3272, 1645, 1587, 1532, 1487, 1435, 1349, 1315, 1243, 1126, 1104, 1070, 1011, 971, 936, 822, 684. HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₉- $H_8BrF_3NOS_2$ 345.9183, found: 345.9184 $(M + H)^+$.

1-Phenyl-2-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)ethan-1-one (9d). (2 mmol of 8d, 2.3 mmol of 1, 10 mmol of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$). Yellow oil (197 mg, 39%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.42 (s, 2H), 7.49-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.93–7.97 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -46.5 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 46.9, 128.5, 128.9, 129.4 (q, J $=$ 313.8 Hz, SCF₃), 134.1, 135.0, 192.9. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1677, 1597, 1581, 1449, 1394, 1321, 1276, 1196, 1135, 1097, 997, 750,

686, 636. HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_9H_8F_3OS_2$ 252.9969, found: 252.9970 $(M + H)^+$.

Phenyl((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)methanone (9e). (2 mmol of 8e, 2.6 mmol of 1, 2.6 mmol of TfOH). Slightly yellow oil (176 mg, 37%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.65–7.72 (m, 1H), 7.79–8.05 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR(CDCl₃): δ –45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 128.2, 128.3 (q, J = 313.6 Hz, SCF₃), 129.2, 134.6, 134.9, 184.5. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1760, 1708, 1597, 1581, 1449, 1201, 1145, 1096, 876, 770, 754, 684, 674, 643, 615. HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for $\rm{C_8H_6F_3OS_2}$ 238.9812, found: 238.9818 $\rm{(M + H)}^{+}$.

Ethyl acetyl((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)-D-alaninate (9f). (1 mmol of 8f, 1.2 mmol of 1, 1.2 mmol of MSA). White solid (169 mg, 58%), mp 41.8–44.7 °C. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃): δ 1.30 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 3.32 (dd, $J = 14.1$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, $J = 14.1, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), $4.85 - 4.90$ (m, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –46.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.0, 23.0, 41.8, 51.6, 62.3, 129.2 (q, $J = 313.5$ Hz, SCF₃), 169.9, 169.9. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3337, 1735, 1647, 1521, 1376, 1316, 1189, 1152, 1126, 1103, 1034, 862, 752. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{20} = +37.7$ $(c = 0.26$ in CH₂Cl₂). HRMS: (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₈H₁₃F₃NO₃S₂ 292.0283, found 292.0279 $(M + H)^+$.

 $(2R, 3R, 4S, 5R, 6S)$ -2-(acetoxymethyl)-6-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-3,4,5-triyl triacetate (9g). (0.5 mmol of 8g, 0.6 mmol of 1, 0.6 mmol of TfOH). White solid (160 mg, 69%), mp 113.9–116.2 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 3.75–3.79 (m, 1H), 4.16 $(dd, J = 12.5, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.25 $(dd, J = 12.5, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.62 $(d,$ $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.11 (dt, $J = 9.8$, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (t, $J = 9.4$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –45.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₃COCD₃): δ 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 63.7, 69.8, 71.3, 74.8, 77.6, 87.9, 130.5 (q, $J =$ 313.0 Hz, SCF₃), 170.9, 171.0, 171.2, 171.6. IR (neat) cm $^{-1}$: 1742, 1366, 1221, 1142, 1102, 1060, 1031, 913. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\rm D}^{20} = -100.8$ $\left(c = 0.25\right.$ in CH₂Cl₂). Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₉F₃O₉S₂: C, 38.79; H, 4.12. Found: C, 39.18; H, 3.80. Paper Wewer Sits. TOPI calcle for Callar, OS, 322.9999, and Methyl 2.nino af (either meths)/sationaries (4)

Internationaries Articles. The Access Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles. The Access Articles Articles

S-(Trifluoromethyl) benzenesulfonothioate (11a).⁴⁷ (1 mmol of 10a, 1.2 mmol of 1, 5 mmol of p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate). Yellow oil (204 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.59-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.71–7.77 (m, 1H), 7.99–8.04 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –38.9 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 127.2 (q, *J* = 313.1 Hz, SCF₃), 127.6, 129.6, 135.1, 144.6; IR (neat) cm $^{-1}$: 1449, 1359, 1153, 1095, 1069, 760, 752, 714, 680. Anal. calcd for $C_7H_5F_3O_2S_2$: C, 34.71; H, 2.08. Found: C, 34.64; H, 2.09.

S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-methylbenzenesulfonothioate (11b).⁵⁹ (1 mmol of 10b, 1.2 mmol of 1, 5 mmol of p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate). Yellow oil (221 mg, 86%). ^1H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.49 (s, 3H), 7.37–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.85–7.92 (m, 2H); 19F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –39.0 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 21.8, 127.3 (q, J = 312.9 Hz, SCF₃), 127.7, 130.2, 141.8, 146.7. IR (neat) $\rm cm^{-1}$: 1593, 1357, 1152, 1097, 1071, 812, 760, 700, 650. Anal. calcd for $C_8H_7F_3O_2S_2$: C, 37.49; H, 2.75. Found: C, 37.31; H, 2.65.

 $(Phenyl(trifluorometryl) - \lambda^4$ -selanylidene)sulfane (13). (1 mmol of 12, 1.2 mmol of 1, 1.2 mmol of TfOH). Yellow oil (70 mg, 54%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.34–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.67–7.72 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –43.3 (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 128.7 (q, $J = 311.4$ Hz, SCF₃), 129.4, 129.5, 130.5, 132.7. IR (neat) cm-1 : 1135, 1090, 733, 685. HRMS: (APCI + PI) calcd for $C_7H_5F_3SSe$ 257.9229, found 257.9224.

1-Methyl-2-nitro-4-((trifluoromethyl)sulfinothioyl)benzene (14). Yellow solid (114 mg, 71%), mp 51.0–52.0 $^{\circ}$ C. 1 H NMR (CDCl $_{3})$: δ 2.47 (s, 3H), 7.55 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, $J = 1.1$ Hz, 1H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta - 45.0$ (s, SCF₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 20.5, 126.3, 127.3, 128.7 (q, J = 314.6 Hz, SCF_3), 130.9, 135.6, 138.4, 145.4. IR (neat) cm^{-1} : 2923, 1514, 1463, 1329, 1294, 1143, 1091, 829, 802, 750. HRMS: (APCI + PI) calcd for $C_8H_6F_3NO_2S_2$ 268.9786, found 268.9785.

Acknowledgements

J. Robin and B. Alič for technical support, Prof. K. Francesconi and Dr K. B. Jensen at the Karl-Franzens-University in Graz, Dr D. Urankar and Prof. J. Košmrlj for HRMS, Mrs T. Stipanovič and Prof. B. Stanovnik for elemental combustion analyses, and the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology (P1-0134) for financial support are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 (a) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006; (b) Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (c) W. K. Hagmann, J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 4359–4369; (d) T. Liang, C. N. Neumann and T. Ritter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8214-8264; (e) K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, Science, 2007, 317, 1881–1886; (f) D. O'Hagan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2010, 131, 1071–1081; (g) R. Berger, G. Resnati, P. Metrangolo, E. Weber and J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 3496–3508; (h) M. G. Campbell and T. Ritter, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 612–633.
- 2 (a) D. O'Hagan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 308–319; (b) L. Hunter, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2010, 6, 38; (c) G. A. Patani and E. J. LaVoie, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 3147– 3176.
- 3 (a) E. A. Meyer, R. K. Castellano and F. Diederich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1210–1250; (b) C. Dalvit and A. Vulpetti, ChemMedChem, 2012, 7, 262–272.
- 4 (a) H.-J. Schneider, Chem. Sci., 2012, 3, 1381–1394; (b) D. Chopra and T. N. Guru Row, CrystEngComm, 2011, 13, 2175–2186; (c) K. Reichenbächer, H. I. Süss and J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 22–30.
- 5 (a) Z. Jin, G. B. Hammond and B. Xu, Aldrichimica Acta, 2012, 45, 67-83; (b) N. Shibata, A. Matsnev and D. Cahard, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2010, 6, 65; (c) A. Studer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8950–8958; (d) O. A. Tomashenko and V. V. Grushin, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 4475–4521; (e) T. Furuya, A. S. Kamlet and T. Ritter, Nature, 2011, 473, 470–477; (f) T. Besset, C. Schneider and D. Cahard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 5048–5050; (g) X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann and M. Beller, Chem.–Asian J., 2012, 7, 1744– 1754.
- 6 (a) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 320–330; (b) K. L. Kirk, J. Fluorine Chem., 2006, 127, 1013–1029; (c) J. Xu, X. Liu and Y. Fu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 585–594; (d) W. Zhu, J. Wang,

S. Wang, Z. Gu, J. L. Aceña, K. Izawa, H. Liu and V. A. Soloshonok, J. Fluorine Chem., 2014, 167, 37–54; (e) E. Merino and C. Nevado, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 6598– 6608; (f) J.-A. Ma and D. Cahard, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, PR1–PR43; (g) H. Egami and M. Sodeoka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 8294–8308.

- 7 L. M. Yagupolskii, N. V. Kondratenko and G. N. Timofeeva, J. Org. Chem. USSR, 1984, 20, 103–106.
- 8 (a) T. Umemoto and J. Ishihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 2156–2164; (b) J.-J. Yang, R. L. Kirchmeier and J. M. Shreeve, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 2656–2660; (c) S. Noritake, N. Shibata, S. Nakamura, T. Toru and M. Shiro, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 3465–3468; (d) A. Matsnev, S. Noritake, Y. Nomura, E. Tokunaga, S. Nakamura and N. Shibata, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 572–576; (e) P. Eisenberger, S. Gischig and A. Togni, Chem.–Eur. J., 2006, 12, 2579–2586; (f) A. T. Parsons and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9120–9123; (g) T. Liu, X. Shao, Y. Wu and Q. Shen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 540–543; (h) R. Shimizu, H. Egami, Y. Hamashima and M. Sodeoka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 4577–4580; (i) Y. Li and A. Studer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8221–8224.
- 9 See, for example: (a) C. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 6580–6589; (b) L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 1513–1522; (c) T. Besset, T. Poisson and X. Pannecoucke, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 16830–16845; (d) S. Barata-Vallejo, B. Lantaño and A. Postigo, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 16806–16829; (e) W. Kong, M. Casimiro, N. Fuentes, E. Merino and C. Nevado, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 13086-13090; (f) J. Charpentier, N. Früh and A. Togni, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 650–682.
- 10 See, for example: (a) J. Xu, B. Xiao, C.-Q. Xie, D.-F. Luo, L. Liu and Y. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12551–12554; (b) X. Mu, T. Wu, H.-y. Wang, Y.-l. Guo and G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 878–881; (c) B. A. Khan, A. E. Buba and L. J. Gooßen, Chem.–Eur. J., 2012, 18, 1577–1581; (d) A. Hafner and S. Bräse, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3713–3715; (e) L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7262–7263; (f) M. Shang, S.-Z. Sun, H.-L. Wang, B. N. Laforteza, H.-X. Dai and J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. $Ed., 2014, 53, 10439-10442; (g)$ P.V. Pham, D.A. Nagib and D. W. C. MacMillan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 6119– 6122; (h) X. Liu, C. Xu, M. Wang and Q. Liu, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 683–730.
- 11 See, for example: (a) G. Shi, C. Shao, S. Pan, J. Yu and Y. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 38–41; (b) Y. Ye and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9034–9037; (c) X.-Y. Jiang and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 14177–14180; (d) Q. Lu, C. Liu, Z. Huang, Y. Ma, J. Zhang and A. Lei, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14101–14104; (e) L. Zhang, Z. Li and Z.-Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3688– 3691; (f) N. Iqbal, J. Jung, S. Park and E. J. Cho, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 539–542; (g) X. Wu, L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2198–2202; (h) S. Mizuta, S. Verhoog, K. M. Engle, T. Khotavivattana, M. O'Duill, K. Wheelhouse, G. Rassias, M. Médebielle and

V. Gouverneur, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 2505–2508; (i) C. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2895–2906.

- 12 See, for example: (a) R. J. Lundgren and M. Stradiotto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 9322–9324; (b) N. D. Ball, J. W. Kampf and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2878–2879; (c) T. S. N. Zhao and K. J. Szabó, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 3966–3969; (d) O. A. Tomashenko, E. C. Escudero-Adán, M. M. Belmonte and V. V. Grushin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7655–7659; (e) G. G. Dubinina, H. Furutachi and D. A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 8600–8601; (f) N. D. Ball, J. B. Gary, Y. Ye and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 7577–7584; (g) E. J. Cho, T. D. Senecal, T. Kinzel, Y. Zhang, D. A. Watson and S. L. Buchwald, Science, 2010, 328, 1679– 1681; (h) N. D. Litvinas, P. S. Fier and J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 536–539; (i) H. Morimoto, T. Tsubogo, N. D. Litvinas and J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3793-3798; (j) L. Zhu, S. Liu, J. T. Douglas and R. A. Altman, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 12800–12805; (k) P. Chen and G. Liu, Synthesis, 2013, 45, 2919–2939; (l) Y. Ye and M. S. Sanford, Synlett, 2012, 23, 2000–2013; (m) M. Hu, C. Ni and J. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15257–15260; (n) T. Liu and Q. Shen, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 6679–6687; (o) J. M. Larsson, S. R. Pathipati and K. J. Szabó, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 78, 7330-7336. **BSC** Advances **S**

S. Wang, Z. G., J. L. Acine, K. Lawa, H. Liu and V. Gonvernour, J. Access, 2013, 135, 2536–2508; (V. A. Solatione, J. Acine, A. Solatione, J. Access Articles. C. C. The Commons Articles. The Commons Ar
	- 13 G. Landelle, A. Panossian and F. R. Leroux, Curr. Top. Med. Chem., 2014, 14, 941–951.
	- 14 K. Fauster, C. Kreutz and R. Micura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 13080–13084.
	- 15 (a) G. Teverovskiy, D. S. Surry and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7312–7314; (b) K. Zhang, J.-B. Liu and F.-L. Qing, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 14157–14160; (c) L. M. Yagupolskii, N. V. Kondratenko and V. P. Sambur, Synthesis, 1975, 721–723; (d) M. Hu, J. Rong, W. Miao, C. Ni, Y. Han and J. Hu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2030–2033; (e) S.-G. Li and S. Z. Zard, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 5898–5901; (f) W. A. Sheppard, J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 895–898; (g) D. J. Adams, A. Goddard, J. H. Clark and D. J. Macquarrie, Chem. Commun., 2000, 987–988; (h) C.-P. Zhang and D. A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 183–185; (i) C.-P. Zhang and D. A. Vicic, Chem.–Asian J., 2012, 7, 1756– 1758.
	- 16 V. N. Boiko, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2010, 6, 880–921.
	- 17 (a) K. Yamaguchi, K. Sakagami, Y. Miyamoto, X. Jin and N. Mizuno, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 9200–9206; (b) C. Chen, Y. Xie, L. Chu, R.-W. Wang, X. Zhang and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2492–2495; (c) C. Pooput, M. Medebielle and W. R. Dolbier Jr, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 301–303; (d) N. Santschi and A. Togni, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 4189–4193; (e) I. Kieltsch, P. Eisenberger and A. Togni, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 754–757; (f) S. Capone, I. Kieltsch, O. Flögel, G. Lelais, A. Togni and D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2008, 91, 2035–2056; (g) S. Large, N. Roques and B. R. Langlois, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 8848–8856; (h) T. Billard, S. Large and B. R. Langlois, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 65–68; (i) G. Blond, T. Billard and B. R. Langlois, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 2473–2475;

(j) L. Zhai, Y. Li, J. Yin, K. Jin, R. Zhang, X. Fu and C. Duan, Tetrahedron, 2013, 69, 10262–10266; (k) Y. Huang, X. He, X. Lin, M. Rong and Z. Weng, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3284– 3287; (l) V. N. Movchun, A. A. Kolomeitsev and Y. L. Yagupolskii, J. Fluorine Chem., 1995, 70, 255–257; (m) W. Zhong and X. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 4909– 4911; (n) G. Danoun, B. Bayarmagnai, M. F. Gruenberg and J. L. Goossen, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 1312–1316; (o) N. J. W. Straathof, B. J. P. Tegelbeckers, V. Hessel, X. Wang and T. Noël, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 4768-4773; (p) B. Bayarmagnai, C. Matheis, E. Risto and L. J. Goossen, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2343–2348. Paper Wave Articles. Published on 26 June 2015. Download Channel Chann

- 18 (a) M. Rueping, N. Tolstoluzhsky and P. Nikolaienko, Chem.– Eur. J., 2013, 19, 14043–14046; (b) Z. Weng, W. He, C. Chen, R. Lee, D. Tan, Z. Lai, D. Kong, Y. Yuan and K.-W. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 1548–1552; (c) J. H. Clark, C. W. Jones, A. P. Kybett, M. A. McClinton, J. M. Miller, D. Bishop and R. J. Blade, J. Fluorine Chem., 1990, 48, 249– 253; (d) D. J. Adams and J. H. Clark, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 1456–1460.
- 19 L. D. Tran, I. Popov and O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 18237–18240.
- 20 S. Munavalli, D. K. Rohrbaugh, D. I. Rossman and H. D. Durst, J. Fluorine Chem., 1999, 98, 3–9.
- 21 (a) A. Tlili and T. Billard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 6818–6819; (b) F. Toulgoat, S. Alazet and T. Billard, *Eur. J.* Org. Chem., 2014, 2415–2428; (c) X.-H. Xu, K. Matsuzaki and N. Shibata, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 731–764; (d) C. Shen, P. Zhang, Q. Sun, S. Bai, T. S. A. Hor and X. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 291–314; (e) C. Chen, L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12454–12457; (f) Q. Lefebvre, E. Fava, P. Nikolaienko and M. Rueping, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6617–6619; (g) W. Yin, Z. Wang and Y. Huang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2998–3006; (h) P. Nikolaienko, R. Pluta and M. Rueping, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 9867–9870; (i) C. Chen, X.-H. Xu, B. Yang and F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3372–3375; (j) P. Zhu, X. He, X. Chen, Y. You, Y. Yuan and Z. Weng, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 672–677; (k) D. Kong, Z. Jiang, S. Xin, Z. Bai, Y. Yuan and Z. Weng, Tetrahedron, 2013, 69, 6046–6050; (l) Z. Wang, Q. Tu and Z. Weng, J. Organomet. Chem., 2014, 751, 830–834; (m) Q. Xiao, J. Sheng, Q. Ding and J. Wu, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 217–221; (n) F. Yin and X.-S. Wang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1128–1131; (o) X. Wang, Y. Zhou, G. Ji, G. Wu, M. Li, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 3093–3096; (p) G. Landelle, A. Panossian, S. Pazenok, J.-P. Vors and F. R. Leroux, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2013, 9, 2476–2536; (q) J.-B. Liu, X.-H. Xu, Z.-H. Chen and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 897–900; (r) X. Dai and D. Cahard, Synlett, 2015, 16, 40–44.
- 22 A. Ferry, T. Billard, B. R. Langlois and E. Bacqué, *J. Org.* Chem., 2008, 73, 9362–9365.
- 23 A. Ferry, T. Billard, B. R. Langlois and E. Bacqué, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 8551–8555.
- 24 A. Ferry, T. Billard, E. Bacque and B. R. Langlois, J. Fluorine Chem., 2012, 134, 160–163.
- 25 F. Baert, J. Colomb and T. Billard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10382–10385.
- 26 Y. Yang, X. Jiang and F.-L. Qing, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 7538–7547.
- 27 S. Alazet, K. Ollivier and T. Billard, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2013, 9, 2354–2357.
- 28 J. Liu, L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 894–897.
- 29 M. Jereb and K. Gosak, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 3103– 3115.
- 30 J. Sheng, S. Li and J. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 578–580.
- 31 J. Sheng, C. Fan and J. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 5494– 5496.
- 32 Y. Li, G. Li and Q. Ding, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 5017–5022.
- 33 Q. Xiao, J. Sheng, Z. Chen and J. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8647–8649.
- 34 Q. Xiao, H. Zhu, G. Li and Z. Chen, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 3809–3815.
- 35 (a) Y.-D. Yang, A. Azuma, E. Tokunaga, M. Yamasaki, M. Shiro and M. Shibata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8782–8785; (b) S. Arimori, M. Takada and N. Shibata, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 1063-1065; (c) Z. Huang, Y.-D. Yang, E. Tokunaga and N. Shibata, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 1094–1097.
- 36 C. Xu and Q. Shen, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2046–2049.
- 37 S.-Q. Zhu, X.-H. Xu and F.-L. Qing, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 4453–4456.
- 38 K. Kang, C. Xu and Q. Shen, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 294– 297.
- 39 R. Pluta, P. Nikolaienko and M. Rueping, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1650–1653.
- 40 R. Pluta and M. Rueping, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 17315– 17318.
- 41 T. Bootwicha, X. Liu, R. Pluta, I. Atodiresei and M. Rueping, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12856–12859.
- 42 M. Rueping, X. Liu, T. Bootwicha, R. Pluta and C. Merkens, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 2508–2511.
- 43 X. Shao, X. Wang, T. Yang, L. Lu and Q. Shen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3457–3460.
- 44 E. V. Vinogradova, P. Müller and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 3125–3128.
- 45 X. Shao, T. Liu, L. Lu and Q. Shen, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4738– 4741.
- 46 B. Ma, X. Shao and Q. Shen, J. Fluorine Chem., 2015, 171, 73– 77.
- 47 X. Shao, C. Xu, L. Lu and Q. Shen, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 3012–3021.
- 48 F. Hu, X. Shao, D. Zhu, L. Lu and Q. Shen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6105–6109.
- 49 H. He and X. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3102–3105.
- 50 (a) X. Wang, T. Yang, X. Cheng and Q. Shen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12860-12864; (b) Q.-H. Deng, C. Rettenmeier, H. Wadepohl and L. H. Gade, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 93–97.
- 51 C. Xu, B. Ma and Q. Shen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9316–9320.
- 52 Q. Wang, Z. Qi, F. Xie and X. Li, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 357, 355–360.
- 53 M. Maeno, N. Shibata and D. Cahard, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 1990–1993.
- 54 X.-L. Zhu, J.-H. Xu, D.-J. Cheng, L.-J. Zhao, X.-Y. Liu and B. Tan, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2192–2195.
- 55 H. Xiang and C. Yang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 5686–5689.
- 56 S. Alazet, L. Zimmer and T. Billard, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 8589–8593.
- 57 (a) S. Alazet and T. Billard, Synlett, 2015, 26, 76–78; (b) S. Alazet, L. Zimmer and T. Billard, J. Fluorine Chem., 2015, 171, 78–81.
- 58 S. S. Block and J. P. Weidner, Nature, 1967, 214, 478–479.
- 59 J. P. Weidner and S. S. Block, J. Med. Chem., 1967, 10, 1167– 1170.
- 60 S. Andreades, J. F. Harris Jr and W. A. Sheppard, J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 898–900.
- 61 D. M. Ceacareanu, M. R. C. Gerstenberger and A. Haas, Chem. Ber., 1983, 116, 3325–3331.
- 62 S. Munavalli, D. I. Rossman, D. K. Rohrbaugh, C. P. Ferguson and H. D. Banks, J. Fluorine Chem., 1993, 60, 85–91.
- 63 T. Billard, N. Roques and B. R. Langlois, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 3813–3820.
- 64 A. Fischer, W. Grab and P. Schieberle, Eur. Food Res. Technol., 2008, 227, 735–744.
- 65 (a) J. P. Weidner and S. S. Block, J. Med. Chem., 1972, 15, 564– 567; (b) T. Billard, B. R. Langlois, S. Large, D. Anker, N. Roidot and P. Roure, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 7545–7550.
- 66 M. G. Ranasinghe and P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 779–782.
- 67 (a) B. J. Lynch, P. L. Fast, M. Harris and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys.* Chem. A, 2000, 104, 4811–4815; (b) Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar,
- J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2005, 1, 415–432; (c) D. Dolenc and B. Modec, New J. Chem., 2009, 33, 2344–2349.
- 68 E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales and F. Weinhold, NBO 5.0, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 2001, http:// www.chem.wisc.edu/~nbo5. **BSC Advances**

S1 M. Macmo, N. Shihata and D. Caland, Org. Lett, 2015, 17,
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Common Access Articles. Published and Creative Common Access Articles. Articles. Article. 2014

S1 M. Article and C. Yan, D., Chengy L
	- 69 C. E. Aroyan, A. Dermenci and S. J. Miller, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 5784–5796.
	- 70 S. Pearson, W. Scarano and M. H. Stenzel, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4695–4697.
	- 71 E. Juaristi and J. S. Cruz-Sánchez, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, 53, 3334–3338.
	- 72 J. Z. Chandanshive, B. F. Bonini, D. Gentili, M. Fochi, L. Bernardi and M. Comes Franchini, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 6440–6447.
	- 73 S. Knoppe, N. Kothalawala, V. R. Jupally, A. Dass and T. Bürgi, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4630-4632.
	- 74 S. J. Ratnakar, M. Woods, A. J. M. Lubag, Z. Kovács and A. D. Sherry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 6–7.
	- 75 S. Bateja, S. Chandrashekhar, C. S. Bhandari and N. C. Sogani, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 1979, 26, 173–176.
	- 76 J. J. Garcia, B. E. Mann, H. Adams, N. A. Bailey and P. M. Maitlis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 2179–2186.
	- 77 J. I. G. Cadogan, H. S. Hutchinson and H. McNab, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1988, 2875–2879.
	- 78 M. Murár, G. Addová and A. Boháč, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2013, 9, 173–179.
	- 79 R. E. Pearson and J. C. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 3142–3146.
	- 80 C. K. Ingold and F. R. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., 1927, 2918–2926.