Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 3466

Stable porphyrin Zr and Hf metal-organic frameworks featuring 2.5 nm cages: high surface areas, SCSC transformations and catalyses[†]

Jun Zheng,^{ab} Mingyan Wu,^{*a} Feilong Jiang,^a Weiping Su^{*a} and Maochun Hong^a

Two isostructural porphyrin Zr and Hf metal-organic frameworks (FJI-H6 and FJI-H7) are rationally synthesized, and are constructed from 2.5 nm cubic cages. Notably, they both possess high water and

chemical stability and can undergo single-crystal to single-crystal transformations to embed Cu²⁺ ions

into the open porphyrin rings. FJI-H6 has a high BET surface area of 5033 m² g⁻¹. Additionally, they

exhibit promising catalytic abilities to convert CO2 and epoxides into cyclic carbonates at ambient

Received 20th January 2015 Accepted 31st March 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00213c

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Owing to their high surface area, permanent porosity and tunable pores, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have been used for catalysis,¹ gas separation and storage,² and drug delivery.³ Nonetheless, one big obstacle to the practical applications of MOFs is their stability, which includes water and chemical stability. To target stable MOFs, one effective method is the use of high-valence metal ions such as Fe³⁺, Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, Zr⁴⁺ and Hf4+ ions as the metal nodes.4 Compared with the traditional Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Co²⁺ ions,⁵ the aforementioned trivalent or tetravalent metal ions will form stronger bonds with carboxylate groups according to the theory of hard and soft acids and bases. Therefore, the stability of the obtained frameworks will be strengthened. Furthermore, these highvalence metal ions tend to form highly connected inorganic clusters via the OH⁻ and/or O²⁻ bridges, which also signifi-Notably, FJI-H6 has a high BET surface area of 5033 $m^2 g^{-1}$. cantly contributes to the stability of the frameworks. As for the Zr⁴⁺ ion, it prefers to form the classical 12-connected Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ node. When assembled with linear carboxylate ligands, 3D fcu frameworks with ordered cubic cages (known as the UiO series) can be obtained.6 These kinds of Zr-based MOF

conditions

often have high water and chemical stability, and can even serve as water adsorbents.7 Up to now, extensive investigation has been carried out to tune the porosity of the UiO series of Zr-MOFs by selectively removing organic linkers8 or by functionalizing the ligands (by pre-modification or post-synthetic

methods).9 However, there are several examples based on the assembly of Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ clusters with polycarboxylic ligands such as planar tetracarboxylic acids.76,10 In addition, compared with Zr-based MOFs, Hf-based MOFs are also rare.6611 Herein, we present two ultra-stable metal-organic frameworks $([Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(H_2TBPP)_3]_n \cdot (solvent)_x)$ (FJI-H6) and $([Hf_6O_4(OH)_4 (H_2 TBPP)_3]_n \cdot (solvent)_x$ (FJI-H7), which are isostructural and both constructed from $M_6O_4(OH)_4(CO_2)_{12}$ nodes (M = Zr, Hf) and porphyrin tetracarboxylic ligands ($H_6TBPP = 4', 4''', 4'''', 4''''''$ (porphyrin-5,10,15,20-tetrayl)tetrakis([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carboxylic acid)). As expected, both FJI-H6 and FJI-H7 have high water and chemical stability and can undergo a single-crystal-to-singlecrystal (SCSC) transformation to embed Cu²⁺ ions into the open porphyrin rings. Interestingly, they both feature 2.5 nm cages.

Results and discussion

Syntheses and structures of porphyrin Zr and Hf MOFs

Reaction of H₆TBPP with ZrCl₄ or HfCl₄ modulated by benzoic acid gives rise to dark red crystals of FJI-H6 or FJI-H7. Single crystal X-ray structural analysis shows that FJI-H6 and FJI-H7 are isostructural.¹² Therefore, we chose FJI-H6 as the example in the following discussion. FJI-H6 crystallizes in the high symmetry space group $Pm\bar{3}m$. In the $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ cluster, six equivalent Zr⁴⁺ ions are in a square-antiprismatic O₈ coordination environment and form a regular octahedron. In this Zr₆ octahedron, the eight triangular faces are alternatively capped by four μ_3 -OH⁻ and four μ_3 -O²⁻ groups. Additionally, the twelve edges of the Zr₆ octahedron are bridged by twelve carboxylate groups from twelve unique H₂TBPP ligands. At the same time, each H₂TBPP ligand, in which the peripheral four phenyl rings are coplanar with the inner porphyrin rings, links four independent Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ clusters. Thus, a rarely seen (4,12)-connected ftw framework can be acquired.^{10a,11b,13} FJI-H6 has two



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

[&]quot;State Key Laboratory of Structure Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350002, China. E-mail: wumy@fjirsm.ac.cn; wpsu@fjirsm.ac.cn

^bUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General experimental, syntheses and characterization of the complexes mentioned in the manuscript, details of the single crystal diffraction experiments, PXRD, TG and additional figures. CCDC 1043280, 1043281, 1043914 and 1043915. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00213c

kinds of polyhedral cages, *i.e.* a small octahedral cage and a large cubic cage. As seen in Fig. 1, the octahedral cage is constructed from two $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters and four H_2TBPP ligands, with a cavity diameter of *ca.* 1.5 nm. However, the cubic cage consists of eight $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters as the vertices and six H_2TBPP ligands as the sides. Significantly, the diameter of the cubic cage is approximately 2.5 nm, which is larger than that in PCN-221 (2.0 nm).^{11b} Accordingly, the available volume is 15 000 Å³. Additionally, the window of the cubic cage is 1.2 nm \times 2.0 nm, which allows large organic molecules to freely get in and out.

Gas adsorption experiments and stability tests

Calculation by PLATON software¹⁴ reveals that in **FJI-H6** the free volume is up to 78.6%. For **FJI-H6** the permanent porosity is confirmed by an N₂ adsorption isotherm measured at 77 K. The sample exchanged with acetone exhibits a reversible type I isotherm and has a saturated uptake of 1346 cm³ g⁻¹ at 1 atm (Fig. 2). When pre-treated with 8 M HCl, the value of the N₂ adsorption slightly increases to 1393 cm³ g⁻¹, which indicates that **FJI-H6** is stable with respect to the acid. From the above data, the calculated BET surface area of the sample exchanged with acetone is up to 5007 m² g⁻¹ (5033 m² g⁻¹ for the sample pre-treated with 8 M HCl), which is much larger than those of PCN-222(Fe) (2200 m² g⁻¹),^{4b} NU-1000 (2320 m² g⁻¹),¹⁵



Fig. 1 (a) The large cubic cage constructed from six porphyrin ligands and eight $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters. (b) The small octahedral cage constructed from four porphyrin ligands and two $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters. (c) Packing of the two kinds of cages.



Fig. 2 Experimental N_2 adsorption isotherms for FJI-H6, FJI-H6(Cu), FJI-H7 and FJI-H7(Cu).

PCN-223(Fe) (1600 m² g⁻¹),^{10c} PCN-94 (3377 m² g⁻¹),^{10b} NU-1100 (4020 m² g⁻¹)^{10a} and PCN-229 (4619 m² g⁻¹),¹³ but less than those of the just reported NU-1103 (5646 $m^2 g^{-1}$) and NU-1104 $(5290 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$.^{10e} In addition, FJI-H6 also has a high total pore volume of 2.16 cm³ g⁻¹. The experimental BET surface area and pore volume are consistent with theoretical values calculated by Poreblazer¹⁶ (accessible surface area: 4695 m² g⁻¹; pore volume: 2.06 cm³ g⁻¹),¹⁴ which demonstrates that the sample is fully activated. Additionally, FJI-H6 also shows good capacity for H₂ storage. The H₂ uptake reaches 172 cm³ g⁻¹ (1.54 wt%) at 1 atm and 77 K, and 108 cm³ g⁻¹ (0.94 wt%) at 87 K and 1 atm. Moreover, the adsorption heat of H₂ calculated by the Clausius– Clapeyron equation is 6.54 kJ mol⁻¹ at zero coverage and decreases slowly with increasing H₂ loading. These values are comparable to those of famous MOF materials, such as HKUST-1 (6.6 kJ mol⁻¹),¹⁷ MOF-5 (5.2 kJ mol⁻¹),¹⁷ and NOTT-122 (6.0 kJ mol⁻¹).¹⁸ As for FJI-H7 the sample exchanged with acetone also exhibits a reversible type I isotherm and has a saturated uptake of 1029 $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ at 1 atm. From the above data, the calculated BET surface area of FJI-H7 is up to 3831 $m^2 g^{-1}$, which is among the highest reported for Hf-based MOFs.11

Since the $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ and $Hf_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters are both highly connected with twelve carboxylate groups, **FJI-H6** and **FJI-H7** are expected to have a high stability. To test their stabilities, we immersed a microcrystalline sample of **FJI-H6** or **FJI-H7** into water with various pH values for 24 h (see Fig. S2†). The PXRD patterns of the resulting samples match well with the simulated ones, which suggests that **FJI-H6** and **FJI-H7** retain their crystallinity. In particular, **FJI-H6** has high stability in acid. As seen in Fig. 2, the curves and the adsorption values for the sample treated with 8 M HCl do not deviate much from those of the untreated sample.

Incorporating Cu²⁺ ions into the open porphyrin rings *via* SCSC transformations

Considering that in both **FJI-H6** and **FJI-H7** two nitrogen atoms of the porphyrin ring are not deprotonated, we attempted to introduce a second kind of metal ion into the framework. Immersing single-crystals of **FJI-H6** or **FJI-H7** into a solution of 0.5 M Cu(NO₃)₂ in *N*,*N*-dimethyl formamide (DMF) at 85 °C for

72 h results in metallated FJI-H6(Cu) or FJI-H7(Cu). As anticipated, single crystal X-ray structural analysis definitively shows that in both FJI-H6 and FJI-H7 the Cu2+ ions have been embedded in the porphyrin rings. The Cu²⁺ ion is in a square planar N4 coordination environment with two axial sites exposed, which is typical for divalent metal ions in metalporphyrin complexes.19 We believe that, although there are several examples of exchanging metal ions in porphyrin MOFs, this is the first observation of incorporating metal ions into open porphyrin rings via SCSC transformations in porphyrin MOFs. N₂ adsorption measurements at 77 K for FJI-H6(Cu) also show a type I isotherm. At 1 atm, FJI-H6(Cu) has the maximum N_2 adsorption of 1128 cm³ g⁻¹, which is smaller than that of FJI-H6. Accordingly, the BET surface area of FJI-H6(Cu) is reduced to 3731 m² g⁻¹. Similarly, the maximum N₂ adsorption and BET surface area of FJI-H7(Cu) (918 cm³ g⁻¹ and 3195 $m^2 g^{-1}$, respectively) are also lower than those of FJI-H7. The reason may be ascribed to the introduction of Cu^{2+} ions, which can slightly diminish the surface area.

Cycloaddition reactions of CO₂ with epoxides

Recently, owing to global warming, efficient CO₂ capture and storage is urgently needed to reduce CO2 emissions before scientists find a practical clean energy. If we can convert this abundant inorganic waste into usable organic chemicals utilizing reasonable reactions at ambient conditions, the above problem can be perfectly solved. One practical method is the synthesis of cyclic carbonates from CO₂ and epoxides, which have extensive applications as degreasers, polar aprotic solvents and electrolytes in lithium ion batteries. Though many catalysts have been explored for the above reaction, metalloporphyrins show relatively high catalytic activity.20 Hence, we have evaluated FJI-H6, FJI-H6(Cu), FJI-H7 and FJI-H7(Cu) as heterogeneous catalysts for the cycloaddition reaction of CO2 with epoxides (Scheme 1). Typically, 25.5 mmol 3-chloropropylene oxide, 0.51 mmol (2.0 mol%) tetrabutylammonium bromide and 0.051 mmol (0.2 mol%) catalyst were added to a thickwalled glass tube with a stirring bar. The tube was placed under vacuum and then purged with CO2. The above cycle was repeated three times. Finally, the pressure of CO2 was set as 1 atm. The mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 60 hours. Analysis of the resulting solution by gas chromatography indicated that 52.6%, 61.8%, 64% and 66.5% of the epoxide was converted into the cyclic carbonate for FJI-H6, FJI-H6(Cu), FJI-H7 and FJI-H7(Cu), respectively. Though the yields are not very high compared with homogeneous catalysts, it is nevertheless promising considering the low temperature and pressure.



Scheme 1 Cycloaddition reactions of CO₂ with epoxide catalyzed by FJI-H6(Cu), FJI-H7 and FJI-H7(Cu).

Compared with **FJI-H6** or **FJI-H7**, **FJI-H6(Cu)** or **FJI-H7(Cu)** has a higher catalytic ability. The reason may be that, as a Lewis catalytic site, the embedded Cu(II) ion in the porphyrin ring contributes to some extent. At the same time, the Hf-based MOFs **FJI-H7** and **FJI-H7(Cu)** have higher catalytic abilities than the corresponding Zr-based MOFs **FJI-H6** and **FJI-H6(Cu)**, respectively since the Hf ion is more oxophilic than the Zr ion and acts as a stronger Lewis acid. Additionally, the PXRD patterns of **FJI-H6(Cu)**, **FJI-H7** and **FJI-H7(Cu)** after catalyses are in good agreement with the simulated ones (see Fig. S2†), which further demonstrates that they all retain their framework. However, it is a pity that **FJI-H6** lost its crystallinity during the catalytic process.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we report the design and synthesis of two ultrastable MOFs FJI-H6 and FJI-H7, which both feature 2.5 nm cages. In particular, FJI-H6 has a high BET surface area of 5033 m² g⁻¹. Due to the high connectivity of the $M_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters (M = Zr and Hf), FJI-H6 and FJI-H7 are stable in water with pH values ranging from 0 to 10. Interestingly, they can undergo a single-crystal to single-crystal transformation to embed Cu²⁺ ions into the porphyrin rings, which also indicates their high chemical stability. Additionally, preliminary catalysis evaluation shows that FJI-H6(Cu), FJI-H7 and FJI-H7(Cu) exhibit promising catalytic capacity for converting CO₂ and epoxides into cyclic carbonates at low temperature and pressure. Consequently, FJI-H6, FJI-H7 and their derivatives may be applied in catalysis due to their high surface area, ultra-high stability and easy post-modification. Further research is ongoing.

Acknowledgements

We thank National Nature Science Foundation of China for the financial support (21131006, 21390392 and 21371169).

Notes and references

- (a) J. Liu, L. Chen, H. Cui, J. Zhang, L. Zhang and C. Y. Su, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 6011-6061; (b) A. Dhakshinamoorthy and H. Garcia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5750-5765; (c) M. Yoon, R. Srirambalaji and K. Kim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 1196-1231; (d) T. Zhang and W. B. Lin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5982-5993.
- 2 (a) J. R. Li, J. Sculley and H. C. Zhou, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 869–932; (b) M. P. Suh, H. J. Park, T. K. Prasad and D. W. Lim, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 782–835; (c) K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T. H. Bae and J. R. Long, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 724–781; (d) Y. He, W. Zhou, G. Qian and B. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 5657–5678; (e) S. L. Qiu, M. Xue and G. S. Zhu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 6116–6140; (f) R. B. Getman, Y. S. Bae, C. E. Wilmer and R. Q. Snurr, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 703–723.

- 3 P. Horcajada, R. Gref, T. Baati, P. K. Allan, G. Maurin, P. Couvreur, G. Ferey, R. E. Morris and C. Serre, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1232–1268.
- 4 (a) T. Devic and C. Serre, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 6097–6115; (b) D. W. Feng, Z. Y. Gu, J. R. Li, H. L. Jiang, Z. W. Wei and H. C. Zhou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10307–10310; (c) M. Zhang, Y. P. Chen, M. Bosch, T. Gentle 3rd, K. Wang, D. Feng, Z. U. Wang and H. C. Zhou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 815–818; (d) H. L. Jiang, D. Feng, K. Wang, Z. Y. Gu, Z. Wei, Y. P. Chen and H. C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13934–13938; (e) D. Feng, W. C. Chung, Z. Wei, Z. Y. Gu, H. L. Jiang, Y. P. Chen, D. J. Darensbourg and H. C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 17105–17110.
- 5 (a) H. Furukawa, N. Ko, Y. B. Go, N. Aratani, S. B. Choi,
 E. Choi, A. O. Yazaydin, R. Q. Snurr, M. O'Keeffe, J. Kim
 and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2010, **329**, 424–428; (b) B. B. Tu,
 Q. Q. Pang, D. F. Wu, Y. N. Song, L. H. Weng and Q. W. Li,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 14465–14471.
- 6 (a) L. Li, S. F. Tang, C. Wang, X. X. Lv, M. Jiang, H. Z. Wu and X. B. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 2304-2307; (b) A. Schaate, P. Roy, T. Preusse, S. J. Lohmeier, A. Godt and P. Behrens, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 9320-9325; (c) J. H. Cavka, S. Jakobsen, U. Olsbye, N. Guillou, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga and K. P. Lillerud, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13850-13851; (d) M. J. Katz, Z. J. Brown, Y. J. Colon, P. W. Siu, K. A. Scheidt, R. Q. Snurr, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9449-9451; (e) S. Biswas and P. Van Der Voort, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 2154-2160; (f) V. Bon, I. Senkovska, I. A. Baburin and S. Kaskel, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 1231-1237.
- 7 (a) H. Wu, T. Yildirim and W. Zhou, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013,
 4, 925–930; (b) H. Furukawa, F. Gandara, Y. B. Zhang,
 J. Jiang, W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson and O. M. Yaghi,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4369–4381.
- 8 H. Wu, Y. S. Chua, V. Krungleviciute, M. Tyagi, P. Chen, T. Yildirim and W. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 10525–10532.
- 9 (a) D. Sun, Y. Fu, W. Liu, L. Ye, D. Wang, L. Yang, X. Fu and Z. Li, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 14279-14285; (b) W. Morris, B. Volosskiy, S. Demir, F. Gandara, P. L. McGrier, H. Furukawa, D. Cascio, J. F. Stoddart and O. M. Yaghi, Chem., 2012, 51, 6443-6445; (c) P. Xydias, Inorg. Spanopoulos, E. Klontzas, G. E. Froudakis and I. P. N. Trikalitis, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 679-681; (d) J. M. Falkowski, T. Sawano, T. Zhang, G. Tsun, Y. Chen, J. V. Lockard and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5213-5216; (e) H. Fei, J. Shin, Y. S. Meng, M. Adelhardt, J. Sutter, K. Meyer and S. M. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4965–4973; (f) K. Manna, T. Zhang and W. B. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6566-6569; (g) C. Wang, K. E. deKrafft and W. B. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 7211-7214; (h) C. Wang, J. L. Wang and W. B. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 19895-19908; (i) C. Wang, Z. Xie, K. E. deKrafft and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 13445-13454; (j) K. K. Yee, N. Reimer,

J. Liu, S. Y. Cheng, S. M. Yiu, J. Weber, N. Stock and Z. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7795–7798.

- 10 (a) O. V. Gutov, W. Bury, D. A. Gomez-Gualdron, V. Krungleviciute, D. Fairen-Jimenez, J. E. Mondloch, A. A. Sarjeant, S. S. Al-Juaid, R. Q. Snurr, J. T. Hupp, T. Yildirim and O. K. Farha, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 12389-12393; (b) Z. Wei, Z. Y. Gu, R. K. Arvapally, Y. P. Chen, R. N. McDougald Jr, J. F. Ivy, A. A. Yakovenko, D. Feng, M. A. Omary and H. C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 8269-8276; (c) D. Feng, Z. Y. Gu, Y. P. Chen, J. Park, Z. Wei, Y. Sun, M. Bosch, S. Yuan and H. C. Zhou, Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 17714-17717; (d) Am. I. S. B. Kalidindi, S. Nayak, M. E. Briggs, S. Jansat, A. P. Katsoulidis, G. J. Miller, J. E. Warren, D. Antypov, F. Cora, B. Slater, M. R. Prestly, C. Marti-Gastaldo and M. J. Rosseinsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 221-226; (e) T. C. Wang, W. Bury, D. A. Gomez-Gualdron, N. A. Vermeulen, J. E. Mondloch, P. Deria, K. Zhang, P. Z. Moghadam, A. A. Sarjeant, R. Q. Snurr, J. F. Stoddart, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3585-3591; (f) Q. Lin, X. Bu, A. Kong, C. Mao, X. Zhao, F. Bu and P. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 2235-2238.
- 11 (a) V. Bon, V. Senkovskyy, I. Senkovska and S. Kaskel, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 8407–8409; (b) D. Feng, H. L. Jiang, Y. P. Chen, Z. Y. Gu, Z. Wei and H. C. Zhou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 52, 12661–12667; (c) M. H. Beyzavi, R. C. Klet, S. Tussupbayev, J. Borycz, N. A. Vermeulen, C. J. Cramer, J. F. Stoddart, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 15861–15864.
- 12 Just prior to the submission of this manuscript, a similar strategy was reported by H. C. Zhou and his coworkers *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 413, whose work focuses on tuning the porosity of Zr-based MOFs through ligand design and variation. During the submission and revision of this manuscript, X. H. Bu and his coworkers discussed the ligand and heteroatom effect for optimizing ORR catalysts *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 2235; R. Q. Snurr and his coworkers synthesized a series of Zr-based MOFs employing a linker expansion approach and provided insight into the applicability of BET theory *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 3585. However, our work focuses not only on the syntheses of Hf and Zr-based MOFs, but also on the SCSC transformations and catalyses of the Zr and Hf-based MOFs.
- 13 T. F. Liu, D. Feng, Y. P. Chen, L. Zou, M. Bosch, S. Yuan, Z. Wei, S. Fordham, K. Wang and H. C. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 413–419.
- 14 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7.
- 15 P. Deria, J. E. Mondloch, E. Tylianakis, P. Ghosh, W. Bury, R. Q. Snurr, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 16801–16804.
- 16 H. Sarkisov and A. Harrison, *Mol. Simul.*, 2011, 37, 1248-1257.
- 17 S. Q. Ma and H. C. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 11734–11735.
- 18 Y. Yan, M. Suyetin, E. Bichoutskaia, A. J. Blake, D. R. Allan, S. A. Barnett and M. Schroder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 1731– 1736.

19 (a) W. Y. Gao, M. Chrzanowski and S. Q. Ma, Chem. Soc. Rev.,
2014, 43, 5841–5866; (b) X. S. Wang, M. Chrzanowski,
L. Wojtas, Y. S. Chen and S. Ma, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19,
3297–3301; (c) Q. Z. Zha, X. Rui, T. T. Wei and Y. S. Xie,
CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 7371–7384; (d) Z. J. Zhang,

L. Wojtas, M. Eddaoudi and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5982-5985.

20 T. Ema, Y. Miyazaki, J. Shimonishi, C. Maeda and J. Y. Hasegawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15270–15279.