# Chemical Science

# EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 3044

# Iron(II)-catalyzed asymmetric intramolecular olefin aminochlorination using chloride ion<sup>+</sup>

Cheng-Liang Zhu,‡<sup>a</sup> Jun-Shan Tian,‡<sup>a</sup> Zhen-Yuan Gu,<sup>ab</sup> Guo-Wen Xing<sup>b</sup> and Hao Xu\*<sup>a</sup>

An iron-catalyzed enantioselective and diastereoselective intramolecular olefin aminochlorination reaction is reported (ee up to 92%, dr up to 15 : 1). In this reaction, a functionalized hydroxylamine and chloride ion are utilized as nitrogen and chlorine sources, respectively. This new method tolerates a range of synthetically valuable internal olefins that are all incompatible with existing asymmetric olefin aminochlorination methods.

## Introduction

Received 20th January 2015

Accepted 13th March 2015

DOI: 10 1039/c5sc00221d

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Enantioselective olefin halo-functionalization reactions constitute a range of synthetically valuable yet challenging transformations.1 Although a variety of excellent asymmetric olefin halo-oxygenation reactions have been discovered,<sup>2</sup> there are much fewer asymmetric olefin aminohalogenation methods available.3 In particular, there have been just a few reported catalytic asymmetric olefin aminochlorination reactions.<sup>4</sup> In one instance, Feng discovered the chiral Lewis acid-catalyzed aminochlorination of chalconic and other  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated olefins.44,c Also, Chemler reported copper-catalyzed aminochlorination of terminal olefins with chlorine radical donors in the presence of MnO<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 1A).<sup>4b</sup> Despite these and other important discoveries, catalytic asymmetric aminochlorination methods for internal, non-chalconic olefins have yet to be developed. These methods would be synthetically valuable because they would readily provide vicinal amino chlorides, a class of important chiral building blocks. Moreover, asymmetric olefin aminochlorination that proceeds through an ironnitrenoid intermediate has not yet been reported.5

We previously discovered  $Fe(BF_4)_2$ -based catalysts for both diastereoselective and enantioselective intramolecular olefin aminofluorination reactions.<sup>6</sup> Our initial attempts to apply these catalysts to olefin aminochlorination reactions led to either low diastereoselectivity or low yield, presumably due to the reason that chlorine and fluorine atom-transfer may proceed through

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China

distinct mechanisms. Therefore, we explored a range of activating group–ligand combinations and discovered entirely new catalytic conditions for asymmetric olefin aminochlorination. Herein, we describe iron-catalyzed enantioselective and diastereoselective intramolecular aminochlorination for a range of internal, non-chalconic olefins (ee up to 92%, dr up to 15 : 1). In these reactions, a functionalized hydroxylamine and chloride ion were utilized as nitrogen and chlorine sources, respectively. This method tolerates a range of synthetically valuable internal olefins that are all incompatible with existing asymmetric olefin aminochlorination approaches; it also provides a new approach that is complementary to known methods for the asymmetric synthesis of amino chlorides with contiguous stereogenic centers.

HEMISTRY

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Prior to this research, Bach reported an FeCl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed racemic intramolecular olefin aminochlorination method using acyl azides, TMSCl, and EtOH under ligand-free conditions.<sup>7</sup>



Scheme 1 Catalytic asymmetric olefin aminochlorination: summary of this work and other existing asymmetric methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Georgia State University, 100 Piedmont Avenue SE, Atlanta, Georgia, 30303, USA. E-mail: hxu@gsu.edu; Fax: +1-404-413-5505; Tel: +1-404-413-5553

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedure, characterization data for all new compounds, selected NMR spectra and HPLC traces. CCDC 1041826. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00221d

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

#### Table 1 Catalyst discovery for the iron-catalyzed diastereoselective olefin aminochlorination reaction



Entry <sup>a</sup>	$Fe(X)_2$	Ligand (mol%)	Conversion <sup>b</sup>	Yield <sup>c</sup>	dr <sup>b</sup> (anti : syn)
1	FeCl <sub>2</sub>	None	62%	45%	2:1
2	FeCl <sub>2</sub>	L1 (20)	>95%	80%	>20:1
3	$Fe(NTf_2)_2$	L1 (20)	>95%	86%	>20:1
4	$Fe(NTf_2)_2$	L2(10)	>95%	82%	0.83:1
5	$Fe(NTf_2)_2$	L3 (10)	61%	34%	0.25:1
6	$Fe(NTf_2)_2$	$\mathbf{L4}(20)$	>95%	75%	1.8:1

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless stated otherwise, the reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. TBAC: tetra-*n*-butylammonium chloride. <sup>*b*</sup> Conversion and dr were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>*c*</sup> Isolated yield.

Excellent syn-selectivity was observed with styrenyl olefins (dr up to > 20:1). However, poor diastereoselectivity was recorded with non-styrenyl acyclic olefins (dr: 1:1). The new method presented here has a few unique features which complement the existing iron-catalyzed olefin amino-chlorination method. First, excellent anti-selectivity has been observed across a wide range of styrenyl and non-styrenyl olefins. Second, good to excellent enantioselectivity has been achieved with a variety of internal, non-chalconic olefins (ee up to 92%). Finally, acyl azides are non-reactive under the described reaction conditions (*vide infra*), which suggests that iron-nitrenoid generation may proceed *via* different pathways compared with the known azide activation pathway.

#### Results and discussion

A cinnamyl alcohol-derived acyloxyl carbamate **1** was selected as the model substrate for catalyst discovery (Table 1).<sup>8</sup> In the presence of tetra-*n*-butylammonium chloride (TBAC), we observed that FeCl<sub>2</sub> alone catalyzed a sluggish reaction under ligand-free conditions (entry 1, 45% yield, dr: 2:1).<sup>9</sup> However, the FeCl<sub>2</sub>-phenanthroline (**L1**) complex catalyzed the anti-



Scheme 2 Iron-catalyzed aminochlorination with a *cis* olefin and an acyl azide. <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions:  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$  (10 mol%), L1 (20 mol%), TBAC (2.5 equiv.),  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 0 °C, 2 h. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions:  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$  (10 mol%), L4 (20 mol%), TBAC (2.5 equiv.),  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 0 °C, 2 h.

 Table 2
 Substrate scope of the iron-catalyzed diastereoselective olefin aminochlorination reaction



 $^a$  Reaction conditions: –15 °C, 2 h.  $^b$  Reaction conditions: 0 °C, 5 h.  $^c$  Reaction conditions: 0 °C, 12 h.

aminochlorination with significantly improved yield and dr (entry 2, 80% yield, dr > 20:1). We also noted that the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-L1 complex provided essentially the same reactivity and diastereoselectivity (entry 3, 86% yield, dr > 20:1). Interestingly, the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-bisoxazoline (L2) complex resulted in a loss of diastereoselectivity (entry 4, 82% yield, dr: 0.83:1). Furthermore, the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-L3 complex promoted the synaminochlorination with moderate yield and dr (entry 5, 34% yield, dr: 0.25:1). We also observed that the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-L4 complex catalyzed the anti-aminochlorination with a modest dr (entry 6, 75% yield, dr: 1.8:1). Notably, an iron-L4 complex resulted in high dr and reaction.<sup>6</sup> These observations suggest that ligands are involved in the diastereoselectivity-determining step and provide excellent opportunities for diastereo-control.

The observed ligand-enabled diastereo-control with *trans*olefin **1** prompted us to evaluate *cis*-olefin **1**' (Scheme 2). To our surprise, the  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$ -**L1** complex catalyzed syn-aminochlorination, while the  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$ -**L4** complex promoted antiaminochlorination with essentially the same dr (Scheme 2). The different reaction profiles for isomeric olefins **1** and **1**' suggest that the aminochlorination reaction is neither stereospecific nor fully stereo-convergent, which is significantly different from the iron-catalyzed olefin aminofluorination reaction.<sup>6</sup>

Furthermore, an acyl azide 3 was evaluated under the reaction conditions as a control experiment. Interestingly, the acyl azide 3 was fully recovered and no aminochlorination product was detected. These results suggest that the activation of acyloxyl carbamates (1 and 1') may proceed *via* different pathways compared with the known azide activation pathway.<sup>7</sup>

We subsequently explored a range of olefins under the optimized conditions to evaluate the scope and limitations of this anti-aminochlorination method (Table 2). We discovered that di-substituted styrenyl olefins are generally good substrates; both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents are compatible with this method (entries 1-4). Importantly, ortho-substituents and pyridyl groups are both tolerated (entries 5-6). Furthermore, extended aromatics, including naphthyl olefins, are reasonable substrates (entries 7-8). Moreover, isomeric ene-ynes are both excellent substrates for the stereo-convergent and anti-selective method (entry 9). Additionally, we observed that both styrenyl and non-styrenyl tri-substituted olefins undergo aminochlorination smoothly with excellent dr (entries 10-11).10 We also discovered that a cyclohexyl-substituted olefin was an excellent substrate (entry 12, dr > 20:1). Further exploration revealed that both 1,1-disubstituted olefins and dienes are viable substrates with excellent regioselectivity (entries 13-14). Most notably, a cyclic olefin could also undergo highly diastereoselective anti-aminochlorination (entry 15, dr > 20 : 1), yielding a product which is difficult to obtain with known methods.11 Since the FeCl2-L1 complex provides essentially the same dr and yield in these diastereoselective reactions, FeCl<sub>2</sub> can be a convenient substitute for  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$  in racemic reactions.



Entry <sup>a</sup>	R	Ligand	Conversion <sup><i>c</i></sup>	Yield <sup>d</sup>	dr <sup>c</sup> (anti : syn)	ee <sup>e</sup> (anti)	$ee^{e}$ (syn)
1	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L5	>95%	53%	9.9:1	84%	<5%
2	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L6	>95%	68%	0.5:1	24%	79%
3	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L7	88%	61%	1.7:1	<5%	<5%
4	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L8	>95%	32%	2.5:1	47%	30%
5	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L9	>95%	82%	0.5:1	8%	24%
$6^{f}$	3,5-(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -Ph	L5	>95%	51%	11.0:1	90%	<5%
$7^{f}$	CH <sub>3</sub>	L5	>95%	42%	1.1:1	97%	<5%
8 <sup>f</sup>	$CH_2Cl$	L5	>95%	67%	9.6:1	89%	<5%
$9^{f,g}$	$CH_2Cl$	L5	>95%	58%	9.0:1	83%	<5%

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless stated otherwise, the reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere with 4 Å molecular sieves. <sup>*b*</sup> Reaction conditions: Boc<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMAP; then Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, 85% over two steps; see ESI for details. <sup>*c*</sup> Conversion and dr were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>*d*</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>*e*</sup> Enantiomeric excess (ee) was measured by HPLC with chiral columns; the absolute stereochemistry was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis of an analog of 2a. <sup>*f*</sup> The reaction was carried out at  $-60 \,^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. <sup>*g*</sup> The FeCl<sub>2</sub>-L5 complex was used.

In order to fulfil the need for catalytic asymmetric olefin aminochlorination, we further explored asymmetric induction for internal, non-chalconic olefins with a variety of iron-chiral ligand complexes (Table 3).12 First, we discovered that the iron-L5 complex induced diastereoselective and enantioselective anti-aminochlorination, albeit with a low yield, mostly due to the competing aminohydroxylation reaction (entry 1, 53% yield, dr: 9.9:1). Interestingly, the anti-addition product 2a was obtained with excellent ee (84% ee), while the syn-addition product 2b was obtained essentially as a racemate (<5% ee).<sup>13</sup> Additionally, a two-step procedure can convert 2a to a chlorinated amino alcohol triad 4 without ee erosion.14 Next, we observed that the iron-L6 complex induced moderately diastereoselective syn-aminochlorination (entry 2, 68% yield, dr: 0.48:1). To our surprise, the anti-addition product 2a was obtained with moderate ee (24% ee), while the syn-addition product 2b was isolated with significant ee (79% ee). Furthermore, we evaluated chiral ligands L7 and L8 and determined that they are less effective for asymmetric induction (entries 3-4). Additionally, chiral ligand L9 induced fast yet non-selective aminochlorination with a high overall yield (entry 5).15 With the iron-L5 complex in hand, we subsequently explored other reaction parameters. First, a decreased reaction temperature was found to benefit both dr and ee (entry 6, dr: 11 : 1 and 90% ee for 2a at -60 °C). Next, replacing the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl) benzoyl activating group with a smaller acetyl group further enhanced the ee (entry 7, 97% ee for 2a); however, much lower dr and yield were obtained (entry 7, dr: 1.1:1, 42% yield). Finally, a chloroacetyl activating group induced an effective balance between overall yield and stereoselectivity (entry 8, 67% yield, dr: 9.6 : 1 and 89% ee for 2a). We also observed that the FeCl<sub>2</sub>-L5 complex induced a slightly less selective reaction with a lower yield (entry 9, 58% yield, dr: 9.0 : 1 and 83% ee for 2a).

In order to evaluate the scope of this asymmetric method, we explored the asymmetric induction with a range of internal olefins (Table 4). The chiral catalyst provides excellent asymmetric induction with styrenyl olefins. A range of parasubstituted styrenyl olefins with different electronic properties were converted to the corresponding aminochlorination products with high dr and ee (entries 1-6, dr: 9.6-15 : 1, ee: 86-91%). Additionally, meta-substituted styrenyl olefins are also good substrates but with slightly decreased ee (entries 7-9, dr: 10-15 : 1, ee: 80-87%). However, we discovered that ortho-substitution of styrenes has a deleterious effect on ee (entries 10-11, dr: 4.5-12 : 1, ee: 77-79%). Interestingly, both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -naphthyl olefins are excellent substrates (entries 12-13, dr: 4.5-10 : 1, ee: 89-92%). To our delight, a 3-pyridyl olefin with a basic nitrogen atom is a reasonable substrate for the asymmetric aminochlorination (entry 14, dr: 1.8:1, ee: 70% for the anti-diastereomer). Moreover, we observed that the iron-L5 complex can induce significant ee in the aminochlorination with non-styrenyl olefins (entry 15, dr: 2 : 1, ee: 54% for the anti-diastereomer). To our surprise, the iron-L6 complex proved to be uniquely effective for the asymmetric induction with tri-substituted olefins, while the iron-L5 complex was less effective (entry 16, dr: 2.3 : 1, ee: 86% for the anti-diastereomer).16

 Table 4
 Substrate scope for the iron-catalyzed asymmetric olefin aminochlorination reaction



<sup>*a*</sup> Unless stated otherwise, mono-chloroacetyl was selected as the activating group for asymmetric catalysis; the ee for all synaminochlorination products was less than 5%. <sup>*b*</sup> Bis(trifluoromethyl)-benzoyl was selected as the activating group. <sup>*c*</sup> The ee for the synaddition product was 12%. <sup>*d*</sup> **L6** was used as the ligand for asymmetric induction; the ee for the syn-addition product was 50%.

During the exploration of substrate scope, it was surprising to observe completely different ee values for anti- and syn-diastereomers (*e.g.* **2a** and **2b**). In contrast, exactly the same ee for both diastereomeric products was observed in the iron-catalyzed aminofluorination of **1**.<sup>6</sup> In order to obtain greater mechanistic insights, we carried out ee analysis for all isolable products using several control experiments (Scheme 3). First, in an Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reaction with *trans*-olefin **1**, two aminochlorination products were obtained (Scheme 3A, 90% ee for **2a**, <5% ee for **2b**, dr: **11** : **1**).<sup>17</sup> Simultaneously, diastereomers **5a** and **5b** were also isolated with the same ee as two competing olefin aminohydroxylation products (Scheme 3A, 88% ee for **5a** and **5b**, dr: 4 : 1). However, completely different selectivity (both dr and ee) was observed in an Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed reaction with *cis*-olefin **1**' (Scheme 3A, 85% ee for **2a** and 31% ee for **2b**, dr: A) Fe(NTf)2-catalyzed asymmetric aminochlorination and aminohydroxylation with isomeric olefins





Scheme 3 Control experiments to probe the mechanism. <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (15 mol%), L1 (15 mol%), TBAC (2.5 equiv.), CHCl<sub>3</sub>, -60 °C, 12 h. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions: Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (15 mol%), L1 (15 mol%), CHCl<sub>3</sub>, -60 °C, 12 h.

6:1; 93% ee for 5a and 83% ee for 5b, dr: 7:1). In both cases, 5a and 5b cannot be converted to 2a under the reaction conditions.

These observations provide several important mechanistic insights. First, the non-stereospecificity observed in the ironcatalyzed olefin aminochlorination suggests that the formation of C–N and C–Cl bonds occurs in a stepwise fashion.<sup>18</sup> Second, the lack of complete stereo-convergence between the reaction profiles of isomeric olefins (1 and 1') suggests that C–N bond formation may be the rate- and ee-determining step.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, since essentially the same ee was observed for 2a, 5a, and 5b from the reaction with *trans*-olefin 1, it is likely that these products are derived from the same intermediate after the ee-determining step. Additionally, the fact that the syn-aminochlorination product 2b was isolated as a racemate suggests that 2b may be derived from non-stereoselective pathways which are distinct from the one leading to the formation of 2a, 5a, and 5b.

The product divergence (2a vs. 5a/b) after the ee-determining step is mechanistically interesting. Therefore, we studied the effect of external chloride ion. To our surprise, in the absence of TBAC, the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-L5 complex alone was ineffective for the nitrogen atom-transfer at -60 °C; 1 and 1' were both fully recovered (Scheme 3B). However, aminochlorination occurred as soon as a stoichiometric amount of TBAC was introduced. This observation suggests that the Fe(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-L5 complex may serve as a pre-catalyst and it may be activated by chloride ion *in situ*.

In order to test this hypothesis, we further carried out the  $FeCl_2$ -catalyzed reaction in the presence of TBAC (Scheme 3C). Notably, **2a** was isolated with essentially the same ee as that obtained under the standard conditions (88% ee for **2a** and <5%



Scheme 4 Proposed mechanistic working hypothesis for the iron-catalyzed asymmetric aminochlorination of trans-olefin 1.

ee for **2b**). This result suggests that the catalytically relevant species may also be generated from the FeCl<sub>2</sub>–L5 complex.

To probe for more mechanistic details, we subsequently carried out the FeCl<sub>2</sub>-promoted olefin aminochlorination in the absence of TBAC (100 mol% FeCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mol% L5, Scheme 3C). Under these conditions, FeCl<sub>2</sub> is the only available chlorine source. Surprisingly, we discovered that **2a** was obtained with essentially the same ee compared with the two previous control experiments (88% ee for **2a**). Furthermore, a syn-amino-hydroxylation product **5a** was isolated with excellent dr and ee (dr > 20 : 1, 88% ee). These observations suggest that Fe–Cl bond cleavage may be relevant for the chlorine atom-transfer step during the enantioselective anti-aminochlorination.<sup>19</sup> In addition, we also identified a small amount of aziridine **6** (15% yield, 82% ee), and further discovered that it could not be converted to either **2a** or **5a** under the reaction conditions.

With the accumulated mechanistic evidence, we propose a plausible mechanistic working hypothesis for the iron-catalyzed asymmetric aminochlorination of *trans*-olefin 1 (Scheme 4). First, the iron catalyst reversibly cleaves the N–O bond in the acyloxyl carbamate 1, generating iron-nitrenoid A with chloride as a counter ion. From there, A may participate in enantiose-lective and diastereoselective aminochlorination and amino-hydroxylation to afford 2a and 5a, respectively. Since the aminochlorination-aminohydroxylation competition occurs after the ee-determining step, 2a is obtained with essentially the same ee as 5a. At the same time, 1 may be converted to 2b *via* a non-stereoselective pathway which is distinct from the one leading to the formation of 2a and 5a. Further mechanistic studies are required to elucidate the details.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have described an iron-catalyzed enantioselective and diastereoselective aminochlorination method for internal, non-chalconic olefins. This method tolerates a range of synthetically valuable olefins that are all incompatible with existing asymmetric olefin aminochlorination methods. It also provides a complementary approach for the asymmetric synthesis of amino chlorides with contiguous stereogenic centers. Our preliminary mechanistic studies revealed that an FeCl<sub>2</sub>-derived nitrenoid may be a feasible reactive intermediate and that Fe–Cl bond cleavage may be relevant for stereoselective chlorine atom-transfer. Our current efforts are focused on the mechanistic investigation of this new reaction and method development for the enantioselective intermolecular olefin aminochlorination.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM110382) and Georgia State University. Z.-Y. G. was supported by NSFC (21272027) and a fellowship from China Scholarship Council.

# Notes and references

- 1 For selected reviews of asymmetric olefin halofunctionalization, see: (*a*) S. E. Denmark, W. E. Kuester and M. T. Burk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 10938; (*b*) S. R. Chemler and M. T. Bovino, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1076; (*c*) S. A. Snyder, D. S. Treitler and A. P. Brucks, *Aldrichimica Acta*, 2011, **44**, 27.
- 2 For selected reports on catalytic asymmetric olefin halooxygenation, see: (a) S. H. Kang, S. B. Lee and C. M. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 15748; (b) G. E. Veitch and E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 7332; (c) W. Zhang, S. Zheng, N. Liu, J. B. Werness, I. A. Guzei and W. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 3664; (d) L. Zhou, C. K. Tan, X. Jiang, F. Chen and Y.-Y. Yeung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15474; (e) K. Murai, T. Matsushita, A. Nakamura, S. Fukushima, M. Shimura and H. Fujioka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 9174; (f) S. E. Denmark and M. T. Burk, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 256; (g) D. Huang, H. Wang, F. Xue, H. Guan, L. Li, X. Peng and Y. Shi, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 6350; (h) R. Yousefi, D. C. Whitehead, J. M. Mueller, R. J. Staples and B. Borhan, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 608; (i) R. Yousefi, K. D. Ashtekar, D. C. Whitehead, J. E. Jackson and B. Borhan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 14524; (j) D. H. Paull, C. Fang, J. R. Donald, A. D. Pansick and S. F. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 11128; (k) M. C. Dobish and J. N. Johnston, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6068; (l) Y.-M. Wang, J. Wu, C. Hoong, V. Raunivar and F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 12928; (m) V. Rauniyar, A. D. Lackner, G. L. Hamilton and F. D. Toste, Science, 2011, 334, 1681; (n) T. Honjo, R. J. Phipps, V. Rauniyar and F. D. Toste, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 9684; (o) J. Wu, Y.-M. Wang, A. Drljevic, V. Rauniyar, R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, 13729; (p) H. Nakatsuji, Y. Sawamura, A. Sakakura and K. Ishihara, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6974; (q) L. Li, C. Su, X. Liu, H. Tian and Y. Shi, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3728.
- 3 For selected reports on catalytic asymmetric olefin aminobromination and aminoiodination, see: (a) Y. Cai, X. Liu, Y. Hui, J. Jiang, W. Wang, W. Chen, L. Lin and X. Feng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6160; (b) L. Zhou, J. Chen, C. K. Tan and Y.-Y. Yeung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 9164; (c) Y. F. Cai, X. H. Liu, J. Li, W. L. Chen, W. T. Wang, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, Chem.-Eur. J., 2011, 17, 14916; (d) A. Alix, C. Lalli, P. Retailleau and G. Masson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 10389; (e) D. Huang, X. Liu, L. Li, Y. Cai, W. Liu and Y. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8101; (f) C. S. Brindle, C. S. Yeung and E. N. Jacobsen, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 2100; (g) F. Chen, C. K. Tan and Y.-Y. Yeung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1232. For mechanistically related asymmetric olefin sulfenofunctionalization, see: (h) S. E. Denmark and H. M. Chi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 8915; (i) S. E. Denmark, E. Hartmann, D. J. P. Kornfilt and H. Wang, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 1056.

- 4 For existing asymmetric olefin aminochlorination methods, see: (a) Y. F. Cai, X. H. Liu, J. Jiang, W. L. Chen, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 5636; (b) M. T. Bovino and S. R. Chemler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3923; (c) Y. Cai, X. Liu, P. Zhou, Y. Kuang, L. Lin and X. M. Feng, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8054.
- 5 For catalytic olefin aminohydroxylation that proceeds through an iron-nitrenoid intermediate, see: (a) G.-S. Liu, Y.-Q. Zhang, Y.-A. Yuan and H. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 3343; (b) Y.-Q. Zhang, Y.-A. Yuan, G.-S. Liu and H. Xu, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 3910; (c) D.-F. Lu, C.-L. Zhu, Z.-X. Jia and H. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 13186.
- 6 D.-F. Lu, G.-S. Liu, C.-L. Zhu, B. Yuan and H. Xu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2912.
- 7 (a) T. Bach, B. Schlummer and K. Harms, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 287; (b) T. Bach, B. Schlummer and K. Harms, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2001, 7, 2581; (c) H. Danielec, J. Klügge, B. Schlummer and T. Bach, *Synthesis*, 2006, 551.
- 8 See ESI† for details of substrate synthesis. Acyloxyl carbamates are reactive, while tosyloxyl and alkoxyl carbamates are non-reactive and fully recovered under the reaction conditions.
- 9 The relative stereochemistry of **2a** was determined by comparison of the experimental NMR data with those reported in ref. 7. It was further corroborated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and X-ray crystallographic analysis of a structural analog of **2a**. See ESI† for details.
- 10 The relative stereochemistry was assigned based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and X-ray crystallographic analysis of a structural analog described in ref. 6; see ESI<sup>†</sup> for details.
- 11 Complementary stereochemistry was achieved (in entry 15 of Table 2) compared with the known method reported in ref.7, where the syn-aminochlorination product was isolated. This substrate did not undergo kinetic resolution with a

chiral catalyst, the complex  $Fe(NTf_2)_2$ -L5. Both the starting material and product were isolated as racemates.

- 12 For leading references on chiral BOX and related ligands, see: (a) D. A. Evans, K. A. Woerpel, M. M. Hinman and M. M. Faul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 726; (b) H. Nishiyama, Y. Itoh, H. Matsumoto, S.-B. Park and K. Itoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 2223; (c) Y. Nishikawa and H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8432.
- 13 The absolute stereochemistry of **2a** was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis of a structural analog of **2a**. See ESI<sup>†</sup> for details.
- 14 For detailed procedure and HPLC traces of 4, see ESI.†
- 15 For the synthesis of L9, see ref. 6.
- 16 The iron–L5 complex catalyzed the reaction favoring the synaddition product (dr (anti/syn): 0.47 : 1); ee for the antiaddition product was 60% and ee for the syn-addition product was <5%. The relative stereochemistry was assigned based on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and X-ray crystallographic analysis of a structural analog described in ref. 6; see ESI† for details.
- 17 When a chloroacetyl group was used as the activating group, a different result was obtained. For details, see entry 8 of Table 3.
- 18 For an example of stepwise atom transfer reactions with different reaction profiles for *cis/trans* isomeric olefins, see:N. H. Lee and E. N. Jacobsen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, 32, 6533.
- 19 For the oxidation of a radical species by a high-valent metal through ligand transfer or electron transfer, see: (a) M. S. Kharasch and G. Sosnovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 756; (b) J. K. Kochi, Science, 1967, 155, 415. For a relevant enzymatic C-H chlorination reaction of hydrocarbons catalyzed by iron-containing metalloenzymes, see: (c) F. H. Vaillancourt, J. Yin and C. T. Walsh, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 10111.