RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 6966

Received 18th October 2016 Accepted 2nd January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra25429b

www.rsc.org/advances

Efficient access to triarylmethanes through decarboxylation[†]

Tiash Saha,^a M. Srinivas Lavanya Kumar,^a Saurav Bera,^a Bidhu Bhusan Karkara^a and Gautam Panda^{*ab}

A new synthetic approach has been developed for efficient access to triarylmethanes by palladium catalyzed decarboxylative cross coupling reactions. The reaction features sp²-sp³ coupling where benzoic acids upon decarboxylation reacted with diaryl methyl iodides having both electron donating and withdrawing functionalities, leading to the synthesis of diverse triarylmethanes.

Introduction

The triarylmethane class of molecules contains several biologically significant motifs displaying a wide range of pharmaceutical profiles.¹ It forms the basic skeleton of several antitubercular,^{2a} anticancer,^{2b,c} antidiabetic^{2d} and other medicinally important molecules, Fig. 1. These privileged architectures can be diversely



Fig. 1 Representative triarylmethane containing architectures.

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research, New Delhi 110001, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra25429b

functionalized in the quest for new therapeutic agents and unexplored biologically relevant chemical spaces.³ Several triarylmethane based dyes were also developed as sensitizers, exhibiting selective phototoxicity towards cancerous cell lines and thus forms the basic principle of photodynamic therapy.⁴ These triarylmethanes exhibit interesting properties like photo lability, photo ionization which were successfully exploited for the development of many organic based dyes, photochromatic devices and fluorescent probes.^{4b,5} Apart from this, these class of compounds often found their explosive growth in the construction of supramolecular architectures.⁶

Conventionally, triarylmethanes are synthesized through typical Friedel–Crafts alkylation of diarylcarbinols with aromatic ring as nucleophile⁷ or hydroarylation of arenes with aromatic aldehydes or imines in the presence of either suitable Bronsted or Lewis acid systems.¹⁴ Recently, Crudden *et al.* reported the synthesis of triarylmethanes through arylative desulfonation⁸ whereas Chakravarty *et al.* reported Friedel–Crafts reaction on benzylic phosphates for the synthesis of triarylmethanes.^{9a} These procedures often suffer from lack of selectivity, involves multistep synthesis and moreover is limited to only electron rich arene systems.^{9b,c}

Due to this, cross coupling based strategies emerged as promising avenue for the short and efficient synthesis of triarylmethanes.¹⁰ Oshima *et al.* reported palladium catalyzed direct C-H bond activation¹¹ of aryl(azaaryl)methanes for synthesis of triarylmethanes. L. A. López *et al.* reported zinc catalyzed cascade reaction between enynones and azoles resulting in the diversely substituted triarylmethanes synthesis.¹² A reductive cross coupling was developed by Zhang *et al.* using benzophenone tosylhydrazones using palladium catalyst.¹³ Jarvo *et al.* synthesized enantioenriched triarylmethanes by stereospecific cross coupling in presence of nickel catalyst.¹⁴ Similarly, palladium catalysed C– H/C–O coupling of oxazoles with diarylmethanol derivatives have also been reported for synthesis of triarylmethanes.¹⁵

Decarboxylative cross coupling reaction has been emerged as a powerful strategy for C–C bond formation¹⁶ in the recent past

^aMedicinal and Process Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, BS 10/1, Jankipuram Extension, Sitapur Road, Lucknow 226031, India. E-mail: gautam. panda@gmail.com; gautam_panda@cdri.res.in; Fax: +91-522-2771941; Tel: +91-522-2772450 ext. 4661; +91-522-2772550 ext. 4662

Paper

and had been largely explored. Organometallic species formed via expulsion of CO₂ from commercially available arene carboxylic acids were ventured in this cross coupling strategy. Goossen et al. synthesized arylalkenes via decarboxylative cross coupling.17 Palladium catalyzed decarboxylative cross coupling, as demonstrated by Becht and coworkers18 were used in the synthesis of biaryl motifs. Even industrial scale production of commercially important biaryls like intermediates of agrochemicals boscalid, bixafen has been demonstrated by this strategy.19 Decarboxylation reactions were well explored in sp sp^2 , sp^2-sp^2 cross couplings whereas sp^2-sp^3 coupling was hardly explored.20 In our quest for highly desirable triarvlmethanes,^{1b,2b,7} we here reported an efficient palladium catalyzed decarboxylative sp²-sp³ cross coupling for the access to triarylmethanes with both electron demanding and donating substitutions using commercially available benzoic acids with tailor made diarylmethyl iodides.

Results and discussion

In principle, we presumed that aromatic carboxylic acids^{16a} can be used as boronic acid surrogate and palladium catalyst could synchronize coupling^{16b} between decarboxylated benzoic species with diarylmethyl iodides leading to these valuable architectures. For the test reaction, we have chosen 2,6 dimethoxy benzoic acid and diphenylmethyl iodide²¹ as model substrates. Preliminary screening using Pd(OAc)₂ and dppp as a ligand in the presence of Ag_2CO_3 (Table 1, entries 1 and 2) was unsuccessful. Then changing the palladium catalyst to $Pd_2(dba)_3$ (Table 1, entry 3) resulted in 22% yield. Altering the bases to Cs₂CO₃, Li₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, AgOAc, DBU and K₂CO₃ were unable to increase the yield of the desired product to significant level. Employing additive such as CuI, resulted in trace amount of product. Keeping palladium source intact, varying the ligands to triphenyl phosphine and 1,10-phenanthroline resulted in more or less comparable yields but xantphos gave promising yields (Table 1, entries 4-6). Some by-products due to protodecarboxylation of carboxylic acids and deiodination of diphenyl methyl iodides were also isolated.^{22,23} Then surveying various palladium catalysts like Pd(CH₃CN)₂Cl₂ and Pd(Cl)₂(- $PPh_3)_2$, $Pd(acac)_2$, $PdCl_2$ and careful solvent screening revealed that $PdCl_2$ along with xantphos ligand, Ag_2CO_3 as base in presence of DMSO offered the ideal conditions for the reaction (Table 1, entry 11).

After optimizing the catalyst system for decarboxylative coupling reactions, the scopes of substrates were evaluated with reference to both the coupling partners (Table 2). Poor yields were obtained in the case of diphenylmethyl bromide (38%) and



Entry ^a	Catalyst	Ligand	Solvent	Yield ^f (%)
1^{b}	$Pd(OAc)_2$	Dppp	THF	0
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	Dppp	DMF	Nd ^g
3	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	Dppp	DMF	22 $(trace)^c$
4	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	1,10-Phenanthroline	DMF	Nd ^g
5	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	Xantphos	DMF	42
6	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	PPh ₃	DMF	34
7	$PdCl_2$	Xantphos	DMF	59 $(47)^d$
8	$Pd(acac)_2$	Xantphos	DMF	31
9	$Pd(Cl)_2(PPh_3)_2$	Xantphos	DMF	40
10	$Pd(OAc)_2$	Xantphos	DMF	42
11	PdCl ₂	Xantphos	DMSO	62 $(68)^e$
12	$PdCl_2$	Xantphos	NMP : mesitylene $(1:1)$	Trace
13	$PdCl_2$	Xantphos	Diglyme	15

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: Pd source (0.1 equiv.), ligand (0.2 equiv.), Ag₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv.), arene carboxylic acid (1.2 equiv.), methyl iodide (1.0 equiv.), 100 °C. ^{*b*} Reaction was performed at 65 °C. ^{*c*} CuI additive (0.2 eq.) is used. ^{*d*} Pd catalyst (0.2 eq.) was used. ^{*e*} Reaction was performed at 120 °C. ^{*f*} Overall isolated yield. ^{*g*} Nd means not determined.



 Table 2
 Substrate scope for decarboxylative cross coupling of aromatic carboxylic acid

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: substituted diphenylmethyl iodide (1 equiv.), aryl carboxylic acid (1 equiv.), $PdCl_2$ (0.1 equiv.), xantphos (0.2 equiv.), Ag_2CO_3 (1.5 equiv.), DMSO. ^{*b*} Substituted diphenylmethyl iodide (1 equiv.), aryl carboxylic acid (1 equiv.), $PdCl_2$ (0.2 equiv.), xantphos (0.4 equiv.), Ag_2CO_3 (1.5 equiv), DMSO: DMF (90 : 10). ^{*c*} Isolated yields after column chromatography in silica gel.



Fig. 2 Synthesis of heteroaryl substituted triarylmethanes

reaction failed when performed with diphenvlmethyl chloride. The electron rich 2,6 dimethoxy benzoic acid 1a was successfully coupled with various diphenylmethyl iodides which provided sterically hindered triarylmethanes 3aa-3ae, 3ah in good yields. Similarly, 2-methoxy benzoic acid 1b was successfully cross coupled with diphenylmethyl iodide derivatives 2a, 2f, 2g and even with the substrate 2i resulting in triarylmethane derivatives 3ba, 3bf, 3bg and 3bi. Thus, the reaction was also compatible with unsubstituted benzene carboxylic acids affording the products 3ca, 3cj in 67% and 56% yield, respectively. Even sterically demanding benzoic acid 1e also worked well in this strategy leading to cross coupled product 3ef. In order to explore the feasibility of this reaction further, cross coupling reaction was performed between electron poor counterparts. Slight modification in the reaction conditions needed for the elaboration of this cross coupling reaction to electron poor triaryl methanes. Doubling the amount of catalyst and ligand and changing the solvent system to DMSO: DMF (90:10) resulted the coupling of 2-fluoro 5-nitro benzoic acid 1d and 2-nitrobenzoic acid 1f with the methyl iodide 2k successfully in moderate yields leading to 3dk and 3fk respectively.

Electron density on aromatic backbone showed strong influence on reactivity and yields. Thus, the catalyst system showed its suitability for wide variety of diphenylmethyl iodides and both electron rich and electron poor benzoic acids successfully underwent decarboxylation to yield diverse triarylmethanes.

After establishing the decarboxylative cross coupling reaction with arenes, we verified the methodology towards synthesizing heteroaryl containing triarylmethanes. Attempted decarboxylative cross coupling (Fig. 2) between phenyl thiophenyl methyl iodide and benzoic acid was also successful using 0.2 equiv. PdCl₂, 0.4 equiv. xantphos, 2.0 equiv. Ag₂CO₃ at 140 °C in DMSO : DMF (90 : 10) for 24 h. Agreeably, the cross coupling product 5 was observed in good yield and it provided a new horizon in the synthesis of antitubercular analogue of CDRI-830 using sp²–sp³ decarboxylative cross coupling.²⁴

Experimental section

General

All the reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under argon atmosphere. Carboxylic acids were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Palladium catalysts were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Phosphine ligands were bought from Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and used as such. DMSO and DMF was dried according to standard procedure and stored on molecular sieves 4 Å. All the

Paper

other reagents and solvents mentioned in this text were bought from Sigma Aldrich or Alfa Aesar or spectrochem and purified if necessary. NMR spectra were recorded on 300, 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer for ¹H NMR, 75 or 100 or 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ) downfield relative to the residual signals of tetramethylsilane in CDCl₃. Spin multiplicities are indicated by the following symbol: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet) and m (multiplet).

General procedure for synthesis of diphenyl carbinols

A 100 ml double-necked round bottom flask was charged with magnesium (2 equiv.), pinch of iodine and after flushing with nitrogen, dried THF was added and stirred. Then corresponding bromoarene (1.5 equiv.) was added, the colour of iodine disappeared slowly on time, indicating the generation of Grignard reagent. It was stirred for one hour followed by addition of corresponding carbaldehye (1 equiv.). Completion of reaction was determined by TLC. Reaction was quenched by saturated NH₄Cl solution. Organic layer was extracted thrice with ethyl acetate and concentrated *in vacuo*. Compound was purified by column chromatography.

General procedure for synthesis of diphenyl methyl iodide

To oven dried round bottom flask under nitrogen, the alcohol (1 equiv.) and KI (1 equiv.) were added and dissolved in dry 1,4dioxane and stirred for 5 min. Then $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (1 equiv.) was added and stirred at room temperature. Completion of reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was poured in cold water and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was washed with water and dried by sodium sulphate; solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by quickly passing through short pad of silica using hexane/ ethyl acetate (8 : 2) as eluent to obtain diphenylmethyl iodides and should be used immediately.

General procedure A for synthesis of various substituted triarylmethanes by decarboxylative cross coupling

To the oven dried round bottom flask was charged with aryl carboxylic acid (1 equiv.), Ag_2CO_3 (1.5 equiv.), $PdCl_2$ (0.1 equiv.), xantphos (0.2 equiv.), diphenyl methyl iodides (1 equiv.) were dissolved in DMSO. The reaction mixture was degassed with argon thrice and stirred in preheated oil bath. Reaction as monitored by TLC after completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through celite bed. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH_4Cl solution and dried on sodium sulphate and concentrated under reduced pressure. It was later purified by column chromatography on silica gel to obtain corresponding triarylmethanes.

General procedure B for synthesis of substituted triarylmethanes by decarboxylative cross coupling

To the oven dried round bottom flask was charged with aryl carboxylic acid (1 equiv.), Ag_2CO_3 (1.5 equiv.), $PdCl_2$ (0.2 equiv.), xantphos (0.4 equiv.), diphenyl methyl iodides (1 equiv.) were dissolved in DMSO : DMF 90 : 10. The reaction mixture was

degassed with argon thrice and stirred in preheated oil bath at requisite temperature and was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction, mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a bed celite with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated NH₄Cl solution and dried on sodium sulphate and concentrated *in vacuo*. It was later purified by column chromatography on silica gel to obtain corresponding triarylmethanes.

Spectroscopic data of synthesized compounds

((2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)methylene)dibenzene (3aa). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.35 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Isolated as pale brown, oily liquid (yield: 68%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27–7.06 (m, 10H), 6.73–6.71 (m, 1H), 6.46–6.37 (m, 2H), 5.82 (s, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 158.2 (2C), 143.0 (2C), 129.5 (4C), 128.1 (4C), 126.8 (2C), 124.6, 102.5 (2C), 97.4, 54.3, 54.0, 47.9 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2817, 1726, 1582, 1231, 814, 770, 637. MS (ESI): *m/z* 305 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₁H₂₁O₂ [M + H]⁺ 305.1536, found 305.1542.

1,3-Dimethoxy-2-(phenyl(*o*-tolyl)methyl)benzene(3ab).Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.4 (2% EtOAcin Hex). Isolated as yellow oily liquid (yield: 70%). ¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22–7.02 (m, 8H), 6.79–6.35 (m, 4H), 5.89 (s,1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 159.3, 158.0, 143.6, 142.6, 136.7, 130.6, 130.1,129.5 (2C), 128.9, 128.0 (2C), 126.0, 125.8, 125.4, 124.7, 103.7,98.6, 55.6, 55.2, 45.9, 19.6 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2828, 1631,1536, 1483, 1284, 1171, 823, 772, 656. MS (ESI): *m/z* 319 (M + H)⁺.HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₂H₂₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 319.1693, found319.1691.

1-Methoxy-2-(phenyl(*p***-tolyl)methyl)benzene (3bf).** Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.6 (1.5% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 72%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.23–6.80 (m, 11H), 6.43–6.34 (m, 2H), 5.69 (s, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 156.2, 144.4, 141.1, 141.0, 135.1, 135.0, 132.7, 132.6, 129.1, 129.0, 129.0, 128.6, 127.8, 125.6, 125.5, 124.1, 103.7, 98.6, 55.8, 48.6, 21.0 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 1689, 1276, 948, 879, 847, 716. MS (ESI): *m*/*z* 289 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₁H₂₁O [M + H]⁺ 289.1587, found 289.1594.

1,3-Dimethoxy-2-(phenyl(4-propylphenyl)methyl)benzene (3ac). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.3 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 80%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.25–6.96 (m, 9H), 6.75–6.73 (m, 1H), 6.45–6.37 (m, 2H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.54 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.83 Hz), 1.64–1.59 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.32 Hz) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 159.3, 157.9, 144.5, 141.3, 140.1, 130.7, 129.3 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 125.8, 125.5, 103.7, 98.6, 55.5, 55.2, 48.6, 37.6, 24.4, 13.9 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 3248, 1692, 1452, 1268, 910, 880, 730, 633. MS (ESI): *m/z* 347 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₄H₂₇O₂ (M + H)⁺ 347.2006, found 347.2003.

1,3-Dimethoxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methyl)benzene (3ad). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.2 (3% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 74%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35–6.36 (m, 12H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 159.3, 158.9, 157.9, 144.6,

136.3, 130.6, 130.3, 129.3, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.1, 127.0, 125.8, 125.5, 113.4, 103.7, 98.6, 55.6, 55.2, 55.2, 48.3 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2802, 1664, 1596, 1327, 932, 815, 804, 730, 623. MS (ESI): m/z 335 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₂H₂₃O₃ (M + H)⁺ 335.1642, found 335.1632.

1,3-Dimethoxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)(*o*-tolyl)methyl)benzene (**3ae**). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.3 (4% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 72%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.17–6.28 (m, 11H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 3.70 (s, 6H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 159.3, 157.9, 157.7, 143.0, 136.6, 135.6, 130.5, 130.3, 130.1 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 125.9, 125.4, 125.0, 113.4, 103.7, 98.6, 55.6, 55.2, 55.1, 45.1, 19.5 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2902, 1685, 1536, 1347, 911, 776. MS (ESI): *m/z* 349 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₃H₂₅O₃ (M + H)⁺ 349.1798, found 349.1810.

1-((4-Ethoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methyl)-2-methoxybenzene (3bg). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.3 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 68%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.08–6.29 (m, 13H), 5.62 (s, 1H), 3.90 (m, 2H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 1.31 (t, 3H, *J* = 5.4 Hz) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 157.0, 156.2, 144.6, 136.2, 136.1, 132.6, 132.5, 130.0 (2C), 129.1 (3C), 127.9 (2C), 125.6, 124.3, 113.9, 95.5, 63.3, 55.8, 48.2, 14.9 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2815, 1664, 1592, 1585, 1320, 1104, 932, 812, 730, 623. MS (ESI): *m/z* 319 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₂H₂₃O₂ [M + H]⁺ 319.1693, found 319.1693.

2-((3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(phenyl)methyl)-1,3-dimethoxybenzene (3ah). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.3 (5% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 79%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.18–6.29 (m, 11H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 158.2, 156.8, 147.4, 146.0, 143.3, 135.6, 129.4 (2C), 128.1 (2C), 126.8, 124.7, 124.2, 120.1, 111.7, 109.5, 102.6, 97.5, 54.6, 54.6, 54.4, 54.1, 47.5 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2805, 1664, 1587, 1523, 1320, 1104, 897, 816. MS (ESI): *m/z* 365 (M + H)⁺, HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₃H₂₅O₃ [M + H]⁺ 365.1747, found 365.1756.

((2-Methoxyphenyl)methylene)dibenzene (3ba). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.5 (1% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 71%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.27–6.79 (m, 14H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 158.0, 144.3 (2C), 136.1, 130.4 (2C), 129.4 (5C), 128.3 (4C), 126.2 9 (2C), 113.7, 56.0, 55.2 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2943, 1685, 1576, 1280, 810, 730. MS (ESI): *m/z* 275 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₀H₁₉O [M + H]⁺ 275.1430, found 275.1439.

Triphenylmethane (3ca). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.5 (1% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 67%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.33–7.09 (m, 15H), 5.54 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 143.9 (3C), 129.5, 128.3 (6C), 128.3 (6C), 128.2, 126.3, 56.9 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2987, 1642, 1586, 1572, 1121. MS (ESI): *m/z* 245 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}O [M + H]^+$ 245.1325, found 245.1329.

1-((4-Chlorophenyl)(phenyl)methyl)-2-methoxybenzene (3bi). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.3 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 62%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.25–7.19 (m, 6H), 7.07–6.99 (m, 4H), 6.87–6.79 (m, 3H), 5.87 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 157.0, 143.3, 142.5, 132.1, 131.8, 130.7, 130.2, 129.4 (2C), 128.2 (5C), 127.8, 126.2, 120.3, 110.7, 55.5, 49.0 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2763, 1675, 1297, 981, 824, Paper

747, 615. MS (ESI): m/z 309 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₂₀H₁₈ClO [M + H]⁺ 309.1041, found 309.1050.

((4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methylene)dibenzene (3cj). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.5 (1% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 56%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.21 (m, 8H), 7.10–7.08 (m, 4H), 5.59 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 148.0, 142.9 (2C), 129.7, 129.3 (6C), 128.5 (6C), 126.6, 125.2, 125.2, 56.6. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2812, 1664, 1543, 1320, 932, 815. MS (ESI): *m*/*z* 313 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₀H₁₆F₃ [M + H]⁺ 313.1199, found 313.1198.

4-((2-Fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (3dk). Prepared following the general procedure B. Rf: 0.5 (3% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 42%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.58–7.54 (m, 4H), 7.45–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 7H), 5.48 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 145.2, 142.1, 140.8, 140.0, 137.8, 132.3, 130.7, 130.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3 (4C), 128.1 (2C), 127.6 (2C), 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 79.8. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2883, 1904, 1684, 1892, 1543, 871, 810, 742, 613. MS (ESI): *m/z* 384 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₅H₁₉FNO₂ [M + H]⁺ 384.1394, found 384.1402.

2-((4-Methoxyphenyl)(*o*-tolyl)methyl)-1,3-dimethylbenzene (3ef). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.5 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 74%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.12 (m, 10H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 9H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 144.6, 141.8, 141.4, 141.0, 139.9, 139.6, 136.4, 136.0, 130.6, 130.5, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 126.2, 126.1 (2C), 57.1, 47.4, 19.5 (2C), 19.3 ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2984, 1683, 1317, 981, 823, 728, 604. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₃H₂₅O [M + H]⁺ 317.1900, found 317.1898.

4-((2-Nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methyl)-1,1'-biphenyl(3fk).Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.4 (2% EtOAcin Hex). Yield: 49%, ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.58–7.54 (m,5H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 7H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 6H), 5.48 (s, 1H) ppm. IR(film, cm⁻¹): 2873, 1796, 1676, 1579, 1544, 923, 815, 794, 745,631. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₅H₂₀NO₂ [M + H]⁺ 366.1489,found 366.1499.

1-((4-Methoxyphenyl)(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)-3nitrobenzene (3gl). Prepared following the general procedure B. Rf: 0.4 (3% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 51%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.85–7.79 (m, 1H), 7.56–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.09–7.06 (m, 2H), 7.01–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.85–6.82 (m, 2H), 5.85 (s, 1H), 3.80 (S, 3H) ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2907, 1886, 1673, 1584, 1543, 1281, 942, 881, 634. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₁H₁₇F₃NO₃ [M + H]⁺ 388.1155, found 388.1149.

1-Chloro-3-((4-methoxyphenyl)(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)benzene (3hl). Prepared following the general procedure B. Rf: 0.2 (2% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 56%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.24–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.04–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.95–6.93 (m, 3H), 6.89–6.88 (m, 2H), 6.85–6.84 (m, 1H), 6.82–6.79 (m, 2H), 6.63–6.62 (m, 1H), 5.82 (s, 1H), 3.78 (S, 3H) ppm. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2928, 1691, 1564, 1298, 911, 828, 804. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₁H₁₇ClF₃O [M + H]⁺ 377.0915, found 377.0919.

2-Benzhydrylthiophene (5). Prepared following the general procedure A. Rf: 0.6 (1% EtOAc in Hex). Yield: 50%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.30–7.17 (m, 11H), 6.92 (m, 1H), 6.68 (m, 1H), 5.67 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 147.9, 143.8

(2C), 128.8 (4C), 128.4 (4C), 126.7, 126.6, 126.4 (2C), 124.5, 52.1. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 1592, 1585, 1365, 623. MS (ESI): m/z 251 (M + H)⁺. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₅S [M + H]⁺ 251.0889, found 251.0892.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we reported an efficient pathway for synthesizing various triarylmethanes using sp^2-sp^3 decarboxylative cross coupling reactions. These reactions showed functional group tolerance, providing moderate to good yields of diverse triarylmethanes in less complicated steps. Decarboxylative cross coupling on heteroaryl methyl iodide provides an opportunity for accessing diverse biologically important triarylmethanes and work in this direction is currently underway.

Acknowledgements

This research project was partly supported by DST project (SB/S1/OC-93/2013). SLKM and SB thank Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India for research fellowship. Instrumental facilities from SAIF, CDRI is acknowledged (CDRI Communication No. 9408).

Notes and references

- 1 (a) S. Mondal and G. Panda, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 28317–28358;
 (b) S. K. Das Shagufta and G. Panda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, 46, 3097.
- 2 (a) P. Singh, S. K. Manna, A. K. Jana, T. Saha, P. Mishra, S. Bera, M. K. Parai, M. S. L. Kumar, S. Mondal, P. Trivedi, V. Chaturvedi, S. Singh, S. Sinha and G. Panda, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, 95, 357; (b) R. Palchaudhuri, V. Nesterenko and P. J. Hergenrother, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 10274; (c) R. S. Dothager, K. S. Putt, B. J. Allen, B. J. Leslie, V. Nesterenko and P. J. Hergenrother, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 8686–8696; (d) B. A. Ellsworth, W. R. Ewing and E. Jurica, US Pat., 2011/0082165A1, 2011.
- 3 J. Kim, H. Kim and S. B. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 14629.
- 4 (a) M. R. Detty, S. L. Gibson and S. J. Wagner, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, **47**, 3897; (b) S. K. Das, Shagufta and G. Panda, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 6731.
- 5 (a) C. D. Mason and F. F. Nord, J. Org. Chem., 1951, 16, 722;
 (b) V. V. Ghaisas, B. J. Kane and F. F. Nord, J. Org. Chem., 1958, 23, 560; (c) M. Irie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105,

2078; (d) R. Muthyala, A. R. Katritzky and X. F. Lan, Dyes Pigm., 1994, 25, 303.

- 6 (a) D. F. Duxbury, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 381; (b)
 M. S. Shchepinov and V. A. Korshun, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, 32, 170.
- 7 G. Panda, M. K. Parai, S. K. Das Shagufta, M. Sinha, V. Chaturvedi, A. K. Srivastava, Y. S. Manju, A. N. Gaikwad and S. Sinha, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **42**, 410.
- 8 M. Nambo, Z. T. Ariki, D. Canseco-Gonzalez, D. D. Beattie and C. M. Crudden, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 2339.
- 9 (a) G. Pallikonda and M. Chakravarty, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 2135; (b) Y. Z. Li, B. J. Li, X. Lu, S. Lin and Z. J. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3817; (c) X. Ji, T. Huang, W. Wu, F. Liang and S. Cao, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 5096.
- 10 M. Nambo and C. M. Crudden, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4734.
- 11 T. Niwa, H. Yorimitsu and K. Oshima, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, 9(12), 2373.
- 12 J. González, C. P. Calleja, L. A. López and R. Vicente, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5853.
- 13 Y. Xia, F. Hu, Z. Liu, P. Qu, R. Ge, C. Ma, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1784.
- 14 B. L. Taylor, M. R. Harris and E. R. Jarvo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2012, **51**, 7790.
- 15 S. Tabuchi, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 5401.
- 16 (a) Y. Luo and J. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 3785; (b)
 N. Rodriquez and L. J. Goossen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5030; (c) J. Zhang, A. Bellomo, N. Trongsiriwat, T. Jia,
 P. J. Carroll, S. D. Dreher, M. T. Tudge, H. Yin,
 J. R. Robinson, E. J. Schelter and P. J. Walsh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6276.
- 17 J. Tang and L. J. Goossen, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2664.
- 18 J. M. Becht, C. Catala, C. L. Drian and A. Wagner, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 1781.
- 19 K. Park, G. Bae, J. Moon, J. Choe, K. H. Song and S. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 6244.
- 20 (a) W. W. Zhang, X. G. Zhang and J. H. Li, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010,
 75, 5259; (b) F. Bilodeau, M. C. Brochu, N. Guimond,
 K. H. Thesen and P. Forgione, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 75, 1550.
- 21 L. J. Goossen, C. Linder and A. Cotte, Saltigo GmbH, DE 102007016971, 2007.
- 22 B. P. Bandgar, V. S. Sadavarte and L. S. Uppalla, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 951.
- 23 L. J. Goossen, G. Deng and L. M. Levy, *Science*, 2006, **313**, 662.