RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 8491

Received 28th November 2016 Accepted 18th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra27458g

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

Crystal polymorphism, a phenomenon having been concentrated on for years, is generally defined as the possibility of the same compound existing in more than one crystalline modification in the solid state.^{1,2} Polymorphs differ in a number of significant properties such as solubility, stability and bioavailability³⁻⁵ that catering for a series of potential applications in pharmaceuticals,⁶ pigments,⁷ explosives⁸ and so on. What's

Tuning the solid-state fluorescence of chalcone crystals via molecular coplanarity and J-aggregate formation†

Fangfang Yu,^a Mingliang Wang,*^a Hao Sun,^a Yagi Shan,^a Man Du,^a Arshad Khan,^a Rabia Usman,^a Wei Zhang,^a Hongbin Shan^a and Chunxiang Xu^{*b}

Four chalcones bearing the 1,3-diarylpropenone moiety 3-(9-anthryl)-1-(4-chloro)prop-2-en-1-one (I), 3- (1-pyrenyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (II), 1-phenyl-3-(1-pyrenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (III) and 3- (1-pyrenyl)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (IV) were synthesized and different crystal forms were obtained from different solvents by slow evaporation including I (I_a , I_b), II (II_a , II_b , III_b , III_c , III_d) and IV (IV_a, IV_b). Their structures and optical properties were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD), powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy and fluorescence spectroscopy. Hirshfeld surface calculations were also used for intermolecular interaction analysis. It has been found that polymorphism and conformational isomorphism exist in these crystals. I_b and III_a adopt a cis configuration with respect to the central $C=C$ bond while the other forms exhibit a trans configuration, except for those without single crystal X-ray analysis. Both I_b and II_b have two crystallographically independent molecules in their asymmetrical unit which has not been found in reported chalcone crystals. Those crystals with a cis configuration show a higher melting point and no fluorescence. Crystals with a trans configuration are fluorescent and their emission wavelengths are mainly effected by the torsion extent of the molecules and J-aggregate formation. PAPER

Considered and **Tuning the solid-state fluorescence of chalcome**

Considered and **Chalcome**

Considered an

more, it is an ideal approach to compare different polymorphs for the purpose of deeply elucidating the relationships between the solid state properties and crystal structures based on the same molecules.^{9,10} Molecules with conformational flexibility usually show a larger extent of freedom than rigid ones so that a wide range of polymorphism might be obtained from them.¹¹⁻¹³ Current research on the solid state fluorescence of polymorphism indicate that stacking geometries of molecules and intermolecular electronic interactions in the solid state have a significant influence on fluorescent properties of organic luminescent polymorphs.¹⁴–¹⁷ And many studies on luminescence properties suggest that J-aggregate formation is beneficial to fluorescence emission.^{18,19}

Chalcone, the important class of compound bearing α , β unsaturated ketone moiety, increasingly attracts more attention from academic circles and pharmaceutical industry. Chalcones are found to have many applications because of their properties such as bioactivities,⁵ optical properties^{20,21} and photochemical characteristics^{20,22} all of which are researched and applied widespread. Furthermore, chalcones are intermediates of many heterocyclic compounds such as pyrazole, quinoline, pyrimidine and so on.²³ In the phenomenon of *cis-trans* configuration, trans configuration exhibits greater stability than cis which is suggested by easier formation of *trans* configuration and the approach of quantum chemistry also gave explanation to

[&]quot;School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, P. R. China. E-mail: wangmlchem@seu.edu.cn; Tel: +86 2585092237

^bState Key Laboratory of Bioelectronics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P.R. China. E-mail: xcxseu@seu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Microscopy graphs of all forms; NMR spectra of chalcone compounds; comparing of PXRD experimental patterns (black) of crystals with simulated patterns (red); absorption spectra of crystals in acetonitrile solvent; fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$ = 365 nm) in cyclohexane solution for all forms; IR spectra of crystals; Hirshfeld surface mapped with d_{norm} and fingerprint plots of crystals; solvents for crystal preparation; melting point, enthalpy and decomposition temperature range of all crystals; the maximum absorption and emission peak of all solids; contributions of individual intermolecular interactions to the Hirshfeld surface of all crystals; X-ray crystallographic information files (CIF) for eight crystals. CCDC 1473276, 1473277, 1473283, 1473284, 1477084, 1473288, 1473285 and 1473292. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6ra27458g

Scheme 1 Chemical structure of I, II, III, IV.

stability difference.^{24,25} Thus a number of crystals of chalcone have been reported while crystals with cis configuration are hardly to find for its strikingly steric effect. In our former research done by Zhang Ruimin et al.,¹⁷ several chalcone polymorphs which show cis or trans configuration have been investigated. In this research, four new chalcones were synthesized (Scheme 1), while the polymorphism being found in this research is conformational and configurational isomorphism. Furthermore, conformational isomorphism is rarely reported for the compound of chalcone, especially when referring it to two conformers in crystal asymmetry unit.

Fluorescent properties of chalcone polymorphism in the solid state can be rarely to found in literatures reported before. Previously, we readily prepared several high quality chalcone polymorphs and π -stacked geometries plays a key role in fluorescent properties of chalcone polymorphism.¹⁷ Therefore, we deduce that there can be some other factors related to optical properties of chalcone and we investigated in different chalcone polymorphs to find that molecular planarity and aggregation exert great influence on fluorescent properties of chalcone polymorphism in the solid state, which may offer a signicant direction in application of organic light-emitting materials.²⁶

Experimental section

Materials synthesis

As shown in Scheme 2, a mixture of 4-chloroacetophenone (1.54 g), 9-anthrylaldehyde (2.3 g) and 3 M of aqueous sodium hydroxide (6 mL) in ethanol (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The crude product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/acetic acid ($v/v = 1 : 1$) resulting in orange crystals as 3-(9-anthryl)-1-(4-chloro)prop-2 en-1-one (I): yield: 80%, mp: 136–138 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 2S, ESI†): d (ppm) 8.81 (d, 1H), 8.49 (s, 1H), 8.36–8.19 (m, 2H), 8.04 (dd, 4H), 7.63-7.46 (m, 7H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 3S, ESI†): d (ppm) 188.36, 142.47, 139.59, 136.22, 131.32, 130.48, 130.12, 129.92, 129.66, 129.11, 128.98, 128.64, 126.55, 125.48, 125.20.

As can be seen from Scheme 2, a mixture of acetophenone (1.2 g) , 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde (2.3 g) and 3 M of aqueous sodium hydroxide (6 mL) in ethanol (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The resulting solid was collected by

filtration and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/acetic acid ($v/v =$ 1 : 1) to get orange crystals as 1-phenyl-3-(1-pyrenyl)prop-2-en-1 one (III): yield: 70%, mp: 163–165 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 4S, ESI†): d (ppm) 8.82 (d, 1H), 8.31 (d, 1H), 8.17 (d, 1H), 8.06 (dd, 4H), 8.00–7.80 (m, 5H), 7.65 (d, 1H), 7.61–7.42 (m, 3H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, Fig. 5S, ESI†): d (ppm) 189.67, 140.85, 137.96, 132.40, 132.36, 130.71, 130.11, 129.75, 128.27, 128.16, 128.10, 127.99, 126.78, 125.76, 125.54, 125.40, 124.46, 124.31, 123.97, 123.52, 123.08, 121.92. Similarly, 3-(1-pyrenyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (II) and 3-(1-pyrenyl)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1 one (IV) were synthesized according to the procedure with 4-chloroacetophenone and 4-nitroacetophenone as starting material respectively. 1-3-(1-Pyrenyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl) prop-2 en-1-one (II): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 6S, ESI†): δ (ppm) 8.88 (d, 1H), 8.40 (d, 1H), 8.26 (d, 1H), 8.14 (d, 2H), 8.09–8.01 (m, 3H), 8.01-7.93 (m, 4H), 7.63 (d, 1H), 7.45 (d, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 7S, ESI†): d (ppm) 188.63, 141.73, 139.17, 136.60, 132.98, 131.18, 130.58, 130.32, 129.89, 128.92, 128.76, 128.70, 128.30, 127.32, 127.21, 126.26, 126.08, 125.92, 124.92, 124.83, 124.44, 124.00, 122.95, 122.33. 3-(1-Pyrenyl)-1-(4-nitrophenyl) prop-2 en-1-one (IV): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Fig. 8S, ESI†): δ (ppm) 9.05 (d, 1H), 8.54 (d, 1H), 8.44 (d, 1H), 8.41–8.33 (m, 2H), 8.33–8.19 (m, 6H), 8.17 (d, 1H), 8.14–8.01 (m, 2H), 7.77 (d, 1H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, Fig. 9S, ESI†): d (ppm) 188.59, 143.30, 133.52, 131.31, 130.77, 130.68, 129.46, 129.23, 129.17, 127.98, 127.34, 126.52, 126.43, 126.25, 125.14, 125.05, 124.56, 124.24, 123.92, 122.80, 122.31. **EXALUATEST**
 SET ACCESS ARTIFUL INTERFERENCE ARTIFU

 I_a was recrystallized in ethyl acetate/acetic acid (v/v = 1 : 1) as orange rod like crystal. I_b was obtained from ethanol in which I_a was dissolved. Slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature for 4–5 days yielded yellow rod like crystal.

 II_a was recrystallized in ethyl acetate/acetic acid (v/v = 1 : 1) mixed solvents as orange-red plate crystal. II_b was obtained from ethyl acetate/dichloromethane $(v/v = 1 : 1)$ mixed solvents in which IIa was dissolved. Slow evaporation of the solvents at room temperature for 2–3 days yielded orange rod like crystal. II_c was obtained from isopropanol in which II_a was dissolved. Slow evaporation at room temperature for 2–3 days yielded small yellow plate crystal.

IIIa was obtained from mixed solvent of ethanol/ethyl acetate $(v/v = 1 : 1)$ with III_a dissolved in it. Slow evaporation of the solvents at room temperature for 4–5 days yielded a yellow transparent crystal. III_b was gained from acetonitrile or ethanol/ ethyl acetate ($v/v = 1 : 1$) mixed solvents in which III_a was dissolved. Slow evaporation of the solvents at room temperature for 4-5 days yielded red transparent plate crystal. III_c was recrystallized in acetonitrile/chloroform $(v/v = 1)$ or acetic acid/ ethyl acetate ($v/v = 1 : 1$) mixed solvent as orange needle like crystal. III_d was recrystallized after 3-4 days' slow evaporation at room temperature with III_a dissolved in isopropanol as yellow plate crystal.

 IV_a was obtained from acetonitrile/dichloromethane (v/v = 1 : 1) mixed solvents with 2–3 days slow evaporation at room temperature yielding red needle like crystal. IV_b was recrystallized in acetonitrile/dichloromethane $(v/v = 1 : 1)$ mixed solvents as a purple crystal after 2-3 days slow evaporation at room temperature.

Scheme 2 Preparation of chalcone I, II, III, IV.

Characterization methods

NMR analysis. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 303 K on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz NMR spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent and TMS as an internal standard.

X-ray diffraction. The PXRD patterns for the eleven crystals were recorded using a 18 kW advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54056$ Å). Single X-ray diffraction data for these crystals were collected on Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structures were solved with direct methods using the SHELXS-2014 program and refined anisotropically using full-matrix least-squares procedure.

Spectroscopic measurements. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were obtained on a Horiba FluoroMax 4 spectro uorometer. Infrared spectra were collected on a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR spectrometer.

Fluorescence microscopy images. Fluorescence microscopy images were obtained on an Olympus BX51 imaging system excited at 365 nm.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). TGA/DSC patterns were recorded with a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC Thermogravimetric Analyzer with the temperature scanned from 50 to 550 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 10 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ min $^{-1}$.

Hot stage microscopy (HSM). Hot stage microscopy was performed on a LEICA DM750P microscope using a Mettler-Toledo FP82HT hot stage. The data were visualized using the AMC Capture software.

Results and discussion

Crystal structure

Despite considerable experimental endeavor, attempts to grow single crystals of **II** in isopropanol (II_c) , **III** in isopropanol (III_d) and **IV** in acetonitrile/dichloromethane $(v/v = 1 : 1)$ (**IV**_b) were unsuccessful. Thus, single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD)

analysis was performed for all other forms showing independent framework arrangements and cell parameters (Table 1). Additionally SCXRD of III_c was reported.²⁷

Crystal Ia crystallizes in monoclinic system with space group of $P2₁/c$ while I_b crystallizes in orthorhombic system with space group of Pca2₁. I_a shows trans configuration while I_b exhibits cis configuration (Fig. 1a and 2a). I_a is connected by weak hydrogen bond (Table 2) (O…H16A distance: 2.46 Å; C–O…H angle: 161°) and C(alkene)–H \cdots π (6 atoms of anthracene) (Table 3) interactions on ac plane (Fig. 1b). I_b possesses two types of molecules in its crystal structure (Fig. 2a). Molecules A are linked by weak hydrogen bonds (Table 2) $(O1A...H16A)$ distance: 2.54 \AA ; C-O···H angle: 164[°]) and C(alkene)-H··· π (6 atoms of anthracene) (Table 3) interactions on ac plane (Fig. 2b). Likewise, weak hydrogen bonds (Table 2) $(O2B...H16B)$ distance: 2.56 Å; C–O…H angle: 159°) and C(alkene)–H… π (6 atoms of anthracene) (Table 3 and Fig. 2b) connect between molecules B on ac plane. Besides, molecules A and B are separately linked by C(anthracene)–H \cdots π (benzene ring) (Table 3 and Fig. 2c) along b axis.

Crystal II_a crystallizes in monoclinic system with space group $C2/c$ while II_b crystallizes in orthorhombic system with space group $P2_12_12_1$. Both II_a and II_b show trans configuration. It is evident that molecules in the structure of II_a are connected by C–O \cdots π (6 atoms of pyrene ring), C–H \cdots π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) and C–H \cdots (benzene ring) interactions on ac plane (Table 3 and Fig. 3b). Molecules in II_b are linked through interactions of C–Cl \cdots π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) and C–Cl \cdots π (benzene ring) along c axis (Fig. 4b).

 III_a crystallizes in triclinic system with space group \overline{PI} and it shows *cis* configuration. Both III_b and III_c crystallizes in monoclinic system while their space groups are $P2/c$ and $P2₁$ respectively. Besides, they all show *trans* configuration. As shown in Fig. 5b, molecules are packing along a axis through C–H…O (O…H8A distance: 2.43 Å; C–O…H angle: 138°) (Table 2) and C(pyrene)–O \cdots (benzene ring) (Table 3) interactions in III_a . In Fig. 6a, it can be seen that III_b owns two types of

molecules in its asymmetry unit and both of them are connected by C–H \cdots and C–O \cdots interactions on ac plane. In detail, C–H··· π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) and C–O··· π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) (Table 3) link between neighboring type A molecules while type B molecules are only connected through C–H/ π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) (Table 3). And in Fig. 7b, molecules in III_c are packing on ab plane with slipped face-to-face $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction.

 crystallizes in monoclinic system with space group $P2₁/n$ and it exhibits trans configuration. As shown in Fig. 8b, molecules are stacking along b axis with weak hydrogen bond C–H…O (O…H7A distance: 2.55 Å; C–O…H angle: 141°) (Table 2), C(alkene)–O \cdots π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) and N–O \cdots π (6 atoms of pyrene ring) (Table 3) interactions on ac plane.

In a word, I_a , II_a , II_b , III_b , III_c , IV_a adopt *trans* configuration while I_b and III_a adopt *cis* configuration with respect to the central C=C bond. Thus, chalcones I and III exist

Fig. 1 Structure of form I_a : (a) trans configuration, (b) molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions on ac plane, (c) molecules are stacking along a axis with hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions. The violet line represents the closest centroid distance (d_{c-c} $=$ 5.431(3) Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show hydrogen bonds and C-H \cdots π interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

configurational isomers while II_a , II_b and III_b , III_c are conformational isomers in the solid state. Furthermore, I_b and III_b have two distinct molecules with different conformation in the unit cell. Besides, it can be found that all crystal forms mentioned above adopt parallel face-to-face slipped stacked arrangement for anthracene or pyrene chromophores. According to exciton coupling theory, J-aggregates $(0 \lt \alpha \leq 54.7^{\circ})$ exhibit red shifted bands (α is the aligned angle is between transitional moments and the center-to-center axis of the two

Table 2 Intermolecular hydrogen bonds parameters

Crystal	Interaction	$D-H$ (Ă)	$H \cdots A$ (Å)	$D \cdots A$ (Å)	\angle D-H \cdots A ′°)
I_{a}	$C_{16} - H_{(16A)} \cdots O$	0.93	2.46	3.357(6)	161
I _b	$C_{16A} - H_{(16A)} \cdots O_{(1A)}$	0.93	2.54	3.445(8)	164
	$C_{16B} - H_{(16B)} \cdots O_{(2B)}$	0.93	2.56	3.442(8)	159
III _a	$C_8-H_{(8A)}\cdots O$	0.93	2.43	3.182(7)	138
$\mathbf{IV}_{\mathbf{a}}$	$C_7-H_{(7A)}\cdots O_{(1)}$	0.93	2.55	3.325(5)	141

Fig. 2 Structure of form I_{b} : (a) cis configuration, (b) (molecule labeled A-grey B-sky blue) molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds and C-H \cdots interactions on ac plane, (c) molecules are stacking along b axis with hydrogen bonds with the closest centroid distance ($d_{c-c} = 5.311(5)$ Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings showed by violet lines. The dotted lines show hydrogen bonds and C–H $\cdots\pi$ interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Table 3 $C-X\cdots\pi$ and $N-O\cdots\pi$ interactions in these forms

	Table 3 $C-X\cdots\pi$ and $N-O\cdots\pi$ interactions in these forms		pyrene chromophore. This indicates that cis configuration	
Crystal	Interaction	Distance ^a (A)	Angle^b $(^\circ)$	exhibits worse molecular coplanarity between aromatic rings than trans configuration. This result can also be inferred from the torsion angles data (Table 6 and Scheme 3).
I_a	C_{15} -H _(15A) \cdots 6 atoms of anthracene	2.860	127.00	
$I_{\rm b}$	$C_4-H_{(4A)}\cdots$ benzene ring	2.950	156.00	Powder X-ray diffraction analysis
	C_{22A} -H _(22A) \cdots 6 atoms of anthracene	2.890	131.00	
	$C_{4B} - H_{(4B)} \cdots$ benzene ring	2.960	157.00	All these crystal forms were determined by powder X-ray
	C_{22B} - $H_{(22B)}$ 6 atoms of anthracene	2.920	130.00	diffraction (PXRD) analysis. Remarkably, the PXRD pattern
II_a	$C_{12}-H_{(12A)}\cdots$ benzene ring	2.710	147.00	indicates distinct difference for different crystal forms of the
	C_{22} -H _(22A) \cdots 6 atoms of pyrene ring	2.870	136.00	same compound (Fig. 9).
	C_{19} -O…6 atoms of pyrene ring	3.900(5)	80.1(4)	
$II_{\mathbf{b}}$	C_{23} -Cl \cdots 6 atoms of pyrene ring	3.968	101.82	Furthermore, PXRD curves coincide well with simulated data
	C_{23} -Cl \cdots 6 atoms of benzene ring	3.678	90.42	calculated from the SXRD data which suggests these forms are
III_{a}	C_{16} -H _(16A) benzene ring	2.790	144.00	in high purity (Fig. 10S, ESI†).
III _b	$C_{(12A)}-H_{(12A)}\cdots$ benzene ring	2.760	146.00	
	$C_{(12B)}-H_{(12B)}$ benzene ring	2.860	149.00	
	$C_{19}-O_{(1A)}\cdots 6$ atoms of pyrene ring	3.896	83.4(2)	Thermal properties analysis
IV_a	C_{19} -O ₍₁₎ \cdots 6 atoms of pyrene ring $N-O_{(3)} \cdots 6$ atoms of pyrene ring	3.830(4) 3.642(5)	74.6(3) 90.5(3)	The thermal behaviors of these crystals were investigated by DSC and TGA. It can be seen from Fig. 10 that both Ia and I _t
	^a The distances were measured from hydrogen atom or halogen atom or oxygen atom to the centre of the aromatic ring (for C-X $\cdots \pi$, X = H, O, Cl). ^b The angles were measured between C-X-c or N-O-c (for C-X \cdots π)			show a endothermic peak at 136 °C and 144 °C, respectively. II exists only one endothermic peak at 161 °C. Both II _a and II _c own two endothermic peaks at 144 °C, 156 °C and 118 °C, 131 °C
or N-O \cdots π).	chromophores). ^{28,29} And it can be found that J-type aggregation exists in II _a , III _b , IV _a (Fig. 3b, 6b and 8b). The closest centroid distance (d_{c-c}) between adjacent anthracene or pyrene rings			215.8 °C and 215.5 °C.
	follow as below (Table 4): 5.431(3) \AA , 5.311(5) \AA for I_a , I_b of			III_a , III_b , III_c and III_d exhibit one endothermic peak at 120 °C 160 °C, 165 °C and 156 °C, respectively. Both \mathbf{N}_a and \mathbf{N}_b show an endothermic peak with pretty similar temperatures of Optical-physical properties

 a^a The distances were measured from hydrogen atom or halogen atom or oxygen atom to the centre of the aromatic ring (for C–X··· π , X = H, O, Cl). b The angles were measured between C–X–c or N–O–c (for C–X··· π or $N-O\cdots \pi$).

chromophores).28,29 And it can be found that J-type aggregation exists in II_a , III_b , IV_a (Fig. 3b, 6b and 8b). The closest centroid distance (d_{c-c}) between adjacent anthracene or pyrene rings follow as below (Table 4): 5.431(3) \AA , 5.311(5) \AA for I_a , I_b of anthracene system and $5.507(4)$ Å, $4.818(5)$ Å, $5.505(4)$ Å, 5.488(2) Å, 4.672(4) Å, 7.784(0) Å for II_a , II_b , III_a , III_b , III_c , IV_a of pyrene system. The smallest value of closest centroid distance (d_{c-c}) in these systems is 4.672(4) A which corresponds to crystal **III_c**. The interplanar separation ($d_{\pi-\pi}$) and lateral displacement (R) between the mean planes of the pyrene moieties for III_c are 3.462(3) Å and 3.137 Å respectively, which indicate that weak π – π interactions existing between the neighboring pyrene chromophores. No such interactions exist in all other crystal forms.

As shown in Table 5, the dihedral angles between benzene ring and anthracene ring in I_b are much larger than that of I_a . The dihedral angles between benzene ring and pyrene ring in III_a are also larger than any other crystal forms containing

Powder X-ray diffraction analysis

Thermal properties analysis

Optical–physical properties

 I_a and I_b show similar absorption band in 300–400 nm in acetonitrile which are attributed to anthracene chromophore. All forms with pyrene ring have similar absorption band in 300– 450 nm in acetonitrile which are attributed to pyrene chromophore (Fig. 11S, ESI†). As shown in Fig. 11, absorption bands of all crystal forms have red shifted relative to their solution. Furthermore, I_a shows a red shifted absorption edge than that of I_b which can be ascribed to *trans* configuration of I_a . II_a has the largest absorption edge wavelength in three crystal forms of chalcone $II. II_c$ owns the smallest absorption wavelength. Likewise, III_b shows the largest absorption edge wavelength in four crystal forms of chalcone III. In addition, $\mathbf{IV}_{\mathbf{b}}$ shows a red shift in comparison with \mathbf{IV}_{a} . All these results are positively

Fig. 3 Structure of form II_a: (a) trans configuration, (b) molecules are linked by C–O… π and C–H… π interactions on ac plane, (c) the violet line represents the closest centroid distance ($d_{c-c} = 5.507(4)$ Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show hydrogen bonds and $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Fig. 4 Structure of form II_b: (a) trans configuration, (b) molecules are linked by $C - Cl \cdot \cdot \pi$ interactions on ac plane, (c) molecules are stacking along c axis with C–Cl… π interactions. The violet line represents the closest centroid distance ($d_{c-c} = 4.818(5)$ Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show $C-Cl\cdots\pi$ interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Fig. 5 Structure of form III_a: (a) cis configuration, (b) molecules are linked by C–H $\cdots \pi$ and C–H \cdots O interactions on ab plane, (c) the violet line represents the closest centroid distance (d_{c-c} = 5.505(4) Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show C-H \cdots π and C-H \cdots O interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

a

Fig. 6 Structure of form III_b: (a) trans configuration, (b) (type A-grey type B-sky blue) molecules are linked by C–H \cdots and C–O \cdots *n* interactions on ac plane, (c) the violet line represents the closest centroid distance ($d_{c-c} = 5.488(2)$ Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show C–H \cdots and C–O \cdots π interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Fig. 7 Structure of form III_c: (a) trans configuration, (b) molecules are stacking on ab plane with slipped face-to-face $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction, (c) the d_{c-c} , $d_{\pi-\pi}$ and R are 4.672(4) Å, 3.462(3) Å and 3.137 Å, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Fig. 8 Structure of form IV_a: (a) trans configuration, (b) molecules are linked by C–H \cdots O, C–O \cdots and N–O \cdots interactions on ac plane, (c) the violet line represents the closest centroid distance (d_{c-c} = 7.847(0) Å) between the adjacent anthracene rings. The dotted lines show C-H \cdots O, $C-O\cdots\pi$ and N–O $\cdots\pi$ interactions, respectively. Hydrogen atoms not participating in the interactions have been omitted for clarity.

correlated with molecular coplanarity in these crystals discussed following.

 I_a and I_b show similar emission band in 450–500 nm in cyclohexane (Fig. 12S, ESI†) which are attributed to anthracene chromophore. All forms with pyrene chromophore have similar emission bands in *trans* configuration were found to have fluorescence and have red shifted in comparison with their solutions.

In solid states, only those with trans configuration were found to have fluorescence and have red shifted in comparison with their solutions. I_a has a emission peak at 548 nm which shows a red shifted in contrast with the emission spectra of anthracene crystal.

Similarly, emission spectra of crystals with pyrene chromophore exhibit a red shift in comparison with pyrene which shows characteristic peak at 470 nm. All these can be explained by larger conjugation system than pyrene and overwhelming intermolecular interactions in these crystals. As shown in Fig. 12, forms II_a , III_b , IV_a have longer emission peaks (600–650 nm) relative to that of forms I_a , II_b , III_c , III_d (570–500 nm). These results can be explained by molecular coplanarity in these crystals.

Table 5 The dihedral angles between the benzene ring and anthracene ring/pyrene ring

Crystal	Angles $(°)$		
I_{a}	35.0(2)		
I_{b}	$67.8(4)/68.1(3)^{a}$		
II_{a}	7.8(2)		
II _b	48.3(3)		
III _a	72.0(2)		
III _b	$9.02(13)/15.62(13)^{a}$		
III _c	39.0(3)		
IV _a	3.32(16)		

 a The first one is the dihedral angle of molecule A in asymmetry unit and the second one is the dihedral angle of molecule B.

Crystals of forms II_a , III_b , IV_a show the least torsion extent and smallest dihedral angles among these forms so that they own the largest emission peak wavelengths which are consistent with their

red fluorescence in microscopy images in Fig. 13. Besides, forms II_c and III_d may own the similar molecules planarity to those of I_a and III_c by comparing their fluorescence even no SCXRD data.

Scheme 3 Chemical structure with torsion angles labeled.

Fig. 9 PXRD patterns of crystals.

ල

In addition, it has been found that J-aggregates formed in the polymorphs. Researches on the luminescence properties have shown that J-aggregates are highly emissive.¹⁹ II_a show a red shifted emission band in contrast with the emission

spectra in solution which suggests the J-aggregates formation in its solid state(Fig. 12S, ESI† and Fig. 12). And II_a has the larger emission wavelength than II_b for no J-aggregates form in II_b (Fig. 4 and 12). Likewise, molecules in III_b also form J-

Fig. 11 Solid-state adsorption spectra of crystals.

Fig. 12 Solid-state excitation spectra (black) and fluorescence emission spectra (red).

Fig. 13 Fluorescence microscopy images of eight crystals under UV light ($\lambda_{\rm ex} = 365$ nm).

aggregates so that III_b shows a red shift in solid state emission spectra in comparison with its emission bands in solution. As for III_c , $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction exists in its crystal structure which may induce the excimer formation among pyrene rings. And excimer formation leads to the suppression of fluorescence³⁰ so that III_c exhibit a blue shifted emission band in contrast with III_b. In Fig. 8 and 12, molecular aggregation of J-type exists in IV_a which leads to a red shift in its solid state emission spectra.

FT-IR spectroscopy

As for FT-IR spectra (Fig. 13S, ESI†), there are two strong vibrational peaks in 1670-1520 cm^{-1} being magnified in the figure. These two peaks should be separately assigned to carbonyl group with high frequency and ethenyl group in all forms.

Hirshfeld surface calculation

The Hirshfeld surface calculation has been used for all crystals. As shown in Fig. 14 and Table 7, the analysis of forms I_a and I_b was carried out in terms of d_{norm} surface and fingerprint plots. In addition, the calculation of other forms is listed in ESI (Fig. 14S, ESI†).

Hirshfeld surface mapped with d_{norm} takes size of atoms into consideration thus comes out normalized contact distance d_{norm} which is calculated from d_e (nearest external distance), d_i (nearest internal distance) and the van der Waals (vdW) radii of the two atoms to the surface.³¹ The fingerprint plot derives from Hirshfeld surface that represented by a coordinate (d_i, d_e) . The colors indicate the number of points with a given fingerprint

Fig. 14 Hirshfeld surface mapped with d_{norm} (top) and fingerprint plots (bottom) of I_b and I_b . Hirshfeld surface colored by d_{norm} , showing as a red-white-blue scheme as d_{norm} increase.

Table 7 Contributions of individual intermolecular interactions to the Hirshfeld surface of I_a and I_b

Polymorph	$C-H$	$O-H$	$H-H$	$C-C$	
I_{a}	33.8%	7.6%	36.9%	6.0%	
$I_{\rm b}$	35.7%	9.3%	35.6%	3.6%	

plot coordinate ranging from blue (relatively few points) through green (moderate fraction) to red (many points).

As shown in Fig. 14, the red hotpots marked $\circled{2}$ on the d_{norm} surface of I_a and I_b correspond to strong C-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds. And d_{norm} surface of I_b shows another two red hotpots labeled ① respectively on the surface of two molecules that can be assigned to C–H \cdots π interactions while the same interactions of Ia just exhibit light red spot on the upper right of the surface which indicates weaker C-H \cdots π contacts in crystal structure of I_a than I_b .

The two wings marked \odot in the fingerprint plots represent C–H \cdots π . The contact C–H \cdots π contributes 33.8% and 35.6% of the total Hirshfeld surface respectively for I_a and I_b . The little spikes marked \oslash can be assigned to C-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds which separately contributes 7.6% and 9.2% to the whole Hirshfeld surface of I_a and I_b . The slightly larger contribution of C-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds in I_b make a contribution to its higher melting point than I_a which coincides with results of thermal analysis. Besides, the H \cdots H contacts, as indicated by the blue region marked ③ diffuses around the diagonal, making a significant contribution towards the solid-state stabilization of the two polymorphs. They are 36.9% and 35.7% for I_a and I_b . The center area of the plots where $d_e + d_i \approx 3.6 \text{ Å}$ corresponds to $\pi \cdots \pi$ (C \cdots C) interactions which contributes only 6.0% and 3.6% for I_a and I_b . To some extent, the worse planarity of I_b than I_a may give a explanation to the different contribution of $\pi \cdots \pi$ $(C \cdots C)$ contacts between I_a and I_b . **PSC Arbanes** Worker C-H — The Uniter Common Scher Published on 26 January 2017. Downloaded the Creative Common Scher Published U

Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrated eight chalcone crystal structures in detail. It is evident that the compound of chalcone can crystallize in different configurations or conformations in different solvent systems. Crystals with trans configuration are fluorescent while those with *cis* configuration are not. Furthermore, forms II_a , III_b , IV_a exist larger red-shifted emissions than forms I_a , II_b , III_c which can be attributed to better molecular coplanarity and J-aggregates formation in II_a , III_b , IV_a . It implies that solid-state fluorescence of chalcone crystals can be tuned by crystal engineering. And the strategy of tuning molecular coplanarity and J-aggregates formation through polymorphism may provide useful information into organic light-emitting materials.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant 2011CB302004) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Notes and references

- 1 R. J. Davey, Chem. Commun., 2003, 13, 1463–1467.
- 2 J. Bernstein, Polymorphism in Molecular Crystals, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- 3 S. S. Kumar and A. Nangia, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14(4), 1865–1881.
- 4 L. Q. Yang, Q. X. Yin, B. H. Hou, Y. Bao, J. K. Wang and H. X. Hao, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2013, 52(7), 2477–2485.
- 5 P. Singh, A. Anandb and V. Kumarc, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2014, 85, 758–777.
- 6 U. J. Griesser, R. K. R. Jetti, M. F. Haddow, T. Brehmer, D. C. Apperley, A. King and R. K. Harris, Cryst. Growth Des., 2008, 8(1), 44–56.
- 7 G. Klebe, F. Graser and E. Hadicke, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., 1989, 45, 69–77.
- 8 H. G. Gallagher and J. N. Sherwood, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1996, 92(12), 2107–2116.
- 9 S. J. Yoon and S. Y. Park, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 8338– 8346.
- 10 S. H. Lapidus, A. Naik, A. Wixtrom, N. E. Massa, V. Ta Phuoc, L. del Campo, S. Lebegue, J. G. Angyan, T. Abdel-Fattah and S. Pagola, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 91–100.
- 11 R. A. Esteves de Castro, J. Canotilho, R. M. Barbosa, M. R. Silva, A. M. Beja, J. A. Paixao and J. S. Redinha, Cryst. Growth Des., 2007, 7(3), 496–500.
- 12 T. M. R. Maria, R. A. E. Castro, S. S. Bebiano, M. R. Silva, A. M. Beja, J. Canotilho and M. E. S. Eusebio, Cryst. Growth Des., 2010, 10, 1194–1200.
- 13 P. S. Pereira Silva, R. A. E. Castro, E. Melro, M. R. Silva, T. M. R. Maria, J. Canotilho and M. E. S. Eusebio, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim., 2015, 120(1), 667–677.
- 14 G. Q. Zhang, J. W. Lu, M. Sabat and C. L. Fraser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2160–2162.
- 15 S. Kervyn, O. Fenwick, F. Di Stasio, Y. S. Shin, J. Wouters, G. Accorsi, S. Osella, D. Beljonne, F. Cacialli and D. Bonifazi, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 7771–7779.
- 16 S. P. Anthony, Chem.–Asian J., 2012, 7(3), 374–379.
- 17 R. M. Zhang, M. L. Wang, H. Sun, A. Khan, R. Usman, S. Z. Wang, X. T. Gu, J. Wang and C. X. Chun, New J. Chem., 2016, 44, 6441–6450.
- 18 F. Wurthner, T. E. Kaiser and C. R. Saha-Moller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 13, 3376–3410.
- 19 Y. H. Deng, W. Yuan, Z. Jia and G. Jiu, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2014, 118, 14536–14545.
- 20 A. M. Asiri and S. A. Khan, Mater. Lett., 2011, 65, 1749–1752.
- 21 P. S. Patil, S. R. Maidur, S. V. Rao and S. M. Dharmaprakash, Opt. Laser Technol., 2016, 81, 70–76.
- 22 Z. K. Si, Q. Zhang, M. Z. Xue, Q. R. Sheng and Y. G. Liu, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2011, 37(6), 635–646.
- 23 A. M. Asiri and S. A. Khan, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2012, 49(6), 1434–1438.
- 24 H. Singh, J. Sindhu and J. M. Khurana, J. Lumin., 2015, 158, 340–350.

- 25 R. Nithya, N. Santhanamoorthi, P. Kolandaivel and K. Senthilkumar, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 6594–6602.
- 26 Y. X. Li, H. B. Zhou, J. L. Miao, G. X. Xun, G. B. Li, Y. Nie, C. L. Chen, Z. Chen and X. T. Tao, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 8286–8291. Paper

25 R. Nichar, N. Sancharamoechi, P. Kolandairel and 28 E.G. McKea, and M. Kaisha, J. Clem, Pipy, 1958, 28, 721-722

16. Non-Figure Commons, 2017. The Cost-Folio, 2016.

2017. Download A. Die Polio, 2016. Non-Commons
	- 27 Y. B. Wang, Z. L. Wang, T. L. Liang, H. B. Fu and J. N Yao, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2008, 64, o630.
- 28 E. G. McRae and M. Kasha, J. Chem. Phys., 1958, 28, 721–722.
- 29 M. Kasha, R. Rawls and M. A. El-Bayoumi, Pure Appl. Chem., 1965, 11, 371–392.
- 30 J. N. Moorthy, P. Venkatakrishnan, D. F. Huang and T. J. Chow, Org. Lett., 2007, 9(25), 5215–5218.
- 31 M. A. Spackman and D. Jayatilaka, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 19–32.