RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 10660

Received 2nd December 2016 Accepted 30th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra27684a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The desire to develop efficient fluorescent probes for the detection of nucleic acids has gained great attention in chemistry, biology and medicine because emission spectroscopy is a highly sensitive method that requires only a relatively simple setup.¹ Especially, there is an increasing interest for the selective detection of higher order DNA forms, e.g. G-quadruplex DNA structures (G4 DNA).² Hence, a large number of so called "light-up" probes including (benz)imidazole,³ benzindole,⁴ benzothiazole,⁵ berberine,⁶ coumarin,⁷ cyanine,⁸ pyridinium,⁹ quinolinium,¹⁰ quinolizinium¹¹ and thioflavine¹² based dyes have been developed whose emission quantum yield increases drastically upon binding to DNA.

Because of the proposed biological relevance of quadruplex DNA,¹³ numerous ligands have been investigated regarding their binding affinity and selectivity towards G4 DNA.¹⁴ In order to achieve a high binding affinity, such a ligand should consist of an extended polycyclic (hetero)aromatic π system, which allows sufficient overlap with the surface of the G-quartet. Moreover, it should have at least one positive charge in the core or in a side chain.¹⁵ Nevertheless, many ligands vary in topology and substitution pattern as the most prominent examples include porphyrines, acridines, anthraquinones, azoniahetarenes, cyanine

8-Styryl-substituted coralyne derivatives as DNA binding fluorescent probes†

P. M. Pithan, D. Decker, S. I. Druzhinin, H. Ihmels,* H. Schönherr and Y. Voß

Six new 8-styryl-substituted coralyne derivatives 4a–f were synthesized from coralyne (2) by a base catalysed Knoevenagel type reaction. It was shown by photometric and fluorimetric titrations of double stranded and quadruplex DNA to 4b–d as well as by fluorimetric DNA denaturation experiments that these ligands bind to DNA with different binding modes at varying ligand-DNA ratios (LDR). Specifically, the addition of DNA caused initially a hypochromic effect in absorbance and, at a particular LDR, the development of a new red shifted absorption band with a hyperchromic effect. Furthermore, 4b– d induced a significant and selective stabilization of quadruplex DNA towards unfolding ($\Delta T_{\text{m}} = 31.6$ – 32.9 °C at LDR $= 5$), which is even more pronounced as compared to the parent compound coralyne (2). Most notably, the addition of DNA to the dimethylamino-substituted derivative 4b leads to a new, strongly red-shifted emission band at 695 nm. Hence, this derivative is a fluorescent probe that changes its fluorescence colour from green to red in the presence of DNA and even allows the fluorimetric analysis of living cells by staining of the nucleoli. PAPER

Considered

Considered Considered Considered Considered Considered Considered Considered Considered Consid

dyes and heteroaromatic natural products.¹⁶ G4 DNA was also targeted with heterocyclic alkaloids and their derivatives, such as palmatine, sanguarine, berberine (1) and coralyne (2) .¹⁷ In this context, absorption and fluorescence studies with intramolecular anti-parallel G-quadruplex forming human telomeric TTAGGG repeat sequences revealed non-cooperative 1 : 1 binding for all the molecules, with 2 having a stronger binding affinity than the related alkaloids.¹⁸ Coralyne (2) also stabilizes G4 DNA more efficiently against thermal denaturation and it has a higher antitelomerase activity (IC₅₀ = 70 μ M) than berberine (1).¹⁹ Furthermore, it binds and stabilizes the anti-parallel G4 DNA structure formed by the insulin-linked polymorphic region (ILPR) $(K_b^{\ a2} =$ 2.5×10^{6}).²⁰ It also exhibits anti-leukemic activity²¹ as well as human topoisomerase I poisoning activity²² and it efficiently causes photoinduced DNA damage.²³ It was found, too, that 2 forms strong inclusion complexes with cyclodextrins, which could be relevant for the development of drug delivery systems.²⁴ Lastly, its high selectivity for triplex DNA in comparison to duplex DNA was established.²⁵

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

Along with its many positive attributes one drawback of coralyne (2) is that its interaction with DNA leads to fluorescence quenching. As quenching may also be induced by other external factors it is rather unspecific, so that the parent

Department of Chemistry and Biology, University of Siegen, Center of Micro- and Nanochemistry and Engineering, Adolf-Reichwein-Str. 2, 57068 Siegen, Germany. E-mail: ihmels@chemie.uni-siegen.de

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, additional spectroscopic data, ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of 2 and 4a-f. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra27684a

Paper RSC Advances

compound 2 can hardly be used for selective fluorimetric DNA detection. In this study we wanted to extend the π system of the heterocyclic alkaloid coralyne (2) with a styryl substituent in order to functionalize it as a potential fluorescent probe and to achieve an increased affinity towards G4 DNA. We considered it an advantage that the DNA binding properties of 2 have already been investigated in detail (see above)²⁶ and that styryl dyes have been established as useful probes in bioanalytical chemistry. In addition, synthesis of styryl dyes is straightforward and at the same time they generally exhibit a higher photostability than classical cyanine dyes.²⁷ Moreover, styryl substituents were already introduced into several fluorescent ligands because they offer a flexible conjugated linkage.^{3c,9} Hence, we modified the 8position of coralyne (2) to obtain the 8-styryl-substituted deriv-

atives 4a–f with different donor and acceptor substituents.

Results

Synthesis

Coralyne sulfoacetate $(2SA)^{28}$ was converted to the tetrafluoroborate salt $2BF_4$ by ion metathesis (Scheme 1). The latter was subsequently made to react with the aldehydes 3a–f or their respective bis(1-piperidino)phenyl derivatives in a base catalysed Knoevenagel type reaction²⁹ to give the 8-styryl-substituted coralyne derivatives 4a-f in 36-90% yield (cf. ESI†). The structures of the new compounds 4a-f³⁰ were confirmed by NMR spectroscopic analysis (¹H, ¹³C, COSY, HSQC, HMBC), massspectrometric data and elemental analysis. The anthrylsubstituted derivative 4g could not be obtained because $2BF_4$ decomposed under the reaction conditions. Presumably the steric hindrance of the peri-hydrogen atoms of the anthracene moiety suppresses the reaction. The E -configuration of the double bond in 4a–f was established by the characteristic coupling constant of the vicinal alkene protons $(^{3}J = 16-17 \text{ Hz})$. Paper

Control 2 Can hardly be used for selective fatorimetric PAN Townload can hardly and the state of the control of the state of the control of the state of the common of the state of the state of the control of the st

Photophysical properties

Firstly, we investigated the photophysical properties of the coralyne derivatives 4a–f. The compounds 4a and 4c–f exhibit nearly the same spectroscopic properties with long wavelength absorption maxima at $\lambda_{\text{abs}} = 434 - 438$ nm and broad emission bands at $\lambda_{\rm fl} = 475$ –484 nm in MeCN (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Scheme 1 Synthesis of the 8-styryl-substituted coralyne derivatives 4a–f.

Table 1 Absorption and emission properties of 2 and 4a–f in MeCN

Derivative	$\lambda_{\rm abs}^{\alpha}$ /nm	$\lg \varepsilon^b$	$\lambda_{\rm fl}^{\ c}$ /nm	$\Phi_\text{fl}{}^d / 10^{-2}$	
$\mathbf{2}$	426	4.22	473	51	
4a	434	4.22	475	4.1	
4 _b	468	4.26	471	3.8	
4c	436	4.25	484	0.8	
4d	436	4.25	479	1.8	
4e	438	4.27	479	1.9	
4f	438	4.27	478	1.7	

^a Long-wavelength absorption maximum; $c = 20 \mu M$. ^b Molar extinction coefficient. c Fluorescence maximum (Abs. = 0.10 at excitation wavelength $\lambda_{\rm ex} = 400$ nm). ^d Fluorescence quantum yield relative to coumarin 307 ($\Phi_{\text{fl}} = 0.58$);³¹ estimated error for fluorescence quantum yields: $\pm 10\%$.

Fig. 1 Absorption ($c = 20 \mu$ M in MeCN, solid lines) and normalized emission spectra (Abs. = 0.10 at λ_{ex} = 400 nm, dashed lines) of derivatives 2 (green), 4b (black), 4c (red) and 4d (blue).

The absorption maximum of the 4-dimethylaminostyrylsubstituted derivative 4b, however, is red-shifted to $\lambda_{\text{abs}} =$ 468 nm, whereas its emission maximum remains in the same wavelength region than that of the derivatives 4a and 4c–f ($\lambda_{\rm fl}$ = 471 nm). Moreover, 4b exhibits dual fluorescence in glycerol with an additional red-shifted emission band at $\lambda_{\rm fl} = 676$ nm, that is not present in MeCN or in aqueous buffer solution (Fig. 2 and S2†). Remarkably, in glycerol both band intensities and their ratio I_{676}/I_{479} decrease with increasing temperature from

Fig. 2 Emission spectra of 4b ($c = 2.5 \mu$ M, with 0.25% v/v DMSO) in glycerol (black lines) and in phosphate buffer $(c_{Na^+} = 16 \text{ mM}, \text{pH } 7.0)$ at 20 °C (red line); $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 400$ nm. The arrows indicate the changes in emission intensity with increasing temperature. Inset: plot of the relative fluorescence intensity I_{676}/I_{479} versus temperature.

Fig. 3 Spectrophotometric titration of ct DNA (A1, B1, C1) and 22AG (A2, B2, C2) to the coralyne derivatives 4b (A), 4c (B), 4d (C) in phosphate buffer (C_{Na^*} = 16 mM, pH 7.0; with 10% v/v DMSO) [c_L = 20 μ M, $c_{ct-DNA,bp}$ = 1.34 mM (A1 and B1), $c_{ct-DNA,bp}$ = 1.45 mM (C1), c_{22AG} = 360 μ M (A2, B2, C2)]. Insets: plot of absorption versus DNA concentration. The arrows indicate the changes of absorption upon addition of DNA.

20 °C to 80 °C (inset in Fig. 2). In general, all derivatives **4a–f** are only weakly fluorescent ($\Phi_{\rm fl}$ < 0.04 in MeCN) as compared to the parent compound coralyne (2) ($\Phi_{\rm fl} = 0.51$).

DNA binding properties

Spectrophotometric and spectrofluorimetric titrations. The changes of the absorbance upon addition of double-stranded ct DNA and the G4 DNA d[A(GGGTAA)₃GGG] (22AG) to the derivatives 4b–d were followed by spectrophotometric titrations. At the beginning of each titration the absorbance decreased until a particular ligand-to-DNA ratio (LDR) was reached (Fig. 3). This hypsochromic effect occurred for 4b–d at the same LDR (>22) upon addition of 22AG, but at different LDRs upon addition of ct DNA (4b: LDR > 2.7, 4c: LDR > 4.8, 4d: LDR > 1.4). Subsequently, the absorption increased at lower LDR, and a red shi of the absorption maximum was observed. In the case of ligands 4c and 4d, the red shift is slightly more pronounced upon addition of 22AG ($\Delta \lambda = 14$ nm) as compared to addition of ct

DNA ($\Delta \lambda = 11$ nm). The largest red shift was observed when ct DNA was added to 4b ($\Delta \lambda$ = 28 nm), whereas no red shift occurred in the presence of 22AG (Table 2). In general, isosbestic points could not be detected during the titrations.

Upon excitation at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 400$ nm the derivatives 4b-d exhibit broad emission bands at 480 nm (4b), 492 nm (4c) and 486 nm (4d) in aqueous buffer solution (Fig. 4 and S1†). The addition of ct DNA and 22AG to 4b led to a continuous decrease of the fluorescence intensity with increasing DNA concentration at the emission maximum (λ_{fl} = 480 nm), and also to the development of a new emission band at 695 nm. Since the ligand is not fluorescent between 600 and 850 nm in the absence of DNA, the spectra were also recorded at an excitation wavelength of λ_{ex} = 500 nm in order to achieve a better light-up effect. The increase in fluorescence intensity of the red-shifted emission band is more pronounced upon addition of ct DNA $(I/I_0 = 125)$ as compared to addition of 22AG $(I/I_0 = 53)$. The binding isotherms were analysed according to an established protocol³² assuming a non-competitive binding mode (insets in Fig. 4A2

^a Long-wavelength absorption maximum; $c = 20 \mu M$ in phosphate buffer ($c_{Na^+} = 16 \text{ mM}$, pH 7.0; with 10% v/v DMSO). ^b Molar extinction coefficient.
^c Shift of the long-wavelength absorption maximum between free and phosphate buffer $(c_{\text{Na}^*} = 16 \text{ mM}, \text{pH } 7.0; \text{ with } 10\% \text{ v/v DMSO}; \lambda_{\text{ex}} = 400 \text{ nm}.$ ^e Shift of the long-wavelength emission maximum between free and bound ligand. ^{*f*} Binding constant *K* determined from fluorimetr

Fig. 4 Spectrofluorimetric titration of ct DNA (A1 and A2) and 22AG (B1 and B2) to the coralyne derivative 4b in phosphate buffer $(c_{Na^+} =$ 16 mM, pH 7.0; with 10% v/v DMSO) $[c_L = 20 \mu M, c_{ct-DNA, bp} = 1.34 \text{ mM},$ $c_{22AG} = 360 \mu M$, $\lambda_{ex} = 400 \text{ nm}$ (A1 and B1) and 500 nm (A2 and B2)]. Insets: plot of the relative fluorescence intensity I/I_0 (corrected with regard to the change of the absorption at the excitation wavelength) versus DNA concentration at $\lambda_{\rm fl} = 480$ nm (O) and 695 nm (\blacksquare). The emission spectra of the pure ligand solution are depicted in red. The arrows indicate the changes in emission intensity upon addition of DNA. The inset pictures in (A1) show the fluorescence colours of 4b in the absence and in the presence of ct DNA; $\lambda_{ex} = 366$ nm. The contrast and brightness were enhanced by 30% without changing the true colours.

and B2, red lines) to obtain the binding constants for 4b $(K_{\rm ct\text{-}DNA}=2.3\times10^5\,{\rm M}^{-1}, K_{\rm 22AG}=3.3\times10^4\,{\rm M}^{-1}).$ Unfortunately, the results of the fluorimetric titrations of DNA to 4c and 4d did not allow the determination of the respective binding

constants because in each case the fluorescence intensity was only marginally affected by addition of DNA $(0.6 \leq I/I_0 \leq 2.0)$ and only resulted in fluctuating emission intensities (Fig. S1†). However, with increasing DNA concentration the emission bands were red-shifted by 9-15 nm.

Thermal denaturation experiments

The binding properties of ligands 4b–d and of coralyne (2), for direct comparison, with G4 DNA were further investigated by thermal denaturation experiments. For that purpose, the changes of the melting temperature of the quadruplex-forming oligonucleotide F21T [fluo-GGG(TTAGGG)₃-tamra], that is endlabeled with two fluorophores, were determined in the presence of the ligands at different LDR according to an established protocol.³³ The melting temperature of F21T, that denotes 50% disassembly of the quadruplex to the unfolded single-strand, was $T_{\rm m} = 44.3 \pm 0.5$ °C and was not affected by the presence of 1% DMSO. The latter was added in the denaturation experiments in order to ensure the solubility of 4b–d in aqueous buffer solution. The oligonucleotide F21T is highly stabilized towards unfolding by all of the tested ligands (Table 3). The highest stabilization of F21T is achieved in the presence of 4d $(\Delta T_{\rm m} = 32.9 \text{ °C}$ at LDR = 5), while the parent compound, 2, has a smaller effect on the melting temperature at the same LDR $(\Delta T_{\rm m} = 27.0 \text{ °C})$. In the presence of a 15-fold excess of the selfcomplementary duplex forming oligonucleotide ds26 the induced shifts of the melting temperature are only marginally affected ($\Delta \Delta T_{\text{m}} = 0.5$ –2.4 °C). Remarkably, all the melting curves show two transitions in the presence of 2 and 4b–d at $LDR = 1.3$ (Fig. S3†), which resulted in a bimodal shape of their first derivative (Fig. S4†). Therefore, the melting temperatures at this LDR could not be determined unambiguously. Paper $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{$

Fluorimetric analysis of cells

Because of the ability of 4b to indicate the presence of DNA by the change of emission colour (Fig. 4), it was also investigated whether 4**b** is capable to enter living cells and to visualize

Table 3 Shift of melting temperature, ΔT_{m} , of quadruplex DNA F21T in the presence of the ligands 2 and 4b–d at different LDR without and with the duplex DNA ds26 as determined by fluorimetric thermal denaturation studies

Ligand	$\Delta T_{\rm m}$ ^o C at different LDR ^a				
	Without ds26		With $ds26^b$		
	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0	
2BF ₄	21.7	27.0	20.0	26.5	
4b	28.2	31.6	21.3	30.7	
4c	25.9	31.8	23.3	29.4	
4d	28.1	32.9	25.5	31.4	

 a $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ of F21T; $c_{\rm F21T} = 0.2$ μ M (in oligonucleotide); KCl–LiCl–Na–
cacodylate buffer (10 mM K⁺, 10 mM Na⁺, 90 mM Li⁺, pH 7.2); $\lambda_{\rm ex} =$ 470 nm; $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 515$ nm; estimated error: ±0.5 °C of the given data.
 $\frac{b}{a_{\text{ds26}}} = 3.0 \mu M$.

Fig. 5 Epifluorescence microscopy images of NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts after incubation with $4b$ (2.5 μ M) for 1 h. Pictures were taken with an Axiovert 135 microscope (AxioCam MRm). The different emission colours were separated by blocking filters. Panel (A): $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320 -$ 390 nm, $\lambda_{em} = 415-470$ nm; panel (B): $\lambda_{ex} = 450-490$ nm, $\lambda_{em} >$ 515 nm; panel (C): $\lambda_{ex} = 535-560$ nm, $\lambda_{em} > 585$ nm; panel (D): overlay of all pictures.

Fig. 6 Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts after incubation with 4b (2.5 μ M) for 1 h ($\lambda_{ex} = 532$ nm). Pictures were taken with a Microtime 200 confocal fluorescence microscope system (PicoQuant). Panel (A): without filter; panel (B): different emission colours separated by blocking filters (green channel: λ_{em} > 515 nm, red channel: λ_{em} = 652–732 nm).

distinct cell components. Therefore, NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts were treated with 4b (2.5 μ M) and analysed by epifluorescence microscopy after 1 h of incubation (Fig. 5). By means of different cut-off lters a multi-colour picture was obtained that did not change with longer incubation times. Remarkably, the nucleoli showed only a red emission, whereas additional blue and green emission areas were detected in the cytoplasm. In order to visualize the distinct cell components in more detail, additional confocal fluorescence microscopy images of single cells were obtained (Fig. 6). It can be clearly recognized that the red emission is much more pronounced in the nucleoli (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

The derivatives 4b–d were investigated with regard to their DNA binding properties. Firstly, the course of all spectrophotometric

titrations, *i.e.* the absence of an isosbestic point, clearly reveals that 4b–d bind to DNA with different binding modes at varying LDR. Because of the complex binding situation, the respective binding isotherms could not be fitted to a theoretical model. The derivatives 4c and 4d show similar DNA binding properties with almost identical bathochromic shifts of the absorption and emission bands (Table 2). This behaviour is in agreement with the observation that a chloro substituent affects the DNA binding properties of a ligand in a similar manner as a methyl substituent.³⁴ The results of the spectrometric titrations of DNA to 4b indicate, on the one side, a preferred binding to duplex DNA over G4 DNA because a significant bathochromic shift of the absorbance maximum was only detected in the presence of ct DNA (Fig. 3A1 and A2). Moreover, the respective binding constant obtained from fluorimetric titrations is approximately one order of magnitude higher for ct DNA (Table 2).

On the other side, the induced shifts of melting temperature of the G4 DNA forming oligonucleotide F21T in presence of 2 and 4b-d revealed a significant and highly selective stabilization of G4 DNA. While the melting temperature of F21T in the presence of the parent compound 2 is in good agreement with literature data $(\Delta T_{\rm m} = 27.0 \text{ °C}$ at LDR = 5),¹⁹ the extension of the conjugated aromatic π system of 2 indeed further enhances the stabilizing effect on G4 DNA by 4.6-5.9 $^{\circ}$ C, thus indicating that the styryl substituent causes an increased affinity of the ligand. Remarkably, the presence of an excess of duplex DNA has only a small effect on the induced shifts of melting temperature (Fig. 7, Table $S2\dagger$), which indicates that all of the tested ligands selectively stabilize G4 DNA over duplex DNA. It should be noted that the latter result seems to be inconsistent with the determined binding constants (Table 2) that revealed a higher affinity of the ligand 4b to duplex DNA than to quadruplex DNA. The reason for this inconsistency most likely lies in the different ionic strength and composition of the buffer solutions that were employed in the different experiments. Due to the limited solubility of the ligands in aqueous solution at high ionic strength, the titrations used for the determination of the K_b values were performed in 16 mM sodium phosphate buffer, in which the antiparallel quadruplex form of 22AG is the predominant species.³⁵ In contrast, the DNA denaturation experiments were performed in K⁺-containing cacodylate buffer at significantly higher ionic strength. In the latter medium, the telomeric oligonucleotide sequence folds into different quadruplex structures, namely in two intramolecular $(3 + 1)$ G-**Exchange the state of a state of a**

Fig. 7 Induced shift of the melting temperature, ΔT_{m} , of G4 DNA F21T (0.2 μ M) upon addition of ligands 2 (A, black lines), 4b (A, red), 4c (B, blue) and 4d (B, orange) in the absence (\blacksquare) and in the presence (\bigcirc) of duplex DNA ds26 (3 µM) in aq. KCl-LiCl-Na-cacodylate buffer (10 mM K^{+} , 10 mM Na⁺, 90 mM Li⁺, pH 7.2) at different LDR (0, 2.5, 5).

quadruplexes, and a basket-type G-quadruplex with only two neighbouring G-tetrads.³⁶ Moreover, we expect that the binding constants of the ligands with ct DNA are most likely much smaller in potassium buffer at higher ionic strength.³⁷

The occurrence of two distinct transitions in all of the melting curves at LDR = 1.3 (Fig. S3†) cannot be explained unequivocally. This effect was briefly discussed in the literature already, but in that case an accurate explanation was not given.³³ It appears, however, that the first transition corresponds to the melting of the unstabilized F21T in absence of a ligand ($T_{\rm m} \approx 46 \text{ °C}$) and the second one to the highly stabilized ligand-DNA complex $(T_{\rm m} \approx 72 \text{ °C})$ (Fig. S4†).

The change in emission colour and the corresponding lightup effect of 4b at $\lambda_{\rm fl}$ = 695 nm in the presence of DNA can already be recognized by the naked eye under UV light (Fig. 4A). The emission shift is likely the result of suppressed deactivation pathways in the excited state, namely torsional relaxation, because of the reduced conformational flexibility within the binding site.³⁸ In particular, this effect was observed for quinolizinium derivatives,³⁹ namely the 9-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)benzo[b]quinolizinium in the presence of ct DNA or 22AG, ¹¹ and berberine and sanguarine in the presence of abasic site-containing DNA.⁴⁰ This explanation is consistent with the observation that the red-shifted emission band of 4b is also present in a highly viscous solvent such as glycerol, in which the conformational flexibility is reduced leading to two distinct emitting species (Fig. 2).

As the green emission of 4b at $\lambda_{\rm fl} = 471$ nm in MeCN or 480 nm in aq. buffer solution is essentially the same as the one observed for coralyne (2), it obviously originates from the azoniatetraphene fluorophore that is not affected by the aminostyryl substituent. At the same time, the absorption spectrum of 4**b** is significantly red shifted as compared to the parent compound 2, which clearly indicates a donor–acceptor interplay between the aminophenyl group and the quinolizinium unit in the ground state, whose direct excitation should result in a locally excited (LE) state with a pronounced charge shift (CS) character and a corresponding red-shifted emission (Scheme 2). The latter, however, is only observed in glycerol ($\lambda_F = 676$ nm) or when the compound is bound to DNA ($\lambda_F = 695$ nm). These observations point to a fast relaxation of the initially formed CS state in solution to give a twisted conformation, presumably to release steric strain, that decouples the aminostyryl unit from

the fluorophore resulting in a blue-shifted coralyne-type emission. In media with restricted free volume, i.e. glycerol or DNA, the torsional relaxation is slower than the emission from the locally excited CS state, so that under these conditions the red shifted emission is observed. In turn, the relative emission intensity I_{676}/I_{479} between the two emission bands decreases with increasing temperature and therefore decreasing viscosity, thus, indicating that the CS band is sensitive to the medium and not observable in a non-viscous solution. In this regard, it was tempting to test, whether 4b also allows the fluorimetric differentiation of distinct cell components. Indeed, the fluorimetric analysis of living cells that were stained with 4b not only revealed its ability to permeate into the cells, but also to stain distinct cell components, i.e. the nucleoli, which were mainly visible in the red emission channel (Fig. 5 and 6). Since the emission colour of 4b depends on its environment as well as on the used excitation wavelength (see above), the red emission in the nuclei could therefore be indicative of DNA-bound ligands. Paper

Open Krameton Schware (semach on the strategy since in the strategy since in the strategy since the

Conclusions

The base-catalysed Knoevenagel type reaction of methylsubstituted quinolizinum derivatives was successfully employed to transform coralyne (2) into six new 8-styryl-substituted coralyne derivatives. As revealed by spectrometric titrations and thermal denaturation experiments, the derivatives 4b–d exhibit significant binding interactions to DNA. Especially, they cause an even more pronounced stabilization of G4 DNA in comparison to coralyne (2). Most notably, the 8-(4'-dimethylamino)styrylsubstituted derivative 4b is a fluorescent probe that not only features a fluorescence light-up effect at 695 nm, but also changes its fluorescence colour from green to red in the presence of DNA. Unfortunately, a differentiation between duplex and quadruplex DNA was not achieved; however, the derivative 4b enables the fluorimetric analysis of living cells.

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to Prof. Dr Adalbert Maercker on the occasion of his 85th birthday. Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (INST 221/87-1 FUGG), the European Research Council (ERC grant to HS, ERC grant agreement No. 279202), and the University of Siegen is gratefully acknowledged. NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts were kindly provided by Dr Jürgen Schnekenburger (Biomedical Technology Center of the Medical Faculty Münster, Germany). PMP thanks the Fond der Chemischen Industrie for a PhD fellowship.

Notes and references

1 (a) D.-L. Ma, H.-Z. He, K.-H. Leung, H.-J. Zhong, D. S.-H. Chan and C.-H. Leung, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 3427–3440; (b) H. Kobayashi, M. Ogawa, R. Alford, P. L. Choyke and Y. Urano, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2620– 2640; (c) D. M. Kolpashchikov, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 4709– Scheme 2 Different emitting conformers of 4b in glycerol. 4723; (d) R. W. Sinkeldam, N. J. Greco and Y. Tor, Chem.

Rev., 2010, 110, 2579–2619; (e) A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels and M. Tian, ARKIVOC, 2015, vi, 494–523.

- 2 (a) B. R. Vummidi, J. Alzeer and N. W. Luedtke, ChemBioChem, 2013, 14, 540–558; (b) E. Largy, A. Granzhan, F. Hamon, D. Verga and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, Top. Curr. Chem., 2013, 330, 111–177.
- 3 (a) B. Jin, X. Zhang, W. Zheng, X. Liu, C. Qi, F. Wang and D. Shangguan, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 943–952; (b) Y. Wei, X. Zhang, L. Wang, Y. Liu, T. Bing, X. Liu and D. Shangguan, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 75911–75917; (c) S. Wu, L. Wang, N. Zhang, Y. Liu, W. Zheng, A. Chang, F. Wang, S. Li and D. Shangguan, Chem.–Eur. J., 2016, 22, 6037– 6047; (d) S.-B. Chen, W.-B. Wu, M.-H. Hu, T.-M. Ou, L.-Q. Gu, J.-H. Tan and Z.-S. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 12173–12176; (e) M.-H. Hu, S.-B. Chen, R.-J. Guo, T.-M. Ou, Z.-S. Huang and J.-H. Tan, Analyst, 2015, 140, 4616–4625. BSC Advances WeekWatch (Access Articles. Published on 2017. Downloaded the same of the same o
	- 4 D. Lin, X. Fei, Y. Gu, C. Wang, Y. Tang, R. Li and J. Zhou, Analyst, 2015, 140, 5772–5780.
	- 5 Y.-J. Lu, S.-C. Yan, F.-Y. Chan, L. Zou, W.-H. Chung, W.-L. Wong, B. Qiu, N. Sun, P.-H. Chan, Z.-S. Huang, L.-Q. Gu and K.-Y. Wong, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4971– 4973.
	- 6 (a) L. Xu, S. Hong, N. Sun, K. Wang, L. Zhou, L. Ji and R. Pei, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 179–182; (b) C.-Q. Zhou, J.-W. Yang, C. Dong, Y.-M. Wang, B. Sun, J.-X. Chen, Y.-S. Xu and W.-H. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 191–197.
	- 7 (a) J.-w. Yan, Y.-g. Tian, J.-H. Tan and Z.-S. Huang, Analyst, 2015, 140, 7146–7149; (b) M. Zuffo, F. Doria, V. Spalluto, S. Ladame and M. Freccero, Chem.–Eur. J., 2015, 21, 17596– 17600.
	- 8 (a) X. Chen, J. Wang, G. Jiang, G. Zu, M. Liu, L. Zhou and R. Pei, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 70117–70123; (b) H. Ihmels and L. Thomas, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 480–487; (c) B. Karg, A. Funke, A. Ficht, A. Sievers-Engler, M. Lämmerhofer and K. Weisz, Chem.-Eur. J., 2015, 21, 13802–13811.
	- 9 X. Xie, B. Choi, E. Largy, R. Guillot, A. Granzhan and M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 1214–1226.
	- 10 P. Yang, A. De Cian, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, J.-L. Mergny and D. Monchaud, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 2188–2191.
	- 11 R. Bortolozzi, H. Ihmels, L. Thomas, M. Tian and G. Viola, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 8736–8741.
	- 12 (a) V. Gabelica, R. Maeda, T. Fujimoto, H. Yaku, T. Murashima, N. Sugimoto and D. Miyoshi, Biochemistry, 2013, 52, 5620–5628; (b) A. La Renaud de Faverie, A. Guedin, A. Bedrat, L. A. Yatsunyk and J.-L. Mergny, Nucleic Acids Res., 2014, 42, e65.
	- 13 S. Neidle, J. Med. Chem., 2016, 59, 5987–6011.
	- 14 (a) Y. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 2719–2740; (b) A. Ali and S. Bhattacharya, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2014, 22, 4506–4521.
	- 15 P. Murat, Y. Singh and E. Defrancq, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5293–5307.
	- 16 (a) J. L.-Y. Chen, J. Sperry, N. Y. Ip and M. A. Brimble, MedChemComm, 2011, 2, 229; (b) A. Granzhan and H. Ihmels, Synlett, 2016, 27, 1775–1793; (c) S. A. Ohnmacht

and S. Neidle, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2014, 24, 2602– 2612; (d) B. Maji and S. Bhattacharya, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6422–6438.

- 17 Y.-X. Xiong, Z.-S. Huang and J.-H. Tan, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2015, 97, 538–551.
- 18 K. Bhadra and G. S. Kumar, Med. Res. Rev., 2011, 31, 821– 862.
- 19 M. Franceschin, L. Rossetti, A. D'Ambrosio, S. Schirripa, A. Bianco, G. Ortaggi, M. Savino, C. Schultes and S. Neidle, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2006, 16, 1707–1711.
- 20 D. Dzubiel, H. Ihmels, M. M. A. Mahmoud and L. Thomas, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2014, 10, 2963–2974.
- 21 (a) K. Y. Zee-Cheng and C. C. Cheng, J. Pharm. Sci., 1973, 62, 1572–1573; (b) M. Sanders, A. Liu, T.-K. Li, H.-Y. Wu, S. Desai, Y. Mao, E. Rubin, E. LaVoie, D. Makhey and L. Liu, Biochem. Pharmacol., 1998, 56, 1157–1166.
- 22 (a) D. Makhey, B. Gatto, C. Yu, A. Liu, L. F. Liu and E. J. LaVoie, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 1996, 4, 781–791; (b) B. S. Patro, B. Maity and S. Chattopadhyay, Antioxid. Redox Signaling, 2010, 12, 945–960.
- 23 H. Ihmels and A. Salbach, Photochem. Photobiol., 2006, 82, 1572–1576.
- 24 S. Hazra, M. Hossain and G. S. Kumar, J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem., 2014, 78, 311–323.
- 25 (a) L. J. P. Latimer, N. Payton, G. Forsyth and J. S. Lee, Biochem. Cell Biol., 1995, 73, 11–18; (b) J. S. Lee, L. J. P. Latimer and K. J. Hampel, Biochemistry, 1993, 32, 5591–5597; (c) J. Ren and J. B. Chaires, Biochemistry, 1999, 38, 16067–16075; (d) F. Xing, G. Song, J. Ren, J. B. Chaires and X. Qu, FEBS Lett., 2005, 579, 5035–5039.
- 26 K. Bhadra and G. S. Kumar, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 2011, 1810, 485–496.
- 27 T. Deligeorgiev, A. Vasilev, S. Kaloyanova and J. J. Vaquero, Color. Technol., 2010, 126, 55–80.
- 28 K. Y. Zee-Cheng and C. C. Cheng, J. Pharm. Sci., 1972, 61, 969–971.
- 29 (a) K. Sato, K. Nakajima, S. Arai and T. Yamagishi, Liebigs Ann., 1996, 439–446; (b) S. Arai, K. Sato, K. Kano, T. Yafune, M. Hida and T. Yamagishi, Heterocycles, 1994, 37, 955–965.
- 30 The derivatives 4a (CAS 1037305-51-3) and 4d (CAS 1037305- 64-8) are registered compounds in the SciFinder® with no given references.
- 31 (a) G. Jones, W. R. Jackson, C. Y. Choi and W. R. Bergmark, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 294-300; (b) G. A. Crosby and J. N. Demas, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 991–1024; (c) B. Valeur and M. N. Berberan-Santos, Molecular fluorescence. Principles and applications, WileyVCH, Weinheim, 2nd edn, 2012.
- 32 F. H. Stootman, D. M. Fisher, A. Rodger and J. R. Aldrich-Wright, Analyst, 2006, 131, 1145–1151.
- 33 A. de Cian, L. Guittat, M. Kaiser, B. Saccà, S. Amrane, A. Bourdoncle, P. Alberti, M.-P. Teulade-Fichou, L. Lacroix and J.-L. Mergny, Methods, 2007, 42, 183–195.
- 34 K. Benner, H. Ihmels, S. Kölsch and P. M. Pithan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 1725–1734.

- 35 Y. He, R. D. Neumann and I. G. Panyutin, Nucleic Acids Res., 2004, 32, 5359–5367.
- 36 K. W. Lim, S. Amrane, S. Bouaziz, W. Xu, Y. Mu, D. J. Patel, K. N. Luu and A. T. Phan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4301-4309.
- 37 Nucleic Acids in Chemistry and Biology, ed. G. M. Blackburn, M. J. Gait, D. Loakes and D. M. Williams, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 341–382.
- 38 R. N. Dsouza, U. Pischel and W. M. Nau, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 7941–7980.
- 39 K. Faulhaber, A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels, D. Otto, L. Thomas and S. Wells, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2011, 10, 1535–1545.
- 40 (a) F. Wu, Y. Shao, K. Ma, Q. Cui, G. Liu and S. Xu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 3300–3307; (b) F. Wu, Y. Sun, Y. Shao, S. Xu, G. Liu, J. Peng, L. Liu and N. Lebedev, PLoS One, 2012, 7, e48251. Puper

Open Access Articles. Published on 08 February 2017.

SEA FROM ACCESS ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE IS A FEBRUARY 2017. Downloaded the ALTICLE ARTICLE IS A FACE ARTICLE IS A FAILURE AND COMMON ACCESS ARTICLE IS A FAILURE