RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 9724

Received 26th December 2016 Accepted 19th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra28719k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Unravelling the energy transfer mechanism in bismuth co-activation of LaInO₃:Sm³⁺/Ho³⁺ nanophosphor for color-tunable luminescence[†]

Ch. Satya Kamal,^{ac} T. K. Visweswara Rao,^{ac} P. V. S. S. S. N. Reddy,^a K. Sujatha,^a Babajide Patrick Ajayi,^b Jacek B. Jasinski^b and K. Ramachandra Rao^{*ab}

A series of single-phased and color tunable LalnO₃:Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ nano phosphors were synthesized *via* a cost effective and low temperature chemical route. The crystal structure, morphology, photoluminescence (PL) and energy transfer mechanism between Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ were investigated in detail. The considerable spectral overlap between the broad emission band of Bi³⁺ around 400–500 nm and the excitation band of Ho³⁺ and Sm³⁺ supports the efficient energy transfer from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺, which enhances the PL intensity remarkably. The energy transfer efficiency between Bi³⁺ and Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ in LalnO₃ was also calculated and the efficiency increased linearly with increasing concentration of Ho³⁺ and Sm³⁺. The emission hue can be tuned from blue to green and pink by varying the content of Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺. This investigation will extend an understanding of interactions between Bi³⁺ and rare earth ions in semiconducting host LalnO₃ and show the potential applications of Bi³⁺, Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ co-doped nanophosphors in displays and light emitting diodes.

Owing to the gradual increase in energy consumption, light emitting diodes, as fourth generation lighting source, have been used widely in general illumination purposes and for display applications on account of their excellent characteristics. The manufacture of LEDs using inorganic phosphors or singlephase emitting phosphors excited by ultraviolet (UV) chips has received great attention. The single-phase phosphorconverted UV LEDs and phosphors with excellent red and green emission properties have become a vital part in the field of back lighting display applications. In the view of the abovementioned reasons, research on rare earth (RE) activated luminescence materials plays a major technological role in lighting applications. Indeed, the absorption cross section of RE ions is small because of the parity forbidden character of 4f-4f transitions, and consequently RE ions cannot be excited directly by illuminating light.¹⁻⁸ Therefore, to improve the efficiencies and luminescence properties of RE ions, several

methods are chosen, such as host absorption, f-d absorption, charge transfer state absorption, and energy transfer (ET).

Rare earth (RE) and transition ion-doped aluminates and gallates have been studied extensively for their display applications.⁹⁻¹⁴ SrAl₂O₄:Dy³⁺,Eu²⁺ has been regarded to deliver a good green long persisting phosphorescence.¹⁵ Furthermore, indates, being used as the host lattice for luminescence and being doped with rare-earth ions were also reported.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ A few rare-earth doped LaInO₃ phosphors have been reported as an alternative luminescence material because of their high thermal and chemical stability. Silicon coated core–shell structured LaInO₃:Sm³⁺,Tb³⁺ phosphors have been used for their diverse luminescent properties.²⁰⁻²³ Despite their use as a photo-luminescent host, the energy transfer mechanism between sensitizer and activator is still unclear.

The semiconducting compound, LaInO₃, with a bandgap of 3.2 eV, belongs to the orthorhombically distorted perovskitelike structure with the space group *Pnma*²⁴ and is found to be a potential candidate for several applications in various fields, such as oxygen sensing,²⁵ solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) and the presence of In³⁺ in the host lattice enhances its electrical conductivity, which can be used for display applications.²⁶ Semiconducting oxide-based phosphors like LaInO₃ are promising candidates with sufficient conductivity to overcome the charge build-up on the phosphor surfaces, in addition to their thermal and chemical stability.^{27,28} L. I. van Steensel *et al.* showed that LaInO₃:Bi³⁺ is an efficient, blue-emitting photo luminescent material²⁹ and researchers have reported that on co-doping with Bi³⁺, it acts as an excellent sensitizer to enhance

^aCrystal Growth and Nano-Science Research Center, Department of Physics, Government College (A), Rajamahendravaram, Andhra Pradesh, India-533105. E-mail: drkrcr@gmail.com

^bConn Center for Renewable Energy Research, University of Louisville, KY, USA

^cDepartment of Physics, Adikavi Nannaya University, Rajamahendravaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra28719k

Paper

the luminescence of RE ions in a wide variety of hosts.^{30–38} The emission spectrum of Bi³⁺ contains a broad band, whose peak maximum varies from blue to green for different host lattices³⁹ because the outer 6s² electronic configurations of Bi³⁺ depend strongly on their environmental conditions, such as covalence, coordination number and site symmetry.^{40–42} Among the RE ions, Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺ are important activators for producing significant orange-red and green emissions for wide applications in optical displays, remote sensing and medical purposes.^{43,44} However, it is quite a challenge to select a proper host because doping and co-doping influence the optical properties of luminescence materials.

In this study, LaInO₃, a semiconducting luminescent material was used as the host material. To the best of our knowledge, no accurate information is available on Sm³⁺ or Ho³⁺-doped LaInO₃ phosphor co-doped with Bi³⁺ ions. These phosphors were synthesized using the polyol route. The morphological structures, steady state conditions and decay times were investigated. Their luminescent properties as well as energy transfer (ET) process and efficiency ($\eta_{\rm ET}$) from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺in LaInO₃:Bi³⁺,Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺ phosphors were investigated systematically. Remarkably, the color tunability of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺,Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺ phosphors varied with the doping concentration of Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺.

Experimental

Preparation of the nanoparticles

La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O [Merck, Germany], In(NO₃)₃·H₂O [Alfa Aesar 99.99%], Bi(NO₃)·5H₂O [BDH Laboratory Chemicals Division, India], Sm(NO₃)₃·5H₂O [Alfa Aesar 99.99%] and Ho(NO₃)₃·5H₂O [Sigma-Aldrich 99.9%] were used as starting materials. Herein, ethylene glycol was used as the capping agent and urea was used for hydrolysis. For the preparation of pure LaInO₃ nanoparticles, both $La(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ and $In(NO_3)_3 \cdot H_2O$ were dissolved in the required amount of distilled water. To this solution, ethylene glycol (25 mL) was added and the mixture was then transferred into a two-necked RB flask. The solution was slowly heated up to 100 °C, followed by the addition of 2 g of urea, and the temperature was increased to 120 °C. At this temperature, the solution became turbid. The temperature was maintained at this value for 2 hours. The precipitate was collected after the reaction by centrifugation and then washed two times with acetone and three times with methanol, followed by drying under ambient conditions. The samples thus prepared were finally heated to 700 °C, 900 °C and 1000 °C in air at a heating rate of 10 °C per minute. The temperature was maintained at the respective values for a duration of 5 hours. Subsequently, the furnace was switched off and the sample was subjected to natural cooling to room temperature. The same procedure was used to prepare LaInO₃:(1, 3, and 5 at%)Bi³⁺, LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,(0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)Ho³⁺ and LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,(1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%)Sm³⁺ nanoparticles and were subjected to heating at 1000 °C in air at a heating rate of 10 °C per minute.

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using a Philips powder X-ray diffractometer (model PW 1071) with Ni filtered Cu-K α

radiation. For calibration purposes, the diffraction peak corresponding to the (111) plane of Si at a 2θ value of 28.442° was employed. The XRD data was processed using POWDERX software. The average crystallite size was calculated from the diffraction line width based on Scherrer equation $D = 0.9\lambda/$ $\beta \cos \theta$, where D is the average particle size, λ is the wavelength of X-rays and β is the corrected full width at half maximum (FWHM). All luminescence measurements were carried out at room temperature with a resolution of 5 nm using an Edinburgh Instruments FLSP 920 system attached with a 450 W Xe lamp as the excitation source. A micro second flash lamp and a nanosecond hydrogen flash lamp was used for the lifetime measurements. The SEM instrument used was from Seron Inc. (Model AIS 2100) having a standard tungsten filament. An accelerating voltage of 20 kV and magnification of 10k× was used to record the micrographs.

Results & discussion

XRD analysis

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%), LaInO₃:(3 at%)Bi³⁺,(1 at%)Ho³⁺, and LaInO₃:(3 at%)Bi³⁺,(2 at%)Sm³⁺ phosphors. The crystallinity, crystallite size and surface morphology have significant effects on the PL properties of



Fig. 1 XRD patterns corresponding to LalnO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%), LalnO₃:(3 at%)Bi³⁺,(1 at%)Ho³⁺, LalnO₃:(3 at%)Bi³⁺,(2 at%)Sm³⁺ at 1000 °C and 3-D crystal structure of LalnO₃.

phosphor materials. The XRD patterns of pure LaInO₃ calcined at three different temperatures (700 °C, 900 °C, 1000 °C) is shown in ESI Fig. 1a,† indicating that LaInO₃ began to crystallize at 700 °C. All the diffraction peaks of the doped and codoped LaInO₃ samples annealed at 1000 °C are in agreement with the previously reported data,^{26,45} indicating that the obtained samples are in a pure orthorhombic phase, where no impurity phase is detected, indicating that the dopants dissolved completely in the LaInO₃ host lattice.

In addition, it can be observed from ESI Fig. 1b⁺ that the diffraction peak positions are shifted slightly to a higher angle, when Bi³⁺ or Bi³⁺, Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ ions were doped into the LaInO₃ host, which can be due to the replacement of larger-size La³⁺ (1.16 Å) sites by the smaller-size trivalent Ho^{3+} , Sm^{3+} and Bi^{3+} ions (1.051 Å, 1.08 Å, and 1.03 Å), leading to lattice distortion, consequently contracting the lattice parameters, as illustrated in ESI Table S1.[†] The three-dimensional structure diagram shows the crystal structure of LaInO₃, the blue octahedrons represent the InO_6 units, whereas La^{3+} is in the center of the eight InO₆ octahedrons; hence, rare earth dopants Ho³⁺ or Sm³⁺ and Bi³⁺ will be replacing La³⁺ in the LaInO₃ structure. The crystallite size of all the samples was calculated from the Scherrer equation $D = 0.9\lambda/\beta \cos \theta$, where D is the average particles size, λ is the wavelength of X-rays and β is the corrected full width at half maximum (FWHM) of an observed peak.46 The average crystallite size (D) of pure LaInO₃, doped and co-doped LaInO₃ particles, which are annealed at 1000 °C, were estimated to be around 35 nm.

SEM with energy dispersive X-ray analysis

Fig. 2a and b shows the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of LaInO₃:(3 at%)Bi³⁺,(1 at%)Ho³⁺, and LaInO₃:(3 at%) Bi³⁺,(2 at%)Sm³⁺ samples. Crystallization granules and agglomeration of particles were observed in the present phosphors. ESI Fig. 2a and b† shows an SEM image of pure LaInO₃, indicating irregular spherical particles with a size between 20 and 70 nm. This may be due to agglomeration among the phosphor particles during calcination. Compared to the Bi³⁺ single-doped sample, the Bi³⁺, Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ co-doped phosphors have a smaller particle size distribution. Hence, the effect of coalescence could be decreased by single and co-doping in the host. The decrease in particle size is also explained as follows:

In poly synthesis, ethylene glycol acts as the capping agent for covering the nanoparticles and controlling the agglomeration. On the other hand, during annealing at 1000 °C, the ligand evaporates and nanoparticles due to high surface energy form a neck by solid state diffusion process, which leads to agglomeration and particle growth. The differences in ionic radii between La³⁺ and Bi³⁺, Ho³⁺, and Sm³⁺ ions lead to a lattice distortion, which encourages a decrease in particle size. Hence, crystallite and particle size reduces by co-doping due to a grain growth inhibition effect. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used to determine the composition of the samples and it shows good agreement with the nominal sample composition. (Refer to the inset of Fig. 3a and b.)



(b)

Fig. 2 (a and b) SEM images and EDAX spectra's of (a) $Bi^{3+},\,Ho^{3+}$ and (b) $Bi^{3+},\,Sm^{3+}$ doped LalnO_3.



Fig. 3 (a and b) Emission and decay curves of the LalnO_3:Bi^{3+}(1, 3, 5 at%) samples heat at 1000 $^\circ\text{C}.$

Paper

The emission and decay spectra of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(1, 3, and 5 at%) samples heated to 1000 °C are given in Fig. 3a and b respectively. From Fig. 3a, it can be observed that all samples have broad emission band centered at 432 nm, which can be attributed to the ${}^{3}P_{1}-{}^{1}S_{0}$ transition of Bi³⁺ ions upon excitation with 330 nm.²⁹ With increasing Bi³⁺ concentration, the intensity of the 432 nm emission band increases and reaches a maximum at 3 at% and then decreases remarkably when the Bi³⁺ content is increased further, which is due to concentration quenching. This is also confirmed by corresponding average bi-exponential life time value (295, 329, 273 ns) of decay curves shown in Fig. 3(b). In the excitation spectra (ESI Fig. 3⁺), a broad excitation band ranging from 300 to 350 nm with a maximum at about 330 nm was observed, arising from ${}^{1}S_{0}-{}^{3}P_{1}$ transition of Bi³⁺.

The overlap spectra of the emission and allowed absorption create interest on the effective radiative energy transfer (ET) mechanism. Fig. 4 presents the excitation spectra of LaInO₃:-Sm³⁺(2 at%) LaInO₃:Ho³⁺(1 at%) and emission spectra of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%) samples. The appearance of the excitation bands of Ho³⁺ and Sm³⁺ was clearly visible in the emission bands of Bi³⁺. Therefore, it is expected that efficient energy transfer can occur from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺.

The excitation spectra of the Bi³⁺, Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺-doped samples monitored at rare earth (RE) emission lines (544 nm and 603 nm) exhibited a broad excitation band arising from the ${}^{1}S_{0}{}^{-3}P_{1}$ transition of Bi³⁺ in the wavelength range of 300–350 nm, accompanying the Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ excitation lines. The same is shown in Fig. 5a–d, which represents the excitation and emission spectra of LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,(0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)Ho³⁺ and LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,(1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%)Sm³⁺ samples.

Under 330 nm and 333 nm excitation wavelengths, the samples consist of both blue-green emissions from Bi^{3+} and strong green emissions from Ho^{3+} and orange red emission from Sm^{3+} . By keeping Bi^{3+} at 3 at% and varying the Ho^{3+} and Sm^{3+} content, the intensity of Bi^{3+} emission decreases monotonously, whereas the intensity of Ho^{3+}/Sm^{3+} emission increases gradually and reaches a maximum at Ho^{3+} (1 at%) and Sm^{3+}



Fig. 4 Spectral overlap of excitation spectra of LaInO₃:Sm³⁺(2 at%), LaInO₃:Ho³⁺(1 at%) and emission spectra of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%) samples heated at 1000 $^{\circ}$ C.

(2 at%), and the Ho^{3+} and Sm^{3+} emissions then decrease with further increase in concentration, which can be rationalized as concentration quenching. The changes in emission intensity of Bi³⁺ as a function of Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺ concentrations is clearly demonstrated in Fig. 5e-f. All abovementioned processes show that the emissions of Ho³⁺ and Sm³⁺ actually come from the ET process from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ ions. To investigate the effect of Bi^{3+} doping in enhancing the PL intensity, single doped Ho^{3+} Sm³⁺:LaInO₃ emission spectra are shown in ESI Fig. 4.† In addition, to support this ET process, the decay curves of all samples were measured, as shown in Fig. 6. For all samples, bi exponential luminescence decay curves were obtained and the lifetimes were characterized using the average lifetime (τ). The luminescence decay times (τ) of Bi³⁺ were obtained at 260, 228 and 192 ns for LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%),Ho³⁺(0.5, 1, 1.5 at%) and for LaInO₃:Bi³⁺(3 at%),Sm³⁺(1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%) 303, 291 and 211, 182 ns and also the corresponding decay times of Ho³⁺ 149, 179, and 122 µs, Sm³⁺ 1.22, 1.42, 1.73 and 1.13 ms, respectively. The increase in Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ content leads to the faster decay of Bi³⁺ emission, which was attributed to ET from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ The corresponding energy level diagram of LaInO₃:Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺ and LaInO₃:Bi³⁺,Sm³⁺ with optical transitions and energy transfer process are displayed in Fig. 7. In this process, when excited by UV energy, the electrons of Bi³⁺ ions absorb energy and jump from the ground state ${}^{1}S_{0}$ to a higher level excited state ${}^{3}P_{1}$; the excited electrons then relax to the lower energy level (the bottom of parabola) by non-radiative relaxation. Hence, from the lower energy level of ³P₁, energy can be transferred to the ⁴K_{11/2} level of Sm³⁺ and ⁵F₁ level of Ho³⁺ via an ET process (Cross relaxation) between these levels. Therefore, by co-doping with Bi³⁺ ions might improve the emission intensity of Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺. Finally, a part of the electrons of Bi³⁺ ions may radiate back to the ground state by emitting blue emission and can be reabsorbed by the ground state levels of Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺ *i.e.* ⁶H_{5/2} and ⁵I₈, which also leads to energy transfer (because spectral overlap is observed in both Sm³⁺ and Ho³⁺), and can be subsequently excited to the respective high level excited state. The excited electrons relax to the 5F4, 5S2 level of Ho³⁺ and the ⁴G_{5/2} level of Sm³⁺ by non-radiative transition, and finally electrons return to the ground states of Ho³⁺ and Sm³⁺ ions by emitting green and pink lines, respectively.

The ET efficiency η_T of Bi^{3+} -Ho³⁺ and Bi^{3+} -Sm³⁺ can be calculated using the following eqn $(1)^{35,36}$

$$\eta_{\rm T} = 1 - \frac{\tau_{\rm s}}{\tau_{\rm s_0}} \tag{1}$$

where τ_s and τ_{s_0} represent the life time values of the sensitizer Bi^{3+} in the presence and absence of Ho^{3+} and Sm^{3+} . The energy-transfer efficiency η_T values from Bi^{3+} to Sm^{3+} to Ho^{3+} for LaInO₃ are listed in Table 1. Generally, the ET mechanism consists of two main aspects;³⁹ one is exchange interaction and the other is an electric multipolar interaction. The exchange interaction from Bi^{3+} prevails when $R_c > R$ and an electric multipolar interaction from Bi^{3+} to Ho^{3+}/Sm^{3+} dominates when $R_c < R$. In this case, the critical distance (*R*) for the activators is restricted in the range of 5–8 Å.⁴⁷ When the value reaches the critical transfer distance, the electric multipolar interaction will take place.



Fig. 5 Excitation and emission spectra of LalnO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, (0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)Ho³⁺ (a and b) and LalnO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%)Sm³⁺ (c and d) heated at 1000 °C. Variations in the emission intensity for Sm³⁺/Ho³⁺ and Bi³⁺ with different (e) Sm³⁺/Ho³⁺ (f) concentrations.

The critical distance R_c between Bi³⁺ and Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ in the LaInO₃ host can be estimated by the following equation:⁴⁸

$$R_{\rm c} \approx 2 \left(\frac{3V}{4\pi\chi_{\rm c}Z}\right)^{1/3} \tag{2}$$

where *V* is the volume of the unit cell, X_c is the total critical concentration of dopant ions, and *Z* represents the number of activator ions in the unit cell. Using the parameters of the LaInO₃ host (*V* = 289.63 Å, *Z* = 2) and the estimated critical

concentration of dopant ions $(Bi^{3+}-Ho^{3+})$ ($X_c = 0.04$) and $(Bi^{3+}-Sm^{3+})$ ($X_c = 0.05$), the critical distance $R_{Bi-Ho/Sm}$ was calculated to be 11.99 Å and 11.14 Å. This value is much larger than 5 Å, suggesting the low probability of exchange interaction for energy transfer. Therefore, it is reliable to consider that the multipolar interaction is dominant for energy transfer from Bi³⁺ to Ho³⁺/Sm³⁺ in LaInO₃.

To study the effect of the Ho^{3+}/Sm^{3+} ions doping content on the color of LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, we synthesized a series of samples



Fig. 6 Decay curves of Bi³⁺ (a and b) and Ho³⁺, Sm³⁺ (c and d) in LaInO₃: 3at%Bi³⁺, (0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)Ho³⁺ and LaInO₃: 3at%Bi³⁺, (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%)Sm³⁺.

with the chemical compositions of LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺(0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)/Sm³⁺(1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%). The Commission International de L'Eclairage (CIE) chromaticity coordinates of the single-phased emission-tunable phosphors are presented in Fig. 8.

The CIE chromaticity coordinates of pure 3 at% Bi^{3+} , 3 at% Bi^{3+} , 1 at% Ho^{3+} and 3 at% Bi^{3+} , 2 at% Sm^{3+} activated LaInO₃ phosphors were (0.15, 0.03), (0.21, 0.25), and (0.33, 0.17)

Table 1 ET efficiency (RE^{3+}) of the phosphors



565 603 nm

> ⁶H_{9/2} 7/2 5/2

Phosphors	Concentration (<i>x</i>)	Efficiency $\eta_{\rm ET}$ (%)
LaInO ₃ :3at%Bi ³⁺ ,xHo ³⁺	0.5	39
	1.0	42
	1.5	59
LaInO ₃ :3at%Bi ³⁺ ,xSm ³⁺	1.0	0.8
	1.5	12
	2.0	36
	2.5	45



Fig. 8 CIE chromaticity diagram of the (point a) LalnO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, (points b-d) LalnO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, (0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)Ho³⁺ and (points e-h) LalnO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, (1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%)Sm³⁺ phosphors.

Fig. 7 Energy level diagram and ET schemes of $LalnO_3$:Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺ (a) Sm³⁺ (b).

1Sc

Bi³⁺

respectively. From the CIE diagram, it can be seen that the CIE chromaticity coordinates move from the bright blue to bluegreen region and pink region as the amount of $\mathrm{Ho^{3+}/Sm^{3+}}$ varies, indicating that the developed phosphor may potentially be used as a single phase phosphor for UV light-emitting diodes and field display applications.

Conclusion

We synthesized a series of single phased and color-tunable LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺, LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺(0.5, 1, 1.5 at%)/Sm³⁺(1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 at%) nanophosphors using the polyol method, and all the dopants were incorporated into the LaInO₃ lattice, as determined *via* the XRD patterns. The photo-luminescence properties, life time studies and energy transfer process were investigated in detail. The energy transfer from Bi^{3+} to Ho^{3+}/Sm^{3+} and the energy transfer efficiency of LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,Ho³⁺ and LaInO₃:3at%Bi³⁺,Sm³⁺ samples, which were calculated from the PL and decay curves, were 59 and 45%, respectively. Furthermore, the CIE diagram showed that the colors can be tuned from bright blue to blue-green and pink, indicating that the developed phosphor may potentially be used as a single phase phosphor for UV light-emitting diodes, VFD, FED, and other photoelectric fields.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr V. Sudarsan, Scientist-G, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC) for PL studies and Dr R. David Kumar, Principal, Government College (A), Rajamahendravaram, Andhra Pradesh for necessary lab facilities.

Notes and references

- 1 G. Blasse and B. Grabmaier, *Luminescent materials*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- 2 E. F. Schubert and J. K. Kim, Science, 2005, 308, 1274-1278.
- 3 R. Wei, C. Ma, Y. Wei, J. Gao and H. Guo, *Opt. Express*, 2012, **20**, 29743–29750.
- 4 H. Guo, R. Wei and X. Liu, Opt. Lett., 2012, 37, 1670-1672.
- 5 W.-R. Liu, C. C. Lin, Y.-C. Chiu, Y.-T. Yeh, S.-M. Jang and R.-S. Liu, *Opt. Express*, 2010, **18**, 2946–2951.
- 6 A. Kuznetsov, A. Nikitin, V. Tikhomirov, M. Shestakov and V. Moshchalkov, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **102**, 161916.
- 7 S. Nigam, C. S. Kamal, K. R. Rao, V. Sudarsan and R. K. Vatsa, *J. Lumin.*, 2016, **178**, 219–225.
- 8 F. Wang, R. Deng, J. Wang, Q. Wang, Y. Han, H. Zhu, X. Chen and X. Liu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 968–973.
- 9 A. Baszczuk, M. Jasiorski, M. Nyk, J. Hanuza, M. Mączka and W. Stręk, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2005, **394**, 88–92.
- 10 Q. Shi, C. Wang, D. Zhang, S. Li, L. Zhang, W. Wang and J. Zhang, *Thin Solid Films*, 2012, **520**, 6845–6849.
- 11 C. S. Kamal, R. Mishra, D. K. Patel, K. R. Rao, V. Sudarsan and R. Vatsa, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2016, **81**, 127–133.
- 12 M. Yu, J. Lin, Y. Zhou and S. Wang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2002, 56, 1007–1013.
- 13 X. Liu and J. Lin, J. Appl. Phys., 2006, 100, 124306.

- 14 T. Samuel, C. S. Kamal, K. Sujatha, V. Veeraiah,
 Y. Ramakrishana and K. R. Rao, *Optik*, 2016, **127**, 10575– 10587.
- 15 X. Liu, R. Pang, Z. Quan, J. Yang and J. Lin, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, J185–J189.
- 16 X. Liu and J. Lin, J. Mater. Chem., 2008, 18, 221-228.
- 17 X. Liu, C. Lin and J. Lin, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2007, 90, 081904.
- 18 X. Liu, C. Li, Z. Quan, Z. Cheng and J. Lin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 16601–16607.
- 19 X. Liu, C. Lin, Y. Luo and J. Lin, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, 154, J21–J27.
- 20 S. K. Chaluvadi, V. Aswin, P. Kumar, P. Singh, D. Haranath,
 P. K. Rout and A. Dogra, *J. Lumin.*, 2015, 166, 244–247.
- 21 X. Liu, L. Yan and J. Lin, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2009, **156**, P1–P6.
- 22 X. Liu and J. Lin, Solid State Sci., 2009, 11, 2030-2036.
- 23 Y. Shang, P. Yang, W. Wang, Y. Wang, N. Niu, S. Gai and J. Lin, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, 837–844.
- 24 D. Rogers, J. Honig and J. Goodenough, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1967, **2**, 223–230.
- 25 V. Thangadurai and W. Weppner, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2001, **148**, A1294–A1301.
- 26 H. He, X. Huang and L. Chen, *Solid State Ionics*, 2000, **130**, 183–193.
- 27 H. He, X. Huang and L. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2001, **46**, 2871–2877.
- 28 K. Sood, K. Singh and O. Pandey, *Trans. Indian Ceram. Soc.*, 2013, **72**, 32–35.
- 29 L. I. van Steensel, S. G. Bokhove, A. M. van de Craats, J. de Blank and G. Blasse, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1995, **30**, 1359–1362.
- 30 F. Angiuli, E. Cavalli and A. Belletti, J. Solid State Chem., 2012, 192, 289–295.
- 31 D. Chen, Y. Yu, P. Huang, H. Lin, Z. Shan, L. Zeng, A. Yang and Y. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 7775–7778.
- 32 Y. Zhydachevskii, L. Lipińska, M. Baran, M. Berkowski, A. Suchocki and A. Reszka, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **143**, 622–628.
- 33 E. Cavalli, F. Angiuli, A. Belletti and P. Boutinaud, Opt. Mater., 2014, 36, 1642–1648.
- 34 Z. Xia, D. Chen, M. Yang and T. Ying, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2010, **71**, 175–180.
- 35 L. Han, Y. Wang, J. Zhang and Y. Wang, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2013, 139, 87–91.
- 36 L. Chen, K.-J. Chen, S.-F. Hu and R.-S. Liu, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 3677–3685.
- 37 X. Wei, Y. Chen, X. Cheng, M. Yin and W. Xu, Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt., 2010, 99, 763–768.
- 38 H. Hara, S. Takeshita, T. Isobe, T. Sawayama and S. Niikura, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 2013, 178, 311–315.
- 39 P. Boutinaud, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 6028-6038.
- 40 L. Wang, Z. Lv, W. Kang, X. Shangguan, J. Shi and Z. Hao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **102**, 151909.
- 41 L. Wang, Q. Sun, Q. Liu and J. Shi, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2012, **191**, 142–146.
- 42 H. Ju, W. Deng, B. Wang, J. Liu, X. Tao and S. Xu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2012, **516**, 153–156.

Paper

- 43 Y. Zhang, Z. Zhu, W. Zhang and Y. Qiao, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2013, **566**, 164–167.
- 44 H. H. T. Vu, T. S. Atabaev, H.-K. Kim and Y.-H. Hwang, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2012, 12, 5847–5851.
- 45 E. Ruiz-Trejo, G. Tavizon and A. Arroyo-Landeros, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2003, 64, 515–521.
- 46 C. Hu, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, P. Gao and Z. L. Wang, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, **17**, 5983.
- 47 H. He, R. Fu, Y. Cao, X. Song, Z. Pan, X. Zhao, Q. Xiao and R. Li, *Opt. Mater.*, 2010, **32**(5), 632–636.
- 48 G. Blasse, Philips Res. Rep., 1969, 24(2), 131-144.