RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 32732

Received 27th March 2017 Accepted 20th June 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7ra03517a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Chemosensing of heavy metals in aqueous media is a rapidly developing field for their negative impacts on the environment and human health.¹ Among these heavy metals, Hg²⁺ is one of the most toxic ones and a kind of persistent pollutant that is not biodegradable.^{2,3} Moreover, Hg²⁺ can easily be absorbed by skin, the respiratory system and do great harm to human health through DNA damage, mitosis impairment, and permanent damage to the central nervous system.⁴⁻⁶ Therefore, the development of a rapid, selective, sensitive and low cost sensor for Hg²⁺ in aqueous solution has become an urgent need.

In the last few years, various fluorogenic and chromogenic receptors have been developed for the recognition and sensing of $Hg^{2^+, 7-10}$ However, most of the them show the absorption and emission wavelength in the ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) region (400–650 nm), and often suffer from the interference of signals within short emission wavelengths, and/or background interference, thereby making the accurate analysis of Hg^{2^+} difficult in complex matrices.

To solve these problems, more and more near-infrared dyes are exploited for the determination of Hg^{2+} ,¹¹⁻¹⁴ and many of them were applied for Hg^{2+} imaging in the living cells or in

Manipulation of monomer-aggregate transformation of a heptamethine cyanine ligand: near infrared chromogenic recognition of Hg²⁺†

Xia Gao, 回^a Weidong Wu,^a Jinyan Xi^a and Hong Zheng^{*b}

A novel near infrared optical molecular probe (CyL) derived from heptamethine cyanine dye was developed for the selective detection of Hg^{2+} over other metal ions in aqueous solutions. The detection conditions for Hg^{2+} were optimized. A linear range from 1.0×10^{-7} M to 5.0×10^{-6} M and a detection limit of 1.93×10^{-8} M with a correlation coefficient of 0.9992 were achieved under the optimal conditions. In the recognition of Hg^{2+} , CyL alone peaks at 760 nm and exhibits a shoulder at 690 nm. Hg^{2+} can modulate the aggregation state of CyL through coordination of thiosemicarbazide on CyL and Hg^{2+} , and thus results in an obvious shift in the maximal absorption wavelength of CyL in the NIR region. The ESI mass spectra of CyL–Hg²⁺ solution have been used to prove the conversion of CyL from monomers to aggregates. Finally, the proposed method has been successfully applied to the determination of Hg^{2+} in cosmetic samples.

> animals and show excellent performance.11,13,14 Heptamethine cyanine dye is one of the important class of near-infrared dyes.15 They have rigid chloro cyclohexenyl rings in the polymethine chain that can increase its photo stability, enhance the fluorescence quantum yield, and provide an ideal site for further modification with amino or phenol substitutions. Moreover, these dyes are among the best known self-associating dyes in aqueous solutions, and this self-association is clearly reflected by changes in the absorption spectra.¹⁶ Therefore, applications of heptamethine cyanine dyes as NIR chemosensors for various inorganic and biological related species have been a subject of intensive interest.¹⁷⁻²⁴ However, they, to the best of our knowledge, have seldom been employed as Hg²⁺ optical probes except for few several reports.12,25 In addition, it has been reported that N and S on hydrazine of thiosemicarbazide could form stable complex with Hg²⁺.²⁶ Meanwhile, self-aggregation of cyanine dyes can be clearly reflected by changes in the absorption spectra.27

> By introducing a thiosemicarbazone unit, an ionophore for heavy metal ions,²⁸ into the cyclohexane bridgehead of heptamethine cyanine dye, herein we presented a new NIR heptamethine cyanine ligand CyL. And then, a new strategy for an efficient chromogenic recognition and determination of Hg^{2+} in aqueous solutions *via* modulation of aggregation state of a heptamethine cyanine probe in aqueous medium, leading to a shift in the maximal absorption wavelength in the NIR region has been proposed. Compared with Hg^{2+} -selective optical chemical sensors as reported previously, the as-prepared sensor could recognize and detect Hg^{2+} in the NIR region, where most of the natural matrix interference could be eliminated, and greatly improved the sensitivity of Hg^{2+} detection. This present

^aSchool of Public Health, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, 453003, PR China
^bDepartment of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, PR China. E-mail: hzheng@xmu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H, ¹³C NMR and ESI-MS mass spectra of compound 2 and CyL; competitive absorbance response of CyL with Hg²⁺; absorbance titrations of CyL with Hg²⁺; ESI-MS spectra of CyL-Hg²⁺. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra03517a

Paper

2. Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents 2.1

1,2,3,3-Tetramethyl-3H-indolium iodide was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dry DMF from ACROS ORGANICS. All the other reagents were obtained from Shanghai Chemicals Group Company. The inorganic salts were of the highest purity in the form of nitrates or chlorides. Dry ethanol was distilled from Mg and twice deionized water was further distilled in the presence of KMnO₄. Buffer solution (pH 4.0) was prepared by mixing 0.20 M sodium acetate and 0.20 M acetic acid.

2.2 Instrumentation

Bruker AV400 spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard was used for profiling NMR spectra in d₆-DMSO; JEOL JMS-T100LC mass spectrometer was employed to profile mass spectra; and Varian CARY 300 spectrophotometer was utilized to determine absorption spectra. CEM MARS microwave digestion apparatus was used for the pretreatment of cosmetics.

2.3 Synthesis of the new heptamethine cyanine dye

The synthetic procedure for the new heptamethine cyanine dye (CyL) was illustrated in Scheme 1. The heptamethine cyanine 1 was synthesized according to the method reported previously.29

2.3.1 Synthesis of compound 2. Compound 2 was synthesized as follows: 28.58 mg (0.65 mmol) of sodium hydride (55-65%) and 79.37 mg (0.65 mmol) of p-hydroxybenzaldehyde were dissolved in anhydrous DMF; the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min under N₂ atmosphere at 0 °C, and then allowed to warm to room temperature. This solution was added to the cyanine dye 1 (400.0 mg, 0.65 mmol) in 5.0 mL of dry DMF at room temperature with stirring under N2. The reaction was performed for a total of 12 h, and then diluted with chloroform.



Scheme 1 Synthetic scheme of compound CyL. Conditions: (a) NaH, p-hydroxy-benzaldehyde, dry DMF, room temperature, N₂; (b) thiosemicarbazide, dry ethanol, reflux, N₂.

After washed with water for several times, the organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄. Following removal of chloroform, the residue was purified by flash chromatography with methanol/ chloroform (1/30) as eluent to afford 2 (236.30 mg, yield: 46.52%). The newly synthesized compound was characterized using ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and mass spectral techniques. The results obtained were as follows: ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSO, 400 MHz) δ : 1.263 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.965 (quintet, I = 6 Hz, 2H, $-CH_2-CH_2-CH_2$ CH_2 -), 2.750 (t, J = 6 Hz, 4H, $-CH_2$ - CH_2 - CH_2 -), 3.618 (s, 6H, CH_3), 6.202 (d, J = 14 Hz, 2H, CH=CH), 7.184-7.224 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.375–7.395 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.492 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.716 (d, J = 14 Hz, 2H, CH=CH), 8.005 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 9.917 (s, 1H, CHO) (Fig. S1[†]). ¹³C NMR (d₆-DMSO, 100 MHz): δ 20.569, 23.636, 26.897, 31.252, 48.372, 100.828, 111.146, 115.150, 120.734, 122.193, 124.802, 128.358, 130.950, 132.467, 139.768, 140.772, 142.643, 160.787, 163.569, 172.029, 191.355 (Fig. S2[†]). ESI mass spectrometry, m/z: 569.3 (M – I)⁺, 570.3 (M $(-I + 1)^{+}$ (Fig. S3[†]).

2.3.2 Synthesis of CyL. Compound CyL was synthesized as follows: compound 2 (200.00 mg, 0.28 mmol) and thiosemicarbazide (127.58 mg, 1.40 mmol) were dissolved in dry ethanol, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hours under N2 atmosphere. After removal of ethanol, the residue was purified through flash chromatography with methanol/chloroform (1/30) as eluent to afford CyL (112.76 mg, yield: 50%). Compound CyL was characterized using ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and mass spectral techniques. The results obtained are as follows: ¹H NMR (d₆-DMSO, 400 MHz) δ: 1.280 (s, 12H, CH₃), 1.949 (quintet, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, $-CH_2-CH_2-CH_2-$), 2.737 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 4H, $-CH_2 CH_2-CH_2-$), 3.611 (s, 6H, CH_3), 6.183 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 2H, CH=CH), 7.169-7.219 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.369 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.377 (s, 2H, NH₂), 7.494 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.767 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 2H, CH=CH), 7.862 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.969 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.187 (s, 1H, CH), 11.391 (s, 1H, NH) (Fig. S4†). ¹³C NMR (d₆-DMSO, 100 MHz): δ 21.215, 24.175, 27.501, 31.747, 48.899, 101.161, 111.614, 115.112, 121.574, 122.747, 125.272, 128.893, 128.980, 130.065, 140.655, 141.296, 141.906, 143.206, 161.005, 162.071, 172.508, 178.263 (Fig. S5†). ESI mass spectrometry, m/z: 642.3 (M – I)⁺, 643.3 (M – I + 1)⁺ (Fig. S6[†]).

2.4 General procedure

Stock solutions containing 1.0 \times 10^{-3} M of CyL and 0.8 \times 10^{-3} M of Hg²⁺ were prepared in MeOH and redistilled water, respectively. To 10.0 mL of 0.20 M acetate buffer (pH 4.0) solution containing different amounts of Hg²⁺, small aliquots of CyL stock solution (100 µL) was added. After being mixed thoroughly and allowed to equilibrate for 10 minutes, the NIR absorption spectra were recorded and the ratio $R(A_{666}/A_{760})$ was tested.

3. Results and discussion

Spectral characteristics of CyL 3.1

CyL is a new derivative of cationic cyanine dyes. Absorption spectral traces of CyL upon coordination with Hg^{2+} in an optimized acetate buffer (0.20 M) solution of pH 4.0 (MeOH/H₂O = 1/99, v/v) were monitored. Fig. 1 showed the absorption spectral



Fig. 1 Vis-NIR spectra of CyL in MeOH–H₂O (1/99, v/v) aqueous acetate buffer solution of pH 4.0 in the absence (curve 1) and presence of increasing concentration of Hg²⁺ (curves 2–12). [CyL]: 1.0×10^{-5} M; [Hg²⁺] (from curves 2 to 12, 10^{-6} M): 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 20. Insert: chromogenic response of CyL to 1.0×10^{-5} M of Hg²⁺ in MeOH–H₂O (1/99, v/v) aqueous acetate buffer solution of pH 4.0.

changes of CyL $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ in the presence of Hg²⁺. CyL solution alone exhibits a maximum at 760 nm and a shoulder at 690 nm. With the increase in Hg²⁺ concentration, the absorbance at 760 nm decreased, while a new absorption band at a shorter wavelength of 666 nm appeared. The system color also changed from emerald green to bluish green accordingly. These pronounced hypsochromic shift can be ascribed to the H-aggregation of the cyanine dye¹⁵ resulting from the coordination of CyL and Hg²⁺.

3.2 Optimization of experimental conditions

3.2.1 Effect of buffer system and pH. To obtain the optimal conditions, the buffer system and the influence of pH on the sensitivity of this method were investigated. The results showed the best absorbance changes (R/R_0 , where R_0 and R are A_{666}/A_{760} in the absence and presence of Hg²⁺, respectively) were obtained at pH 3.0–6.0 (Fig. 2), and the changes of buffer system



Fig. 2 Effects of pH on the changes of absorbance. [Hg²⁺] = 8.0×10^{-6} M; [CyL] = 1.0×10^{-5} M; volume of each buffer is 1 mL; other conditions are the same as those described in the general procedure except the buffer system.

had minimal effect on detection sensitivity. Thus, in this study, pH 4.0 of HAc–NaAc buffer was selected to control the acidity of the system.

3.2.2 Effect of CyL concentration. The effect of CyL concentration on Hg²⁺ measurement was investigated. When concentration of Hg²⁺ was kept at 1.0×10^{-5} M, $R(A_{666}/A_{760})$ increased with the increasing amount of CyL. When the concentration was 1.0×10^{-5} M, *R* reached the maximum. Thus, 1.0×10^{-5} M was chosen as the optimum CyL concentration in the experiment.

3.2.3 Effect of incubation time. Under the optimized conditions as depicted above, the effect of incubation time on $R(A_{666}/A_{760})$ was tested. As shown in Fig. 3, the system reached equilibrium 10 min following Hg²⁺ addition, and remained constant for at least 50 min. Thus, it was suggested that the measurement should start 10 min after addition of Hg²⁺ finish within 50 min.

3.3 Selectivity and interference of foreign ions

To examine the specificity of Hg $(CyL)_2$ complex formation under the presence of environmentally relevant metal ions, a variety of heavy, transition, and main group metal ions were



Fig. 3 Effects of time on the absorption response of CyL to Hg²⁺ in MeOH–H₂O (1/99, v/v) aqueous acetate buffer solution of pH 4.0; [CyL] = 1.0×10^{-5} M; [Hg²⁺] = 5.0×10^{-6} M; other conditions were the same as those described in the general procedure.



Fig. 4 Absorption response of CyL to various metal ions (K⁺, Li⁺, Na⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Fe³⁺, Al³⁺, Pb²⁺, Cr³⁺, Mn²⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺) in pH 4.0 acetate buffer. [CyL] = 1.0×10^{-5} M, [Mⁿ⁺] = 1.0×10^{-5} M.



Fig. 5 The absorption titration curves and calibration graphs for spectrophotometric determination of Hg²⁺ in MeOH–H₂O (1/99, v/v) aqueous acetate buffer solution of pH 4.0; [CyL] = 1.0×10^{-5} M; [Hg²⁺]: (1.0×10^{-7} M to 3.0×10^{-5} M); other conditions were the same as those described in the general procedure.

tested in their capability of binding CyL (Fig. 4). It was revealed from Fig. 4 that CyL at 1.0×10^{-5} M exhibited little decrease in absorbance at 760 nm upon addition of 1.0 equiv. of the tested ions including Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, Fe³⁺, Ni²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Pb²⁺. Only the addition of Hg²⁺ resulted in a remarkable reduction of the absorbance at 760 nm and a new absorption at shorter wavelength of 666 nm. This implied the existence of a higher coordination selectivity of CyL toward Hg²⁺. Competitive experiments further showed that the decrease in $R(A_{666}/A_{760})$ induced by Hg²⁺ was not disturbed by the presence of coexisting metal ions (Fig. S7†), indicating the highly specific coordination of CyL to Hg²⁺. Taken together, CyL could be practically served as a NIR-sensing probe for Hg²⁺ in aqueous solutions.

3.4 Calibration graphs and analysis of samples

Under the optimized conditions, the titration curves for the measurement of Hg²⁺ were constructed. Response assays showed that $R(A_{666}/A_{760})$ increased with the increment of Hg²⁺ concentration, varied from 0.302 in the absence of Hg²⁺ to 1.637 after 5.0×10^{-6} M Hg²⁺ treatment. That was, *R* increased 4.42 times due to the addition of Hg²⁺. The curve equation as shown in Fig. 5 was $R = 0.284 + 2.648C_{Hg^{2+}}$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9992 (N = 14, S.D. = 0.0017) when a CyL

concentration of 1.0 \times 10^{-5} M was used. The linear range of quantitative detection for Hg^{2+} was determined to be 1.0 \times 10^{-7} to 5.0 \times 10^{-6} M with a detection limit of 1.93 \times 10^{-8} M in the used aqueous solution system.

In order to check the potential applicability of this method, we have applied our method to the quantitative determination of Hg^{2+} in cosmetics. In our experiment, three cosmetics samples were collected and treated according to the literature,³⁰ and then diluted 10-fold for experimental uses. The specific sample pretreatment processes were listed in the footnote of Table 1, and the determination results by this method and by the cold atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) method were shown in Table 1. It could be seen from Table 1 that the determination results together with results of the selectivity and competition experiments confirmed that method proposed in the present work was reliable and practical for the determination of Hg^{2+} in actual samples.

3.5 Sensing mechanism

The Job's plots of absorbance at 760 nm (Fig. S8[†]) suggested that a 2 : 1 complex formed between CyL and Hg²⁺. On the basis of the high affinity of Hg²⁺ toward thiosemicarbazide,¹⁴ the decrease of absorbance at 760 nm could be ascribed to the formation of Hg(CyL)₂ complex by the coordination of Hg²⁺ to N and S on thiosemicarbazide of CyL. This assumption was further supported by the ESI mass spectra of CyL–Hg²⁺ solution (Fig. S9[†]), which shows a strong peak at *m/z* 741.7 and a weak



Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism of CyL binding with Hg²⁺.

Table 1 Analytical results of cosmetic samples					
Samples	Proposed method found ^{<i>a</i>} (10^{-6} M)	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2^{+}}$, added (10 ⁻⁶ M)	${\rm Hg}^{2+}$, found (10 ⁻⁶ M)	Recovery (%)	Cold AAS method found ^{a} (10 ⁻⁶ M)
1	0.70 ± 0.1	1.00	1.72 ± 0.1	102	0.67 ± 0.1
2	0.90 ± 0.1	1.00	1.88 ± 0.1	98.5	0.86 ± 0.1
3	Not found	1.00	0.97 ± 0.1	97.0	Not found

 a The cosmetics samples were prepared by resolving 1.0 g of each sample into 2.0 mL distilled water and stirring into syrupy liquid, then, they were added 3.0 mL of nitric acid and kept for 12 hours at room temperature. Next, each sample was added 2.0 mL of hydrogen peroxide and treated with microwave digestion. Finally, the resulting solution was diluted into 10 mL, the determination results were obtained by further diluting above solution for 10-fold.

peak at m/z 642.3, assigned to $(2CyL - 2I + Hg - 2H)_2^+$ for Hg(CyL)₂ complex and $(CyL - I)^+$ for CyL monomer, respectively. Based on these results, sensing mechanism of CyL to Hg²⁺ was proposed in Scheme 2.

4. Conclusion

In summary, a new NIR heptamethine cyanine ligand has been proposed for selective binding of Hg^{2+} based on the monomeraggregate transformation of the NIR ligand. In addition to simplicity and effectivity, this sensor still showed a limit of detection of 1.93×10^{-8} M in the aqueous solution. The high sensitivity was made possible through the unique sensing mechanism, whereby even small amount of Hg^{2+} recognized could result in the aggregation of CyL, leading to the changes of absorption spectrum. The tested method proposed CyL as a novel sensor for the detection of Hg^{2+} by absorption spectrometry in aqueous solution, and could be used as a good alternative to the other sensors for Hg^{2+} .

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the fund support by the Foundation and Cutting-edge Research Projects of Henan Province (162300410019) and the Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Xinxiang Medical University (505062).

References

- 1 X. Fu, T. Lou, Z. Chen, M. Lin, W. Feng and L. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 1080.
- 2 E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 3443.
- 3 T. Balaji, S. A. El Safty, H. Matsunaga, T. Hanaoka and F. Mizukami, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7202.
- 4 J. Mutter, J. Naumann, R. Schneider, H. Walach and B. Haley, *Neuroendocrinol. Lett.*, 2005, **26**, 439.
- 5 W. F. Fitzgerald, C. H. Lamgorg and C. R. Hammerschmidt, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 641.
- 6 E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 3443.
- 7 C. Kumaria, D. Saina, A. Kumara, H. P. Nayeka, S. Debnath, P. Saha and S. Dey, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **243**, 1181.
- 8 Y. Zhao, L. L. Gui and Z. B. Chen, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 241, 262.

- 9 S. R. Tang, P. Tong, M. L. Wang, J. H. Chen, G. W. Li and L. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 15043.
- 10 N. Kaur, P. Kaur, G. Bhatia, K. Singh and J. Singh, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 82810.
- 11 J. L. Wang, W. L. Li and L. P. Long, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 245, 462.
- 12 H. Zheng, X. J. Zhang, X. Cai, Q. N. Bian, M. Yan, G. H. Wu, X. W. Lai and Y. B. Jiang, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 1986.
- 13 M. N. Wang, X. J. An and J. Gao, J. Lumin., 2013, 144, 91.
- 14 C. C. Zhao, K. B. Li, N. Xie, M. Zhao and S. Q. Peng, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2014, **290**, 72.
- 15 L. Strekowski, M. Lipowska and G. Patonay, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 578.
- 16 A. Mishra, R. K. Behera, P. K. Behera, B. K. Mishra and G. B. Behera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1973.
- 17 G. H. Cheng, J. L. Fan, W. Sun, J. F. Cao, C. Hu and X. J. Peng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1018.
- 18 B. H. Li, Y. L. Zhang, F. S. Li, W. Wang, J. Liu, M. Liu, Y. Cui, X. B. Li and B. L. Li, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 233, 479.
- 19 K. Yin, F. B. Yu, W. W. Zhang and L. X. Chen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 74, 156.
- 20 X. X. Hu, Y. T. Su, Y. W. Ma, X. Q. Zhan, H. Zheng and Y. B. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15118.
- 21 X. Y. Han, F. B. Yu, X. Y. Song and L. X. Chen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 5098.
- 22 Z. R. Lou, P. Li and K. L. Han, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1358.
- 23 F. B. Yu, P. Li, G. Y. Li, G. J. Zhao, T. S. Chu and K. L. Han, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 11030.
- 24 F. B. Yu, P. Li, B. S. Wang and K. L. Han, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 7674.
- 25 C. Kur, Y. Shindo, K. Oka, S. Nishiyama, K. Suzuki and D. Citterio, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 24970.
- 26 Y. Yu, L. R. Lin, K. B. Yang, X. Zhong, R. B. Huang and L. S. Zheng, *Talanta*, 2006, 69, 103.
- 27 A. Mishra, R. K. Behera, P. K. Behera, B. K. Mishra and G. B. Behera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 100, 1973.
- 28 T. S. Lobana, A. Sanchez, J. S. Casas, A. Castineiras, J. Sordo and M. S. Garcia-Tasende, *Polyhedron*, 1998, **17**, 3701.
- 29 R. Chin and N. Salazar, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1996, 33, 1871.
- 30 F. R. Tian, L. H. Yang, X. Y. Ye and Y. L. Liu, *J. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **22**, 91.