RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 30020

Received 24th April 2017 Accepted 26th May 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04595 rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Thiamethoxam (TM) is a typical representative of alkaline pesticide^{1,2} and has been widely used for pest control in foliar, soil and seed treatments.^{3,4} However, exposure to TM pesticide delivery is more likely to cause not only a short effective time for pests control but also low utilization of pesticide, resulting in a large amount of TM residues on crops, and in the soil and water. These pesticide residues represent pollution to plants, animals and the natural environment, especially in terms of groundwater contamination.⁵⁻⁷ Moreover, some research⁸⁻¹⁰ has shown that TM in crops and groundwater is highly toxic nature towards honey bees, causing a serious ecological problem. Hence, it is necessary to enhance the effectiveness and persistency of TM pesticides to decrease the environmental pollution and ecological damage.

Ultrasonic-assisted modification of a novel silkworm-excrement-based porous carbon with various Lewis acid metal ions for the sustained release of the pesticide thiamethoxam†

Yannan Wei, Yuxiang Wu, Qing Chang, Meixuan Xie, Xinhui Wang, Jinwen Mo, Xuekun He, Zhenxia Zhao* and Zhongxing Zhao ^b*

The ultrasonic-assisted modification of novel silkworm-excrement-based porous carbon (PCSE) with various Lewis acid metal ions (LAMIs) was prepared and applied for the sustained release of the pesticide thiamethoxam (TM). The effects of the LAMIs on the TM adsorption and release mechanism were analyzed and investigated using hard and soft acid–base theory (HSAB). The results showed that the prepared PCSE showed a micro/mesoporous structure and its adsorption capacity for TM reached 616.8 mg g^{-1} at 298 K. The LAMIs were coordinated with acid oxygen groups on the PCSE and were loaded as the corresponding metal oxides with a lower valence under an ultrasonic field. The low valence of the LAMIs significantly decreased the interaction between TM (hard base, χ = 4.08) and the LAMI-modified PCSEs due to the decrease in the local acidity of the PCSE. Thus, the release amount and release rate of TM from the LAMImodified PCSEs was successfully enhanced in the order of $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} < Cu^{2+}/Cu^{+} < Ag^{+}/Aq$. As a result, the obtained PCSEs modified by LAMIs showed a sustained release rate of 17.7–19.7 mg (g⁻¹ day⁻¹) for the first 10 days and a slow release rate of 2.2–2.3 mg $(g^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})$ within the next 30 days. According to actual TM usage conditions for some crops $(1.0 - 2.0 \text{ mg m}^{-2})$, only 0.50 g of TM-loaded PCSE can efficiently control the main pest of crops per square metre and can last for over 40 days. PAPER
 (a) Check for updates

SilkWorrm-excrement-based porous carbon with

silkworrm-excrement-based porous carbon with

various Lewis acid metal ions for the sustained

release of the pesticide thiamethoxam;

Yaman We

With the growth in requirement for reducing the applications and increasing the efficiency of pesticides, controlledrelease technology has received increasing attention over the last two to three decades. $11-13$ In this case, the design and development of controlled-release materials of pesticides are the key points of this technology, where the controlled-release materials used for this purpose include capsules, 14 polymers 15 and porous materials.¹⁶ Among these materials, porous materials are one kind of attractive material for pesticide delivery due to their tunable pore size and surface properties. For example, Cao and co-workers¹⁷ employed mesoporous silica nanoparticles as pesticide carriers for the controlled release of pyraclostrobin. Their adsorption capacity for pyraclostrobin reached 267 mg g^{-1} with higher release amounts (72%) of pyraclostrobin within 120 min. Shang¹⁸ reported a controlledrelease formula of avermectin prepared using an abamectinloaded porous acrylic resin as a carrier to decrease the release rate, with the result that the release process lasted for up to 15 days but with a very low adsorption capacity of 18 mg g^{-1} . From these reports, it can be seen that very few controlled-release materials yet possess a high adsorption capacity and sustainable-released for a long period simultaneously. Moreover, the synergistic effect mechanism of the surface property as well as the pore structure in pesticide delivery from porous

Guangxi Key Laboratory of Petrochemical Resource Processing and Process Intensification Technology, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China. E-mail: zhaozhenxia@gxu.edu.cn; zzxx@gxu. edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The molecular size and 3D structure of TM and kinetics of TM controlled-release from PCSEs. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04595f

materials has still not been clearly investigated. Thus, it remains a challenge to develop ideal controlled-release materials with the advantages of a high adsorption capacity and sustained release acting to a certain amount for a long time.

In this work, porous carbon derived from one kind of natural waste, namely silkworm excrement, was selected as a carrier for TM pesticide. Through calcination and KOH chemical activation, a hierarchical pore texture with a high surface area was obtained, forming a controlled-release material with a high adsorption capacity of the pesticide. We used an ultrasonicassisted modification method to modulate the surface acidity of the porous carbon silkworm excrement (PCSE) with different Lewis acid metal ions (LAMIs). The adsorption isotherms, adsorption kinetics and controlled-release kinetics from the modified samples were systemically measured. The hard and soft acid-base (HSAB) theory^{19,20} was used to calculate the TM base hardness, and to explain the corresponding effects of the surface acid properties on the interaction between TM and PCSEs, and then the release rate of TM from the LAMI-modified PCSEs was also investigated. Paper

Notes Article 2018 and the straight of the complete on the complete on the straight on the straight on the straight of the composition of the straight on the straight on the straight of the straight on the straight

Experimental

Reagents

Silkworm excrement was purchased from a farmer's market (Yi Zhou, China). TM (95.0%) was supplied by Guangxi Tian Yuan Biochemistry Co. Ltd. (Nanning, China). Fe(NO₃)₃ 6H₂O (AR, 99.0%), Cu(NO₃)₃.2H₂O (AR, 99.0%) and AgNO₃ (AR, 99.0%) were supplied by Aladdin Industrial Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China). Methanol for HPLC analysis was supplied by Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd. (USA). All the starting materials were commercially available reagents of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Synthesis of PCSE

The silkworm excrement (SE) was first washed with deionized water, filtered and dried at 110 \degree C overnight. Then, the SE was crushed to uniform distribution of particle sizes in the range of 1–2 mm. After drying, the resulting powder was heated to 850 $^{\circ}$ C at a rate of 5 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ and maintained at this temperature for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The obtained sample was cooled down to room temperature and denoted as CSE. Next, the obtained carbon CSE was mixed with KOH at a weight ratio of 1 : 6 (w/w), followed by thermal treatment at 800 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h in N_2 at a rate of 5 $^{\circ}\text{C}\text{ min}^{-1}$. The porous CSE (PCSE) was washed with 1.0 M HCl and 10 wt% HF at room temperature under stirring for 12 h to remove the residual KOH and silicon dioxide. After centrifugation and washing with water several times, the PCSE was dried and stored for further characterization.

PCSE modification with various Lewis acid metal ions

Several metal nitrates as Lewis acid metal ions (LAMIs) were chosen to modify the PCSE samples via an ultrasonic-assisted immersion method. The modification procedure was as follows: 1.00 g of PCSE was added into 0.1 M of metal nitrates solution to be treated by using ultrasonic-assisted impregnation

Thiamethoxam analytical method

TM was detected with a ZORBAX SB C_{18} column and a diode array detector (DAD) at 250 nm by RP-HPLC (Agilent 1260, USA). The mobile phase composed of water : methanol (75 : 25 v/v) was pumped by isocratic elution at 1.00 mL min⁻¹ for 20 min. The separation was carried out at 30 $^{\circ}$ C. Linear regression of the TM concentration $(y, \mu g)$ and absorbance (x, mAU) was performed to obtain a standard curve by using eqn (1):

$$
y = 36.969x - 1.738 \ (R^2 = 0.9999). \tag{1}
$$

Measurements of the adsorption isotherms and kinetics of TM

The adsorption equilibrium curves of TM onto the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs were obtained in a set of 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. 50 mg samples were added into 50.0 mL of TM aqueous solution with various initial concentrations (10.0– 600.0 mg L^{-1}). The obtained suspension was magnetically stirred at 30 \degree C for 48 h to reach adsorption/desorption equilibrium. The adsorption kinetics of TM onto the above samples was determined under the same conditions as that of the adsorption equilibrium measurements, except for withdrawing solution at regular intervals (0.25 mL). The withdrawing solution was centrifuged and filtered for HPLC measurement.

The adsorption uptake of TM by the above samples was calculated as follows:

$$
q_{\rm e} = \frac{(C_0 - C_{\rm e})V}{m} \tag{2}
$$

$$
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \tag{3}
$$

where C_0 , C_e and C_t (mg L⁻¹) are the liquid-phase concentrations of TM at initial time, equilibrium and time t (min), respectively, $V(L)$ is the volume of the solution, $m(g)$ is the mass of dried samples and q_e and q_t (mg g^{-1}) are the adsorption capacity at equilibrium and time t (min).

Thiamethoxam release kinetics from the PCSE and LAMImodified PCSEs

The TM-release kinetics performance was tested according to a previous test method reported in the literature.^{21,22} Before measurement, the TM adsorbed the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs samples were dried in a vacuum oven at 40 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 h. Then, the above samples were weighed and placed in a dialysis bag with 20.0 mL water. The dialysis bag was put in a 250 mL conical flask filled with 100.0 mL water. After that, it was kept in an isothermal water bath shaker with stirring at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. Finally, the concentration of TM was analyzed by HPLC using the method described above.

Characterization of the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs

The porosity of the PCSE and its LAMI-modified samples was determined using a Micromeritics ASAP 2460 analyzer. Prior to the adsorption measurements, the samples were activated at 150 °C for 8 h under a vacuum of 26.6 kPa. The specific surface areas and the micropore size distribution were calculated by using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and the Horvath– Kawazoe (H–K) methods, respectively. The mesopore size distribution as well as the mesopore volume were determined by using the Barret–Joyner–Hallender (BJH) model.

The surface morphology of the PCSE and LAMI-modified samples was observed by using the Hitach S-3400N type scanning electron microscopy (SEM) system equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX, Tokyo, Japan). The crystal structure was obtained by X-ray diffraction (RIGAKU, Japan) using a monochromatized X-ray beam with nickel filtered Cu K_a radiation and a 2[°] min⁻¹ scan rate.

The surface element components of the PCSE and its LAMImodified samples were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on a PHI 5000C ESCA (PHI, USA) at high voltage 14.0 kV, 300 W and 93.9 eV. The surface functional groups of LAMI-modified PCSEs were quantitative analyzed by Boehm titration (SI Analytics-SCHOTT TitroLine Easy).^{23,24}

SEM images and EDX mapping of SE, CSE, PCSE and LAMI-

Fig. 1 shows the SEM images and elemental composition of SE, CSE and PCSE. As seen, the original SE had a very smooth surface with a wrinkled structure (Fig. 1a). After being heated at high temperature, the SE suffered an incomplete carbonization to become CSE. The morphology of the surface wrinkles on the CSE sample showed a strong contraction (Fig. 1b). Unlike SE, some small cracks and fine particles appeared on the CSE surface. After being activated by KOH, the morphology of CSE dramatically changed. As shown in Fig. 1c, the wrinkles on the surface have disappeared on the PCSE, while a honeycomb-like surface with a large number of tiny holes have appeared over the entire part. This indicated that KOH had destroyed the smooth and wrinkled surface through the activation and pyrolysis process.

Also, EDX analysis was used to measure the changes of the elements of the above samples (SE, CSE and PCSE), whose results are listed in the corresponding SEM images. From the elemental analysis in Fig. 1, it can be seen that the original SE was rich in carbon, nitrogen and oxygen functional groups, like other natural biomass materials. During the calcination process, a large amount of oxygen groups was removed from the CSE substrate, resulting in C element enrichment in the CSE sample. The O content presents a slight increase after being activated by KOH. The KOH activation process can generate oxygen-containing groups on the carbon surfaces, thus resulting in an increasing O content in the activated samples. **EXAMPRESS Articles** Consentration of TM was analyzed by IIFLC using the presentation article. Published article. Consentration of the PCS and its LAMF modelled **PCSS**

CAR center (*PCS*) Consentration of the PCSS and its

Fig. 2 shows the elemental mapping images of Fe, Cu and Ag on the corresponding surface LAMI-modified PCSEs (FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE), respectively. The SEM images of FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE display a honeycomb-like surface with a large number of tiny holes, similar to the morphology of the PCSE sample. As can be seen from this figure, elements of Fe, Cu and Ag were dispersed into the carbon matrix homogeneously and their contents in all the samples were approximately in the range of 0.62–0.77 at%.

Fig. 1 SEM images and elemental compositions of (a) SE, (b) CSE and (c) PCSE.

Fig. 2 EDX mapping and the corresponding SEM images of the LAMImodified PCSE samples: (a) FN-PCSE, (b) CN-PCSE and (c) AN-PCSE.

PXRD analysis

The PXRD patterns of the original SE, calcined CSE and activated PCSE are depicted in Fig. 3a. Four sharp diffraction peaks in the SE samples were observed at $15.23/24.65^{\circ}$ and $26.79/$ 29.32°, which represent the CaCO₃ and $SiO₂$ crystals appearing in the SE sample. After being calcined at high temperature, $CaCO₃$ was first decomposed into CaO and then removed by $H₂O$. Thus, almost no CaCO₃ was detected in the CSE sample. Meanwhile, two broad peaks located at 23° and 43° appeared in the CSE samples, which correspond to the (002) and (100) crystal planes of a typical graphitic structure. The PXRD pattern of PCSE was found to be almost identical to that of the CSE, except for the absence of $SiO₂$ crystal peaks. This means that the graphitic carbon structure in CSE was not damaged after the KOH activation and HF washing. Fig. 3b shows the PXRD patterns of PCSE modified with and without LAMIs (FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE, AN-PCSE and PCSE). No other obvious diffraction peaks of these samples were detected in the samples being modified by different ions. As far as we know, the smaller the crystallite size is, the weaker is the diffraction peak intensity of the crystallite.²⁵ When the crystallite size is small enough, the diffraction peak intensity of the crystallite would become too

weak to be detected. Therefore, it was deduced that metal ions were dispersed uniformly into the PCSE with a very small crystallite size through the ultrasonic-assisted impregnation. This could also be evidenced by the EDX results.

XPS analysis

To better understand the formation species of the LAMI-modified PCSEs, we next employed X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis to investigate coordination position and the mode of the metal ion centre. Fig. 4 shows the metal XPS spectrum (Fe 2p, Cu 2p and Ag 3d) of FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE, respectively. The Fe 2p XPS spectrum of FN-PCSE was curve-fitted into three peaks with binding energies at 710.7 (Fe^{3+}) , 714.3 (Fe^{3+}) and 724.4 eV (Fe^{2+}) in Fig. 4a. The fitting calculation shows that the reduced Fe^{2+} species hold about 26.2% of the whole Fe species. This means that Ferric iron was partly reduced during Fe anchoring on the carbon surface. This phenomenon also happened on the other two samples (CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE). As shown in Fig. 4b and c, $Cu(II)$ and Ag (I) species were also reduced to $Cu⁺$ (931.6 and 951.5 eV) and metallic Ag (374.2 eV). Their proportions were 25.2% and 44.8% for $Cu⁺$ and metallic Ag, respectively. This is relatively rare in the solvent immersion method involving metal ions with low-temperature drying. However, with the ultrasonic-assisted irradiation, the metal ions were partly reduced in the ultrasonic loading process due to the cavitation, the mechanical function and the thermal effects.²⁶ The lower valence state would lead to the surface shifting to softer acids based from HSAB theory.²⁷ **Example 1998**
 Open Access Article is limit to the contrast article is limit to the three on 09 years and the three online is the set of the s

Also, the C component in the LAMI-modified PCSEs was measured by using XPS analysis, and the results are shown in Fig. 5. All of the samples show three C 1s peaks in their XPS spectra, and their atomic concentrations for each bond are listed in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 5, sp^3C , C–O and O–C $=$ O bonds were all detected in the LAMI-modified PCSEs with various contents. This can be probably attributed to the variation of covalent coordination of the different metal ions; among which, $Fe(\text{II/III})$ has the strongest capability of coordination in the form of sexadentate (Table 1). Thus, it shows the lowest proportion of the hydroxyl (phenol or aliphatic, 14.8%) and carboxyl groups (5.9%) in the FN-PCSE sample (Fig. 5a and Table 1), while the hydroxyl (phenol or aliphatic, 14.8%) and carboxyl groups (5.9%) in the CN-PCSE sample are the middle, as shown in

Fig. 3 (a) PXRD patterns of SE, CSE and PCSE, (b) PXRD patterns of PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE.

Fig. 4 XPS spectra and their deconvolution profiles of (a) Fe 2p spectrum of FN-PCSE, (b) Cu 2p spectrum of CN-PCSE and (c) Ag 3d spectrum of AN-PCSE.

Fig. 5b. On the contrary, Ag(I) has the weakest capability of coordination with oxygen groups. Besides, part of Ag(I) was converted to metallic silver. After metal coordination, the oxygen groups were combusted and probably transformed to

corresponding metal oxides (Fig. 5c and Table 1). Therefore, the LAMI's modification cannot only affect the surface acidity and basicity, but can also change the oxygen species and content in the samples.

Fig. 5 XPS spectra and their deconvolution profiles of C 1s spectra: (a) FN-PCSE, (b) CN-PCSE and (c) AN-PCSE.

 a mol%: mole percentage.

N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms of PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE

 N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms and the textural properties of PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE are displayed in Fig. 6a and Table 2, respectively. As shown, the N_2 isotherm of the PCSE sample shows a type IV isotherm with an hysteresis loop $(H4)$,²⁸ suggesting that PCSE possessed a hierarchical micro/mesoporous structure. Its BET surface areas and total volume were calculated to be 1214.1 $\mathrm{m^{2}\,g^{-1}}$ and 0.670 $\mathrm{cm^{3}\,g^{-1}},$ respectively. Its micropore area and micropore volume were 635.4 m^2 g^{-1} and 0.292 cm^3 g^{-1} . The H4 hysteresis loop was indicative of the existence of some slit-shaped mesopores in this PCSE matrix.

After being modified by the LAMIs, the samples showed a little decrease in their surface area, but almost no changes in their pore sizes (Fig. 6a and b). The LAMImodified PCSEs generally lost appropriately 6–12% of their surface area in comparison with the original PCSE sample. This was mainly due to the occupation of pore space by loading the metal species. However, the high dispersion and no serious aggregations of metal species did not cause a severe blockage of the pore structure. The values of their BET surface area were in the order of PCSE > FN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > AN-PCSE. Among which, AN-PCSE declined the most with a 12% drop in surface area. The possible reason for this was that the reduced metallic silver appeared on the surface of AN-PCSE sample, which aggregated into relatively larger particles and blocked some pores. However, it is worth mentioning that the micropore volume and micropore surface area for the LAMI-modified samples (Table 2) were higher than for PCSE before modification. These new generated micropores may probably come from the partly blocked mesopores by the metal species. As a result, the total

pore volume decreased, while the microporous volume increased slightly.

The DFT pore size distributions of these samples before and after modification are also shown in Fig. 6b. It can be seen that PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE show a very similar profile, having two peaks at 15.9 and 27.3 \AA , respectively. However, there was a much narrower microporosity in the range of $15.9-18.4$ Å for the LAMI-modified samples, which would be helpful to adsorb and control TM release.

Boehm titration analysis

The amounts of acid oxygen functional groups on PCSE and the LAMI-modified PCSEs surface detected by Boehm titration are listed in Table 3. The acid oxygen functional groups on the LAMI-modified PCSE samples surface, mainly including carboxylic and phenolic groups, showed a dramatic decrease in content compared to the PCSE sample following the order of AN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > FN-PCSE. The decline of the acid oxygen groups in the LAMI-modified samples was attributed to the oxygen consumption by metal ions coordination. In this case, some acid oxygen groups were coordinated with metal ions, and then transformed into metal–oxygen clusters. Besides, the stronger coordination capability of the metal ion, the lower acid O group content is left. Among these metal ions, $Fe(\text{II}/\text{III})$ possessed the strongest coordination towards oxygen groups on the carbon surface. Hence, FN-PCSE was left with the least acid O group content on its surface (Table 3), which was consistent with the XPS results. Paper

Take 1 Accris concentration for μ ²C C. and D-C-- Doris in merced of given and the metropology article is licensed on the common access Article is licensed under the metropology of the common access Article is

Local acid–base hardness of TM

The adsorbate properties, including molecular size/shape, polarity and acid–base hardness, are crucial to affect the adsorption capacity and release rate on/from adsorbents, respectively.²⁹–³¹ In this work, we tried to use density functional theory (DFT) to evaluate the molecular 3D structure, the absolute hardness and the electronegativity of TM. The calculation formula used is as follows:³²

$$
\eta = \frac{(I - A)}{2} \tag{4}
$$

where η , the absolute hardness (always positive), is half the difference between I, the ionization energy, and A, the electron affinity. I and A can be found out by using eqn (5) and (6) .

Fig. 6 (a) Isotherms of nitrogen adsorption and (b) the pore size distributions for PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE.

Table 2 Textural properties of PCSE, FN-PCSE, CN-PCSE and AN-PCSE

Sample	BET surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Micropore surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Total pore volume $\rm (cm^3 \, g^{-1})$	Micropore volume $\rm (cm^3 \, g^{-1})$	Pore size \check{A}
PCSE	1214.1	635.4	0.670	0.292	2.21
FN-PCSE	1146.8	670.6	0.615	0.310	2.14
CN-PCSE	1099.9	656.3	0.638	0.343	2.37
AC-PCSE	1070.2	643.2	0.622	0.324	2.38

Table 3 Contents of surface oxygen-containing functional groups of PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs

 a at%: the atomic percentage of elements.

Fig. 7 TM population of Frontier orbitals: (a) LUMO and (b) HOMO.

$$
I = -E_{\text{HOMO}} \tag{5}
$$

$$
A = -ELUMO
$$
 (6)

Inserting eqn (5) and (6) into eqn (4) , we get:

$$
\eta = \frac{I - A}{2} = -\frac{(E_{\text{HOMO}} - E_{\text{LUMO}})}{2}
$$
(7)

and

$$
\chi = \frac{I + A}{2} = -\frac{(E_{\text{HOMO}} + E_{\text{LUMO}})}{2}
$$
 (8)

where E_{LUMO} is the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy, E_{HOMO} is the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy and χ is the electronegativity.³³ The charge distribution and $E_{\text{LUMO}}/E_{\text{HOMO}}$ of the TM molecule were calculated by using Gaussian09 software on the basis set of 6-31G (D, P), respectively. The calculated population of Frontier orbitals about HOMO and LUMO as well as their values are shown and listed in Fig. 7 and Table 4, respectively. From Table 4, the absolute electronegativity (χ) of the TM molecule was appropriately 4.076. In terms of Pearson hard-soft base classification,³⁴ the TM molecule ($\chi \approx 4.08$) could be classified as

a hard base ($\chi > 3$). Besides, the molecular size of TM (Fig. S1[†]) was calculated to be 6.03 \times 11.11 \times 11.25 Å followed by optimization of the TM geometry using the Materials Studio (Version 5.0), and was found to be similar to the pore size of PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs.

Adsorption isotherms of TM

Fig. 8a shows the isotherms of TM on the PCSE and LAMImodified PCSEs. All of the isotherms are of a type I shape, suggesting a strong adsorption interaction between TM and PCSEs at very low concentration levels of TM solution. As shown, the adsorption capacities of TM reached up to 616.8 mg g^{-1} at 298 K. After being LAMI-modified, their adsorption capacities were slightly decreased. The probable reasons for this were attributed to the decrease of the sample specific surface area and the change in sample surface properties (oxygen groups and metal sites).

In order to find out the extent that these two factors have on TM adsorption, the adsorption capacity was recalculated based on the per unit surface area and unit mass instead of the per unit mass of the four adsorbents, with the results shown in Fig. 8b. In the figure, it still shows a similar adsorption trend as Fig. 8a regardless of their changes in surface area. Obviously, the decrease of surface area by modification was not a crucial factor in the decline of the adsorption capacity. As seen in Fig. 8b, the TM adsorption capacity in TM uptake per unit surface area and unit mass decreased in the order of AN-PCSE

Fig. 8 Adsorption isotherm curves of TM on PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs based on (a) the unit mass and fitted by using the Langmuir equation and (b) based on the unit surface area and unit mass.

 $(528.3 \, {\rm mg} \, {\rm g}^{-1})$ > CN-PCSE $(509.9 \, {\rm mg} \, {\rm g}^{-1})$ > FN-PCSE $(485.6 \, {\rm mg}$ g^{-1}), which was very consistent with the decreasing trend of acid oxygen content in the samples estimated by the Boehm titration measurements. Thus, based on the similar content of metal on the LAMI-modified PCSEs (from EDX results), the acid oxygen groups on the LAMI-modified PCSEs had a greater impact on the adsorption capacity of hard base TM. In our case, a higher content of oxygen groups means more adsorption sites exist on the LAMI-modified PCSEs towards TM.

To describe the adsorption behaviour of TM, Langmuir and Freundlich equations were separately applied to fit the experimental isotherm data of TM adsorption on the PCSE and LAMImodified PCSEs.

The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms³⁵⁻³⁷ are given by eqn (9) and (10):

$$
\frac{C_{\rm e}}{q_{\rm e}} = \frac{1}{Q_{\rm m}K_{\rm L}} + \frac{C_{\rm e}}{Q_{\rm m}}\tag{9}
$$

$$
q_{\rm e} = K_{\rm F} C_{\rm e}^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{10}
$$

where $q_{\rm e}$ (mg ${\rm g}^{-1})$ is the maximum adsorption capacity and $K_{\rm L}$ (L mg⁻¹) the equilibrium adsorption or distribution constant; $K_{\mathrm{F}} \, (\mathrm{mg} \ \mathrm{g}^{-1} \, (\mathrm{L} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1})^{1/n}),$ defined as the adsorption or distribution coefficient, is related to the adsorption capacity and therefore is a measure of the affinity of the adsorbent for the adsorbate; n is a measure of the adsorption intensity and gives an indication of the favourability of the adsorption.

The non-linear curve fits of the adsorption isotherms data of TM on the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs were performed, and all of the constants and correlation coefficients gained from the two above isotherm models are summarized in Table 5.

Clearly, the Langmuir isotherm yielded a better fit with higher $R²$ values (higher than 0.997) than the Freundlich isotherm, indicating that the Langmuir isotherm is more suitable for describing the TM adsorption of PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. This implied that monolayer adsorption happened on the PCSEs surface with no interaction or very weak interaction between the TM molecules themselves. This means that the increase of adsorption ability in Langmuir model obviously depends on the surface properties and microspore of PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. From Table 5, the value of K_L decreased in the order of PCSE > FN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > AN-PCSE, suggesting a declining trend for the adsorption intensity from FN-PCSE to AN-PCSE. According to the "hard and soft acid-base theory" (HSAB) of R. G. Pearson,³⁸ the hardness of Lewis acids on PCSEs decreased in the order of PCSE > FN-PCSE > CN-PCSE $>$ AN-PCSE, which was similar to the trend of their K_L towards TM molecules. This suggests that TM molecules can form strong interaction with a surface having a high hardness of acids. With the decrease in hardness acids, the interaction between TM and PCSEs showed a declining trend. This is entirely consistent with the "hard and soft acid-base theory". Paper Were the common in the same of $\frac{1}{2}$ Published on 2017. Downloaded the common in the same of the same o

Adsorption kinetics

Fig. 9a shows the TM adsorption uptake on PCSE and LAMImodified PCSEs at 303 K. It can also be found that the TM equilibrium adsorption amount decreases in the order of PCSE > AN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > FN-PCSE. TM exhibited a fast adsorption rate on all of the PCSE samples. About 50% of TM saturated adsorption was achieved after only 40 min oscillation (designated as fast adsorption stage), and they could reach absorption equilibrium within 1000 min.

Fig. 9 (a) TM transient adsorption uptakes on the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs at 303 K. (b) Dependence of $-\ln(1 - q_t/q_e)$ of TM adsorption on time for the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs at 303 K.

Table 6 Kinetic constants for TM adsorption on PCSE and LAMI modified PCSEs

Materials	q_e (mg g ⁻¹)	K_1 (g mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹) $\times 10^2$	R^2
PCSE	546.61	2.12	0.9932
FN-PCSE	452.12	1.81	0.9955
CN-PCSE	472.98	1.51	0.9937
AN-PCSE	494.15	1.34	0.9966

To further show the effects of LAMIs modification on the TM diffusion rate, the pseudo-first-order formula in eqn (11) was utilized to fit the data of the fast adsorption stage on PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. The uptake curves are plotted as the fractional uptake $\left(\ln\left(1-\frac{q_t}{q_e}\right)\right)$ versus adsorption time (t < 40 min) in Fig. 9b, and the fitting parameters are shown in Table 6.

$$
\ln\left(1-\frac{q_t}{q_e}\right) = -k_1t\tag{11}
$$

where $q_{\rm e}$ $({\rm mg\,\,g^{-1}})$ and q_t $({\rm mg\,\,g^{-1}})$ are the amounts of TM adsorbed at equilibrium and any time t (min), respectively, and k_1 (1/min) is the adsorption rate constant.

It can be seen from Fig. 9b that eqn (11) fitted the experimental data reasonably well. The R^2 value of pseudo-first-order kinetic model in Table 6 was about 0.99 and the calculated q_e was very close to the experimental data. Thus, the kinetics data fits well with the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. Parameter k_1 represents the adsorption rate, which was thought to be mainly governed by the microporous and surface diffusion. As seen above in Fig. 6b, these PCSE samples possessed very similar micropore size distribution. It could thus be deduced that k_1 was mostly affected by the surface properties of the PCSEs. Clearly, the LAMI-modified PCSEs had a decreasing value of k_1 (slop) for TM adsorption compared to the original PCSE (Table 6). Among these modified samples, AN-PCSE (Ag modified sample) possessed the smallest value of k_1 . In our case, the low value of k_1 represented a weak interaction between TM and the adsorbents. Hence, it means that the interaction between TM and PCSEs decreased with the hardness acid of LAMIs. After being modified by LAMIs, a portion of the acid oxygen groups were coordinated with metal ions, thereby leading to a decrease

in the total acidity on the surface. Moreover, the interaction between TM and the LAMI-modified PCSEs decreased with the surface-acidic hardness of the loading metal species. As a result, the k_1 values of the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs were given in a descending order as: PCSE > AN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > FN-PCSE.

TM controlled-release kinetics

Fig. 10a shows the controlled-release kinetics of TM on PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. It can be seen that TM was released from the PCSEs at a much slower speed than the adsorption kinetics. The total controlled-release process for TM could be divided into two stages: a fast-sustained release stage and a slow release stage. For fast-sustained release stage, 40–50% adsorbed TM on the four samples was released into water within 10 days. And then, it took at least 25–30 days to release another 10–20% of the adsorbed TM during a slow release stage.

In order to value or compare the TM-release behaviour of the PCSE before and after LAMIs modification, we first used a pseudo-second-order model to describe the total release process and to determine their release rates. The released rate, k_d , was assumed to follow pseudo-second-order kinetics with the following equation used to describe this:³⁹

$$
\frac{1}{(q_t - q_d)} - \frac{1}{(q_e - q_d)} = -k_d t
$$
 (12)

where $q_{\rm d}$ $(\rm{mg\ g}^{-1})$ and q_t $(\rm{mg\ g}^{-1})$ are the amounts of TM released at equilibrium and any time t (day), respectively, and k_d $(g (mg⁻¹ day⁻¹))$ is the release rate constant.

Fig. S2[†] shows the dependence of $1/(q_t - q_d) + 1/(q_e - q_d)$ of TM controlled-release on the time for the PCSE and LAMImodified PCSEs, and the fitting parameters are summarized in Table S1.† The TM-release behaviour did not conform to the pseudo-second-order model for the entire release process on PCSEs, and the obtained correlation coefficients were only about 0.95–0.98.

Next, we used the pseudo-second-order model to describe the fast-sustained release stage $(t < 10$ days) and slow release stage $(t > 20$ days) and to determine their release rates, respectively. The fitting curves are shown in Fig. 10b and c. From the slopes of these lines, the release rate constants of TM were obtained and are listed in Table 7. The separate fitting results

Fig. 10 (a) PM controlled-release kinetic curves for the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. (b) Dependence of $1/(q_t - q_d) + 1/(q_e - q_d)$ of TM fast release on time for the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs. (c) Dependence of $1/(q_t - q_d) + 1/(q_e - q_d)$ of TM slow release on time for the PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs.

Table 7 Kinetic constants for TM controlled-release on PCSE and LAMI modified PCSEs

show very high correlation coefficients (>0.995) for both release processes, indicating that the fast-sustained release kinetics and slow release kinetics can be governed by a pseudo-secondorder model, separately. From the obtained release rate constants in Table 7, the values of k_{d1} were in the range of 0.79– $1.60 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g (mg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})$, which was 1.7–3.5 times more than that of k_{d2} . It can be deduced that all four PCSE samples had a heterogonous surface property: strong adsorption sites and weak adsorption sites, corresponding to slow release and fastsustained release.

For the fast-sustained release stage, the extreme limit of the releasing proportion was only about 39.4% of TM saturated uptake on the PCSE sample. This value was obviously lower than that on the LAMI-modified PCSE samples, suggesting a weak adsorption interaction with TM and thus a higher release amount of TM from the LAMI-modified PCSEs. The values of k_{d1} increased for the LAMI-modified PCSEs in the order of FN-PCSE

< CN-PCSE < AN-PCSE, indicating a release rate of TM representing the following order of AN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > FN-PCSE. This order was opposed to the adsorption interaction of TM and PCSEs.

Clearly, the surface acidity of the PCSE became decreased after sample loading with metal species. In terms of the Pearson hard-soft base classification, Fe^{3+} belongs to a hard acid, while Fe^{2+} and Cu²⁺ belong to borderline/inter acids and Cu⁺, Ag⁺ and metallic Ag belong to soft acids.⁴⁰ Combined with the results of the XPS characterization, the acid hardness of these metal species shows a decreasing order of $Fe^{3+} > Fe^{2+} > Cu^{2+} > Cu^{+} >$ $Ag⁺ > Ag.$ Thus, it brought about a decreasing acidic hardness of PCSE > FN-PCSE > CN-PCSE > AN-PCSE. It is known that the interaction between species whose hardnesses are approximately equal is energetically favoured, relative to the interaction between species whose hardnesses are very different from each other.⁴¹

TM, as a typical hard base molecule, is preferentially attracted to a surface with hard acidic sites but not to a surface with soft and intermediate acidic sites. Thus, it can be predicted that the AN-PCSE loading of metallic $Ag/Ag⁺$ would form the weakest interaction towards TM molecules among the four PCSE samples. As a result, AN-PCSE possessed the fastest release rate and largest release amount of TM in the fastsustained release stage. In addition, it is worth pointing out that the total release amount of TM was significantly increased, but a discrepancy in the release rates in the two stages gradually diminished with the decrease in adsorbent–TM interaction. The above results indicated that the decrease in the local hard acidity of the surfaces can weaken the interaction between TM and the surfaces, and thus can lead to an increase in the release rate and total release amount of TM from PCSEs adsorbents. This was in accordance with the variation trend of the adsorption and release kinetics data, as shown in Tables 6 and 7. Besides, this trend was also found in the slow release stage. **BSC Advances** Worwide on 1992

TM, as a typical hard base moderate beams are related on the matrice related on 2017. On the matrix of the common of the matrix of th

On average, the obtained modified PCSEs by LAMIs showed a fast-sustained release rate of 17.7–19.7 ${\rm mg}\,({\rm g}^{-1}~{\rm day}^{-1})$ for the first 10 days and a slow release rate of 2.2–2.3 mg $(g^{-1}~{\rm day}^{-1})$ within the next 20–25 days. According to the actual application dosage of TM pesticide for some crops $(1.0-2.0 \text{ mg m}^{-2})^{42,43}$ only 0.50 g of TM-loaded PCSE can efficiently control the main pest of crops per square metre and can last for over 40 days.

Conclusions

Porous carbon derive from silkworm excrement (PCSE) was obtained and modified with Lewis acid metal ions for TM pesticide controlled release. PCSE had a hierarchical pore structure and high surface area (1214.1 $\mathrm{m^{2}}$ $\mathrm{g^{-1}}$) after being treated with two-step silkworm excrement calcination and KOH activation. The effects of loading Fe^{3+} , Cu²⁺ and Ag⁺ in PCSE on the interaction of TM with carbon surfaces and its release rate from PCSEs were investigated systematically. From the discussion, the following conclusions may be drawn: (1) PCSE possessed a very high adsorption capacity of TM at 298 K. Ultrasonic-assisted impregnation facilitated loading "soft/ intermediate acid" metal sites and enhanced their dispersion in carbon hosts. The contents of acidic oxygen groups (carboxylic and phenolic) showed a significant decline after LAMIs modification. (2) The TM molecule has an absolute electronegativity (χ) of 4.08 and was thus classified as a typical hard base. (3) The loading of acid ion Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+}/Cu^{+} or Ag⁺/Ag on the PCSEs decreases the local acid sites and weakens the interaction towards "hard-base" TM. (4) The total controlledrelease process of TM from PCSEs could be divided into two stages: a fast-sustained release stage (first 10 days) and a slow release stage (20–35 days). Both stages can be matched to the pseudo-second-order model. Moreover, the TM-release rate from PCSE and LAMI-modified PCSEs showed a decreasing order of AN-PCSE $(Ag) > CN$ -PCSE $(Cu) > FN$ -PCSE $(Fe) > PCSE$, which was opposed to the adsorption interaction between TM and PCSEs. As a conclusion and in summary, it was proven that surface treatment with low valence states of metal ions (softintermediate acids) can efficiently weaken the interaction

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31401629, 21666004, 21676059 and 21376090), Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China (No. 2016JJA120072), Scientific Research Foundation of Guangxi University (Grant No. XGZ130963) and Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (No. 201610593169).

References

- 1 R. Karmakar, S. B. Singh and G. Kulshrestha, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B, 2009, 44, 435–441.
- 2 C. Chen, F. M. Liu, T. F. Fan and Q. R. Peng, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 58106–58112.
- 3 N. Prabhaker, S. J. Castle, S. E. Naranjo, N. C. Toscano and J. G. Morse, J. Econ. Entomol., 2001, 104, 773–781.
- 4 R. Nauen, U. Ebbinghaus-Kintscher, V. L. Salgado and M. Kaussmann, Pestic. Biochem. Physiol., 2003, 76, 55–69.
- 5 Y. Xiang, N. Wang, J. Song, D. Cai and Z. Wu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2013, 61, 5215–5219.
- 6 D. Cai, L. Wang, G. Zhang, X. Zhang and Z. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 9212–9216.
- 7 W. X. Zhang, J. Nanopart. Res., 2003, 5, 323–332.
- 8 M. Henry, M. Beguin, F. Requier, O. Rollin, J. Odoux, P. Aupinel, J. Aptel, S. Tchamitchian and A. Decourtye, Science, 2012, 336, 348–350.
- 9 D. Guez, Front. Physiol., 2013, 4, 1–3.
- 10 M. Henry, M. Beguin, F. Requier, O. Rollin, J. Odoux, P. Aupinel, J. Aptel, S. Tchamitchian and A. Decourtye, Science, 2012, 337, 1453b.
- 11 A. R. Kulkarni, K. S. Soppimath, T. M. Aminabhavi, A. M. Dave and M. H. Mehta, J. Controlled Release, 2000, 63, 97–105.
- 12 J. Hill, E. Nelson, D. Tilman, S. Polasky and D. Tiffany, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2006, 103, 11206–11210.
- 13 J. Lehmann, M. C. Rillig, J. Thies, C. A. Masiello, W. C. Hockaday and D. Crowley, Soil Biol. Biochem., 2011, 43, 1812–1836.
- 14 P. G. Shukla, B. Kalidhass, A. Shah and D. V. Palaskar, J. Microencapsulation, 2002, 19, 293–304.
- 15 I. Ferrer, F. Lanza, A. Tolokan, V. Horvath, B. Sellergren, G. Horvai and D. Barcelo, Anal. Chem., 2000, 72, 3934–3941.
- 16 P. Kinnari, E. Makila, T. Heikkila, J. Salonen, J. Hirvonen and H. A. Santos, Int. J. Pharm., 2011, 414, 148–156.
- 17 L. Cao, H. Zhang, C. Cao, J. Zhang, F. Li and Q. Huang, J. Nanomater., 2016, 6, 1–13.
- 18 Q. Shang, T. Zheng, Y. Zhang, J. Yin, Y. Shi and J. Iran, Polymer, 2012, 21, 731–738.
- 19 P. W. Ayers, R. G. Parr and R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 124, 1–9.
- 20 R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Sci., 2005, 117, 369–377.
- 21 H. Chen, Y. Lin, H. Zhou, X. Zhou, S. Gong and H. Xu, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2016, 64, 8095–8102.
- 22 S. T. Kurwadkar, D. Dewinne, R. Wheat, D. G. Mcgahan and F. L. Mitchell, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B, 2013, 48, 237– 242. Paper

21 H. Chen, Y. Lin, Elion, X. Phon, S. Gony and H. Xu, J. 22 Q. Xu, Z. Lin, Lino, Z. Zhang and H. X., J. Hence, Maccess Article. Published on 2018.

22. Brickle. Published on 2018. Access ARTICLE Article. Commons At
	- 23 G. M. S. ElShafei, I. M. A. ElSherbiny, A. S. Darwish and C. A. Philip, Chem. Eng. Res. Des., 2014, 92, 461–470.
	- 24 J. Zhang, Y. Duan, Q. Zhou, C. Zhu, M. She and W. Ding, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 294, 281–289.
	- 25 X. Li, L. Wang, Q. Xia, Z. Liu and Z. Li, Catal. Commun., 2011, 14, 15–19.
	- 26 J. Xiao, G. Bian, W. Zhang and Z. Li, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2010, 55, 5818–5823.
	- 27 Q. Xia, Z. Li, L. Xiao, Z. Zhang and H. Xi, J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, 179, 790–794.
	- 28 S. J. Gregg and K. S. W. Sing, J. Phys. Chem. C, 1951, 55, 592– 597.
	- 29 R. Q. Long and R. T. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 2058– 2059.
	- 30 K. Zhang, W. H. Cheung and M. Valix, Chemosphere, 2005, 60, 1129–1140.
	- 31 X. Li, Z. Li, Q. Xia, H. Xi and Z. Zhao, Adsorpt. Sci. Technol., 2006, 24, 363–374.
- 32 Q. Xia, Z. Li, L. Xiao, Z. Zhang and H. Xi, J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, 179, 790–794.
- 33 A. Alfarra, E. Frackowiak and F. Beguin, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2004, 228, 84–92.
- 34 R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Water Works Assoc., 1963, 85, 3533– 3539.
- 35 T. Liu, Y. Li, Q. Du, J. Sun, Y. Jiao, G. Yang, Z. Wang, Y. Xia, W. Zhang, K. Wang, H. Zhu and D. Wu, Colloids Surf., B, 2012, 90, 197–203.
- 36 S. H. Wang, H. Y. Niu and T. Zeng, CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 5598–5607.
- 37 X. Rong, F. Qiu, C. Zhang, L. Fu, Y. Wang and D. Yang, Powder Technol., 2015, 275, 322–328.
- 38 R. G. Pearson and E. O. Afoakwa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 7512–7516.
- 39 S. Shakoor and A. Nasar, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2016, 66, 154–163.
- 40 R. G. Pearson, Science, 1966, 151, 172–177.
- 41 J. L. Gazquez, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1997, 101, 4657–4659.
- 42 M. Tomizawa and J. E. Casida, Annu. Rev. Entomol., 2005, 45, 247–268.
- 43 M. Tomizawa and J. E. Casida, Annu. Rev. Entomol., 2003, 48, 339–364.