RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 31493

Received 24th April 2017 Accepted 13th June 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04608a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Biotransformation of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) by environmental strains of filamentous fungi†

Ewa Kozłowska, (1)*** Monika Urbaniak,^b Anna Kancelista,^c Monika Dymarska,^a Edyta Kostrzewa-Susłow,^a Łukasz Stępień (1)*** and Tomasz Janeczko (1)***

Microbial transformations of steroids are an important method of obtaining new steroid derivatives of potential pharmaceutical activity, which follows the principles of green chemistry. We studied the ability of selected filamentous fungus species to transform dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and interesting DHEA derivatives were obtained. Twenty-five strains of filamentous fungi were isolated from soil and air in Wroclaw and they were used as biocatalysts. Strains of the genus *Penicillium* transformed the substrate into the products of Baeyer–Villiger lactonization in the D ring. Biotransformation of DHEA by *Fusarium acuminatum* KCh S1 strain led to a stereoselective hydroxylation at 7 α (the product was obtained with 97% conversion). Androst-5-ene-3 β , 7α , 17α -triol, compound with high anticancer activity towards glioblastoma and lymphoma cell lines was obtained with 65% yield in the culture of *Mucor hiemalis* KCh W2 strain. In the culture of *Aspergillus versicolor* KCh TJ1 strain, DHEA was transformed into androst-1,4-diene-3,17-dione (ADD) with high isolated yield.

Steroid drugs, besides antibiotics, are the largest group of medicines that are used to treat and prevent various diseases. These compounds have anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, immunomodulatory, anti-androgenic, anti-estrogenic, anti-tumor, antiviral, antibacterial, and hypotensive properties, as well as being widely known sex hormones.¹⁻⁶

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) is a mammalian hormone, which is transformed *in vivo* into androgens and estrogens.⁷ The majority of DHEA found in blood is produced in the *zona reticularis* of the adrenal cortex. Very small amounts may be produced also in testicles, ovaries and brain cells.⁸ The majority of DHEA in mammalian blood is in the form of biologically inactive hydrophilic sulphate, which after hydrolysis by steroid sulphatase can be further transformed.⁹

DHEA's action is a result of combined influence on various receptors and enzymatic pathways. It is proven that DHEA and its metabolites can modulate the level of nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species and interleukin-2, as well as may interact with Sigma-1 receptor, $G\alpha_1^2$ and $G\alpha_1^3$ receptors, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)- α , receptors for pregnane X (PXR), androstanol, estrogen β (ER β), γ -aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) and *N*-methyl-*D*-aspartate (NMDA).^{8,10–12} This widespread mechanism of action can lead to multiple applications in the treatment of *e.g.*: elderly, menopause, osteoporosis, ovarian dysfunction, Crohn's disease, systemic lupus erythrematosus, asthma and Alzheimer's disease.^{8,13,14} However, more side effects are recognised because of low DHEA specificity of action. Conversion of DHEA to androgens in female body leads to elevated testosterone level, which cause acne, increased facial, axillary and pubic hair growth.^{7,8,15} A solution could be the application of derivatives with greater specificity, higher activity than their precursors and no-sex hormone activity.⁶

Due to widespread application of steroid compounds in medicine, there is a need for an efficient method for production of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and their key intermediates, and also for new derivatives with stronger biological activity.¹⁶ Biotransformations are good alternative to chemical synthesis in obtaining steroid compounds, allowing regio-and stereoselective introduction of substituents in nonactivated positions and the possibility to replace a several-step synthesis with a single microbial transformation.⁷ The most known example of using biotransformations in steroid synthesis was the improvement of a 39-step synthesis of cortisone from bile acids, which resulted in lowering production cost from 200\$ to 1\$.¹ A very popular microbial transformation that found a large-scale application is the side-chain

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Norwida 25, 50-375 Wrocław, Poland. E-mail: e.a.kozlowska@gmail.com; janeczko13@interia.pl

^bDepartment of Pathogen Genetics and Plant Resistance, Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Strzeszyńska 34, 60-479 Poznań, Poland

^cDepartment of Biotechnology and Food Microbiology, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Chełmońskiego 37, 51-630 Wrocław, Poland

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H, ¹³C NMR, HSQC, COSY and MS data of all products. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra04608a

degradation in phytosterols derived from soybeans by bacteria of the genera *Mycobacterium*, *Moraxella*, and *Rhodococcus*, leading to progesterone or ADD (androst-1,4-diene-3,17dione).¹⁷ Steroid functionalization by hydroxylation leads to new derivatives with higher polarity and usually higher biological activity.^{18,19} The most popular positions of hydroxylation of steroid skeleton are as follows: 6α , 6β , 7α , 7β , 9α , 11α , 11β , 12α , 12β , 14α , 15α and 15β . Other carbons can be also hydroxylated. Fungi can also provide dehydrogenated and lactonised derivatives.^{1,17,20-22} Biotransformations of DHEA may become a lowcost and quick method to receive biologically active DHEA derivatives.^{23,24}

Experimental

Materials

The substrate – dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The microorganisms used were: *Penicillium chrysogenum* KCh S4, *Fusarium acuminatum* KCh S1, *Mucor hiemalis* KCh W2, *Penicillium commune* KCh W7 and *Aspergillus versicolor* KCh TJ1. All strains were obtained from the Department of Chemistry of the Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences and were isolated using the method described below.

Isolation of strains

Samples of soil from two landfill sites in Wrocław: Nadodrze district (S) and Słodowa Island, Old Town (W) were placed in sterile tubes. Under aseptic conditions 1 g of the soil was suspended in 10 cm³ of sterile water and shaken. Then 1 cm³ of the supernatant was taken out and serial decimal dilution was made. The inoculum from each of the dilutions was transferred into Sabouraud solid growth medium (3% glucose, 1% aminobac, 0.8% agar). From the (S) soil sample five pure cultures were isolated, whereas from the (W) soil sample seven strains were isolated. The strains that were the most effective in the screening tests were identified using molecular biology techniques and used in the reactions on a preparative scale. The results presented in this paper concern only the identified strains. A sample of air from the autoclave room in the Department of Chemistry (TJ) was used to inoculate agar plates using Koch sedimentation method. From the grown colonies 4 pure strains were obtained, from which the most effective one was the strain molecularly identified as Aspergillus versicolor KCh TJ1. The strain was maintained on Sabouraud 4% agar slopes and freshly subcultured before use in the transformation experiments.

DNA extraction and molecular identification of fungal strains

Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB (cetyl hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) protocol, by the method described earlier.²⁵ The DNA extracts were stored at -20 °C until used. Species identification was done on the basis of the sequence of the Internal Transcribed Spacers of the ribosomal DNA region (ITS1-ITS2) (Table 1). PCR was done in 20 μ L volumes using C-1000 thermal cyclers (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Each reaction tube contained 0.5 μ L of DreamTag

 Table 1
 Sequences of primers used for molecular identification of fungal strains

Marker	5' > 3' sequence	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
ITS4 ITS5	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG	600	26

Green DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Espoo, Finland), 2.5 μ L of 10 \times DreamTaq Green Buffer, 12.5 pmol of forward/ reverse primers, 2.5 mM of each dNTP, and about 20 ng of fungal DNA. PCR conditions were as follows: 5 min at 94 °C; 35 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 45 s at 56 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C; and 5 min at 72 °C.

Amplicons were electrophoresed in 1.5% agarose gel (Invitrogen) with GelGreen Nucleic Acid Stain (Biotium, Inc.).

For sequence analysis, PCR-amplified DNA fragments were purified with exonuclease I (Thermo Scientific) and shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Thermo Scientific) using the following programme: 30 min at 37 °C and 15 min at 80 °C. DNA fragments were labeled using forward primer and the BigDye Terminator 3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), according to producer's recommendations and precipitated with 96% ethanol. Sequence reading was performed using Applied Biosystems equipment. Sequences were analysed using BLASTn algorithm.

Screening procedure

Erlenmeyer flasks (300 mL), each containing 100 mL of the cultivation medium consisting of 3 g glucose and 1 g aminobac dissolved in water, were inoculated with a suspension of microorganisms and then incubated for 3 days at 25 °C on a rotary shaker. After full growth of the culture 10 mg of a substrate dissolved in 1 mL of THF was added. After 1, 3 and 7 days of incubation under the above conditions, portions of 10 mL of the transformation mixture were extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 10 mL). The extracts were dried over MgSO₄, concentrated *in vacuo* and analyzed by GC and TLC. All experiments were repeated three times.

Preparative biotransformation

The same transformations were performed on the preparative scale in 2000 mL flasks, each containing 500 mL of the cultivation medium. The cultures were incubated under the same conditions and then 100 mg of DHEA dissolved in 2 mL of THF was added to the grown cultures. After complete transformation of the substrate the mixtures were extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 300 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The transformation products were separated by preparative TLC and analyzed (TLC, GC).

Analytical methods

The course of biotransformation was controlled by means of TLC. Composition of product mixtures was established by GC.

Paper

Products were purified using preparative TLC (silica gel GF, 20 \times 20 cm, 500 µm, Analtech) and hexane/acetone mixture (2 : 1, v/v) as eluent. After elution products were detected under UV light (365 nm), then scraped from the plate and eluted with acetone to give fraction. Analytical TLC was performed on silica gel G plates (Merck). Compounds were detected by spraying the plates with H_2SO_4/CH_3OH mixture (1 : 1, v/v) and visualized under UV (254 nm). GC analysis was performed using a Hewlett-Packard 5890A (Series II) GC instrument fitted with a flame ionization detector (FID). The DB-5MS (crosslinked phenyl methyl siloxane) capillary column (30 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.25 μ m) was used to determine the composition of product mixtures. The following temperature programme was used: 220 °C (1 min)/4 °C min⁻¹/260 °C (1 min)/30 °C min⁻¹/300 °C (5 min). For GC-MS analysis, GCMS-OP2010 (Shimadzu) was used with a ZB-5 (crosslinked phenyl methyl siloxane) capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m). The following temperature programme was used: 180 °C (3 min)/10 °C min⁻¹/300 °C (5 min). The NMR spectra were recorded on DRX 500 MHz Bruker spectrometer and measured in CDCl₃.

Results and discussion

Spectral data of isolated metabolites

Product structures were determined by means of elemental analysis, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and correlation spectroscopy. ¹³C NMR spectra of all the products obtained are summarized in Table 2.

As a result of a 3 day transformation of DHEA (100 mg) with the strain *Aspergillus versicolor* KCh TJ1 androst-4-ene-3,17dione (AD) (65.0 mg, 65%) was isolated. After seven days of diene-3,17-dione (6 β OH-ADD) (3.9 mg, 4%) and 6 β hydroxyandrost-4-ene-3,17-dione (6 β OH-AD) (2.3 mg, 2%) were isolated. After a 3 day transformation with the strain *Penicillium commune* KCh W7 3 β -hydroxy-17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-5-ene-17-one (3 β OH-lactone) (8.1 mg, 8%) and 17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-4-ene-17-one (testololactone) (40.8 mg, 41%) were isolated from the post-cultivation medium. Whereas, a 3 day transformation with the strain *Penicillium chrysogenum* KCh S4 led to isolation of androst-4-ene-3,17-dione (AD) (15.9 mg, 16%) and 17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-4-ene-17-one (testololactone) (34.2 mg, 34%).

A 3 day transformation of DHEA in the culture of *Mucor hiemalis* KCh W2 allowed for the isolation of androst-5-ene- 3β , 7α , 17α -triol (3β , 7α , 17α -triol) (37.3 mg, 38%), 3β , 7α -dihydroxyandrost-5-ene-17-one (7α OH-DHEA) (25.3 mg, 25%) and 3β -hydroxyandrost-5-ene-7,17-dione (70xo-DHEA) (2.2 mg, 2%). Whereas, 7 days of transformation with the strain *Fusa-rium acuminatum* KCh S1 gave 3β , 7α -dihydroxyandrost-5-ene-17-one (7α OH-DHEA) (71.8 mg, 72%).

Androst-4-ene-3,17-dione (AD). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ : 0.92 (s, 3H, 18-H); 0.99 (1H, ddd, J = 12.4, 10.8, 4.3 Hz, 9-H); 1.12 (qd, 1H, J = 14.1, 4.3 Hz, 7-H α); 1.21 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.24–1.31 (m, 2H, 12-H α , 14-H); 1.46 (qd, 1H, J = 13.3, 4.3 Hz, 11-H β); 1.57 (tt, 1H, J = 12.4, 9.3 Hz, 15-H β); 1.67–1.73 (m, 2H, 1-H α , 11-H α); 1.87 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.3, 4.3, 2.7 Hz, 12-H β); 1.95–1.99 (m, 2H, 7-H β , 15-H α); 2.03 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.3, 4.8, 2.7 Hz, 1-H β); 2.56 (dt, 1H, J = 18.8, 9.1 Hz, 16-H α); 2.27–2.36 (m, 3H, 2-H α , 2-H β , 6-H α , 6-H β); 2.46 (dd, 1H, J = 19.3, 8.9 Hz, 16-H β); 5.75 (br s, 1H, 4-H).

Androst-1,4-diene-3,17-dione (ADD). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.06–1.16 (m, 2H, 7-H α , 9-H); 1.25 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.23–1.29 (m, 2H, 12-H α , 14-H); 1.58 (tt, 1H, J = 12.4, 9.2 Hz, 15-H β); 1.68 (qd, 1H, J = 13.3, 4.3 Hz, 11-H β); 1.80 (td, 1H, J = 11.1, 3.6 Hz, 8-H); 1.82–1.88 (m, 2H, 11-H α , 12-H β); 1.95 (ddd, 1H, J = 12.4, 8.5, 6.2 Hz, 15-H α); 2.04–2.12

 Table 2
 ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of products in CDCl₃

Atom number	Products									
	AD	ADD	6βOH-AD	6βOH-ADD	Testololactone	7αOH-DHEA	3β,7α,17α-Triol	3βOH-lactone	7Oxo-DHEA	
1	35.05	155.43	37.34	156.86	35.46	37.07	37.22	37.04	36.60	
2	33.81	127.82	34.28	127.03	33.84	31.39	31.10	31.59	31.25	
3	199.21	186.33	200.40	186.65	199.40	71.25	71.41	71.61	70.44	
4	124.04	124.24	126.58	126.17	124.07	42.06	42.19	42.01	41.99	
5	170.26	168.44	168.03	165.52	169.63	146.64	146.28	140.79	166.27	
6	32.46	32.65	72.83	73.70	32.35	123.67	123.99	120.70	126.06	
7	30.66	32.41	37.34	38.86	30.37	64.37	65.63	31.20	201.20	
8	35.58	35.22	29.52	29.93	37.92	37.32	37.98	34.58	44.47	
9	53.70	52.40	53.76	51.96	52.45	42.72	42.49	49.11	50.22	
10	38.56	43.54	38.17	43.62	38.46	37.63	37.60	36.92	38.54	
11	20.23	22.20	20.37	22.04	21.82	20.19	20.43	22.09	20.72	
12	31.19	31.30	31.37	31.34	38.93	31.18	31.51	39.04	30.85	
13	47.41	47.79	47.74	47.87	82.87	47.23	45.08	83.30	48.00	
14	50.71	50.53	51.00	50.63	45.62	45.05	42.13	46.85	45.88	
15	21.67	22.02	21.81	21.96	19.82	22.02	24.89	20.03	24.31	
16	35.67	35.74	35.88	35.80	28.53	35.91	32.75	28.95	35.77	
17	220.35	220.03	220.69	220.15	171.44	221.30	80.00	171.64	220.52	
18	13.62	13.92	13.88	14.01	17.40	13.39	16.76	20.20	13.89	
19	17.29	18.83	19.65	20.59	20.11	18.38	18.43	19.42	17.57	

(m, 2H, 7-H β , 16-H α); 2.41 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.2, 3.7, 3.0 Hz, 6-H α); 2.46 (dd, 1H, J = 19.4, 9.0 Hz, 16-H β); 2.50 (dt, 1H, J = 13.5, 4.9 Hz, 6-H β); 6.08 (br s, 1H, 4-H); 6.23 (dd, 1H, J = 10.2, 1.2 Hz, 2-H); 7.04 (d, 1H, J = 10.2 Hz, 1-H).

6β-Hydroxyandrost-1,4-diene-3,17-dione (**6βOH-ADD**). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ: 0.97 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.13 (d, 1H, J = 11.9, 3.9 Hz, 9-H); 1.26–1.36 (m, 3H, 7-Hα, 12-Hα, 14-H); 1.46 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.66 (dd, 1H, J = 12.7, 9.4 Hz, 15-Hβ); 1.77 (qd, 1H, J = 13.4, 4.2 Hz, 11-Hβ); 1.84–1.92 (m, 2H, 11-Hα, 12-Hβ); 1.94– 2.01 (m, 1H, 15-Hα); 2.11 (dt, 1H, J = 19.3, 9.6 Hz, 16-Hα); 2.19 (d, 1H, J = 14.0, 3.1 Hz, 7-Hβ); 2.27 (ddd, 1H, J = 14.4, 11.1,3.7 Hz, 8-H); 2.49 (dd, 1H, J = 19.4, 8.9 Hz, 16-Hβ); 4.58 (br t, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, 6-Hα); 6.17 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz, 4-H); 6.22 (dd, 1H, J =10.2, 1.6 Hz, 2-H); 7.04 (d, 1H, J = 10.1 Hz, 1-H).

6β-Hydroxyandrost-4-ene-3,17-dione (**6βOH-AD**). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ: 0.93 (s, 3H, 18-H); 0.96 (1H, ddd, J =12.5, 10.9, 4.2 Hz, 9-H); 1.25–1.34 (m, 3H, 7-Hα, 12-Hα, 14-H); 1.39 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.50 (qd, 1H, J = 13.1, 4.2 Hz, 11-Hβ); 1.61 (tt, 1H, J = 12.6, 9.1 Hz, 15-Hβ); 1.67 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.7, 7.0, 3.9 Hz, 11-Hα); 1.71 (ddd, 1H, 1H, J = 14.8, 13.2, 4.0 Hz, 1-Hα); 1.86 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.2, 4.1, 2.9 Hz, 12-Hβ); 1.98 (ddd, 1H, J = 12.5, 8.2, 5.9 Hz, 15-Hα); 2.03 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.2, 4.9, 2.7 Hz, 1-Hβ); 2.12 (dt, 1H, J = 19.5, 9.2 Hz, 16-Hα); 2.12 (dt, 1H, J = 10.9, 3.2 Hz, 7-Hβ); 2.18 (qd, 1H, J = 11.1, 3.2 Hz, 8-H); 2.38 (br dt, 1H, J = 16.8, 4.1 Hz, 2-Hα); 2.47 (dd, 1H, J = 19.6, 9.4 Hz, 16-Hβ); 2.50 (dd, 1H, J = 17.4, 14.8, 5.0 Hz, 2-Hβ); 4.38 (t, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, 6-Hα); 5.81 (s, 1H, 4-H).

3β-Hydroxy-17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-5-ene-17-one (3βOHlactone). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl3) δ: 0.89 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.08–1.16 (m, 2H, 1-Hα, 9-H); 1.32 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.32–1.39 (m, 2H, 8-H, 11-Hα); 1.42 (ddd, 1H, J = 12.5, 10.6, 4.2 Hz, 14-H); 1.49–1.56 (m, 1H, 2-Hα, 15-Hα); 1.60–1.70 (m, 2H, 7-Hα, 12-Hα); 1.79 (dq, 1H, J = 13.1, 3.6 Hz, 11-Hβ); 1.83–1.88 (m, 1H, 1-Hβ, 2-Hβ); 1.91–1.99 (m, 1H, 12-Hβ, 15-Hβ); 2.14–2.20 (m, 1H, 7-Hβ); 2.23 (dq, 1H, J = 13.2, 3.1 Hz, 4-Ha); 2.33 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.2, 5.1, 2.2 Hz, 4-Hβ); 2.57 (d, 1H, J = 19.0, 9.1 Hz, 16-Hα); 2.69 (ddd, 1H, J = 19.0, 8.7, 2.2 Hz, 16-Hβ); 3.53 (tt, 1H, J = 11.5, 4.6 Hz, 3-Hα); 5.35 (dt, 1H, J = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, 6-H).

17a-oxa-b-homo-androst-4-ene-17-one (testololactone). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ: 1.03–1.15 (m, 2H, 7-Hα, 9-H); 1.14 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.26–1.32 (m, 1H, 11-Hβ); 1.32 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.36–1.43 (m, 2H, 8-H, 14-H); 1.48–1.57 (m, 1H, 15-Hβ); 1.64 (td, 1H, *J* = 13.3, 4.2 Hz, 12-Hα); 1.70 (td, 1H, *J* = 13.9, 5.0 Hz, 1-Hα); 1.77 (dq, *J* = 13.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H, 11-Hα); 1.96–2.05 (m, 4H, 1-Hβ, 7-Hβ, 12-Hβ, 15-Hα); 2.27–2.36 (m, 3H, 2-Hα, 6-Hα, 6-Hβ); 2.40 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 17.0, 14.4, 5.1 Hz, 2-Hβ); 2.56 (dt, 1H, *J* = 18.8, 9.1 Hz, 16-Hα); 2.67 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 19.0, 8.8, 2.2 Hz, 16-Hβ); 5.72 (br s, 1H, 4-H).

3β,7α-Dihydroxyandrost-5-ene-17-one (7αOH-DHEA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ: 0.87 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.01 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.11 (td, 1H, J = 13.4, 3.8 Hz, 1-Hα); 1.23–1.31 (m, 2H, 9-H, 12-Hα); 1.49 (td, 1H, J = 13.1, 4.3 Hz, 11-Hα); 1.50–1.60 (m, 2H, 2-Hα, 15-Hα); 1.64–1.72 (m, 2H, 8-H, 11-Hβ); 1.78 (td, 1H, J= 12.1, 5.3 Hz, 14-H); 1.80–1.89 (m, 3H, 1-Hβ, 2-Hβ, 12-Hβ); 2.07–2.17 (m, 2H, 15-Hβ, 16-Hα); 2.29 (br t, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, 4-Hα); 2.35 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.3, 4.8, 2.0 Hz, 4-Hβ); 2.33 (dd, 1H, J = 13.1, 4.6 Hz, 16-H β); 3.56 (tt, 1H, *J* = 11.3, 4.7 Hz, 3-H α); 3.96 (br t, 1H, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 7-H β); 5.63 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.1, 1.2 Hz, 6-H).

Androst-5-ene-3 β ,7 α ,17 α -triol (3 β ,7 α ,17 α -triol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ : 0.69 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.00 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.14 (td, 1H, J = 13.5, 3.7 Hz, 1-H α); 1.20–1.29 (m, 2H, 9-H, 12-H α); 1.44–1.70 (m, 6H, 2-H α , 8-H, 11-H α , 11-H β , 15-H α , 16-H α); 1.78–1.93 (m, 5H, 1-H β , 2-H β , 12-H β , 14-H, 15-H β); 2.21 (m, 1H, 16-H β); 2.29 (br, J = 13.1 Hz, 4-Ha); 2.36 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.1, 5.0, 2.1 Hz, 4-H β); 3.59 (tt, 1H, J = 11.0, 4.7 Hz, 3-H α); 3.77 (d, 1H, J =6.0 Hz, 17-H β); 3.88 (br t, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, 7-H β); 5.62 (dd, 1H, J =5.3, 1.5 Hz, 6-H).

3β-Hydroxyandrost-5-ene-7,17-dione (7Oxo-DHEA). ¹H NMR (600 MHz) (ppm) (CDCl₃) δ: 0.89 (s, 3H, 18-H); 1.22 (s, 3H, 19-H); 1.23–1.34 (m, 2H, 1-Hα, 12-Hα); 1.54–1.68 (m, 4H, 2-Hα, 9-H, 11-Hα, 14-H); 1.70–1.91 (m, 3H, 11-Hβ, 12-Hβ, 15-Hα); 1.91–2.00 (m, 2H, 1-Hβ, 2-Hβ); 2.14 (dd, 1H, J = 18.8, 9.8 Hz, 16-Hα); 2.35–2.45 (m, 2H, 4-Ha, 8-H); 2.46 (dd, 1H, J = 18.8, 8.3 Hz, 16-Hβ); 2.54 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.9, 4.6, 2.2 Hz, 4-Hβ); 2.81 (ddd, 1H, J = 13.4, 8.7, 7.2 Hz, 15-Hβ); 3.68 (tt, 1H, J = 11.3, 4.8 Hz, 3-Hα); 5.74 (br s, 1H, 6-H).

Discussion of results

In order to find new biocatalysts for effective transformations of DHEA, samples of soil and air were collected from the area of Wrocław (Poland). Using the method of serial dilutions, 25 pure strains were isolated. All the strains were tested with respect to their ability to transform DHEA. The most effective strains were identified using molecular techniques. The strains selected for biotransformation on a large scale transformed the substrate with high conversion efficiency (Table 4). All the products were characterized based on their spectral data: ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Table 2), HMBC and HMQC correlation spectra and analysis by GC and TLC.

Selected five fungal strains that were used in this study. All strains were genetically identified by determination of the ITS1-ITS2 rDNA sequence (Table 3). The DNA fragments were amplified using PCR with ITS4-ITS5 primers (Table 1), and sequenced. The complete sequences of these products were compared with reference ITS sequences deposited in the Gen-Bank Database (Table 3).

Aspergillus versicolor KCh TJ1. As a result of biotransformation of DHEA by the strain Aspergillus versicolor KCh TJ1, oxidation of the hydroxyl group at C-3 along with isomerisation of the double bond into C-4 position, lead to formation of AD (Scheme 1). Then two competitive reactions were observed: 1,2dehydration and hydroxylation at C-6. The majority of formed AD is transformed to ADD, whereas both AD and ADD are consequently transformed to corresponding hydroxyderivatives: 6β -hydroxyandrost-4-ene-3,17-dione (6β OH-AD) and 6β hydroxyandrost-1,4-diene-3,17-dione (6β OH-ADD).

Introduction of a double bond between C1 and C2 of a steroid may increase biological activity of the newly formed compound. Chemical methods of obtaining 1-ene-steroids are usually multi-step processes and may lead to spontaneous aromatisation of the A ring. In contrast, biological methods are efficient and selective.²⁷ The majority of literature reports on microbial dehydrogenation in C1–C2 positions relate to bacteria of the genera *Mycobacterium*, *Rhodococcus*, *Nocardia*, and *Arthrobacter*.^{28,29} Unfortunately, introduction of a double bond to the A ring by bacteria is the beginning of the steroid degradation pathway.³⁰ There are many reports of using bacterial 3-ketosteroid Δ^1 -dehydrogenase enzymes fom *Arthrobacter*

simplex or Gordonia neofelifaecis NRRL B-59395 in transformation of various 3-on-4-en steroids, although conversion of 3β -ol-4-en was unsuccessful.^{27,31-34} It has been found that steroid compounds (cholesterol, progesterone, pregnenolone, AD, DHEA and testosterone) were transformed to ADD by the *Fusarium* fungi, however, with lower yields since ADD was an

Table 3 Identification of fungal strains on the basis of the sequence of the ITS1-ITS2 sequences and comparison with reference ITS sequences

Name of fungal strain	Identified fungal species	Sequence identity	Sequence		
stram	species	sequence identity	Sequence		
KCh S1 Fusarium acuminatum		99% identity to the <i>Fusarium</i> <i>acuminatum</i> , acc. numbers: KT965739.1, KR051403.1, KR051401.1	TCTACTGATCCGAGGTCACATTCAGAAGTTGGGGTTTTACGGCATGGC GCGCCGCGTTCCAGTTGCGAGGTGTTAGCTACTACGCAATGGAGGCT CAGCGAGACCGCCAATGTATTTCGGGGGGCGGCACCGCCCAGAAGGGC AGAGCCGATCCCCAACACCAAACCCGGGGGCCTTGAGGGTTGAAATGA GCTCGAACAGGGCATGCCCGCCGGAATACCAGCGGGCGCAATGTGCC TCAAAGATTCGATGATTCACTGAATTCTGCAATTCACATTACTTATCGC TTTTGCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGCCAGAACCAAGAGATCCGTTGTTGA GTTTTGATTTATTTGTTTGTTTTTACTCAGAAGTTACAATAAGAAACATT AGTTTGGGTCCTCTGGCGGGCCGTCCCGTTTTACGGGGCGCGGGCT TCCGCCGAGGCAACATTAAGTATGTTCACAGGGGTTTGGGAGTTGT		
KCh W2	Mucor hiemalis	100% identity to the <i>Mucor</i> <i>hiemalis</i> acc. numbers: KT583167.1, KP942918.1, KM280062.1	ACTCGGTAATGATCCCTCCGCTGGTTCACCAAC ATATGCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGTAATCCCGCCTGATTTCAGATCAAATTTAA GAATGATTTATTTGGGAGGGCCCCAGAGATAGTCTTTTTACTAGAGCATTC CTTTATATTAAAAAAATGTTCAGGCAGAAAGAACAATAGTTCAGGCCTAAT AATTTTAAAGAATTCAGCAATAAAGCCGAAACTCTCATTTCCATTCACAAC AAAATTATGAATGTGGGGTGTTTTTGGTACTGAAACAGGCGTGCTCAATG GAATACCATTGAGCGCAAGTTGCGTTCAAAGACTCGATGATTCACTGAAT ATGCAATTCACACTAGTTATCGCACTTTGCTACGTTCTTCATCGATGCGA GAACCAAGAGATCCGTTGTTAAAAGTTGTTTTATAAGTTTTTTACGCTTA TGTTACAATTATAATACTGAATTCTTTTGGTAACATAATAATAGGATACCA GGCCTAAGCCTGGCTTCCAGGCTGGAAAAATTAATAGGATACCA TGGCTAAAAGACATTCCTCAGGCTGGAAAAATTAAAACAGTTCACAGTA AAAAAGAATGAACCATTCGCTAGGAAACTAGCAAAGGCCATCTAAATTAT TTAT		
KCh S4	Penicillium chrysogenum	99% identity to the <i>Penicillium</i> <i>chrysogenum</i> acc. numbers: KY218708.1, KY218694.1, KY218692.1	TGCGGAGGACATTACCGAGTGAGGGCCCTCTGGGTCCAACCTCCCACC CGTGTTTATTTTACCTTGTTGCTTCGGCGGGCCCGCCTTAACTGGCCGC CGGGGGGCTTACGCCCCGCTGCCTTTCGGGCCCGTCCCCCGGGATCG GAGGACGGGGCCCAACACACAAGCCGTGCTTGAGGGCAGAAATGACGC TCGGACAGGCATGCCCCCCGGAATACCAGGgGGCGCAATGTGCGTTCAA AGACTCGATGATT		
KCh W7	Penicillium commune	100% identity to the <i>Penicillium</i> <i>commune</i> , acc. numbers: KP120886.2, JN368450.1, HQ652873.1	CTGATCGAGGTCACCTGGATAAAAATTTGGGTTGATCGGCAAGCGCCGG CCGGGCCTACAGAGCGGGGTGACAAAGCCCCATACGCTCGAGGACCGGA CGCGGTGCCGCCGCTGCTTTCGGGCCCGTCCCCCGGGATCGGAGGA CGGGGCCCAACACACACGCGTGCTTGAGGGCAGCAATGACGCTCGGA CAGGCATGCCCCCCGGAATACCAGGGGGCGCAATGTGCGTTCAAAGAC TCGATGATTCACTGAATTTGCAATTCACATTACGTATCGCATTTCGCTGC GTTCTTCATCGATGCCGGAACCAAGAGATCCCGTTGTTGAAAGTTTTAAAT AATTTATATTTTCACTCAGACCACAAGAGATCCTCAGACAGA		
KCh TJ1	Aspergillus versicolor	99% identity to the <i>Aspergillus</i> <i>versicolor</i> acc. numbers: KF691800.1, LC105698.1, LC105694.1	CGGAGGACATTACTGAGTGCGGGGCTGCCTCCGGGCGCCCAACCTCCCA CCCGTGAATACCTAACACTGTTGCTTCGGCGGGGAACCCCCTCGGGGG CGAGCCGCCGGGGACTACTGAACTTCATGCCTGAGAGTGATGCAGTCTG AGTCTGAATATAAAATCAGTCAAAACTTTCAACAATGGATCTCTTGGTTC CGGCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGCGAACTGCGATAAGTAATGTGAATTGCA GAATTCAGTGAATCATCGAGTCTTTGAACGCACATTGCGCCCCTGGCA TTCCGGGGGGGCATGCCTGTCCGAGCGTCATTGCTGCCCATCAAGCCCG GCTTGTGTGTTGGGTCGTCGTCCCCCCCCGGGGGACGGGCCCGAAAGG CAGCGGCGGCACCGTGTCCGGTCCTCGAGCGTATGGGGCTTTGTCACC CGCTCGACTAGGGCCGGCCGGCCGGCCAGCCGACGTCTCCAACCATTTT TCTTCAGGTTGA		

Table 4 Products accumulation during the conversion of DHEA

		Retention time by GC [min]	Retention factor by TLC	Biotransformation time ^a [days]		
Strain	Compounds found in the reaction mixture			1	3	7
Aspergillus versicolor KCh TJ1	DHEA	4.9	0.62	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
1 0	AD	6.0	0.65	5	ys] 3 	6
	ADD	6.4	0.60	_		56
	6βOH-AD	7.8	0.53	_		24
	6βOH-ADD	8.3	0.47	_	_	2
Penicillium commune KCh W7	DHEA	4.9	0.62	65	0	0
	AD	6.0	0.65	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	
		0.48	3	11	9	
	Testololactone	10.2	0.50	1	85	77
Penicillium chrysogenum KCh S4	DHEA	4.9	0.62	26	0	_
	AD	6.0	0.65	61	3 9 88 - - 4 - - 5 0 2 0 11 85 6 0 1 22 4 4 9 6 0 65 2 34 2 34 2 12	_
	Testololactone	10.2	0.50	7		
Mucor hiemalis KCh W2	DHEA	4.9	0.65	24	3 88 2 4 0 0 0 11 85 0 22 62 4 6 65 34 2 12	0
	3β,17α-Diol	6.0	0.60	$\begin{array}{c c} [days] \\\hline 1 & 3 \\\\ 89 & - \\ 5 & 88 \\- & 2 \\- & 4 \\- & - \\65 & 0 \\22 & 0 \\3 & 11 \\1 & 85 \\26 & 0 \\61 & 22 \\7 & 62 \\24 & 4 \\29 & 6 \\10 & 65 \\12 & 34 \\0 & 2 \\76 & 12 \\\end{array}$	0	
	3β,7α,17α-Triol	6.1	0.17	10	days] 3 39 6 88 2 4 55 0 52 0 53 11 55 0 52 0 53 11 54 85 56 0 51 22 52 62 54 4 59 6 .0 65 .2 34 .0 2 76 12	62
	7αOH-DHEA	6.9	0.35	$\begin{array}{cccc} 22 & 0 \\ 3 & 11 \\ 1 & 85 \\ 26 & 0 \\ 61 & 22 \\ 7 & 62 \\ 24 & 4 \\ 29 & 6 \\ 10 & 65 \\ 12 & 34 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}$	43	
	7Oxo-DHEA	7.4	0.54	0	2	3
Fusarium acuminatum KCh S1	DHEA	4.9	0.62	76	12	0
	7¢OH-DHEA	6.9	0.35	21	85	97

intermediate product.^{16,35} Also *Trichoderma hamatum* strain has ability to transform different 3-one-4-en steroid substrates to 1,4-diene derivatives.³⁶ Obtained with high yield ADD may be a key intermediate in synthesis of many sex hormones, aromatase inhibitors and also immunomodulatory drugs.³⁷⁻³⁹

Penicillium commune KCh W7. In the culture of the *Penicillium commune* KCh W7 DHEA was at first isomerized and oxidized to AD, and subsequently underwent Baeyer–Villiger oxidation to testololactone (Scheme 2). In a small amount (about 10%) the product of D ring lactonization, 17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-5-ene-17-one (3β OH-lactone), was observed. 17a-oxa-D-homo-androst-5-ene-17-one is the precursor of testo-lactone, which is used as aromatase inhibitor in treatment of hormone-dependent cancers.

High ability to perform Baeyer–Villiger oxidation in a D ring of steroids was observed for filamentous fungi of the genera *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* and, in smaller degree, for *Beauveria*, *Chaetomium*, *Fusarium*, *Mucor*, and *Rhizopus*.⁴⁰ Conversions of cyclic ketones to corresponding lactones are catalysed by Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases (BVMO) (E.C. 1.14.13.x).⁴¹ These class of enzymes introduce a single atom of molecular oxygen into the substrate while the other one is reduced to water. They use a FAD or FNM as a prosthetic group and require NADPH or NADH as a cofactor.⁴¹

An analysis of the available literature reports revealed three possible transformations pathways:

1. DHEA may be transformed directly to 3βOH-lactone: *Penicillium lilacinum* AM111, *Aspergillus tamarii* KITA;^{42,43}



Scheme 1 Metabolism of DHEA by Aspergillus versicolor KCh TJ1.



Scheme 2 Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of DHEA by Penicillium commune KCh W7

2. At first isomerization and oxidation of 3-hydroxy-5-ene moiety to 3-oxo-4-ene occure, and then BV oxidation of the D ring takes place – *Penicillium griseopurpureum*, *Penicillium lanosocoeruleum*;^{44,45}

3. At first BV oxidation to 3βOH-lactone occurs and then oxidation of the hydroxyl group at C-3 takes place, leading to formation of testololactone: *Penicillium simplicissimum* WY134-2, *Aspergillus parasitic*.^{46,47}

According to the literature, it is possible that the two reactions occur simultaneously, as in the case of *Penicillium glabrum* and *Penicillium camemberti* AM83.^{44,48} In the culture of *Penicillium commune* KCh W7 strain lactonization was observed both in the substrate (5-ene-3-ol moiety), and in the formed in biotransformation AD (5-ene-3-one moiety). Moreover, 3βOHlactone was not transformed to testololactone. Literature review indicates that isolated Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases (BVMO) can transform DHEA to 3β-hydroxy-17a-oxa-D-homoandrost-5-ene-17-one in higher yield than AD to 17a-oxa-Dhomo-androst-4-ene-17-one.⁴⁹ Additionally in the culture of *Beauveria bassiana*, *Penicillium camemberti* AM83 and *P. lilacinum* AM111 AD was transformed slower than DHEA into corresponding lactones.^{42,48,50}

Penicillium chrysogenum KCh S4. Transformations of DHEA in the culture of this strain proceeded according to the previously proposed pathway no. 2 (Scheme 3). Similarly to transformations described earlier, we observed the degradation of formed testololactone was observed. The same process of metabolizing DHEA was observed for transformation in the *Penicillium citreo-viride* culture.⁵¹ *Mucor hiemalis* KCh W2. In culture of the *Mucor hiemalis* KCh W2 strain, transformation of the substrate proceeded in two directions: substrate underwent a stereoselective hydroxylation in 7α position, followed by oxidation of the hydroxyl group to 70x0-DHEA (Scheme 4). Reduction of the carbonyl group at C-17, followed by hydroxylation at 7α position formed androst-5-ene- 3β , 7α , 17α -triol, which is a major transformation. Conversion of inactive 170x0 form to active 17 β -hydroxy-form and *vice versa* is catalysed by 17 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (17 β -HSD).⁵² This is a large group of enzymes different in structure, tissue distribution, substrate specificity and mechanism of regulation.⁵³ Due to their high selectivity they can be used in the efficient testosterone production.⁵⁴

 $3\beta,7\alpha,17\alpha$ -Trihydroxyandrost-5-ene shows high anticancer activity toward lymphoma.⁵⁵ It has been proved that androstentriols with such location of the hydroxyl groups have the most potent anticancer activity. A chemical method of obtaining $3\beta,7\alpha,17\alpha$ -trihydroxyandrost-5-ene from $3\beta,17\alpha$ dihydroxyandrost-5-ene by selective oxidation of allylic carbon atom and reduction of the newly formed carbonyl group is known.⁵⁵ However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no literature report on microbial method of obtaining $3\beta,7\alpha,17\alpha$ trihydroxyandrost-5-ene.

Fusarium acuminatum KCh S1. In the culture of the *Fusarium acuminatum* KCh S1 strain we observed stereospecific hydroxylation of DHEA at 7α position, leading to the single product: 7α OH-DHEA with 100% yield (Scheme 5) was observed. Prolongation, longer than 6 days, results in product degradation.

An example of identical transformation of DHEA and some other 5-ene steroids to 7α -hydroxyderivatives by the strain



Scheme 3 Lactonization of DHEA in the culture of Penicillium chrysogenum KCh S4.



Scheme 4 Products of transformation of DHEA in the Mucor hiemalis KCh W2 culture.



Scheme 5 Hydroxylation of DHEA by Fusarium acuminatum KCh S1.

Fusarium culmorum has been reported.⁵⁶ Similar reactions of selective hydroxylation were also performed by *Fusarium moniliforme verticillioides*, *F. oxysporum*, *Gelasinospora retispora* and *Mucor silvaticus*,^{57–60} as well as by non-selective ones: *Absidia coerulea* AM93 ⁶¹ and *Mortierella isabellina* AM212.⁶² 7-Hydroxyderivatives of DHEA are interesting due to their neuroprotective⁶³ and immunostimulatory properties,⁶⁴ and also antiinflammatory activity without the glucocorticoid action.^{5,19} 7-Hydroxyderivatives of DHEA may also be regarded as epiandrosterone derivative with proven neuroprotective activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.⁶⁵

Conclusions

Among 25 fungal strains isolated from the area of Wrocław, we selected 5 strains which were the most efficient in transformation of dehydroepiandrosterone and we used them for the biotransformation on larger scale, in order to identify the metabolites. Additionally, the strains were subjected to genetic identification.

All the products were purified by chromatographic methods. Based on GC, TLC analysis and ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectra (including correlation spectra) we established the structures of nine products.

Three compounds were found to be new metabolites of DHEA. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports in literature on transformations of DHEA leading to: adrost-1,4-diene-3,17-dione, 6β -hydroxyandrost-1,4-diene-3,17-dione and androst-5-ene- 3β , 7α , 17α -triol.

The majority of tested biocatalysts showed excellent selectivity in the transformation of DHEA. Due to this feature, they possibly may be used for industrial production of bioactive DHEA metabolites provided basic genetic engineering techniques successfully increase the content of specific enzymes in these biocatalysts.

Acknowledgements

Publication supported by the Wroclaw Centre of Biotechnology under the Leading National Research Centre (KNOW) programme for years 2014–2018. Special thanks to Jarosław Popłoński for language correction.

References

- 1 M. V. Donova and O. V. Egorova, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **94**, 1423–1447.
- 2 M. M. Chen, F. Q. Wang, L. C. Lin, K. Yao and D. Z. Wei, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **96**, 133–142.
- 3 R. M. Loria, Steroids, 2002, 67, 953-966.
- 4 O. Hennebert, M. A. Pelissier, S. Le Mee, E. Wülfert and R. Morfin, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2008, **110**, 255–262.
- 5 M. A. Pélissier, C. Muller, M. Hill and R. Morfin, *Steroids*, 2006, **71**, 240–248.
- 6 B. Brazzini and N. Pimpinelli, *Am. J. Clin. Dermatol.*, 2002, 3, 47–58.
- 7 M. Panjari and S. R. Davis, *Hum. Reprod. Update*, 2007, **13**, 239–248.
- 8 K. Rutkowski, P. Sowa and J. R.-T. A. Kuryliszyn-Moskal, *Drugs*, 2014, 74, 1195–1207.
- 9 F. Hammer, S. Subtil, P. Lux, C. Maser-Gluth, P. M. Stewart,
 B. Allolio and W. Arlt, *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, 2005, 90, 3600–3605.
- 10 D. Liu and J. S. Dillon, J. Biol. Chem., 2002, 277, 21379-21388.
- 11 X. Ding, D. Wang, L. Li and H. Ma, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2016, **70**, 126–139.
- 12 T. Suzuki, N. Suzuki, R. A. Daynes and E. G. Engleman, *Clin. Immunol. Immunopathol.*, 1991, **61**, 202–211.

- M. Maggio, F. De Vita, A. Fisichella, E. Colizzi, S. Provenzano,
 F. Lauretani, M. Luci, G. Ceresini, E. Dall, P. Caffarra,
 G. Valenti and G. Paolo, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2015, 145, 281–292.
- 14 D. T. Villareal, J. O. Holloszy and W. M. Kohrt, *Clin. Endocrinol.*, 2000, **53**, 561–568.
- 15 M. Panjari, R. J. Bell, F. Jane, J. Adams, C. Morrow and S. R. Davis, *Maturitas*, 2009, 63, 240–245.
- 16 H. Zhang, J. Ren, Y. Wang, C. Sheng, Q. Wu, A. Diao and D. Zhu, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, 69, 184–189.
- 17 P. Fernandes, A. Cruz, B. Angelova, H. M. Pinheiro and J. M. S. Cabral, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2003, **32**, 688–705.
- 18 R. Hampl, O. Lapčík, M. Hill, J. Klak, A. Kasal, A. Nováček, I. Šterzl, J. Šterzl and L. Stárka, *Physiol. Res.*, 2000, 49.
- 19 M.-A. Pelissier, C. Trap, M.-I. Malewiak and R. Morfin, *Steroids*, 2004, **69**, 137–144.
- 20 K. Kristan and T. L. Rižner, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 2012, **129**, 79–91.
- 21 H. Pellissier and M. Santelli, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 2001, 33, 1–58.
- 22 T. Janeczko, N. Milecka and E. Kostrzewa-Susłow, *Przem. Chem.*, 2012, **91**, 767–771.
- 23 C. N. Ahlem, T. M. Page, D. L. Auci, M. R. Kennedy, K. Mangano, F. Nicoletti, Y. Ge, Y. Huang, S. K. White, S. Villegas, D. Conrad, A. Wang, C. L. Reading and J. M. Frincke, *Steroids*, 2011, 76, 145–155.
- 24 D. L. Auci, C. L. Reading and J. M. Frincke, *Autoimmun. Rev.*, 2009, **8**, 369–372.
- 25 Ł. Stępień, M. Jestoi and J. Chełkowski, *World Mycotoxin J.*, 2013, **6**, 399–409.
- 26 T. J. White, T. Bruns, S. Lee and J. Taylor, in *PCR Protocols:* a Guide to Methods and Applications, ed. M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky and T. J. White, Academic Press, San Diego, USA, 1990, pp. 315–322.
- 27 Y. Li, F. Lu, T. Sun and L. Du, *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2007, 44, 563–568.
- 28 L. Fernández De Las Heras, R. Van Der Geize, O. Drzyzga, J. Perera and J. María Navarro Llorens, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2012, **132**, 271–281.
- 29 M. Kisiela, A. Skarka, B. Ebert and E. Maser, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2012, **129**, 31–46.
- 30 J. K. Capyk, I. Casabon, R. Gruninger, N. C. Strynadka and L. D. Eltis, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, 286, 40717–40724.
- 31 K. P. Choi, I. Molnár, M. Yamashita and Y. Murooka, J. Biochem., 1995, 117, 1043–1049.
- 32 Y. Li, F. Lu, T. Sun and L. Du, *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2007, 44, 563–568.
- 33 W. Wei, S. Y. Fan, F. Q. Wang and D. Z. Wei, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2014, 30, 1947–1954.
- 34 Q. Zhang, Y. Ren, J. He, S. Cheng, J. Yuan, F. Ge, W. Li, Y. Zhang and G. Xie, *Ann. Microbiol.*, 2015, 65, 1961–1971.
- 35 L. A. R. Sallam, A. M. El-Refai and H. A. El-Minofi, *Process Biochem.*, 2005, **40**, 203–206.
- 36 A. Bartmańska and J. Dmochowska-Gładysz, *Enzyme Microb.* Technol., 2007, 40, 1615–1621.
- 37 L. R. Lehman and J. D. Stewart, Curr. Org. Chem., 2001, 5, 439-470.
- 38 I. Ahmad and Shagufta, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2015, 102, 375– 386.

- 39 M. R. Yadav, M. A. Barmade, R. S. Tamboli and P. R. Murumkar, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **105**, 1–38.
- 40 L. Butinar, M. Mohorčič, V. Deyris, K. Duquesne, G. Iacazio,
 M. Claeys-Bruno, J. Friedrich and V. Alphand,
 Phytochemistry, 2015, 117, 144–153.
- 41 V. Alphand and R. Wohlgemuth, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **14**, 1928–1965.
- 42 T. Kołek, A. Szpineter and A. Świzdor, *Steroids*, 2008, 73, 1441–1445.
- 43 A. C. Hunter, E. Coyle, F. Morse, C. Dedi, H. T. Dodd and S.-J. Koussoroplis, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids*, 2009, **1791**, 110–117.
- 44 L. H. Huang, J. Li, G. Xu, X. H. Zhang, Y. G. Wang, Y. L. Yin and H. M. Liu, *Steroids*, 2010, 75, 1039–1046.
- 45 A. Świzdor, Molecules, 2013, 18, 13812-13822.
- 46 B. Yang, Y. Wang, X. Chen, J. Feng, Q. Wu and D. Zhu, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 41-46.
- 47 M. L. Mascotti, M. A. Palazzolo, F. R. Bisogno and M. Kurina-Sanz, *Steroids*, 2016, **109**, 44–49.
- 48 T. Kołek, A. Szpineter and A. Świzdor, *Steroids*, 2009, **74**, 859–862.
- 49 E. Beneventi, G. Ottolina, G. Carrea, W. Panzeri, G. Fronza and P. C. K. Lau, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2009, **58**, 164–168.
- 50 A. Świzdor, T. Kołek, A. Panek and A. Białońska, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids*, 2011, **1811**, 253–262.
- 51 H.-M. Liu, H. Li, L. Shan and J. Wu, Steroids, 2006, 71, 931–934.
- 52 G. Moeller and J. Adamski, *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.*, 2006, 248, 47–55.
- 53 P. Lukacik, K. L. Kavanagh and U. Oppermann, *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.*, 2006, 248, 61–71.
- 54 M. Shao, X. Zhang, Z. Rao, M. Xu, T. Yang, H. Li, Z. Xu and S. Yang, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1774–1784.
- 55 M. R. Graf, W. Jia, M. L. Lewbart and R. M. Loria, *Chem. Biol.* Drug Des., 2009, **74**, 625–629.
- 56 T. Kołek, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 1999, 71, 83-90.
- 57 A. C. Cotillon and R. Morfin, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 1999, 68, 229–237.
- 58 P. Durairaj, S. Malla, S. P. Nadarajan, P.-G. Lee, E. Jung, H. H. Park, B.-G. Kim and H. Yun, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2015, 14, 45.
- 59 M. Koshimura, T. Utsukihara, A. Hara, S. Mizobuchi, C. A. Horiuchi and M. Kuniyoshi, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2010, **67**, 72–77.
- 60 Y. Wang, D. Sun, Z. Chen, H. Ruan and W. Ge, *Biocatal. Biotransform.*, 2013, **31**, 168–174.
- 61 N. Milecka-Tronina, T. Kołek, A. Świzdor and A. Panek, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 22, 883–891.
- 62 T. Kołek, N. Milecka, A. Świzdor, A. Panek and A. Białońska, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 5414–5422.
- 63 M. Schumacher, S. Weill-Engerer, P. Liere, F. Robert, R. J. M. Franklin, L. M. Garcia-Segura, J. J. Lambert, W. Mayo, R. C. Melcangi, A. Parducz, U. Suter, C. Carelli, E. E. Baulieu and Y. Akwa, *Prog. Neurobiol.*, 2003, 71, 3–29.
- 64 R. Morfin, P. Lafaye, A. C. Cotillon and F. Nato, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 2000, **917**, 971-982.
- 65 A. K. Pringle, W. Schmidt, J. K. Deans, E. Wulfert,
 K. G. Reymann and L. E. Sundstrom, *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, 2003, 18, 117–124.