# RSC Advances

## PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 35417

Received 17th June 2017 Accepted 7th July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra06753d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### Introduction

### Alkaloids from the stems of Clausena lansium and their neuroprotective activity†

Jie Liu,<sup>ab</sup> Yi-Qia[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6354-7853) [D](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6354-7853)u,<sup>a</sup> Chuang-Jun L[i,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2016-8639)<sup>a</sup> Li Li,<sup>a</sup> Fang-You Chen,<sup>a</sup> Jing-Zhi Yang,<sup>a</sup> Nai-Hong Chen **D**<sup>a</sup> and Dong-Ming Zhang **D**<sup>\*a</sup>

Eight new alkaloids, including three pairs of enantiomers  $(+)$ - $(2'S,3'R)$ -clauselansine A  $(1a)$  and  $(-)$ - $(2'R,3'S)$ clauselansine A  $(1b)$ ;  $(+)-(2\sqrt{5}S/R)-clauselansine B$   $(2a)$  and  $(-)-(2\sqrt{R}S/S)-clauselansine B$   $(2b)$ ;  $(+)$ -(3S,4R,5S,6S)-clauselansine C (3a) and (-)-(3R,4S,5R,6R)-clauselansine C (3b), (+)-(1'R,2'R,6'R)claulansine B (4a), and (+)-(1'R,2'R)-claulansine D (5a), together with twelve known alkaloids (4b, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7a, 7b and 8–13) were isolated from the stems of Clausena lansium. Their structural elucidation and stereochemistry determination were achieved by spectroscopic and chemical methods including 1D and 2D NMR experiments, especially the employment of electronic circular dichroism calculation spectra, Mosher's method, and Snatzke's method expressed by the induced circular dichroism spectrum. Compounds 1b, 2a, 3b, 5a, and 5b inhibited PC12 cell damage induced by Okadaic Acid, and increased cell viability from 70.5  $\pm$  5.4% to 83.4  $\pm$  4.1%, 91.2  $\pm$  10.1%, 83.5  $\pm$  7.8%, 89.7  $\pm$  4.8%, 83.3  $\pm$  5.9% at 10 uM, respectively. PAPER<br>
Somewhere the stars of Clausena lansium are an alternative or the stars of Clausena lansium are<br>
their neuroprotective activity of the stars of Clausena lansium are<br>
level and both "H-Olan Du," Chan Du," Chan Du ("

Clausena is a small genus within the family Rutaceae, which is distributed throughout the south of the Yangtze River in china, such as the Yunnan, Guangxi and Guangdong regions.<sup>1</sup> Previous phytochemical studies have revealed that the Clausena genus contains a wide variety of carbazole alkaloids, amide alkaloids, coumarins, and limonoids, many of which possess various pharmacological properties such as antibacterial, antiinflammatory, anti-HIV, cytotoxic, hepatoprotective and neuroprotective effects.<sup>2-10</sup> The leaves and fruits of Clausena lansium, Clausena excavata, Clausena emarginata, and Clausena anisum-molens are not only eaten as food but also used for traditional medicine.

Clausena lansium (Lour.) Skeels (Rutaceae), a fruit tree, was widely distributed in southern China. In traditional Chinese medicine, the leaves and roots of C. lansium were used for cough, asthma, dermatological disease, viral hepatitis, and gastro-intestinal diseases; the seeds are used to treat acute and chronic gastro-intestinal inflammation, ulcers, etc.<sup>11</sup> Various bioactive constituents including coumarins, carbazole alkaloids

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017 **RSC Adv.**, 2017, 7, 35417–35425 | 35417–35425 **| 35417** 



### Results and discussion

Compound 1 (1a/1b) was obtained as colourless oil. Its molecular formula was assigned as  $C_{18}H_{25}NO_4$  based on the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data and HRESIMS  $(m/z 320.1854 \mathrm{[M + H]}^{+})$ , calcd for  $C_{18}H_{26}NO_4$ , 320.1856), implying seven indices of hydrogen deficiency. The IR spectrum displayed absorptions characteristic of amino (3313  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), amide (1640  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and aromatic ring (1671, 1612, and 1439  $cm^{-1}$ ) groups, and the UV spectrum showed absorptions at  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  202, 223, 282 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Table 1) spectrum showed a set of signals for 1,2,3-



a State Key Laboratory of Bioactive Substance and Function of Natural Medicines, Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, People's Republic of China. E-mail: zhangdm@ imm.ac.cn; Fax: +86-10-63165227; Tel: +86-10-63165227

b Beijing Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100029, People's Republic of China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The spectra including 1D-, 2D-NMR, HRESIMS, calculated CD spectra of compounds 1a/b/c, 2a/b, 3a/b, 4a, 5a. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra06753d



trisubstituted benzene ring at 7.43 (1H,  $d, J = 7.6$  Hz, H-4), 6.90  $(1H, t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, H-5)$ , 6.85  $(1H, d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, H-6)$ , together with a doublet at  $\delta_H$  7.18 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz, H-2) and a broad signal at  $\delta_H$  10.80 (1H, NH), which indicated a 3,7-disubstituted indole moiety. In addition, a double bond group at  $\delta_H$  5.66 (1H, t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, H-2"), a methylene at 3.55 (2H, d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, H-1") and two methyls at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.70 (3H, s, H-5"), 1.00 (3H, s, H-5") were also exhibited in the  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectrum. The  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR and DEPT spectra exhibited a double bond, and four methylenes, two methines, two methyls and the remaining eight in indole moiety. The above information indicated 1 was a diprenylated indole. A comparison of the  ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$  and  ${}^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of 1 with those of hexalobines<sup>19</sup> suggested that their structures are closely related, except for 1,3,4-trihydroxy-3-methylbut-2-yl and 4-hydroxy-3 methyl-2-butenyl at C-3 and C-7 in 1. In the HMBC spectrum, the cross-peaks between H-1<sup>'</sup>/C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  114.2), C-3<sup>'</sup> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  75.0), H-2<sup>'</sup>/ C-2 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 123.0), C-3a ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 128.3), C-5' ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 22.0), H-4'/C-3' ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 75.0), C-5<sup> $\prime$ </sup> ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 22.0) demonstrated 1,3,4-trihydroxy-3-methylbut-2-yl group attached to C-3 of the indole moiety (Fig. 2); the crosspeaks between H-1"/C-6 ( $\delta$ C 119.7), C-7a ( $\delta$ C 134.4), C-3" ( $\delta$ C 136.3), H-2"/C-7 ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 123.7), C-4" ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 66.3), C-5" ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 13.7) demonstrated 4-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butenyl group attached to C-7 of the indole moiety (Fig. 2). The NOE difference experiment displayed that a strong enhancement of  $H-2^{\prime\prime}$  was observed when H-4 $^{\prime\prime}$  was irradiated while H-2 $^{\prime\prime}$  was no enhanced on

irradiation of  $H-5$ <sup>"</sup>, indicating an  $E$  configuration of the double bond. Thus, the structure of 1 was elucidated. The specific rotation of 1 approached zero, and no Cotton effect was found in the electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectrum of 1, indicating a racemic mixture. Subsequent chiral resolution of 1 afforded the anticipated enantiomers 1a and 1b, which showed mirror image-like ECD curves and specific rotations  ${1a:}$  $\left[ \alpha \right]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +18.7 (c 0.1 MeOH); 1b:  $\left[ \alpha \right]_{\rm D}^{20}$  –17.0 (c 0.1 MeOH)}. In order to confirm the absolute configuration of the  $1', 3', 4'$ -triol of 1b, 1b was treated with 2,2-dimethoxypropane and pyridinium p-toluene sulfonate and converted into its acetonide 1c (Fig. 3). According to 1D and 2D NMR, 1c was determined similar to pyrido[3,4-b]pyrano[3,4-b]indoles.<sup>20</sup> In order to confirm the absolute configuration of  $1c$ , the ECD calculations were also performed for the four configurations  $2'R$ ,  $3'S$ -,  $2'R$ ,  $3'R$ -,  $2'S$ ,  $3'R$ -, and  $2'S$ , $3'S$ -1c using the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) method at the  $B_3LYP/6-31G$  (d) level.<sup>21,22</sup> The calculated ECD spectrum for  $2'R,3'S$ - and  $2'R,3'R$ -1c enantiomer agreed with the experimental ECD data (Fig. 4) of 1c. Thus, the absolute configuration at C-2' of  $1c$  was  $2/R$ . In addition, the absolute configuration of the  $3'$ , $4'$ -diol moiety of 1c was determined using induced CD spectra by Snatzke's method.<sup>23,24</sup> A positive Cotton effect at 323 nm (Fig. 5) in the induced CD spectrum indicated the  $3'S$  configuration for 1c by means of the empirical helicity rule. According to the above information, the





In DMSO- $d_6$  (600 MHz).  $b$  In DMSO- $d_6$  (150 MHz). Coupling constants (*J*) in Hz are given in parentheses. The assignments were based on HSQC and HMBC experiments.

absolute configuration of 1b was  $2'R$ , 3'S, and then the absolute configuration of 1a was 2'S,3'R. Therefore, compounds 1a and **1b** were given the trivial names  $(+)$ - $(2'S, 3'R)$ -clauselansine A and  $(-)$ - $(2'R, 3'S)$ -clauselansine A, respectively.

Compound 2 (2a/2b) was obtained as a white powder. Its molecular formula  $C_{18}H_{23}NO_3$  was deduced from the HRESIMS  $(m/z\ 302.1758\ [ \text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$ , calcd for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{NO}_3$ , 302.1751) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data, corresponding with eight indices of hydrogen deficiency. The  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR of 2 displayed signals characteristic of diprenylated indole, which were similar to these reported for compound 1. The only difference between 2 and 1 was that OH-1' and OH-4' of 1,3,4-trihydroxy-3-methylbut-2-yl group in 1 is formed five-membered oxygen ring in 2. This was supported further by the HMBC correlations between H-1'  $(\delta_H 4.02)$  and C-4' ( $\delta_C 80.5$ ) and H-4' ( $\delta_H 3.79$ ) and C-1' ( $\delta_C 71.8$ ). The NOE difference experiment displayed that a strong enhancement of H-2" was observed when H-4" was irradiated, while H-2 $''$  was no enhanced on irradiation of H-5 $''$ , indicating

 $\overline{2}$ 

Fig. 2 Key<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-COSY and HMBC correlations of compound 1 and 2.

an  $E$  configuration of the double bond. Thus, the structure of  $2$ was elucidated.

The specific rotation of 2 approached zero, and no Cotton effect was found in the electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectrum of 2, indicating a racemic mixture. Subsequent chiral resolution of 2 afforded the anticipated enantiomers 2a and 2b, which showed mirror image-like ECD curves and specific rotations {2a:  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  +28.0 (c 0.1 MeOH); 2b:  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  –32.6 (c 0.1 MeOH)}. In order to confirm the absolute configuration of the enantiomers 2a and 2b, a systematic conformational analysis and optimization were performed for 2a and 2b using the same method applied to 1a and 1b. A comparison of the theoretically



Fig. 3 The isolation of 1 to 1a and 1b and the action of compound 1b to its acetonide derivative 1c.



Fig. 4 Calculated ECD spectra of  $(2'R, 3'S)$ -1c,  $(2'R, 3'R)$ -1c,  $(2'S, 3'R)$ -1c, (2'S,3'S)-1c and the experimental ECD spectrum of (1c) in MeOH.



Fig. 5 CD spectrum of compound 1c in a DMSO of dimolybdenum tetraacetate (the inherent CD of the diol was subtracted).

calculated and experimental ECD curves (Fig. 6) demonstrated that the configuration of 2a was  $2'S$ ,  $3'R$  and the configuration of  $2\mathbf{b}$  was  $2^{\prime}R$ ,3 $^{\prime}S$ . According to the structures of  $1\mathbf{a}$  and  $2\mathbf{a}$ , we speculate that 2a was possibly generated by dehydration of 1a, which means the configuration of 2a was the same as 1a. And 2b was also possibly generated by dehydration of 1b, which means the configuration of  $2b$  was the same as  $1b$ . Thus,  $2a$  has a  $2'S$ ,3'R-configuration and 2b has a  $2'R$ ,3'S-configuration. Therefore, compounds 2a and 2b were given the trivial names  $(+)$ -(2'S,3'R)-clauselansine B and  $(+)$ -(2'R,3'S)-clauselansine B, respectively.

Compound 3 (3a/3b) was obtained as a white solid. Its molecular formula was assigned as  $C_{18}H_{17}NO_2$  based on the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data and HRESIMS  $(m/z\ 280.1333\ [M+Na]^+,$ calcd for  $C_{18}H_{17}NO_2Na$ , 280.1332), implying eleven indices of hydrogen deficiency. The IR spectrum displayed absorptions



Fig. 6 Calculated ECD spectra of (2'R,3'S)-2, (2'S,3'R)-2, (2'R,3'R)-2, (2'S,3'S)-2 and the experimental ECD spectrum of (+)- and (-)-clauselansine B (2a/2b) in MeOH.

characteristic of hydroxyl (3320  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl (1678  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and aromatic groups (1601, 1483, and 1454  $\rm cm^{-1}$ ). The  $^1\rm H$  NMR spectrum showed nine aromatic protons [ring A:  $\delta_H$  6.92 (1H, d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, H-3'), 7.23 (1H, overlapped, H-4'), 7.31 (1H, m, H-5') and 6.23 (1H, d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, H-6'); ring B:  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 6.98 (2H, d,  $J =$ 7.1 Hz, H-2", 6"), 7.27 (2H, m, H-3", 5"), 7.23 (1H, overlapped, H-4")], together with four methine groups at  $\delta_H$  4.11 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, H-3), 3.77 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 5.3 Hz, H-4), 4.66 (1H, t,  $J =$ 8.3 Hz, H-5), 4.77 (1H,  $d, J = 8.3$  Hz, H-6), and a hydroxyl group at  $\delta_H$  5.97 (1H, s). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum exhibited twelve aromatic carbons, one carbonyl  $\delta_{\rm C}$  174.1, one oxymethine  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.0, three methines ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 52.3, 53.3, 65.2), and one methyl ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 29.6). The  $^{1}$ H,  $^{1}$ H-COSY correlations (Fig. 7) suggested one OCH-CH-CH-CH fragment. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 2) also indicated 1,2-disubstituted and monosubstituted aromatic units (ring A and B) and one methyl group. The HMBC correlations (Fig. 6) of H-4 with C-2' ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 144.7) and C-6' ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 124.3); H-5 with C-2' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  144.7) and C-1" ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  140.7); H-6 with C-3' ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  125.8) and C-2"/C-6" ( $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 129.6) showed that ring A was connected at C-4 and C-6, and ring B was connected at C-6. The above information coupled with biogenetic considerations and literature references indicated 3 was similar to the dehydro-derivative of neoclausenamide.<sup>25,26</sup> Its relative configuration was established on the basis of NOESY correlations (Fig. 7). The NOESY correlations of H-4 with H-5, H-5 with H-4, H-6, N-CH<sub>3</sub> and H-6 with H-5, H-6", H-3 with H-2" showed that H-4, H-5 and H-6 were  $\beta$  orientation, while H-3 was  $\alpha$  orientation. Thus, compound 3  $(clauselansine C)$  was fully identified. PSC Advances<br>  $\frac{3}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{3}{2}$ 



Fig. 7 Key<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-COSY, HMBC and NOESY correlations of compound 3.

Table  $2^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 3  $(\delta$  in ppm,  $J$  in Hz)

Position	3			3	
	$\delta_{\mathbf{H}}^a$	$\delta_C^{\ \ b}$	Position	$\delta_{\mathbf{H}}^{\ a}$	$\delta_{\rm C}{}^b$
2		174.1 s	5'	7.31, m	127.7
3	4.11, $d(8.3)$	75.0 d	6'	7.45, d $(7.6)$	124.3
$\overline{4}$	$3.77, dd$ (8.3, 5.3)	52.3 d	1 <sup>''</sup>		140.7
5	4.66, t $(8.3)$	65.2 d	2'', 6''	6.98, $d(7.1)$	129.6
6	4.77, $d(8.3)$	53.3 d	3'', 5''	7.27, m	128.2
1'		142.8s	$4^{\prime\prime}$	7.23 <sup>c</sup>	126.9
$2^{\prime}$		144.7 s	$N$ – $CH3$	2.10, s	29.6
3'	6.92, d(7.6)	125.8 d	OН	5.97, s	
4'	7.23 <sup>c</sup>	128.0 <sub>d</sub>			

<sup>a</sup> In DMSO- $d_6$  (600 MHz).  $b$  In DMSO- $d_6$  (150 MHz). <sup>c</sup> Signal overlapped. Coupling constants  $(J)$  in Hz are given in parentheses. The assignments were based on HSQC and HMBC experiments.

The specific rotation of 3 approached zero, and no Cotton effect was found in the electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectrum of 3, indicating a racemic mixture. Subsequent chiral resolution of 3 afforded the anticipated enantiomers 3a and 3b, which showed mirror image-like ECD curves and specific rotations {3a:  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  +17.9 (c 0.1 MeOH); 3b:  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  –22.0 (c 0.1 MeOH)}. Compound 3a was treated with  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ - $\alpha$ -methoxy- $\alpha$ -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride (MTPA-Cl) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  to afforded the 3a-(S)-MTPA ester (3aa) and 3a-(R)-MTPA ester (3ab), respectively. The  $\Delta \delta_H^{\rm SR}$  values were calculated as shown in Fig. 2. Application of Mosbor's rule<sup>27</sup> revealed that 20 shown in Fig. 8. Application of Mosher's rule<sup>27</sup> revealed that 3a had the  $3S, 4R, 5S, 6S$  configuration. Meanwhile, the absolute configuration of 3b was assigned with the  $3R,4S,5R,6R$ -configuration. In order to confirm the absolute configuration of the enantiomers 3a and 3b, the ECD calculations were also performed for the two configurations  $3S, 4R, 5S, 6S$ - and  $3R, 4S, 5R, 6R$ -3 using the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) method at the  $B_3LYP/6-31G$  (d) level.<sup>21,22</sup> The calculated ECD spectrum for 3S,4R,5S,6S-3 agreed with the experimental ECD data (Fig. 9) of 3a. The calculated ECD spectrum for 3R,4S,5R,6R-3 was in good accordance with the experimental spectrum of 3b (Fig. 9). Thus, 3a has a  $3S, 4R, 5S, 6S$  configuration and 3b has a 3R,4S,5R,6R configuration. Therefore, compounds 3a and 3b were given the trivial names (+)-(3S,4R,5S,6S)-clauselansine C and  $(-)$ - $(3R, 4S, 5R, 6R)$ -clauselansine C, respectively. Paper<br>
The specific rotation of 3 approached zero, and no Coston Their 3<sup>-1</sup> July 20<sup>17</sup> Distribution-Coston Coston Coston

Compound 4 (4a/4b) was obtained as a white powder. Its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{19}H_{19}NO_4$  on the basis of its <sup>13</sup>C NMR and HRESIMS  $(m/z 326.1398 [M + H]^{+}$ , calcd for  $C_{19}H_{20}NO_4$  326.1387), corresponding with eleven indices of hydrogen deficiency. Its NMR data (Table 3) was almost identical to those of claulansine B.<sup>10</sup> Chiral separation of 4 afforded a pair of enantiomer 4a  $\{ [\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$  +142.7 (c 0.1 MeOH)} and 4b  $\{\alpha\}_{\text{D}}^{20}$  –99.5 (c 0.1 MeOH)}, which had opposite ECD curves. By





Fig. 9 Calculated ECD spectra of (3S,4R,5S,6S)-3 and (3R,4S,5R,6R)-3 and the experimental ECD spectra of  $(+)$ - and  $(-)$ -clauselansine C (3a/ 3b) in MeOH.

Table 3  $^{-1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 4a and 5a  $(\delta$  in ppm,  $J$  in Hz)

	4a		5a	
Position	$\delta_{\rm H}^{\phantom{A}a}$	$\delta_C^{\phantom{C}b}$	$\delta_{\rm H}^{\phantom{A}a}$	$\delta_C^{\ \ b}$
$\mathbf{1}$		144.3		138.6
1a		132.6 s		136.3
2		123.2s		135.1
3		129.1 s		118.8
4	7.62, s	111.2 s	8.37, s	112.7
4a		122.7 d		122.6
5	8.04, d(7.5)	120.1 d	8.27, d(7.5)	121.0
5a		123.6		126.3
6	7.14, t $(7.5)$	118.8	7.22, t $(7.5)$	119.6
7	7.38, $t(7.5)$	125.8	7.46, $t(7.5)$	126.7
8	7.50, t $(7.5)$	111.4	7.55, $d(7.5)$	111.7
8a		140.1		140.8
1'	4.77, d(7.5)	61.2	6.16, s	77.6
$2^{\prime}$	4.30, s	86.0	3.89, d(7.5)	75.8
3'		76.9		71.6
4'	1.27, s	29.7	1.22, s	28.5
$5^{\prime}$	1.04, s	23.2	1.26, s	24.7
$6^{\prime}$	6.09, s	100.3		170.8
$1-OCH3$	3.91, s	61.0	4.06, s	60.3
<b>NH</b>	11.37, br s		$11.80$ br s	
$1'$ -OH	5.34, $d(7.5)$			
$2'$ -OH			5.71, $d(6.0)$	

<sup>a</sup> In DMSO- $d_6$  (600 MHz).  $b$  In DMSO- $d_6$  (150 MHz). Coupling constants (J) in Hz are given in parentheses. The assignments were based on HSQC and HMBC experiments.

comparison of the calculated ECD spectra of the  $1/R$ ,2 $^{\prime}R$ ,6 $^{\prime}R$  and 1'S,2'S,6'S configurations of 4 with the experimental data of 4a and 4b (Fig. 10), the absolute configurations of 4a and 4b were assigned as  $1'R,2'R,6'R$  and  $1'S,2'S,6'S$ . Thus, 4a was defined as  $(+)$ -(1'R,2'R,6'R)-claulansine B, and 4b was identified as the known compound  $(-)$ - $(1'S, 2'S, 6'S)$ -claulansine B.

Compound 5 (5a/5b) was obtained as a white powder. The HRESIMS displayed  $m/z$  364.1163  $[M + Na]^{+}$  (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{19}NO_5$ Na 364.1155), which was consistent with a molecular formula of  $C_{19}H_{19}NO_5$  with eleven indices of hydrogen deficiency. Its NMR data (Table 3) was almost identical to those of claulansine D.<sup>10</sup> Chiral isolation of 5 afforded the enantiomer 5a Fig. 8  $\,$  The Mosher's method of 3a.  $\{[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +62.0  $(c \,\, 0.11 \,\, \mathrm{MeOH}) \}$  and  $\,5b \,\, \{[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$   $-99.2 \,\, (c \,\, 0.1 \,\, \mathrm{MeOH}) \}$ 



Fig. 10 Calculated ECD spectra of  $(1/R, 2'R, 6'R)$ -4 and  $(1'S, 2'S, 6'S)$ -4 and the experimental ECD spectra of  $(+)$ - and  $(-)$ -claulansine B (4a/4b) in MeOH.

which had opposite ECD curves (Fig. 11). The absolute configurations of 5a and 5b were determined using the same methods as described in 4a and 4b. Thus, 5a was defined as  $(+)$ - $(1/R, 2'R)$ claulansine D, and 5b was identified as the known compound  $(-)$ - $(1'S, 2'S)$ -claulansine D.

The structures of nine known compounds were also identi fied by comparing their spectroscopic data to those found in the literature. The known compounds isolated were claulansine B  $(4b)$ ,<sup>10</sup> claulansine D  $(5b)$ ,<sup>10</sup>  $(-)$ - $(1S,3S)$ -1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- $\beta$ -carboline-3-carboxylic acid  $(6a)$ ,<sup>28</sup> (+)-(1R,3S)-1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro- $\beta$ -carboline-3-carboxylic acid  $(6b)$ ,<sup>28</sup>  $(R)$ -isoplatydesmine  $(7a)$ ,<sup>29</sup> (S)-isoplatydesmine  $(7b)$ ,<sup>30</sup> claulansine A (8),<sup>10</sup> ribalinine (9),<sup>31</sup>  $\gamma$ -fagarine (10),<sup>32</sup> dictamnine (11),<sup>33</sup> N-(2hydroxy-2-phenylethyl)-cinnamamide (12),<sup>34</sup> N-phenylethylbenzamide (13).<sup>34</sup>

Compounds 1–13 were evaluated for their neuroprotective effect on neuron-like PC12 cells induced by  $A\beta_{25-35}$ , and Okadaic Acid (OKA) in vitro using the MTT method. The neuron growth factor (NGF) was used as a positive control. At 10  $\mu$ M, 1b, 2a, 3b, 5a, 5b increased the cell survival rate of the Okadaic acid-treated group, other compounds were inactive, while all the compounds failed to protect cells from  $A\beta_{25-35}$ .

Clausenamide is one of novel compounds isolated from Clausena lansium (Lour) skeels. Clausenamide is unusual in that it contains 4 chiral centers yielding 8 pairs of enantiomers. In



Fig. 11 Calculated ECD spectra of  $(1/R,2/R)$ -5 and  $(1'S,2'S)$ -5 and the experimental ECD spectra of (+)- and (-)-claulansine D (5a/5b) in MeOH.

Table 4 Neuroprotective effects of compounds 1–13 against Okadaic acid-induced injury in PC12 Cells (10  $\mu$ M, means  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 6$ )<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> ###p < 0.001 vs. control, \*\*\*p < 0.001 vs. model, \*\*p < 0.01 vs. model,  $*_p$  < 0.1 vs. model.

pharmacological studies numerous models and indicators  $\mathop{\mathsf{showed}}$  that  $(-)$ -clausenamide is the active enantiomer, while (+)-clausenamide is inactive and elicits greater toxicity than (-)-clausenamide.<sup>35</sup> Compounds 3 and clausenamide are very similar in structure and therefore have the same biological activity. Similarly, 3b is the active enantiomer, while 3a is inactive. The carbazole and quinolone alkaloids having neuroprotective effects were exhibited in previous researches,<sup>10,36</sup> and carbazole alkaloids may derived from indole alkaloids. As we all know, thalidomide as a chiral racemic compound, its  $R$ -configuration has inhibitory activity of pregnancy, while S-configuration has teratogenic. One of the isomers of the enantiomer is highly active and the other isomer is inactive, or both isomers are active, or both isomers are inactive. Thus, it's reasonable that compound 1b, 2a, 5a, and 5b are active while 1a, 2b are inactive. All in all, alkaloids isolated from C. lansium are worthy of study to find more potential effects in the further (Table 4). BSC Advances<br>
which had opposite RCD curves (Fig. 11). The absolute config/s pharmacological staties to merginan continuous common access the article is common access to the entire entropy with the second on 14 Simulation

### Conclusions

In summary, we have reported the isolation, identification and biological study of twenty compounds (1–13) including three new enantiomers (1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b), two new ones (4a, 5a), and several of them inhibited PC12 cell damage induced by Okadaic Acid. Furthermore, compounds 6–7 were isolated from the genus *Clausena* for the first time. The occurrence of alkaloids derivatives from C. lansium is in agreement with the previous findings, 1-7 indicating that the isolation of these enantiomer compounds might be a useful chemotaxonomic for screening activity. The results of preliminary neuroprotective effect assays suggested that several isolated alkaloids derivatives showed moderate activity. Moreover, previous findings exhibited that the carbazole, amide and quinolone alkaloids metabolites have neuroprotective effects and others biological activities such as anti-inflammatory, hepatoprotective, cytotoxic9,15–<sup>17</sup> which indicating that the alkaloid compounds and their biological activities of C. lansium are worth studying in order to find compounds with potential activity.

### **Experimental**

Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO P2000 automatic digital polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-650 spectrophotometer, CD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5700 spectrometer using an FT-IR microscope transmission method. NMR spectra were acquired with Bruker AVIIIHD 600, VNS-600, and Mercury-400 spectrometers in DMSO- $d_6$  and MeOH- $d_6$ . HRESIMS spectra were collected on an Agilent 1100 series LC/MSD ion trap mass spectrometer. MPLC system was composed of two C-605 pumps (Büchi), a C-635 UV detector (Büchi), a C-660 fraction collector (Büchi), and an ODS column (450 mm  $\times$  60 mm, 50 µm, 400 g; YMC). Semi-preparative HPLC was conducted using a Shimadzu LC-6AD instrument with an SPD-20A detector and a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (250  $\times$ 10 mm, 5 µm). Preparative HPLC was also performed on

a Shimadzu LC-6AD instrument with a YMC-Pack ODS-A column (250  $\times$  20 mm, 5 µm). Column chromatography (CC) was performed with silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, People's Republic of China), SF-PRP 512A (100-200 mesh, Beijing Sunflower and Technology Development Co., Beijing, People's Republic of China), ODS (50 mm, YMC, Japan), and Sephadex LH-20 (GE, Sweden). TLC was carried out on glass precoated silica gel GF254 plates. Spots were visualized under UV light or by spraying with 10% sulfuric acid in EtOH followed by heating. PC12 cells (adrenal gland; pheochromocytoma) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO),  $AB_{25-35}$ , Okadaic acid, 3-(3.4-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-3-(3,4-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS) and equine serum were purchased from Gibco BRL (New York, NY, USA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were commercially available.

#### Plant material

The stems of C. lansium were collected in Liuzhou, Guangxi, China, in December 2008 and identified by Engineer Guangri Long, Forestry of Liuzhou. A voucher specimen has been deposited at the Herbarium of Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College (ID-S-2320).

Extraction and isolation. Air-dried, powdered stems of C. lansium (200 kg) were macerated for 3 h with 20 L of 95% EtOH (aq) and refluxed for 4 h (20 L  $\times$  2). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a dark brown residue (640 g). The residue was suspended in water (2000 mL) and then partitioned with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3  $\times$  2000 mL), EtOAc (3  $\times$ 2000 mL), and n-BuOH  $(3 \times 2000 \text{ mL})$ , successively. After removing the solvent, the *n*-BuOH-soluble portion (850 g) was fractionated via macroporous adsorbent resin (HPD-100) column with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ , 30% EtOH, 60% EtOH, and 95% EtOH to yield four corresponding fractions A–D.

Fraction B (180.6 g) was fractionated via silica gel column chromatography, eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (10 : 1 : 0.05, 9 : 1 : 0.1, 8 : 2 : 0.2, 7 : 3 : 0.3, 6 : 4 : 0.4) to afford twelve fractions  $B_1 - B_{12}$  on the basis of TLC analysis. Fraction  $B_4$  (5.9 g) was further separated by reversed-phase silica MPLC with MeOH– H<sub>2</sub>O (20–50%, 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 6 h) to afford B<sub>4-1</sub>-B<sub>4-47</sub> fractions. Fractions B4-38–B4-42 was successively separated using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 18% CH $_{3}$ CN, 8 mL min $^{-1})$  to yield 1 (20.3 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  37.50 min). Compound 1 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (n-hexane-2-propanol, 6:1, 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) to give **1a** (9.1 mg,  $t_R$  57.63 min) and **1b** (8.7 mg,  $t_R$  67.99 min). Fraction  $B_{10}$  (5.1 g) was further separated by reversed-phase silica MPLC with MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O  $(20-50\%$ , 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 7 h) to afford  $B_{10-1}$ - $B_{10-65}$  fractions. Fractions  $B_{10-15}-B_{10-20}$  were successively separated using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 8% CH $_{\rm 3}$ CN, 8 mL min $^{-1})$  to yield 6a  $(8.2 \text{ mg}, t_R 58.35 \text{ min})$  and 6b  $(2.4 \text{ mg}, t_R 68.93 \text{ min})$ .

Fraction C (197.3 g) was fractionated via silica gel column chromatography, eluting with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ , EtOAc, n-BuOH,

 $CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>$ , MeOH to afford five fractions  $C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub>$ . Fraction  $C<sub>1</sub>$ was further separated by PRP-512A with MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (35–70%) to afford  $C_{1-1}-C_{1-6}$  fractions. Fraction  $C_{1-3}$  was further separated by reversed-phase silica MPLC with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (35-55%, 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 6 h) to afford C<sub>1-3-1</sub>-C<sub>1-3-12</sub> fractions. Fraction C<sub>1-3-11</sub> was successively separated by Sephadex LH-20 and then using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 30% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 8 mL  $\rm{min}^{-1}$ ) to yield 5 (6.2 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  42.87 min), 7 (11.2 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  62.14 min) and 9 (24.4 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  51.17 min). Compound 5 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (n-hexane-2 propanol,  $3:1$ ,  $3$  mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) to give 5a (2.8 mg,  $t_{\text{R}}$  36.37  $\text{min}$ ) and 5b (2.1 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  45.78 min). Compound 7 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (n-hexane-2-propanol, 7 : 1, 3 mL min $^{-1}$ ) to give 7a (4.8 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  19.85 min) and 7b (5.3 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  25.86 min). Fraction C<sub>1-3-12</sub> was successively separated by Sephadex LH-20 and then using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 29% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) to yield 2  $(5.2 \text{ mg}, t_R 48.14 \text{ min})$ . Compound 2 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (*n*-hexane-2-propanol,  $8:1, 3$  mL  $\mathrm{min}^{-1})$  to give 2a (2.8 mg,  $t_\mathrm{R}$  25.81 min) and 2b (2.1 mg,  $t_\mathrm{R}$  34.17 min). Fraction  $C_{1-5}$  was further separated by reversed-phase silica MPLC with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (35-55%, 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 6 h) to afford  $C_{1-5-1}-C_{1-5-8}$  fractions. Fraction  $C_{1-5-6}$  was successively separated via silica gel column chromatography and then using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 32% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 8 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ) to yield 3 (10.7 mg,  $t_{\text{R}}$  53.75 min), 4 (9.2 mg,  $t_{\text{R}}$  39.05 min), 10 (3.1 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  52.10 min), 12 (2.4 mg,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  49.16 min) and 13  $(3.2 \text{ mg}, t_R 65.64 \text{ min})$ . Compound 3 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (n-hexane-2-propanol, 9 : 1, 3 mL  $\min^{-1}$ ) to give 3a (4.1 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  19.87 min) and 3b (3.9 mg,  $t_{\rm R}$  25.12 min). Compound 4 was further separated by semipreparative chiral HPLC (n-hexane-2-propanol, 7 : 1, 3 mL  $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) to give 4a  $(1.5 \text{ mg}, t_R 21.98 \text{ min})$  and 4b  $(4.3 \text{ mg}, t_R 40.07 \text{ min})$ . Fraction C<sub>1</sub>. <sup>6</sup> was further separated by reversed-phase silica MPLC with MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (35–55%, 50 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 6 h) to afford C<sub>1-6-1</sub>–C<sub>1-6-10</sub> fractions. Fraction  $C_{1-6-2}$  was successively separated via silica gel column chromatography and then using preparative HPLC (detection at 210 nm, 30% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) to yield 8  $(5.2 \text{ mg}, t_R 33.31 \text{ min})$  and 11 (7.1 mg,  $t_R 23.17 \text{ min}$ ). Paper<br>
As characterized on 14 July 2017. Downloaded on 11,00011, MoOI is subtracted to 14 July 2017. Downloaded the subset of the subset

 $(+)$ -(2'S,3'R)-Clauselansine A (1a). Colourless oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +18.7 (c 0.1 MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 202.8 (4.29), 222.6 (4.38), 281.8 (3.48) nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 268 (+0.75), 226  $(+4.01)$  nm; IR (microscope)  $v_{\text{max}}$  3313, 2925, 1671, 1612, 1439, 1379, 1109, 1040, 749 cm $^{-1}$ ; 1 <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz), see Table 1; HRESIMS  $m/z$ 320.1854  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, 320.1856).

 $(-)$ -(2'R,3'S)-Clauselansine A (1**b**). Colourless oil;  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  -17.0  $(c \t 0.1 \text{ MeOH})$ ; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \epsilon$ ) 268 (-0.23), 226  $(-0.79)$  nm; UV, IR, NMR, and HRESIMS were the same as those of 1a.

(+)-(2'S,3'R)-Clauselansine B (2a). White powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +28.0 (c 0.1 MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 203.0 (4.37), 220.0 (4.37), 281.6 (3.53) nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 276 (-0.18), 233 (+1.03) nm; IR (microscope)  $v_{\text{max}}$  3358, 2917, 2851, 1615, 1377, 1039, 1002, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 150 MHz), see Table 1; HRESIMS  $m/z$  302.1758  $[M + H]^{+}$  (calcd for  $C_{18}H_{24}NO_3$ , 302.1751).

 $(-)$ -(2'R,3'S)-Clauselansine B (2**b**). White powder;  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  –32.6  $(c \ 0.1 \ \text{MeOH})$ ; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 280 (+0.35), 232  $(-1.56)$  nm; UV, IR, NMR, and HRESIMS were the same as those of 2a.

 $(+)$ -(3S,4R,5S,6S)-Clauselansine C (3a). White powder;  $\left[\alpha\right]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +17.9 (c 0.1 MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 203.8  $(4.28)$  nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 272 (-0.53), 234 (+1.27), 215<br>(2.37) nm; IB (microscope)  $v = 3220, 2923, 1578, 1482, 1454$  $(-2.27)$  nm; IR (microscope)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3320, 2923, 1678, 1483, 1454, 1403, 1202, 1132, 1078, 753, 703 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^1$ H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz) and  $^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz), see Table 2; HRESIMS  $m/z$  280.1333 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NaNO<sub>2</sub>, 280.1332).

 $(-)$ -(3R,4S,5R,6R)-Clauselansine C (3**b**). White powder;  $\left[ \alpha \right]_{\rm D}^{\rm 20}$  – 22.0 (c 0.1 MeOH); ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  ( $\Delta \epsilon$ ) 272 (+0.27), 234 (-1.31), 215 (+1.84) nm; UV, IR, NMR, and HRESIMS were the same as those of 3a.

 $(+)$ -(1'R,2'R,6'R)-Claulansine B (**4a**). White powder;  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20}$  +142.7 (c 0.1 MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 201.6 (4.40), 241.8 (4.24), 294.8 (3.68) nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\Delta \varepsilon)$  335 (+0.90), 290 ( $-1.71$ ), 244 (+15.40), 223 ( $-2.31$ ) nm; IR (microscope)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3319, 2971, 1613, 1573, 1503, 1456, 1383, 1248, 1081, 1024, 745  $\rm cm^{-1};~^1H$  NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 600 MHz) and  $^{13} \rm C$  NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 150 MHz), see Table 3; HRESIMS  $m/z$  326.1398  $[M + H]^{+}$  (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{20}NO_4$ , 326.1387).

 $(+)$ -(1'R,2'R)-Claulansine D (5a). White powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +62.0 (c) 0.11 MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 195.8 (3.71), 231.0 (3.87), 278.2 (4.11) nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\Delta \epsilon)$  335 (+0.28), 261 (-1.94),<br>242 (41.80), 225 (-1.50) nm; IP (misroscope)  $\frac{2270}{\text{ s}}$  2010 243 (+1.89), 225 (-1.50) nm; IR (microscope)  $v_{\text{max}}$  3379, 2919, 2850, 1737, 1613, 1462, 1358, 1242, 1099, 729 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 150 MHz), see Table 3; HRESIMS  $m/z$  364.1163  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{19}H_{19}NNaO_5$ , 364.1155).

#### Preparation of acetonide derivative (1c)

To determine the relative configuration of  $1b$ , compound  $1b(3)$ mg) was treated with 2,2-dimethoxypropane (1 mL) and pyridinium *p*-toluene sulfonate (1 mg), then stirred at 30 °C for 5 h under  $N_2$  circumstance. The reaction solution was evaporated in vacuo and purified by reversed-phase preparative HPLC using  $CH_3OH/H_2O$  (60 : 40 v/v) to yield the acetonide 1c (2.47 mg).

**Compound 1c.** White amorphous powder;  $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{20}$  +12.0 (c 0.2) MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 206 (6.24), 225 (6.40), 277  $(5.75)$  nm; ECD (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 267 (-1.28), 251 (-0.78), 234<br>(-2.14) nm; Mo (OAe) induced CD (DMSO) 222 (Ae+0.26) nm;  $(-2.14)$  nm; Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>-induced CD (DMSO) 323 ( $\Delta \epsilon$  +0.26) nm;<br>ID (misraecono) x 3207, 2022, 2054, 1645, 1542, 1452, 1400 IR (microscope)  $v_{\text{max}}$  3307, 2923, 2854, 1645, 1542, 1452, 1400, 1240, 1099, 660  $\rm cm^{-1} ;$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 150 MHz), see Table 1; HRESIMS m/z 382.1993  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NNaO<sub>4</sub>, 382.1989).

### Determination of absolute configurations of the  $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ -diol unit in 1c

According to the published procedure, a 1 : 1.2 mixture of diol/  $Mo_{2}(OAc)_{4}$  for 1c was subjected to CD measurements at a concentration of 0.1 mg  $mL^{-1}$  in anhydrous DMSO. The first CD spectrum was recorded immediately after mixing, and its time evolution was monitored until stationary (about 10 min after mixing). The inherent CD was subtracted. The observed

sign of the diagnostic band at around 310 nm in the induced CD spectrum was correlated to the absolute configuration of the 3',4'-diol unit.

#### Preparation of  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ -MTPA eaters of 3a

A solution of 3a (1.31 mg) in dehydrated  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL) was treated with  $(R)-(+)$ - $\alpha$ -methoxy- $\alpha$ -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride  $[(R)$ -MTPA-Cl  $(10 \text{ mg})]$  in the presence of anhydrous pyridine, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 13 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into icewater and extracted with EtOAC. The EtOAC extract was successively washed with 5% aqueous HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and brine, then dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to afford a residue. The residue was purified by semi-preparative HPLC (C18 column, 3.0 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ , UV 210 nm, 80% CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H2O) to yield (S)-MTPA ester derivative of 3a (compound 3aa 1.03 mg). (R)-MTPA ester derivative of 3a (compound 3ab 0.92 mg) was obtained from 3a (1.05 mg). **BSC Advances**<br>
(a) (FR.379) Clauschensie R(28)) White poweler, [a]<sup>33</sup> - 2.3.4 sign of the diagnostic hand a noront 210 ann in he induced C<br>
(c) Abcess BS/2020001) Access Article. C (a) White poweler<br>
(a) (F/3, RAS SS (S

Compound 3aa. Colourless oil;  $^{1}$ H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3)  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ 5.73 (1H, d,  $J = 4.2$  Hz, H-3), 3.83 (1H, dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 4.2 Hz, H-4), 4.62 (1H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, H-5), 4.69 (1H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, H-6), 2.17 (3H, s, N–CH3), 3.69 (3H, s, OCH3), 6.99–7.68 (14H, Ar–H).

Compound 3ab. Colourless oil; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  5.52 (1H, d, J = 4.2 Hz, H-3), 4.07 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 4.2 Hz, H-4), 4.75 (1H, m, H-5), 4.75 (1H, overlapped, H-6), 2.19 (3H, s, N–  $CH<sub>3</sub>$ ), 3.59 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.00–7.70 (14H, Ar–H).

#### Neuroprotection bioassays

Pheochromocytoma (PC12) cells were incubated in DMEM supplied with 5% fetal bovine serum and 5% equine serum as basic medium. PC12 cells in logarithmic phase were cultured at a density of 5000 cells per well in a 96-well microtiter plate. After 24 h incubation, the medium of the model group was changed to DMEM or basic medium with 15  $\mu$ M A $\beta$ <sub>25-35</sub> for 48 h or basic medium with 50 nM OKA for 24 h. Test compounds dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added to each well for >1000-fold dilution in the model medium at the same time. Each sample was tested in triplicate. After the incubation at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 24 h, 10  $\mu$ L of MTT (5  $\mathrm{mg}\ \mathrm{mL}^{-1})$  was added to each well and incubated for another 4 h; then liquid in the wells was removed. DMSO (100 µL) was added to each well. The absorbance was recorded on a microplate reader (Bio-Rad model 550) at a wavelength of 570 nm.<sup>37</sup> Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc test were performed to assess the differences between the relevant control and each experimental group.  $p$ -Values of <0.05, <0.01, and <0.001 were regarded as statistically significant. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM as indicated.

### Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Department of Instrumental Analysis, Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, for the measurement of the UV, IR, CD, NMR, and HRESIMS spectra. This research program is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21272278) and the National Megaproject for Innovative Drugs (No. 2012ZX09301002-002).

### Notes and references

- 1 R. L. Pan and Z. Y. Zhu, World Notes Plant Medicine, 1990, 5(6), 243–247.
- 2 C. Yenjai, S. Sripontan, P. Sriprajun, P. Kittakoop, A. Jintasirikul, M. Tanticharoen and Y. Thebtaranonth, Planta Med., 2000, 66, 277–279.
- 3 D. Y. Shen, Y. Y. Chan, T. L. Hwang, S. H. Juang, S. C. Huang, P. C. Kuo, T. D. Thang, E. J. Lee, A. G. Damu and T. S. Wu, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 1215–1223.
- 4 W. Maneerat, W. Phakhodee, S. Cheenpracha, T. Ritthiwigrom, S. Deachathai and S. Laphookhieo, Phytochemistry, 2013, 88, 74–78.
- 5 H. M. Xia, C. J. Li, J. Z. Yang, J. Ma, X. G. Chen, D. Zhang, L. Li and D. M. Zhang, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 784–791.
- 6 B. Kongkathip, N. Kongkathip, A. Sunthitikawinsakul, C. Napaswat and C. Yoosook, Phytother. Res., 2005, 19, 728–731.
- 7 W. Maneerat, W. Phakhodee, T. Ritthiwigrom, S. Cheenpracha, T. Promgool, K. Yossathera, S. Deachthai and S. Laphookhieo, Fitoterapia, 2012, 83, 1110–1114.
- 8 H. M. Xia, C. J. Li, J. Z. Yang, J. Ma, Y. Li, L. Li and D. M. Zhang, Phytochemistry, 2016, 130, 238–243.
- 9 W. W. Song, G. Z. Zeng, W. W. Peng, K. X. Chen and N. H. Tan, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2014, 97, 298–305.
- 10 H. Liu, C. J. Li, J. Z. Yang, N. Ning, Y. K. Si, L. Li, N. H. Chen, Q. Zhao and D. M. Zhang, J. Nat. Prod., 2012, 75, 677–682.
- 11 A. C. Adebajo, E. O. Iwalewa, E. M. Obuotor, G. F. Ibikunle, N. O. Omisore, C. O. Adewunmi, O. O. Obaparusi, M. Klaes, G. E. Adetogun, T. J. Schmidt and E. J. Verspohl, J. Ethnopharmacol., 2009, 122, 10–19.
- 12 W. Maneerat, T. Ritthiwigrom, S. Cheenpracha and S. Laphookhieo, Phytochem. Lett., 2012, 5, 26–28.
- 13 G. T. Liu, W. X. Li, Y. Y. Chen and H. L. Wei, Drug Dev. Res., 1996, 39, 174–178.
- 14 K. N. Prasad, H. H. Xie, J. Hao, B. Yang, S. X. Qiu, X. Y. Wei, F. Chen and Y. M. Yue, Food Chem., 2010, 118, 62–66.
- 15 H. Liu, F. Li, C. J. Li, J. Z. Yang, L. Li, N. H. Chen and D. M. Zhang, Phytochemistry, 2014, 107, 141–147.
- 16 J. Liu, C. J. Li, L. Ni, J. Z. Yang, L. Li, C. X. Zang, X. Q. Bao, D. Zhang and D. M. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 80553–80560.
- 17 Y. Q. Du, H. Liu, C. J. Li, J. Ma, D. Zhang, L. Li, H. Sun, X. Q. Bao and D. M. Zhang, Fitoterapia, 2015, 103, 122–128.
- 18 Q. Zhao, J. Z. Yang, C. J. Li, N. H. Chen and D. M. Zhang, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2011, 13, 361–366.
- 19 H. Achenbach, C. Renner and R. Waibel, Liebigs Ann., 1995, 1327–1337.
- 20 K. Freter and V. J. Fuchs, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1982, 19, 377– 379.
- 21 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and ¨ D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision B. 01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009. Paper Vese articles. 1998<br>
Vese Articles. Orientation Humanismann Analysis Articles. 2017. New Articles. 2018. New Article
	- 22 N. Berova, L. Bari and G. Pescitelli, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 914–931.
	- 23 J. Frelek, M. Geiger and W. Voelter, Curr. Org. Chem., 1999, 3, 117–146.
	- 24 L. D. Bari, G. Pescitelli, C. Pratelli, D. Pini and P. J. Salvadori, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4819–4825.
	- 25 N. C. Ma, K. M. Wu and L. Huang, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2008, 43, 1781–1784.
	- 26 N. C. Ma, K. M. Wu and L. Huang, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2008, 45, 785–787.
	- 27 J. M. Seco, E. Quinoa and R. Riguera, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 17–117.
	- 28 G. Q. Li, Z. W. Deng, J. Li, H. Z. Fu and W. H. Lin, J. Chin. Pharm. Sci., 2004, 13(2), 81–86.
	- 29 M. F. Grundon and S. A. Surgenor, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1978, 14, 624–626.
	- 30 J. J. Chen, C. Y. Duh and H. Y. Huang, Planta Med., 2003, 69(6), 542–546.
	- 31 A. Ahond, C. Poupat and J. Pusset, Phytochemistry, 1979, 18, 1415–1416.
	- 32 Y. D. Min, H. C. Kwon, M. C. Yang, K. H. Lee, S. U. Choi and K. R. Lee, Arch. Pharmacal Res., 2007, 30(1), 58–63.
	- 33 J. Pusset, J. L. Lopez, M. Pais, M. A. Neirabeyeh and J. M. Veillon, Planta Med., 1991, 57, 153–155.
	- 34 M. X. You, S. Purevsuren and C. Q. Hu, Nat. Prod. Res. Dev., 2008, 20, 647–649.
	- 35 F. Zhang, Y. N. Yang, X. Y. Song, S. Y. Shao, Z. M. Feng, J. S. Jiang, L. Li, N. H. Chen and P. C. Zhang, J. Nat. Prod., 2015, 78, 2390–2397.
	- 36 S. F. Chu and J. T. Zhang, Acta Pharm. Sin. B, 2014, 4(6), 417– 423.
	- 37 M. Pieroni, S. Girmay, D. Q. Sun, R. Sahu, B. L. Tekwani and G. T. Tan, ChemMedChem, 2012, 7(11), 1895–1900.