RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 41540

Received 24th July 2017 Accepted 20th August 2017 DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08137e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Thin film solar cells based on CdTe¹ and Cu(In_{1-x}Ga_x)Se (CIGS)² have drawn much attention due to their excellent absorbance and high efficiencies of nearly 20%. Despite their success, these materials contain toxic (cadmium) and less-abundant elements (tellurium, indium, and gallium). Therefore, cheap, low-toxicity, and earth-abundant metal sulfide semiconductors, such as $Cu₂ZnSn(S, Se)₄ (CZTSSe)₃³⁻⁵ Fe₂S₂^{6,7} Cu₂FeSnS₄ (ref. 8–10) and$ $SnS^{11,12}$ have increasingly attracted attention as promising alternatives.

Cu–Sb–S ternary compounds, including four major phases: CuSbS₂ (chalcostibite),¹³⁻¹⁶ Cu₁₂Sb₄S₁₃ (tetrahedrite),^{17,18} $Cu₃SbS₄$ (famatinite),^{19–21} and $Cu₃SbS₃$ (skinnerite),^{22,23} have also emerged as potential absorber materials because of their appropriate optical, electrical properties and the presence of earth-abundant elements.²² Among them, $Cu₃SbS₄$ is suggested as a promising light absorber with an optimum band gap energy of \sim 1.1 eV, comparable with those of high-efficiency CIGS and CZTSSe materials.²⁴ In the past years, various solution techniques have been explored for the preparation of nano/ microscale $Cu₃SbS₄$ particles.^{18,22,24,25} In 2013, J. Embden¹⁸ reported the synthesis of $Cu_{12}Sb_4S_{13}$ and $Cu_{3}SbS_4$ nanocrystals

Gas–solid reaction for in situ deposition of $Cu₃SbS₄$ on a mesoporous TiO₂ film⁺

Yu Zhang,^{ab} Jia[nhu](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0267-3917)a Tian,^a Kejian Jiang, D^{*b} Jinhua Huang,^b Huijia Wang^{ab} and Yanlin Song^{D*b}

Herein, a novel, facile, in situ gas-solid reaction method has been successfully employed for the deposition of famatinite (Cu₃SbS₄) semiconductor on a mesosporous TiO₂ film, where precursors CuCl₂ and SbCl₃ were first solution-coated on a TiO₂ substrate, followed by reaction with H₂S gas and further thermal annealing. Various precursor ratios, temperatures and heating atmospheres have been examined for the deposition. The phase-pure $Cu₃SBS₄$ has been obtained by coating a mixed solution of CuCl₂ and SbCl₃ at a molar ratio of 2.5 : 1, and annealing at 300 °C for 10 min in an H₂S atmosphere. The deposited Cu₃SbS₄ was uniformly distributed on the entire porous TiO₂ film with crystal grain sizes of about 3–4 nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis revealed valence states of the synthetic samples for Cu⁺, Sb⁵⁺ and S²⁻, verifying phase-pure Cu₃SbS₄. The spectral absorption of the film ranges from 400 nm to 1000 nm with a band gap of \sim 1.24 eV. The Cu₃SbS₄ film shows good and stable photoresponse performance, indicating its high potential as photovoltaic absorber. PAPER

(a) Check for updates

City in $\frac{1}{2}$ Check for updates
 $\frac{1}{$

through optimizing the ligand chemistry in a hot-injection reaction. Moreover, colloidal nanocrystals of the four different Cu–Sb–S phases were obtained through adjusting the ratios of the precursors and reaction temperatures in the hot-injection method.²² Besides, G. Chen²⁶ studied the synthesis and formation mechanism of flower-like $Cu₃SbS₄$ particles via microwave irradiation. In contrast, there were few reports on the *in situ* preparation of $Cu₃SbS₄$ polycrystalline films. Franzer²⁷ reported fabrication of polycrystalline $Cu₃SbS₄$ films by magnetron sputtering. Very recently, U. Chalapathi²⁰ reported on the fabrication of $Cu₃SbS₄$ thin film by annealing the Sb_2S_3/CuS stacking layers, where both the Sb_2S_3 and CuS thin films with appropriate thicknesses are deposited by a chemical bath deposition technique. Both the methods, however, remain inherent disadvantages such as requisite high vacuum or difficulty in the control of the film with desired stoichiometric composition.

Recently, we developed a simple, low-cost, in situ gas–solid reaction method for the deposition of binary metal sulfide Sb_2S_3 , CdS, and ternary CuSbS₂.²⁸⁻³⁰ In this approach, a precursor salt was first introduced from solution into a nanoporous $TiO₂$ film, and subsequently transformed into sulfide through reaction with H_2S ²⁸⁻³⁰ Herein, we further extended this method for the fabrication of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film. Through optimizing different precursor concentrations, temperatures and heating atmosphere, pure-phase $Cu₃SbS₄$ was achieved. The optical and electrical properties proved that the $Cu₃SbS₄$ film could be used as an attractive light absorber in photovoltaics.

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

a School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

^bKey Laboratory of Green Printing, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China. E-mail: kjjiang@iccas.ac.cn; ylsong@iccas.ac.cn † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08137e

Experimental

Fabrication of $TiO₂/Cu₃SbS₄$ device

First, the soda-lime glasses were cleaned by ultrasonic treatment with detergent, deionized water, ethanol and acetone successively, and then blow-dried by nitrogen gas. 20 nm-sized TiO2 paste (DSL 18NM-T, Dyesol), diluted with ethanol as a mass ratio of 1 : 1.5, was blade coated on the cleaned glass substrates, followed by calcination at 125 \degree C for 5 min and 500 °C for 30 min. For the deposition of $Cu₃SbS₄$, various ethanolic solutions of $CuCl₂$ and $SbCl₃$ were prepared with the molar ratios of Cu : Sb set as 2 : 1, 2.3 : 1, 2.5 : 1, and 3 : 1. The precursor solution was first dropped on the mesoporous $TiO₂$ film and kept for 10 s, then spin-coated at 2000 rpm for 20 s. After the coating, the film was rapidly put in an enclosed quartz container full of H_2S gas (Fig. 1), which was generated by reaction of 20 wt% sodium sulfide (Na₂S·9H₂O) and 10 wt% dilute sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4). With the addition of Na₂S solution in the dilute H_2SO_4 , H_2S gas was generated and entered into the reaction vessel. The $TiO₂$ film turned dark brown in color upon the addition. After 2 minutes, the film was taken out, washed by ethanol to remove the remnant and resulting by-products, then blown by nitrogen. Afterwards, the film was moved into the quartz container again, and annealed on a hot plate at different temperatures from 200 to 350 \degree C for 10 min. Paper Wave Colume Colume

Fabrication of $Cu₃SbS₄$ device

Fluorine-doped $SnO₂$ (FTO) substrates with a resistivity of \sim 10 Ω cm⁻¹ were cleaned with detergent, deionized water, ethanol and acetone successively. On the substrate, a dense titanium dioxide film was prepared as barrier layer to prevent short circuiting through sequentially deposition and annealing of 0.15 M and 0.3 M titanium (diisopropoxide) bis(2,4 pentanedionate) solutions in *n*-butanol. The TiO₂/Cu₃SbS₄ films were prepared with above-mentioned procedure. Finally, a 60 nm-thick silver (Ag) was deposited on the film as counter electrode by thermal evaporation.

Characterization

 $Cu₃SbS₄$ thin films were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8 Discover diffractometer (with Cu Ka radiation at 1.540598 Å). The surface morphologies and X-ray energydispersive spectrometer (EDS) of the $Cu₃SbS₄$ thin films were

investigated by a field-emission scanning electron microscope (JSM-7500, Japan) and the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired with a JEOL HT7700 microscope. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were performed on the Thermo Scientific ESCA Lab 250Xi using 200 W monochromated Al K α radiation, and 500 μ m X-ray spot was used for XPS analysis. Typically the hydrocarbon C 1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon is used for energy referencing. The absorption spectra were measured using a UV/Vis/NIR spectrometer (PerkinElmer Lambda 950) and Raman spectrum were recorded on a Bruker Fourier Raman spectrometer (RFS100/S) with a laser excitation wavelength of 532 nm. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurement was made on a Specs UVLS using He I excitation (21.15 eV, referenced to the Fermi edge of argon etched gold). The photoresponse performance was conducted on a computer-controlled Keithley 2400 under the illumination of AM 1.5 G. The applied voltage was 20 mV and active area of the device was 0.04 cm^{-2} .

Results and discussion

Preparation for pure-phase $Cu₃SbS₄$ film

Fig. 2 shows XRD patterns (a) and Raman spectra (b) of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film prepared with molar ratios of Cu : Sb set at $2:1, 2.3:1$, $2.5:1$, and $3:1$, respectively. As shown in Fig. $2(a)$, the XRD pattern for the 2.5 : 1 film presents the diffraction peaks at $2\theta =$ 28.70°, 47.78°, 56.66° and 64.75°, corresponding to (112), (204), (312) and (314) faces of famatinite $Cu₃SbS₄$ pattern (JCPDS 35-0581).²² All the peaks neither match with those of Sb_2S_3 or $CuS₃^{31,32}$ nor the peaks of other crystalline structures, such as CuSbS₂ (chalcostibite), Cu₁₂Sb₄S₁₃ (tetrahedrite) and Cu₃SbS₃ (skinnerite),²⁴ indicating the complete conversion of $CuCl₂$ and SbCl₃ with the ratio at 2.5 : 1. At lower ratios of 2 : 1 and 2.3 : 1, several weak peaks from Sb_2S_3 can be distinguished, while at the higher ratio of 3 : 1, the peaks for Sb_2S_3 disappeared, but new peaks for CuS appeared together with those from $Cu₃SbS₄$. Thus, phase-pure $Cu₃SbS₄$ could be obtained only at the ratio of around 2.5 : 1. The deviation from the stoichiometric composition of $Cu₃SbS₄$ (3 : 1) could be explained as follows. In the initial stage of the reaction between the Cu^{2+}/Sb^{3+} and H_2S , CuS and Sb_2S_3 formed at room temperature, as shown in eqn (1) and (2). During the annealing, the CuS and Sb_2S_3 reacted to form $Cu₃SbS₄$, as shown in eqn (3). In this process, $Sb₂S₃$ could gradually volatilize due to its effumability. Therefore, a little excess of Sb^{3+} precursor is essential to obtain pure Cu₃SbS₄ phase.

$$
CuCl2 + H2S \rightarrow CuS + 2HCl1 \qquad (1)
$$

$$
2SbCl3 + 3H2S \rightarrow Sb2S3 + 6HCl* (2)
$$

$$
6CuS + Sb_2S_3 \rightarrow 2Cu_3SbS_4 + S\uparrow
$$
 (3)

The Raman spectrum of the $2.5:1$ film shows shifts at 147, 198, 246, 273, 319, 345, 398, 515 cm⁻¹ and 637 cm⁻¹. Here, the Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of fabrication procedure for Cu₃SbS₄ film. peaks of 246, 273, 319, 345 cm⁻¹ and 637 cm⁻¹ are close to those

Fig. 2 XRD patterns (a) and Raman spectra (b) of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film prepared by different precursor ratio of 2 : 1 (1), 2.3 : 1 (2), 2.5 : 1 (3) and $3:1$ (4) at 300 °C calcination.

of Cu₃SbS₄ phase,²⁰ while the peaks of 147, 198, 398 cm⁻¹ and 515 cm⁻¹ are from the TiO₂ substrate.³³ Notably, a distinct peak at 470 cm⁻¹ can be noticed in the spectrum of the 3 : 1 film, due to the presence of the excess CuS.³¹ In the case for the 2 : 1 and $2.3:1$ films, a few of weak peaks are observed at 285 and 440 cm^{-1} from Sb₂S₃.³⁴ The results are consistent with the phase analysis of XRD. Besides, the elemental composition and EDS spectra of the Cu–Sb–S films are presented in Table 1 and Fig. S1.† It is clear that at the ratio of 2.5 : 1, the average Cu/Sb/S elemental ratio (2.73 : 0.92 : 3.70) is very close to the stoichiometric value of $3:1:4$ of Cu₃SbS₄. All the results indicate that phase-pure $Cu₃SbS₄$ film can be synthesized with $CuCl₂$ and SbCl₃ at the ratio of 2.5 : 1 by *in situ* gas–solid reaction method.

Table 1 Elemental composition of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film prepared by different precursor ratio of 2 : 1, 2.3 : 1, 2.5 : 1 and 3 : 1 (from SEM-EDX)

Ratio	2:1	2.3:1	2.5:1	3:1
Cu (%)	2.97	4.12	2.73	3.27
Sb $(%)$ S(%)	1.15 4.10	1.43 5.27	0.92 3.70	0.95 3.90

In previous reports, reaction temperature was regarded as an pivotal factor for controlling the ternary phase composition and crystallinity.5,20 Here, various annealing temperatures ranged from 200 \degree C to 350 \degree C were examined, and the corresponding XRD patterns were shown in Fig. 3. The peak intensity for Cu₃SbS₄ at $2\theta = 28.70^{\circ}$, 33.33°, 47.78°, 56.66° and 64.75° are very weak when annealing at 200 \degree C for 10 min, implying poor crystallinity under this condition.²⁶ Increasing the temperature to 300 °C, the crystallinity is evidently improved with the enhanced intensity of all the peaks. With further increasing the temperature to 350 \degree C, new peaks for CuS appeared. The observation may imply that at this high temperature annealing, the Cu₃SbS₄ was partially decomposed to CuS and Sb₂S₃, and the resulting CuS remained after volatilization of the Sb_2S_3 . Besides, different atmosphere could affect the crystal phases during the annealing (Fig. 4). Here, the annealing was carried out under H_2S or N_2 atmosphere, respectively. Different from in $H₂S$ atmosphere, where only pure $Cu₃SbS₄$ phase appeared, both $Cu₃SbS₄$ and $CuSbS₂$ phases were observed under N₂ annealing ambience. The result implies that $H₂S$ environment is requisite to supply adequate amount of S element and avoid the formation of other Cu–Sb–S phases due to the loss of S element during the annealing. All the results demonstrated that pure famatinite $Cu₃SbS₄$ film was achieved by the *in situ* gassolid reaction with Cu^{2+} : Sb^{3+} at the ratio of 2.5:1 and annealing in H₂S at 300 °C for 10 min. Here, the film prepared with the ratio at $2.5:1$ is named as $2.5:1$ film for further investigation. **Examples Articles.** Computer are computed that the example of the computer are computed to the common article is licensed under the common article is licensed under the common article is a state of the state in the state

XPS analysis was carried out to investigate the elemental state and composition of the $2.5:1$ film. From the full analysis of XPS spectrum (Fig. 5(a)), no peaks were observed for any other elements except Cu, Sb, S, Ti, O and C. Here, carbon element is adventitious used for energy referencing, and the peaks for Ti and O are attributed to porous $TiO₂$ substrate. The spectrum of Cu (Fig. 5(b)) shows two Gaussian peaks at

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of Cu₃SbS₄ film as a precursor ratio of 2.5 : 1 heated at different temperatures for 10 min: 200 °C (a), 250 °C (b), 300 °C (c), 350 °C (d)

Fig. 4 XRD patterns of $Cu₃SBS₄$ film as a precursor ratio of 2.5 : 1 heated at H_2S and N_2 atmosphere at 300 °C for 10 min.

Fig. 5 X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) survey scan (a), Cu (2p) (b), Sb (3d) (c), and S (2p) (d) core levels of 2.5 : 1 film and heat treatment in $H₂S$ at 300 °C

952.2 and 932.3 eV with a separation of 19.9 eV, which are corresponding to Cu $2p_{1/2}$ and Cu $2p_{3/2}$ binding state, respectively. The above binding energies are well consistent with reported values for Cu⁺.³⁵ The antimony presents doublet at the binding energies at 539.7 eV $(3d_{3/2})$ and 530.4 eV $(3d_{5/2})$, which are in good agreement with those of Sb^{5+} , and no peaks are observed at 538.7 and 529.2 eV for $\text{Sb}^{3^{+}, 20, 36}$ The peaks at 162.9 and 161.8 eV represent the S $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$, respectively, which are consistent with the chemical state of sulfur (S^{2-}) in the $Cu₃SbS₄$. Thus, XPS analysis indicates that the elemental state of the 2.5 : 1 film are $(Cu^{+})_{3}(Sb^{5+})(S^{2-})_{4}$.

The microstructure of the $2.5:1$ film was further evaluated by recording the SEM and TEM images (in Fig. 6). According to the top-view SEM, uniform morphology is evident with many tiny Cu₃SbS₄ particles homogeneously distributed within the $TiO₂$ film, where the specific particle size could hardly be

Fig. 6 Top-view SEM (a), TEM (b) and cross sectional SEM (c) images of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film prepared by in situ gas-solid reaction method as a precursor ratio of 2.5 : 1 film and heat treatment in H₂S at 300 °C.

distinguished. In the TEM, lots of small granules of $Cu₃SbS₄$ can be observed on the surface of 20 nm TiO₂ balls with crystal grain sizes of about 3–4 nm. Besides, the cross sectional SEM (Fig. 6(c)) displays the thickness of $TiO₂/Cu₃SbS₄$ is about 1.1 μ m. From the cross section, the mapping images were recorded, as shown in Fig. S2,† manifesting the homogeneous deposition of Cu, Sb and S elements in the TiO₂ film.

The determination of band gap and positions are significant for a potential photovoltaic absorber material. The UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectrum of $Cu₃SbS₄$ film shows a wide absorption range from 400 nm to 1000 nm. The $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus hv curves (Tauc plots, inset of Fig. $7(a)$) is plotted, and band gap is estimated to be 1.24 eV, which is closed to the reported band gap of 1.2 eV for Cu₃SbS₄.^{22,26} Moreover, UPS was applied to determine valance band and Fermi energies of this film. From intercept of 16.54 eV for secondary electron onset and Au energy of 21.15 eV, Fermi energy position relative to vacuum is calculated to be 4.61 eV. The distance between Fermi energy and valance band is 0.25 eV by extrapolating and linear fitting the long tail of UPS spectrum. Combining with the band gap of 1.24 eV estimated from UV-Vis-NIR spectra, the valence band edge and conduction band edge are determined to be located at 4.86 eV and 3.62 eV relative to vacuum, respectively, which suggests its potential application as one kind of solar absorber materials.17,37 The band alignment diagrams of $TiO₂/Cu₃SbS₄$ film were given as shown in Fig. S3.† It is clear that there exists some excessive

Fig. 7 UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra and Tauc plots (a) and UPS full spectrum (b) of 2.5 : 1 film. Linear fitting of UPS spectrum in the range of $16.2 - 17.0$ eV (c) and -1.0 to 2.0 eV (d).

driving energy (-0.6 eV) for electron transfer, potentially leading to relatively low theoretical voltage. Thus, it will be desirable to select other N-type electrodes with relatively lower conduction band edge for high open-circuit voltages in the future studies.

Fig. 8 Current–potential curves (a) and photocurrent response curve (b) under darkness and light for $Cu₃SbS₄$ device prepared by in situ gas–solid reaction method.

Photoresponse performance was investigated to evaluate the quality of the $2.5:1$ film, and the results are presented in Fig. 8. The current-potential $(I-V)$ curves are nearly linear, indicating ohmic contact between silver counter electrode and $Cu₃SbS₄$ semiconductor. Compared with dark current, the corresponding photocurrent increased remarkably over the entire bias ranged from -700 mV to 700 mV, which is attributed to excited charge carriers by simulated sunlight, leading to high photocurrent. Besides, photocurrent response curve conducted under a bias of 20 mV presents an excellent photoresponse and high photostability. The dark current of 1.96 \times 10⁻³ mA cm⁻² dramatically rises to 3.50 \times 10⁻² mA cm⁻² when the light was on, and the light current drops rapidly as soon as light source was turned off. Despite repeating switch cycle for several times, photocurrent still remains at 3.48 \times 10⁻² mA cm⁻² without observable attenuation. Above all, $Cu₃SbS₄$ made by in situ gassolid reaction method exhibits high photoresponse performance and provides a possibility to be used as photovoltaic absorption layer. **EXAMENCES Articles.** Computer of the common access Article is a state of the common access Article i

Conclusions

In this report, an in situ gas–solid reaction method has been successfully employed for the deposition of pure-phase $Cu₃SbS₄$ film. Various precursor molar ratios, temperatures, and heating atmospheres have been explored for the deposition. The results show that phase-pure $Cu₃SbS₄$ can be obtained by the *in situ* gas–solid reaction with the molar ratio of $CuCl₂$ and SbCl₃ at 2.5 : 1, and annealing in H_2S at 300 °C. The Cu₃SbS₄ particles with crystal grain sizes of about 3–4 nm are uniformly distributed on the porous $TiO₂$ film. The Cu₃SbS₄ film shows a broad absorption ranged from 400 nm to 1000 nm with the band gap of 1.24 eV. Moreover, the $Cu₃SbS₄$ film presents high and stable photoresponse performance, indicating its potential application as solar absorber materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the 973 Program (No. 2013CB933004), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61405207, 21174149, 21572235, 51473173, 91433202 and 21221002), and the "Strategic Priority Research Program" of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA09020000 and XDB12010200). The measurements of (NMR, Mass Spectrometry, XRD, Photoelectron Spectroscopy) were performed at the Center for Physicochemical Analysis and Measurements in ICCAS.

References

1 M. Gloeckler, I. Sankin and Z. Zhao, IEEE J. Photovolt., 2013, 3, 1389–1393.

- 2 P. Jackson, D. Hariskos, R. Wuerz, O. Kiowski, A. Bauer, T. M. Friedlmeier and M. Powalla, Phys. Status Solidi RRL, 2015, 9, 28–31. Paper Weeklonds, D. Hurishoo, R. Woers, O. Kiowski, A. Bauer, 19 C. T. Cuspen, J. Phy. Chees, C, 216, 126, 2015-766.

2. N. Friedmond M. Freedom, A. This are not all the spheres. 2017. Downloaded S. H. Phys. Chees, 2017. H
	- 3 S. C. Riha, B. A. Parkinson and A. L. Prieto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12054–12055.
	- 4 H. Katagiri, K. Jimbo, S. Yamada, T. Kamimura, W. S. Maw, T. Fukano, T. Ito and T. Motohiro, Appl. Phys. Express, 2008, 1, 041201.
	- 5 A. S. R. Chesman, N. W. Duffy, S. Peacock, L. Waddington, N. A. S. Webster and J. J. Jasieniak, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 1017–1020.
	- 6 J. Puthussery, S. Seefeld, N. Berry, M. Gibbs and M. Law, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 716–719.
	- 7 M. Wang, D. Xue, H. Qin, L. Zhang, G. Ling, J. Liu, Y. Fang and L. Meng, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 2016, 204, 38–44.
	- 8 C. Yan, C. Huang, J. Yang, F. Liu, J. Liu, Y. Lai, J. Li and Y. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2603–2605.
	- 9 X. Jiang, W. Xu, R. Tan, W. Song and J. Chen, Mater. Lett., 2013, 102, 39–42.
	- 10 L. Li, X. Liu, J. Huang, M. Cao, S. Chen, Y. Shen and L. Wang, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2012, 133, 688–691.
	- 11 P. Sinsermsuksakul, K. Hartman, S. B. Kim, J. Heo, L. Sun, H. H. Park, R. Chakraborty, T. Buonassisi and R. G. Gordon, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2013, 102, 053901.
	- 12 K. T. R. Reddy, N. K. Reddy and R. W. Miles, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2006, 90, 3041–3046.
	- 13 S. Suehiro, K. Horita, M. Yuasa, T. Tanaka, K. Fujita, Y. Ishiwata, K. Shimanoe and T. Kida, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 7840–7845.
	- 14 L. Wan, C. Ma, K. Hu, R. Zhou, X. Mao, S. Pan, L. H. Wong and J. Xu, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 680, 182–190.
	- 15 T. Rath, A. J. MacLachlan, M. D. Brown and S. A. Haque, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 24155–24162.
	- 16 Y. C. Choi, E. J. Yeom, T. K. Ahn and S. Seok II, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 4005–4009.
	- 17 L. Wang, B. Yang, Z. Xia, M. Leng, Y. Zhou, D.-J. Xue, J. Zhong, L. Gao, H. Song and J. Tang, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2016, 144, 33–39.
	- 18 J. Embden, K. Latham, N. W. Duffy and Y. Tachibana, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11562–11571.
- 19 C. T. Crespo, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, 120, 7959–7965.
- 20 U. Chalapathi, B. Poornaprakash and S.-H. Park, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 5229–5235.
- 21 K. Aup-Ngoen, T. Thongtem and S. Thongtem, Mater. Lett., 2012, 66, 182–186.
- 22 K. Ramasamy, H. Sims, W. H. Butler and A. Gupta, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 2891–2899.
- 23 L. Yu, R. S. Kokenyesi, D. A. Keszler and A. Zunger, Adv. Energy Mater., 2013, 3, 43–48.
- 24 K. Chen, J. Zhou, W. Chen, Q. Chen, P. Zhou and Y. Liu, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 5146–5152.
- 25 S. Ikeda, S. Sogawa, Y. Tokai, W. Septina, T. Harada and M. Matsumura, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 40969–40972.
- 26 G. Chen, W. Wang, J. Zhao, W. Yang, S. Chen, Z. Huang, R. Jian and H. Ruan, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 679, 218–224.
- 27 N. D. Franzer, N. R. Paudel, C. Xiao and Y. Yan, in Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), 2014 IEEE 40th, 2014, pp. 2326–2328.
- 28 L. Zheng, K. Jiang, J. Huang, Y. Zhang, B. Bao, X. Zhou, H. Wang, B. Guan, L. Yang and Y. Song, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 4791–4796.
- 29 Y. Zhang, J. Tian, K. Jiang, J. Huang, L. Zhang, H. Wang, B. Bao and Y. Song, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2017, DOI: 10.1007/s10854-017-7263-1.
- 30 Y. Zhang, J. Tian, K. Jiang, J. Huang, H. Wang and Y. Song, Mater. Lett., 2017, 209, 23–26.
- 31 T. Hurma and S. Kose, Optik, 2016, 127, 6000–6006.
- 32 C. D. Lokhande, B. R. Sankapal, R. S. Mane, H. M. Pathan, M. Muller, M. Giersig and V. Ganesan, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2002, 193, 1–10.
- 33 W. F. Zhang, Y. L. He, M. S. Zhang, Z. Yin and Q. Chen, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2000, 33, 912–916.
- 34 R. Parize, T. Cossuet, O. Chaix-Pluchery, H. Roussel, E. Appert and V. Consonni, Mater. Des., 2017, 121, 1–10.
- 35 M. Kundu, T. Hasegawa, K. Terabe, K. Yamamoto and M. Aono, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater., 2008, 9, 035011.
- 36 C. An, Y. Jin, K. Tang and Y. Qian, J. Mater. Chem., 2003, 13, 301–303.
- 37 J. v. Embden and Y. Tachibana, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 11466–11469.