RSC Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 46125

Solvent-induced diversity of luminescent metalorganic frameworks based on different secondary building units†

Lu Wang, Guo-Ping Yang, 🔟 * Yang-Tian Yan, Jing Jin, Yan Ning and Yao-Yu Wang 匝

By using a symmetrical V-shaped rigid 5'-carboxyl-(1,1'-3',1'')-terphenyl)-4,4''-dicarboxylic acid (H₃L), three Cd(II)-based metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), [Cd₃(L)₂(H₂O)₄)·DMF (**1**), [Cd₂(L)(SO₄)₂]·3(Me)₂NH₂ (**2**) and [Cd(HL)(H₂O)]·0.5H₂O (**3**), have been synthesized under solvothermal conditions. Due to the reactions in different solvent systems, L³⁻/HL²⁻ in **1–3** show different coordination modes with Cd(II) ions to form various secondary building units (SBUs) in the final structures. The desolvated structure of **1** (**1**a) contains two shapes of 1D channels with suitable pore sizes. **2** is a 3D dense packing pattern with a three nodal (5,6,7)-connected new topological net, and **3** is a 2D layered (4,4)-connected **sql** network connected with partly deprotonated HL²⁻ ligands. As a result, **1a** possesses not only high CO₂ loading but also excellent CO₂/CH₄ selectivity. In addition, all complexes display solid-state luminescence stemming from ligand-to-metal charge transfer.

Received 25th July 2017 Accepted 6th September 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08188j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)1 are porous materials showing a wide variety of thrilling chemical and physical properties, and, consequently, can be explored as functional materials in very diverse fields.² Indeed, both the porosity of MOFs and their fascinating host-guest chemistry lie at the origin of most of these properties.3 Similar to other porous materials,4 MOFs have proven their efficiency as vessels to capture and host small molecules, and, eventually, to separate mixtures of molecules according to their steric and stereochemical features as well as reactivity properties (functional substituent groups).⁵ Anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere are one of the most urgent climate issues of our age, which makes the development of materials for capturing the carbon dioxide produced by fossil fuels and sequestering it away from the atmosphere one of the grand challenges of the 21st century.6 Owing to MOFs diversity and performance, hierarchically porous structures have attracted considerable attention as an important family of functional materials in recent years.7

As the basic building blocks, the organic ligands are vital in the assembly of structures and functions of MOFs. The rigid aromatic multicarboxylate ligands have been proved to be the ideal candidates for building versatile MOFs with rigidity, porosity, thermal and chemical stabilities,⁸ such as 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate, 1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylate, and 4,4'-biphenyldicarboxylate.⁹ With a more in-depth study, the V-shaped rigid multicarboxylate ligands show plentiful advantages due to their manifold coordination modes, and they are liable to form cluster-based secondary building units (SBUs) with various metal ions.¹⁰ In many instances, a V-shaped steric configuration is favourable to form versatile high-dimensional and porous frameworks.¹¹ Up to now, there are many porous MOFs assembled by utilizing V-shaped rigid multicarboxylates,¹² such as 3,5-di(3,5-dicarboxylpheny)benzoic acid, 3,3',5,5'-benzene-1,3-biyl-tetra-benzoic acid, and so on, which possess fascinating structures as well as intriguing properties.

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

In this work, a symmetrical V-shaped rigid ligand, 5'carboxyl-(1,1'-3',1"-terphenyl)-4,4"-dicarboxylic acid (H₃L) (Scheme 1), is chosen to fabricate porous MOFs based on the following advantages: (i) H₃L with three carboxylic groups possesses multiple coordination sites and various coordination modes, which is beneficial to produce porous frameworks; (ii)



Scheme 1 5'-Carboxyl-(1,1'-3',1"-terphenyl)-4,4"-dicarboxylic acid.

Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Natural Functional Molecule Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Physico-Inorganic Chemistry, College of Chemistry & Materials Science, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, P. R. China. E-mail: ygp@nwu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Some figures of single-crystals, PXRD, IR, TGA, selected bond length/angle tables. CCDC 1561956–1561958. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7ra08188j

the multicarboxylic groups in H₃L has an inclination to form various metal clusters, which can not only stabilize the structure, but also accelerate the interactions between the framework and CO₂.¹³ Herein, the reaction of H₃L with Cd(II) ions gave three new luminescent MOFs, $[Cd_3(L)_2(H_2O)_4]$ ·DMF (1), $[Cd_2(L)(SO_4)_2]$ ·3(Me)₂NH₂ (2), $[Cd(HL)(H_2O)]$ ·0.5H₂O (3). L³⁻/HL²⁻ in 1–3 show different coordination modes and can easily form various SBUs in the final structures. Complexes 1–3 reveal the luminescent properties, as well as selective adsorption for CO₂ over CH₄ for 1.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Materials and general methods

All the reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used directly without further purification. Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed on Perkin-Elmer 2400C Elemental Analyzer. Infrared (IR) spectra were acquired with KBr discs in the range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ on Bruker EQUINOX-55 IR spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were collected on NETZSCH STA 449C micro-analyzer under N₂ stream at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray powder diffractometer (Cu K α , 1.5418 Å) at room temperature. Gas sorption isotherms were measured by ASAP 2020 M adsorption equipment. The solid state luminescent spectra were collected on a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer at room temperature.

2.2 Synthesis of $[Cd_3(L)_2(H_2O)_4]$ · DMF (1)

A mixture of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.0308 g, 0.1 mmol), H₃L (0.018 g, 0.05 mmol) and DMF/H₂O (15.0 mL, v/v = 1/1) (DMF = *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide) was mixed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (25 mL), and then heated at 150 °C for 72 h. After a gradual cooling procedure to room temperature, colourless block crystals were obtained in ~51.3% yield based on Cd. Anal. calcd for C₄₅H₃₇Cd₃NO₁₇: C, 45.0; H, 3.08; N, 1.17. Found: C, 45.52; H, 3.17; N, 1.72%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3423 (w), 3235 (w), 2923 (m), 1652 (s), 1521 (s), 1313 (m), 1182 (w), 1103 (w), 862 (w), 767 (m), 609 (w).

2.3 Synthesis of $[Cd_2(L)(SO_4)_2] \cdot 3(Me)_2NH_2$ (2)

A mixture of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.0308 g, 0.1 mmol), H₃L (0.018 g, 0.05 mmol), DMF/ETOH (15.0 mL, v/v = 4/1) and H₂SO₄ : H₂O (1 : 3) was mixed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (25 mL), and then heated at 120 °C for 72 h. After a gradual cooling procedure to room temperature, colourless block crystals were obtained in ~49.0% yield based on Cd. Anal. calcd for C₂₇H₃₅-Cd₂N₃O₁₄S₂: C, 35.45; H, 3.83; N, 4.6. Found: C, 34.53; H, 3.17; N, 4.3%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3438 (m), 3027 (s), 2794 (s), 2478 (s), 1594 (s), 1548 (s), 1394 (s), 1106 (s), 1031 (s), 960 (m), 856 (m), 767 (m), 609 (m).

2.4 Synthesis of [Cd(HL)(H₂O)] · 0.5H₂O (3)

A mixture of $Cd(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O(0.0308 \text{ g}, 0.1 \text{ mmol})$, $H_3L(0.018 \text{ g}, 0.05 \text{ mmol})$ and $H_2O/CH_3CN(14.0 \text{ mL}, v/v = 2/5)$ was mixed in

Table 1 Crystallographic data of 1-3

Complex	1	2	3
Formula	$\mathrm{C}_{44}\mathrm{H}_{31}\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\mathrm{NO}_{14}$	$C_{27}H_{35}Cd_2N_3O_{14}S_2$	C23H18CdO7.5
$M_{ m r}$	1200	914.52	499
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	$P\bar{1}$
a (Å)	36.916	17.442	7.079
b (Å)	21.399	21.243	11.288
c (Å)	7.2173	11.468	13.130
α (°)	90	90	65.420
β(°)	98.129	129.716	83.016
γ (°)	90	90	85.505
$V(Å^3)$	5644.1	3269	946.6
Ζ	4	4	2
$D_{\text{calc}} (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	1.327	1.858	1.722
F(000)	2216	1832	488
R _{int}	0.0453	0.0715	0.0243
GOF on F^2	1.008	1.244	1.339
$R_1^a \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0580	0.0796	0.0744
wR_2^{b} (all data)	0.2294	0.3130	0.2995
^{<i>a</i>} $R_1 = \sum F_0 -$	$ F_{\rm c} /\sum F_{\rm o} $. ^b wR	$F_{2} = [\sum w (F_{0}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum$	$[w(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}.$

a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (25 mL), and then heated at 105 °C for 72 h. After a gradual cooling procedure to room temperature, colourless block crystals were obtained in ~49.7% yield based on Cd. Anal. calcd for $C_{23}H_{18}CdO_{7.5}$: C, 64.97; H, 3.20. Found: C, 64.52; H, 3.6. IR (cm⁻¹): 3436 (m), 2931 (s), 2794 (s), 1664 (m), 1608 (m), 1405 (s), 1265 (m), 1031 (s), 1110 (m), 935 (w), 858 (w), 769 (m).

2.5 Crystallographic data collection and refinement

Suitable single crystals were tested using a Bruker SMART APEXII CCD diffractometer equipped with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å) at room temperature. The structures were solved using direct methods and refined using a full-matrix leastsquares refinement on F^2 with SHELXL-2014 and olex2.¹⁴ Nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The solvent molecules in **1** and **3** were highly disordered and were removed from the diffraction data by using the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON.¹⁵ The final formulae of **1** and **3** were determined by single-crystal structures, elemental analysis results and TGA. The relevant crystallographic data are shown in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table S1 (ESI[†]). CCDC numbers are 1561956–1561958 for **1–3**, respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Crystal structure of $[Cd_3(L)_2(H_2O)_4] \cdot DMF(1)$

Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. As shown in the Fig. 1, the asymmetric unit consists of one and a half Cd(II) ions, one fully deprotonated L^{3-} ligand, five coordinated H_2O molecules, and one free DMF molecules. Cd1 is seven connected by O atoms from four L^{3-} ligand and one H_2O molecules. Different from that of Cd1, Cd2 is six connected by O atoms from two axial L^{3-} ligand and two equatorial H_2O



Fig. 1 Coordination environment around the Cd(II) ions in **1**. Symmetry codes: #1: 1.5 - x, 0.5 + y, 2.5 - z, #2: x, 2 - y, 0.5 + z, #3: 1.5 - x, 1.5 - y, 3 - z. #4: 1 - x, y, -0.5 - z.

molecules. The Cd–O distances vary from 2.241(12) to 2.62(3) Å, and the O–Cd–O angles are in the range from 53.37° to 167.28° .

In **1**, Cd1 ions take coordination with carboxylates of L^{3-} ligands to form 1D rod-shaped SBUs (Fig. 2a). Then these 1D SBUs are further extended by the Cd2 ions and L^{3-} linkers together to give rise to a 3D porous framework (Fig. 2b). There exist two types of 1D open channels with different shapes along *c* axis with the effective aperture sizes of $18.1 \times 16.2 \text{ Å}^2$ and $10.2 \times 8.4 \text{ Å}^2$, respectively, where the free solvent DMF molecules are located. After the hypothetical removal of the noncoordinated and disordered solvent molecules, the potential solvent area volume of 1a-[Cd₃(L)₂(H₂O)₄] is determined to be ~36.5% out of the total volume by the PLATON program. Topologically, L³⁻ and the Cd1 ions can be considered as five, four-connected nodes, respectively. Thus, the framework of 1 can be view as a (4,5)-connected tcs net with the point symbol of $(4^4 \cdot 6^2)(4^4 \cdot 6^6)$ (Fig. 2c).

3.2 Crystal structure of $[Cd_2(L)(SO_4)_2] \cdot 3(Me)_2NH_2$ (2)

Compound **2** is a 3D framework crystallizing in the monoclinic space group C2/c. The asymmetric unit contains two independent $Cd(\pi)$ ions, one L^{3-} ligand, two coordinated sulphate anions, and three dimethylammonium cations. The Cd1 and Cd2 display the distorted hexahedral pyramidal coordination geometries (Fig. 3). Cd1 is six-coordinate and anchored by four carboxylate groups from four different L^{3-} and two coordinated sulfate anions. Cd2 is also six-coordinated, and anchored by four carboxylate groups



Fig. 3 Coordination environment around the Cd(II) ions in **2**. Symmetry codes: #1: 2 - x, y, 1.5 - z, #2: 2 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z, #3: 1.5 - x, 0.5 - y, 2 - z, #4: 0.5 + x, 0.5 - y, 0.5 + z, #5: 1 - x, y, 1.5 - z, #6: -0.5 + x, -0.5 + y, z, #7: 1.5 - x, -0.5 + y, 1.5 - z.

from four different ligands and two coordinated sulfate anions. The Cd–O distances vary from 2.446(6) to 2.194(8) Å, and the O–Cd–O angles are in the range from $53.5(3)^{\circ}$ to 180.0° .

Similar to that of 1, an infinite 1D rod-shaped SBU chain is formed by the carboxylate of L³⁻ and sulfate with Cd(II) ions in 2 (Fig. 4a). These 1D SBUs based on Cd1 and Cd2 are further extended by the organic linker to a 3D porous network, where the dimethylammonium cations and sulfate are included (Fig. 4b). Topologically, the linkers can be viewed as sevenconnected nodes, and the centre metal ions (Cd1 and Cd2) can act as 5,6-connected nodes, respectively. Thus, the structure of 2 can be simplified as a 3-nodal (5,6,7)-connected new point topological net with symbol а of $(3^{4} \cdot 4^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 6)(3^{4} \cdot 4^{3} \cdot 5^{4} \cdot 6^{10})(3^{4} \cdot 4^{4} \cdot 5^{4} \cdot 6^{3})$ (Fig. 4c).

3.3 Crystal structure of [Cd(HL)(H₂O)] · 0.5H₂O (3)

Compound 3 crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$. The asymmetric building unit of 3 contains one $Cd(\pi)$ center, one partly deprotonated HL^{2-} ligand, one coordination water molecule, and a half free water molecule. Each centre $Cd(\pi)$ is surrounded by six oxygen atoms from four different carboxylate groups of four HL^{2-} ligands and one water molecule (Fig. 5). The lengths of Cd–O bonds are in the range of 2.375(7)–2.194(8) Å.



Fig. 2 (a) The 1D rod-shaped SBU in 1. (b) The 3D microporous framework of 1. (c) The (4,5)-connected topology network.



Fig. 4 (a) The 1D chain SBU in 2. (b) The 3D framework of 2. (c) (5,6,7)-connected topological network.

Due to the existence of deprotonated HL^{2-} ligand in 3, a dimeric SBU is only formed by the carboxylate of HL^{2-} ligands and Cd(II) ions (Fig. S1a, ESI†). The dimeric units are bridged by the linkers to yield a 2D layer (Fig. 6a). Both the linkers and the centre Cd(II) can be viewed as four-coordinated nodes, and the framework forms an uninodal (4,4)-connected **sql** topology with the point symbol of (4⁴·6²) (Fig. 6b). Further, these 2D layers further are stacked together *via* hydrogen bonding O7–H7B··· O2 and O7–H7A···O3 to construct a 3D supramolecular framework (Fig. S1b, ESI†).

3.4 Coordination modes of H₃L ligand in complexes 1–3

The comparative structural studies of 1-3 reveal that the coordination mode of H₃L is significantly influenced by the central metals and the solvent environment in the formation of frameworks. The H₃L in 1 and 2 is fully deprotonated, and connect six metal ions. In contrast, the H₃L in 3 is partly deprotonated, and connect four metal ions. As shown in Scheme 2, the three carboxylates of L³⁻ coordination fashions to connect with the centre Cd(II) ions. In 1, the three carboxylates of L³⁻ exhibit two different coordination modes: chelating bidentate $(\eta^2 \mu_1 \chi^2)$ and bridging tridentate $(\eta^2 \mu_2 \chi^3)$. In 2, the three carboxylates of L³⁻ present two different coordination modes: bridging bidentate $(\eta^2 \mu_2 \chi^2)$ and bridging tetradentate $(\eta^2 \mu_3 \chi^4)$ modes. In 3, the three carboxylates of HL²⁻ exhibit three different coordination modes: monodentate $(\eta^1 \mu_1 \chi^1)$ chelating monodentate $(\eta^2 \mu_1 \chi^2)$ and bridging bidentate $(\eta^2 \mu_2 \chi^2)$. The structures of 1-3 suggest that not only H₃L coordinate with the different number of metal ions, the different coordination modes of carboxylates play an important role in determining the distinction of final structural topologies. In addition, the coordinated solvents also significantly influence the structures of the frameworks, that is, there is one coordinated SO_4^{2-} anion in 2 and four H_2O molecules in 1. The difference of the coordination solvents affects the coordination environment of the central metals and coordination modes of ligands, leading to different structures.

3.5 PXRD and thermal analyses

The PXRD patterns show that the peak positions of the obtained crystalline samples of 1–3 match well with the simulated from



Fig. 5 Coordination environment of Cd(II) ions in 4. Symmetry codes: #1: -x, -y, -z, #2: -1 - x, -y, 1 - z, #3: -1 + x, -1 + y, z.



Fig. 6 (a) The 2D layer of 3. (b) The (4,4)-connected network.

the single-crystal data, manifesting the phase purity of the synthesized samples (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). TGA on **1–3** were performed in a N₂ atmosphere from 30 to 700 °C (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). Complex **1** indicates a weight loss of 12.6% in the range of 30–130 °C, which consists of the release of one DMF and four coordinated H₂O molecule per formula unit (calcd 12.1%). **2** released two coordinated SO₄^{2–} anion and three H₂N(Me)₂ cations between 30 and 375 °C with a weight loss of 36.6% (calcd 36.2%). For **3**, it lost one coordinated water and half lattice water molecule from 30 to 110 °C with a weight loss of 5.1% (calcd 5.5%), and the major framework is stable up to 365 °C and then begins to collapse.

3.6 Gas adsorption and discussion

To obtain the guest-free phase of **1a**, **1** was soaked in CH_2Cl_2 for 7 days and subsequent heating at 150 °C under vacuum for 6 h, which is evidenced by the TGA curve of **1a** (Fig. S3a, ESI[†]). The IR of **1a** (Fig. S4, ESI[†]) shows that the lack of characteristic C=O vibration of DMF reveals that the disordered solvent has been removed from the nanotubes. The structure integrity of **1a** has also been verified by the PXRD experiment (Fig. S2a, ESI[†]).

To verify the porosity of **1a**, the sorption isotherms of N₂, CO₂, and CH₄ were measured at different temperatures. As shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†), the lower N₂ uptake (28.69 cm³ g⁻¹) of **1a** at 77 K and 1 bar may be due to the strong interactions of incoming guest molecules with the pore windows at 77 K, which prevents the next incoming guest molecules from entering into the pore.¹⁶ On the basis of the N₂ adsorption isotherm, the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and Langmuir surface areas are 17.06 m² g⁻¹ and 26.22 m² g⁻¹, respectively. At 195 K, **1a** exhibits the stepwise gas adsorption toward CO₂ gas, and the second uptake occurs around *P*/Torr = 300 mmHg, showing an uptake of 81.8 cm³ g⁻¹ (16.67 wt%) which is more than that of the CH₄



Scheme 2 The different coordination modes of 1 (a), 2 (b) and 3 (c).



Fig. 7 (a) The adsorption isotherms of 1a (CO₂ and CH₄) at 195 K. (b) The adsorption isotherms of CO₂ and CH₄ at 273 and 298 K. (c) IAST adsorption selectivity of 1a for equimolar mixtures of CO₂ and CH₄ at 298 K. (d) Isosteric heat of CO₂ adsorption for **1a** by the virial equation from the adsorption isotherms at 273 and 298 K

4 6 -1 8 CO₂ uptake(mmol g)

10

500

300 400 P/Torr

400

300 P/Te

600 700

200

uptake (11.39 cm³ g⁻¹, 0.8 wt%). Such dynamic behaviors of layers are responsible for adsorption-induced gate-opening effects in MOF materials, which is associated with the flexibility of the frameworks. Thus, the activated sample of 1a appears to be the semi-closed form, and then undergoes the structural transformation to the open or as-synthesized form once the material obtains sufficient energy from gas adsorbate molecules to overcome energy barrier.17,18 Meanwhile, there is a marked broad hysteresis, confirming that the adsorbed CO₂ is not immediately released on reducing the external pressure and is thus trapped



Fig. 8 Luminescent emission spectra of the free ligand H₃L (black), 1 (blue), 2 (red), and 3 (green) in the solid state at room temperature.

within the framework at very low pressures. The possible reason for the stepwise and hysteretic sorption isotherms of the material is related to the framework of 1a with the shrunken pores, and the host structure may expand above the gate opening pressure, which should be another typical example of the breathing MOFs.19 Such adsorption selectivity is also more evident at high temperatures. The CO₂ uptake are 22.12 cm³ g⁻¹ (4.31 wt%) at 273 K and 11.14 cm³ g⁻¹ (2.21 wt%) at 298 K and 1 bar. Meanwhile, the CH₄ uptakes are 6.0 cm³ g⁻¹ (0.41 wt%) at 273 K and 2.37 (0.17 wt%) at 298 K and 1 bar (Fig. 7b).

Most interestingly, the examination of CO2 and CH4 sorption reveals that there is indeed existence of selectivity for respective sorbate molecules at different temperature. 1a shows high adsorption selectivity for CO₂/CH₄ at 298 K, as shown in Fig. 7c. The adsorption selectivities are calculated via the ideal adsorption solution theory (IAST)²⁰⁻²² (Fig. S7, ESI[†]). For an equimolar mixture, the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity is estimated as 5.6 at 298 K. The isosteric heat (Q_{st}) of CO₂ adsorption was calculated by the virial equation from the sorption isotherms at 298 K. At initial coverage, Q_{st} start at 30.0 kJ mol⁻¹, however, as the CO₂ uptakes rise up to 11.16 mmol g^{-1} , the Q_{st} reaches to 24.1 kJ mol⁻¹ (Fig. 7d). This value is superior to those MOFs decorated by typical active site such as Lewis basic sites (LBSs) and OMSs, for example Cu(bcppm) (29 kJ mol⁻¹),²³ PCN-88 (27 kJ mol⁻¹),²⁴ PCN-16 (22.5 kJ mol⁻¹) and Zn-MOF-74 (30 kJ mol^{-1}) ²⁵ but much lower than those of some high polaritv functionalized MOFs, such as CuBTTri-en (80 kJ mol^{-1}) ,²⁶ MIL-100 (60 kJ mol⁻¹), and MIL-101 (45 kJ mol⁻¹).²⁷ The high enthalpies of CO₂ enthalpies is mainly due to that the frameworks owns highly polar and specific interactions with CO₂ because of its large quadrupole moment. Overall, this study provided theoretical prediction in CO_2 capture and the fact that **1a** has high selectivity of CO_2 , indicating that this material may be a promising adsorbent in the biogas treatment and natural gas clean up.

3.7 Luminescence properties

MOFs including d¹⁰ ions have been become the potential fluorescent materials in the optical field.28 Thus, the solid-state luminescence properties of the three MOFs and H₃L ligand were studied at room temperature (Fig. 8). The maximum emission peaks of the free H₃L ligand and 1–3 are observed at ~416 nm for H₃L ligand ($\lambda_{ex} = 356$ nm), ~410 nm for 1 ($\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm), ~375 nm for 2 ($\lambda_{ex} = 338$ nm) and ~390 nm for 3 ($\lambda_{ex} = 337$ nm), respectively. The maximum emissions of 2 and 3 are obviously blue shifted compared to those of H₃L ligand, which may arise from ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT). Furthermore, the luminescence of 1 is similar to that of the free ligand, which is probably attributed to the intraligand transitions modified by metal coordination.²⁹

4 Conclusion

In summary, three new luminescent MOFs including Cd(π) ions have been successfully assembled *via* a symmetrical tricarboxylate H₃L ligand. Because of the reactions in different solvent systems, L³⁻/HL²⁻ in **1–3** show the different coordination modes to form various SBUs in the final structures. Interestingly, complex **1** possesses two 1D open channels with different shapes. More importantly, the desolvated framework **1a** displays permanent porosity with suitable pore size, which affords CO₂ loading and selective capture for CO₂ over CH₄ at 273 K. Herein, this work indicates that the unique structures and gas selectivity for CO₂ may draw attention to the fabrication of functional MOF materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support from the NSFC (21531007, 21371142, and 21201139), NSF of Shaanxi Province (2017KJXX-59), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2016M600807, and 2017T100765), and the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Northwest University (334100049).

Notes and references

- (a) H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Science, 2013, 341, 1230444; (b) Y. Cui, B. Li, H. He, W. Zhou, B. Chen and G. Qian, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 483–493; (c) G. Férey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 191–214; (d) Y. Wu, G.-P. Yang, Y. Zhao, W.-P. Wu, B. Liu and Y.-Y. Wang, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 3271–3277; (e) M. Mon, J. Ferrando-Soria, T. Grancha, F. R. Fortea-Pérez, J. Gascon, A. Leyva-Pérez, D. Armentano and E. Pardo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7864–7867.
- 2 (a) A. C. McKinlay, R. E. Morris, P. Horcajada, G. Férey, R. Gref, P. Couvreur and C. Serre, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6260–6266; (b) S. Horike, D. Umeyama and S. Kitagawa, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2376–2384; (c) J.-R. Li, J. Sculley and H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 869–932; (d) T. Grancha, J. Ferrando-Soria, M. Castellano, M. Julve, J. Pasán, D. Armentanoc and E. Pardo, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 7569–7585.

- 3 Y. Inokuma, T. Arai and M. Fujita, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 780–783.
- 4 (a) A. P. Côté, A. I. Benin, N. W. Ockwig, M. O'Keeffe, A. J. Matzger and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1166– 1170; (b) A. G. Slater and A. I. Cooper, *Science*, 2015, **348**, 8075.
- 5 (a) D. Alezi, A. M. P. Peedikakkal, Ł. J. Weseliński,
 V. Guillerm, Y. Belmabkhout, A. J. Cairns, Z. Chen,
 Ł. Wojtas and M. Eddaoudi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137,
 5421–5430; (b) S. Yang, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, R. Newby,
 V. Garcia-Sakai, P. Manuel, S. K. Callear, S. I. Campbell,
 C. C. Tang and M. Schröder, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 121–129;
 (c) R. Haldar, M. Inukai, S. Horike, K. Uemura, S. Kitagawa
 and T. K. Maji, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 4166–4172.
- 6 J. Yu, L.-H. Xie, J.-R. Li, Y. Ma, J. M. Seminario and P. B. Balbuena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **11**7(14), 9674–9754.
- 7 (a) M.-H. Sun, S.-Z. Huang, L.-H. Chen, Y. Li, X.-Y. Yang,
 Z.-Y. Yuan and B.-L. Su, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 3479–3563; (b) D. Bradshaw, S. El-Hankari and L. Lupica-Spagnolo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5431–5443; (c)
 H.-C. Zhou and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5415–5418; (d) T. T. Xu, C. F Xue, Z. L. Zhang and X. G. Hao, *Prog. Chem.*, 2014, 26, 1924–1929.
- 8 (a) P.-Q. Liao, N.-Y. Huang, W.-X. Zhang, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *Science*, 2017, 356, 1193–1196; (b) M. J. Cliffe, E. Castillo-Martínez, Y. Wu, J. Lee, A. C. Forse, F. C. N. Firth, P. Z. Moghadam, D. Fairen-Jimenez, M. W. Gaultois, J. A. Hill, O. V. Magdysyuk, B. Slater, A. L. Goodwin and C. P. Grey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, 139, 5397–5404; (c) Y.-L. Huang, Y.-N. Gong, L. Jiang and T.-B. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 1753–1755; (d) Y.-N. Gong and T.-B. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 7711– 7713.
- 9 (a) G. Ji, J. Liu, X. Gao, W. Sun, J. Wang, S. Zhao and Z. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 10200–10205; (b) H.-S. Lu, L.-L. Bai, W.-W. Xiong, P.-Z. Li, J.-F. Ding, G.-D. Zhang, T. Wu, Y.-L. Zhao, J. M. Lee, Y.-H. Yang, B.-Y. Geng and Q.-C. Zhang, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 8529–8537; (c) Z.-J. Zhang, W. Lukasz and J. Z. Michael, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 1526–1530.
- 10 (a) T. Grancha, X. Qu, M. Julve, J. Ferrando-Soria, D. Armentano and E. Pardo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 6551– 6557; (b) S.-H. Huang, C.-H. Lin and W.-C. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 6124–6127; (c) R. Chakrabarty, P. S. Mukherjee and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, 111, 6810–6918.
- 11 L.-L. Liu, C.-X. Yu, F.-J. Ma, Y.-R. Li, J.-J. Han, L. Lin and L.-F. Ma, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 1636–1645.
- 12 (a) D. Zhao, D. Yuan, D. Sun and H.-C. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9186–9188; (b) Y.-W. Li, J. Xu, D.-C. Li, J.-M. Dou, H. Yan, T.-L. Hu and X.-H. Bu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 14211–14214; (c) L. Qin, J.-S. Hu, Y.-Z. Li and H.-G. Zheng, Cryst. Growth Des., 2011, 11, 3115–3121; (d) M. Zhang, Q. Wang, Z. Lu, H. Liu, W. Liu and J. Bai, CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 6287–6290.
- 13 (a) T. M. McDonald, W. R. Lee, J. A. Mason, B. M. Wiers,
 C. S. Hong and J. R. Long, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134,

7056–7065; (*b*) Y. He, S. Xiang, Z. Zhang, S. Xiong, F. R. Fronczek, R. Krishna, M. O'Keeffe and B. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 10856–10858; (*c*) W.-M. Chen, X.-L. Meng, G.-L. Zhuang, Z. Wang, M. Kurmoo, Q.-Q. Zhao, X.-P. Wang, B. Shan, C.-H. Tung and D. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 13079–13085.

- 14 (a) O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea,
 J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*,
 2009, 42, 339–341; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 15 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7-13.
- 16 B. Liu, R. Zhao, G. Yang, L. Hou, Y.-Y. Wang and Q.-Z. Shi, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 2057–2060.
- 17 (a) C.-S. Liu, Z.-H. Zhang, M. Chen, H. Zhao, F.-H. Duan, D.-M. Chen, M.-H. Wang, S. Zhang and M. Du, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 3941–3944; (b) Q. Chen, Y. Ma, W. Song, Z. Chang, J.-R. Li, J. Zhang, H.-W. Sun, P. Balbuena and X.-H. Bu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 2614–2620; (c) Z. Shi, L. Qin and H. G. Zheng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 4589–4594; (d) S. Horike, S. Shimomura and S. Kitagawa, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, 1, 695–704.
- 18 J. Duan, M. Higuchi, M. L. Foo, S. Horike, K. P. Rao and S. Kitagawa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 8244–8249.
- 19 G.-P. Li, G. Liu, Y.-Z. Li, L. Hou, Y.-Y. Wang and Z. Zhu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 3952–3959.
- 20 (a) D. Wang, B. Liu, S. Yao, T. Wang, G. Li, Q. Huo and Y. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 15287–15289; (b) A. G. Wong-Foy,
 O. Lebel and A. J. Matzger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, 129, 15740–15741.

- 21 (a) T. Pham, K. A. Forrest, B. Tudor, S. K. Elsaidi,
 M. H. Mohamed, K. McLaughlin, C. R. Cioce,
 M. J. Zaworotko and B. Space, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 6454–6462; (b) Y.-L. Wu, J. Qian, G.-P. Yang, F. Yang, Y.-T. Liang,
 W.-Y. Zhang and Y.-Y. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 908–913.
- 22 Y. Xie, H. Yang, Z. U. Wang, Y. Liu, H.-C. Zhou and J.-R. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 563–565.
- 23 J. Zhao, Y. Wang, W. Dong, Y. Wu, D. S. Li, B. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 9479–9482.
- 24 J.-R. Li, J. Yu, W. Lu, L.-B. Sun, J. Sculley, P. B. Balbuena and H.-C. Zhou, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1–8.
- 25 J. M. Simmons, H. Wu, W. Zhou and T. Yildirim, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 2177–2185.
- 26 H.-R. Fu, F. Wang and J. Zhang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 2893–2896.
- 27 A. Santra and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, 14, 1476–1485.
- 28 (a) L. Luo, K. Chen, Q. Liu, Y. Lu, T.-A. Okamura, G.-C. Lv,
 Y. Zhao and W.-Y. Sun, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, 13, 2312–2321; (b) P. Lama and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2011, 11, 5434–5440; (c) R. Singh and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, 13, 3722–3733; (d) J. Qian, P. Yu and S. Huang, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, 18, 7955–7958; (e)
 Y. Zhang, J. Han and C. Liao, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, 18, 9026–9032.
- 29 B.-B. Kang, N. Wei and Z.-B. Han, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 1605–1611.