RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 48360

Received 25th September 2017 Accepted 10th October 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10597e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Enzymes have been commonly studied because of their unique properties as industrial catalysts, such as high water-soluble, high reaction specificity, high catalytic activity, low toxicity and biocompatible.¹–⁵ However, loss of activity in solution during the reaction, poor stability of free enzymes in aqueous solution, high cost and lack of reusability strictly hamper their applications in the field of agriculture, food and pharmaceutical industry.⁶–⁸ In order to overcome these difficulties, the methods of enzyme immobilization have been developed by many researchers in the past decades. Although most of the immobilized enzymes show increased stability by comparing with free enzymes, several weaknesses exist, including mass-transfer limitation, activity loss due to the harsh chemical synthesis conditions, and unfavorable conformational change in the enzyme structure.⁹⁻¹²

Unlike conventional enzyme immobilization methods, a novel and facile method about improving the enzymatic properties of immobilized enzymes has been first developed by Zare's group.¹³ They have reported a flower-shaped organic-

Self-assembled hybrid nanomaterials with alkaline protease and a variety of metal ions†

Muzi Jing[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6325-118X)^{ab} Xu Fei, \mathbf{D}^* ^a Weifan Ren,^b Jing Tian,^{*b} Hui Zhi,^b Longquan Xu,^a Xiuying Wang^a and Yi Wang^b

Enzyme immobilization is a well-known essential process to improve enzyme stability and enable the industrial reuse of enzymes for more reaction cycles. In this work, we used alkaline protease and a variety of metal ions $(Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Mn^{2+}$ and Ag⁺) to synthesize hybrid nanomaterials by self-assembly method respectively, but only two kinds of hierarchical flower-like hybrid nanomaterials were formed. These hybrid nanomaterials' structures were characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. Compared with free alkaline protease, two kinds of hybrid nanomaterials exhibited higher enzyme activity $(\sim]927\%$ for alkaline protease-Cu₃(PO₄)₂ · 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials, ~201% for alkaline protease–Zn₃(PO₄)₂ · 4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials). Enzyme concentration could affect the size and petal density of nanomaterials, so that hampered mass transfer. Furthermore, we concluded that the formation of flower-like hybrid nanomaterials was influenced by atomic radius and the outermost electron orbit of metal ions. These findings have great significance in the synthesis of the hybrid nanomaterials. PAPER

(a) Cheek for updates

Clustering Clustering **Self-assembled hybrid nanomaterials with alkalin**

Cluster sex A_{n} , 2007, 2,4550

Signal Clustering Clustering Clustering Clustering Clustering Clustering Clustering

inorganic nanomaterial using copper (n) ions as the inorganic component and various enzymes as the organic component. In recent years, bovine serum albumin,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ horseradish peroxidase,^{2,10,17,18} glucose oxidase,¹⁷ papain,¹⁹⁻²¹ lipase,²¹⁻²³ urease,²⁴ soybean peroxidase,²⁵ lactoperoxidase,⁴ trypsin,²⁶ α -amylase¹² and chymotrypsin²⁷ have been chosen as organic component. And the inorganic component is focused on $Cu_3(PO_4)_2.3H_2O$, $\text{Zn}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and CaHPO₄ in previous works.^{14–27} All of these organic–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials exhibit much higher enzyme activity and stability than free and conventional immobilized enzymes. Moreover, this kind of hybrid nanomaterial has attracted widespread interest because of its potential applications in biocatalysis, biosensing, energy storage, gas sensing, proteomic analysis and so on.^{16,28-38}

However, the formation mechanism of the enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials is still not clear. The effect of different metal ions on the formation of nanomaterials is very important for nano-enzyme immobilization. In the present study, we employed to prepare hybrid nanomaterials with alkaline protease, which can catalyze reactions of hydrolysis of peptide, ester and amide bonds,³⁹⁻⁴¹ as organic component. Alkaline protease–Cu₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 3H₂O and alkaline protease–Zn₃- $(PO₄)₂·4H₂O$ hybrid nanomaterials were prepared respectively by a eco-friendly one step procedure and the activity of the assynthesized hybrid nanomaterials were evaluated. Both of hybrid nanomaterials showed higher enzyme activity than that of free alkaline protease under the optimal enzyme concentration because of their high specific area, which is favorable for

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

a Instrumental Analysis Center, Dalian Polytechnic University, 1# Qinggongyuan Road, Dalian 116034, P. R. China. E-mail: feixudlpu@163.com; Fax: +86-411-86322038; Tel: +86-411-86323691 ext. 201

b School of Biological Engineering, Dalian Polytechnic University, 1# Qinggongyuan Road, Dalian 116034, P. R. China. E-mail: tianjing@dlpu.edu.cn; Tel: +86-411- 86324485

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra10597e

mass transfer. In addition, we compared copper with zinc to explore the effect of different patterns on enzyme activity.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Materials

Alkaline protease and α -amylase were obtained from Beijing Aoboxing Bio-tech Co., Ltd. Papain was purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Bio Technology Co., Ltd. Lipase was supplied from Sigma-Aldrich-Fluka Chemical Co., Ltd. N-a-Benzoyl-Larginine ethyl ester (BAEE) was purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. DTT was obtained from Beijing Solarbio Science and Technology Co., Ltd. ZnSO₄, NaCl, KCl, Na₂HPO₄, KH2PO4 was supplied by Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent company. CuCl₂, MnSO₄ and AgNO₃ were procured from Shenyang Reagent Industry. All the above mentioned chemical reagents were analytical grade and used as received without further purification. Deionized water was used throughout all experiments.

2.2 Measurement

The UV-vis absorption spectra were taken by a Perkin-Elmer LAMBDA35 (USA). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was conducted on a JEOL JSM 7800F electron microscope with primary electron energy of 15 kV and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was recorded with Oxford INCA. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) was measured on a Shimadzu XRD-7000S diffractometer with Cu Ka radiation (50 kV, 200 mA, $\lambda = 0.154$ nm) and a scanning step of 0.02°. The FT-IR spectrum was measured by a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 10 infrared spectrophotometer (USA).

2.3 Preparation of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials

Enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials were synthesized by self-assembly method as follow: 20 μ L of aqueous CuCl₂ solution (120 mM) was mixed with 3 mL of PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) containing different concentrations of alkaline protease. The mixture was incubated at 25 \degree C for three days, then the precipitate was collected by centrifugation (3500 rpm for 5 min) and washed for three times. The final products were dried by vacuum freeze-drier.

Enzyme–Zn₃ $(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ hybrid nanomaterials were prepared as follow: 80 μ L of aqueous ZnSO₄ solution (0.05 $\rm g\,mL^{-1})$ was added to 1 mL of PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) contained different concentrations of alkaline protease. After being mechanical stirring for 3 h, the product was collected as same as the above mentioned procedures.

Similarly, the above mentioned two self-assembly methods were applied to prepare the Mn $^{2+}$ or Ag $^+$ -containing products with papain, lipase and α -amylase respectively.

2.4 Determination of the weight percentage of enzyme in hybrid nanomaterials

For determining the enzyme content, the dried hybrid nanomaterials were calcined at 700 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h by muffle furnace. After removing the organic enzyme of the hybrid nanomaterials, $Cu_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}$ or $Zn_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}$ as the inorganic metal salt was obtained. The weight percentage of enzyme in hybrid nanomaterials was calculated as follow:

$$
W = \frac{G_{\rm N} - G_{\rm o}}{G_{\rm N}} \times 100\%
$$

where W is the weight percentage of enzyme $(\%)$, G_N is the weight of the hybrid nanomaterials (g) , and G_o is the weight of $Cu_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}\cdot 3H_{2}O$ or $Zn_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}\cdot 4H_{2}O$ (g).

2.5 Evaluation of catalytic activity of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials

The catalytic activity of the alkaline protease–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials and free alkaline protease was evaluated using Na-benzoyl-L-arginine ethyl ester (BAEE) as the substrate under the same conditions (25 \degree C, pH 7.4). Alkaline protease could catalyze the hydrolytic cleavage of the ester linkage in BAEE and produce N-a-benzoyl-L-arginine (BA) which can be detected at 253 nm. Briefly, 1 mL activated enzyme solution DTT (30 mM) was added to 2 mL PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) contained the alkaline protease–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials (the amount of alkaline protease embedded in nanomaterials was equivalent to 0.25 mg free alkaline protease). After incubating 10 min at 25 °C, 3 mL BAEE (2 mM) was added to the mixture and the reaction time was 5 min. Then the alkaline protease–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials were isolated by centrifugation (3500 rpm, 5 min) rapidly and the supernatant was detected at 253 nm by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. One activity unit of alkaline protease was defined as the amount of enzyme that produce a ΔA_{253} of 0.001 per minute with BAEE as substrate under the experimental conditions. The catalytic activity of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials and free enzyme was calculated as follow: Paper

Maximum signals transfer. In addition, we compared copper with aints to $C_{12}(PQ_4)$, or $Z_{14}(PQ_4)$, as the inologanic media and the solely preseding of the solely preseding of the paper in by but anomenaties as

$$
U = \frac{\Delta A_{253}}{0.001 \times T \times G_{\rm E}} \times 100\%
$$

where U is the enzymatic activity of alkaline protease $(\mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{mg}^{-1}),$ ΔA_{253} is the absorbance changes at 253 nm, $G_{\rm E}$ is the amount of alkaline protease in hybrid nanomaterials (mg), T is the reaction time (min).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Synthesis and characterization of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials

To synthesize the enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials, aqueous CuCl₂ or ZnSO₄ solution was added to PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) that contained different concentrations of alkaline protease at 25 °C. After rinsing and freeze drying, we obtained a series of hybrid nanomaterials, and the formation mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 1.

In order to define the structure and compositions of asprepared hybrid nanomaterials contained different concentrations of alkaline protease. Clearly, FTIR, XRD, EDS were used for systematical analysis of the products. As shown in Fig. 2A(a), the strong characteristic absorptions at 1049 cm^{-1} (asymmetric stretching), 990 cm⁻¹ (symmetric stretching), and 630 cm⁻¹

Fig. 1 Proposed growth mechanism of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O
hybrid nanomaterials and enzyme–Zn₃(PO₄)₂·4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials and enzyme–Zn₃(PO₄)₂.4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials.

Fig. 2 FT-IR spectra of (A) enzyme-Cu₃(PO₄)₂.3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials formed with different concentrations (a) $Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O;$ (b) 0.1 mg mL⁻¹; (c) 0.25 mg mL⁻¹; (d) 0.5 mg mL⁻¹; (e) free alkaline protease. (B) FT-IR spectra of enzyme-Zn₃(PO₄)₂.4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials formed with different concentrations (a) $Zn_3(PO_4)_2$. 4H₂O; (b) 0.1 mg mL⁻¹; (c) 0.25 mg mL⁻¹; (d) 0.5 mg mL⁻¹; (e) 1.0 mg mL $^{-1}$; (f) free alkaline.

(bending), all of which were attributed to P–O vibrations and indicating the existence of phosphate groups. In Fig. 2A(e) and B(f), typical alkaline protease absorption peaks occurred at 1638 cm⁻¹ and 1543 cm⁻¹ for -CONH, 2800 cm⁻¹ to 3000 cm⁻¹ for –CH₂ and –CH₃, and around 3300 cm⁻¹ for –OH. Comparison of Fig. 2A(b–d) indicated that the characteristic absorption peaks of alkaline protease and $Cu_3(PO_4)_2.3H_2O$ were maintained in IR spectra. With the increase of alkaline protease concentration, the characteristic absorption of alkaline protease in the nanomaterials increased gradually until 1.0 mg mL^{-1} in Fig. 2B(b–d). Over this concentration, excessive enzymes were not able to participate in the hybrid nanomaterials. Similarly, it was shown in Fig. 2B(b–e) that the spectra include main characteristic absorption peaks of alkaline protease and $\text{Zn}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The strong characteristic absorption peaks at 1016 cm^{-1} , 954 cm^{-1} , 632 cm^{-1} , which were attributed to P–O vibrations [Fig. 2B(a)]. Therefore, the alkaline protease– $Zn_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ hybrid nanomaterial was composited by the above two materials. **EXAMELES ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTISTS ARTIST ARTISTS ARTIST ARTISTS ARTIST ARTISTS ARTIST AR**

Fig. 3 (A) XRD patterns of (a) enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂.3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials, (b) $Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O$ and (c) JCPDS card no. 22-0548. (B) XRD patterns of (a) enzyme-Zn₃(PO₄)₂.4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials, (b) $Zn_3(PO_4)_2.4H_2O$ and (c) JCPDS card no. 33-0465.

The XRD patterns in Fig. 3 illustrated that the crystallographic structures of two kinds of hybrid nanomaterials were $Cu_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ and $Zn_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ respectively. All the diffraction peaks presented in Fig. 3A and B could be indexed to $Cu_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}\cdot3H_{2}O$ (JCPDS card no. 22-0548) and $Zn_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}\cdot4H_{2}O$ (JCPDS card no. 33-0465) separately. Therefore, the two kinds of hybrid nanomaterials were well crystallized and featured high crystallinity after incorporating alkaline protease.

The EDS patterns of hybrid nanomaterials were shown in Fig. 4A and B respectively. The EDS results revealed the presence of zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), phosphorus (P), oxygen (O), carbon (C) in the sample.

The general morphology of the enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials were determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The SEM images of hybrid nanomaterials in Fig. 5 and 6, exhibited the flower-like structures and uniform morphology.

Fig. 4 EDS patterns of (A) enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂ · 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials and (B) enzyme–Zn₃(PO₄)₂ · 4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials.

Fig. 5 (A) SEM images of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials with different concentrations of alkaline protease (a) Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O; (b) 0.05 mg mL $^{-1}$; (c) 0.1 mg mL $^{-1}$; (d) 0.25 mg mL $^{-1}$; (e) 0.5 mg mL $^{-1}$. (B) The high-resolution SEM images of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials with different concentrations of alkaline protease (a) 0.1 mg mL $^{-1}$; (b) 0.25 mg mL $^{-1}$; (c) 0.5 mg mL $^{-1}$.

The alkaline protease-Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials (Fig. 5A) were like peony. Compared with pure $Cu_{3}(PO_{4})_{2}\cdot3H_{2}O$ nanomaterials [Fig. 5A(a)], the morphology of the products in the presence of enzyme changed distinctly. However, when the concentration of enzyme was 0.05 $\text{mg }\text{mL}^{-1}$, only irregular sheet structures were formed [Fig. 5A(b)]. With increased concentration of alkaline protease (*i.e.*, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 mg mL^{-1}), the average diameters of these nanomaterials decreased approximately from 12 μ m to 4 μ m in Fig. 5A(c–e). In addition, the high-resolution SEM images (Fig. 5B) showed that the "petals" of hybrid nanomaterials became more compact and intense. The allegins protests Columb (D), 314.0 byticl. amounts in the comparison of the process are the proposed on 11/30/2024 and the season are the process Article is

In the Fig. 6, the morphology of the alkaline protease– Zn_3 - $(PO₄)₂·4H₂O$ hybrid nanomaterials were different from the alkaline protease-Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials. This kind of hybrid nanomaterial was formed by innumerable nanoplates. The nanoplates were "petals" for assembling of hybrid nanomaterials like daisy. As shown in Fig. 6A(c–f) and B, with the increasing of enzyme concentration $(i.e., 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, ...)$ 1.0 mg mL^{-1}) the size of hybrid nanomaterials decreased

> B l a

> > |c

obviously (from 15 μ m to 8 μ m), the layer number of nanoplates increased and the edges of the "petals" were not sharp. Notably, large nanoplate crystals was formed in the absence of alkaline protease [Fig. 6A(a)]. The Above results revealed that enzyme concentration could influence the number of nucleation sites and thus affect the size and structures of hybrid nanomaterials.19,21

The different morphology of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials may be due to the difference in arrangement of extranuclear electrons of metal ions (including the number of extranuclear electron layer and the number of electron per layer). Especially, some metal ions, such as Mn^{2+} and Ag⁺ couldn't form the hybrid nanomaterials containing regular flower-like structure with enzyme. The alkaline protease, papain, lipase, α -amylase were self-assembled with Mn²⁺ and $Ag⁺$ respectively and the morphology of the resulting materials were shown in Fig. S1.†

The outermost electron orbit of Cu $^{2+}$ is 3d $^{9}4\rm{s}^{0},$ and its atomic radius is the important factor to form the nanomaterials with

 $\overline{\mathbf{d}}$

 10μ r

 $5 \mu n$

 $5 \mu n$

flower-like structure. As congener, $Ag⁺$ (the outermost electron orbit of Ag⁺ is $4d^{10}5s^0$) has too large atomic radius to form flower-like structure. However, the reason for formation of the nanomaterials may be not only the moderate atomic radius, but also the distribution of electrons in the outermost orbital. The outermost electron orbit of Zn^{2+} is $3\text{d}^{10}\text{4s}^0,$ and the full 3d orbit could improve the stability of electrons in the 4s orbit during coordination. Accordingly, the outermost electron orbit of Mn^{2+} is $3d⁵4s⁰$ and its half-filled 3d orbit could prevent the ions coordination with enzyme. Therefore, the synthesis of flowerlike hybrid nanomaterials was influenced by atomic radius and the outermost electron orbit of metal ions.

3.2 Enzyme activity of enzyme–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials

To determine the catalytic activity of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 3H₂O and enzyme-Zn₃(PO₄)₂.4H₂O formed with different alkaline protease concentrations. The weight percentages of alkaline protease and enzyme activity of two kinds of hybrid nanomaterials were presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The results indicated that the weight percentages of alkaline protease in the two kinds of nanomaterials increased with increasing of alkaline protease concentration (from 0.1 to 0.5 and from 0.1 to 1.0 mg mL^{-1} separately). The enzyme activity of alkaline protease-Cu₃(PO₄)₂.3H₂O hybrid

nanomaterials formed by 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 mg mL^{-1} of alkaline protease in solution were determined to be 868.19, 491.07 and 236.87 U mg⁻¹ respectively. Meanwhile, the enzyme activity of alkaline protease– $Zn_3(PO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ hybrid nanomaterials formed using different concentrations of alkaline protease (i.e., 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0 mg mL⁻¹) were evaluated to be 158.25, 188.76, 176.31, 88.39 U mg $^{-1}$ respectively. Compared the activity of free alkaline protease (93.7 U mg^{-1}), such increase in enzyme activity can be ascribed to flower-like structures featuring large surface areas and extensive confinement, which resulted in high substrate accessibility to the active sites of alkaline protease. However, the catalytic activity of enzyme- $\text{Zn}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ hybrid nanomaterials synthesized with 1.0 mg mL^{-1} of the alkaline protease was a little lower than the free alkaline protease, the reason was assumed due to transfer limitation, which may be due to high density of "nanopetal" structure. Therefore, we assumed that the enzyme concentration influenced the catalytic activity of hybrid nanomaterials by affecting the structure of hybrid nanomaterials, including size and density. Paper

Records are the signal on 16 October 2017. The contrast of the signal on 16 October 2017. Download the signal on 16 Octob

Based above results, the enzyme-Cu₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials (formed with 0.1 mg mL^{-1} alkaline protease) and enzyme-Zn₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials (formed with 0.25 mg mL^{-1} alkaline protease) were chosen to determine the reusability of the hybrid nanomaterials respectively. After reacting with substrate each time, the hybrid nanomaterials

Table 1 The weight percentage of alkaline protease and activity of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂. 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials

Fig. 7 Effect of recycling on the activity of (A) enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂·3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials and (B) enzyme–Zn₃(PO₄)₂·4H₂O hybrid nanomaterials.

were centrifuged and washed three times with deionized water. Then the resulting product was applied in a subsequent catalytic cycle. The value of enzyme activity for first reaction cycle was regarded as 100%. As shown in Fig. 7A, after the sixth cycle, although enzyme activity of enzyme–Cu₃(PO₄)₂ \cdot 3H₂O hybrid nanomaterials was reduced to 21.21%, the activity still much higher than free alkaline protease. When enzyme- $Zn_3(PO_4)_2$. $4H₂O$ hybrid nanomaterials reacted with substrate after three times, the relative activity was reduced to 23.04% (Fig. 7B). However, after the third cycle, the activity was lower than free alkaline protease. From the SEM images of two kinds of hybrid nanomaterials after reacting each time (Fig. $S2\dagger$), we found that the petals density of nanomaterials have decreased. Therefore, we assumed that the main reason of decreasing enzyme activity may be due to the reduction of hierarchical structure.

Significantly, in the previous similar studies, several methods have been developed to immobilize the alkaline protease on different supports, such as core–mesoporous shell silica nanospheres, $TiO₂$ nanoparticles, gold-TiO₂ core-shell nanowires, alginate calcium chloride core–shell microcapsules and so on.⁴²–⁴⁶ Compared with these strategies, in our works, alkaline protease–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials were prepared by a feasible self-assembly method. This method belongs to a physical process without any toxic organic solvents, thus the activity of alkaline protease can be maintained and exhibit higher than free enzyme. Moreover, this facile and low cost method can overcome the existing weaknesses about low stability and activity loss or inactivation of enzyme, which can make industrial production easy to realize.

4. Conclusions

We synthesized two kinds of composite materials with different flower-like morphology. After calculating the actual weight percentage of alkaline protease in hybrid nanomaterials by calcination method, the accurate enzymatic activity of them

were obtained and much higher than that of the free alkaline protease in solution. And we found that enzyme concentration affected the size and petal density of nanomaterials, so that hampered mass transfer, which then directly affected the catalytic activity of hybrid nanomaterials. In addition, we concluded that the formation of flower-like hybrid nanomaterials was influenced by atomic radius and the outermost electron orbit of metal ions. These findings have great significance in the synthesis of these hybrid nanomaterials, which have potential in industrial applications.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31771914, 21204007), Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province of China (20170540076, 20170540079).

Notes and references

- 1 X. Wu, M. Hou and J. Ge, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 5077– 5085.
- 2 I. Ocsoy, E. Dogru and S. Usta, Enzyme Microb. Technol., 2015, 75–76, 25–29.
- 3 B. Krajewska, Enzyme Microb. Technol., 2004, 35, 126–139.
- 4 C. Altinkaynak, I. Yilmaz, Z. Koksal, H. Ozdemir, I. Ocsoy and ¨ N. Özdemir, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2016, 84, 402-409.
- 5 P. Wang, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2006, 17, 574–579.
- 6 A. Schmid, J. S. Dordick, B. Hauer, A. Kiener, M. Wubbolts and B. Witholt, Nature, 2001, 409, 258–268.
- 7 R. N. Patel, Expert Opin. Drug Discovery, 2008, 3, 187–245.
- 8 N. J. Turner, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2009, 5, 567–573.
- 9 E. T. Hwang and M. B. Gu, Eng. Life Sci., 2013, 13, 49–61.
- 10 Z. Lin, Y. Xiao, Y. Yin, W. Hu, W. Liu and H. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 10775–10782.
- 11 G. He, W. Hu and C. M. Li, Colloids Surf., B, 2015, 135, 613– 618.
- 12 L. B. Wang, Y. C. Wang, R. He, A. Zhuang, X. P. Wang, J. Zeng and J. G. Hou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1272–1275.
- 13 J. Ge, J. D. Lei and R. N. Zare, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 428– 432.
- 14 Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. He, Y. Yang, S. Liu, M. Wang, S. Fang and G. Fu, J. Power Sources, 2015, 284, 170–177.
- 15 B. Zhang, P. Li, H. Zhang, X. Li, L. Tian, H. Wang, X. Chen, N. Ali, Z. Ali and Q. Zhang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2016, 366, 328– 338.
- 16 H. Cao, D.-P. Yang, D. Ye, X. Zhang, X. Fang, S. Zhang, B. Liu and J. Kong, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2015, 68, 329–335.
- 17 J. Sun, J. Ge, W. Liu, M. Lan, H. Zhang, P. Wang, Y. Wang and Z. Niu, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 255–262.
- 18 B. Somturk, M. Hancer, I. Ocsoy and N. Ozdemir, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 13845–13852.
- 19 L. Liang, X. Fei, Y. Li, J. Tian, L. Xu, X. Wang and Y. Wang, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 96997–97002.
- 20 B. Zhang, P. Li, H. Zhang, L. Fan, H. Wang, X. Li, L. Tian, N. Ali, Z. Ali and Q. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 46702–46710.
- 21 Y. Li, X. Fei, L. Liang, J. Tian, L. Xu, X. Wang and Y. Wang, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 2016, 133, 92–97.
- 22 Z. Wu, X. Li, F. Li, H. Yue, C. He, F. Xie and Z. Wang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 33998–34002.
- 23 B. Zhang, P. Li, H. Zhang, H. Wang, X. Li, L. Tian, N. Ali, Z. Ali and Q. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 291, 287–297.
- 24 B. Somturk, I. Yilmaz, C. Altinkaynak, A. Karatepe, N. Özdemir and I. Ocsoy, Enzyme Microb. Technol., 2016, 86, 134–142.
- 25 Y. Yu, X. Fei, J. Tian, L. Xu, X. Wang and Y. Wang, Colloids Surf., B, 2015, 130, 299–304.
- 26 Z. Lin, Y. Xiao, L. Wang, Y. Yin, J. Zheng, H. Yang and G. Chen, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 13888–13891.
- 27 Y. Yin, Y. Xiao, G. Lin, Q. Xiao, Z. Lin and Z. Cai, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2015, 3, 2295–2300.
- 28 J. Zeng and Y. Xia, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 415–416.
- 29 J. Ge, D. Lu, Z. Liu and Z. Liu, Biochem. Eng. J., 2009, 44, 53–59.
- 30 C.-Y. Chiu, Y. Li, L. Ruan, X. Ye, C. B. Murray and Y. Huang, Nat. Chem., 2011, 3, 393–399.
- 31 C. K. King'ondu, A. Iyer, E. C. Njagi, N. Opembe, H. Genuino, H. Huang, R. A. Ristau and S. L. Suib, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4186–4189. Paper West Article 2017. Download 11. Download 11. Download 2017. Downlo
	- 32 M. D. Ye, H. Y. Liu, C. J. Lin and Z. Q. Lin, Small, 2013, 9, 312–321.
	- 33 S. K. S. Patel, S. V. Otari, Y. Chan Kang and J.-K. Lee, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 3488–3494.
	- 34 J. Jiao, X. Xin, X. Wang, Z. Xie, C. Xia and W. Pan, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 43474–43482.
	- 35 K. Mandel, F. Dillon, A. A. Koos, Z. Aslam, F. Cullen, H. Bishop, A. Crossley and N. Grobert, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 3748–3752.
	- 36 C. Ke, Y. Fan, Y. Chen, L. Xu and Y. Yan, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 19413–19416.
	- 37 N. Sharma, M. Parhizkar, W. Cong, S. Mateti, M. A. Kirkland, M. Puri and A. Sutti, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 25437–25443.
	- 38 Z. Xu, R. Wang, C. Liu, B. Chi, J. Gao, B. Chen and H. Xu, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 30791–30794.
	- 39 A. E. Głowacka, E. Podstawka, M. H. Szczęsna-Antczak, H. Kalinowska and T. Antczak, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., Part B: Biochem. Mol. Biol., 2005, 140, 321–331.
	- 40 A. Anwar and M. Saleemuddin, Bioresour. Technol., 1998, 64, 175–183.
	- 41 U. Patil and A. Chaudhari, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2009, 84, 1255–1262.
	- 42 M. S. Sadjadi, N. Farhadyar and K. Zare, Superlattices Microstruct., 2009, 46, 77–83.
	- 43 A. S. Shebl Ibrahim, A. A. Al-Salamah, A. M. El-Toni, K. S. Almaary, M. A. El-Tayeb, Y. B. Elbadawi and G. Antranikian, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2016, 17, 184.
	- 44 N. Farhadyar and M. S. Sadjadi, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol., 2011, 7, 466–470.
	- 45 S. Guleria, A. Walia, A. Chauhan and C. K. Shirkot, 3 Biotech, 2016, 6, 208.
	- 46 A. S. Shebl Ibrahim, A. M. El-Toni, A. A. Al-Salamah, K. S. Almaary, M. A. El-Tayeb, Y. B. Elbadawi and G. Antranikian, Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng., 2016, 39, 793–805.