# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 53932

Received 23rd October 2017 Accepted 13th November 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11696a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

Hexavalent chromium  $(Cr(v))$  is a known priority toxic chemical and carcinogen, which has gained attention due to its serious threat to humans.<sup>1,2</sup> Recently, microbial fuel cells (MFCs), a sustainable and effective technology for the reduction of oxidative heavy metals, $3-5$  have been proposed to provide promising results for  $Cr(v)$  removal. The abiotic cathode MFCs for  $Cr$ (v<sub>I</sub>) removal obtained the maximum removal rate as high as 8.12 mg  $\mathrm{L}^{-1}\, \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ , but this high removal in the abiotic cathode MFC strongly relies on a low pH.<sup>3,6-8</sup> Therefore, the biocathode MFCs show a great potential in  $Cr(v)$  bioremediation, which can use the catalysis of self-regenerating electrochemically active bacteria to provide a sustainable and economic operation under a neutral environment.<sup>9-11</sup> However, the low efficiency of  $Cr(v)$  removal and electricity generation in biocathode MFCs becomes the main bottleneck to limit their practical and largescale applications.<sup>12-14</sup> Since electrode material is a significant factor to affect the performance of biocathodes by acting as a bacterial carrier and electron exchange site,15,16 suitable

# Effect of MWCNT-modified graphite felts on hexavalent chromium removal in biocathode microbial fuel cells†

Xiayuan Wu[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8029-7689) D<sup>ab</sup> Xiaomin Xiong,<sup>a</sup> Gianluca Brunetti, D<sup>b</sup> Xiaoyu Yong,<sup>a</sup> Jun Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Lijuan Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Ping Wei<sup>a</sup> and Honghua Jia<sup>\*a</sup>

Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and oxidative acid pretreated MWCNTs (oxidized MWCNTs, O-MWCNTs) were used to modify graphite felts as biocathode electrodes in Cr(VI)-reducing microbial fuel cells (MFCs). The results showed that both MWCNT modifications improved the efficiency of the Cr(VI)-reducing biocathode. In particular, the O-MWCNT modification led to a better performance due to the induced oxygen-containing functional groups on the O-MWCNTs. The O-MWCNT-modified graphite felt significantly promoted the  $Cr(v)$  removal and electricity generation of the MFC. The  $Cr(v)$  removal rate increased to 2.00  $\pm$  0.10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, which was 2.05 times higher than that of the unmodified control. The improvement was ascribed to the strong affinity and capacity of the O-MWCNTs towards microorganisms and  $Cr(v)$  ions. In addition, this study further confirmed that the ex situ biocathode acclimatization method could be an efficient way to screen potential biocathode materials for Cr(vI)reducing MFCs. PAPER<br>
(a) Check for updates<br>
Club and the control of the state o

biocathode materials are worth developing to improve the performance of Cr(vI)-reducing MFCs. So far, most of biocathode electrodes applied in  $Cr(w)$ -reducing MFCs were conventional carbon-based materials, such as graphite plates, graphite granules, graphite felts and graphite fibers.<sup>1,10,12,17</sup> Recently, the nanostructured materials modification on conventional carbon-based materials has been demonstrated its high efficiency to improve the MFC performance.<sup>18</sup>–<sup>20</sup> However, little effort has been spent on seeking for surface modifiers for carbon-based materials to enhance the biocathode performance in  $Cr(v)$ -reducing MFCs.<sup>11,15</sup>

Our previous work found that the NaX zeolite-modified graphite felt improved the biocathode performance in  $Cr(w)$ reducing MFCs due to the affinity of the NaX zeolite towards microorganisms and  $Cr(v)$  ions; however, the high resistance of NaX zeolite would affect the conductivity of the modified electrode.<sup>15</sup> Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), another kind of popular nanostructured material, possess unique physical and chemical properties (e.g. high porosity, high electrical conductivity, high surface area, good electrochemical stability and biocompatibility), which have been applied as the surface modifier for carbon-based materials to improve the electricity generation of MFCs.<sup>21-24</sup> Considering the MWCNTs have a strong adsorption capacity for heavy metals like NaX zeolite,<sup>25,26</sup> the MWCNTs-modified electrode also might be a promising biocathode material for  $Cr(v)$ -reducing MFCs. To the best of our knowledge, the MWCNTs-modified electrode has not been applied as biocathode material in  $Cr(v)$ -reducing MFCs.

a Bioenergy Research Institute, College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, No. 30 Puzhu Road(S), Nanjing 211816, Jiangsu, China. E-mail: hhjia@njtech.edu.cn; Fax: +86 25 58139929; Tel: +86 25 58139929

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Future Industries Institute, School of Natural and Built Environments, University of South Australia, Adelaide, South Australia 5095, Australia

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11696a

For preventing the self-aggregation of MWCNTs, an oxidative acid pretreatment is often used for improving the dispersion of MWCNTs and nanotube purification.<sup>27</sup> As a result of oxidizing acid attack, oxygen-containing groups can be attached to the ends and surfaces of MWCNTs to improve the dispersion of MWCNTs in the aqueous solution.<sup>28</sup>–<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, the oxidized MWCNTs-modified electrode, compared to the original MWCNTs-modified one, caused a higher efficiency of power generation and wastewater treatment in MFCs.<sup>31</sup>

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of two kinds of MWCNTs (original and oxidized MWCNTs)-modified graphite felts as biocathode electrodes in  $Cr(w)$ -reducing MFCs. An ex situ acclimatization method was used to obtain the  $Cr(v)$ -reducing biocathode:<sup>32</sup> namely, the  $Cr(v)$ -reducing biocathode was acclimatized in the anode chamber first to enrich the electrochemically active biofilm, and then directly transferred to the cathode chamber for  $Cr(v)$  removal. The performance of different electrodes was studied during both ex situ acclimatization and  $Cr(v)$  removal periods in terms of the efficiency of electricity generation and  $Cr(w)$  removal. The effect of the MWCNTs-modified electrodes on  $Cr(v)$  removal was deeply elucidated by conducting the extensive analyses of electrode characteristics, surface morphology, and biocatalytic activity of the bio-electrodes. Paper<br>
For preventing the stil-aggregation of KWOCHTs, an oxidative chiese on 24 November 2017. Downloaded on 24<br>
and the matter of the matter of the common c

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Electrode preparation

The bare electrode was a piece of graphite felt (5.0  $\times$  5.0  $\times$ 0.5 cm; Hunan Jiuhua Carbon Hi-Tech Co., Ltd., China), which was soaked in 33% nitric acid overnight to remove impurities and then dried at 100 °C. This bare graphite felt was dubbed the "control electrode".

To prepare the original MWCNTs-modified electrode, the original MWCNTs (outer diameter 20–40 nm, bundle length > 5 mm, purity > 95%, Shenzhen Nanotech Port Co., Ltd) were mixed with the sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) and deionized water. The final mass fraction of MWCNTs and SDBS was achieved to 0.18% and 1%, respectively. This mixture was dispersed by sonication and agitation for 1 h. Afterwards, a bare graphite felt was placed into the MWCNTs/SDBS mixture for 20 min to facilitate physical adsorption and then dried in a vacuum at 100 $\degree$ C for 24 h. This step was repeated thrice to maximize the adsorption of MWCNTs on the graphite felt. Subsequently, the MWCNTs-modified graphite felt was washed thrice with deionized water to remove the unadsorbed MWCNTs and dried again. This modified electrode was labelled as the "MWCNTs electrode".

The original MWCNTs were pretreated with the mixture of nitric acid and sulfuric acid  $(3 : 1 \text{ v/v})$  as described by Qu *et al.*<sup>29</sup> to obtain the oxidized MWCNTs (O-MWCNTs). Then, the modification of the O-MWCNTs on a bare graphite felt was conducted by following the same steps mentioned above. This modified electrode was labelled as the "O-MWCNTs electrode". Besides, the final adsorption masses of the original and oxidized MWCNTs on the corresponding modified electrodes in

this study were controlled to be similar  $(0.21-0.22 \text{ g})$  in order to compare the effect of these two modifiers.

## 2.2. MFC construction and operation

The dual-chamber MFC used was the same as described in our previous study.<sup>15</sup> Two cubic plexiglass chambers (net volume of 70 mL each) were separated by a proton exchange membrane (38.5  $\text{cm}^2$ ; Nafion 117, Dopont Co., USA). A sheet of graphite felt  $(5.0 \times 5.0 \times 0.5 \text{ cm})$  was used as the anode electrode, and also as the cathode electrode unless specified. The anodic inoculation was from anaerobic digester sludge. During the  $Cr(v)$ removal experiment, an acclimatized mature bioanode with stable potential was used in the MFC, and the bioanode acclimatization method was described in Section 2.3. The anode chamber was filled with glucose culture medium during both  $ex$ situ acclimatization and  $Cr(v)$  removal experiments.<sup>15</sup> The MFC was operated with an external resistance of 1000  $\Omega$  in a batchfed mode at 25  $\pm$  0.5 °C. All experimental reactors were performed in triplicate to ensure reproducibility.

### 2.3. Ex situ biocathode acclimatization method

According to the ex situ biocathode acclimatization method described previously,<sup>15</sup> the testing electrodes were acclimatized as anodes in MFCs. During the ex situ acclimatization period, the cathode chamber was filled with 40 mM ferricyanide and 50 mM phosphate buffer solution,<sup>15</sup> while the other conditions were the same as those mentioned in Section 2.2. The anolyte and catholyte were refreshed every 4–5 days. When the MFCs achieved their steady-state for voltage generation, these bioanodes were anaerobically transferred to the cathode chambers to function as the biocathodes for Cr(vI) removal.

### 2.4. Cr(vi) removal experiment

The medium (11.53 g L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.772 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>- $PO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ , 0.28 g  $L^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 0.78 g  $L^{-1}$  KCl, 0.2 g  $L^{-1}$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub>; pH 7.0) containing 20 mg  $L^{-1}$  Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) (prepared by dissolving  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  in deionized water) was added to the cathode chamber of MFCs for testing the biocathodes obtained by the ex situ acclimatization method. In order to study the  $Cr(v)$  removal mechanisms, the MFCs with different biocathodes were operated 24 h under both open-circuit and closed-circuit conditions. Meanwhile, the three testing electrodes before and after acclimatization (without and with biomass) were also conducted a 24 h- $Cr(v)$  adsorption experiment in the same cathode medium.

### 2.5. Analytical techniques and calculations

The voltage generated by the MFCs was automatically collected every 10 min by a data acquisition system (Keithley Instruments 2700, USA). The power density as a function of the current density was obtained from the polarization curve, which was conducted at the time point of the maximum voltage generation in the MFC; the current and power densities were calculated based on the total surface area of the bare electrode substrate; the current (I) was calculated using Ohm's law:  $U = IR$ , where U is the voltage and  $R$  is the external resistance; the power  $(P)$  was calculated according to  $P = IU$ , while the internal resistance of the MFC was calculated using the polarization slope method.<sup>15</sup>

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed using a potentiostat (CHI660D, Shanghai Chenhua Instruments Co., Ltd.) at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> over a range between  $-600$  mV and  $+600$  mV in a three-electrode system (Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, the anode as the working electrode and the cathode as the counter electrode). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was performed to determine the different internal resistances of the MFC, namely: ohmic resistance  $(R_s)$ , charge-transfer resistance  $(R_{\text{ct}})$  and diffusion resistance  $(W)$ . The EIS measurement was conducted in a three-electrode system (same as CV) at the potential amplitude of 10 mV with frequency range between 100 kHz and 5 mHz.

The morphology of the electrode surface was investigated by scanning electron microscopy with coupled energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS, Hitachi S-4800, Japan). The bacteria attached to the electrode were stabilized based on previously described procedures.<sup>33</sup> The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained with a FT-IR spectrometer (NICOLET, NEXUS 670).<sup>15</sup> The specific surface area (SSA) of the electrode was determined from a  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption experiment with an ASAP2020 surface area analyzer (Micromeritics, USA). Contact-angle measurement was performed on the electrode with a contact angle meter (SL200B, Shanghai Solon Information Technology Co., Ltd.). The surface resistance of the electrode was determined with a digital multimeter (UT61B, Shanghai Uni-Trend Co., Ltd.).<sup>15</sup>

At the end of ex situ acclimatization, the biomass on the anode electrode was removed into 5 mL of deionized water by ultrasonic treatment (100 W, 30 min), and the supernatant was separated from the solution by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 2 min. Afterwards, 0.5 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 0.5 mL of NaOH solution (0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$ ). The mixture was boiled for 20 min until the solution was clear. Finally, the biomass protein was determined by modified Lowry method.<sup>34</sup>

A colorimetric 1,5-diphenylcarbazide method was used for  $Cr(v)$  analysis, and total chromium was analyzed by reoxidizing any reduced form of chromium by potassium permanganate after acid digestion with concentrated  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>35</sup>$ Samples for  $Cr(v)$  and total chromium analyses were filtered through  $0.45 \mu m$  membrane syringe filters.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Electrode characterization

The surface morphology of the three electrodes was analyzed by SEM before acclimatization. As shown in Fig. 1a–c, the control electrode showed a smooth and clean surface (Fig. 1a), while the other two modified electrodes exhibited rougher surfaces caused by some affixed substances, which have the typical appearance of carbon nanotubes.<sup>31</sup> In particular, the tubular graphite fibers of the O-MWCNTs electrode (Fig. 1c) were completely enwrapped by a layer of the evenly distributed attachments, whereas the MWCNTs electrode (Fig. 1b) displayed an irregular attachments distribution and even some chunks of agglomerate on the surface. This difference might be attributed to the oxidative acid pretreatment, which could be able to form large amount of oxygen-containing groups on the surface of MWCNTs<sup>29</sup> and also create porous out layer with much abundant nanoscale defects.<sup>30</sup> The MWCNTs with oxygencontaining groups and nanoscale defects achieved better dispersibility and stability<sup>29,30</sup> in aqueous solution, which might BSC Advances<br>
is the voltage and *K* in the case of the case of the common cost of 2 non-<br>
content access are common cost of the case of



Fig. 1 Scanning electron microscopy images of different electrodes (the control electrode, the MWCNTs electrode, and the O-MWCNTs electrode) before acclimatization (a–c), after acclimatization (d–f), and after the Cr(vi) removal experiment (q–i).

result in a even distribution of O-MWCNTs on the graphite felt surface.

FT-IR was further employed to investigate the functional groups induced by the oxidative acid pretreatment of MWCNTs. Fig. 2 shows the FT-IR spectra of MWCNTs and O-MWCNTs powders. The peak at about 3410  $cm^{-1}$  corresponding to the stretching vibrations of –OH within –COOH increased considerably after acid treatment in O-MWCNTs, indicating the quantity of carboxyl groups on the O-MWCNTs surface increased remarkably. The peaks at about  $1400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to the stretching vibration of C=O from carboxyl groups also significantly increased, confirming that carboxyl containing functional groups were greatly generated on the O-MWCNTs. Besides, the broad peak at 1220– 1080  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  representing the stretching vibration of C–O from carboxyl groups increased as well.<sup>29,30</sup> These intensified peaks and newly generated peaks indicated that the acid pretreatment offered more carboxyl containing functional groups on the O-MWCNTs surface, which could effectively improve the dispersibility of O-MWCNTs in aqueous systems. This was also verified in other studies.<sup>28-30,36</sup>

The surface characteristic analyses of the three electrodes were conducted in terms of SSA, contact angle, and surface resistance (Table 1). The MWCNTs modifications improved the SSA of the graphite felt dramatically, as the SSA values of the MWCNTs (29.84  $\pm$  0.56  $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$ ) and O-MWCNTs (66.98  $\pm$  0.43  $\rm m^2\, g^{-1})$  electrode were almost 4 and 9 times higher than that of the control electrode, respectively. Large surface area can



Fig. 2 Fourier transform infrared spectra of the MWCNTs and O-**MWCNTs** 

facilitate an extensive biofilm formation throughout the material and efficient transport of nutrients and wastes at the same time.<sup>37</sup> In addition, the MWCNTs modifications enhanced the wettability of the graphite felt noticeably, as the MWCNTs and O-MWCNTs electrode both became a superhydrophilic material (contact angle:  $0^\circ$ ) from the original hydrophobic graphite felt (contact angle:  $115.81 \pm 1.26^{\circ}$ ). High hydrophilicity of materials can accelerate the bacterial adhesion and electrochemical reactions.<sup>38</sup> Furthermore, the MWCNTs modifications decreased the surface resistance of the graphite felt, and the O-MWCNTs electrode possessed the lowest surface resistance among the three electrodes.

#### 3.2. Electrode performance during ex situ acclimatization

3.2.1. Electricity generation. Since the three electrodes worked as anodes to enrich the biofilms during  $ex$  situ acclimatization, the successful formation of biofilms on the electrodes can be determined by the electricity production of the MFCs.<sup>15</sup> As shown in Fig. 3a, all MFCs achieved a stable maximum voltage for two consecutive cycles after four batch cycles, indicating the successful acclimatization of biofilms. Comparatively, the MFC with the O-MWCNTs anode produced the highest voltage of 0.674 V, which was 1.07 and 1.20 times as high as that of the MWCNTs (0.629 V) and control (0.564 V) anode, respectively. The MFC with the O-MWCNTs anode also generated the highest power density (0.192  $\pm$  0.004 W m<sup>-2</sup>, Fig. 3b), which was 1.11 and 1.41 times as high as that of the MWCNTs  $(0.172 \pm 0.005 \text{ W m}^{-2})$  and control  $(0.136 \pm 0.003 \text{ W m}^{-2})$  $m^{-2}$ ) anode, respectively. According to Fig. 3c, the lowest internal resistance (133.52  $\pm$  2.72  $\Omega$ ) was obtained in the MFC with the O-MWCNTs anode. The results revealed that the utilization of MWCNTs and O-MWCNTs to modify carbon-based materials as anodes enhanced the electricity generation of MFCs, especially O-MWCNTs modification showing a better performance, which was consistent with the earlier work.<sup>20</sup> Furthermore, the MFCs with the MWCNTs and O-MWCNTs anode had higher current and power densities also because of the increased specific surface areas of these two electrodes, since the current and power densities are generally calculated based on the total surface area of the bare electrode substrate. Paper<br>
For extending the complete of the published on 24 November 2017.<br>
The Source of the Creative of the Creative of the Creative of the Creative Commons Article is like the common and bostop article is like the Creativ

> 3.2.2. Electrochemical and SEM analyses. CV was conducted to understand the electrocatalytic activities of the bio films on the different electrodes after the  $ex$  situ acclimatization (Fig. 4a). The O-MWCNTs bioanode showed the highest oxidative peak current of 0.013 A at  $-0.169$  V, and the highest reductive peak current of  $-0.015$  A at  $-0.254$  V. In contrast, the control bioanode showed the lowest redox peak currents. Generally speaking, the oxidation–reduction peak position







Fig. 3 Voltage outputs ((a) each arrow represents the beginning of a batch cycle), power densities (b), and polarization curves (points from the fifth to ninth for internal resistance estimation) (c) of microbial fuel cells with different electrodes during ex situ acclimatization.



Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammograms (a) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (b) of the three electrodes at the end of the ex situ acclimatization.

indicates the redox potential of the electron transfer components of the bacteria, and the size of the oxidation–reduction peak reflects the electrochemical activity of the biofilm.<sup>39</sup> The results demonstrated that the electrochemical activity of the biofilm on the O-MWCNTs electrode was the strongest, which might be ascribed to the largest amount of electrochemically active bacteria on the electrode.<sup>15</sup>

EIS was also performed to investigate the microbial electrocatalytic activity and its interaction with electrodes (Fig. 4b). The impedance spectra is composed of semicircle at the high frequencies (show the charge transfer) and straight line at low frequencies (show Warburg diffusion limitations).<sup>40</sup> Compared with the control and MWCNTs bioanode, the semicircle of the O-MWCNTs bioanode notably was the smallest. Since the diameter of the semicircle is normally fitted to the interfacial electron transfer resistance  $(R_{\text{ct}})$ ,<sup>41</sup> the O-MWCNTs bioanode was estimated to receive the lowest  $R_{\text{ct}}$  of 1.1  $\Omega$ , while the MWCNTs and control bioanode obtained the  $R_{\text{ct}}$  of 16.7  $\Omega$  and 32.6  $\Omega$ , respectively. This suggested superior charge transfer

between the O-MWCNTs electrode and the biofilm, which also indicated that the organic matters could easily reach the O-MWCNTs bioanode surface and then react with bacteria fast.<sup>42</sup> The impedance decrease of the O-MWCNTs bioanode might be not only due to the improvement of the material characteristics (Table 1), but also due to the large amount of bacteria on the electrode, since the enrichment of electroactive bacteria on anode could reduce the anodic overpotential in MFCs.<sup>43,44</sup>

Therefore, SEM and biomass protein determination were conducted to confirm the quantity of bacteria on each electrode after the  $ex$  situ acclimatization. As seen from Fig. 1d–f, the biofilm on the O-MWCNTs electrode was continuous, even and thick, while the biofilm on the control electrode was sparse, uneven and thin. The total amounts of biomass protein on the three bio-electrodes are summarized in Table 1. The highest amount of biomass protein was obtained from the O-MWCNTs bio-electrode (9182.2  $\pm$  178.3 µg), followed by the MWCNTs  $(8633.4 \pm 209.1 \text{ µg})$  and control  $(7926.3 \pm 126.4 \text{ µg})$  bioelectrode. This finding is consistent with the SEM result, which confirmed that the thick biofilm on the O-MWCNTs electrode enhanced the electrocatalytic activity and reduced the impedance. The largest amount of bacteria on the O-MWCNTs electrode might be attributed to the increased SSA and oxygen-containing functional groups, which facilitated the attachment of bacteria and the penetration of organic substrates to the biofilm on the electrode,<sup>30</sup> and consequently improved the bond between the O-MWCNTs and the microbes via  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interactions.<sup>41</sup>

#### 3.3. Biocathode performance in  $Cr(v)$ -reducing MFC

3.3.1. Electricity generation. The three bio-electrodes obtained by the ex situ acclimatization method were used as biocathodes in the Cr( $VI$ )-reducing MFCs. As shown in Fig. 5a, the MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode obtained the highest voltage (0.392 V), which was 1.62 times higher than that of the MFC with the control biocathode (0.243 V). The highest power density of 0.043  $\pm$  0.001 W m<sup>-2</sup> was also achieved in the MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode, which was 1.75 times higher than that of the MFC with the control biocathode (0.025  $\pm$  $0.0009 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ; Fig. 5b). According to the Fig. 5c, the lowest internal resistance was correspondingly found in the MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode (179.94  $\pm$  18.72  $\Omega$ ).

Besides, all MFCs produced significant voltages only before 0.4 d (10 h) operation time, and then the voltages continuously decreased until the end of the experiment (Fig. 5a), which is the typical voltage generation pattern in  $Cr(v)$ -reducing MFCs.<sup>1,15,17</sup> The MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode still produced a background current even though the  $Cr(w)$  was not detected in the liquid after 0.4 d  $(10 h)$  operation time (Fig. 6), which was probably due to the  $Cr(v)$  physically adsorbed by the biocathode as the residual electron acceptor. In addition, the small amount of oxygen leaking in through the thief hole every sampling time also might act as another electron acceptor in the cathodes to produce a part of current, especially when  $Cr(v)$  was depleted. The calculation for the electron balances at the end of 10 h operation time (Fig. S1†) proved the existence of other electron



Fig. 5 Voltage outputs (a), power densities (b), and polarization curves (points from the fourth to ninth for internal resistance estimation) (c) of Cr(vi)-reducing microbial fuel cells with different cathodes at the initial Cr(vi) concentration of 20 mg  $L^{-1}$  (pH = 7).

acceptors apart from the soluble  $Cr(v)$  in the liquid, as the total coulombs transferred  $(C_t)$  were more than the coulombs required  $(C_r)$  for the reduction of soluble Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) in all MFCs.



Fig. 6 Time course of dissolved Cr(vi) concentration in microbial fuel cells with different cathodes under open and closed circuit conditions.

3.3.2.  $Cr(v)$  removal. Fig. 6 illustrates the time course of Cr(VI) concentration in the MFCs with different biocathodes under open-circuit and closed-circuit conditions. Under opencircuit condition, the Cr( $vI$ ) removal rate in the MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode reached 0.29  $\pm$  0.02 mg L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> after 24 h, which was higher than those in the MFCs with the MWCNTs  $(0.17 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$  and control  $(0.10 \pm 1)$ 0.03 mg  $L^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>) biocathode. The decrease of Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) concentration observed under open circuit condition was attributed to the major adsorption of the electrode materials and the minor effect of the biomass on the electrodes, which could be proven by the 24 h-adsorption experiment (Fig. S2†). Compared with the bare control electrode (7.47  $\pm$  1%), the MWCNTs (14.73  $\pm$ 1.5%) and O-MWCNTs  $(22.65 \pm 1.2\%)$  electrode without biomass obtained a higher Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) removal. MWCNTs possess superior adsorption capacity towards various metals<sup>45-47</sup> due to their large SSA, high porous and hollow structure, and strong interaction with the pollutant molecules. The bare O-MWCNTs electrode displayed the strongest adsorption capacity probably due to the introduction of the oxygen-containing functional groups on the O-MWCNTs which increased electrode SSA as well as the potential interaction sites for  $Cr(v)$  ions.<sup>48</sup> Furthermore, the large amount of bacteria on the O-MWCNTs electrode also improved the Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) removal  $(35.41 \pm 1.3\%)$ <sup>1</sup>

When the circuit was connected, the  $Cr(v)$  removal rates in all MFCs were much higher than those observed under open circuit condition, indicating that the bioelectrochemical process in the cathode chamber accelerated the removal of  $Cr(v)$ . In other words, the  $Cr(v)$  removal in the MFC was mainly a bioelectrochemical reduction rather than a simple adsorption. The highest Cr(v<sub>I</sub>) removal rate of 2.00  $\pm$  0.10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> was obtained in the MFC with the O-MWCNTs biocathode  $(Cr(v)$  was completely removed in 10 h), which was 1.54 and 2.05 times higher than those in the MFCs with the MWCNTs  $(1.30 \pm 0.06 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$  and control  $(0.97 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$ biocathode, respectively. Among the reported studies which used a dual-chamber MFC reactor and mixed culture

Table 2 The maximum  $Cr(v)$  removal rates obtained in comparable research studies

Biocathode	Cathode material	The maximum $Cr(vI)$ removal rate $(mg L^{-1} h^{-1})$	Reference
		0.50	1
	Mixed culture Graphite plate		
	Mixed culture Graphite granules	0.82	48
Mixed culture	Graphene/biofilm	0.83	11
	Mixed culture O-MWCNTs modified graphite felt	2.00	This study
Mixed culture	$HNO3–NaX$ modified graphite felt	10.39	14

biocathode (as listed in Table 2), the maximum  $Cr(v)$  removal rate in this study is 1.41 times higher than that from a selfassembling graphene modified graphite felt, $11$  but lower than that from a  $HNO<sub>3</sub>–NaX$  modified graphite felt in our previous work.<sup>15</sup> This might be due to the stronger  $Cr(v)$  adsorption capacity of the  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ -NaX zeolite on graphite felt.<sup>49</sup>

This work further confirmed that the electricity generation performance of an electrode as anode during ex situ acclimatization period could be used to estimate the  $Cr(v)$  removal performance of it as biocathode due to the similar dominant bacteria catalyzing these electrochemical reactions.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, the ex situ biocathode acclimatization method could be an efficient way to screen potential biocathode materials for  $Cr(w)$ reducing MFCs only based on the level of electricity generation at the preliminary acclimatization stage.<sup>1,15,32</sup>

3.3.3. **SEM analysis.** After the Cr( $vi$ ) removal experiment, the three biocathodes were re-analyzed by SEM (Fig. 1g–i). Compared with the corresponding electrodes after ex situ acclimatization, some noticeable precipitates were observed on all of the three biocathodes, which were mainly composed of  $Cr(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> according to the EDS detection (data not shown here) and previous research results.<sup>1,10,12,14,17,32</sup> The largest amount of  $Cr(OH)_3$  deposits on the O-MWCNTs biocathode was attributed to its substantial reduction of  $Cr(v)$ , while the control biocathode had a relatively clean surface due to its lowest  $Cr(v)$ reduction mass.

In summary, the improvement mechanisms of the O-MWCNTs electrode for  $Cr(v)$  removal in the MFC include:  $(1)$ the O-MWCNTs and biomass on the electrode adsorbed a part of the Cr( $v<sub>I</sub>$ ) ions; (2) the easy accessibility of oxidized Cr( $v<sub>I</sub>$ ) ions onto the electrode decreased the mass transport resistance;<sup>50</sup> $(3)$ the large number of bacteria on the electrode accelerated the bioelectrochemical Cr(vi) reduction.

## 4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that the MWCNTs-modified graphite felts as biocathode materials improved the  $Cr(v)$  removal and electricity generation in MFCs. In particular, the O-MWCNTs (MWCNTs pretreated by the oxidative acid) further promoted the performance of the modified electrode due to the induced  $oxygen-containing functional groups. The highest  $Cr(v)$$ 

removal rate (2.00  $\pm$  0.10 mg L $^{-1}$  h $^{-1}$ ) and power density (0.043  $\pm$  0.001 W m<sup>-2</sup>) were obtained in the MFC with the O-MWCNTsmodified graphite felt, which were 2.05 and 1.75 times higher than those of the unmodified control, respectively. These improvements were ascribed to the strong affinity and capacity of the O-MWCNTs towards microorganisms and  $Cr(v)$  ions. In addition, this work further confirmed that the ex situ biocathode acclimatization method could be an efficient way to screen potential biocathode materials for Cr(vI)-reducing MFCs. Paper<br>
For Access Article 2018 + 0.0 Paper 2017. Downloaded interaction and South Common Access Article 2017. Downloaded on 24 November 2017. Downloaded the Common Access Article is like the state of the member 2017. Down

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest to this work.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2013CB733500), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFE0112800), the National Key Technology Support Program of China (2014BAC33B00), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21676142), and the Key Science and Technology Project of Jiangsu Province (BE2016389).

## References

- 1 M. Tandukar, S. J. Huber, T. Onodera and S. G. Pavlostathis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43, 8159–8165.
- 2 C. Barrera-Diaz, V. Lugo-Lugo, G. Roa-Morales, R. Natividad and S. A. Martinez-Delgadillo, J. Hazard. Mater., 2011, 185, 1362–1368.
- 3 O. Modin, X. Wang, X. Wu, S. Rauch and K. K. Fedje, J. Hazard. Mater., 2012, 235–236, 291–297.
- 4 H. C. Tao, Z. Y. Gao, H. Ding, N. Xu and W. M. Wu, Bioresour. Technol., 2012, 111, 92–97.
- 5 B. Zhang, C. Feng, J. Ni, J. Zhang and W. Huang, J. Power Sources, 2012, 204, 34–39.
- 6 Z. Li, X. Zhang and L. Lei, Process Biochem., 2008, 43, 1352– 1358.
- 7 Y. Li, A. Lu, H. Ding, S. Jin, Y. Yan, C. Wang, C. Zen and X. Wang, Electrochem. Commun., 2009, 11, 1496–1499.
- 8 S. Gupta, A. Yadav and N. Verma, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 307, 729–738.
- 9 J. Shen, L. Huang, P. Zhou, X. Quan and G. L. Puma, Bioelectrochemistry, 2016, 114, 1–7.
- 10 L. Huang, X. Chai, S. Cheng and G. Chen, Chem. Eng. J., 2011, 166, 652–661.
- 11 T. S. Song, Y. Jin, J. Bao, D. Kang and J. Xie, J. Hazard Mater., 2016, 317, 73–80.
- 12 L. Huang, J. Chen, X. Quan and F. Yang, Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng., 2010, 8, 937–945.
- 13 P. E. Molokwane, K. C. Meli and E. M. Nkhalambayausi-Chirwa, Water Res., 2008, 4538–4548.
- 14 L. Huang, X. Chai, G. Chen and B. E. Logan, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2011, 45, 5025–5031.
- 15 X. Wu, F. Tong, X. Yong, J. Zhou, L. Zhang, H. Jia and P. Wei, J. Hazard Mater., 2016, 308, 303–311.
- 16 L. Huang, J. M. Regan and X. Quan, Bioresour. Technol., 2011, 102, 316–323.
- 17 N. Xafenias, Y. Zhang and C. J. Banks, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 4512–4520.
- 18 W. Mirana, M. Nawaza, J. Janga and D. S. Lee, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 91314–91319.
- 19 C. Erbaya, X. Pub, W. Choib, M. J. Choia, Y. Ryuc, H. Houd, F. Line, P. d. Figueiredoefgh, C. Yubc and A. Hanai, J. Power Sources, 2015, 280, 347–354.
- 20 N. Thepsuparungsikul, N. Phonthamachai and H. Y. Ng, Water Sci. Technol., 2012, 65, 1208–1214.
- 21 Y. Qiao, C. M. Li, S. J. Bao and Q. L. Bao, J. Power Sources, 2007, 170, 79–84.
- 22 H. Wei, X. S. Wu, L. Zou, G. Y. Wen, D. Y. Liu and Y. Qiao, J. Power Sources, 2016, 315, 192–198.
- 23 J. E. Mink, J. P. Rojas, B. E. Logan and M. M. Hussain, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 791–795.
- 24 Y. J. Zou, C. L. Xiang, L. N. Yang, L. X. Sun, F. Xu and Z. Cao, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2008, 33, 4856–4862.
- 25 M. R. Lasheen, I. Y. El-Sherif, D. Y. Sabry, S. T. El-Wakeel and M. F. El-Shahat, Desalin. Water Treat., 2015, 13, 3521–3530.
- 26 T. Masciangioli and W. X. Zhang, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2003, 5, 102–108.
- 27 J. Lee, D. R. Hwang, J. Hong, D. Jung and S. E. Shim, J. Dispersion Sci. Technol., 2010, 31, 1230–1235.
- 28 S. W. Kim, T. Kim, Y. S. Kim, H. S. Choi, H. J. Lim, S. J. Yang and C. R. Park, Carbon, 2012, 50, 23–33.
- 29 C. Qu, F. Cheng, H. Su and Y. Zhao, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2016, 90, 2230–2236.
- 30 N. Wang, S. Pandit, L. Ye, M. Edwards, V. R. S. S. Mokkapati, M. Murugesan, V. Kuzmenko, C. Zhao, F. Westerlund, I. Mijakovic and J. Liu, Carbon, 2017, 111, 402–410.
- 31 N. Thepsuparungsikul, T. C. Ng, O. Lefebvre and H. Y. Ng, Water Sci. Technol., 2014, 69, 1900–1910.
- 32 X. Wu, X. Zhu, T. Song, L. Zhang, H. Jia and P. Wei, Bioresour. Technol., 2015, 180, 185–191.
- 33 T. S. Song, W. M. Tan, X. Y. Wu and C. C. Zhou, J Chem Technol Biotechnol, 2012, 87, 1436–1440.
- 34 B. Frolund, T. Griebe and P. H. Nielsen, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 1995, 43, 755–761.
- 35 S. E. P. Administration, The Water and Wastewater Monitoring Methods, China Environmental Science Press, Beijing, 4th edn, 2002.
- 36 E. O. Fedorovskaya, L. G. Bulusheva, A. G. Kurenya, I. P. Asanov and A. V. Okotrub, Russ. J. Electrochem., 2016, 52, 441–448.
- 37 G. G. Kumar, V. G. Sarathi and K. S. Nahm, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2013, 43, 461–475.
- 38 Y. Arima and H. Iwata, Biomaterials, 2007, 20, 3074–3082.
- 39 Y. Feng, Q. Yang, X. Wang and B. E. Logan, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 1841–1844.
- 40 A. P. Borole, D. Aaron, C. Y. Hamilton and C. Tsouris, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 2740–2745.
- 41 C. Erbay, G. Yang, P. de Figueiredo, R. Sadr, C. Yu and A. Han, J. Power Sources, 2015, 298, 177–183.
- 42 A. Mehdinia, E. Ziaei and A. Jabbari, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 130, 512–518.
- 43 A. K. Manohar, O. Bretschger, K. H. Nealson and F. Mansfeld, Electrochim. Acta, 2008, 53, 3508–3513.
- 44 A. Dumitru, A. Morozan, M. Ghiurea, K. Scott and S. Vulpe, Phys. Status Solidi C, 2008, 6, 1484–1487.
- 45 R. Hua, X. Wanga, S. Daia, D. Shaob, T. Hayatd and A. Alsaedie, Chem. Eng. J., 2015, 260, 469–477.
- 46 S. Vellaichamy, Sep. Sci. Technol., 2016, 52, 644–656.
- 47 E. M. Verdugo, Y. Xie, J. Baltrusaitis and D. M. Cwiertny, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 99997–100007.
- 48 T. Gao, J. Yu, Y. Zhou and X. Jiang, Water, Air, Soil Pollut., 2017, 228, 1–12.
- 49 M. A. S. D. Barros, I. F. Araujo-Junior, C. R. G. Tavares and ´ E. F. Sousa-Aguiar, Lat. Am. Appl. Res., 2003, 33, 339–344.
- 50 B. E. Logan, B. Hamelers, R. Rozendal, U. Schröder, J. Keller, S. Freguia, P. Aelterman, W. Verstraete and K. Rabaey, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2006, 17, 5181–5192. **SEC Advances**<br> **OPEN Access Article.** Published on 24 November 2017. Download on 24 November 2017. Downloaded on 24 November 2017. Downloaded on 24 November 2017. The Numerican Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Vol. 25: Si