Chemical Science

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1862

Neutral chiral cyclopentadienyl Ru(II)Cl catalysts enable enantioselective [2+2]-cycloadditions†

D. Kossler and N. Cramer*

Cyclopentadienyl ruthenium(II) complexes with a large number of available coordination sites are frequently used catalysts for a broad range of transformations. To be able to render these transformations enantioselective, we have designed a chiral neutral Cp^xRu(II)Cl complex basing on an atropchiral cyclopentadienyl (Cp^x) ligand which is accessed in a streamlined C-H functionalisation approach. The catalyst displays excellent levels of reactivity and enantioselectivity for enantioselective [2+2]-cycloadditions leading to strained chiral cyclobutenes, allowing for catalyst loadings as low as 1 mol%. A very strong counterion effect of a bound chloride anion transforms the corresponding unselective cationic complex into a highly enantioselective neutral version. Moreover, by adding norbornadiene at the end of the reaction the catalyst can be recovered and subsequently reused. **EDGE ARTICLE**

SURVIVAL CHIRA COOPERIATION RU(II)CI Catalysts

The BIG CASS AND CONSULARE STATE CONTINUO CONSULARE STATE OF A CONSULARE STATE OF A CONSULARE STATE OF A CONSULARE STATE OF A CONSULAR CONSULARE STATE OF A C

Received 18th November 2016 Accepted 23rd December 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6sc05092a

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

Homogenous ruthenium complexes constitute a cornerstone of modern transition-metal catalysis.¹ Besides metathesis catalysts² and complexes employed for hydrogenations,³ cyclopentadienyl (Cp) or pentamethylcyclopentadienyl (Cp*) ruthenium(π) complexes, in particular CpRu(CH₃CN)₃PF₆ and $Cp*Ru(COD)Cl(COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene)$, are highly valuable catalysts for atom-economic carbon–carbon and carbonheteroatom bond formations.⁴ One reason is their high number of available and freely accessible coordination sites. However, this has rendered the design of chiral versions challenging.⁵ Recently, we introduced chiral Cp ligands $(Cp^x)^6$ with the cyclopentadienyl group being the only point of coordination. These developments provided cationic $Cp^{x}Ru(II)$ complexes able to mimic Trost's CpRu(CH₃CN)₃PF₆ catalyst.⁷ Complementary to this positively charged $CpRu^+$ fragment, neutral $Ru(II)$ complexes catalyse different sets of transformations. In this domain, Cp*Ru(COD)Cl is the most versatile.⁸ Thus, a chiral version of this catalyst would be of great value. The bound halide ion is of critical importance and its role is going far beyond being only a bystander by occupying a coordination site, but altering the catalytic properties of the metal.⁹ Recently, the influence of the polarized [Ru-Cl] fragment has been subject to detailed investigations by Fürstner, showing the ability to preorganize certain substrates and exert crucial influence on the

reaction.¹⁰ Synthetically it is very attractive to form in situ neutral chiral $Ru(II)$ complexes by reaction of the corresponding cationic $Cp^xRu(n)$ complex 1 with a suitable coordinating anion (Fig. 1). One can use the same complex stock for a much broader set of transformations and conveniently interrogate possible counterion effects.⁹ This gives a complex with labile MeCN groups that easily dissociate. While isolated neutral $Ru(II)$ complexes with tightly bound dienes are beneficial for the complex stability and storage, they reduce reactivity due to the required diene dissociation for the formation of catalytically active species. Ideally, the same chiral ligand could be used, increasing the overall generality and value of the established backbone design.

Among the many reactions catalysed by gold-standard complex $Cp*Ru(COD)Cl$ – the formal [2+2]-cycloaddition between bicyclic alkenes and alkynes is an attractive benchmark transformation.¹¹ The reaction provides a unique access to strained cyclobutenes¹² which are very attractive intermediates

Fig. 1 Powerful cationic and neutral CpRu(II) catalysts and their chiral Cp^x surrogates for asymmetric catalysis.

Laboratory of Asymmetric Catalysis and Synthesis, Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL SB ISIC LCSA, BCH 4305, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. E-mail: nicolai.cramer@epfl.ch

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization of all new compounds. CCDC 1499170 and 1499171. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6sc05092a

for various valuable follow-up transformations.¹³ While its mechanism¹⁴ and the reactivity of different substrates¹⁵ have been investigated, no catalytic enantioselective Ru-catalysed [2+2] cycloaddition could be realised until now due to the lack of any suitable chiral CpRu (n) catalysts. Tam reported a diastereoselective version using chiral alkynes.¹⁶ Currently, an enantioselective transformation is only known for a $Rh(i)$ -catalyst system with a significant substrate dependence of the enantioselectivity,¹⁷ and an Ir(i)-catalysed reaction which is restricted to benzo oxabicyclic alkenes and terminal alkynes.¹⁸

Results and discussion

Initially, the envisioned transformation was investigated with norbornene 3a and alkyne 4a (Scheme 1). Exposure of the reactants to 5 mol% of the cationic $Cp^xRu(u)$ complex 1a resulted in a rapid and clean conversion, giving cyclobutene 5aa in 98% yield after 60 min reaction time at 0 $^{\circ}$ C (entry 1). Despite the excellent reactivity of the cationic complex, no stereoinduction was observed, giving racemic cyclobutene 5aa. Notably, under these conditions the standard achiral catalyst, Cp*Ru(COD)Cl, displayed almost no reactivity at all, requiring 20 h for the reaction to go to completion at ambient temperature. This highlights the superior reactivity of this chiral $Cp^{x}Ru(n)$ catalyst. A dramatic improvement was observed when chloride anions were added to form in situ a neutral $Ru(II)$ complex.¹⁹ The catalyst maintained its superb reactivity, now paired with excellent enantioselectivity, producing 5aa in 96.5 : 3.5 er.

Intrigued by this dramatic selectivity enhancement, we then evaluated a range of additional anions in this transformation (Table 1).⁹ Bromide can replace chloride, providing largely comparable results (entry 3). However, an iodide diminished the reactivity, either due to its larger size or by altering the electronic properties of the ruthenium center (entry 4). Fluoride completely abolished the reactivity of the catalyst, as did the pseudohalides cyanide and azide (entries 5–7). Moreover, nitrate as well as acetate anions were not suitable (entries 8–9),

 a 37.5 µmol 3a, 25 µmol 4a, 2.0 µmol additive, 1.25 µmol 1a, 0.3 M in THF, 0 \degree C, 60 min. $\frac{b}{b}$ Determined by ¹H-NMR with an internal standard. \degree Determined by HPLC with a chiral stationary phase. \degree With preformed complex.

likewise a neutral bound phosphine inhibited the reaction completely (entry 10). The reaction still proceeded with the $corresponding Cp^xRu(n)$ carbonyl complex, however much slower and less selective (entries 11–12). These results demonstrate the unique match of the chloride anion for functionality tuning of the ruthenium catalyst.

The influence of the *ortho*-substituents R of the ligand backbone were investigated next (Table 2). While their influence on the enantioselectivity was not very pronounced, the nature of the R groups had a large effect on the reaction rate. After 60 min reaction time, complexes 1b (3,5-xylyl) or 1d (4-methoxyphenyl),

Scheme 1 Very strong counteranion effect on the enantioselectivity of cyclobutene product 5aa.

 a^{4} 37.5 µmol 3a, 25 µmol 4a, 2.0 µmol Bu₄NCl, 1.25 µmol 1, 0.3 M in THF, 0 °C, 60 min. ^b Determined by ¹H-NMR with an internal standard.
^c Determined by HPLC with a chiral stationary phase.

Scheme 2 Streamlined synthesis of Ph–Cp^x ligand 9

Table 3 Scope of the asymmetric [2+2] cycloaddition with neutral $Ru(II)$ complex^{c}

	Chemical Science					View Article Online Edge Article
	revealed a significant reduction in reactivity and yield (entries 1-4) compared to the parent complex 1a. Smaller ligands like 1e or 1f (entries 5-6) display lower reactivity which leads to the assumption that the phenyl groups help to pre-organise the		$Ru(II)$ complex ^a	Table 3 Scope of the asymmetric [2+2] cycloaddition with neutral		
	substrates for enhanced reaction rate. The difference in elec- tronic properties of the Ru center for different Cp ^x ligands is very small. ²⁰ With Ph-Cp ^x being a uniquely efficient ligand in ruthenium		3x	5 mol% 1a, 8 mol% Bu ₄ NCI THF, 0 °C, 60 min 4у	5xy	
	catalysis, we aimed to shorten its synthesis (Scheme 2). Our previous discovery route was based on a rather lengthy diversity	Entry		5	% yield ^b	er^c
	oriented strategy, giving several streamlining opportunities. ^{6c,21}	$\mathbf{1}$	5aa	$R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2Me$	97	96.5:3.5
	Bis-carboxylic acid 6^{22} serves as directing group suited for	2	5ab	$R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2^{\text{i}} Pr$	86	98:2
	$Pd(n)$ -catalysed <i>ortho</i> -functionalisations, ²³ and coupling with	3	5ac	$R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2^t$ Bu	80	98:2
	iodobenzene would deliver 7. Biaryls are demanding substrates		5ad	$R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2Ph$ $R^1 = Ph, R^2 = CO_2H$	76 89	97:3 94:6
	for such transformations, especially when a clean double	5 6	5ae 5af	$R^1 = Ph, R^2 = C(O)Ph$	98	75.5:24.5
	functionalisation is required. Ligandless conditions ²⁴ were not	$\overline{7}$	5ag	$R^1 = 3-Pn-Ph, R^2 = CO_2Me$	85	97.5:2.5
	very efficient, giving mixtures of starting material, mono- and	8	5ah	$R^1 = 4-NO_2-Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2Me$	40	89.5:10.5
	diarylated products. Using Yu's mono-protected amino acid	9	5ai	$R^1 = 4$ -OMe-Ph, $R^2 = CO_2$ Me	91	98:2
		10	5aj	$R^1 = 3$ -Me-Ph, $R^2 = CO_2$ Me	93	96.5:3.5
	ligands (MPAA) ²⁵ improved the efficiency significantly. For instance, N-acetyl glycine yielded bis-arylated product 7 in 69% yield. Subsequent reduction and substitution of the resulting	11	5ak	CO ₂ Me	96	97.5:2.5
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence. Article. Published on 19 January 2017. Downloaded on 1/5/2025 1:54:32 AM	benzylic alcohol provided bis-bromide 8. Annulation of the Cp group and complexation yields air- and moisture-stable complex 10, from which 1a can be rapidly generated. ⁷ With this approach, the ligand is synthesised in only four steps, cutting the previous step-count by half.	12^d	5al	$\mathsf{CO_2Me}$ Bu	88	83:17
	The scope for the enantioselective Ru-catalysed cyclobutene formation was explored (Table 3). ⁱ Propyl or ^t butyl propiolates increased the enantioselectivity to 98 : 2 er (entries 2-3). Even	13^d	5am	CO_2 tBu	91	89:11
	a free carboxylic acid (4e) was converted smoothly (entry 5). A ketone substrate reacted with somewhat lower enantiose- lectivity (entry 6). R^1 can be a substituted arene or heteroarene	14^d	5an	CO ₂ Me	70	86:14
Open Access l ≆ V-NC	(entry 7-11). The absolute configuration of 5ag was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis after saponification to the corresponding free carboxylic acid. Besides arenes, vinyl or alkyl	15 ^d	5bc	CO_2tBu	81	97:3
(cc) is	groups at the R^1 position provide cyclobutenes (entries 12-14). Norbornadiene and benzo-fused derivatives 3 provided the cyclobutenes with similar enantioselectivity (entries 15-16).	16	5cc	CO ₂ tBu	91	$98:2$
	For 3e and 3f, the <i>exo/endo</i> orientation of the substituents R^3 was important for the reactivity (entries 18-19). Moreover, a heteroatom was tolerated in the bridge position, leading to	17	5dc	MeO .CO ₂ tBu MeO	96	95:5
	oxy-bridged compound 5gc (entry 20). However, less strained	18	5ec	CO ₂ fBu MeO- MeO н	97	99:1
	10 % Pd(OAc) ₂ CO ₂ H 1) BH ₃ , 90 % CO ₂ H20 % AcGlyOH CO ₂ H 2) PBr ₃ , 99 % CO ₂ H Phl, 90 °C 69%	19	${\bf 5fc}$	CO ₂ tBu MeO MeO	39	90.5:9.5
	8 $\overline{7}$ NaCp, NaH 70 %	20	5gc	CO ₂ fBu	87	80:20

^a 0.15 mmol 3x, 0.10 mmol 4y, 8.0 µmol (Bu₄N)Cl, 5.0 µmol 1a, 0.3 M in THF, 0 $^{\circ}$ C, 60 min. b Isolated yields. c Determined by HPLC with a chiral stationary phase. d At 23 °C.

alkenes like cyclopentene or dihydrofuran were unreactive under these conditions.

A larger scale allowed for a more concentrated reaction and the catalyst loading of 1a could be conveniently lowered to 1 mol% Ru (eqn (1)).

When using norbornadiene as substrate, ruthenium complex 11 (74% based on the employed amount of 1a) was isolated besides cyclobutene 5bc (Scheme 3a). The neutral complex 11 is remarkably stable and was isolated by silica gel chromatography and showed no sign of instability in air. The ruthenium center of 11 binds to one norbornadiene group and to a chloride atom. This well-defined complex allowed probing the chloride anion effect. Indeed, without any additional Bu4NCl, complex 11 provides 5bc in identical enantioselectivity (Scheme 3a). The dissociation of the tightly bound norbornadiene to free the required coordinating sites for catalysis slightly attenuates the reactivity (Scheme 3b).

The X-ray structure of 11 provides important details on the binding pocket of the chloride ligated Cp^xRu catalysts (Scheme 4). Chloride occupies a coordination site at the ruthenium center allowing only for one single alkyne molecule to be coordinated in a productive cycle. In contrast, with the third vacant site of cationic complexes, a second alkyne molecule could coordinate causing an unselective participation leading to a racemic product as previously observed (Table 1, entry 1). Chloride, as smallest ligand, is oriented towards the naphthyl backbone. This differentiates the remaining two coordination sites. From the front view of 11, the left one is wide open allowing for the bulky bicyclic alkene to bind with its exocyclic face, due to orbital distortion of the double bond. In contrast,

Scheme 3 Isolation of the neutral Cp^xRuCl complex 12 and its recycling in catalysis.

Scheme 4 Selectivity model for the enantioselective cyclobutene formation.

the right one is clearly restricted by the guiding phenyl group of the ligand. The alkyne with its rod-like geometry fits well. Within these boundaries, the enantioselection can be rationalised. Computational studies by Goddard with Cp*RuCl indicated a significantly lower transition state energy for the ruthenacyclopentene formation in which the ester points away from the chloride atom.¹⁴ Subsequent reductive elimination expels cyclobutene 5 and regenerates the initial complex. The complete picture fits well with the observations of higher selectivities of alkynes with bulkier esters, which point into the open space away from the complex.

Conclusions

In summary, we report a chiral neutral $Cp^xRu(n)Cl$ complex providing superior catalytic reactivity than the gold-standard Cp*Ru(COD)Cl complex. We have shown the excellent reactivity and selectivity of the catalyst for enantioselective [2+2]-cycloadditions leading to strained chiral cyclobutenes at catalyst loadings as low as 1 mol%. The bound chloride anion transforms the completely unselective cationic catalyst into the highly enantioselective neutral version. Moreover by adding norbornadiene at the end of the reaction, the catalyst can be recovered and subsequently reused. Finally, a streamlined C–H functionalisation approach allows an efficient preparation of the chiral cyclopentadienyl ligand.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (No. 157741). We thank Dr R. Scopelliti and Dr F. F. Tirani for X-ray analysis of $5ag-CO₂H$ and 11.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) S.-I. Murahashi, Ruthenium in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (b) C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Ruthenium in Catalysis, Springer, Berlin, 2014.
- 2 G. C. Vougioukalakis and R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1746–1787.
- 3 (a) R. Noyori and T. Ohkuma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 40–73; for C–C bond formation via transfer hydrogenation see: (b) F. Perez, S. Oda, L. M. Geary and M. J. Krische, Top. Curr. Chem., 2016, 374, 365–387.
- 4 (a) B. M. Trost, M. U. Frederiksen and M. T. Rudd, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6630–6666; (b) B. M. Trost, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 695–705; (c) B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste and A. B. Pinkerton, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 2067–2096.
- 5 (a) B. M. Trost and M. C. Ryan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2981–2984; (b) B. M. Trost, M. C. Ryan and D. Maurer, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 3166-3169; (c) B. M. Trost, M. C. Ryan, M. Rao and T. Z. Markovic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 17422–17425; (d) B. M. Trost, M. Rao and A. P. Dieskau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18697–18704; (e) S. Tanaka, T. Seki and M. Kitamura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 8948–8951; (f) K. Fukamizu, Y. Miyake and Y. Nishibayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10498–10499; (g) N. Dodo, Y. Matsushima, M. Uno, K. Onitsuka and S. Takahashi, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 35–41. Openical Science

Voies **and references**

10 obt. Manuary 2017. Downloaded on the state of the state of
	- 6 (a) C. G. Newton, D. Kossler and N. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3935–3941; (b) B. Ye and N. Cramer, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1308–1318; (c) B. Ye and N. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 636–639; (d) B. Ye and N. Cramer, Science, 2012, 338, 504–506.
	- 7 D. Kossler and N. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12478–12481.
	- 8 S. Dérien and P. H. Dixneuf, J. Organomet. Chem., 2004, 689, 1382–1392.
	- 9 K. Fagnou and M. Lautens, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 26–47.
	- 10 S. M. Rummelt, K. Radkowski, D.-A. Rosca and A. Fürstner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 5506–5519.
	- 11 (a) T.-a. Mitsudo, H. Naruse, T. Kondo, Y. Ozaki and Y. Watanabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1994, 33, 580–581; (b) M. Lautens, W. Klute and W. Tam, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 49–92; for a cobalt catalysed $[2+2]$ reaction see: (c) T. G. Baik, A. L. Luis, L.-C. Wang and M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6716–6717; (d) L.-C. Wang, H.-Y. Jang, Y. Roh, V. Lynch, A. J. Schultz, X. Wang and M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 9448–9453.
- 12 (a) M. Eisold, A. N. Baumann, G. M. Kiefl, S. T. Emmerling and D. Didier, Chem.–Eur. J., 2016, DOI: 10.1002/ chem.201604585; (b) A. Misale, S. Niyomchon and N. Maulide, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 2444–2458; (c) Y. Xu, M. L. Conner and M. K. Brown, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 11918–11928.
- 13 (a) T. Seiser, T. Saget, D. N. Tran and N. Cramer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7740–7752; (b) E. Lee-Ruff and G. Mladenova, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1449–1484; (c) J. C. Namyslo and D. E. Kaufmann, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1485–1538.
- 14 P. Liu, W. Tam and J. D. Goddard, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 7659–7666.
- 15 (a) W. Tam and N. Cockburn, Synlett, 2010, 1170–1189; (b) R. R. Burton and W. Tam, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 7333– 7336; (c) R. W. Jordan, K. Villeneuve and W. Tam, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 5830–5833; (d) R. W. Jordan and W. Tam, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 6051–6054; (e) R. W. Jordan and W. Tam, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2367–2370.
- 16 K. Villeneuve and W. Tam, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 610–613.
- 17 T. Shibata, K. Takami and A. Kawachi, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 1343–1345.
- 18 B.-M. Fan, X.-J. Li, F.-Z. Peng, H.-B. Zhang, A. S. C. Chan and Z.-H. Shao, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 304–306.
- 19 (a) J. A. Varela, S. G. Rubín, C. González-Rodríguez, L. Castedo and C. Saá, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9262-9263; for recent examples of counterion effects in Rh catalysis see: (b) X. Wu, Z. Chen, Y.-B. Bai and V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12013–12016; (c) S. K. Murphy, J.-W. Park, F. A. Cruz and V. M. Dong, Science, 2015, 347, 56–60.
- 20 $[CP^{x}(Ph)Ru(CO)(MeCN)_2]PF_6$: $\tilde{v}(CO) = 1992 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $[CP^{x}(OMe)]$ $Ru(CO)(MeCN)_2]PF_6$: $\tilde{v}(CO) = 1989$ cm⁻¹.
- 21 T. Ooi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 5139–5151.
- 22 (a) H. Egami, K. Sato, J. Asada, Y. Kawato and Y. Hamashima, Tetrahedron, 2015, 71, 6384–6388; (b) T. Hoshi, E. Nozawa, M. Katano, T. Suzuki and H. Hagiwara, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 3485–3487.
- 23 K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa and J.-Q. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 788–802.
- 24 (a) D.-H. Wang, T.-S. Mei and J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 17676–17677; (b) H. A. Chiong, Q.-N. Pham and O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 9879–9884.
- 25 (a) K. M. Engle and J.-Q. Yu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 8927– 8955; (b) D.-H. Wang, K. M. Engle, B.-F. Shi and J.-Q. Yu, Science, 2010, 327, 315–319.