# Chemical Science

## EDGE ARTICLE



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 6290

Received 18th June 2017 Accepted 2nd July 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7sc02708g

rsc.li/chemical-science

#### Introduction

Complexes of the general formula  $[L_n M \equiv E-R]$  (M = d-block metal; E = Si-Pb; R = singly bonded group (*e.g.* alkyl, aryl); L<sub>n</sub> = ligand sphere) featuring a triple bond between a d-block metal and the tetrels Si/Ge/Sn/Pb are an intriguing class of

### Triple bonds of niobium with silicon, germaniun and tin: the tetrylidyne complexes $[(\kappa^{3}-tmps)(CO)_{2}Nb\equiv E-R]$ (E = Si, Ge, Sn; tmps = MeSi(CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>; R = aryl)†

Alexander C. Filippou, 💿 \* David Hoffmann 💿 and Gregor Schnakenburg 💿

A systematic, efficient approach to first complexes containing a triple bond between niobium and the elements silicon, germanium or tin is reported. The approach involves a metathetical exchange of the niobium-centered nucleophile (NMe<sub>4</sub>)[Nb(CO)<sub>4</sub>( $\kappa^2$ -tmps)] (1) (tmps = MeSi(CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) with a suitable organotetrel(||)halide. Compound 1 was obtained from (NMe<sub>4</sub>)[Nb(CO)<sub>6</sub>] and the triphosphane tmps by photodecarbonylation. Reaction of 1 with the disilene E-Tbb(Br)Si=Si(Br)Tbb in the presence of 4dimethylaminopyridine afforded selectively the red-brown silylidyne complex [( $\kappa^3$ -tmps)(CO)<sub>2</sub>Nb $\equiv$ Si-Tbb] (2-Si, Tbb = 4-tert-butyl-2,6-bis(bis(trimethylsilyl)methyl)phenyl). Similarly, treatment of 1 with  $E(Ar^{Mes})Cl$  (E = Ge, Sn;  $Ar^{Mes} = 2,6$ -mesitylphenyl) afforded after elimination of (NMe<sub>4</sub>)Cl and two CO ligands the deep magenta colored germylidyne complex [( $\kappa^3$ -tmps)(CO)<sub>2</sub>Nb $\equiv$ Ge–Ar<sup>Mes</sup>] (**3-Ge**), and the deep violet, light-sensitive stannylidyne complex  $[(\kappa^{3}-tmps)(CO)_{2}Nb\equiv Sn-Ar^{Mes}]$  (3-Sn), respectively. Formation of **3-Sn** proceeds via the niobiastannylene  $[(\kappa^3-\text{tmps})(\text{CO})_3\text{Nb}-\text{SnAr}^{\text{Mes}}]$  (**4-Sn**), which was detected by IR and NMR spectroscopy. The niobium tetrylidyne complexes 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn were fully characterized and their solid-state structures determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. All complexes feature an almost linear tetrel coordination and the shortest Nb–E bond lengths (d(Nb-Si)) = 232.7(2) pm; d(Nb-Ge) = 235.79(4) pm; d(Nb-Sn) = 253.3(1) pm) reported to date. Reaction of 3-Ge with a large excess of H<sub>2</sub>O afforded upon cleavage of the Nb-Ge triple bond the hydridogermanediol Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)H(OH)<sub>2</sub>. Photodecarbonylation of [CpNb(CO)<sub>4</sub>] (Cp =  $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) in the presence of Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl afforded the red-orange chlorogermylidene complex  $[Cp(CO)_3Nb=Ge(Ar^{Mes})Cl]$  (5-Ge). The molecular structure of 5-Ge features an upright conformation of the germylidene ligand, a trigonal-planar coordinated Ge atom, and a Nb-Ge double bond length of 251.78(6) pm, which lies in-between the Nb-Ge triple bond length of 3-Ge (235.79(4) pm) and a Nb-Ge single bond length (267.3 pm). Cyclic voltammetric studies of 2-Si, 3-Ge, and 3-Sn reveal several electron-transfer steps. One-electron oxidation and reduction of the germylidyne complex of 3-Ge in THF are electrochemically reversible suggesting that both the radical cation and radical anion of 3-Ge are accessible species in solution.

> compounds with an auspicious synthetic potential originating from the highly reactive, polar M $\equiv$ E bond.<sup>1-4</sup> Isolation of these compounds is very challenging and requires specific stereoelectronic properties of the metal fragment L<sub>n</sub>M as well as a steric protection of the electrophilic tetrel center by a tailormade, bulky substituent R to circumvent a head-to-tail cyclodimerisation or unintentional intra- or intermolecular  $\sigma$ -bond activations destroying the M $\equiv$ E-R functionality. Whereas earlier work concentrated exclusively on group 6 metals, recent studies have shown that also group 7,<sup>21,34,4d</sup> group 8 (ref. 1*c* and 5) and even group 10 metals<sup>6</sup> can be incorporated into triple bonding with the tetrels Si–Pb. Extension of this chemistry to the group 5 elements V-Ta seemed attractive to investigate whether the lower electronegativity and larger metallic radii of these elements compared to Cr–W would have an effect on the

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Gerhard-Domagk-Straße 1, 53121 Bonn, Germany. E-mail: filippou@uni-bonn.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Syntheses and analytical data of **1**, **2-Si**, **3-Ge**, **3-Sn** and **5-Ge**, illustrations of the IR and heteronuclear magnetic resonance spectra of **1**, **2-Si**, **3-Ge 3-Sn** and **5-Ge**, details of the cyclic voltammetric studies of **2-Si**, **3-Ge** and **3-Sn**, and crystal structure determination of **2-Si**, **3-Ge** (THF), **3-Sn** (toluene) and **5-Ge**. CCDC 1553387–1553389 and 1555671. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7sc02708g

 $M \equiv E$  functionality. Group 5 metal complexes featuring a triple bond to the heavier tetrels (E = Si-Pb) are presently not known, and even compounds with a  $M \equiv E$  double bond are very scarce and poorly characterized illustrating the challenge to make such compounds.<sup>7</sup> We decided to address this issue, and present herein a systematic, efficient approach to the first complexes containing Nb = E (E = Si-Sn) triple bonds.

#### **Results and discussion**

**Edge Article** 

Two methods have been employed so far for the formation of transition metal-tetrel (Si–Pb) triple bonds. The first method, abbreviated as the "salt elimination method", involves a substitution reaction of a suitable anionic 18 VE metal complex with an organotetrel( $\pi$ ) halide, as exemplified by the synthesis of Cp-substituted group 6 metal tetrylidyne complexes (Scheme 1).<sup>2a,2b,2i,2j,2m</sup>

The second method, commonly termed "N<sub>2</sub>/PMe<sub>3</sub> elimination method", takes advantage of the exchange of labile ligands (mostly N<sub>2</sub> or PMe<sub>3</sub>) in neutral 18 VE metal complexes by suitable organotetrel( $\pi$ ) halides. This approach may afford directly neutral ylidyne complexes, as demonstrated by the syntheses of phosphane-substituted group 6 and 7 metal tetrylidyne complexes (Scheme 2).<sup>2f,2g,2l,3a,4a,4b</sup>

Alternatively, haloylidene complexes are initially obtained by this method, which are subsequently converted to cationic ylidyne complexes by halide abstraction. Examples demonstrating this reaction path include the preparation of group 8 and 10 ylidyne complexes (Scheme 3).<sup>5,6</sup>



Scheme 1 Preparation of half-sandwich group 6 metal germylidyne complexes by the salt elimination method.



Scheme 2 Preparation of neutral group 6 and 7 metal tetrylidyne complexes by the  $N_2$ /PMe<sub>3</sub> elimination method.



P = dmpe or depe; E = Ge, Sn; R = *m*-terphenyl



 $\mathsf{M}=\mathsf{Ni}, \ \mathsf{Pt}; \quad \mathsf{Tbb}=\mathsf{C}_{6}\mathsf{H}_{2}\text{-}2,6\text{-}(\mathsf{CH}(\mathsf{SiMe}_{3})_{2})_{2}\text{-}4\text{-}t\mathsf{Bu}; \ \mathsf{Ar}^{\mathsf{F}}=\mathsf{C}_{6}\mathsf{H}_{3}\text{-}3,5\text{-}(\mathsf{CF}_{3})_{2}$ 

We decided to apply the first method, given the availability of anionic niobium carbonyl complexes.8 At first, the homoleptic carbonyl niobate  $[Nb(CO)_6]^-$  was chosen. For this purpose the canary yellow salts  $(NR_4)[Nb(CO)_6]$  (R = Me, Et) were prepared, following the method developed by J. E. Ellis et al.9 However, these compounds proved to be unreactive towards the *m*-terphenyltetrel( $\pi$ )halides E(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl (E = Ge, Sn; Ar<sup>Mes</sup> = 2,6mesitylphenyl; mesityl (Mes) = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl).<sup>10</sup> For example, IR monitoring of the reaction of (NEt<sub>4</sub>)[Nb(CO)<sub>6</sub>] with Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl in refluxing toluene did not provide any evidence for a conversion of the niobate even after prolonged heating, probably due to the poor nucleophilicity of  $[Nb(CO)_6]^-$ . Therefore, as next we turned our attention to niobates containing ligands with a higher  $\sigma$ -donor/ $\pi$ -acceptor ratio than CO, such as trialkyl- or triarylphosphanes. Various carbonyl(phosphane) niobates of the general formula  $[Nb(CO)_4L_2]^-$  (L<sub>2</sub> = bidentate di- or oligo-arylphosphane ligand) have been accessed from [Nb(CO)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> upon photolytic CO substitution.<sup>11</sup> In order to increase the electron density at the metal centre, we decided to use the highly basic, albeit, very oxygen-sensitive, tripodal alkylphosphane MeSi(CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (tmps).<sup>12</sup>

Photolysis of  $(NMe_4)[Nb(CO)_6]$  was carried out in the presence of one equivalent of tmps in THF at room temperature. A high-power blue light LED ( $\lambda = 465$  nm) was used instead of a high-pressure mercury UV-lamp. The use of a nearly monochromatic source with an exciting wavelength close to the longest-wavelength absorption maximum of  $[Nb(CO)_6]^- (\lambda_{max} =$ 440 nm in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)<sup>13</sup> was conceived to be advantageous preventing the formation of insoluble brown decomposition products formed during the photolysis using a high-pressure mercury-lamp.<sup>11a</sup>

In fact, IR-monitoring of the reaction revealed a slow, but very selective conversion into the tetracarbonyl niobate  $[Nb(CO)_4(\kappa^2-tmps)]^-$  proceeding via the pentacarbonyl intermediate  $[Nb(CO)_5(\kappa^1-tmps)]^-$  ( $\nu(CO)$  in THF: 1966 (m), 1821 (vs) cm<sup>-1</sup>). After work-up the salt (NMe<sub>4</sub>)[Nb(CO)<sub>4</sub>( $\kappa^2$ -tmps)] (1) was isolated in nearly quantitative yield (97%) as an orange, analytically pure, very air-sensitive powder, which decolorizes immediately upon exposure to air. The salt decomposes upon heating at 142 °C to a dark brown mass, and is well soluble in acetonitrile and tetrahydrofurane (THF), but only moderately soluble in benzene, toluene, and diethyl ether. Attempts to grow suitable single crystals of 1 for an X-ray diffraction study failed, however unambiguous proof for the composition and structure of 1 was provided by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy and <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C $\{^{1}H\}$ , <sup>31</sup>P $\{^{1}H\}$  and <sup>29</sup>Si $\{^{1}H\}$  NMR spectroscopy. The IR spectrum of **1** in THF displays four  $\nu$ (CO) absorption bands at 1900, 1787, 1764 and 1732 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 1a), the band pattern being typical for octahedral cis-disubstituted metal tetracarbonyl complexes with a local  $C_{2v}$  symmetry of the M(CO)<sub>4</sub> fragment.<sup>14</sup> All  $\nu$ (CO) bands of **1** are shifted to lower frequencies than those of  $[Nb(CO)_4(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)]^-$  ( $\nu(CO)$  in THF = 1908, 1806, 1782 and 1746 cm<sup>-1</sup>) or related disubstituted arylphosphane-carbonyl niobates.11b This shift to lower



Fig. 1 (a) FT-IR spectrum of 1 in THF in the range of 2000–1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. (b) <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 1 in benzene- $d_6$ ; an enlarged excerpt with the broad signal at  $\delta = -11.6$  ppm is shown in the inset. (c) <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 1 in THF- $d_8$ ; an enlarged excerpt with the signal at  $\delta = -0.44$  ppm is depicted in the inset.

frequencies evinces the stronger +I effect of the P-bonded alkyl substituents in 1, which enhances the electron density at the metal center and leads to a stronger Nb( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  CO( $\pi^*$ ) backbonding and softening of the CO bonds in 1. The NMR spectra of 1 corroborate the presence of an overall  $C_s$  symmetric complex, in which one of the arms of the tripodal ligand tmps is pendant and the other two arms are bonded to the niobium center. For example, the  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of 1 displays a sharp singlet for the <sup>31</sup>P nucleus of the pendant CH<sub>2</sub>PMe<sub>2</sub> arm, which appears at almost the same position ( $\delta(P_A) = -55.8$  ppm in benzene- $d_6$ ) as that of the non-coordinated ("free") tmps ( $\delta(P)$ = -55.1 ppm in benzene- $d_6$ ), and a very broad signal for the two symmetry-equivalent Nb-bonded <sup>31</sup>P nuclei at considerably lower field ( $\delta(P_B) = -11.6$  ppm in benzene- $d_6$ ) (Fig. 1b). The broadness of the second signal ( $\Delta v_{1/2}$  (full width at half maximum) = 696 Hz is caused by the quadrupole moment of the <sup>93</sup>Nb nucleus ( $Q = -0.32 \times 10^{-28} \text{ m}^2$ ; I = 9/2, 100% natural abundance) and its effect on the relaxation time.<sup>15</sup> Further structural information was provided by the <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 1, which shows a sharp signal for the bridgehead Si atom, that is split to a doublet of triplets (Fig. 1c) due to coupling with the two chemically different types of <sup>31</sup>P nuclei in the integral ratio 1 : 2  $({}^{2}J(Si,P_{A}) = 14.7 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J(Si,P_{B}) = 8.2 \text{ Hz})$ . A positional exchange of the pendant and the Nb-bonded arms of the tmps ligand in 1 was not observed in solution at 298 K.

Complex 1 was found to be a very suitable nucleophile for the formation of Nb $\equiv$ E triple bonds (E = Si-Sn). Thus addition of a freshly prepared, orange-colored solution of a mixture of the 1,2-dibromodisilene E-Tbb(Br)Si=Si(Br)Tbb16 and 4-dimethylamino pyridine (4-DMAP) (molar ratio 1:4), to a solution of one equiv. of 1 in toluene at ambient temperature was accompanied by an immediate color change to red-brown, and precipitation of a white solid ((NMe<sub>4</sub>)Br). IR monitoring revealed a complete and selective conversion to the silylidyne complex  $[(\kappa^3-tmps)(CO)_2Nb\equiv Si-Tbb]$  (2-Si, Scheme 4). After work-up, complex 2-Si was isolated in 59% yield as a red-brown, extremely air-sensitive, microcrystalline solid, which



Tbb =  $C_6H_2$ -2,6-(CH(SiMe\_3)\_2)\_2-4-<sup>t</sup>Bu; Ar<sup>Mes</sup> =  $C_6H_3$ -2,6-Mes<sub>2</sub>

Scheme 4 Synthesis of the niobium silylidyne complex 2-Si and the germylidyne complex 3-Ge.

decolorizes immediately upon exposure to air. Compound **2-Si** is remarkably thermostable, and decomposes to a dark brown mass at 258 °C. It is moderately soluble in *n*-pentane, but readily soluble in benzene, toluene and THF.

Similarly, treatment of complex **1** with the *m*-terphenylgermanium(II) chloride Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl in toluene at -40 °C followed by warming to room temperature afforded rapidly and selectively the germylidyne complex  $[(\kappa^3-\text{tmps})(\text{CO})_2\text{Nb}\equiv\text{Ge-}$ Ar<sup>Mes</sup>] (**3-Ge**) (Scheme 4). Compound **3-Ge** was isolated as a deep-magenta, very air-sensitive, thermally stable powder (dec. at 284 °C), that is moderately soluble in benzene and toluene, and well soluble in THF. No evidence for the formation of the putative metallogermylene intermediate  $[(\kappa^3$ tmps)(CO)\_3Nb-GeAr<sup>Mes</sup>] could be obtained during IR monitoring of the reaction of **1** with Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl in toluene, the reaction starting at -35 °C and proceeding rapidly with CO evolution below 0 °C.

In comparison, reaction of the analogous *m*-terphenyltin(II) chloride Sn(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl with 1 in toluene afforded after stirring at ambient temperature the brick-red metallostannylene  $[(\kappa^3$ tmps)(CO)<sub>3</sub>Nb–SnAr<sup>Mes</sup>] (4-Sn) with a small amount of the stannylidyne complex  $[(\kappa^3-\text{tmps})(\text{CO})_2\text{Nb}\equiv\text{SnAr}^{\text{Mes}}]$  (3-Sn) (Scheme 5). Prolonged heating at 80 °C and periodic evacuation of the reaction tube was necessary to remove the released CO and to convert 4-Sn almost quantitatively into the stannylidyne complex 3-Sn, which after work-up was isolated as a dark violet, very air-sensitive powder in 70% yield. Complex 3-Sn is as 3-Ge thermally stable and decomposes upon heating at 266 °C. However, unlike 3-Ge, complex 3-Sn was found to be extremely light sensitive. Thus exposure of the deep-violet solutions of 3-Sn to fluorescent, ambient light or sun light lead to deposition of a tin mirror and formation of tmps and 1,3-dimesitylbenzene as evidenced by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Therefore, all operations during the synthesis, isolation and characterization of 3-Sn had to be carried out under exclusion of light.

Decarbonylation of **4-Sn** to afford **3-Sn** is a remarkable, new type of reaction in the chemistry of metallostannylenes. In fact previous attempts to transform the metallostannylenes  $[Cp(CO)_3M-SnR]$  (M = Cr, Mo, W; R = Ar^{Mes}, Ar^{Trip}; Ar^{Trip} = C\_6H\_3-2,6-Trip\_2, Trip = C\_6H\_2-2,4,6-iPr\_3),^{17} [Cp(CO)\_2Fe-SnR] (R = Ar^{Dipp}, Ar^{Trip}; Ar^{Dipp} = C\_6H\_3-2,6-Dipp\_2, Dipp = C\_6H\_3-2,6-iPr\_2)^{18} or  $[Cp^*(CO)_3W-Sn(IDipp)]^+$  (Idipp =  $C[N(Dipp)CH]_2$ , Dipp =  $C_6H_3-2,6-iPr_2)^{2n}$  into terminal stannylidyne complexes failed. We assume, that the increased steric pressure imposed by the



Scheme 5 Synthesis of the niobium stannylidyne complex 3-Sn via the niobiastannylene 4-Sn.

tripodal ligand at the metal center weakens the Nb–CO bonds in the seven-coordinate complex **4-Sn** and decreases thereby the barrier for a CO dissociation. In addition, formation of a strong Nb $\equiv$ Sn triple bond resulting from the higher energy and larger radial extension of the d orbitals, which are engaged in the Nb(d $\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  SnR( $\pi$ \*) back bonding, may be also a driving force for the reaction.

The tetrylidyne complexes 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn were characterized by elemental analyses, IR spectroscopy and <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H},  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}, {}^{29}Si{}^{1}H{}$  and  ${}^{119}Sn{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectroscopy. In addition their molecular structures were determined by single-crystal Xray crystallography (Fig. 2 and 3). All complexes are distorted octahedral and feature a tridentate ( $\kappa^3$ -bonded) tmps ligand, which spans three facial coordination sites with the P-Nb-P bite angles varying in a small range (85.3-87.9°). A view along the Si…Nb vector reveals that the CH2 groups connecting the bridgehead Si atom with the P donors are twisted out creating a local  $C_3$  symmetric, right or left-handed conformation, which reduces the bite of the chelating triphosphane ligand and optimizes the bonding with the niobium center (Fig. 3b). In solution, however, a rapid interchange of the two conformational enantiomers occurs according to NMR spectroscopy leading to averaged C<sub>s</sub> symmetric structures.

The tetrylidyne complexes **2-Si**, **3-Ge** and **3-Sn** feature the shortest Nb–Si, Nb–Ge and Nb–Sn bonds reported to date. In practice, the Nb–Si bond of **2-Si** (232.7(2) pm) is *ca.* 28 pm shorter than the Nb–Si single bonds of silyl complexes (d(Nb–Si)<sub>mean</sub> of 28 structurally characterized complexes = 261.3 pm),<sup>19</sup> and the Nb–Ge bond of **3-Ge** (235.79(4) pm) *ca.* 31 pm shorter than a Nb–Ge single bond (d(Nb–Ge)<sub>mean</sub> = 267.3 pm).<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the Nb–Sn bond of **3-Sn** (253.3(1) pm) is *ca.* 30 pm shorter than a Nb–Sn single bond (d(Nb–Sn)<sub>mean</sub> 282.9 pm).<sup>21</sup>



Fig. 2 DIAMOND plot of the molecular structure of the silylidyne complex 2-Si in the solid state. Thermal ellipsoids were set at 30% electronic probability at 100 K. Hydrogen atoms and the methyl groups of the C<sup>2.6</sup>–CH(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> substituents were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: Nb–Si1 232.7(2), Nb–P1 259.9(2), Nb–P2 258.4(2), Nb–P3 259.3(2), Nb–C35 206.8(9), Nb–C36 206.3(7), Si1–C1 189.0(7), C35–O1 117.6(8), C36–O2 117.9(7); Nb–Si1–C1 159.2(2), P1–Nb–P2 85.61(7), P1–Nb–P3 85.31(6), P2–Nb–P3 87.92(6), C35–Nb–C36 93.3(3).



Fig. 3 (a) DIAMOND plot of the molecular structure of the stannylidyne complex **3-Sn** (toluene) in the solid state. Thermal ellipsoids were set at 30% electronic probability at 100 K. Hydrogen atoms and methyl groups of the Ar<sup>Mes</sup> substituent were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] of **3-Sn** (toluene) (bond lengths and angles for **3-Ge** (THF) are given in brackets): Nb–Sn 253.3(1) [235.79(4)], Nb–P1 260.6(4) [259.5(1)], Nb–P2 255.1(3) [258.0(1)], Nb–P3 258.6(4) [261.2(1)], Nb–C35 205.7(14) [206.0(5)], Nb–C36 207.1(16) [206.5(5)], Sn–C1 214.2(1) [196.3(4)], C35–O1 116.8(18) [116.8(6)], C36–O2 115.4(18) [115.5(6)]; Nb–Sn–C1 160.9(3) [164.0(1)], P1–Nb–P2 87.7(1) [86.30(4)], P1–Nb–P3 85.9(1) [86.08(4)], P2–Nb–P3 87.4(1) [87.82(4)], C35–Nb–C36 90.9(5) [92.5(2)]. (b) Top view of **3-Sn** along the Si···Nb vector illustrating the  $C_3$ -symmetric twist of the tmps ligand.

Notably, a comparison of the Nb-E triple bond lengths of 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn with those of related molybdenum tetrylidyne complexes (e.g.  $d(Mo \equiv Si)$  in  $[Cp(CO)_2Mo \equiv Si - Ar^{Trip}] =$ 222.41(7) pm;<sup>1a</sup>  $d(Mo \equiv Ge)$  in  $[Cp(CO)_2Mo \equiv Ge-R]$  (R =  $C(SiMe_3)_3$ ,  $Ar^{Mes}$ ,  $Ar^{Trip}$ ) = 227-228 pm;<sup>2a,2b,2i,2m</sup> d(Mo $\equiv$ Sn) in  $trans{[X(PMe_4)Mo \equiv Sn-Ar^{Mes}]} (X = Cl, Br, I) = 248-249 \text{ pm})^{22}$ reveals that the differences in the  $M \equiv E$  triple bond lengths (E =Si: 10 pm; E = Ge: 8-9 pm; E = Sn: 5-6 pm) compare reasonably well with the difference (7 pm) of the metallic radii of the two elements ( $r_{\rm Nb} = 147$  pm,  $r_{\rm Mo} = 140$  pm; radii for a coordination number of 12).23 A series of additive triple bond radii for most elements of the periodic table have been predicted by P. Pyykkö et al.24 The experimental Nb-E triple bond lengths 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn, are however, longer than the sum of the theoretically predicted triple bond radii  $(d(Nb \equiv E)_{calc} = Si: 218 \text{ pm}, \text{ Ge:}$ 230 pm, Sn: 248 pm).

In all complexes the tetrylidyne ligand is slightly bent at the tetrel center as evidenced by the bonding angle Nb-E-C1 (2-Si: 159.2(2)°, **3-Ge**: 164.0(1)°, **3-Sn**: 160.9(3)°). Bending occurs in all cases towards the CO ligands. It is presently unclear, whether this phenomenon, which is also observed in a series of group 6 metal dicarbonyl ylidyne complexes, is of steric or electronic origin or both. No clear evidence for steric congestion is at least provided by the molecular structures of 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn. For example, the closest van der Waals contacts were found in 2-Si between the methyl groups of the tmps ligand and the SiMe<sub>3</sub> methyl groups of the Tbb substituent ( $d(H \cdots H) = 244$  pm). These contacts are longer than twice the van der Waals radius of hydrogen ( $r_{vdW}(H) = 110 \text{ pm}$ ).<sup>25</sup> It should be also taken into consideration, that deviation of the M=E-R atom sequence from linearity does not require a lot of energy, indicating that subtle electronic effects may cause such a bending.26

Further structural information was obtained from the IR and NMR spectra of the tetrylidyne complexes. The IR spectra of

**2-Si**, **3-Ge** and **3-Sn** display two  $\nu$ (CO) bands of almost equal intensity, which are typical for *cis*-dicarbonyl complexes and can be assigned to the in-phase (A' symmetric) and out-of-phase (A'' symmetric) CO stretching modes assuming local  $C_s$  symmetry of the M(CO)<sub>2</sub> fragment (Fig. 4a). The  $\nu$ (CO) bands of **3-Sn** appear at lower frequencies (1851 and 1791 cm<sup>-1</sup> in toluene) than those of **3-Ge** (1868 and 1805 cm<sup>-1</sup> in toluene), which suggests that the stannylidyne ligand SnAr<sup>Mes</sup> has a higher  $\sigma$ -donor/ $\pi$ -acceptor ratio than the germylidyne ligand GeAr<sup>Mes</sup>. Notably, the  $\nu$ (CO) bands of **2-Si** appear also at lower wavenumbers (1855 and 1790 cm<sup>-1</sup> in toluene) than those of **3-Ge**. This shift can be



Fig. 4 (a) IR  $\nu$ (CO) absorption bands of the germylidyne complex **3-Ge** in toluene. (b) <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signal of the germylidyne complex **3-Ge** in THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> at 283 K. (c) <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signals of the germylidyne complex **3-Ge** in THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> at 193 K.

rationalized with the stronger +I effect of the Tbb substituent, leading to a higher  $\sigma$ -donor/ $\pi$ -acceptor ratio of the silvlidyne ligand SiTbb than that of the germylidyne ligand GeAr<sup>Mes</sup>. The low-frequency position of the  $\nu$ (CO) bands of 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn suggests the presence of an electron-rich Nb center that is engaged in strong Nb( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  CO( $\pi^*$ ) backbonding. Additional evidence for a strong Nb( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  CO( $\pi^*$ ) backbonding is provided by the <sup>13</sup>C<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, which all display a broad CO signal at even lower field ( $\delta_{CO} = 238.7 \text{ ppm}$  (2-Si), 239.2 ppm (3-Ge), 238.9 ppm (3-Sn)) than that of 1 ( $\delta_{CO} = 226.5$  ppm).<sup>27</sup> The number and relative intensity of the NMR signals indicate an averaged C<sub>s</sub> symmetry of the tetrylidyne complexes in solution and a rapid rotation of the tetrel-bonded aryl group about the E-Carvl bond. The signals of all nuclei directly attached to the quadrupolar <sup>93</sup>Nb nucleus are significantly broadened due to fast relaxation (vide supra). For example, the <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 2-Si displays at 298 K a very broad signal ( $\Delta v_{1/2} =$ 130 Hz) for the Nb $\equiv$ Si nucleus at  $\delta = 267.8$  ppm, for which the <sup>2</sup>*J*(<sup>29</sup>Si, <sup>31</sup>P) coupling could not be resolved. In comparison, the remote positioned bridgehead Si atom of the tmps ligand and the SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups of the Tbb substituent give rise to sharp signals at  $\delta = -0.7$  ppm and +1.5 ppm, respectively, with the first of these signals being split into a quartet due to coupling to the three  ${}^{31}P$  nuclei  $({}^{2}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{31}P) = 9.7$  Hz) (Fig. S16 and S17 (ESI<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>)). Similarly, the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 2-Si and 3-Ge show only one broad signal at  $\delta = -13.0$  ppm ( $\Delta \nu_{1/2} \approx 182$  Hz at 298 K) and -10.7 ppm ( $\Delta v_{1/2} \approx 187$  Hz at 283 K), respectively, instead of two <sup>31</sup>P NMR signals expected for an AX<sub>2</sub> spin system (Fig. 4b). Broadness of the signals can be influenced by the temperature given the well known relationship between the quadrupole-coupled nuclear relaxation time and the temperature dependent molecular correlation time.28 In fact, lowering of

the temperature lead to a "decoupling" of the Nb nucleus and allowed to resolve the two <sup>31</sup>P NMR signals and their <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,P) coupling of 20.9 Hz as illustrated by the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 3-Ge at 193 K (Fig. 4c). Taking advantage of the same effect, also the <sup>119</sup>Sn resonance of 3-Sn, that was not observable in THF-*d*<sub>8</sub> at room temperature, could be detected at 243 K as a very broad signal ( $\Delta \nu_{1/2} \approx 1297$  Hz) at  $\delta = 829.7$  ppm (Fig. S36 (ESI<sup>†</sup>)).

First studies reveal a marked difference in the reactivity of the niobium germylidyne complex 3-Ge and the related molybdenum germylidyne complexes  $[Cp(CO)_2Mo \equiv Ge-R]$  (R = C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Ar<sup>Mes</sup>, Ar<sup>Trip</sup>). Thus treatment of [Cp(CO)<sub>2</sub>Mo≡Ge-R] with H<sub>2</sub>O or MeOH (one equiv.) in diethyl ether at 0 °C followed by warming to ambient temperature afforded within one hour selectively the brown hydroxy/methoxygermylidene complexes  $[Cp(CO)_2(H)Mo=Ge(OR')R]$  (R' = H, Me), which were fully characterized.<sup>2m</sup> In contrast, no reaction of 3-Ge with H<sub>2</sub>O (one equiv.) was observed in THF even at 60 °C. The inertness of 3-Ge can be rationalized with the stronger metal-germylidyne Nb( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  GeR( $\pi^*$ ) back bonding, which reduces the electrophilicity of the Ge center in 3-Ge, and increases in combination with the steric protection of the metal center by the tridentate tmps ligand the activation barrier for the H<sub>2</sub>O addition at the Nb=Ge bond. In fact, a large excess of water (925 equiv.) and prolonged heating (3 h) was necessary to effectuate a full conversion of 3-Ge accompanied by a color change of the reaction solution

from magenta to orange. IR monitoring of the reaction did not provide any evidence for the formation of the anticipated H<sub>2</sub>O addition products. Instead, a continuous decrease in intensity of the two  $\nu$ (CO) bands of 3-Ge was observed suggesting the formation of mainly CO-free products. Benzene extraction of the orange-brown solid obtained after solvent evaporation afforded a benzene soluble, pale-orange part containing mainly the germanediol Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)H(OH)<sub>2</sub>, as well as a benzeneinsoluble brownish part. The unprecedented hydridogermanediol29 was isolated as a pale yellow solid and characterized by IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Its IR spectrum displays two  $\nu$ (OH) bands at 3600 and 3398 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a characteristic  $\nu$ (Ge–H) band at 2104 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the latter one appearing at a close position to that of GeBr<sub>2</sub>HMes ( $\nu$ (Ge-H) = 2105 cm<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>30</sup> In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum a distinctive doublet signal is observed for the Ge(OH)<sub>2</sub> protons at  $\delta = 0.91$  ppm and a triplet signal for the Ge-H functionality at  $\delta = 5.61$  ppm  $(^{2}I(H,H) = 3.5$  Hz) in the integral ratio of 2:1. Notably, the Ge-OH protons of the germanetriol Ge(Ar<sup>Trip</sup>)(OH)<sub>3</sub> have a similar chemical shift ( $\delta = 0.77$  ppm in  $CDCl_3$ ).<sup>29k</sup>

Attempts were also undertaken to access cationic tetrylidyne complexes. For this purpose,  $[CpNb(CO)_4]^{31}$  was prepared using a slightly modified procedure<sup>32</sup> and irradiated in THF with a high-power blue light LED ( $\lambda = 465$  nm) in the presence of one equivalent of Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl. IR monitoring of the reaction revealed a quite selective decarbonylation leading to the chlorogermylidene complex **5-Ge**, which after work-up was isolated as red-orange, air-sensitive crystals in 25% yield (Scheme 6). Remarkably attempts to abstract the chloride from **5-Ge** and to form the putative germylidyne complex cation  $[Cp(CO)_3Nb \equiv GeAr^{Mes}]^+$  were not successful so far. For example, no reaction of **5-Ge** with Na[B(Ar<sup>F</sup>)\_4] (Ar<sup>F</sup> = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-3,5-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) was observed in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F at room temperature.

Complex 5-Ge is the first niobium germylidene complex to be reported. Its solid-state molecular structure was determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (Fig. 5). The four-legged piano stool complex is  $C_s$  symmetric and features a trigonal-planar coordinated Ge-atom (sum of angles at the Ge atom = 360.0°). The symmetry plane passes through the atoms Nb, Ge, C1 and Cl, and bisects the CpNb(CO)<sub>3</sub> fragment and the central ring of the *m*-terphenyl substituent.

The germylidene ligand adopts an upright conformation, with the  $Ar^{Mes}$  substituent pointing towards the cyclopentadienyl ring. The Nb-Ge distance (251.78(6) pm) of **5-Ge** lies in-between that found for the Nb-Ge triple bond of **3-Ge** (235.79(4) pm) (*vide supra*) and that of a Nb-Ge single bond



Scheme 6 Synthesis of the niobium chlorogermylidene complex 5-Ge.



Fig. 5 DIAMOND plot of the molecular structure of **5-Ge** in the solid state. Thermal ellipsoids were set at 30% electronic probability at 100 K, and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°]: Nb–Ge 251.78(6), Ge–C1 196.2(4), Ge–Cl 219.1(1), Nb–C17 207.4(3), Nb–C18 206.1(4), C17–O1 115.2(4), C18–O2 114.5(5); Nb–Ge–C1 141.4(1), Nb–Ge–Cl 118.75(4), Cl–Ge–C1 99.8(1).

 $(d(Nb-Ge) = 267.3 \text{ pm})^{20}$  indicating the presence of a Nb-Ge double bond in 5-Ge. The angles at the Ge atom differ markedly with the Nb-Ge- $C_{arvl}$  angle (141.4(1)°) being much larger than the  $C_{aryl}$ -Ge-Cl angle (99.8(1)°). This distortion can be attributed to the large steric demand of the Ar<sup>Mes</sup> substituent and the low tendency of germanium for isovalent hybridization.<sup>1a,1b,2l,3d</sup> The Ge-Cl bond of 5-Ge (219.1(1) pm) compares well with that of Ge(Ar<sup>Trip</sup>)Cl (220.3(2) pm),<sup>33</sup> but is considerably shorter than those of chlorogermylidene complexes containing electron-rich metal centers, such as  $[(dmpe)_2Fe=Ge(Ar^{Mes})Cl]$  (d(Ge-Cl) = 232.2(1) pm),<sup>5</sup> [(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Ni=Ge(Ar<sup>Mes</sup>)Cl] (d(Ge-Cl) = 230.03(8) pm)<sup>6</sup> or  $[(PMe_3)_3Pd=Ge(Ar^{Mes})Cl] (d(Ge-Cl) = 227.3(1) pm),^6 in$ which a strong  $M(d\pi) \rightarrow Ge(p\pi)$  back bonding is presumed to cause a strong polarization of Ge-Cl bond leading to a facile chloride abstraction by Lewis acids. The reduced polarization of the Ge-Cl bond of 5-Ge provides a rationale for its inertness towards mild chloride abstraction reagents.

The solution IR and NMR spectra of 5-Ge are fully consistent with its solid-state molecular structure. Thus, the IR spectrum of 5-Ge in THF displays three intense  $\nu$ (CO) absorption bands at 1980, 1910 and 1899 cm<sup>-1</sup>, as expected for a Nb(CO)<sub>3</sub> fragment with local  $C_s$  symmetry, which are assigned to the A' (all three CO modes in phase), A' (two CO<sub>lat</sub> modes in phase; CO<sub>diag</sub> mode out-of-phase) and A" symmetric (two CO<sub>lat</sub> modes out-of-phase) CO stretching modes, respectively. The  $\nu$ (CO) absorption bands of 5-Ge are high-frequency shifted compared to those of  $[CpNb(CO)_{3}THF]$  (v(CO) in THF = 1961, 1840 cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>31</sup> or  $[CpNb(CO)_3PEt_3]$  ( $\nu(CO)$  in THF = 1953, 1850 cm<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>28b</sup> but appear at roughly the same position as those of  $[CpNb(CO)_3N_2]$ ( $\nu$ (CO) in *n*-heptane = 1991, 1905 cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>34</sup> suggesting a similar  $\sigma$ -donor/ $\pi$ -acceptor ratio of the germylidene GeAr<sup>Mes</sup>Cl and the  $N_2$  ligand. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra also confirm the  $C_s$ symmetry of 5-Ge in solution. Rotation of the *m*-terphenyl substituent about the Ge-Caryl bond occurs fast on the NMR



Fig. 6 Single-scan cyclic voltammograms of the reversible oneelectron oxidation of **3-Ge** at different scan rates in THF at -11 °C (supporting electrolyte: [NBu<sub>4</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>] (0.1 M); reference electrode: 0.004 M [Fe(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+1/0</sup>/0.1 M [NBu<sub>4</sub>][PF<sub>6</sub>]/THF).

time-scale at ambient temperature leading to an exchange of the two diastereotopic *ortho* ( $C^{2,6}$ ) and *meta* ( $C^{3,5}$ ) positions of the enantiotopic mesityl substituents. Therefore, only one singlet signal is observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **5-Ge** for the  $C^{2,6}$ -bonded methyl groups and  $C^{3,5}$ -bonded protons of the mesityl substituents, respectively.

#### **Electrochemical studies**

Electrochemical studies of the tetrylidyne complexes 2-Si, 3-Ge and 3-Sn were carried out using cyclic voltammetry to elucidate the redox properties of these compounds. All complexes display a rich electrochemistry involving several electron-transfer steps (see ESI, chapter 3†). Remarkably, both the one-electron reduction and oxidation of the germylidyne complex 3-Ge are electrochemically reversible occurring at a half wave potential ( $E_{1/2}$ ) of -2.612 mV and -405 mV vs. the dmfc<sup>1+/0</sup> redox couple (dmfc = decamethylferrocene), respectively (Fig. 6).<sup>35</sup>

In comparison, the corresponding redox steps of 2-Si and 3-Sn are irreversible (ESI, chapter 3<sup>†</sup>), but one-electron oxidation 2-Si and 3-Sn occurs at similar potentials as that of 3-Ge (2:  $E_{\rm pa} + E_{\rm pc}/2 = -468$  mV, 3-Sn:  $E_{\rm pa} + E_{\rm pc}/2 = -435$  mV (scan rate = 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>)). Evidence that the redox process at  $E_{1/2} = -405$  mV involves a one electron oxidation of 3-Ge was provided by chemical means. Thus, no reaction of 3-Ge with the oneelectron reducing agent cobaltocene ( $E_{1/2}$  of CoCp<sub>2</sub> in DME = -740 mV) was observed in fluorobenzene even at 70 °C, whereas an instantaneous oxidation of 3-Ge occurred upon treatment with one equivalent of  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2][B(Ar^F)_4]$  in fluorobenzene solution at -30 °C. Unfortunately, attempts to isolate the putative germylidyne complex radical cation  $[(\kappa^3-tmps)(CO)_2-$ Nb(GeAr<sup>Mes</sup>)]<sup>+</sup> failed so far.<sup>36</sup> Notably, the redox potential for the one-electron oxidation of 3-Ge is slightly lower than that of the molybdenum tetrylidyne complexes trans-[ClMo(PMe3)4=E- $\operatorname{Ar}^{\operatorname{Mes}}$ ] (E = Ge:  $E_{1/2}$  in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F = -340 mV; E = Sn:  $E_{1/2}$  in THF = -350 mV; E = Pb:  $E_{1/2}$  in THF = -358 mV) verifying the presence of an electron-rich Nb center in 3-Ge.

#### Conclusion

The synthesis of the tailor-made carbonyl-niobate (NMe<sub>4</sub>) [Nb(CO)<sub>4</sub>( $\kappa^2$ -tmps)] allowed to explore its reactivity towards

a series of organotetrel(II) halides, which lead to the isolation of the first niobium complexes featuring triple bonds with the elements Si, Ge and Sn. Photochemical CO substitution in  $[CpNb(CO)_4]$  ( $Cp = \eta^5 \cdot C_5 H_5$ ) by  $Ge(Ar^{Mes})Cl$  afforded also the novel chlorogermylidene complex  $[Cp(CO)_3Nb=Ge(Ar^{Mes})Cl]$ . The structural, spectroscopic and electrochemical data of the tetrylidyne complexes  $[(\kappa^3 \cdot tmps)(CO)_2Nb=Ge-Ar^{Mes}]$  (3-Ge) and  $[(\kappa^3 \cdot tmps)(CO)_2$ Nb= $Ge-Ar^{Mes}]$  (3-Ge) and  $[(\kappa^3 \cdot tmps)(CO)_2$ Nb= $Sn-Ar^{Mes}]$  (3-Sn) suggest the presence of an electron-rich metal center that is engaged into strong metal ( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow ER(\pi^*)$  and metal ( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow CO(\pi^*)$  back bonding. Remarkably, one-electron oxidation and reduction of the germylidyne complex 3-Ge are electrochemically reversible.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung and the University of Bonn for the financial support of this work. We also thank Mrs Charlotte Rödde, Dr Senada Nozinovic, Dipl.-Ing. Karin Prochnicki, Dr Burhanshah Lewall, Mrs Kerstin Kühnel-Lysek and Mrs Anna Martens for their contributions to the single-crystal Xray diffraction studies, the NMR measurements, the cyclic voltammetric studies and the elemental analyses.

#### References

- 1 For experimental studies on compounds with M=Si bonds (silvlidyne complexes) see: (a) A. C. Filippou, O. Chernov, K. W. Stumpf and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3296; Angew. Chem., 2010, 122, 3368; (b) A. C. Filippou, O. Chernov and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 1122; Angew. Chem., 2011, 123, 1154; (c) P. G. Hayes, Z. Xu, C. Beddie, J. M. Keith, M. B. Hall and T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11780; (d) A. C. Filippou, B. Baars, O. Chernov, Y. N. Lebedev and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 565; Angew. Chem., 2014, 126, 576; (e) T. Fukuda, т. Yoshimoto, H. Hashimoto and H. Tobita, Organometallics, 2016, 35, 921; (f) T. Yoshimoto, H. Hashimoto, N. Hayakawa, T. Matsuo and H. Tobita, Organometallics, 2016, 35, 3444.
- 2 For experimental studies on germylidyne complexes see: (a) R. S. Simons and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11966; (b) L. Pu, B. Twamley, S. T. Haubrich, M. M. Olmstead, B. V. Mork, R. S. Simons and P. P. Power, I. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 650; (c) A. C. Filippou, A. I. Philippopoulos, P. Portius and D. U. Neumann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 2778; Angew. Chem., 2000, 112, 2881; (d) A. C. Filippou, A. I. Philippopoulos, P. Portius and G. Schnakenburg, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 4503; (e) A. C. Filippou, G. Schnakenburg, A. I. Philippopoulos and N. Weidemann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 5979; Angew. Chem., 2005, 117, 6133; (f) A. C. Filippou, N. Weidemann, A. I. Philippopoulos and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 5987; Angew. Chem., 2006, 118, 6133; (g)Α. С. Filippou, A. Barandov, Schnakenburg, B. Lewall, M. van Gastel and G.

A. Marchanka, Angew. Chem., 2012, 124, 813; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 789; (h) H. Hashimoto, T. Fukuda, H. Tobita, M. Ray and S. Sakaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2930; Angew. Chem., 2012, 124, 2984; (i) A. C. Filippou, K. W. Stumpf, O. Chernov and G. Schnakenburg, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 748; (j) J. Hicks, T. J. Hadlington, C. Schenk, J. Li and C. Jones, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 323; (k)Т. Fukuda, H. Hashimoto and H. Tobita, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, C. Filippou, U. Chakraborty 4232; (l)Α. and G. Schnakenburg, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 5676; (m) K. W. Stumpf, Germylidene and Germylidyne Complexes of Molybdenum, Dissertation, University of Bonn, Verlag Dr Hut, 2014, ISBN 978-3-8439-1849-7; (n) Y. Lebedev, U. Das, G. Schnakenburg and A. C. Filippou, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 1530.

- 3 For experimental studies on stannylidyne complexes see: (a)
  A. C. Filippou, P. Portius, A. I. Philippopoulos and H. Rohde, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 445; Angew. Chem., 2003, 115, 461; (b)
  A. C. Filippou, A. I. Philippopoulos and G. Schnakenburg, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 3339; (c)
  H. Rohde, M. Menzel, F. Renz and A. C. Filippou, Hyperfine Interact., 2008, 185, 129; (d)
  A. C. Filippou, P. Ghana, U. Chakraborty and G. Schnakenburg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11525; (e)
  C. Lindlahr, Novel Tetrylidyne Complexes of Group 6 Metals with Trialkylphosphane Ligands, Dissertation, University of Bonn, Verlag Dr Hut, 2015, ISBN 978-3-8439-2126-8.
- 4 For experimental studies on plumbylidyne complexes see: (a)
  A. C. Filippou, H. Rohde and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2243; Angew. Chem., 2004, 116, 2293; (b)
  A. C. Filippou, N. Weidemann, G. Schnakenburg, H. Rohde and A. I. Philippopoulos, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 6512; Angew. Chem., 2004, 116, 6674; (c)
  A. C. Filippou, N. Weidemann and G. Schnakenburg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 5799; Angew. Chem., 2008, 120, 5883; (d)
  U. Chakraborty, Multiple Bonds between Group 7 Transition Metals and Heavier Tetrel Elements (Ge-Pb), Dissertation, University of Bonn, Dr Hut Verlag, 2013, ISBN 978-3-8439-1248-8.
- 5 B. Blom, Reactivity of Ylenes at Late Transition Metal Centers, Dissertation, University of Bonn, Cuviller Verlag, 2011, ISBN 978-3-86955-907-0.
- 6 I. Papazoglou, Unprecedented Tetrylidyne Complexes of Group 6 and Group 10 Metals, Dissertation, University of Bonn, Dr Hut Verlag, 2017, ISBN 978-3-8439-3155-7.
- 7 (a) A. V. Lalov, M. P. Egorov, O. M. Nefedov, V. K. Cherkasov,
  N. L. Ermolaev and A. V. Piskunov, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 2005,
  54, 807; (b) A. Shinohara, J. McBee, R. Waterman and
  T. D. Tilley, *Organometallics*, 2008, 27, 5717; (c) H. Arp,
  J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, P. Zark and T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 10864.
- 8 (a) A. Davison and J. E. Ellis, J. Organomet. Chem., 1972, 36, 113; (b) F. Calderazzo, G. Pampaloni and G. Pelizzi, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 233, C41; (c) K. M. Pfahl and J. E. Ellis, Organometallics, 1984, 3, 230; (d) F. Calderazzo, M. Castellani, G. Pampaloni and P. F. Zanazzi, J. Chem.

Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 1989; (e) E. M. Carnahan and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, **114**, 4166; (f) M. V. Barybin, J. E. Ellis, M. K. Pomije, M. L. Tinkham and G. F. Warnock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 6518; (g) P. J. Daff, P. Legzdins and S. J. Rettig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, **120**, 2688.

- 9 (a) C. G. Dewey, J. E. Ellis, K. L. Fjare, K. M. Pfahl and G. F. P. Warnock, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 388; (b) F. Calderazzo, U. Englert, G. Pampaloni, G. Pelizzi and R. Zamboni, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 1865; (c) F. Calderazzo, G. Pampaloni and J. E. Ellis, *Inorganic Syntheses*, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1990, p. 192.
- 10 R. S. Simons, L. Pu, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 1920.
- 11 (a) A. Davison and J. E. Ellis, J. Organomet. Chem., 1971, 31, 239; (b) H.-C. Bechthold and D. Rehder, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 233, 215; (c) K. Bachmann and D. Rehder, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 276, 177; (d) F. Calderazzo, G. Pampaloni, U. Englert and J. Strähle, J. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 383, 45.
- 12 H. H. Karsch and A. Appelt, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem.*, 1983, **38**, 1399.
- 13 M. S. Wrighton, D. I. Handeli and D. L. Morse, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 434.
- 14 K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Wiley, Hoboken, 2009.
- 15 (a) P. Raghavan, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables, 1989, 42, 189; (b)
  J. H. Nelson, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, Pearson Education, 2003.
- 16 T. Agou, N. Hayakawa, T. Sasamori, T. Matsuo, D. Hashizume and N. Tokitoh, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 9246.
- 17 B. E. Eichler, A. D. Phillips, S. T. Haubrich, B. V. Mork and P. P. Power, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 5622.
- 18 H. Lei, J.-D. Guo, J. C. Fettinger, S. Nagase and P. P. Power, Organometallics, 2011, **30**, 6316.
- 19 According to a a CSD survey (13.06.17) the Nb-Si bond lengths of silvl complexes range from 254.1 to 267.9 pm leading to a mean value of 261.3 pm and a median of 261.2 pm for the Nb-Si single bond length: (a) J. Arnold, T. D. Tilley, A. L. Rheingold and S. J. Geib, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 473; (b) A. Antiñolo, F. Carrillo, M. Fajardo, A. Otero, M. Lanfranchi and M. A. Pellinghelli, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1518; (c) G. I. Nikonov, L. G. Kuzmina, D. A. Lemenovskii and V. V. Kotov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 10133; (d) G. I. Nikonov, L. G. Kuzmina, S. F. Vyboishchikov, D. A. Lemenovskii and J. A. K. Howard, Chem.-Eur. J., 1999, 5, 2947; (e) V. I. Bakhmutov, J. A. K. Howard, D. A. Keen, L. G. Kuzmina, M. A. Leech, G. I. Nikonov, E. V. Vorontsov and C. C. Wilson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 1631; (f) G. I. Nikonov, P. Mountford, J. C. Green, P. A. Cooke, M. A. Leech, A. J. Blake, J. A. K. Howard and D. A. Lemenovskii, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2000, 1917; (g) G. I. Nikonov, L. G. Kuzmina and J. A. K. Howard, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 3037; (h) G. I. Nikonov, P. Mountford and S. R. Dubberley, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 258: (i) K. Y. Dorogov, E. Dumont, N.-N. Ho,

A. V. Churakov, L. G. Kuzmina, J.-M. Poblet, A. J. Schultz,
J. A. K. Howard, R. Bau, A. Lledos and G. I. Nikonov, *Organometallics*, 2004, 23, 2845; (j) K. Y. Dorogov,
A. V. Churakov, L. G. Kuzmina, J. A. K. Howard and
G. I. Nikonov, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 771; (k)
K. Y. Dorogov, M. Yousufuddin, N.-N. Ho, A. V. Churakov,
L. G. Kuzmina, A. J. Schultz, S. A. Mason, J. A. K. Howard,
D. A. Lemenovskii, R. Bau and G. I. Nikonov, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 147; (l) S. K. Ignatov, N. H. Rees, A. A. Merkoulov,
S. R. Dubberley, A. G. Razuvaev, P. Mountford and
G. I. Nikonov, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 296.

- 20 A CSD survey (13.06.17) revealed that only two niobium germyl complexes have been structurally characterized. The Nb-Ge distances range from 260.8 to 271.0 pm, leading to a mean value of 267.3 pm and a median of 270.2 pm for the Nb-Ge single bond length: (a) A. Antiñolo, F. Carrillo-Hermosilla, A. Castel, M. Fajardo, J. Fernández-Baeza, М. Lanfranchi, A. Otero, M. A. Pellinghelli, G. Rima, J. Satgé and E. Villaseñor, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 1523; (b) G. I. Nikonov, A. V. Churakov and M. Y. Antipin, Organometallics, 2003, 22. 2178.
- 21 A CSD survey (13.06.17) revealed that 9 niobium stannyl complexes have been structurally characterized. The Nb-Sn bond lengths range from 276.3 to 286.2 pm, giving a mean value of 282.9 pm and a median of 283.0 pm for the Nb-Sn single bond length. The respective complexes can be found in [ref. 20a] and in the following references: (a) F. Näumann, J. Kopf and D. Rehder, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 267, 249; (b) Y. V. Skripkin, O. G. Volkov, A. A. Pasynskii, A. S. Antsyshkina, L. M. Dikareva, V. N. Ostrikova, M. A. Porai-Koshits, S. L. Davydova and S. G. Sakharov, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 263, 345; (c) Y. V. Skripkin, O. G. Volkov, A. A. Pasynskii, M. A. Porai-Koshits, A. S. Antsyshkina, L. M. Dikareva and V. N. Ostrikova, Koord. Khim., 1985, 11, 1136; (d) M. L. H. Green, A. K. Hughes and P. Mountford, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 1407; (e) M. L. H. Green, A. K. Hughes and P. Mountford, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 1699; (f) G. I. Nikonov, L. G. Kuzmina, J. Lorberth and J. A. K. Howard, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 825.
- 22 H. Rohde, Synthesis and Reactivity Studies of Stannylidyne Complexes, Dissertation, University of Bonn, 2007.
- 23 A. F. Holleman, E. Wiberg and N. Wiberg, *Inorganic Chemistry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.
- 24 (a) P. Pyykkö, S. Riedel and M. Patzschke, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2005, 11, 3511; (b) P. Pyykkö, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, 119, 2326.
- 25 M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer and
   D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 5806.
- 26 For example quantum chemical studies on the model compounds *trans*- $[Cl(PH_3)_4W \equiv EPh]$  (E = Si-Pb) at the B3LYP/TZVPP(W,E)/6-311G\*(C,H,P,Cl) level of theory reveal that the energy required for bending the tetrylidyne ligand up to 20° is rather small (max. 20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and decreases with increasing atomic number of E.

- 27 L. J. Todd and J. R. Wilkinson, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1974, 77, 1.
- 28 (a) P. S. Ireland, C. A. Deckert and T. L. Brown, J. Magn. Reson., 1976, 23, 485; (b) D. Rehder and H.-C. Bechthold, Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem., 1984, 39, 323 and references therein.
- 29 Only few four-coordinated germanediols and two germanetriols  $(Ge(Ar^{Trip/Dip})(OH)_3)$  were reported so far: (a) H. Puff, S. Franken, W. Schuh and W. Schwab, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 254, 33; (b) L. Fajarí, J. Carilla, L. Juliá, J. Riera, A. Párraga, M. Coll and X. Solans, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 474, 89; (c) K. Kishikawa, N. Tokitoh and R. Okazaki, Chem. Lett., 1996, 25, 695; (d) L. Pu, N. J. Hardman and P. P. Power, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 5105; (e) T. Matsumoto, Y. Nakava and Tatsumi, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 4835; K. (f)Y. Sugiyama, T. Sasamori, Y. Hosoi, Y. Furukawa, N. Takagi, S. Nagase and N. Tokitoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 1023; (g) E. Bonnefille, S. Mazières, C. Bibal, N. Saffon, H. Gornitzka and C. Couret, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2008, 4242; (h) E. Bonnefille, S. Mazières, N. Saffon and C. Couret, J. Organomet. Chem., 2009, 694, 2246; (i) M. Saito, M. Nakamura and T. Tajima, Heterocycles, 2009, 78, 657; (j) L. Li, T. Fukawa, T. Matsuo, D. Hashizume, H. Fueno, K. Tanaka and K. Tamao, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 361; (k) L.-C. Pop, N. Kurokawa, H. Ebata, K. Tomizawa, T. Tajima, M. Ikeda, M. Yoshioka, M. Biesemans, R. Willem, M. Minoura and M. Saito, Can. J. Chem., 2014, 92, 542.
- 30 P. Rivière, M. Rivière-Baudet, A. Castel, J. Satgé and E. A. Lavabre, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 1987, 17, 539.

- 31 W. A. Herrmann and H. Biersack, J. Organomet. Chem., 1980, 191, 397 and refs. therein.
- 32 T. E. Bitterwolf, S. Gallagher, J. T. Bays, B. Scallorn, A. L. Rheingold, I. A. Guzei, L. Liable-Sands and J. C. Linehan, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 557, 77.
- 33 L. Pu, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power and B. Schiemenz, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 5602.
- 34 G. I. Childs, D. C. Grills, S. Gallagher, T. E. Bitterwolf and M. W. George, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 1711.
- 35 All potentials in the text are given *vs.* the  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2]^{1+/0}$ (dmfc<sup>1+/0</sup>) redox couple, which was chosen as the reference standard for the CV experiments due to its favorable properties *vs.* the  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]^{1+/0}$  redox couple: (*a*) I. Noviandri, K. N. Brown, D. S. Fleming, P. T. Gulyas, P. A. Lay, A. F. Masters and L. Phillips, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1999, **103**, 6713; (*b*) J. R. Aranzaes, M.-C. Daniel and D. Astruc, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2006, **84**, 288. For comparison reasons the half-wave potential of the  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]^{1+/0}$ redox couple was also determined under the same conditions (THF, -11 °C) and found to be +440 mV *vs.* the  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2]^{1+/0}$  redox couple.
- 36 Rapid oxidation of **3-Ge** was evidenced by the immediate disappearance of the green color of the fluorobenzene solution of  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2][B(Ar^F)_4]$  at the dropping site and the change of the color of the reaction solution to brown-red. After evaporation of the solvent and washing of the residue with *n*-pentane to remove  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2]$ , a brown oil was obtained, which displayed in the IR spectrum in THF mainly two intense, broad  $\nu$ (CO) bands at 1954 and 1889 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The brown oil contained according to <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy diamagnetic impurities and could not be crystallized.