# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 13910

# Polarization behavior of Pb–Co powder-pressed alloy for electrowinning

Shuai Wang, Xiang-Yang Zhou, Chi-Yuan Ma, Bo Long, Hui Wang and Juan Yang 回 \*

In this study, Pb–Co powder-pressed alloy was fabricated and used as a suitable anode material to replace Pb–Ca–Sn alloy in electrowinning. The Pb–Co anodes and the traditional Pb–Ca–Sn alloy on the electrochemical properties are investigated in a 160 g  $L^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at 35 °C using galvanostatic polarization, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and Tafel test. Thereafter, the anodic oxide layer is observed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy along with scanning electron microscopy and X-ray diffractometry. The results show that the potential and oxygen evolution over-potential of the anodes exhibit a declining trend with increasing the fraction of Co. The anode potential of the Pb-2 wt% Co is approximately 170 mV lower than that of Pb–Ca–Sn alloy and reaches a stable value of 1.291 V at 35 °C, which shows good electrocatalytic performance and commercial application prospect.

Received 14th October 2017 Accepted 25th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra11334j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# 1. Introduction

Electrowinning (EW) is one of the oldest industrial processes, whose importance lies in the final reduction of metals such as zinc, copper and manganese.<sup>1</sup> About 80% of the world zinc production and 20% copper are manufactured by this technique.<sup>2,3</sup>

At present, the Pb–Ca–Sn (for copper) and Pb–Ag (for zinc) alloys have been widely used as insoluble anode for oxygen evolution in EW, but it also has some limitations such as high power consumption due to the high oxygen evolution overpotential (OEOP), high manufacturing cost, unsatisfied mechanical strength and corrosion resistance.<sup>4–7</sup> In addition, for the Pb–Ag anodes, oxygen evolution reaction (OER) occurs preferentially on the  $Ag_2O_2$  sites on the anode surface,<sup>8</sup> causing the consumption of Ag. Meanwhile, various ternary alloys and quaternary alloys have been researched, but so far only Pb–Ag–Sn–Co alloy presents relatively good corrosion resistance and oxygen evolution activity.<sup>9–12</sup> Unfortunately, the process control and complex manufacturing operation limit its application in the electrochemical industry.

There are some new discoveries in the modification of leadbased anode, including the preparation methods of Pb–Ag composite anode and the exploration of Pb–MnO<sub>2</sub> composite materials. For instance, the creep resistance, OEOP and current efficiency of Pb–Ag anode, which prepared by powder rolling method, were investigated.<sup>13</sup> The results show that the creep resistance and the mount of Pb impurity in the deposited zinc of the Pb–Ag power-rolled alloy have better performance than those of Pb–Ag cast-rolled alloy. In the latest studies, the electrochemical property and corrosion behavior of Pb–MnO<sub>2</sub> anode fabricated by powder pressing were systematically studied in sulfuric acid electrolyte solutions.<sup>14,15</sup> Compared with the traditional Pb–Ag alloy anode, the Pb–MnO<sub>2</sub> composite anodes exhibit lower OEOR at lower content of MnO<sub>2</sub> (1– 7 wt%)<sup>16</sup> and better corrosion resistance at higher content of MnO<sub>2</sub>(10–20 wt%),<sup>17</sup> respectively.

Ti based dimensionally stable anodes (DSA), which have been broadly used in not only EW but also other industrial applications such as an active anode materials for Li-ion batteries, super capacitor,18 titanium skeleton and organs for medical supplies, and chloride-alkaline industry owing to its high conductivity, low OEOP and good environment stability.19-22 Various kinds of Ti based noble metallic oxide anodes, such as IrO<sub>2</sub> + ZrO<sub>2</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub> + TiO<sub>2</sub>, RuO<sub>2</sub> + Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, IrO<sub>2</sub> +  $Ta_2O_5$  and  $IrO_2 + Nb_2O_5$ , have been reported to present long service life in acidic media and high electrocatalytic activity.23-26 It is well known in the industry that the OER proceeds not on the metal surface but on one already covered with a layer of metallic oxide. With increasing the electrolysis time, however, the insulating TiO<sub>2</sub> layer between Ti substrate and noble metallic oxide layer becomes more and more thick.27 Thus, the anode potential and the resistance increase rapidly, resulting in anode deactivation. Overall, considering the cost and the availability of the noble metal, these anodes have not yet been applied as suitable alternative electrode material for lead-based anode used in EW.

Therefore, looking for low OEOP and high-performance alloy element to replace traditional anodes is still the focus of the researchers. Cobalt can effectively reduce the OEOP and the corrosion rate of lead-based anode.<sup>28</sup> However, to our best knowledge, there is few report on Pb–Co alloy applied to the

School of Metallurgy and Environment, Central South University, Lushan South Street 932, Yuelu District, Changsha, 410083, China. E-mail: j-yang@csu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-73188836329; Tel: +86-73188836329

#### Paper

OER of electrocatalysis material, due to the fact that lead and cobalt can not form a binary alloy.<sup>29</sup> Pb–Co composite anode, prepared by powder pressing method, performs good properties in aqueous sulfuric acid solution, and it can be served as an alternative insoluble anode for copper EW. Nonetheless, these studies are only focused on researching the corrosion resistance and potential of the anode,<sup>30,31</sup> as well as lacking of systematic research in oxygen evolution mechanism. In the present research, the oxygen evolution kinetic and the faradaic impedance of Pb–Co anodes with different Co fractions were investigated and compared to those of the Pb–Ca–Sn anode using electrochemical techniques. The microstructure morphology and composition of anodes layer were characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD), respectively.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Sample preparation

Pb powder was mixed with Co powder to a series of composition of 0.5 wt%, 1 wt% and 2 wt%, subsequently ball milled (mechanical alloying) for 10 h in a agate jar. The powder was then uniaxially die-compacted using a pressure of 300 MPa. The diagrammatic sketch of the mould and the flowchart of experimental process are shown in Fig. 1.

The samples were in argon atmosphere, undergoing a heating process of (i) heating to 300 °C at 3 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, (ii) keeping at 300 °C for 3 h, (iii) natural cooling to room temperature. The obtained Pb–Co alloy was cut into cubic samples (10 mm × 10 mm × 5 mm) using a wire-cut machine. Finally, Pb-0.5 wt% Co, Pb-1 wt% Co, Pb-2 wt% Co and Pb-0.06 wt% Ca-0.49 wt% Sn cast-rolled alloy were connected to a plastic isolated copper wire and coated by denture base resins with a working area of 10 mm × 10 mm. Before being introduced into the electrolyte, the working surface of all the samples was polished by SiC abrasive paper.

#### 2.2 Measurements

The testing was executed by PARSTAT 4000 electrochemical workstation, which ensured that the testing procedure for every



Galvanostatic electrolysis at 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for 72 h was conducted in a 160 g  $L^{-1}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution to produce an oxide layer on the surface of the anodes. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed by applying an AC amplitude of 10 mV root mean squared in the 100 kHz to 10 mHz frequency range, and the applied potential was 1.3 V. Anodic polarization curves (LSV) was obtained at a constant scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, from 1.1 V to 1.7 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) test was performed over the -1.2 V to +1.9 V potential range at a scanning rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

After galvanostatic electrolysis, the anodes were taken out from electrolyte, washed with deionized water and dried for 72 h at room temperature. Then, the microscopic surface morphology, element distribution and phase composition of anodic layers were investigated by SEM equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Quanta FEG250, American) and XRD (Rigaku-TTRIII, Japan), respectively.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Galvanostatic polarisation investigations

Galvanostatic polarization test is conducted to confirm the anodic potential as a function of the time. Fig. 2 shows the galvanostatic polarization curves of the Pb–Co anodes with different fractions and Pb–Ca–Sn anode in 160 g  $L^{-1}$  sulfuric acid solution.

For Pb–Co anodes, the anode potential in general rapidly decreases, then slightly increases and decreases rapidly until leveled off. Moreover, the anodic potential of Pb–Co shows a peak at around 2000 s, which corresponds to oxidation of PbSO<sub>4</sub> to PbO<sub>2</sub> on the anode surface. But for the Pb–Ca–Sn anode, there is not the obvious oxidation reaction. With the electrolysis time increasing, PbSO<sub>4</sub> is coverted into PbO<sub>2</sub>, the layer resistance of the anode decreases, and OEOP of the anode reduces. When the generation (Pb  $\rightarrow$  PbSO<sub>4</sub> and PbSO<sub>4</sub>  $\rightarrow$  PbO<sub>2</sub>) and the



Fig. 1 The diagrammatic sketch of the mould and process flow diagram of Pb–Co anode.



Fig. 2 Galvanostatic polarization curves of the anodes.



Fig. 3 SEM image and EDS elemental of Pb-0.5 wt% Co after galvanostatic polarization.



Fig. 5 SEM image and EDS elemental of Pb-2 wt% Co after galvanostatic polarization.



Fig. 4 SEM image and EDS elemental of Pb-1 wt% Co after galvanostatic polarization.

decomposition (the corrosion and the OER on the anode surface) of anode layer reach equilibrium, the content of oxide layer components and anodic potential become stable. In addition, when  $PbO_2$  translates into  $PbSO_4$ , an increase of molar volume is expected, in turn, cracks appear on the anode surface.<sup>32</sup>

It can be seen from the figure that the anodic potential of Pb-Co is greatly lower than that of the Pb-Ca-Sn alloy throughout the entire 72 h galvanostatic polarisation experiment. This is due to the electrode reaction occurs not only on the anode surface but also in the pores for the Pb-Co. Besides, the anode potential of the Pb-Ca-Sn are about 155 mV and 190 mV higher than those of Pb-2 wt% Co at 35 °C and 45 °C, respectively, indicating that Co and increasing temperature facilitate the OER. This observation may be due to the electrocatalysis of Co, which is helpful in promoting anion adsorption and OER as well as enhancing the conductivity of the PbO<sub>2</sub> layer.<sup>33,34</sup> As everyone knows, low anodic potential is an important property, it will save a lot of energy and resources, if the anode can reach a steady low anodic potential state as soon as possible. Therefore, the Pb-Co composite anode is easier to reduce the energy consumption and cost than Pb-Ca-Sn alloy.

In general, the potential decreases slightly with the fraction of Co increasing in the whole electrolysis process. With the increase of electrolysis time, the anode potential of the Pb-0.5 wt% Co is higher than that of Pb-1 wt% Co, the anode potential of the Pb-2 wt% Co is approximately 25 mV lower than that of Pb-0.5 wt% Co and reach a stable value of 1.291 V at 35 °C. The different anodic potentials may be explained by the difference in specific surface area of the anodes, directly related to the effective current density in the electrolysis process. In other words, the various effective current density (below or equal to 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) probably produce the difference in the anode potential.<sup>35</sup> This claim can be proved by SEM. However, it is also possible that increasing Co content is similar to increasing Co<sup>2+</sup> in electrolyte,<sup>33</sup> thereby decreasing anode potential.

In this study, however, with the increase of Co content (above 2 wt%), crack and faultage appear on the anode surface and the longitudinal section, leading to the failure of the anode.



Fig. 6 EDS spectra of Pb-Co after galvanostatic polarization.

# 3.2 Morphology and phase composition of anodic oxide layer

In order to study the corrosion behavior and film characteristics of the anodes, SEM and XRD are conducted to evaluate the microscopic surface morphology and phase composition of anodic oxide layer after galvanostatic polarization. Besides, the element distribution of anodic surface corrosion layer is performed by EDS mapping.

EDS mapping, SEM and XRD of the anodic oxide layers are presented in Fig. 3-6. According to the EDS mapping results, O, S, Pb and Co evenly distribute on the Pb-Co oxide layers, while the content of Co is relatively low. It is clear that increasing the fraction of Co from 0.5 wt% to 2 wt% leads to a significant modification of the anodic layer structure. For the Pb-0.5 wt% Co, the oxide layer is mainly composed of square structure and presents the largest grain size. At the same time, from Fig. 3, irregular large-grained structure are shown on the surface of Pb-1 wt% Co oxide layer. As to the Pb-2 wt% Co, the morphology of the oxide layer changes significantly: it presents a large number of fine crystal grain and possesses the best regular structure among the three Pb-Co anodes. In fact, the average crystal grain size of Pb-Co decreases with increasing the fraction of Co, and the high content of Co is prone to form a compact surface film. This behavior inhibits the grain further growth, and then need more nucleation points for grain to nucleate, eventually forms a large sum of small fine grain.

As we know, EDS is used to determine the distribution of elements while XRD measurements confirm the specific phase composition.



Fig. 7 Phase composition of the anodic oxide layer.

Based on XRD results, the Pb–Co anodic oxide layers are mainly composed of PbSO<sub>4</sub>,  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>,  $\beta$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> and CoO.<sup>36</sup> However, the peak intensity of these phases varies with increasing the fraction of Co. As shown in Fig. 7, the peak intensity of PbSO<sub>4</sub> and  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> decreases, then slightly increases when the fraction of Co increases from 0.5 wt% to 2 wt%. While, with the increase of Co content, the peak intensity of  $\beta$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> and CoO presents a rising trend, which corresponding to the results of the Co of the EDS mapping. To sum up, Pb-2 wt% Co demonstrates the highest of the content of  $\beta$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> and CoO. According to the corresponding references and experimental data,<sup>37,38</sup> it is confirmed that  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>,  $\beta$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> as well as Co promote the activity of OER. Therefore, Pb-2 wt% Co presents the lowest the anode potential from the Fig. 2.

#### 3.3 EIS characterizations

EIS is used to investigate the electrochemical reaction occurred on the anodic layer during the EW process.

Fig. 8 depicts the alteration of the EIS of Pb–Co anodes with various Co fractions and Pb–Ca–Sn alloy at 1.3 V where the stable rate of  $O_2$  is occurring, corresponding to the galvanostatic polarization curves in Fig. 2. It is clear seen from the Fig. 8(a) and (c) that the phase plots of all the anodes exhibit one peak, which represents only one time constant in the EIS. Moreover, from the Fig. 8(b) and (d), only a single capacitance arc can be observed in the whole OER frequency domain, indicating that the electrode reaction mechanism does not change with different anodes.<sup>39</sup> The impedances of the Pb–Co anodes are significantly smaller than that of Pb–Ca–Sn alloy, indicating that Co can promote the electrode reaction.

Because of the anodic polarization behavior and the result of EIS plots, the constant phase element (CPE) should be used as double layer capacitance (non-ideal capacitor) instead of the pure capacitance (*C*). Thus, the equivalent circuit (Fig. 9) can be simulate the electro-chemical process of the OER,<sup>40</sup> and the values of the component parameters are shown in Table 1. Where  $R_s$  represents the solution resistance between the



**Fig. 8** EIS patterns of anodes: (a) and (c) phase-angle Bode plots; (b) and (d) Nyquist diagrams.

Fia. 9



reference and working electrodes, and  $R_t$  is the charge transfer resistance of the anode reaction. The fitting-plots and parameters are displayed in Fig. 8 (the lines) and Table 1, respectively. Besides, the experimental (spots) and fitting (lines) data are in agreement with each other.

Therefore, the double-layer capacitance,  $C_{dl}$ , can be calculated from the eqn (1), and *n* represents the deviation from the perfect capacitors. In Table 1, as a result of *n* is not close to 1, the  $C_{dl}$  can't replace the CPE in the equivalent circuit.<sup>41</sup>

$$Q = (C_{\rm dl})^{n} [(R_{\rm s})^{-1} + (R_{\rm t})^{-1}]^{(1-n)}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

As demonstrated in Table 1, the  $R_t$  of Pb–Co is about 1  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>, while the value of Pb–Ca–Sn reaches 14.22  $\Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>, which is much higher than that of Pb–Co. The difference can be explained that Co is prone to facilitate the charge transport in the electrolyte and thus result in a much lower  $R_t$ . While the  $C_{dl}$  of Pb–Ca–Sn alloy, possesses stable surface area, is higher than the value of Pb–Co, meaning that the higher  $C_{dl}$  of Pb–Ca–Sn alloy hinders the mass transport in the process of EW.

With increasing the fraction of Co,  $R_t$  decreases slightly, which causes the decrease of the anode potential on the galvanostatic polarization curves (Fig. 2). According to the expression of Schmachtel,<sup>14</sup> charge transport could affect the electrochemical behavior of the anodes, which includes the kinetics and thermodynamics of OER. As to the OER ( $2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$ ), a low  $R_t$  benefits for the movement of H<sup>+</sup>, and then facilitates the evolution of oxygen. Thus, Co can enhance the charge transport and increases the number of active sites, then the activation energy and potential of the OER decrease. The results of  $R_t$  and  $C_{dl}$  are together consistent with the anodic potential of different anodes.

#### 3.4 Tafel curves and kinetic parameters for oxygen evolution

EIS provides affluent information at low potential while the Tafel technique is widely used to research the mechanism of OER taking place on the anodes surface at high over-potential.

On the basis of Yang's expression, the OEOP,  $\eta$ , is calculated by the following formula<sup>42</sup>:



Fig. 10 Tafel curves of the anodes.

$$\eta = E + 0.64 - 1.229 - iR_{\rm s} \tag{2}$$

where *E* is the anodic potential; 0.64 V and 1.229 V represent the potential of the MSE and OER, repectively; and  $R_s$  is the electrolyte resistance between the working and reference electrodes, which can be obtained by the equivalent circuit parameters of EIS.

Based on the high anode potential applied in industry, the exchange current density  $(i_0)$  of the Pb–Co and Pb–Ca–Sn are calculated when  $\eta = 0$ . Thus, the slopes *b* and  $i_0$  are shown in Table 2.

Fig. 10 shows the Tafel curves of the OEOP of Pb-Co and Pb-Ca-Sn anodes in a 160 g L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at 35 °C after galvanostatic polarization. In Table 2, the exchange current density,  $i_0$ , are extremely small and can be considered meaningless in evaluating the electrocatalytic activity of anode materials due to the high OEOP. As can be clearly seen, the OEOP of Pb-Co is much lower than that of Pb-Ca-Sn alloy, besides, this effect is more obvious with increasing the fraction of Co. In brief, the order of the OEOP of the anodes at the current density of 500 A m<sup>-2</sup> is as follows: Pb–Ca–Sn alloy (0.834 V) > Pb-0.5 wt% Co (0.687 V) > Pb-1 wt% Co (0.677 V) > Pb-2 wt% Co (0.664 V). The results may be because Co is beneficial to enhance the depolarization of anodes and increases the OER rate.34 Like Ag promoting the generation of PbO<sub>2</sub> layer, Co also can be served as a catalyst which affects the electrochemical characteristics of the PbSO<sub>4</sub>/PbO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>43,44</sup>

For the Pb–Co anodes, the mechanism of OER can be shown in the following equations <sup>30</sup>:

Table 1       Equivalent circuit parameters of the anodes								
Anodes	$R_{\rm s} \left( \Omega \ {\rm cm}^2 \right)$	$R_{\rm t} \left(\Omega \ {\rm cm}^2\right)$	$10^2 Q (\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^n)$	n	$C_{\rm dl} (\mu { m F}  { m cm}^{-2})$			
Pb-0.5 wt% Co	0.576	1.005	7.973	0.785	30 204.89			
Pb-1 wt% Co	0.691	0.954	8.114	0.754	26 604.41			
Pb-2 wt% Co	0.595	0.857	8.949	0.800	37 594.11			
Pb–Ca–Sn	0.547	14.22	7.92	0.899	55 424.77			

Anode	$b_1 (\mathrm{mV} \mathrm{dec}^{-1})$	$b_2 (\mathrm{mV}\mathrm{dec}^{-1})$	$\eta~(i=0.05~\mathrm{A~cm^{-2}})/\mathrm{V}$	$i_0/({\rm A~cm^{-2}})$
Pb-0.5 wt% Co	122.7	98.8	0.687	$5.487\times10^{-9}$
Pb-1 wt% Co	135.2	86.7	0.677	$7.738\times10^{-10}$
Pb-2 wt% Co	136.7	102.3	0.664	$1.6 imes 10^{-8}$
Pb-Ca-Sn	136.6	115.0	0.834	$2.808\times10^{-9}$

Table 2 The overpotential and kinetic parameters of oxygen evolution reaction of the anodes

$$\operatorname{Co}^{2^+} \leftrightarrows \operatorname{Co}^{3^+} + \mathrm{e}$$
 (3)

$$Co^{3+} + OH^{-} \leftrightarrows CoOH^{2+}$$
(4)

$$2\text{CoOH}^{2+} \leftrightarrows 2\text{Co}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}$$
(5)

$$O + O \rightleftharpoons O_2$$
 (6)

As can be seen from Fig. 10, all the anodes show double Tafel slopes in the whole potential region. Furthermore, from Table 2, the different anodes often lead to the different Tafel slope values. Generally speaking, the first slope is due to the oxidation reaction of  $PbSO_4 \rightarrow PbO_2$  and the low extent of OER, while the second slope is mainly attributed to the OER on the anodes surface as well as in the pores of anodes.<sup>45</sup> To be precise, the Tafel slope of Pb-Co with different fraction of Co is similar, but lower than that of Pb-Ca-Sn alloy. This may be due to Co promotes the charge transfer and the OER rate. Since both PbO<sub>2</sub> layer and Co active sites are electrocatalytic area on the surface of Pb-Co, thus, the incremental active sites is more helpful in enhancing the rate of OER. In other words, compared with the Pb-Ca-Sn which is widely used in hydrometallurgy, the Pb-Co save a lot of energy meaning that the product cost decrease in the actual production.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, the Pb–Co powder-pressed alloy with different fraction of Co (0.5 wt%, 1 wt% and 2 wt%) are prepared and may be a promising insoluble anode having an excellent property for hydrometallurgy.

After galvanostatic polarization electrolysis for 72 h at 35 °C, the anode potential of Pb-2 wt% Co are about 170 mV lower than that of Pb–Ca–Sn alloy. With increasing the fraction of Co, the crystal grain size and surface gradually become finer and coarser, respectively. The results are consistent with the values of oxygen evolution over-potential of the anodes. Furthermore, the peak intensity of  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>,  $\beta$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> and CoO presents a rising trend.

The charge transfer resistance and the oxygen evolution overpotential mainly present a declining trend with increasing the fraction of Co. It can be explained that Co is beneficial to enhance the depolarization effect of anodes and increases the OER rate.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 51274240), Hunan natural science fund project (2017JJ2319), and Guangdong Jiana Energy Technology Co., Ltd-Central South University Joint Research Foundation of China (YNJJ2016012).

## References

- 1 A. Biswal, B. Chandra Tripathy, K. Sanjay, T. Subbaiah and M. Minakshi, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 58255–58283.
- 2 P. E. Tsakiridis, P. Oustadakis, A. Katsiapi and S. Agatzini-Leonardou, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **179**, 8–14.
- 3 M. Clancy, C. J. Bettles, A. Stuart and N. Birbilis, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2013, **131–132**, 144–157.
- 4 Y. Q. Lai, Y. Li, L. X. Jiang, X. J. Lv, J. Li and Y. X. Liu, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2012, **115–116**, 64–70.
- 5 A. M. Lafront, W. Zhang, E. Ghali and G. Houlachi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 6665–6675.
- 6 P. Zhan, R.-d. Xu, L.-p. Huang, B.-m. Chen and J.-f. Zhou, *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China*, 2012, **22**, 1693–1700.
- 7 W. Zhang and G. Houlachi, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2010, **104**, 129–135.
- 8 J. J. McGinnity and M. J. Nicol, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2014, 144–145, 133–139.
- 9 A. Felder and R. D. Prengaman, JOM, 2006, 58, 28-31.
- 10 H.-T. Liu, J. Yang, H.-H. Liang, J.-H. Zhuang and W.-F. Zhou, J. Power Sources, 2001, 93, 230–233.
- 11 A. N. Nikoloski and M. J. Nicol, *Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev.*, 2009, **31**, 30–57.
- 12 Y. Lai, L. Jiang, J. Li, S. Zhong, X. Lü, H. Peng and Y. Liu, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2010, **102**, 73–80.
- 13 M. Taguchi, H. Takahashi, M. Nagai, T. Aichi and R. Sato, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2013, **136**, 78–84.
- 14 S. Schmachtel, M. Toiminen, K. Kontturi, O. Forsén and M. H. Barker, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2009, 39, 1835–1848.
- 15 S. Schmachtel, S. E. Pust, K. Kontturi, O. Forsén and G. Wittstock, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2009, 40, 581–592.
- 16 R. Ma, S. Cheng, X. Zhang, S. Li, Z. Liu and X. Li, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2016, **159**, 6–11.
- 17 M. Mohammadi and A. Alfantazi, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2016, **159**, 28–39.
- 18 M. Manickam, P. Singh, T. B. Issa and S. Thurgate, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2006, 36, 599–602.
- 19 G.-N. Zhu, Y.-G. Wang and Y.-Y. Xia, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 6652.

- 20 N. Yu and L. Gao, Electrochem. Commun., 2009, 11, 220-222.
- 21 N. T. C. Oliveira, A. C. Guastaldi, S. Piazza and C. Sunseri, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2009, 54, 1395–1402.
- 22 W. Zhang, M. Robichaud, E. Ghali and G. Houlachi, *Trans.* Nonferrous Met. Soc. China, 2016, 26, 589–598.
- 23 L. Cifuentes, A. Montes and G. Crisóstomo, *Corros. Eng., Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **46**, 737–744.
- 24 J. Ribeiro, M. S. Moats and A. R. De Andrade, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2008, 38, 767–775.
- 25 A. Di Blasi, C. D'Urso, V. Baglio, V. Antonucci, A. S. Arico', R. Ornelas, F. Matteucci, G. Orozco, D. Beltran, Y. Meas and L. G. Arriaga, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2008, **39**, 191–196.
- 26 J. Hu, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2004, 29, 791-797.
- 27 A. N. Nikoloski and M. J. Barmi, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2013, 137, 45–52.
- 28 S. Schmachtel, S. E. Pust, M. Toiminen, G. Wittstock, K. Kontturi, O. Forsén and M. H. Barker, J. South. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall., 2008, 108, 273–283.
- 29 S. Rashkov, T. Dobrev, Z. Noncheva, Y. Stefanov, B. Rashkova and M. Petrova, *Hydrometallurgy*, 1999, **52**, 223–230.
- 30 I. Ivanov, Y. Stefanov, Z. Noncheva, M. Petrova, T. Dobrev, L. Mirkova, R. Vermeersch and J. P. Demaerel, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2000, 57, 125–139.
- 31 I. Ivanov, Y. Stefanov, Z. Noncheva, M. Petrova, T. Dobrev, L. Mirkova, R. Vermeersch and J. P. Demaerel, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2000, 57, 109–124.
- 32 W. Zhang, C. Q. Tu, Y. F. Chen, W. Y. Li and G. Houlachi, J. *Mater. Eng. Perform.*, 2012, **22**, 1672–1679.

- 33 T. Nguyen, N. Guresin, M. Nicol and A. Atrens, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2007, 38, 215–224.
- 34 A. N. Nikoloski and M. J. Nicol, *Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev.*, 2007, 29, 143–172.
- 35 L. Yanqing, J. Liangxing, L. Jie, Z. Shuiping, L. Xiaojun, P. Hongjian and L. Yexiang, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2010, **102**, 81–86.
- 36 E. K. Alamdari, D. Darvishi, M. Samadi Khoshkhoo, F. A. Javid and S. P. H. Marashi, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2012, 119–120, 77–86.
- 37 Y. Lai, Y. Li, L. Jiang, W. Xu, X. Lv, J. Li and Y. Liu, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2012, 671, 16–23.
- 38 T. Nguyen and A. Atrens, Hydrometallurgy, 2009, 96, 14-26.
- 39 J. C. K. Ho, G. T. Filho, R. Simpraga and B. E. Conway, J. *Electroanal. Chem.*, 1994, **366**, 147–162.
- 40 H. T. Yang, H. R. Liu, Z. C. Guo, B. M. Chen, Y. C. Zhang, H. Huang, X. L. Li, R. C. Fu and R. D. Xu, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2013, 140, 144–150.
- 41 H. Ma, S. Chen, B. Yin, S. Zhao and X. Liu, *Corros. Sci.*, 2003, **45**, 867–882.
- 42 R. D. Xu, L. P. Huang, J. F. Zhou, P. Zhan, Y. Y. Guan and Y. Kong, *Hydrometallurgy*, 2012, **125–126**, 8–15.
- 43 N. Chahmana, L. Zerroual and M. Matrakova, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **191**, 144–148.
- 44 N. Chahmana, M. Matrakova, L. Zerroual and D. Pavlov, J. Power Sources, 2009, **191**, 51–57.
- 45 M. Mohammadi, F. Mohammadi and A. Alfantazi, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2013, **160**, E35–E43.