RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 1005

Received 10th November 2017 Accepted 18th December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra12304c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Photovoltaic technology that directly converts solar light into electricity has received considerable attention to substitute fossil fuels.¹⁻³ However, the per-watt cost of silicon-based first generation photovoltaic devices is still greater than that of fossil-fuel-based electricity.¹–³ The low-cost titanium dioxide (TiO2)-based photovoltaic devices such as dye-sensitized solar cells have been actively investigated to address this hurdle. $1-4$ Recently, the low-cost and solution processable organometal halide perovskite absorbers, in combination with the doublelayered $TiO₂$ structure, have achieved over 20% power conversion efficiency (PCE).⁵⁻⁷ The TiO₂ double layers involved a mesoporous or nano-structured $TiO₂$ layer, which was constructed at high temperatures (>550 °C) or by complicated self-assembly

Enhanced crystallinity of $CH_3NH_3Pbl_3$ by the precoordination of PbI₂–DMSO powders for highly reproducible and efficient planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells†

Jiyong Lee^a and Seunghyun Bai[k](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8962-8045) D^{*b}

Solution processable CH₃NH₃PbI₃ has received considerable attention for highly-efficient perovskite solar cells. However, the different solubility of PbI₂ and CH₃NH₃I is problematic, initiating active solvent engineering research using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Here we investigated the pre-coordination of PbI2–DMSO powders for planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells fabricated by a low-temperature process (\leq 100 °C). Pre-coordination was carried out by simple mechanical mixing using a mortar and pestle. The composition of PbI_2-DMSO_x (x = 0, 1, or 2) in the powder mixture was investigated by gradually increasing mechanical mixing time, and a dominant composition of PbI_2-DMSO_1 was obtained after a 10 min mixing process. The pre-coordinated PbI₂-DMSO powders were then blended with CH_3NH_3I in DMF to make the CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film by toluene-assisted spin-coating and heat treatment. Compared with the one-step blending of CH₃NH₃I, PbI₂, and DMSO in DMF, the pre-coordination method resulted in better dissolution of PbI2, larger grain size, and pinhole-free morphology. Consequently, absorption, fluorescence, carrier lifetime, and charge extraction were enhanced. The average open-circuit voltage (1.046 V), short-circuit current (22.9 mA cm⁻²), fill factor (73.5%), and power conversion efficiency (17.6%) were increased by 2–12% with decreased standard deviations (13–50%), compared with the one-step blending method. The best efficiency was 18.2%. The simple mechanical pre-coordination of PbI₂–DMSO powders was very effective in enhancing the crystallinity of $CH_3NH_3Pbl_3$ and photovoltaic performance PAPER
 Enhanced crystallinity of $CH_3NH_3Pb1_3$ **by the presentation of PbI₂ —DMSO powders for highly

Cheek for updates

Case this 80% and a 2005

Case this 80% and 2006

Solution perovskitte solar cells;

By reproducib**

methods, on top of a blocking compact $TiO₂$ layer to enhance interfacial coupling with perovskite.⁵⁻¹⁰ On the other hand, lowtemperature processable planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells are of great interest due to the simple single-layered $TiO₂$ structure and cost-competitive solar power applications.^{2,11-14}

It is challenging to construct highly crystalline perovskite on the $TiO₂$ layer with high reproducibility by the solution process.¹¹⁻²⁵ The irregular solvent evaporation rate, different solubility of $PbI₂$ and $CH₃NH₃I$, and different crystal growth rate of components in the precursor solution lead to large morphological deviations of perovskite film and photovoltaic performance variations.¹²–²⁵ The additive-aided dissolution of $PbI₂$ and/or control of solvent evaporation rate have been investigated to enhance reproducibility and PCE.²⁰–²⁵ The solvent engineering using CH_3NH_3I , PbI_2 , and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) or gammabutyrolactone was actively investigated.^{2,21,22,26,27} The adduct of $CH₃NH₃I-PbI₂-DMSO$ was formed due to the interaction between Lewis base (lone pair electrons on oxygen in DMSO and \mathbf{I}^- in CH₃NH₃I) and Lewis acid (PbI₂) enhancing the solubility of PbI₂.²² This approach, together with the DMF evaporation control using nonpolar diethyl ether, enhanced both the

a Department of Energy Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

b School of Mechanical Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea. E-mail: sbaik@me.skku.ac.kr

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: TGA characteristics, SEM images, TRPL analysis, and additional photovoltaic performance data. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra12304c

reproducibility and PCE (average: 18.3% , best: 19.7%).²² Pham et al. added lead chloride (PbCl₂) with the Lewis acid-base adducts for efficient perovskite solar cells (average: 18.1%, best: 19%).²⁷ The PbCl₂ additive decreased defects and enhanced crystallinity and PCE.²⁷

The water content in the lead halide was also systematically examined to improve solubility of PbI₂ and PCE of $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ based solar cells.²⁸ On the other hand, tin-based perovskites have been actively investigated due to the lower toxicity.²⁹ Solvent-coordinated tin halide complexes were investigated as impurity-free precursors for tin halide perovskite-based solar cells.²⁹

Here we investigated the pre-coordination of PbI_2 -DMSO powders for the planar heterojunction CH₃NH₃PbI₃-based solar cells. The pre-coordination was carried out by the simple mechanical mixing of DMSO and $PbI₂$ particles $(1:1 \text{ mol%)}$ using a mortar and pestle. The composition of $PbI_2-DMSO_x(x =$ 0, 1, or 2) in the powder mixture was investigated by gradually increasing mechanical mixing time, and the dominant composition of PbI_2 –DMSO₁ was obtained after 10 min mixing process. The PbI_2 -DMSO powders were then mixed with $CH₃NH₃I$ in DMF to make $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ film by spin-application and heat treatment (100 °C). The planar TiO₂ layer (50 nm) was formed on a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrate using the low-temperature (≤ 100 °C) TiCl₄ bath deposition method.^{2,13} For comparison, the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film was also synthesized by one-step blending of CH₃NH₃I, PbI₂, and DMSO in DMF following a previously published protocol.²² The precoordination method resulted in better dissolution of PbI2 which was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. A larger grain size and smaller grain boundary defects, such as pin holes, were achieved leading to an increase in absorption and photoluminescence. Accordingly, the charge carrier life time was increased and better charge extraction was achieved which was confirmed by time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) analysis. Overall, the average open circuit voltage (V_{oc} , 1.046 V), short-circuit current (J_{sc}, 22.9 mA cm $^{-2}$), fill factor (FF, 73.5%), and PCE (17.6%) were increased by 2, 3, 6, and 12%, respectively, compared with the one-step blending method. The standard deviations of V_{oc} (±0.009 V), J_{sc} (±0.4 mA cm⁻²), FF $(\pm 1.2\%)$, and PCE $(\pm 0.37\%)$ were decreased by 36, 13, 54, and 50%, compared with the one-step blending method, demonstrating improved reproducibility. The best FF and PCE were 75.5% and 18.2%. This indicated that the simple mechanical pre-coordination of PbI₂-DMSO powders was very efficient in enhancing the dissolution of PbI₂, crystallinity of $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$, and photovoltaic performance of planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells prepared by the low-temperature process $(\leq 100 \degree C).$ BSC Advances Superstanding the the set of 2.7%). Then the set of 2.7% are considered on the set of article is likely and t

2. Experimental

2.1. Transparent conductive substrate-electron transport layer preparation

All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification. The chemical bath deposition method was used to construct a planar $TiO₂$ layer on a fluorinedoped SnO_2 (FTO)-coated glass substrate (Pilkington, TEC 8). The cleaned FTO glass was immersed in 0.2 M titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄) aqueous solution at 60 °C for 65 min, washed with de-ionized water, and dried on a hot plate (100 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C},$ 1 h).^{1,13} The entire $TiO₂$ deposition process was carried out at low temperature (\leq 100 °C).

2.2. $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ precursor solution preparation

The $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film was fabricated on top of the planar TiO₂ layer by two different methods; one-step blending and DMSO pre-coordination (DPC) methods. For the DPC method, PbI_2 powders (1.22 g, Alpha Aesar) were mechanically mixed with DMSO (207 mg, Aldrich) using a mortar and pestle (1 : 1 mol%). The mechanical mixing time was varied (3, 6, or 10 min). The $CH₃NH₃I$ was prepared by stirring methylamine (27.8 mL, 40%) in methanol, TCI) with hydroiodic acid (30 mL, 57 wt% in water, Aldrich) in a round-bottom flask (0 \degree C, 2 h).² The CH₃NH₃I precipitate was then recovered by evaporation (50 \degree C for 5 h), washing with diethyl ether (30 min, 3 times), and drying under vacuum (60 °C for 24 h). Finally, the pre-coordinated $PbI₂$ -DMSO powders were stir-mixed (12 h) with $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{I}$ (0.42 g) in DMF (2 mL, Aldrich) to prepare the $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ precursor solution. For the one-step blending method, the $CH₃NH₃I$ powder (0.42 g) , PbI₂ powder (1.22 g) , and DMSO (207 mg) were simultaneously added in DMF $(2 \text{ mL}) (1 : 1 : 1 \text{ mol%)}$ and stirred at room temperature for 12 h to obtain the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ precursor solution following a previously published protocol.²²

2.3. $CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film fabrication$

The same procedure was used to fabricate the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film using the precursor solution prepared either by the one-step blending method or DPC method. The $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ precursor solution was coated on the planar $TiO₂$ layer the by two-step spinning process (1000 rpm for 3 s and 4500 rpm for 8 s) in a N_2 filled glove box. The evaporation rate of DMF was controlled by toluene dripping (0.3 mL) in the final stage (\sim 2 s) of spinning process.²¹ The final $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film was obtained by heat treatment (100 $^{\circ}$ C) at ambient air condition for 20 min.

2.4. Hole transport layer-electrode fabrication

The spiro-OMeTAD powder (72.3 mg, Solaronix) was dissolved in chlorobenzene (1.5 mL, Aldrich) with 4-tert-butylpyridine (28.8 μ l, Aldrich) and Li-TFSI solution (17.5 μ l, 520 mg in 1 mL acetonitrile) for the hole transporting layer precursor solution.² The spiro-OMeTAD layer was spin-deposited (4000 rpm, 40 s) on the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3/TiO_2/FTO/glass$ followed by drying in vacuum for 12 h. Finally, the gold electrode $(\sim 100 \text{ nm})$ was deposited on the spiro-OMeTAD layer by thermal evaporation. The active solar cell area was maintained constant (0.15 cm^2) using a photo mask.

2.5. Characterization

The pre-coordinated PbI_2 -DMSO powders were investigated by Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Bruker, IFS-66/S), XRD (Rigaku, D/MAX-2500/PC, Cu K_{α} radiation,

 $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, SEIKO, 7020, heating rate $= 2$ $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, SEIKO, Exstar 6000). The microstructure was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL, JSM-7600F) and XRD. The UV-Vis spectroscopy (Agilent, RSA-HP-8453) was performed on the planar specimen $\left(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3 \right)$ TiO2/FTO/glass). Photoluminescence and TRPL were measured using a confocal microscope system (NTEGRA SPECTRA, NT-MDT) with an objective lens (100 \times , NA 0.7) and a grating (150 lines per mm, 400 nm blaze). The excitation source was a pulsed laser (405 nm, 20 MHz, 1 μ W cm $^{-2}$). Photoluminescence data were collected using a thermoelectrically-cooled CCD detector. TRPL data were collected with a high-speed photomultiplier tube detector (Photonic Solutions, PMC-100, integration time $= 10$ s) for a time-correlated single-photon-counting system. The current density-voltage $(J-V)$ characteristics were measured using a digital source meter (Keithley 2400) under the AM 1.5 one sun illumination (Oriel, Sol3ATM, 100 mW $\rm cm^{-2}$). The voltage scan rate was 0.3 V $\rm s^{-1}.$ The external quantum efficiency (EQE) was also measured (Oriel, IQE 200). The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed using a potentiostat/galvanostat (Biologic, SP-240), and the data were analyzed using a Z-fit software (EC-Lab). Paper
 $\lambda = 1.5118$ Al, differential commitmy chorinetry (DSC, SBRO). Inter¹⁹⁷³ PMs, powder (LoS mAJ) and DMSO (2.65 mM) were

Travelige article. Published on the internation-term internation-term internation-term inter

3. Results and discussion

A schematic of the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film synthesis process is shown in Fig. 1A. In contrast to the one-step blending method where $PbI₂$, $CH₃NH₃I$, DMSO, and DMF are initially mixed at one time,^{21,22,27} PbI₂ powders (2.65 mM) and DMSO (2.65 mM) were mechanically pre-mixed using a mortar and pestle in the DPC method. The mechanical mixing time was gradually increased $(3, 6, \text{ and } 10 \text{ min})$ to systematically investigate PbI₂–DMSO_x (x = 0, 1, or 2) adduct formation in the powder. The loss of DMSO was negligible during the mortar mixing process due to the low saturation vapor pressure (0.76 kPa at 60 $^{\circ}$ C) and high boiling point (189 $^{\circ}$ C).²⁴ The thermogravimetric analysis of the precoordinated PbI_2 -DMSO_x powders indicated a 14.4% weight decrease at high temperatures $(>140\degree C)$ which was consistent with the initial weight ratio between DMSO and PbI_2 powders (ESI Fig. S1†).

Fig. 1B shows optical images of as-received PbI_2 and PbI_2 - DMSO_x adduct powders. The adduct powder exhibited lighter yellowish color with increasing mechanical mixing time. Fig. 1C compares FT-IR spectra of the powders. The sulfoxide oxygen in DMSO (electron-rich Lewis base) donates an electron pair to $PbI₂$ (electron-deficient Lewis acid) in the $PbI₂-DMSO$ adduct formation via Pb-O coordinate covalent bonding.^{22,26,30} The Pb-O bond formation was confirmed by the red shift of $S=O$ stretching vibration.^{22,26,30} The ν (S=O) peaks at 1020 cm⁻¹ and 978 cm⁻¹ correspond to PbI₂-DMSO₁ and the peak at 989 cm⁻¹ indicates PbI_2 -DMSO₂.³⁰ The PbI_2 -DMSO₂ peak decreased and stoichiometric PbI_2 -DMSO₁ peaks increased as the mechanical mixing time increased. This was consistent with XRD characterization (Fig. 1D). The PbI_2 -DMSO₂ peaks decreased as the mechanical mixing time increased. The PbI_2-DMSO_1 peaks became dominant after 10 min mixing. DSC analysis also revealed the dominant PbI_2-DMSO_1 formation as the

Fig. 1 The CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film synthesis on the planar TiO₂ by the DMSO pre-coordination (DPC) method. (A) Schematic of the synthesis process. (B–E) The PbI₂–DMSO_x (x = 0, 1, or 2) adduct formation in the powders was investigated as a function of the mechanical mixing time by optical images (B), FT-IR (C), XRD (D), and DSC (E) analyses.

mechanical mixing time increased (Fig. 1E). The endothermic peak at 114 °C indicates PbI_2 –DMSO₂, and the peak at a higher temperature corresponds to PbI_2-DMSO_1 .³¹ The different analytical methods consistently revealed the dominant $PbI₂$ $DMSO₁$ adduct formation as the mechanical mixing time increased up to 10 min in the DPC method. This left only a small amount of uncoordinated PbI_2 and PbI_2 – $DMSO_2$ in the powder mixture. The pre-coordinated PbI_2 –DMSO powders were then mixed with $CH₃NH₃I$ powders in DMF by stirring. The precursor solution was then coated on a planar $TiO₂/FTO/glass$ substrate by the two-step spinning process. The evaporation rate of DMF was controlled by toluene dripping in the final stage $(\sim 2 \text{ s})$ of spinning process.²¹ The residual solvent was quickly removed by the toluene dripping resulting in spontaneous formation of the $CH_3NH_3I-PbI_2-DMSO$ intermediate phase.^{21,22} Finally, the CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film was cured at 100 °C in ambient air environment (20 min).¹²

It is interesting to note that the PbI_2 –DMSO coordination was maintained even after dissolving the pre-coordinated $PbI₂$ - DMSO_x powders (DPC-10 min) in DMF. As shown in ESI Fig. S2,[†] there was negligible difference in the TGA spectra of DPC-10 min powders before and after dissolving in DMF. The fully dissolved DPC-10 min powders in DMF were retrieved by precipitation using toluene and drying in vacuum for the TGA measurement. In contrast, PbI₂ powders dissolved in DMF and retrieved by precipitation (PbI_2 – DMF) shows a decrease in weight concentration at lower temperatures. This clearly indicated the maintenance of PbI_2 –DMSO coordination in DMF solvent.

The crystallinity of perovskite films was investigated by XRD analysis (Fig. 2A), and corresponding SEM images are shown in

ESI Fig. S2.† The $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film prepared without DMSO additive exhibited a residual PbI₂ (001) diffraction peak at 12.6°. The PbI₂ with low solubility and CH_3NH_3I with high solubility resulted in the rapid crystal growth of nanorod-like $PbI₂$ with the porous $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ morphology during the fast evaporation of solvent (i.e., DMF) as shown in ESI Fig. S2A. $\dagger^{20,22,25}$ The residual PbI₂ peak was reduced in the $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ film prepared by the one-step blending method. The film crystallinity was significantly improved although a number of pinholes were observed (ESI Fig. S2B†). The $CH_3NH_3I-PbI_2$ -DMSO complex enhanced solubility of $PbI₂$ and conversion to $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ with more uniform film morphology.²¹⁻²⁷ Interestingly, the unconverted residual PbI_2 diffraction peak became negligible in the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film as the mechanical mixing time increased in the DPC method. The number of pin-holes also decreased with the increasing mixing time (ESI Fig. S2C– F[†]). The (110) peaks of perovskite films were magnified and fitted with Gaussian function (Fig. 2B).¹¹ The full width at half maximum (FWHM) was 0.255° for the one step blending method. The FWHM decreased from 0.253° to 0.230° as the mechanical mixing time increased from 3 to 10 min in the DPC method demonstrating improved crystallinity.¹¹ The XRD analysis indicated that the dominant PbI_2 -DMSO₁ composition in the mechanically mixed powder (Fig. 1) resulted in highly crystalline perovskite film without leaving unconverted PbI₂. There was negligible difference in the thickness $(\sim 500 \text{ nm})$ of $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ films prepared by the one-step blending method and the DPC method with different mechanical mixing times (see cross-sectional SEM images in ESI Fig. S3†). The enlarged grain size of the $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film synthesized by the DPC BSC Advances Are constant (Fig. 1E). The chockers are the studies are the constant of the con

Fig. 2 The crystallinity of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ films prepared by the one-step blending method and DPC method (3, 6, and 10 min mechanical mixing). (A) XRD analysis. The film prepared without DMSO was also compared. The PbI₂, CH₃NH₃PbI₃, and FTO peaks are denoted by #, \bullet , and *, respectively. (B) The (110) peak of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ was magnified and fitted with Gaussian distribution function. (C–F) SEM images of the CH₃- NH_3PbI_3 films. The scale bar is 200 nm. (G-J) Histogram of the grain size distribution.

method could be clearly observed from SEM images (Fig. 2C–F). The corresponding grain size distribution is shown in Fig. 2G–J. Each grain area was measured from SEM images, and the grain size was defined as a diameter of a circle with an identical area of the grain. The one-step blending method produced the $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ grain size distribution from 109 to 288 nm with an average of 179.1 nm. The grain size increased as the mechanical mixing time increased in the DPC method. The average grain size was 356.8 nm with a size distribution from 173 to 506 nm after the 10 min mixing process. Larger grains, reduced grain boundaries, and pin-hole free morphology were achieved by the 10 min pre-coordinated PbI_2 –DMSO powders. Perovskite films prepared by the 10 min mechanical mixing process were used for the further DPC method analysis unless otherwise stated.

Fig. 3A compares UV-Vis absorption spectra of the CH_3 - $NH₃PbI₃$ films synthesized without DMSO, by the one-step blending method, and by the DPC method. The different synthesis method did not affect the film thickness as shown in ESI Fig. S3.† The absorption onset centered at \sim 770 nm was observed similar to other $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ films.³² The film synthesized without DMSO provided the minimum absorbance. This could be due to the fast growth of nanorod-like $PbI₂$ with surrounding large pin-holes reducing the coverage of $CH₃$ - $NH₃PbI₃$ (ESI Fig. S2†). In contrast, the film synthesized by the

DPC method showed the highest absorbance. The pinhole free morphology was observed for the film synthesized by the DPC method (ESI Fig. $S2\dagger$) increasing the absorbance of the film. The enlarged perovskite grains also reduced the grain boundaries and defective electronic trap sites dominantly located at grain boundaries.6,31 The elimination of non-radiative recombination levels within the band gap, caused by removal of the defective sites at grain boundaries, enhanced photoluminescence intensity (DPC-10 min) as shown in Fig. 3B. The quenching of photoluminescence by the defective sites at grain boundaries was also reported in literature.^{6,32,34}

TRPL spectroscopy was employed to investigate the carrier recombination life time which is related with the charge diffusion length.^{32,35} The TRPL spectra of the perovskite/glass configuration is shown in Fig. 3C.⁶ The TRPL data were fitted using a biexponential decay function to calculate the average life time.⁶

$$
y = a_1 \times e^{\frac{-x}{\tau_1}} + a_2 \times e^{\frac{-x}{\tau_2}} + y_0
$$
 (1)

where a_1 and a_2 are weight constants, τ_1 and τ_2 are time decay constants, and y_0 is an offset. The average carrier lifetime can be calculated by eqn $(2)-(4)$.

$$
A_1 \ \ (\%) = \ \frac{a_1}{a_1 + a_2} \times 100 \tag{2}
$$

Fig. 3 Optical characteristics of the CH₃NH₃PbI₃ films prepared by the one-step blending and DPC methods. (A, B) UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra (perovskite/TiO₂/FTO/glass). (C) TRPL characteristics of perovskite/glass, perovskite/TiO₂/glass, and spiro-OMe-TAD/perovskite/glass. The specimen was excited from the glass side by a pulsed laser (20 MHz, 407 nm, 1 μ W cm⁻²).

$$
A_2 \ \left(\frac{0}{6} \right) = \frac{a_2}{a_1 + a_2} \times 100 \tag{3}
$$

Average lifetime (ns) =
$$
\frac{A_1 \tau_1^2 + A_2 \tau_2^2}{A_1 \tau_1 + A_2 \tau_2}
$$
 (4)

The detailed fitting parameters are provided in ESI Table S1.† The fast decay time constant (τ_1) is closely related with the non-radiative recombination by defective charge trap sites at grain boundaries, and the slow decay time constant (τ_2) is related with the intrinsic radiative recombination in bulk perovskite crystal.⁶ The $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film synthesized by the DPC method exhibited a longer τ_1 (2.51 ns) implying reduced non-radiative recombination at grain boundaries and a longer τ_2 (17.08 ns) due to the improved bulk perovskite crystallinity.⁶ Overall, the average carrier lifetime (15.34 ns) was 29% longer than that (11.93 ns) of the perovskite film synthesized by the one-step blending method. The perovskite film with an electron transporting layer (perovskite/TiO₂/glass) or a hole transporting layer (spiro-OMeTAD/perovskite/glass) showed much faster quenching behavior (Fig. 3C). Compared with the $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3$ film synthesized by the one-step blending method, all the time constants (τ_1 , τ_2 , and average life time) were smaller for the perovskite film synthesized by the DPC method either with the electron or hole transporting layer (ESI Table S1†). This indicated a more efficient charge extraction due to the stronger

interfacial coupling with the electron and hole transporting layer.³⁰

The increased carrier life time in the perovskite and efficient charge carrier extraction with the transporting layers resulted in enhanced photovoltaic performance as shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4A compares the best J–V curves of perovskite solar cells synthesized by the one-step blending and DPC methods. The J–V data were measured at reverse scan direction. All the photovoltaic parameters ($J_{\rm sc} = 22.9$ mA cm⁻², $V_{\rm oc} = 1.053$ V, FF = 75.5%, and $PCE = 18.2\%$) were improved by the DPC method compared with those $(J_{\rm sc} = 22.3 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}, V_{\rm oc} = 1.037 \text{ V}, \text{FF} = 72.7\%, \text{and}$ $PCE = 16.9\%$) obtained by the one-step blending method. Fig. 4B shows the EQE spectrum of the best performing solar cells. The DPC method possessed a greater integrated J_{sc} , compared with the one-step blending method, which is consistent with the J–V data in Fig. 4A. The hysteresis between the forward and reverse scan was decreased by the DPC method (ESI Fig. S6†). The decrease in charge trap sites, including pinholes, typically located at grain boundaries contributed to the decrease in hysteresis.^{33,36,37} The average values and standard deviations of V_{oc} , J_{sc} , FF, and PCE from 30 devices are compared in Fig. 4C. The DPC method showed a clear enhancement in photovoltaic performance ($V_{\text{oc}} = 1.046 \pm 1.046$ 0.009 V, $J_{\rm sc} = 22.9 \pm$ 0.40 mA cm⁻², FF = 73.5 \pm 1.2%, and PCE $= 17.6 \pm 0.37$ %), compared with the one-step blending method $\left(V_{\text{oc}}=1.027\pm0.014\;\text{V}, J_{\text{sc}}=22.30\pm0.46\;\text{mA}\;\text{cm}^{-2},\text{FF}=69.1\pm0.014\;\text{V}, J_{\text{sc}}=22.30\pm0.46\;\text{mA}\;\text{cm}^{-2},\text{FF}=69.1\pm0.014\;\text{V}, J_{\text{sc}}=22.30\pm0.46\;\text{mA}\;\text{cm}^{-2},\text{FF}=69.1\pm0.014\;\text{V}, J_{\text{sc}}=22.30\pm0.46\;\text{mA}\;\text$ BSC Advances
 $A_2(t) = \frac{\alpha_2}{a_1 + a_2} \times 100$ (a) interfacial coupling with the electron and hole transporting

Average Ifclining (an) $\frac{A_2(t) + A_2(t)}{A_1(t) + A_2(t)}$ (4) chanced phatomatic literions are hown in Fig. 14(a) deg

Fig. 4 Current density–voltage (J–V) characteristics of perovskite solar cells synthesized by the one-step blending and DPC methods. (A, B) The best $J-V$ curve and external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum with integrated J_{sc} obtained by each method. (C) The average values and standard deviations of V_{oc} , J_{sc} , FF, and PCE from 30 devices.

2.6%, and PCE = 15.8 \pm 0.74%). The average V_{oc} , J_{sc} , FF, and PCE values were increased by 2, 3, 6, and 12%, respectively. The standard deviations of V_{oc} , J_{sc} , FF, and PCE were decreased by 36, 13, 54, and 50% demonstrating enhanced reproducibility. The reduced grain boundary defects including pin holes enabled reproducible photovoltaic performance of perovskite solar cells.²¹⁻²⁵

The nominal composition of CH_3NH_3I , PbI₂, DMSO, and DMF was same in the precursor solutions for the one-step blending method and DPC method. However, the dominant PbI_2 –DMSO₁ formation, after the mechanical mixing process in the DPC method, left only a small amount of uncoordinated PbI_2 and PbI_2 -DMSO₂ in the powder mixture (Fig. 1). This resulted in highly crystalline $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ film with negligible residual PbI₂, negligible pin-holes, and large grains (Fig. 2). Consequently, the photovoltaic performance was enhanced compared with the one-step method (Fig. 4). Among the solar cells prepared by the DPC method, the photovoltaic performance was systematically enhanced from 15.3 to 18.2% as the mechanical mixing time increased from 3 to 10 min (ESI Fig. S6†). The average PCE also increased with a decrease in standard deviation. A longer mechanical mixing time (15 min) in the DPC method did not further improve the photovoltaic performance. It is interesting to note that an increased stirring time from 12 to 36 hours in the one-step blending method did not further increase the power conversion efficiency (ESI Fig. $S7\dagger$). This indicated the importance of forming $PbI₂$ $DMSO₁$ in the powder mixture by the DPC method for the enhancement of photovoltaic performance. Paper
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Solution Access Article on Open Commons Article is article. Published on 12/2024 3:32 AM. This article is licensed under the common of the capacitation of the common of the common of the common of the com

As shown in Fig. 5, a decrease in series resistance (R_s) and an increase in shunt resistance (R_{sh}) were observed with smaller deviations for the solar cells fabricated by the DPC method. R_s and R_{sh} were calculated using the lumped equivalent circuit model.2,38,39

$$
I = I_{\rm ph} - I_0 \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{q(V + IR_{\rm s})}{nkT} \right] - 1 \right\} - \frac{V + IR_{\rm s}}{R_{\rm sh}} \tag{5}
$$

(B) One-step blending method

Fig. 5 The series (A) and shunt resistance (B) of perovskite solar cells prepared by the one-step blending and DPC methods. Thirty devices were fabricated for each type.

where I_{ph} is the photo-generated current delivered by constant current source, I_0 is the saturation current of the equivalent diode, q is the elementary charge, k is the Boltzmann's constant, T is the cell temperature in kelvin, n is the diode ideality factor, I is the measured current, and V is the measured cell voltage. R_s and R_{sh} can be approximated by differentiating eqn (5) in case of negligible I_0 and a significantly larger R_{sh} compared with $R_{\rm s}$.^{38,39} $R_{\rm s}$ was calculated by assuming $n = 1$ (ref. 40) and $T = 300$ K.

$$
R_{\rm s} = -\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}I}\right)_{V=V_{\rm oc}} - \frac{nkT}{q} \times \frac{1}{I_{\rm sc}}, \quad R_{\rm sh} = -\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}I}\right)_{I=I_{\rm sc}} \tag{6}
$$

A more efficient charge carrier extraction with the transporting layers (Fig. 3C) resulted in a decrease in R_s . The increase in $R_{\rm sh}$ was attributed to the reduced grain boundary with pinhole free morphology (Fig. 2 and ESI S2 \dagger).³⁷ The dense $CH₃NH₃PbI₃$ film increased absorbance (Fig. 3a) and reduced

Fig. 6 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of perovskite solar cells prepared by the one-step blending and DPC methods. (A) Nyquist plots measured at the applied bias of 500, 750, and 1000 mV (100 mW cm^{-2} illumination). The low impedance region is magnified in the inset. (B) The recombination resistance is shown as a function of the applied bias. The equivalent circuit is provided in the inset. R_1 is the series resistance of cell, C_{μ} is the chemical capacitance, and R_{rec} is the recombination resistance. C_{HTM} and R_{HTM} are the capacitance and resistance of hole transport materials.

(A) One-step blending method

 10

Table 1 The key parameters used for fitting the EIS data in the equivalent circuit model. The applied voltage was 500 mV

Method	$R_1(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm HTM}(\Omega)$	$C_{\rm HTM}(\mu F)$	$R_{\text{rec}}(\Omega)$	$C_{\mu}(\mu F)$
One-step	10.85	9.79	1.31	79.1	0.03
DPC-10 min	10.48	8.72	1.57	119.1	0.03

recombination sites. Overall, this lead to an impressive increase in FF and PCE.

The EIS analysis was performed to measure the recombination resistance (R_{rec}) .^{27,41-43} Nyquist plots for the DPC and onestep blending methods were compared at the applied bias of 500, 750, and 1000 mV as shown in Fig. 6A. The frequency range was $1-10⁶$ Hz. The arc feature consists of two semicircles. The high frequency semicircle corresponds to the resistance of hole transport materials (R_{HTM}) , and the dominant low frequency feature is related with R_{rec} , $27,42,43$ The arc decreased as the applied bias increased. The DPC method exhibited a larger arc than the one-step blending method. R_{rec} was calculated using the equivalent circuit model shown in the inset of Fig. 6A. The same equivalent circuit model has been widely employed to describe the EIS data of perovskite solar cells prepared by the solution process. $27,41-43$ There was an excellent fitting with the experimental data, and key fitting parameters are provided in Table 1. Compared with the one-step blending method, the DPC method exhibited enhanced R_{rec} at the entire bias range indicating suppressed charge recombination (Fig. 6B). This contributed to the higher $J_{\rm sc}$ and $V_{\rm oc}$ in the DPC method.⁴⁴ $R_{\rm rec}$ started to decrease over 0.7 V, and the slope was steeper for the DPC method. This indicated a lower diode ideality factor for the DPC method enhancing FF.^{43,45} Overall, the EIS analysis was consistent with the $J-V$ characteristics (Fig. 4). **BSC Arbaness**

Telet 4 Tre any constraint used to relieve and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{$

The statistical photovoltaic performance analysis demonstrated a clear advantage of the pre-coordinated PbI_2 -DMSO powders prepared by the simple mechanical mixing method. A further optimization of the TiO₂ structures, solvents, materials, and detailed processing parameters may enhance the photovoltaic performance of solar cells fabricated by the DPC method in the future.

4. Conclusions

The pre-coordinated PbI_2 -DMSO powders enhanced the photovoltaic performance of planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells fabricated by the low temperature process ($\leq 100 \degree C$). The pre-coordination was carried out by the simple mechanical mixing of DMSO and PbI_2 particles $(1:1 \text{ mol})\%$ using a mortar and pestle. The dominant composition of PbI_2 -DMSO₁ was obtained after the 10 min mixing process. The PbI_2 -DMSO powders were then mixed with $CH₃NH₃I$ in DMF to make the $CH₃NH₃PbI₃ film by the tolerance-assisted spin-coating and heat$ treatment. This method resulted in better dissolution of $PbI₂$, larger grain size, and pinhole free morphology. The TRPL analysis revealed an increased charge carrier life time and better charge extraction. Overall, the average V_{oc} (1.046 V), J_{sc} (22.9 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$), FF (73.5%), and PCE (17.6%) were enhanced by 2, 3, 6,

and 12%, respectively, compared with the one-step mixing method. The standard deviations were decreased indicating improved reproducibility. The simple mechanical precoordination of PbI₂-DMSO powders effectively enhanced crystallinity of $CH_3NH_3PbI_3$ leading to the enhanced photovoltaic performance with the best PCE of 18.2%.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2017R1A2A1A17069289), Ministry of Trade Industry & Energy (10048884), and the Fundamental Technology Research Program (2014M3A7B4052200) through the NRF grants funded by Korean government (MSIP).

Notes and references

- 1 Q. Zheng, H. Kang, J. Yun, J. Lee, J. H. Park and S. Baik, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 5088–5093.
- 2 J. Lee, M. M. Menamparambath, J. Y. Hwang and S. Baik, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 2358–2362.
- 3 J. H. Heo, S. H. Im, J. H. Noh, T. N. Mandal, C.-S. Lim, J. A. Chang, Y. H. Lee, H. Kim, A. Sarkar, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel and S. I. Seok, Nat. Photonics, 2013, 7, 486–491.
- 4 B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, Nature, 1991, 353, 737-740.
- 5 W. S. Yang, J. H. Noh, N. J. Jeon, Y. C. Kim, S. Ryu, J. Seo and S. I. Seok, Science, 2015, 348, 1234–1237.
- 6 D. Y. Son, J. W. Lee, Y. J. Choi, I. H. Jang, S. Lee, P. J. Yoo, H. Shin, N. Ahn, M. Choi, D. Kim and N. G. Park, Nat. Energy, 2016, 1, 1–8.
- 7 M. Saliba, T. Matsu, J. Y. Seo, K. Domanski, J. P. Correa-Baena, M. K. Nazeeruddin, S. M. Zekeeruddin, W. Tress, A. Abate, A. Hagfeldt and M. Grätzel, Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 1989–1997.
- 8 J. W. Lee, D. J. Seol, A. N. Cho and N. G. Park, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4991–4998.
- 9 D. Zhong, B. Cai, X. Wang, Z. Yang, Y. Xing, S. Miao, W. H. Zhang and C. Li, Nano Energy, 2015, 11, 409–418.
- 10 M. S. Seo, I. Jeong, J. S. Park, J. Lee, I. K. Han, W. I. Lee, H. J. Son, B. H. Sohn and M. J. Ko, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 11472–11479.
- 11 Q. Chen, H. Zhou, Y. Fang, A. Z. Stieg, T. B. Song, H. H. Wang, X. Xu, Y. Liu, S. Lu, J. You, P. Sun, J. McKay, M. S. Goorsky and Y. Yang, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7269.
- 12 H. Zhou, Q. Chen, G. Li, S. Luo, T. Song, H. S. Duan, Z. Hong, J. You, Y. Liu and Y. Yang, Science, 2014, 345, 542–546.
- 13 A. Yella, L. P. Heinger, P. Gao, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, Nano Lett., 2014, 14, 2591-2596.
- 14 H. Kim, K. G. Lim and T. W. Lee, Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 12–30.
- 15 M. Liu, M. B. Johnston and H. J. Snaith, Nature, 2013, 501, 395–398.
- 16 Q. Chen, H. Zhou, Z. Hong, S. Luo, H. S. Duan, H. H. Wang, Y. Liu, G. Li and Y. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 622– 625.
- 17 S. Bai, N. Sakai, W. Zhang, Z. Wang, J. T. W. Wang, F. Gao and H. J. Snaith, Chem. Mater., 2017, 29, 462–473.
- 18 G. E. Eperon, V. M. Burlakov, P. Docampo, A. Goriely and H. J. Snaith, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 151–157.
- 19 B. Conings, L. Baeten, C. D. Dobbelaere, J. D'Hean, J. Manca and H. G. Boyer, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 2041–2046.
- 20 Y. J. Jeon, S. Lee, R. Kang, J. E. Kim, J. S. Yeo, S. H. Lee, S. S. Kim, J. M. Yun and D. Y. Kim, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 6953.
- 21 N. J. Jeon, J. H. Noh, Y. C. Kim, W. S. Yang, S. Ryu and S. I. Seok, Nat. Mater., 2014, 13, 897–903.
- 22 N. Ahn, D. Y. Son, I. H. Jang, S. M. Kang, M. Choi and N. G. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 8695–8699.
- 23 W. Li, J. Fan, J. Li, Y. Mai and L. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 10399–10405.
- 24 Y. Wu, A. Islam, X. Yang, C. Qin, J. Liu, K. Zhang, W. Peng and L. Han, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 2934–2938.
- 25 X. Cao, C. Li, Y. Li, F. Fang, X. Cui, Y. Yao and J. Wei, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 19804–19810.
- 26 X. Li, D. Bi, C. Yi, J. D. Décoppet, J. Luo, S. M. Zakeeruddin, A. Hagfeldt and M. Grätzel, Science, 2016, 353, 58-62.
- 27 N. D. Pham, V. T. Tiong, P. Chen, L. Wang, G. J. Wilson, J. Bell and H. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 5195–5203.
- 28 A. Wakamiya, M. Endo, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh, Y. Ogomi, S. Hayase and Y. Murata, Chem. Lett., 2014, 43, 711–713.
- 29 M. Ozaki, Y. Katsuki, J. Liu, T. Handa, R. Nishikubo, S. Yakumaru, Y. Hashikawa, Y. Murata, T. Saito, Y. Shimakawa, Y. Kanemitsu, A. Saeki and A. Wakamiya, ACS Omega, 2017, 2, 7016–7021.
- 30 I. Wharf, T. Gramstad, R. Makhija and M. Onyszchuk, Can. J. Chem., 1976, 54, 3430–3438.
- 31 J. Muhammad, J. H. Heo, C. E. Song, K. Kong, W. S. Shin, J. Lee, S. H. Im and S. Moon, Nano Energy, 2016, 27, 330–339.
- 32 C. Rold´an-Carmona, P. Gratia, I. Zimmermann, G. Grancini, P. Gao, M. Grätzel and M. K. Nazeeruddin, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8, 3550–3556.
- 33 J. Xu, A. Buin, A. H. Ip, W. Li, O. Voznyy, R. Comin, M. Yuan, S. Jeon, Z. Ning, J. J. Mcdowell, P. Kanjanaboos, J. P. Sun, X. Lan, L. N. Quan, D. H. Kim, L. G. Hill, P. Maksymovych and E. H. Sargent, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 7081.
- 34 F. Wang, A. Shimazaki, F. Yang, K. Kanahashi, K. Matsuki, Y. Miyauchi, T. Takenobu, A. Wakamiya, Y. Murata and K. Matsuda, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 1562–1568.
- 35 S. D. Stranks, G. E. Eperon, G. Grancini, C. Menelaou, M. J. P. Alcocer, T. Leijtens, L. M. Herz, A. Petrozza and H. J. Snaith, Science, 2013, 342, 341–344.
- 36 W. Nie, H. Tsai, R. Asadpour, J. C. Blancon, A. J. Neukirch, G. Gupta, J. J. Crochet, M. Chhowalla, S. Tretiak, M. A. Alam, H. L. Wang and A. D. Mohite, Science, 2015, 347, 522–525.
- 37 W. Qiu, T. Merckx, M. Jaysankar, C. M. Huerta, L. Rakocevic, W. Zhang, U. W. Paetzold, R. Gehlhaar, L. Froyen, J. Poortmans, D. Chenyns, H. J. Snaith and P. Heremans, Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 484–489. Paper Weekly, M. B. Johnston and H. J. Smailt, Nearer, 2013. 901, 22 C. Robins, Theoretical is Article. Published on 11/28/2024 3:02 C. Americal is a specifical is licensed under a Creative Commons Articles. Depends Attrib
	- 38 J. Lee, H. Kang, J. Y. Hwang, S. W. Kim and S. Baik, Carbon, 2014, 79, 337–345.
	- 39 N. Koide, A. Islam, Y. Chiba and L. Han, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2006, 182, 296–305.
	- 40 J. H. Im, I. H. Jang, N. Pellet, M. Grätzel and N. G. Park, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2014, 9, 927–932.
	- 41 C. Chen, Y. Zhai, F. Li, F. Tan, G. Yue, W. Zhang and M. Wang, J. Power Sources, 2017, 341, 396–403.
	- 42 H. Kim, J. Lee, N. Yantara, P. P. Boix, S. A. Kulkarni, S. Mhaisalkar, M. Grätzel and N. G. Park, Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 2412–2417.
	- 43 J. A. Chritians, R. C. M. Fung and P. V. Kamat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 758–764.
	- 44 F. Fabregat-Santiago, G. Garcia-Belmonte, I. Mora-Seró and J. Bisquert, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 9083–9118.
	- 45 Y. Yang, K. Ri, A. Mei, L. Liu, M. Hu, T. Liu, X. Li and H. Han, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 9103–9107.