RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 2477

Received 20th November 2017 Accepted 30th December 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra12617d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Three-dimensional macroporous graphene monoliths with entrapped MoS₂ nanoflakes from single-step synthesis for high-performance sodium-ion batteries†

Linfeng Fei[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7329-0019) ^{Da} Ming Xu,^{ac} Juan Jiang,^{ad} Sheung Mei Ng,^a Longlong Shu,^b Li Sun, D^e Keyu Xie[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3861-2702) ^{1g fg} Haitao Huang, ¹⁰ Chi Wah Leung,^a Chee Leung Mak^{*a} and Yu Wang^{*b}

Layered metal sulfides (MoS₂, WS₂, SnS₂, and SnS) offer high potential as advanced anode materials in sodium ion batteries upon integration with highly-conductive graphene materials. However, in addition to being costly and time-consuming, existing strategies for synthesizing sulfides/graphene composites often involve complicated procedures. It is therefore essential to develop a simple yet scalable pathway to construct sulfide/graphene composites for practical applications. Here, we highlight a one-step, template-free, high-throughput "self-bubbling" method for producing MoS₂/graphene composites, which is suitable for large-scale production of sulfide/graphene composites. The final product featured MoS₂ nanoflakes distributed in three-dimensional macroporous monolithic graphene. Moreover, this unique MoS₂/graphene composite achieved remarkable electrochemical performance when being applied to Na-ion battery anodes; namely, excellent cycling stability (474 mA h g^{-1} at 0.1 A g^{-1} after 100 cycles) and high rate capability (406 mA h g $^{-1}$ at 0.25 A g $^{-1}$ and 359 mA h g $^{-1}$ at 0.5 A g $^{-1}$). This self-bubbling approach should be applicable to delivering other graphene-based composites for emerging applications such as energy storage, catalysis, and sensing. PAPER

(a) Check for updates
 EXERCATE AND SET THEST

Check for updates
 EXERCATE AND SET THEST

Check for updates
 EXERCATE AND SET THEST

Check for 200

Single-step synthesis for high-performance

Sodium-ion bat

Sodium-ion batteries (NIBs) have been proposed as promising alternatives to lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) in the megawatt- and kilowatt-scale energy storage scenarios (i.e.; electric vehicles, stationary grids) for their high cost-effectiveness, sustainability, and environmental benignity.¹ Since the operation chemistry of NIBs is very similar to that of LIBs, knowledge gained from developing LIB technology can be mostly applied to NIBs with the exception of electrode materials.^{2,3} In particular, the larger

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra12617d

ionic radius of Na⁺ (0.102 nm) than that of $Li⁺$ (0.076 nm) makes graphite, the most commonly used anode in LIBs, unable to accommodate sodium ions in a satisfactory regime.⁴ Inspired by the findings on LIBs, scientists have tested carbonaceous materials,^{5,6} alloy materials (Sn, Sb),^{7,8} and metal oxides (Fe₂O₃, CuO, TiO₂)⁹⁻¹¹ as anode materials for NIBs. Unfortunately, due to the large volume change and/or the sluggish kinetics during charge/discharge cycles, these materials delivered either low reversible capacity or poor cyclability.¹² Consequently, layered metal sulfides $(MoS₂, WS₂, SnS₂$ and SnS) have also been explored as anode materials in NIBs due to their unique structural characteristics.¹³ For example, molybdenum sulfide $(MoS₂)$, stemming from its large interlayer spacing $(0.62 \text{ nm},$ compared to 0.34 nm for graphite) and high capacity for hosting foreign species, has been recently highlighted as a possible candidate for anode material in NIBs.¹⁴–¹⁹ According to the intercalation and conversion reaction between one $MoS₂$ molecule and four Na⁺, the theoretical capacity of $MoS₂$ is as high as 670 mA h g^{-1} .²⁰

However, there are two major issues when using $MoS₂$ as anodes in large-scale applications: poor electronic conductivity and drastic volume expansion upon conversion reaction from MoS_{2} to Mo and $\mathrm{Na}_{2}\mathrm{S}.^{18,20-27}$ One effective approach to address the problems and thus improve the electrochemical performance of $MoS₂$ in NIBs is by supporting $MoS₂$ with conductive

a Department of Applied Physics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China. E-mail: apaclmak@polyu.edu.hk

b School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330031, China. E-mail: wangyu@ncu.edu.cn

c School of Metallurgical and Environment, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China

^dHubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Organic Chemical Materials, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

e Beijing Key Laboratory of Materials Utilization of Nonmetallic Minerals and Solid Wastes, National Laboratory of Mineral Materials, School of Materials Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China

^fState Key Laboratory of Solidification Processing, Center for Nano Energy Materials, Northwestern Polytechnical University and Shaanxi Joint Laboratory of Graphene (NPU), Xi'an 710072, China

g Department of Materials Science and NanoEngineering, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

scaffolds to create porous composites, so as to simultaneously improve its conductivity as well as buffer the volumetric variation.¹² In this regard, carbon materials, especially graphene, have been repeatedly confirmed to be an efficient conductive additive in electrode materials in resolving the above issues.^{28,29} Some examples of such effective treatment on electrode materials include sulfur/graphene cathode in lithium–sulfur batteries,³⁰ lithium metal phosphates/carbon cathode materials in LIBs,³¹⁻³⁴ and various metal oxides/graphene anode materials in LIBs.³⁵

To improve the electrical conductivity and enhance the structural integrity of MoS_2 anode, MoS_2 /graphene composites have been synthesized via several methods and applied in NIBs.^{17,18,21,23,26,36-41} For instance, David et al. prepared $MOS₂/$ graphene composite paper through vacuum filtration of homogeneous dispersions consisting of exfoliated $MoS₂$ and graphene oxide sheets, followed by thermal reduction at elevated temperatures.¹⁸ Wang et al. and Xie et al. also synthesized $MoS₂/graphene$ composites via hydrothermal reactions plus thermal annealing, respectively.^{23,26} In spite of the significant synthetic achievements made, the existing strategies for synthesizing $MoS₂/graphene$ composites present a few shortcomings as these methods often involve complicated procedures (graphene oxide preparation, $MoS₂$ preparation, compositing or mixing step, thermal treatments, etc.) in addition to be costly and time-consuming.²⁸ Another issue with existing $MoS₂/graphene$ compositing methods is that some of them do not ensure the intimate contact between MoS2/graphene interfaces, an unfavorable condition for electrochemical applications (charge-transfer process).²⁶ Finally, most of the present $MoS₂/graphene$ compositing methods are faced with the issue of low yield, ranging from several tens to hundreds milligrams of powders under laboratory conditions. BSC Arbaness

scatiolatio creation provides are a infinite result on 2018. Result is and discussion of Papel

improve to represently a contributed to be an effective creation with weaken a support-mode on the anti-

altho

Herein, we report a single-step, template-free, highthroughput "self-bubbling" method for synthesizing $MoS₂/$ graphene composite. Our method is cost-effective, simple and scalable. The synthesis utilizes the thermal decomposition of solid precursor to generate $MoS₂$; meanwhile, the released gas from the decomposition reaction blows premixed, melted glucose into crowded bubbles, which then evolve into graphene structures during annealing. The final product is microscopically featured as highly crystalline $MoS₂$ nanoflakes distributed in three-dimensional (3D) macroporous monolithic graphene. With the additional assistance of intimate interfacial contacts between $MoS₂$ and graphene, our composite demonstrates considerably improved electrochemical performance when compared with those of conventional $MoS₂/graphene$ composite upon application in NIBs. It is expected that such a unique $MoS₂/graphene$ composite should hold potential in promoting the development of practical $MoS₂$ anode in NIBs, while the straightforward self-bubbling method could offer the opportunity in producing $MoS₂/graphene$ composites in industrial scale as well as synthesizing other advanced graphene-based composites.

Results and discussion

We demonstrate a one-step "self-bubbling" system, for the first time, to synthesize the graphene/ $MoS₂$ composite in this work. Empirically, thermal decomposition of (NH_4) ₂MoS₄ in inert atmosphere leads to $MoS₂$ while releasing a considerable amount of gases.^{42,43} Results from our carefully conducted thermogravimetric and differential scanning calorimetry (TG/ DSC) analysis for $(NH_4)_2M_0S_4$ decomposition in flowing Ar (Fig. 1a) suggests the following processes:

$$
(NH_4)_2MoS_4 \rightarrow 2NH_3\uparrow + H_2S\uparrow + MoS_3 (210\text{--}380\text{ }^\circ C) \quad \ (1)
$$

$$
MoS3 \rightarrow MoS2 + S2 (400–700 °C)
$$
 (2)

Inspired by these findings, we started with a mixture of $(NH_4)_2M_0S_4$ (as M_0S_2 source) and glucose (as carbon source) with the setup of a two-stage annealing sequence to produce graphene/ $MoS₂$ hybrid, as shown in Fig. 1b. In the first stage (from room temperature to 200 °C), glucose (m.p. 146 °C) melts into viscous liquid while $(NH_4)_2M_0S_4$ remains solid inside it. Sequentially, during the second stage (from 200 to 1100 $^{\circ}$ C),

Fig. 1 Experimental design of the "self-bubbling" method. (a) TG/DSC profiles of the $(NH_4)_{2}M_0S_4$ precursor in flowing Ar. (b) The heating program of the "self-bubbling" experiment. (c) The corresponding schematics depicting the synthetic route of the MoS₂@G hybrid.

 $(NH_4)_2M_0S_4$ decomposes into M_0S_2 and crystallizes while the released gas species (ammonia, hydrogen sulfide and sulfur vapor) blow the melted glucose to form crowded bubbles with ultrathin walls, which are then graphitized into 3D graphene networks at high temperature (the released gases actually serve as soft templates to direct the growth of graphene structures.). With this simple approach, a rational nanostructure consists of $MoS₂$ and graphene was obtained (hereafter abbreviated as $MoS₂@G$ hybrid). Besides, our approach also allows the $MoS₂/$ graphene ratio in the final product to be expediently tuned by using different ratios of (NH_4) ₂MoS₄ and glucose as precursors for various potential applications (see Fig. S1,† the composites with varied $MoS₂/graphene$ ratios). The advantages of such novel approach include low cost, high flexibility, easy operability and excellent scalability. The complete annealing program is presented in Fig. 1b as well as described in the Experimental methods section in the ESI.† A schematic diagram of the whole process is further shown in Fig. 1c.

The effectiveness of our approach could be fully confirmed by systematic microstructural analysis of the end-product. Firstly, microscopies were involved to reveal the morphological characteristics of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid. The single production of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid under our laboratory condition is up to ca. 1–2 g when a 1-inch (diameter) tube furnace was used, and the product is foam-like black solid (inset of Fig. 2a). An optical image (Fig. 2a) manifests that the product is composed of largescale crowded bubbles. The walls of these bubbles are so thin that the light can penetrate through them, leading to rainbowlike reflections on their surface. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images (Fig. 2b and c) suggest the bubbles are mostly polyhedral units, with a broad size distribution from 1 to 50 micrometers in diameter. Enlarged SEM image (Fig. 2d) further reveals that the wall of the bubbles is made up of

ultrathin nanosheets, and every three to four bubbles are interconnected by a strut (denoted by red arrow). One can also notice the presence of large areas of wrinkle-like structures on the nanosheets (denoted by black arrows), a typical phenomenon associated with large-sized graphene, which helps to further increase the surface area of the sample.⁴⁴ Such structure of monolithic graphene is analogous to the 3D Voronoi structure (which is frequently seen in soap bubbles and styrofoam)45,46 and provides a number of advantages such as excellent mechanical stability, high surface area, and effective avoidance of the graphene restack.

Our results also show that the graphene walls are decorated with nanosized particulates in the SEM images, most likely the result of the $MoS₂$ content. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images in Fig. 2e and f verify the nanoparticles are quasihexagonal nanoflakes (in consistent with our previous in situ experiment²²), with a lateral size of $50-100$ nm and thickness of 5–10 nm. As expected, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern in Fig. 2g can be readily assigned to hexagonal $MoS₂ structure (JCPDS no. 37-1492) while the bright diffraction$ rings reflect high crystallinity (also refer to Fig. $S2$, \dagger the energy dispersive spectra (EDS) from the nanoflakes region). The diffraction rings in the SAED pattern correspond to a polycrystalline character, a result of the cumulative signals from many nanoflakes across the selected area aperture (Fig. 2e) although the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image in Fig. 2h shows that each $MoS₂$ nanoflake is clearly a single crystal. The intimate contact between $MoS₂$ nanoflakes and graphene nanosheets is also evident from the TEM images (Fig. 2e–i), a favorable condition for enhancing the electroactivity of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid.²⁶ Interestingly, despite the validation of the inplane *d*-spacing of MoS₂ (0.26 nm for (100) planes) and interlayer distance of graphene (0.34 nm for (002) planes) as shown Paper

Operation MoS, and crystallies while the ultrainin nanofhests, and every three to four bubbles are

relevent for the properties are the animality of the common access article is likely are electronic published on th

Fig. 2 Morphology characterizations of the MoS₂@G hybrid. (a) Optical image and digital image (inset) of the product. (b–d) SEM images of MoS₂@G hybrid. (e) Top-view and (f) side-view TEM images of MoS₂ nanoflakes distributed on few-layered graphene. (g) SAED pattern of MoS₂@G hybrid. (h and i) HRTEM images of MoS₂@G hybrid. The scale bar in (h) also applies in (i)

in the HRTEM images (Fig. 2h and i), a slightly expanded interlayer distance of MoS₂ (\sim 0.65 nm, 0.62 nm for natural $MoS₂$) can be identified throughout repeated observations. It should be noted that $MoS₂$ structure with expanded interlayers is commonly considered to be highly beneficial to improve its electrochemical performance (discharge capacity, reaction kinetics, etc.) for battery applications.^{20,27} In short, the above results consistently showed that the sample from our one-step self-bubbling approach was $MoS₂$ nanoflakes distributed in macroporous few-layered graphene, in accordance with our original design. For comparison purpose, we also prepared pure $MoS₂$ samples *via* the same process without glucose and the final product is mainly irregular microsized flakes (see Fig. $S3, \dagger$ the TEM images of pure $MoS₂$ sample). BSC Articles. The HITEM images (Fig. 2h and 3h, a slightly expanded ones. Another prosp of peaks, accessively distance of the peaks are the form of the common the the common the state of the state of the state of the stat

Subsequently, spectroscopic characterizations were employed to further explore the microstructural features of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid. Concerning the chemical states of Mo and S in the product, Fig. 3a shows the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey scans for $MoS₂$ and $MoS₂$ @G hybrid, with their C 1s peak referenced at 284.8 eV. The presence of $MoS₂$ with Mo and S elemental ratio of \sim 1 : 2 can be identified for both samples, besides the prominent carbon component in the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid. The insets in Fig. 3a show the high-resolution spectra of MoS2@G hybrid, which are the S 2p, Mo 3d and C 1s regions. The Mo 3d possesses two peaks centered at 229.6 and 232.8 eV, in association with the doublet Mo $3d_{5/2}$ and Mo $3d_{3/2}$ for Mo⁴⁺

ions. Another group of peaks, ascribed to the S $2p_{3/2}$ and S $2p_{1/2}$ orbital of divalent sulfide ions (S^{2-}) , are observed at 162.4 and 163.7 eV, respectively. All these results are well consistent with the reported values for $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}.^{25,47}$ The existence of Mo, S and C in the $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid was also verified by the electron energy-loss spectrum (EELS), as shown in Fig. 3b by the S L-edge, Mo Medge and C K-edge. Particularly, the core-loss C K-edge EELS spectrum of the $MoS₂(QG)$ hybrid (inset in Fig. 3b) presents a sharp π^* peak (\sim 284 eV, due to the excitation from 1s spin level to empty π^* orbits of the sp²-bonded atoms) as well as a clear σ^* step (\sim 289 eV, resulting from the transition from the 1s level to empty σ^* orbits at both sp² and sp³-bonded atoms), suggesting the high crystalline nature of graphene in the hybrid.^{30,48}

The crystal structure of the samples was then studied by Xray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig. 3c, the XRD patterns for both $MoS₂$ and $MoS₂$ @G hybrid match with 2H molybdenite; however, the diffraction peaks of the $MoS₂(@G$ hybrid are much boarder than those of pure M_0S_2 , a result of the fine M_0S_2 crystalline size. Notably, the peak of (002) planes for the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid slightly shifts towards the direction of low scattering angle, corroborating the expanded interlayer distance as revealed by the above TEM results. The diffraction signal for graphene is not visible due to the intense peaks from $MoS₂$ crystals, so Raman measurement was applied. As can be seen from the inset of Fig. 3d, the Raman spectrum for $MoS₂@G$

Fig. 3 Microstructural analysis of the MoS₂@G hybrid and the MoS₂. (a) XPS spectra of MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂, with high-resolution S 2p, Mo 3d, and C 1s spectra for the MoS₂@G hybrid as the insets. (b) EELS spectrum and the core-loss C K-edge spectrum (inset) of MoS₂@G hybrid. (c) Comparison of the XRD patterns of MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂. (d) Raman spectra of MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂ in MoS₂ region and graphene region (inset). (e) Thermogravimetric profiles for MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂, obtained by annealing the samples in synthetic air. (f) N₂ adsorption/ desorption isotherms of MoS₂@G hybrid and the corresponding pore-size distribution (inset).

hybrid exhibits two sharp bands at 1360 (D band, in-plane vibration of sp^3 -bonded carbon) and 1600 $\rm cm^{-1}$ (G band, vibration mode of sp^2 -bonded carbon), as well as two broad bands at 2690 (2D band) and 2920 cm⁻¹ (D + G band),⁴⁹ which directly proved the existence of well-crystallized few-layered graphene structure in the hybrid. The hexagonal layered structure of the $MOS₂$ in the hybrid was further confirmed by Raman spectrum with two peaks located at 383 and 407 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3d), which are typical $\mathrm{E}^1_{\mathrm{2g}}$ and A_{1g} modes due to in-plane vibrations within the sulfur-molybdenum-sulfur layers, respectively.⁵⁰ Consequently, the carbon content in the $MoS₂(@G$ hybrid was measured by annealing the sample in synthetic air upon TG/ DSC test. Assuming the complete formation of $MoO₃$, $SO₂$ and $CO₂$ ⁵¹ the graphene content is estimated to be 27.8 wt%, corresponding to the $MoS₂$ content of 72.2 wt% (Fig. 3e). Paper

Update Lability the start of the most of the m

To further characterize the composite structure, the specific surface area and porous nature of the $MoS₂(Q)$ hybrid was quantified by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Results from the full nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms (Fig. 3f) present typical type-IV characteristics with type-H4 hysteresis loop at a relative pressure above 0.5, indicating a nanoporous structure. Accordingly, the surface area of the MoS2@G hybrid is as high as 196.93 $\mathrm{m^{2}}$ $\mathrm{g^{-1}};$ in contrast, the surface area of the MoS₂ sample is 6.22 m² g⁻¹ (Fig. S4†). It is also worth noting that the pore sizes of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid,

derived via the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method, are mainly distributed in the region of mesopores to macropores with a peak centered at 3.87 nm (inset in Fig. 3f). The high surface area of the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid together with the ample pores would be extremely favorable for energy storage applications such as batteries. The porosity in the Voronoi-structured framework can act as efficient electrolyte reservoirs to enlarge the contact areas between electrolyte and the active materials, and increase the active sites for sodiation/desodiation. Meanwhile, the porosity can also buffer the volume change to avoid structural pulverization during repeated charge/discharge cycles.

The above structural characterizations of our $MoS₂@G$ hybrid suggest the high potential of applying the product as electrode materials in NIBs. To verify this, systematic electrochemical measurements were performed with CR2032 coin cells. Fig. 4a shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of $MoS₂@G$ hybrid during the initial five cycles in the potential range of 0.01-3 V versus Na⁺/Na. In the first cathodic scan (sodiation), the first peak at 1.20 V can be ascribed to the intercalation of sodium ions into $MoS₂$ interlayer (refer to Fig. S5[†] for the isolated first scan).²⁴ The following two reduction peaks at 0.66 and 0.56 V are attributed to the two-step insertion of $Na⁺$ into MoS_{2} ^{26,52} The fourth subtle peak located at \sim 0.35 V is related to the conversion reaction from $MoS₂$ to Mo and Na₂S.¹⁸ The last

Fig. 4 Electrochemical performances of the MoS₂ @G hybrid and the MoS₂ as anode materials in NIBs. (a) The initial CV profiles of MoS₂ @G hybrid measured at 0.2 mV s⁻¹ in the voltage window of 0.01–3 V. (b) Comparison of cycling performances of MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂ at 0.1 A g⁻¹ and the schematic of transport paths for Na⁺ and electrons in the MoS₂@G hybrid (inset). (c) The initial galvanostatic discharge/charge curves for MoS₂@G hybrid at 0.05 A g⁻¹ in the voltage range of 0.01-3 V. (d) Rate performance of MoS₂@G hybrid at programmed current densities. (e) Comparison of the long-term cycling behaviors of MoS₂@G hybrid and MoS₂ at 0.5 A g⁻¹.

sharp cathodic peak at 0.02 V is associated with the intercalation of $Na⁺$ into the graphene interlayers.⁵³ In the subsequent anodic scan (desodiation), the peaks from 1.4 to 1.7 V should be attributed to the oxidation of Mo to MOS_2 .²⁷ In the subsequent cycles, the peaks at $0.66/0.56$ V shift to $1.04/0.75$ V with decreased intensity, corresponding to the progressive amorphization of $MoS₂@G$ hybrid. Notably, the CVs rapidly become overlapped in the later cycles, suggesting high reversibility for the electrode material. The stability of sodiation/desodiation processes was also confirmed by comparing the cycling performances of $MoS₂(QG)$ hybrid and $MoS₂$ under the galvanostatic mode at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} , as shown in Fig. 4b. First, both samples show initial capacity drops as well as low coulombic efficiencies (CE) at the first cycle, which should be a result of the formation of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film. Second, the MoS₂@G hybrid delivered a capacity as high as 484 mA h g^{-1} at the 2nd cycle and 474 mA h g^{-1} at the 100th cycle (corresponding to a small capacity decay of 0.02% per cycle). In contrast, the $MoS₂$ electrode delivered only a capacity of 268 mA h g^{-1} at the 2nd cycle and 97 mA h g^{-1} at the 50th cycle. Furthermore, the CE of the $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid (>99%) is constantly higher than that of $MoS₂$.

Fig. 4c displays the galvanostatic discharge/charge voltage profiles during the first five cycles of the $MoS_2@G$ hybrid at 0.05 A $\rm g^{-1}$ in the potential window of 0.01–3 V vs. Na⁺/Na. The distinct discharge/charge plateaus in the first cycle are ascribed to the sample's high crystallinity.²³ The discharge and charge capacities for the first cycle are 750 and 630 mA h g^{-1} , respectively, corresponding to a CE of 83.7% (in line with the low initial CE in Fig. 4b). In the subsequent cycles, the discharge and charge profiles become identical, and no obvious discharge/charge plateau can be identified. These cells were then involved in the test of rate capability and the result is presented in Fig. 4d. The specific discharge capacities are 530, 475, 408, and 357 mA h g^{-1} at 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 A $\mathrm{g}^{-1},$ respectively; *i.e.*, when the current density is increased by ten times (from 0.05 to 0.5 A g^{-1}), the electrode material can still retain \sim 67% of its capacity (from 530 to 357 mA h g⁻¹). Moreover, the $MoS₂@G$ hybrid is able to recover most of its original capacity when the current rate is restored back to 0.05 A g^{-1} after forty deep cycles, indicating the high stability of the MoS2@G anode even upon high rate cycling (also refer to Fig. S6-S8† for the CV profiles, discharge/charge curves, and rate performance of the $MoS₂$ sample, as well as Fig. S9 \dagger for the cycling performance of graphene). Therefore, another cycling test was conducted under the galvanostatic mode at a higher current density (0.5 A $\rm g^{-1}$, Fig. 4e). After 200 cycles, the MoS $_2$ @G anode remarkably preserves its sodium storage capacity at as high as 371 mA h g^{-1} whereas the MoS₂ anode almost loses its electrochemical activity (final capacity of 31 mA h g^{-1}). It is worth noting that, during these repeated discharge/charge cycles, the volume change induced pulverization of the $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid electrode has been significantly suppressed, as reflected by the constantly high CE (>99%) throughout the measurement and the comparative postmortem TEM study. As shown in Fig. 5, the postmortem TEM study of $MoS_2@G$ hybrid indicates the existence of small $MoS₂$ flakes firmly decorated on

Fig. 5 TEM characterizations for $MoS₂@G$ hybrid after cycling. (a) TEM image and the corresponding SAED pattern (inset); (b) magnified highresolution TEM image (the discharged products and electrolyte residuals are visible as irregular particles); (c) the STEM (scanning transmission electron microscopy) image of the MoS₂@G hybrid and the corresponding (d) C, (e) Mo, and (f) S maps from the region indicated by the red rectangle in (c).

graphene sheets. The $MoS₂$ content remains highly crystallized except the irregular outlines after such long cycling. Moreover, the uniform distribution of $MoS₂$ on graphene sheets was also successful maintained. In contrast, the $MoS₂$ sample shows considerable cracks across the flakes throughout the cycling (Fig. S10†).

The above electrochemical characterizations substantiate the fact that our $MoS₂@G$ hybrid produced by the novel "selfbubbling" approach possesses high reversible capacity, excellent rate capability, as well as superior cycling stability as anode material in NIBs. Such impressive performance, to the best of our knowledge, is among one of the best values for $MoS₂$ -based anode materials for NIBs (refer to Table S1,† the comparison of electrochemical performances with selected $MoS₂$ -based anode materials for NIBs). We believe that the unique microstructural features of the $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid itself have brought multiple advantages to act as high-performance electrode materials. First, the thin $MoS₂$ nanoflakes (5-10 nm in thickness) with expanded interlayer spacing (0.65 nm) greatly reduces the strain caused by insertion and extraction of Na⁺. Second, the high surface area of the MoS₂@G hybrid (196.93 m² g⁻¹) provides a large electrode–electrolyte interface, in facilitating the critical charge-transfer process. Third, the monolithic graphene

ensures a 3D porous and flexible scaffold to protect $MoS₂$ from dissolution or detachment during repeated cycles, as well as 3D interconnected pathways for electron transport and Na-ion diffusion. Finally, the homogeneous distribution of $MOS₂$ nanoflakes on graphene nanosheets together with their intimate contact guarantees good conductivity (for both $Na⁺$ and electrons), and hence a high level of electrochemical activity and effective material utilization of the $MoS₂$ (as shown in the inset of Fig. 4b).

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a simple and scalable "selfbubbling" approach for synthesizing an advanced $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid composed of $MoS₂$ nanoflakes entrapped in 3D macroporous monolithic graphene frameworks. Benefiting from the unique microstructural characteristics, the $MoS₂(@G$ hybrid has shown outstanding electrochemical performance including remarkable cycling stability and high rate capability upon used as anode material for NIBs. On account of the promising structural tunability of the $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid, we also believe that the product might possess great potential in other application areas such as supercapacitors, catalysts, and sensors. Our onestep method may be also applicable in constructing other emerging graphene-based composites, and should therefore inspire further attempts to additional application scenes in future.

Experimental methods

Synthesis of $MoS₂(Q)G$ hybrid

The $MoS₂@G$ hybrid was synthesized from a novel "selfbubbling" approach. A mixed powder of $(NH_4)_2MOS_4$ and glucose (weight ratio of 1 : 1.5) was directly subjected to thermal treatment in a horizontal furnace. As shown in Fig. 1b, the temperature was first ramped from room temperature to 200 \degree C in 40 minutes and this temperature was kept for 60 minutes. The temperature was then further increased to 1100 \degree C in 180 minutes and hold for another 180 minutes. The product was harvested after cooling the system to room temperature in 110 minutes. The whole annealing process was protected by a constant argon flow.

Material characterizations

The samples were characterized by different analytical techniques. Simultaneous TG/DSC analysis was performed on a NETZSCH STA 449 C Jupiter system. Optical image was captured on a Nikon Microphot-FXA microscope. SEM observations were made on a JEOL JSM-6700F field-emission SEM. TEM images, SAED pattern, EELS, and EDS were obtained on a JEOL JEM-2100F STEM (200 kV, field-emission gun) system equipped with an Oxford INCA x-sight EDS and an ENFINA 1000 EELS. XPS spectra were acquired on a Thermo Scientific Escalab 250Xi spectrometer. XRD measurement was conducted using a Rigaku SmartLab Intelligent X-ray diffraction system with filtered Cu K_α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å, operating at 45 kV and

200 mA). Raman measurement was taken using a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR system with a laser wavelength of 488 nm. The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 volumetric adsorption analyzer.

Electrochemical measurements

The working electrode slurry was prepared by mixing the active materials with Super P and carboxymethyl cellulose binder at a mass ratio of $8:1:1$. The slurry was then spread on the surface of a copper foil and dried at 60 \degree C for 12 h. Finally, the electrode was stamped into disks with a diameter of 10 mm and vacuum-dried at 60 °C for another 6 h. With sodium tablets as the reference electrode and glass fiber membrane as the separator, CR2032 coin cells were assembled in a glove box (MIKROUNA-Universal-2440-1750) filled with argon. 1 M NaClO4 in the mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate $(1:1 \text{ v/v ratio})$ with 5 wt% fluoroethylene carbonate as additive was selected as the electrolyte for the coin cells. CV measurement was conducted on an electrochemical measurement system (PARSTAT 2273) with a scan rate of 0.2 mV s^{-1} from 3 to 0.01 V. Galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were performed by using a battery testing system (LAND CT2001A) within the potential of 0.01–3 V at room temperature. The capacities are given with respect to the total mass of the active materials throughout the work. Paper
 Example 2018. The contribution of the published on 10 May 10 January 2022 10 May 10 May 11 State and the secondary 1992
 Of this contribution of the published on 10 May 11 May 11 State and the secondary of the s

Author contributions

L. F., C. L. M., and Y. W. conceived the idea and designed the experiment. L. F. and J. J. prepared samples. L. F., J. J., S. M. N., L.-L. S., L. S., and K. X. conducted structural characterizations. L. F. and M. X. performed electrochemical measurements. H. H. and C. W. L. contributed to critical discussions. L. F., C. L. M., and Y. W. wrote the manuscript, and all authors commented on it. Y. W. and C. L. M. supervised implementation of project. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. L. F. and M. X. contributed equally to this work.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (project Nos: GUA5G, 1-ZVGH, G-YBJ1, G-YPBU) and Nanchang University (Jiangxi Provincial Key Laboratory of Twodimensional Materials and Devices). Financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (project No: 51562026) is also acknowledged.

References

1 B. Dunn, H. Kamath and J.-M. Tarascon, Science, 2011, 334, 928–935.

- 2 S.-W. Kim, D.-H. Seo, X. Ma, G. Ceder and K. Kang, Adv. Energy Mater., 2012, 2, 710–721.
- 3 M. D. Slater, D. Kim, E. Lee and C. S. Johnson, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 947–958.
- 4 P. Ge and M. Fouletier, Solid State Ionics, 1988, 28–30, 1172– 1175.
- 5 Y. Wen, K. He, Y. Zhu, F. Han, Y. Xu, I. Matsuda, Y. Ishii, J. Cumings and C. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 4033.
- 6 Y. Cao, L. Xiao, M. L. Sushko, W. Wang, B. Schwenzer, J. Xiao, Z. Nie, L. V. Saraf, Z. Yang and J. Liu, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 3783–3787.
- 7 Y. Zhu, X. Han, Y. Xu, Y. Liu, S. Zheng, K. Xu, L. Hu and C. Wang, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 6378–6386.
- 8 Y. Liu, Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, J. N. Culver, C. A. Lundgren, K. Xu and C. Wang, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 3627–3634.
- 9 D. Su, S. Dou and G. Wang, Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 6022– 6029.
- 10 L. Wang, K. Zhang, Z. Hu, W. Duan, F. Cheng and J. Chen, Nano Res., 2014, 7, 199–208.
- 11 B. Koo, S. Chattopadhyay, T. Shibata, V. B. Prakapenka, C. S. Johnson, T. Rajh and E. V. Shevchenko, Chem. Mater., 2013, 25, 245–252.
- 12 Y. Kim, K.-H. Ha, S. M. Oh and K. T. Lee, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 11980–11992.
- 13 M. Chhowalla, H. S. Shin, G. Eda, L.-J. Li, K. P. Loh and H. Zhang, Nat. Chem., 2013, 5, 263–275.
- 14 L. Yang, S. Wang, J. Mao, J. Deng, Q. Gao, Y. Tang and O. G. Schmidt, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 1180–1184.
- 15 Y. Liang, R. Feng, S. Yang, H. Ma, J. Liang and J. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 640–643.
- 16 J. Xiao, X. Wang, X.-Q. Yang, S. Xun, G. Liu, P. K. Koech, J. Liu and J. P. Lemmon, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2011, 21, 2840–2846.
- 17 X. Cao, Y. Shi, W. Shi, X. Rui, Q. Yan, J. Kong and H. Zhang, Small, 2013, 9, 3433–3438.
- 18 L. David, R. Bhandavat and G. Singh, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 1759–1770.
- 19 H. Hwang, H. Kim and J. Cho, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 4826– 4830.
- 20 Z. Hu, L. Wang, K. Zhang, J. Wang, F. Cheng, Z. Tao and J. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 12794–12798.
- 21 P. Gao, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Huang and K. Liu, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 11296–11301.
- 22 J. Wang, J. Liu, H. Yang, D. Chao, J. Yan, S. V. Savilov, J. Lin and Z. X. Shen, Nano Energy, 2016, 20, 1–10.
- 23 Y.-X. Wang, S.-L. Chou, D. Wexler, H.-K. Liu and S.-X. Dou, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 9607–9612.
- 24 Z.-T. Shi, W. Kang, J. Xu, Y.-W. Sun, M. Jiang, T.-W. Ng, H.-T. Xue, D. Y. W. Yu, W. Zhang and C.-S. Lee, Nano Energy, 2016, 22, 27–37.
- 25 J. Wang, C. Luo, T. Gao, A. Langrock, A. C. Mignerey and C. Wang, Small, 2015, 11, 473–481.
- 26 X. Xie, Z. Ao, D. Su, J. Zhang and G. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 1393–1403.
- 27 Y. Lu, Q. Zhao, N. Zhang, K. Lei, F. Li and J. Chen, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 911–918.
- 28 R. Raccichini, A. Varzi, S. Passerini and B. Scrosati, Nat. Mater., 2014, 14, 271–279.
- 29 F. Bonaccorso, L. Colombo, G. Yu, M. Stoller, V. Tozzini, A. C. Ferrari, R. S. Ruoff and V. Pellegrini, Science, 2015, 347, 1246501. Open Access Articles. Published on 10 January 2018. Downloaded the main of the stand with the stand with the stand on 10 January
	- 30 L. Fei, X. Li, W. Bi, Z. Zhuo, W. Wei, L. Sun, W. Lu, X. Wu, K. Xie, C. Wu, H. L. W. Chan and Y. Wang, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 5936–5942.
	- 31 B. Lung-Hao Hu, F.-Y. Wu, C.-T. Lin, A. N. Khlobystov and L.-J. Li, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1687.
	- 32 L. Fei, W. Lu, L. Sun, J. Wang, J. Wei, H. L. W. Chan and Y. Wang, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 1297–1301.
	- 33 L. Fei, L. Sun, W. Lu, M. Guo, H. Huang, J. Wang, H. L. W. Chan, S. Fan and Y. Wang, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 12426–12433.
	- 34 L. Zhang, L. Hu, L. Fei, J. Qi, Y. Hu, Y. Wang and H. Gu, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 25422–25428.
	- 35 Y. Sun, X. Hu, W. Luo, F. Xia and Y. Huang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 2436–2444.
	- 36 W. Qin, T. Chen, L. Pan, L. Niu, B. Hu, D. Li, J. Li and Z. Sun, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 153, 55–61.
	- 37 S. Zhang, X. Yu, H. Yu, Y. Chen, P. Gao, C. Li and C. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 21880–21885.
	- 38 S. Kalluri, K. H. Seng, Z. Guo, A. Du, K. Konstantinov, H. K. Liu and S. X. Dou, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 11989.
	- 39 S. Wu, R. Ge, M. Lu, R. Xu and Z. Zhang, Nano Energy, 2015, 15, 379–405.
	- 40 L. Peng, Y. Zhu, D. Chen, R. S. Ruoff and G. Yu, Adv. Energy Mater., 2016, 6, 1600025.
	- 41 S. H. Choi, Y. N. Ko, J.-K. Lee and Y. C. Kang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 1780–1788.
	- 42 K.-K. Liu, W. Zhang, Y.-H. Lee, Y.-C. Lin, M.-T. Chang, C.-Y. Su, C.-S. Chang, H. Li, Y. Shi, H. Zhang, C.-S. Lai and L.-J. Li, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 1538–1544.
	- 43 L. Fei, S. Lei, W.-B. Zhang, W. Lu, Z. Lin, C. H. Lam, Y. Chai and Y. Wang, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 12206.
	- 44 A. Fasolino, J. H. Los and M. I. Katsnelson, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 858–861.
	- 45 Z. Zhao, E. F. Wang, H. Yan, Y. Kono, B. Wen, L. Bai, F. Shi, J. Zhang, C. Kenney-Benson, C. Park, Y. Wang and G. Shen, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 6212.
	- 46 A. M. Kraynik, MRS Bull., 2003, 28, 275–278.
	- 47 J. Li, Y. Hou, X. Gao, D. Guan, Y. Xie, J. Chen and C. Yuan, Nano Energy, 2015, 16, 10–18.
	- 48 Z. Xu, Y. Bando, L. Liu, W. Wang, X. Bai and D. Golberg, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 4401–4406.
	- 49 E. J. Heller, Y. Yang, L. Kocia, W. Chen, S. Fang, M. Borunda and E. Kaxiras, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 2803–2818.
	- 50 X. Zhang, Q.-H. Tan, J.-B. Wu, W. Shi and P.-H. Tan, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 6435–6450.
	- 51 Y. M. Chen, X. Y. Yu, Z. Li, U. Paik and X. W. Lou, Sci. Adv., 2016, 2, e1600021.
	- 52 J. Park, J.-S. Kim, J.-W. Park, T.-H. Nam, K.-W. Kim, J.-H. Ahn, G. Wang and H.-J. Ahn, Electrochim. Acta, 2013, 92, 427–432.
	- 53 L. Xiao, Y. Cao, J. Xiao, W. Wang, L. Kovarik, Z. Nie and J. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 3321–3323.