



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 2203

Synthesis, and single crystal structure of fully-substituted polynitrobenzene derivatives for high-energy materials†

Wei Yang,^{ID}^b Huanchang Lu,^a Longyu Liao,^a Guijuan Fan,^{ID}^{*a} Qing Ma^{ID}^{*a} and Jinglun Huang^{*a}

New energetic fully-substituted polynitrobenzene derivatives were synthesized *via* the reaction of 1,3,5-trichloro-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene (TCTNB) with 1,2,4-triazole followed by the nucleophilic substitution of the halo groups by aqueous ammonia or sodium azide. These compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS. Additionally, the structures of amino derivatives **6**, **8** and azido derivative **7** were further confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Their decomposition temperatures and impact sensitivities were also determined. The derivative 1,2-di-1*H*-triazol-4,6-diamino-3,5-dinitrobenzene (**8**) exhibits good thermal stability ($T_d = 314$ °C) and low impact sensitivity ($IS = 30$ J), which are both superior to that of TNT ($T_d = 295$ °C, $IS = 15$ J). These synthesized compounds showed high heat of formation ranging from 31.77 to 1014.68 kJ mol⁻¹, and reasonable detonation velocities and pressures.

Received 14th December 2017

Accepted 3rd January 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13346d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The synthesis and characterization of polynitrobenzene derivatives have attracted continuous attention both theoretically and experimentally as potential intermediates in the development of pharmaceuticals, polymers, organic electronics and energetic materials.^{1a-e} The appropriate introduction of different energetic functional groups to the polynitrobenzene skeleton can afford relatively better energetic performance in different aspects of detonation, thermal stability, density and sensitivity, for example the fully substituted polynitrobenzene energetic molecule 2,4,6-triamino-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (TATB, $\nu_D = 8108$ m s⁻¹, $d = 1.94$ g cm⁻³).² Nitro derivatives of benzene and aminobenzene always demonstrate excellent heat-resistance and insensitivity, because of their well known π - π stacking^{3,4} and strong intramolecular resonance-assisted hydrogen bonds with a binding energy of 7–14 kcal mol⁻¹,^{5,6} which also increase the crystal density.


The main strategies for introducing the energetic groups to polynitrobenzene fall into two categories (Scheme 1). The first and most common method is nitration of benzene skeletons

pre-functionalized with energetic groups, providing products such as TNT and 1-(3,4,5-trinitrophenyl)-1*H*-tetrazole (**1**).^{7,8} The other method is exchange of leaving groups on polynitrobenzenes (Picryl Chloride, TCTNB, 2,4,6-trifluoro-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene/TFTNB, *etc.*) by direct substitution with energetic groups, providing products such as TATB and 2,4,6-tri-1*H*-triazol-1-yl-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (**2**).^{9,10} Theoretically, fully substitution by energetic groups bearing high heat of formation can increase the density and detonation performance of energetic materials to the utmost extent. However, the nitration of energetic benzenes always leads to non-fully substituted molecules because nitration becomes more difficult after the introduction of nitro groups to the system. Furthermore, direct substitution of halogenated polynitrobenzenes with energetic groups also result in uncontrolled replacement or incomplete

^aInstitute of Chemical Materials, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianshan Road 64, Mianyang, China. E-mail: fanguijuan@caep.cn; maq@caep.cn; huangjinglun@caep.cn

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NMR, CCDC 1552452–1552456, Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) figures for 4–9, Computational details. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7ra13346d



Scheme 1 Synthetic strategy of polynitrobenzene derivatives for energetic materials.



substitution of halogens due to different nucleophilicity of azoles and steric-hindrance between substituted groups, which make the reaction unpractical and difficult to purify.^{11–13} In general, the synthesis and characterization of fully substituted polynitrobenzenes as energetic materials are still challenging.

The five-membered azoles are invariably popular building blocks in energetic materials due to their high-nitrogen content and multiple C–N/N–N bonds, providing them with high positive heat of formation as well as good detonation performance.¹⁴ Although Shreeve and co-workers have reported the synthesis of energetic molecule (2) from TFTNB and 1-trimethylsilyl-triazole,¹⁰ syntheses of fully substituted polyazole polynitrobenzenes from TCTNB are rarely reported because of its uncontrollable replacement with azoles.


In this work, we discuss a reliable and practical synthetic method for the construction of mono-/di-triazole substituted dinitrobenzene and their fully-substituted derivatives bearing –NH₂ or –N₃ groups by using the more economical and widely available starting material TCTNB. The compounds were analysed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the energetic properties were evaluated by density functional theory (DFT) method. Some of the molecules show good thermal stability (up to 314 °C) along with comparable energetic performance, establishing these compounds as useful high-explosive molecules.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Tetrazole and 1,2,4-triazole were investigated in the nucleophilic substitution of TCTNB owing to their good energetic properties (Scheme 2). When 3 mmol tetrazole was reacted with 1 mmol TCTNB at room temperature to synthesize 2,4,6-tri-1H-triazol-1-yl-1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (3), only starting material was recovered. Reaction temperature, basic additives and solvent were optimized systematically to increase the nucleophilicity of tetrazole, disappointingly leading to same result or a complex mixture due to the decomposition of TCTNB. However, 1,2,4-triazole smoothly underwent substitution at room temperature and afforded the unexpected products 1-1H-triazol-2,4,6-trichloro-3,5-trinitrobenzene (4) and 1,2-di-1H-triazol-4,6-trichloro-3,5-dinitrobenzene (5) with very good reproducibility. Both of the structures were further confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1).

Subsequently, substitutions of TCTNB with 1,2,4-triazole were carried out varying solvents, reactant concentration and bases to optimize the reaction. As shown in Table 1, use of K₂CO₃ with a concentration of 0.1 M in dioxane afforded trace



Scheme 2 Substitution of TCTNB with azole groups.




Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 4 (left) and 5 (right).

amount of target molecules (entry 1). Switching to more polar solvents, CH₃CN or acetone, resulted in better yields of 28% (4) and 27% (5), respectively (entry 2–3). However, use of weakly basic DMF or DMSO only led to the decomposition of TCTNB (entry 4–5). After a survey of different reactant concentrations (ranging from 0.05 M to 1.0 M), 0.2 M was found to be optimal, which afforded 36% of 4 and 34% of 5 (entry 6–9). Other bases were also investigated in the reaction. Similar yields were achieved using KHCO₃, Na₂CO₃ and NaHCO₃ (entry 10–12), while the use of a stronger base CsCO₃ or organic bases (TEA and DBU) led to decomposition of TCTNB (entry 13–15). Delightfully, a combined yield of 70% for 4 and 5 was achieved by using K₂CO₃ as basic additive and a reaction concentration of 0.2 M in acetone.

The preferred substitution of the nitro group rather than the better leaving chloro group may be caused by steric hindrance

Table 1 Synthesis of derivative 4 and 5 in different reaction conditions^a

Entry	Base (3.0 equiv.)	Solvent	Conc. (M)	Yield (4/5%)
1	K ₂ CO ₃	Dioxane	0.1	6/4
2	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₃ CN	0.1	20/21
3	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	0.1	28/27
4	— ^b	DMF	0.1	Mess ^c
5	— ^b	DMSO	0.1	Mess ^c
6	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	0.05	27/29
7	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	0.2	36/34
8	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	0.5	19/17
9	K ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	1.0	15/16
10	KHCO ₃	Acetone	0.2	29/30
11	Na ₂ CO ₃	Acetone	0.2	34/35
12	NaHCO ₃	Acetone	0.2	28/29
13	CsCO ₃	Acetone	0.2	Mess ^c
14	TEA	Acetone	0.2	Mess ^c
15	DBU	Acetone	0.2	Mess ^c

^a Reactions were carried out with 3 equiv. of 1,2,4-triazole at room temperature. ^b No base was added. ^c Most of TCTNB decomposed under the corresponding conditions.



between triazole and adjacent nitro groups upon approach of triazole at the chloro position in the transition state.

Further modification to improve the energetic properties and inter-/intra-molecular hydrogen bonds was realized by substituting the chloro groups with explosophoric groups NH_3 and N_3 (Scheme 3). Initial attempts to aminate **4** with aqueous ammonia at room temperature only led to partially substituted compounds as a mixture of regioisomers and degrees of substitutions. When refluxed with aqueous ammonia in ethanol, the chloro groups of **4** were completely converted to amino groups to provide 1-1*H*-triazol-2,4,6-triamino-3,5-trinitrobenzene (**6**). The same amination condition was applied to 1,2-di-1*H*-triazol-4,6-trichloro-3,5-dinitrobenzene (**5**), however, one of the triazoles was replaced by either an ethoxy or an amino group during reflux. 1,2-Di-1*H*-triazol-4,6-diamino-3,5-dinitrobenzene (**8**) was successfully synthesized by a mixture of **5** and aqueous ammonia in acetone at ambient temperature. As expected, a significant increase in thermal stability of **6** ($T_d = 307^\circ\text{C}$) and **8** ($T_d = 314^\circ\text{C}$) relative to **4** ($T_d = 256^\circ\text{C}$) and **5** ($T_d = 254^\circ\text{C}$) was observed, which clearly reflects the existence of strong inter-/intra-molecular hydrogen bonds between the amino groups and nitro groups. Next, azidation of **4** and **5** was conducted with NaN_3 in the mixed solution of $\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ or $\text{DMF}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at room temperature, producing 1-1*H*-triazol-2,4,6-triazido-3,5-trinitrobenzene (**7**) and 1,2-di-1*H*-triazol-4,6-diazido-3,5-dinitrobenzene (**9**) respectively. X-ray diffraction analysis unambiguously elucidated the structures of compounds **6**, **7** and **8**. Compound **9** was characterized with ^1H , ^{13}C NMR and HRMS.

Crystal structures

Compound **6** (Fig. 2) crystallizes as a dimer in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with four molecules per unit cell (crystalline parameters of **6** can be found in the ESI†). The triazole ring is twisted out of the benzene plane, which is further revealed by the C(2)–N(3)–C(3)–C(8) torsion angle of $80.7(3)^\circ$. Additionally, both of the nitro groups are considered to be approximately in the benzene plane, which can be illustrated by the O(3)–N(7)–C(7)–C(8) torsion angle of $177.6(2)^\circ$ and the O(2)–N(5)–C(5)–C(4) torsion angle of $176.4(2)^\circ$, respectively. Nitro groups (N5, N7) and amino group (N4, N6, N8) are presumably held coplanar




Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **6** (left) and packing diagram of **6** (right). Dashed lines indicate strong hydrogen bonding.

with the benzene plane by intramolecular hydrogen bonding (N4–H4B \cdots O1 = 1.902 Å, N6–H6A \cdots O2 = 1.857 Å, N6–H6B \cdots O3 = 1.846 Å, N8–H8B \cdots O4 = 1.890 Å). Intermolecular hydrogen bonds can also be seen in Fig. 2 as dashed lines between nitro and amino groups (N8–H8A \cdots O5 = 2.731 Å, N4–H4A \cdots O8 = 2.775 Å), and between azole and nitro groups (C1–H1A \cdots O5 = 2.960 Å, C2–H2A \cdots O3 = 2.565 Å). Along with the hydrogen bonds, π – π interaction results in stacking planes with an interplanar distance of 3.876 Å, which contributes to the good thermal stability and sensitivity of compound **6**.

Compound **7** (Fig. 3a) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with four molecules per unit cell (crystalline parameters of **7** can be found in the ESI†). The triazole ring is twisted out of the benzene plane, which is further revealed by the C(2)–N(3)–C(3)–C(8) torsion angle of $73.4(3)^\circ$. Neither of the nitro groups are coplanar with the benzene ring, which is illustrated by the O(1)–N(4)–C(2)–C(3) torsion angle of $126.8(2)^\circ$ and the O(3)–N(8)–C(4)–C(5) torsion angle of $101.1(2)^\circ$. The three nitrogen atoms of the azido groups show a slightly bent





Fig. 3 (a) Molecular structure of **7** (left) and packing diagram of **7** (right). Dashed lines indicate strong hydrogen bonding. (b) The nitro– π interactions (dashed red lines between nitro oxygen atoms and ring centroids) for each molecule of **7**.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of fully-substituted polynitrobenzene derivatives **6**–**9**.



arrangement with the N(3)–N(2)–N(1) angle of 170.4(3)°, the N(7)–N(6)–N(5) angle of 171.2(2)° and the N(11)–N(10)–N(9) angle of 169.8(2)°, respectively. Further investigation on hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of compound **7** shows one intermolecular hydrogen bond, (C8–H8⋯O2 = 2.830 Å) shown in Fig. 3a. Besides, nitro- π stacking interactions are also found in the crystal (Fig. 3b), where the distances between the oxygen atoms of nitro groups and the centroids of the benzene rings lie in the range of 3.130–3.544 Å. Each molecule accepts and donates two nitro- π interactions. Similar nitro- π stacking has been reported before and may be due to the interaction between the electron-deficient nitro benzene ring and the electron-rich nitro group.^{15,16}

Compound **8** (Fig. 4) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with four molecules per unit cell (crystalline parameters of **8** can be found in the ESI†). Both of the triazole rings are twisted out of the benzene plane, which is further revealed by the C(7)–N(1)–C(1)–C(6) torsion angle of 53.7(4)° and the C(9)–N(8)–C(6)–C(1) torsion angle of 66.7(4)°, respectively. The nitro group adjacent to the triazole group is not coplanar with the benzene plane (\angle O(3)–N(7)–C(5)–C(6): $-159.7(3)^\circ$), while the other nitro group is approximately in the benzene plane (\angle O(2)–N(5)–C(3)–C(2): $-175.0(3)^\circ$). Nitro groups (N5, N7) and amino group (N4, N6) are presumably held coplanar with the benzene plane by intramolecular hydrogen bonding (N4–H4B⋯O1 = 1.872 Å, N6–H6B⋯O2 = 1.884 Å, N6–H6A⋯O3 = 1.936 Å). Intermolecular hydrogen bonds can also be seen in Fig. 4 as dashed lines between nitro and amino groups (N4–H4A⋯O4 = 2.583 Å), and between azole and nitro groups (C8–H8⋯O2 = 2.859 Å). Fig. 4 shows the π - π interactions that result in stacking planes with an interplanar distance of 3.870 Å. The “V-shaped” packing of compound **8** can prevent interlayer sliding within the crystal lattice, which improves the insensitivity.¹⁷

Physical and detonation properties

The energetic properties and some key characteristics of **6–9** are shown in Table 2. To evaluate the potential of these novel polynitrobenzene derivatives, their energetic properties were compared to TNT and TATB. Although the oxygen balance values of **6**, **8** and **9** are more negative than TATB and TNT, the introduction of azido groups to energetic molecules certainly improves the negative oxygen balance better than that of amino groups.




Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **8** (left) and packing diagram of **8** (right). Dashed lines indicate strong hydrogen bonding.

Table 2 Energetic properties of polynitrobenzene derivatives

Comp.	OB ^a	$\Delta_f H^b$	d^c	v_D^d	P^e	T_d^f	IS ^g
6	−91.36	31.77	1.73(1.67)	6.55	18.53	307	7
7	−58.07	1014.68	1.77(1.77)	7.50	24.67	132	1
8	−96.32	316.24	1.73(1.66)	6.56	18.67	314	30
9	−74.95	974.62	1.75	7.13	22.19	134	2
TNT	−73.97	−67.36	1.65	6.88	19.5	295	15
TATB	−55.80	−139.8	1.93	8.11	31.15	330	50

^a Oxygen balance (%). ^b Calculated solid-phase HOF (kJ mol^{−1}). ^c Calculated density (at 298 K), the crystal density obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis is shown in parenthesis (g cm^{−3}). ^d Detonation velocity (km s^{−1}). ^e Detonation pressure (GPa). ^f Thermal decomposition temperature (°C). ^g Impact sensitivity (BAM fall hammer) (J).

The heat of formation plays a very important role in designing energetic materials. Compound **6–9** have positive heats of formation which are superior to those of TNT and TATB. Compound **7** and **9** exhibited relatively high positive heat of formation at 1014.68 kJ mol^{−1} and 974.62 kJ mol^{−1} among the title compounds, respectively. The calculated densities of compound **6–9** lie in the range from 1.73 to 1.77 g cm^{−3} and the experimental densities range between 1.66 and 1.77 g cm^{−3}, as obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The calculated detonation pressures (P) and detonation velocities (v_D) were estimated based on traditional Chapman–Jouget thermodynamic detonation theory using EXPLO5 V6.02.¹⁸ The calculated detonation velocities for the polynitrobenzene derivatives fall in the range between 6.55 and 7.50 km s^{−1} (compared with 6.88 km s^{−1} for TNT and 8.11 km s^{−1} for TATB), and the detonation pressures lie in the range of 18.53 to 24.67 GPa (compared with 19.5 GPa for TNT and 31.15 GPa for TATB).

These polynitrobenzene derivatives show excellent thermal stabilities, ranging from 132 to 314 °C. Obviously, amino derivatives exhibit much better stabilities than azido derivatives. Compound **8** has the highest thermal stability (314 °C) due to strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding. Impact sensitivities were tested using the standard BAM fall hammer method. Azido derivatives **7** (1 J) and **9** (2 J) are very sensitive compared with TNT (15 J) and TATB (50 J), while the amino derivatives **6** (7 J) and **8** (30 J) have improved sensitivities to different extents. Overall, the amino polynitrobenzene derivatives exhibit excellent thermal stabilities, low impact sensitivity and high heat of formation that make them potential energetic materials.

Conclusions

In summary, a serial of novel energetic fully-substituted polynitrobenzene derivatives (**6–9**) were obtained by the reliable substitution of TCTNB with 1,2,4-triazole, followed by the displacement of the halogen groups with aqueous ammonia or sodium azide. Their structures were characterized by ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was accomplished for compounds **4–8** and delivers insights into their structural characteristics. The energetic



derivatives **6** and **8** exhibit good thermal stabilities ranging from 307 to 314 °C due to the introduction of amino groups and enhancement of intra-/inter-molecular hydrogen bonds. Theoretical detonation values for compound **6–9** are comparable to those of explosives such as TNT, which indicate **6** and **8** may be candidates for future applications as thermally stable energetic materials.

Experimental section

Safety cautions

Although none of the above energetic polynitrobenzene derivatives described herein have exploded or detonated in the course of this research, small scale and safety training are strongly encouraged. Mechanical actions such as scratching and scraping must be avoided. Manipulations should be performed behind a safety shield with standard thickness of 7 mm or 12 mm. Face shield, eye protection and leather gloves must be strictly worn.

General method

All chemicals or reagents used in this research were analytical grade materials purchased from Alfa Aesar or J&K, if not stated otherwise. ^1H and ^{13}C spectra were recorded using a 600 MHz (Bruker AVANCE III 600) nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer operating at 600 and 150.85 MHz respectively. Chemical shifts in the ^1H and ^{13}C spectra are reported relative to Me_4Si as external standards. The decomposition (onset) points were obtained on a differential scanning calorimeter-thermal gravity (TGA/DSC1, METTLER TOLEDO LF/1100) at a heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} .

X-ray crystallography

A light yellow plate crystal (**4**) of dimensions $0.21 \times 0.16 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}^3$, a light yellow plate crystal (**5**) of dimensions $0.22 \times 0.17 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}^3$, a light yellow plate crystal (**6**) of dimensions $0.20 \times 0.17 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}^3$, a light yellow plate crystal (**7**) of dimensions $0.18 \times 0.16 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}^3$ and a light yellow plate crystal (**8**) of dimensions $0.17 \times 0.13 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}^3$ were mounted on a MiteGen MicroMesh using a small amount of Cargille Immersion Oil. Data were collected on a Bruker three-circle platform diffractometer equipped with a SMART APEX II CCD detector. A Kryoflex low-temperature device was used to keep the crystals at a constant 293 K and 273 K during data collection. Data collection was performed and the unit cell was initially refined using APEX2. Data reduction was carried out using SAINT and XPREP. Corrections were applied for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects using SADABS. The structures were further solved and refined with the aid of the programs using direct methods and least-squares minimization by SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 code.¹ The full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 involved atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-H atoms. The H atoms were included using a riding model. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The finalized CIF files were checked with checkCIF, and deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre

as supplementary publications (**4**), (**5**), (**6**), (**7**) and (**8**). Intra- or intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions were analyzed with Diamond software (version 3.2K) as well as the illustrations of molecular structures.

Syntheses

1-1H-Triazol-2,4,6-trichloro-3,5-trinitrobenzene (4) and 1,2-di-1H-triazol-4,6-trichloro-3,5-di nitrobenzene (5). TCTNB (1 g, 3.16 mmol) was added to a flame dried one-neck 50 mL flask and 15.80 mL of acetone was added at room temperature. 1H-1,2,4-Triazole (0.66 g, 9.48 mmol) was added to the flask at room temperature in one portion. After being stirred for 10 min, K_2CO_3 (13.08 g, 9.48 mmol) was added to the solution at 0 °C. Then the reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for another 3–4 hours. TLC was used to monitor the reaction. When the reaction was finished, the mixture was filtered and washed with acetone. The organic phase was concentrated directly under vacuum and further purified by gradient column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes 1 : 15–1 : 1) to give the product **4** ($R_f = 0.7$, EtOAc/hexanes 1 : 1) and product **5** ($R_f = 0.4$, EtOAc/hexanes 1 : 1). Product **4** (385 mg, 1.14 mmol, 36%, mp. = 184 °C) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.97$ (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 154.18, 147.21, 147.15, 135.62, 129.46, 121.63$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M – H] $^-$) calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{HCl}_3\text{N}_5\text{O}_4$: 335.9100, found 334.9232. Product **5** (397 mg, 1.07 mmol, 34%, mp. = 200 °C) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.86$ (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.35 (s, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 154.38, 154.13, 148.53, 147.85, 146.86, 144.56, 133.62, 130.95, 129.44, 122.06$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M – H] $^-$) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_8\text{O}_4$: 368.9660, found 368.9853.

1-1H-Triazol-2,4,6-triamino-3,5-trinitrobenzene (6). To compound **4** (0.2 g, 0.59 mmol) in a flask was added 10 mL anhydrous ethanol at room temperature, followed by the addition of aqueous NH_3 (0.2 mL, 25–27%). The resulting solution was refluxed at 75 °C for another 2 hours. TLC was used to monitor the reaction. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and poured into ice water. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with anhydrous ethanol to give product **6** (145 mg, 0.52 mmol, 88%, mp. = 303 °C) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 10.31$ (br, 2H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.54 (br, 4H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 154.65, 149.95, 149.01, 148.44, 113.35, 96.02$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M + Na] $^+$) calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_8\text{N}_8\text{NaO}_4$: 303.0561, found 303.0530.

1-1H-Triazol-2,4,6-triazido-3,5-trinitrobenzene (7). To compound **4** (0.24 g, 0.71 mmol) in a flask was added 7 mL anhydrous methanol at room temperature. A solution of NaN_3 (0.18 g, 2.84 mmol) in 1.5 mL water was added dropwise to the flask at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. TLC was used to monitor the reaction. The reaction was quenched by water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was combined and dried over Na_2SO_4 . Then the organic phase was concentrated under vacuum and further purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes 1 : 5) to give the product **7** (0.18 g, 0.50 mmol, 71%) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 9.11$ (s, 1H), 8.57



(s, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 154.34, 151.17, 135.75, 132.35, 129.23, 120.82$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M + Na] $^{+}$) calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_2\text{N}_4\text{NaO}_4$: 381.0276, found 381.0501.

1,2-Di-1H-triazol-4,6-diamino-3,5-dinitrobenzene (8). To compound 5 (0.5 g, 1.35 mmol) in a flask was added 15 mL anhydrous acetone at room temperature, followed by the addition of aqueous NH_3 (1.0 mL, 25–27%). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. TLC was used to monitor the reaction. The reaction was poured into ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic solution was combined and dried over Na_2SO_4 . The organic solution was concentrated under vacuum and further purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes 2 : 1) to give the product 8 (0.30 g, 0.92 mmol, 68%, mp. = 306 °C) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.63$ (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.60 (br, 2H), 6.90 (br, 2H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 153.23, 152.61, 148.02, 146.42, 144.71, 143.44, 138.14, 121.98, 108.86, 102.87$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M - H] $^{-}$) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7\text{N}_{10}\text{O}_4$: 331.0657, found 331.0651.

1,2-Di-1H-triazol-4,6-diazido-3,5-dinitrobenzene (9). To compound 5 (0.2 g, 0.54 mmol) in a flask was added 6 mL DMF at room temperature. A solution of NaN_3 (70 mg, 1.08 mmol) in 1 mL water was added dropwise to the flask at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min. TLC was used to monitor the reaction. The reaction was then poured into ice water. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried in air to give product 9 (0.16 g, 0.41 mmol, 75%) as a pale yellow solid. ^1H (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.79$ (s, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C (DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 153.77, 153.73, 148.91, 146.98, 136.53, 135.76, 128.92, 128.25, 125.09, 124.02$ ppm; HRMS (ESI/[M + H] $^{+}$) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_5\text{N}_{14}\text{O}_4$: 385.0613, found 385.2930.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support of this work by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11402237 and 11302200) is gratefully acknowledged. We acknowledge the suggestions of Ethan Magno in writing this paper.

Notes and references

- (a) P. Wardman, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 1985, **64**, 309; (b) S. Shrivastava and H. Matsuoka, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **294**, 879; (c) F. Diness and M. Begtrup, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3130; (d) R. P. Singh, R. D. Verma, D. T. Meshri and J. M. Shreeve, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3584; (e) T. B. Brill and K. J. James, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 2667.
- H. H. Krause, *New Energetic Materials*, in *Energetic Materials*, ed. U. Teipel, Wiley-VCH, Germany, 2005, pp. 1–24.
- J. Zhang, L. A. Mitchell, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10532.
- J. Zhang, Q. Zhang, T. T. Vo, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 1697.
- P. Yin, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4778.
- I. V. Omelchenko, O. V. Shishkin, L. Gorb, F. C. Hill and J. Leszczynski, *Struct. Chem.*, 2012, **23**, 1585.
- W. S. Chen, C. N. Juan and K. M. Wei, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **147**, 97.
- N. Kommu, M. Balaraju, V. D. Ghule and A. K. Sahoo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 7366.
- (a) A. O. Yigiter, M. K. Atakol, M. L. Aksu and O. Atakol, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2007, **127**, 2199; (b) Q. Ma, L. Y. Liao, B. B. Cheng, G. J. Fan, J. L. Huang and J. Wang, *Polycyclic Aromat. Compd.*, 2016, **36**, 639; (c) Q. Ma, G. Fan, L. Liao and J.-L. Huang, *Polycyclic Aromat. Compd.*, 2017, **37**, 327.
- Z. Zeng, Y. Guo, B. Twamley and J. M. Shreeve, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **40**, 6014.
- M. K. William, G. W. Lawrence, E. M. Sitzmann and H. G. Adolph, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1981, **1**, 1815.
- P. H. Gore, S. D. Hammond and D. F. C. Morris, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1970, **32**, 2747.
- L. A. Kaplan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 740.
- H. Gao and J. M. Shreeve, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 7377.
- B. R. Kaafarani, B. Wex, A. G. Oliver, J. A. Krause Bauer and D. C. Neckers, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2003, **59**, o227.
- J. Y. Shin, B. O. Patrick and D. Dolphin, *CrystEngComm*, 2008, **10**, 960.
- M. C. Schulze, B. L. Scott and D. E. Chavez, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 17963.
- M. Sućeska, *EXPLO5, version 6.02*, 2013.

