# **RSC Advances**

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 12733

# High N<sub>2</sub> selectivity in selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH<sub>3</sub> over Mn/Ti–Zr catalysts

Bolin Zhang, Shengen Zhang, 💿 \* Bo Liu, Hanlin Shen and Lin Li

A series of Mn-based catalysts were prepared by a wet impregnation method for the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO with NH<sub>3</sub>. The Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst had more surface area, Lewis acid sites, and Mn<sup>4+</sup> on its surface, and showed excellent activity and high N<sub>2</sub> selectivity in a wide temperature range. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO oxidation was investigated to gain insight into NO reduction and N<sub>2</sub>O formation. The formation of N<sub>2</sub>O was primarily dominated by the reaction of NO with NH<sub>3</sub> in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> *via* the Eley–Rideal mechanism. An intimate synergistic effect existed between the Mn-based and the Ti–Zr support. It was demonstrated that the Ti–Zr support greatly promoted the catalytic performance of Mn-based catalysts.

Received 12th January 2018 Accepted 19th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00336j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# 1. Introduction

According to the *BP Statistical Review of World Energy* June 2017, oil and coal remain the world's leading fuels, contributing to 61.4% of global energy consumption in 2016. The combustion of fossil fuels produces numerous nitrogen oxides, which has resulted in a series of environmental issues. The technique of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO<sub>x</sub> with NH<sub>3</sub> (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) based on the V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>–WO<sub>3</sub>(MoO<sub>3</sub>)/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst has played a pivotal role in alleviating these issues since the 1970s.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, 5.519 megatons of NO<sub>x</sub> were emitted by coal-fired plants in China in 2015, with the low removal rate of 50.4%.<sup>2</sup> Considering the advantages of energy conservation and long service time, low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR is recognized as a promising candidate to optimize this technique and solve some of the critical problems, including the low removal rate and toxicity of vanadium species.

Mn-based catalysts, such as  $MnO_x/TiO_2$ ,<sup>3-7</sup>  $MnO_x-CeO_2$ ,<sup>8-11</sup> Mn–ZrO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>12</sup> Fe–Mn/TiO<sub>2</sub> (ref. 13) and Mn/Ce–ZrO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>14</sup> show a relatively high activity at low temperature.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, Mn could improve the performance of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.<sup>16</sup> However, most of previous work placed emphasis on the NO conversion. The overall performance has yet to be improved further, especially related to N<sub>2</sub> selectivity and SO<sub>2</sub> resistance. The formation of N<sub>2</sub>O occurs simultaneously during the SCR process over Mn-based catalysts.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, the production of N<sub>2</sub>O goes up sharply along with the reaction temperature. This restricts its operation window and even its industrial application.

Some studies have been made to investigate the mechanism of  $N_2O$  generation with the aim to improve  $N_2$  selectivity. For

instance, aggregated MnO<sub>x</sub> species accelerated the formation of N<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>17</sup> MnO<sub>x</sub> species supported on Fe–Ti spinel exhibits a good N<sub>2</sub> selectivity for the special construction of Fe–Ti spinel.<sup>18</sup> N<sub>2</sub>O formation over MnO<sub>x</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts can be suppressed by doping with transition elements.<sup>19</sup> The mechanism of N<sub>2</sub>O formation is not evident and the method to improve N<sub>2</sub> selectivity, or in other words, to inhibit N<sub>2</sub>O generation, is insufficiently explained. In summary, Mn-based catalysts are potential candidates for low-temperature SCR and further efforts are needed to improve the overall performance. In this study, a MnO<sub>x</sub>/Ti–Zr catalyst was prepared by co-precipitation and impregnation methods. Compared to the MnO<sub>x</sub>/Ti and MnO<sub>x</sub>/Zr catalysts, the MnO<sub>x</sub>/Ti–Zr catalyst showed a higher NO conversion, N<sub>2</sub> selectivity and a wider operation window. In addition, the mechanism of N<sub>2</sub>O formation was investigated.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

The Ti–Zr support was synthesized by co-precipitation method using titanium sulfate (Ti(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, CP) and zirconium nitrate (Zr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, AP) as precursors and ammonium hydroxide (NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 wt%) as precipitator. Specified amounts of titanium sulfate and zirconium nitrate were dissolved in deionized water, and the mixture was added to a 12.5 wt% ammonium hydroxide solution dropwise under vigorous magnetic stirring. The resulting precipitate was filtered under vacuum, washed by deionized water 3 times, dried for 6 h at 90 °C. The obtained support was mainly composed of hydroxides. The molar ratio of Ti/Zr was set as 1 : 1. Ti and Zr supports were prepared following the same precipitation method.

The Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst was prepared by a wet impregnation method. Manganese nitrate  $(Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O, CP)$  was dissolved in an appropriate amount of deionized water. Ti-Zr



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

(cc) BY This article is licen

Institute for Advanced Materials and Technology, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, P. R. China. E-mail: zhangshengen@mater.ustb.edu.cn

support was added to the solution under magnetic stirring for 30 min and treated by ultrasonic wave for another 30 min. The excess water was evaporated at 70 °C. Finally, the specimen was dried for 6 h at 90 °C and calcined under air for 2 h at 500 °C. The Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr catalysts were prepared following the same procedure. The atomic ratios of Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/(Ti + Zr) were set as 3 : 10.

#### 2.2. Catalytic activity measurements

The catalytic activity measurements of all specimens were carried out in a fixed-bed quartz tube reactor with 0.8 cm internal diameter at atmospheric pressure. The volume of the evaluated catalysts with 60-100 mesh was 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> and the corresponding mass was ~350 milligram. The components of the simulated flue gas, with a total flow rate of 300 ml  $min^{-1}$ and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 36 000  $h^{-1}$  controlled by mass flow meters, was composed of 600 ppm NO, 600 ppm NH<sub>3</sub>, 5% O2 and N2 balance. The concentrations of NO, NO2, N2O, and  $O_2$  in the outlet flue gas were measured by an infrared gas analyzer paired up with a professional gas conditioner (Madur Photon & PGD-100, Austria). The concentrations of the feed gas were determined before measuring catalytic activity. The reaction temperature was increased from 100 to 340 °C and sampled at an interval of 20 °C. The NO conversion and N<sub>2</sub> selectivity were calculated in terms of the following formulas:9,20

NO conversion (%) = 
$$\frac{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out}}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100$$

$$N_{2} \text{ selectivity } (\%) = \frac{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out} - [NO_{2}]_{out} - [N_{2}O]_{out}}{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out}} \times 100$$

where  $[NO]_{in}$ ,  $[NO]_{out}$ ,  $[NO_2]_{out}$  and  $[N_2O]_{out}$  indicate the inlet and outlet concentration of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. All data were collected under the steady state condition.

The reaction rates normalized by the surface area were calculated with the following formula:<sup>21</sup>

$$R = \frac{\varphi[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}} T_{\text{f}}}{S_{\text{A}} \omega V_{\text{m}}}$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $T_{\rm f}$  are the NO conversion (%) and total flow rate (ml s<sup>-1</sup>).  $S_{\rm A}$ ,  $\omega$  and  $V_{\rm m}$  are the specific surface area (m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), catalyst weight (g) and molar volume of gas (22.4 ml mmol<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 2.3. Catalyst characterization

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were recorded on a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer (Japan) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å, 40 kV, 40 mA) by a sampling interval of 0.02 degree. The specific surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of the prepared catalysts were determined from the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) by a Quadrasorb SI automated surface area and pore size analyzer (Quantachrome, USA). The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded at room temperature on a FTIR-8400s Fourier

transform infrared spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). Powder materials mixed with KBr were tableted into small rounds of 0.2–0.3 mm thickness. The contribution of KBr to the sample spectra was reduced by referring and normalizing to the spectrum of KBr.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were carried out on an EscaLab 250Xi ThermoFisher Scientific (USA) X-ray photoelectron spectrometer system equipped with a monochromated Al K $\alpha$  (150 W) as a X-ray source. High resolution scans of binding energies were measured for C 1s, O 1s, Mn 2p, Ti 2p, and Zr 3d with the pass energy of 20 eV and an energy step size of 0.05 eV. The binding energies were modified by referencing to the C 1s binding energy of 284.8 eV. The O 1s and Mn 2p peak were deconvoluted optimally into several subbands by the Gaussian–Lorentzian function with the correlation coefficients ( $r^2$ ) above 0.99 referring to the overlapped peak.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity

Fig. 1a shows the NO conversion of different catalysts. NO conversion increased overall with the increasing of temperature over Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti-Zr catalysts. The Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst showed the highest NO conversion in the investigated temperature range and the Mn/Zr catalyst showed a relatively lower conversion. Especially, excellent NO conversion of nearly 100% was obtained over both Mn/Ti-Zr and Mn/Ti catalysts in the temperature range of 180 to 280 °C. This result indicates that all of NO were involved in the catalytic reaction at 180 to 280 °C, and there were enough active sites for NO on the Mn/Ti-Zr and Mn/Ti. Fig. 1b presents the normalized reaction rates of different catalysts at 100 to 160 °C. The reaction rates were similar with the reported catalysts.22 Since of the high specific surface area (Table 1), the Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst obtained a low reaction rate. The result could indicate an equilibrium of reaction exists in the SCR reaction at this temperature.

In general, Mn-based catalysts show a high activity when the reaction temperature is above 150 °C and however, the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity decreases gradually along with the increasing of temperature.<sup>23-26</sup> Fig. 2a shows the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity results of samples. Overall, the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr catalysts decreased with the increasing of temperature. The increase in N<sub>2</sub> selectivity in the temperature range of 100 °C to 120 °C was attributed to the increasing in NO conversion. However, a high N<sub>2</sub> selectivity (nearly 100%) was obtained above 160 °C for the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst.

Fig. 2b shows the plot of  $N_2O$  concentration, which could be a more appropriate parameter to describe the  $N_2$  selectivity, as a function of temperature. It can be clearly seen that a small amount of  $N_2O$  was produced over the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst. Nevertheless,  $N_2O$  was generated in large quantities over the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr catalysts with the maximum of 203 ppm and 237 ppm at 340 °C, respectively. The result manifests that even though NO was involved in the catalytic reaction over Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr, the product was  $N_2O$  and not  $N_2$ . As mentioned above, it is a common issue that  $N_2$  selectivity decreases with the increasing of temperature for Mn-based catalysts. Referring to



Fig. 1 (a) NO conversion and (b) normalized reaction rates for different catalysts. Reaction conditions:  $[NO] = 600 \text{ ppm}, [NH_3] = 600 \text{ ppm}, [O_2] = 5\%$ , N<sub>2</sub> balance and GHSV = 36 000 h<sup>-1</sup>.

 
 Table 1
 BET surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of the samples

Samples	BET surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Pore volume $(cm^3 g^{-1})$	Average pore diameter (nm)	Mole ratio of Mn/(Ti + Zr)
Mn/Ti	114	0.33	11.6	0.3
Mn/Zr	104	0.31	7.6	0.3
Mn/Ti-Zr	189	0.51	8.4	0.3

the high N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Mn/Ti–Zr catalysts, it can be deduced that the Ti–Zr composite support is in favor of suppressing the generation of N<sub>2</sub>O and improving the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Mn-based.

Fig. 3 reveals the durability of  $H_2O$  and  $SO_2$  for different catalyst at 180 °C. The No conversion of all catalysts decreased slightly after the introducing of 3 vol%  $H_2O$  (Fig. 3a). When 3 vol%  $H_2O$  was cutting off, the NO conversion returned to the original level gradually. The result agrees with the previous publication that the competitive adsorption of  $H_2O$  blocks the active sites. As shown in Fig. 3b, the NO conversion decreased sharply after 50 ppm  $SO_2$  was introduced at 180 °C. Moreover, when 50 ppm  $SO_2$  was removed, the catalytic activities cannot recover to the original level. The irreversible deactivation indicates that the deactivation by SO<sub>2</sub> is ascribed to the sulfation of active sites and deposition of ammonium sulfates.<sup>15</sup>

#### 3.2. NH<sub>3</sub> and NO oxidation

In order to investigate the origin of N<sub>2</sub>O and the catalytic oxidation activity of NH3 and NO, separate NH3 and NO oxidation experiments were carried out over Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/ Ti-Zr catalysts. The comparative experiments were conducted with an empty quartz tube as blank control group. Fig. 4 presents the results of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO oxidation. As shown in Fig. 4a, only small quantities of N2O were detected over the Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst, which was nearly the same result as that achieved for the blank control group. The result indicated that the Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst could hardly catalyze NH<sub>3</sub> to N<sub>2</sub>O. The Mn/Zr catalyst showed the best catalytic oxidation activity of NH<sub>3</sub> to N<sub>2</sub>O, following with the Mn/Ti catalyst. These results agreed with the  $N_2$  selectivity results shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, there was a downtrend of N<sub>2</sub>O generation over the Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/ Ti-Zr catalysts at 260, 220, and 300 °C, respectively. Referring to Fig. 2b, a different trend was observed with the results depicted in Fig. 4a. As shown in Fig. 2b, the concentration of  $N_{\rm 2}O$ increased with the increase in temperature from 100 °C to 340 °C. Therefore, it can be concluded that the direct oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> is just one of the origins of N<sub>2</sub>O.



Fig. 2 (a) N<sub>2</sub> selectivity and (b) outlet concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O for different catalysts. Reaction conditions: [NO] = 600 ppm, [NH<sub>3</sub>] = 600 ppm,  $[O_2] = 5\%$ , N<sub>2</sub> balance and GHSV = 36 000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. 3 NO conversion for different catalysts in the presence of (a)  $3 \text{ vol}\% \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  or (b) 50 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> at 180 °C. Reaction conditions: [NO] = 600 ppm, [NH<sub>3</sub>] = 600 ppm, [O<sub>2</sub>] = 5\%, N<sub>2</sub> balance and GHSV = 36 000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. 4 Oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> to (a) N<sub>2</sub>O and (b) NO<sub>x</sub> (x = 1, 2) over different catalysts. Reaction conditions: [NH<sub>3</sub>] = 600 ppm, [O<sub>2</sub>] = 5%, N<sub>2</sub> balance and GHSV = 36 000 h<sup>-1</sup>.

As shown in Fig. 4b, the concentrations of  $NO_x$  (x = 1, 2) originated from  $NH_3$  oxidation were far below the concentrations of  $N_2O$  formed from  $NH_3$  oxidation, but increased slowly with the increasing temperature. NO could be oxidized to  $NO_2$  under oxygen atmosphere with a reaction equilibrium as shown in following equation:

$$2NO + O_2 \rightarrow 2NO_2 \tag{1}$$

It is well agreed that the SCR process could be accelerated by the generation of NO<sub>2</sub> through the so-called Fast SCR process.<sup>22,27</sup> Fig. 5a shows the outlet concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> originated from NO oxidation for different catalysts, which represents the property of NO oxidation to NO<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature. It was observed that the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> increased with the increasing temperature apparently.

The Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst obtained the highest NO<sub>2</sub> concentration, followed by Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr in order. Comparing with Fig. 4b, it can be found that the favorable formation of NO<sub>2</sub> mainly originated from the oxidation of NO. The results indicate that the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst presented the best property of NO oxidation to NO<sub>2</sub>, which likely contributed to the Fast SCR process. Referring to the NO conversion as shown in Fig. 1a, there was a similar trend between NO conversion and NO<sub>2</sub> formation. However, contrasting Fig. 1a with 5a, it can be found that the SCR reaction was not dominated by the Fast SCR process. Especially, there was a very low NO<sub>2</sub> concentration but a high NO conversion in the temperature range of 100 °C to 160 °C. Thus, it could be deduced that the SCR process was primarily attributed to the Standard SCR. Fig. 5b shows the formation of N<sub>2</sub>O by a disproportionation reaction in the presence of O<sub>2</sub>. Hardly any N<sub>2</sub>O was detected in the outlet.

#### 3.3. XRD and BET analysis

Fig. 6 shows the XRD patterns of all samples. The diffraction peaks at  $2\theta = 28.7^{\circ}$ ,  $37.4^{\circ}$ ,  $42.8^{\circ}$  and  $56.7^{\circ}$  assigned to the MnO<sub>2</sub> species (PDF card No. 81-2261) were detected in all samples, since the atomic ratio of Mn/(Ti + Zr) with 3 : 10 is a heavy load. It can be speculated that the MnO<sub>2</sub> species dispersed over the supports and the redundant MnO<sub>2</sub> species was in crystal form. It has been widely reported that MnO<sub>2</sub> exhibits excellent activity as compared to other MnO<sub>x</sub> species.<sup>28</sup> The diffraction peaks assigned to anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (PDF card No. 99-0008) and baddeleyite ZrO<sub>2</sub> (PDF card No. 86-1449) were detected in the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr samples, respectively. The results demonstrate that the support of TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> were crystallized by calcined at 500 °C for 2 h.



Fig. 5 Formation of (a) NO<sub>2</sub> and (b) N<sub>2</sub>O by the reaction of NO with O<sub>2</sub> over different catalysts. Reaction conditions: [NO] = 600 ppm, [O<sub>2</sub>] = 5%, N<sub>2</sub> balance and GHSV = 36 000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. 6 XRD patterns of the Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti-Zr catalysts.

However, strong amorphous peaks was detected for Mn/Ti-Zr sample, which was related to the amorphous structure of TiZrO<sub>4</sub>. The devitrification temperature of TiZrO<sub>4</sub> is about 700 °C.<sup>29</sup> The amorphous structure support provided a higher surface area and pore volume.<sup>30</sup> The combination of titanium and zirconium enhanced the dispersion to some extent. This observation is consistent with the specific surface area and pore volume results (Table 1). In addition, the amorphous oxides could enhance the SCR activity owing to the strong interactions between Ti, Zr and Mn.<sup>31,32</sup> In consideration of the catalytic activity, it is a reasonable inference that the support not only provided a sufficient surface area and pore volume, but was also involved in the SCR process.

BET surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of the samples are given in Table 1. The decreasing order of the surface area and pore volume was Mn/Ti-Zr > Mn/Ti > Mn/Zr. This order was in accordance with that of the NO conversion. It agrees the generic studies that the surface area and pore volume are important to catalytic activity.

#### 3.4. FT-IR analysis

The NH<sub>3</sub> molecules adsorbed on the catalysts were analyzed by FT-IR spectroscopy at room temperature to obtain information about the acid sites and the behavior of ammonia on the

surface. The prepared catalysts were exposed to the gas mixture of 2% NH<sub>3</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> for 20 min and their FT-IR spectra were obtained (Fig. 7). The peaks at 1064, 1114, 1134 and 1627 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the ammonia coordinated on the Lewis acid sites.<sup>33,34</sup> The peaks at 1064 and 1627 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed for all samples and were stronger in the case of the Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst as compared to the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr samples. A strong peak at 1134 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed for Mn/Ti-Zr and the weak peak at 1114 cm<sup>-1</sup> was detected for Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr. It can be found that the peaks assigned to ammonia coordinated on the Lewis acid sites for Mn/Ti-Zr and Mn/Ti are stronger than that for Mn/ Zr. In terms of the results, it can be deduced that the combination of Mn and Ti could promote formation of the Lewis acid sites. The peaks at around 1401 and 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the  $NH_4^+$  of on the Brønsted acid sites.<sup>9,14</sup> The peaks at 1344 and 1542 cm<sup>-1</sup> detected for the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr are related to the NH3 adsorbed on Brønsted acid sites.19,35 In addition, the peaks at 2363 and 2333 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the anti-symmetric stretching vibration of CO2, which was adsorbed from the air.36

The results indicate that the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst possesses more Lewis acid sites. The Mn/Ti catalyst showed strong peaks



Fig. 7 FT-IR spectra of the Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti-Zr catalysts.

Table 2 Surface atom contents in different samples

Sample	Surface element concentration (%)			Molar ratios		
	Mn	0	Ti	Zr	$\frac{Mn^{4+}}{(Mn^{4+} + Mn^{3+})}$	$O_{\alpha}/(O_{\alpha} + O_{\beta})$
Mn/Ti	3.48	66.81	29.72		0.62	0.21
Mn/Zr Mn/Ti–Zr	4.54 3.81	70.39 71.33	 11.07	25.06 13.8	0.49 0.67	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ 0.40 \end{array}$

corresponding to Lewis acid sites and the Brønsted acid sites. Referring to the observed band of Mn/Zr, it is worth noting that peak at 1542 cm<sup>-1</sup> was of a strong intensity, which indicated a high proportion of Brønsted acid sites on the Mn/Zr catalyst surface. It has been previously reported that Lewis acid sites are beneficial to NO conversion, which agreed with the result of activity measurements.<sup>37</sup> Besides, the Brønsted acid sites are advantage for the adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>38</sup> In fact, although gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> could be adsorbed on both Lewis acid sites and Brønsted acid sites that mainly exerted a positive effect.

#### 3.5. XPS analysis

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was carried out to get insight into the oxidation states and atomic concentrations of the surface layer of the catalysts. As listed in Table 2, the main element on the surface was oxygen with percentage concentrations of 66.81, 70.39, and 71.33 for the Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/ Ti–Zr, respectively. Moreover, the Mn/Zr catalyst obtained the highest concentration of surface Mn element (4.54%), which were 3.81% and 3.48% in the case of Mn/Ti–Zr and Mn–Ti, respectively.

The high-resolution XPS spectra of Mn 2p and O 1s are shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 8a shows the high-resolution XPS spectra of

Mn 2p (Mn  $2p_{1/2}$  and Mn  $2p_{3/2}$ ), which could be deconvoluted into two sets of double-peaks characterized Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> located in the ranges of 641.6-642.2 eV and 643.8-643.9 eV, repectively.<sup>21,39</sup> The peaks assigned to Mn<sup>4+</sup> were found at 642.9, 643.1, and 642.8 eV for the Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti-Zr catalysts, respectively. There was a shift to lower binding energy of Mn<sup>4+</sup> over the Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst for the interaction between the manganese oxide and the support. It is reported that a lower binding energy indicates a more active state. This manifests the Mn<sup>4+</sup> on the Mn/Ti-Zr promoting the catalytic reaction.<sup>40-42</sup> Table 2 presents the mole ratios of  $Mn^{4+}/(Mn^{4+} + Mn^{3+})$ . The Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst provided a highest ratio of Mn<sup>4+</sup> species, followed by that of the Mn/Ti and Mn/Zr catalysts in descending order. The high ratio of Mn4+ may be attributed to the Ti component of the support. Referring to the FT-IR results, it was found that Mn/Zr-Ti and Mn/Ti samples have more Lewis acid sites and high ratios of Mn<sup>4+</sup> simultaneously. Therefore, it is a reasonable speculation that the Mn<sup>4+</sup> provides more Lewis acid sites.

As is well known, high concentration of surface  $Mn^{4+}$  is conducive to the catalytic oxidation ability and enhances the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity.<sup>34</sup> This result is in accordance with the catalytic activity, as shown in Fig. 1a. The superior NO conversion of the Mn/Ti–Zr and Mn/Ti catalysts could be attributed to the high concentration of Mn<sup>4+</sup>. Mn species have an intimate interaction between Mn and Ti–Zr, which allows more electronic transfer between Mn, Ti and Zr.<sup>43,44</sup>

The O 1s XPS spectra were deconvoluted into two sub-bands as shown in Fig. 8b. The higher binding energy of 531.0–531.8 eV are assigned to chemisorbed oxygen (defect-oxygen of  $O_2^{2^-}/O^-$  and hydroxyl-like group of OH<sup>-</sup>) and the lower binding energy of 529.6–530.2 eV are assigned to surface lattice oxygen ( $O^{2^-}$ ), donated as  $O_{\alpha}$  and  $O_{\beta}$ , respectively.<sup>45,46</sup> The  $O_{\alpha}/(O_{\alpha} + O_{\beta})$  ratios are given in Table 2. The Mn/Ti catalyst obtained the lowest  $O_{\alpha}$  ratio and, on the contrary, showed a high ratio of  $O_{\beta}$ ,



Fig. 8 High resolution XPS spectra of (a) Mn 2p and (b) O 1s of Mn/Ti, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti–Zr.

which could be attributed to the high crystallization of  $MnO_2$ and anatase  $TiO_2$ . These results were consistent with those obtained from the XRD profiles, and the previous articles that stated that  $O_\beta$  was mainly derived from Ti–O of anatase  $TiO_2$ and  $O_\alpha$  originated from the OH<sup>-</sup> bonded with the metal cations of Mn, Ti, and Zr on the surface.<sup>47</sup> The relative concentration ratio of  $O_\alpha$  of Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti–Zr was twice that of the Mn/Ti. It was speculated that the Zr component of the support enhanced the formation of chemisorbed labile oxygen, which demonstrated a higher activity than lattice oxygen for the superior mobility. This facilitated the oxidation of NO to  $NO_2$  and consequently, increased the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR performance.<sup>48,49</sup> Moreover, there is a shift to higher binding energies for Mn/Ti–Zr samples, which could be ascribed to the interaction between the manganese oxide and Ti–Zr support.

#### 3.6. Mechanism discussion

Based on the above analysis, a mechanism of  $NH_3$ -SCR process and  $N_2O$  formation were proposed to address the main reaction. It is widely reported that NO is mainly abated in two ways as follows:<sup>50,51</sup>

$$4NH_3 + 4NO + O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O$$
 (2)

$$4NH_3 + 2NO + 2NO_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O$$
 (3)

As mentioned in Subsection 3.2, the generation of NO<sub>2</sub> is dominated by reaction (1) and, however, the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> is very low in the temperature range of 100 °C to 160 °C (Fig. 5a). Thus, the NO reduction is dominated by reaction (2) and not reaction (3) in the temperature range of 100 °C to 160 °C. In fact, the Gibbs free energy for reaction (2) ( $\Delta G_{298}^0 =$ -1651 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) is far below that of reaction (1) ( $\Delta G_{298}^0 =$ -70 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>15</sup> In addition, reaction (3) is limited by reaction (1). Therefore, it can be concluded that the reduction of NO was dominated by reaction (2) in the investigated temperature range.

On the basis of above analysis, the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst showed the excellent NO conversion should be ascribed to the high concentration of Mn<sup>4+</sup> and an intimated interaction between Mn and Ti–Zr, which allows more electronic transfer between Mn, Ti and Zr. A redox mechanism of NO reduction *via* the Eley– Rideal mechanism over the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst is proposed



Fig. 9 Schematic illustration of the redox cycle and NO reduction over the Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst.

(Fig. 9).  $Ti^{4+}$  and  $Zr^{4+}$  can restore the  $Mn^{3+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$ . The intimate interaction facilitates the electronic transfer and accelerates the circulation of  $Mn^{4+}$  and  $Mn^{3+}$  redox couple, which promotes the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR process.

 $N_2O$  can be generated by several approaches, including the disproportionation reaction of NO, NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation and the reaction of NO<sub>x</sub> with NH<sub>3</sub>. The low N<sub>2</sub>O formation to should be ascribed to the synergistic effect between Mn species and Ti–Zr support as shown in Fig. 9. The disproportionation reaction of NO can be described as the following reaction:<sup>52,53</sup>

$$3NO \rightarrow N_2O + NO_2$$
 (4)

Referring to Fig. 5b, almost no  $N_2O$  was generated by the disproportionation reaction of NO in the presence of  $O_2$ . The result indicates that reaction (4) can barely happen when oxygen is fed into the flue gas.

In the presence of  $O_2$ ,  $NH_3$  oxidation leads to the undesired ammonia loss and decreases the  $N_2$  selectivity of the  $NH_3$ -SCR process. The following reactions describe the  $NH_3$  oxidation process.<sup>54-56</sup>

$$4\mathrm{NH}_3 + 3\mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\mathrm{N}_2 + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{5}$$

$$4NH_3 + 4O_2 \rightarrow 2N_2O + 6H_2O$$
 (6)

 $4\mathrm{NH}_3 + 5\mathrm{O}_2 \to 4\mathrm{NO} + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{7}$ 

$$4\mathrm{NH}_3 + 7\mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\mathrm{NO}_2 + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{8}$$

Referring to Fig. 4, it is evident that  $NH_3$  oxidation is dominated by reaction (6), and the reaction (7) and (8) occurred difficultly in the SCR process. The Mn/Ti–Zr catalyst exhibited a low catalytic oxidation activity of  $NH_3$  to  $N_2O$ , which also explains the high  $N_2$  selectivity. Analysing the total N atoms, reaction (5) should exist in the process. As mentioned in Subsection 3.2, it is evident by comparing Fig. 2b and 4a that the concentration of  $N_2O$  increased in the  $NH_3$ -SCR process, while the concentration of  $N_2O$  generated by reaction (6) decreased at high temperature. It can be concluded that the  $NH_3$  oxidation is just one of the origin of  $N_2O$ . Referring to the previous studies, more  $N_2O$  could be originated by the following reactions:<sup>19,56,37</sup>

$$4NH_3 + 4NO + 3O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2O + 6H_2O$$
 (9)

$$4NH_3 + 4NO_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2O + 6H_2O$$
(10)

Similar to the Fast SCR, reaction (10) is limited by the generation of NO<sub>2</sub> and is not the main reaction for N<sub>2</sub>O formation. In conclusion, both reaction (6) and (9) could generated N<sub>2</sub>O but reaction (9) dominated the generation of N<sub>2</sub>O. It is reported that N<sub>2</sub>O is mainly generated from reaction (9) *via* the Eley–Rideal mechanism and not the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism.<sup>13,53</sup> In addition, the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism is suppressed at high temperature and the Eley–Rideal mechanism dominates the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR process subsequently.<sup>58</sup> In a similar manner, N<sub>2</sub>O formation is enhanced *via* the Eley–Rideal mechanism at high temperature.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

This is consistent with the results shown in Fig. 2b. Based on the analysis above, a mechanism of  $N_2O$  formation is proposed as follows:<sup>37</sup>

$$NH_3(ad) + Mn^{4+} = O \rightarrow NH_2(ad) + Mn^{3+}-OH$$
 (11)

$$NH_2(ad) + Mn^{4+} = O \rightarrow NH(ad) + Mn^{3+} - OH$$
 (12)

$$NH(ad) + NO(g) + Mn^{4+} = O \rightarrow N_2O(g) + Mn^{3+}-OH$$
 (13)

where (ad) and (g) indicate the adsorbed and gaseous molecules, respectively. Adsorbed  $NH_2$  can react with gaseous NO to form  $N_2$  and  $H_2O$ . However, if a hydrogen was deprived further from  $NH_2$ ,  $N_2O$  will be generated by the reaction between NH and NO.

# 4. Conclusion

Mn/Ti-Zr, Mn/Zr and Mn/Ti catalysts, prepared by a wet impregnation method, showed good NO conversion in the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR in a wide temperature range. The Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst showed a high N<sub>2</sub> selectivity and suppressed the oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> to N<sub>2</sub>O. The formation of N<sub>2</sub>O was primarily dominated by the reaction of NO with NH<sub>3</sub> in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> via the Eley-Rideal mechanism. The Mn/Ti-Zr catalyst possessed more special surface area, Lewis acid sites and Mn<sup>4+</sup> on catalyst's surface, which synergistically enhanced the catalytic activity and N2 selectivity. It was also found that the Ti-Zr support greatly promoted the performance of Mn-based catalysts in the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR, especially the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity. There was an intimate synergistic effect between the Mn species and the Ti-Zr support. In order to improve the catalytic performance, further research is required with a focus on not only the active component but also the support. Moreover, more researches will be done to clarify the reaction mechanism and the synergistic effect further.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is sponsored by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants U1360202, 51672024, 51472030 and 51502014) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2302017FRF-IC-17-005 and 2302017FRF-BR-17-005A). The authors would like to thank the editor for editing of the manuscript and the anonymous reviewers for their detailed and helpful comments.

# References

- 1 M. Radojevic, Environ. Pollut., 1998, 102, 685-689.
- 2 M.o.E.P.o.t.P.s.R.o. China, *Annual Statistic Report on Environment in China, 2015*, Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China, Beijing, 2017.

- 3 R. Guo, M. Li, P. Sun, S. Liu, S. Wang, W. Pan, S. Liu, J. Liu and X. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 19912–19923.
- 4 B. Li, S. Xiong, Y. Liao, X. Xiao, N. Huang, Y. Geng, S. Zou and S. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 23511–23522.
- 5 E. Park, M. Kim, H. Jung, S. Chin and J. Jurng, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, 3, 1518–1525.
- 6 X. Wang, X. Li, Q. Zhao, W. Sun, M. Tade and S. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **288**, 216–222.
- 7 D. K. Pappas, T. Boningari, P. Boolchand and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **334**, 1–13.
- 8 D. Yan, Y. Yu, X. Huang, S. Liu and Y. Liu, J. Fuel Chem. Technol., 2016, 44, 232-238.
- 9 X. Xiao, Z. Sheng, L. Yang and F. Dong, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 6, 1507–1514.
- 10 Z. Liu, Y. Yi, S. Zhang, T. Zhu, J. Zhu and J. Wang, *Catal. Today*, 2013, **216**, 76–81.
- 11 G. Qi, R. T. Yang and R. Chang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2004, **51**, 93–106.
- 12 Z. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Li, H. Su and L. Ma, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **283**, 1044–1050.
- 13 B. Jiang, B. Deng, Z. Zhang, Z. Wu, X. Tang, S. Yao and H. Lu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 14866–14875.
- 14 B. Shen, X. Zhang, H. Ma, Y. Yao and T. Liu, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **25**, 791–800.
- 15 S. Zhang, B. Zhang, B. Liu and S. Sun, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 26226–26242.
- 16 Z. Liu, Y. Li, T. Zhu, H. Su and J. Zhu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 12964–12970.
- 17 W. S. Kijlstra, E. K. Poels, A. Bliek, B. M. Weckhuysen and R. A. Schoonheydt, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, **101**, 309–316.
- 18 S. Yang, F. Qi, S. Xiong, H. Dang, Y. Liao, P. K. Wong and J. Li, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2016, **181**, 570–580.
- 19 Y. Niu, T. Shang, S. Hui, X. Zhang, Y. Lei, Y. Lv and S. Wang, *Fuel*, 2016, **185**, 316–322.
- 20 Y. Xiong, C. Tang, X. Yao, L. Zhang, L. Li, X. Wang, Y. Deng,
   F. Gao and L. Dong, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2015, 495, 206–216.
- 21 L. J. France, Q. Yang, W. Li, Z. Chen, J. Guang, D. Guo, L. Wang and X. Li, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2017, **206**, 203–215.
- L. Huang, K. Zha, S. Namuangruk, A. Junkaew, X. Zhao,
  H. Li, L. Shi and D. Zhang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 6, 8516–8524.
- 23 R. Guo, Q. Wang, W. Pan, W. Zhen, Q. Chen, H. Ding,
   N. Yang and C. Lu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, 317, 111–116.
- 24 Y. Shi, S. Chen, H. Sun, Y. Shu and X. Quan, *Catal. Commun.*, 2013, **42**, 10–13.
- 25 X. Lu, C. Song, S. Jia, Z. Tong, X. Tang and Y. Teng, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, **260**, 776–784.
- 26 Z. Liu, J. Zhu, J. Li, L. Ma and S. I. Woo, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 14500–14508.
- 27 B. Opitz, M. Bendrich, A. Drochner, H. Vogel, R. E. Hayes, J. F. Forbes and M. Votsmeier, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2015, 264, 936–944.
- 28 F. Kapteijn, L. Singoredjo, A. Andreini and J. A. Moulijn, *Appl. Catal., B*, 1994, **3**, 173–189.
- 29 H. Wei, X. Yan, X. Li, S. He and C. Sun, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2013, **244–245**, 478–488.

- 30 T. Boningari, P. R. Ettireddy, A. Somogyvari, Y. Liu, A. Vorontsov, C. A. McDonald and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **325**, 145–155.
- 31 J. Liu, X. Li, Q. Zhao, J. Ke, H. Xiao, X. Lv, S. Liu, M. Tadé and S. Wang, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2017, **200**, 297–308.
- 32 P. Li, Y. Xin, Q. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Zhang and L. Zheng, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **46**, 9600–9605.
- 33 X. Li, J. Li, Y. Peng, H. Chang, T. Zhang, S. Zhao, W. Si and J. Hao, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2016, **184**, 246–257.
- 34 B. Thirupathi and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **288**, 74–83.
- 35 B. Thirupathi and P. G. Smirniotis, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2011, **110**, 195–206.
- 36 M. Kantcheva, M. Milanova and S. Mametsheripov, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **191**, 12–19.
- 37 S. Yang, S. Xiong, Y. Liao, X. Xiao, F. Qi, Y. Peng, Y. Fu, W. Shan and J. Li, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 48, 10354– 10362.
- 38 M. S. Maqbool, A. K. Pullur and H. P. Ha, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **152–153**, 28–37.
- 39 M. Stanciulescu, G. Caravaggio, A. Dobri, J. Moir, R. Burich, J. P. Charland and P. Bulsink, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2012, **123–124**, 229–240.
- 40 S. Boxiong, Y. Yan, C. Jianhong and Z. Xiaopeng, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2013, **180**, 262–269.
- 41 N. Fang, J. Guo, S. Shu, H. Luo, Y. Chu and J. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **325**, 114–123.
- 42 B. Shen, F. Wang and T. Liu, *Powder Technol.*, 2014, **253**, 152–157.
- 43 P. R. Ettireddy, N. Ettireddy, S. Mamedov, P. Boolchand and P. G. Smirniotis, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2007, **76**, 123–134.

- 44 L. Huang, X. Hu, S. Yuan, H. Li, T. Yan, L. Shi and D. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **203**, 778–788.
- 45 C. Gao, J. Shi, Z. Fan, Y. Yu, J. Chen, Z. Li and C. Niu, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2017, **167**, 322–333.
- 46 J. Zuo, Z. Chen, F. Wang, Y. Yu, L. Wang and X. Li, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 53, 2647–2655.
- 47 Z. Zhang, L. Chen, Z. Li, P. Li, F. Yuan, X. Niu and Y. Zhu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 7151–7162.
- 48 J. Fan, P. Ning, Z. Song, X. Liu, L. Wang, J. Wang, H. Wang, K. Long and Q. Zhang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **334**, 855–863.
- 49 H. Chen, Y. Xia, H. Huang, Y. Gan, X. Tao, C. Liang, J. Luo, R. Fang, J. Zhang, W. Zhang and X. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, 330, 1195–1202.
- 50 H. Chang, X. Chen, J. Li, L. Ma, C. Wang, C. Liu, J. W. Schwank and J. Hao, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 47, 5294–5301.
- 51 M. Stanciulescu, P. Bulsink, G. Caravaggio, L. Nossova and R. Burich, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, **300**, 201–207.
- 52 S. Boxiong, M. Hongqing, H. Chuan and Z. Xiaopeng, *Fuel Process. Technol.*, 2014, **119**, 121–129.
- 53 X. Tang, J. Li, L. Sun and J. Hao, Appl. Catal., B, 2010, 99, 156– 162.
- 54 M. H. Kim and S. W. Park, Catal. Commun., 2016, 86, 82-85.
- 55 D. Pietrogiacomi, A. Magliano, P. Ciambelli, D. Sannino, M. C. Campa and V. Indovina, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2009, 89, 33–40.
- 56 Y. You, H. Chang, T. Zhu, T. Zhang, X. Li and J. Li, *Mol. Catal.*, 2017, 439, 15–24.
- 57 D. Zhang and R. T. Yang, Appl. Catal., A, 2017, 543, 247-256.
- 58 S. Yang, C. Wang, J. Li, N. Yan, L. Ma and H. Chang, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2011, **110**, 71–80.