RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 12653

Received 19th January 2018 Accepted 28th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00553b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The superior physical properties of Gd (see Abbreviations) applicable to various biomedical applications such as T_1 MRI,^{1,2} CT,^{3,4} and GdNCT⁵⁻⁷ provide us with the opportunity to

Magnetic resonance imaging, gadolinium neutron capture therapy, and tumor cell detection using ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticles coated with polyacrylic acid-rhodamine B as a multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent†

Son Long Ho[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7175-246X) \mathbb{D}^a Hyunsil Cha,^b In Taek Oh,^c Ki-Hye Jung,^d Mi Hyun Kim,^d Yong Jin Lee,^d Xu Miao,^a Tirusew Tegafaw,^a Mohammad [Yas](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7451-6366)een Ahmad,^a Kwon Seok Chae, *c Yongmin Chang^{*b} and Gang Ho Lee \mathbb{D}^{*a}

Monodisperse and ultrasmall gadolinium oxide (Gd₂O₃) nanoparticle colloids ($d_{\text{avg}} = 1.5$ nm) (nanoparticle colloid = nanoparticle coated with hydrophilic ligand) were synthesized and their performance as a multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent was investigated. The aqueous ultrasmall nanoparticle colloidal suspension was stable and non-toxic owing to hydrophilic polyacrylic acid (PAA) coating that was partly conjugated with rhodamine B (Rho) for an additional functionalization (mole ratio of PAA : Rho = 5 : 1). First, the ultrasmall nanoparticle colloids performed well as a powerful T_1 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agent: they exhibited a very high longitudinal water proton relaxivity (r_1) of 22.6 s⁻¹ mM⁻¹ ($r_2/r_1 = 1.3$, $r_2 =$ transverse water proton relaxivity), which was \sim 6 times higher than those of commercial Gd-chelates, and high positive contrast enhancements in T_1 MR images in a nude mouse after intravenous administration. Second, the ultrasmall nanoparticle colloids were applied to gadolinium neutron capture therapy (GdNCT) in vitro and exhibited a significant U87MG tumor cell death (28.1% net value) after thermal neutron beam irradiation, which was 1.75 times higher than that obtained using commercial Gadovist. Third, the ultrasmall nanoparticle colloids exhibited stronger fluorescent intensities in tumor cells than in normal cells owing to conjugated Rho, proving their pH-sensitive fluorescent tumor cell detection ability. All these results together demonstrate that ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids are the potential multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent. PAPER

(a) Check for updates
 **Magnetic resonance imaging, gadolinium neutro

Capture therapy, and tumor cell detection using

Crewis** *niss* **capture therapy, and tumor cell detection using

Ultrasmall Gd₂O₃ nanopartic**

> synthesize tumor theragnostic agents using one element of Gd in various chemical forms. This condition of Gd simplifies the design and synthesis of tumor theragnostic agents, and minimizes the production cost. The effectiveness of Gd is most significant in the form of ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids (nanoparticle colloid $=$ nanoparticle coated with hydrophilic ligand) owing to their high Gd-density per nanoparticle colloid. An additional advantage of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids over molecular Gd-chelates is their large surface area available for additional functionalization through surface-conjugation. Ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids also have advantages over conventional large $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids in biomedical applications owing to their higher number density, higher colloidal stability, higher performance, and renal excretion ability.

> In this study, we present monodisperse and ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids as a multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent. The nanoparticle colloid is composed of three components: ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle, PAA, and Rho (Fig. 1a). First, PAA was used as surface-coating ligand to make

a Department of Chemistry and Department of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (DNN), College of Natural Sciences, Kyungpook National University (KNU), Taegu 41566, South Korea. E-mail: ghlee@mail.knu.ac.kr

b Department of Molecular Medicine and Medical & Biological Engineering and DNN, School of Medicine, KNU and Hospital, Taegu 41566, South Korea. E-mail: ychang@knu.ac.kr

c Department of Biology Education and DNN, Teachers' College, KNU, Taegu 41566, South Korea. E-mail: kschae@knu.ac.kr

d Division of RI-Convergence Research, Korea Institute of Radiological & Medical Science, Seoul 01817, South Korea

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NMR spectrum of Rho-NH2, FT-IR absorption spectra of Rho, Rho-NH2, PAA, a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA, surface-coating ligand and sample solution photos, XRD patterns, and MC50 cyclotron and thermal neutron irradiation facility photos are provided. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra00553b

the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids stable and non-toxic. It is an excellent surface-coating biocompatible polymer with numerous carboxylic groups per polymer,⁸ with one carboxylic group per monomer unit. An additional functionalization of the nanoparticle colloids can be easily performed by conjugating a functional molecule such as drug, tumor-targeting ligand, or dye (such as Rho in this study) to one of its carboxylic groups. The remaining carboxylic groups can be used for surface coating. The other two components are described below.

The main component, *i.e.* ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle has both diagnostic and therapeutic functions as mentioned before, and among them, those applicable to T_1 MRI⁹⁻¹¹ and GdNCT^{7,12,13} were investigated in this study. First, an important focus of this study was the application of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids to GdNCT in vitro. GdNCT is a new promising binary tumor therapeutic technique utilizing Gdchemicals and a thermal neutron beam.5,14–¹⁷ 157Gd (natural abundance $= 15.7\%$) has the highest thermal neutron capture cross-section of 257 000 barns among stable radionuclides, which is \sim 67 times higher than 3840 barns of ¹⁰B (natural abundance = 19.7%) currently used for BNCT.^{5,13,14} However, common elements in the body, such as H, O, C, N, S, Na, Cl, K, Ca, and Fe, have tiny thermal neutron capture cross-section values.5,14 Therefore, GdNCT does no harm to our body unless high thermal neutron beam irradiation doses are used. The tumor therapy by GdNCT occurs by nuclear reaction products. Therefore, for successful GdNCT, Gd-chemicals should reside inside or close to tumor cell nuclei because of the short path lengths (a few μ m) of both IC and ACK electrons, the nuclear reaction products of the $^{157}Gd(n,\gamma)^{158*}Gd$ nuclear reaction.^{5,14}
These electrons can damage DNA inside tumor call nuclei, thus These electrons can damage DNA inside tumor cell nuclei, thus killing tumor cells. The Gd-chemicals applied to GdNCT to date include commercial Gd-chelates such as Gd-DTPA and Gd-DOTA,¹⁸⁻²¹ fullerene-encapsulated Gd,²² GdCo@carbon nanoparticles,²³ hybrid $Gd₂O₃(\partial)$ apolysiloxane nanoparticles,¹² and various nanocomposites containing commercial Gd-chelates such as chitosans,^{24,25} liposomes,^{26,27} calcium phosphate polymeric micelles,^{28,29} and ethyl cellulose microcapsules.³⁰ Nanocomposites accumulated more in tumor cells than did Gdchelates in vivo^{25,28,31,32} and in vitro.^{27,31,33,34} However, these BSC Advances Worwide collects collects and
a creative composites are generally too large of 2-30 amplished on
the more consequent of the creative properties photomaster are considerated on the
distribution of the creative

Fig. 1 (a) Three components (i.e. ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle, PAA, and Rho) of the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloid, the role of each component, and the surface coating structure. (b) Three applications of the ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloid investigated in this study.

nanocomposites are generally too large $(d > 30 \text{ nm})$ for intravenous administration. Therefore, they were usually administrated either intratumorally^{24,25,32,35} or intraperitoneally.^{30,31} However, ultrasmall nanoparticle colloids $(d < 3$ nm) can circulate freely through capillary vessels and be excreted through the renal system after intravenous administration.^{9,36,37} They may be able to target tumor cells after conjugation with targeting ligands. Therefore, ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids will be extremely useful for GdNCT applications and thus were applied to GdNCT in vitro in this study to investigate their effectiveness as GdNCT agent before in vivo application. Their performance was compared to that of Gadovist (Gadobutrol, Bayer Schering Pharma, Germany), a commercial molecular T₁ MRI contrast agent. Second, ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids can be applied to T_1 MRI. To demonstrate this, water proton relaxivities and in vivo T_1 MR images in a nude mouse after intravenous administration of the sample solution were investigated in this study.

Lastly, pH-sensitive fluorescent tumor cell detection of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids was explored in this study. To this end, Rho was conjugated to surface-coating PAA through amide bond because Rho shows pH-dependent fluorescent intensity in the visible region (λ_{em} = 582 nm), which is useful for its use as a pH-sensitive tumor cell detection probe,³⁸⁻⁴⁰ as well as for use as an FI agent.^{40,41} Rho tints red in acidic conditions but has no color in basic conditions, and thus exhibits a stronger fluorescent intensity at more acidic conditions. The origin for this is the spirolactam ring opening reaction in acidic conditions. Therefore, ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids will show stronger fluorescent intensities in tumor cells than in normal cells because the pH of tumor cells is more acidic than that of normal cells, which is nearly neutral.⁴² Therefore, combining all the applications mentioned above (Fig. 1b), the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloid can serve as a multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent as demonstrated in this study.

Experimental

Chemicals

Chemicals such as GdCl₃ xH_2O (99.9%), PAA ($M_w = \sim 1800$ Da, analytical standard grade), Rho (analytical standard grade), THF (>99.9%), TEG (99%), ethylenediamine (>99%), NaOH (>99.9%), dichloromethane (>99.8%), DCC (>98%), and 4-DMAP (>99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used as-received. Ethanol (>99%, Duksan Chemical, South Korea) was used for initial washing of nanoparticle colloids. Triple distilled water was used for final washing of nanoparticle colloids and in the preparation of aqueous nanoparticle colloidal suspension.

Synthesis of Rho-PAA

To prepare Rho-PAA, Rho-NH₂ was first synthesized according to the known method (Fig. 2a).^{43,44} Briefly, a mixture of 5 mmol Rho, 20 mL ethanol, and 3 mL ethylenediamine was refluxed overnight until the reaction mixture lost its red color. Ethanol

Fig. 2 Syntheses of (a) Rho-NH₂, (b) Rho-PAA, and (c) ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloid.

was evaporated and the crude mixture was then washed with triple distilled water, extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and dried by $Na₂SO₄$. The organic phase was concentrated and purified using a silica gel column (DCM : $CH₃OH = 95 : 5$ as eluent) to obtain Rho-NH₂ in a light-yellow solid form $(1.86 \text{ g}, 76.8\% \text{ yield}).$ Formation of Rho-NH₂ was confirmed from its NMR and FT-IR absorption spectra (Fig. S1 and S2,† respectively).

Rho-PAA was synthesized according to the known method⁴⁵ with a slight modification (Fig. 2b). 0.5 mmol PAA, 0.25 mmol DCC, and a catalytic amount of 4-DMAP were dissolved in 10 mL dry THF. Then 0.1 mmol Rho-NH₂ dissolved in dry THF was slowly added to the PAA solution, which was further magnetically stirred at room temperature for 2 days. THF was later removed by evaporation and the crude product was washed with dichloromethane and purified by dialysis ($MWCO = 1000$ Da) against triple distilled water for 1 day. Formation of Rho-PAA was confirmed from its FT-IR absorption spectrum, and pHdependent solution and fluorescent solution colors (Fig. S3 and S4, \dagger respectively). The PAA used has \sim twenty-five –COOH groups per polymer. Calculations based on the mole ratio of PAA : Rho-NH₂ = 5 : 1 used in the synthesis, showed that one of five PAA molecules was conjugated to one Rho, and the others were free PAA. Therefore, the final product was a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA in the mole ratio of $4:1$. This was confirmed by an FT-IR absorption spectrum of the product that was overwhelmed by PAA peaks (Fig. S3†). The product (PAA + Rho-PAA) was obtained as a solid with a red color owing to Rho-PAA. The pH-dependent solution and fluorescent solution colors were similar to those of free Rho: an aqueous solution of the product

tinted red and exhibited strong fluorescence in the red region after irradiation at 365 nm with a mercury lamp, and had no color and no fluorescence at basic pH values like free Rho (Fig. S4†).

Synthesis of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids (coating $material = a mixture of PAA and PAA-Rho in the mole ratio of$ $PAA : PAA-Rho = 4 : 1$

In a three-neck round bottom flask, a mixture of half of the above mixture (PAA + Rho-PAA) (\sim 0.25 mmol) and 2 mmol $GdCl₃·xH₂O$ were magnetically stirred in 20 mL TEG for 2 h at 60 C under atmospheric conditions until a clear red precursor solution was obtained (Fig. 2c). In a separate beaker, 10 mmol NaOH in 10 mL TEG was prepared and then added slowly to the precursor solution. Owing to the increment of pH to 9-11 after addition of the NaOH solution, the mixed solution lost its red color. The solution was magnetically stirred at 110 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h and then cooled to room temperature. The solution was transferred to a 500 mL beaker and 400 mL ethanol was added. The solution was magnetically stirred at room temperature for 10 minutes and kept in refrigerator for 3 days until the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids settled to the bottom of the beaker. The supernatant was decanted and the remaining solution was filled with 400 mL ethanol. This process was repeated 5 times. The product solution was washed 5 times with an adequate amount (<10 mL) of triple distilled water to remove ethanol by evaporation. A portion of the product was dried in air to powder form for various characterizations and the rest of the product was diluted with triple distilled water to prepare a nanoparticle colloidal suspension $(\sim 30 \text{ mM } \text{Gd})$.

General characterizations

The particle diameters were measured using an HRTEM (Titan G2 ChemiSTEM CS Probe, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. For measurements, one drop of diluted nanoparticle colloids in triple distilled water was dropped onto a carbon film supported by a 200-mesh copper

Fig. 3 The in vitro GdNCT experimental procedure.

grid (PELCO no. 160, Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA, USA) and placed on a filter paper using a micropipette ($2-20 \mu L$, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The copper grid with the sample was left to air dry for 1 h at room temperature. The copper grid with the sample was then mounted inside the HRTEM for measurement.

The crystal structure of the powder samples before and after TGA was measured using a powder XRD spectrometer (X-PERT PRO MRD, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) with unfiltered CuKa ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) radiation. The scanning step and scan range in 2θ were 0.033 $^{\circ}$ and 15–100 $^{\circ}$, respectively.

The surface coating of the nanoparticles with a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA was investigated by recording FT-IR absorption spectra with an FT-IR absorption spectrometer (Galaxy 7020A, Mattson Instruments, Inc., Madison, WI, USA). For measurements, the powder samples were dried on a hot plate at \sim 40 °C for a week to remove moisture. Pellets of dried powder samples were prepared in KBr. FT-IR absorption spectra were recorded in the range of 400-4000 cm^{-1} .

The amount of surface coating on the nanoparticle surface was estimated by recording a TGA curve using a TGA instrument (SDT-Q 600, TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). Because

organic compounds burn out below 400 °C, a TGA curve was scanned between room temperature and 900 °C under air flow. The amount of surface coating was estimated from the mass drop in the TGA curve after subtraction of the initial mass drop between room temperature and \sim 105 °C owing to water and air desorption.

The Gd concentration of the nanoparticle colloids suspended in triple distilled water was determined using an ICPAES (IRIS/AP, Thermo Jarrell Ash Co., Franklin, MA, USA). The colloidal suspension was pre-treated with acids to completely dissolve the nanoparticle colloids in solution before measurement.

In vitro cytotoxicity measurements

The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of the nanoparticle colloids was measured using a CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The ATP was quantified using a Victor 3 luminometer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Three cell lines, i.e. DU145, NCTC1469, and U87MG, were used. Each cell line was seeded onto a separate 24-well cell culture plate and incubated for 24 h (5×10^4 cell density, 500 µL cells per well, 5% $CO₂$, and 37 °C). Five dilute solutions were prepared by the dilution of the concentrated colloidal suspension with a sterile PBS solution. Each of the test cells was treated with \sim 2 µL of each diluted sample solution and the final Gd concentrations in the treated cells were 10, 50, 100, 200, and 500 **BSC Advances**

grid (PELCO no. 160, Ted Pells, Inc., Redding, CA, ISA) and expansion stream to the restrictere and properties Commons are thrown in

indicate ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids and the circled region in (a-III) was magnified in (a-VI)] and (b) a log-normal function fit to the

Fig. 5 (a) FT-IR absorption spectra of a powder sample and a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA (mole ratio of PAA : Rho = 5 : 1) 2920 cm⁻¹ (C-H symmetric stretch), 1704 cm $^{-1}$ (C=O symmetric stretch), 1550 cm $^{-1}$ (COO⁻ antisymmetric stretch), 1400 cm⁻¹ (COO⁻ symmetric stretch), and 1170 and 1065 cm^{-1} (C–O symmetric stretches). (b) A TGA curve of a powder sample.

observed particle diameter distribution.

µM Gd. The treated cells were then incubated for 48 h. Cell viabilities were measured twice to obtain the average cell viabilities, which were then normalized with respect to that of untreated control cells (0.0 mM Gd).

Relaxivity and map image measurements

The T_1 and T_2 relaxation times and the R_1 and R_2 map images were measured using a 1.5 T MRI scanner (GE 1.5 T Signa Advantage, GE Medical Systems, Chicago, IL, USA) equipped with a knee coil (MSK-Extreme, ONI Medical Systems, Inc., Wilmington, MA, USA). Five aqueous dilute sample solutions (1.0, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, and 0.0625 mM Gd) were prepared by diluting the concentrated colloidal suspension with triple distilled water. These dilute solutions and triple distilled water were then used to measure both the T_1 and T_2 relaxation times and the R_1 and R_2 map images. The r_1 and r_2 water proton relaxivities of the sample solution were then estimated from the slopes of plots of $1/T_1$ and $1/T_2$, respectively, versus the Gd concentration. T_1 relaxation time measurements were conducted using an inversion recovery method. In this method, the TI was varied at 1.5 T and the MR images were acquired at 35 different TI values in the range from 50 to 1750 ms. The T_1 relaxation times were then obtained from the nonlinear leastsquare fits to the measured signal intensities at various TI values. For the measurements of T_2 relaxation times, the Carr-Purcell–Meiboom-Gill pulse sequence was used for multiple spin-echo measurements. A total of 34 images were acquired at 34 different TE values in the range from 10 to 1900 ms. The T_2 relaxation times were obtained from the nonlinear least-square Paper

Nota Creative control one of the control of the state article on 03 April 2018. Downloaded on 02 Apple the control of the control of the control of the common commons are control on the state of the common commons

Fig. 6 In vitro cytotoxicity results of (a) uncoated Gd_2O_3 particles in NCTC1469 and U87MG cell lines and (b) ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids in DU145, NCTC1469, and U87MG cell lines.

fits to the mean pixel values for the multiple spin-echo measurements at various TE values.

Animal experiment

This study was performed in accordance with the Korean guidelines and approved by the animal research committee of Kyungpook National University.

In vivo T_1 MR image measurements in a nude mouse

In vivo T_1 MR images were acquired using the same MRI scanner used for relaxometry measurements. For imaging, an ICR (Institute of Cancer Research, USA) mouse $(\sim 30 \text{ g})$ was anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in oxygen. Measurements were made before and after administration of the nanoparticle colloidal suspension into the mouse tail vein. The administration dose was typically ~ 0.1 mmol Gd per kg. After measurement, the mouse was revived from anesthesia and placed in a cage with free access to food and water. During measurement, temperature of the mouse was maintained at \sim 37 °C using a warm water blanket. The parameters used for the measurements were as follows: external MR field $= 1.5$ T; temperature $=$ 37 °C; NEX = 4; FOV = 6 mm; phase FOV = 0.5; matrix size = 256×192 ; slice thickness = 1 mm; spacing gap = 0.5 mm (coronal) and 2.0 mm (axial); pixel bandwidth $=$ 15.63 Hz; TR $=$ 500 ms; and $TE = 13$ ms.

In vitro GdNCT experiments

The GdNCT experiments were conducted using the cyclotron (MC50, Scanditronix, Sweden) and irradiation facilities installed at the Korea Institute of Radiological & Medical

Fig. 7 (a) Plots of $1/T_1$ and $1/T_2$ as a function of Gd concentration (the slopes correspond to r_1 and r_2 values, respectively). (b) Dose-dependent R_1 and R_2 map images of aqueous ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloidal suspension.

Science (Fig. S5†). It was operated at 35 MeV and 20 μ A with 9 Be
target (diamater = 17 mm) to generate thermal neutron beam target (diameter $= 17$ mm) to generate thermal neutron beam. The GdNCT experimental procedure is provided in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, the experiments consisted of 4 stages: cell culture, cell treatment with ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids (i.e. sample) and Gadovist, thermal neutron beam irradiation, and clonogenic assay. Two 6-cell well plates were used for cell culture. The cell wells in each plate were divided into 3 groups (i.e., control, sample, and Gadovist) such that each group occupied 1 cell well in each plate. At the cell culture stage, U87MG tumor cells were seeded on 3 cell wells in each plate and incubated for 24 h (5×10^4 cell density, 5% CO₂, and 37 °C). At the cell treatment stage, control cells were untreated (0.0 mM Gd), sample cells were treated with the sample (0.5 mM Gd), and Gadovist cells were treated with Gadovist (0.5 mM Gd). Both treated and untreated (i.e. control) cells were incubated for 24 h and then the treated cells were washed with PBS solution 3 times to remove free nanoparticle colloids and Gadovist. At the irradiation stage, the right cell well plate in Fig. 3 was irradiated with a thermal neutron beam for 12 min, corresponding to a radiation dose of \sim 6 Gy, and the left one was not irradiated. At the clonogenic assay stage, 500 and 1000 cells in each cell well in the two 6-cell well plates were transferred to Petri dishes (diameter $= 6$ mm). These 2 cell numbers were used to verify consistency of cell viabilities. Twelve or 6 sets of cell dishes in total were prepared this way for clonogenic assay (see the bottom in Fig. 3). Here, each set in each group consisted of BSC Advances Selence (Ry, S5°). It was operated at 35 MV and 20 μ M, which ⁸B and radius of 1/3 and light of 1/3 an

Fig. 8 (a) In vivo T_1 MR images at 1.5 T and (b) SNRs in the heart, liver, kidneys, and bladder in a nude mouse before (=pre) and 35 min and 4 h after intravenous administration. Labels: $H -$ heart; $L -$ liver; K kidneys; and B – bladder.

unirradiated (0 min) and irradiated (12 min) cell dishes for the 2 cell numbers. All 6 sets of cell dishes were incubated for 2 weeks to allow colonial formations. The cell viabilities were analyzed using a clonogenic assay protocol⁴⁶ and measured by cell counting. All cells spent the same time from the cell culture to clonogenic assay.

pH-dependent fluorescent spectral measurements

Fluorescent spectra of the ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids suspended in triple distilled water at different pH values were recorded using a fluorescent spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse, Agilent Tech., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The nanoparticle colloidal suspensions (2 mM Gd) at different pH values were prepared by addition of a small amount of 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH solution to the sample solution while monitoring the pH value of the sample solution with a digital pH-meter equipped with a glass electrode (CyberScan pH 10, Eutech Instrument, Vernon Hills, IL, USA). For measurements, each sample solution was placed in a cuvette (Sigma-Aldrich, 4 mL) with four optically clear sides, which was put in a dark chamber of the spectrometer. A mercury lamp was used at $\lambda_{ex} = 562$ nm.

Fluorescent microscopy image measurements in normal and tumor cells: pH-dependent fluorescent tumor cell detection

DU145 tumor and NCTC1469 normal cells were seeded on 24 well culture plate at a density of 1.0 \times 10⁴ and 1.5 \times 10⁴ per well, respectively, and incubated for 24 h in similar conditions used for cytotoxicity measurements. The cells were treated with an ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloidal solution (2.5 mM Gd, $2 \mu L$), which was prepared by diluting the concentrated colloidal suspension with a sterile PBS solution, and then incubated for 24 h. After washing the treated cells with serumfree media twice, fluorescence microscopy images of the treated and untreated $(i.e.$ control) cells were captured using a fluorescence microscope (IX 51, Olympus, Japan) with a mercury lamp at $\lambda_{\rm ex} = 562$ nm.

Fig. 9 Photos of 6 sets of cell dishes containing U87MG tumor cells 2 weeks after colonial formation: control (0.0 mM Gd), Gadovist (0.5 mM Gd), and sample (0.5 mM Gd). 0 and 12 min indicate thermal neutron beam irradiation time, corresponding to 0 (*i.e.* no irradiation) and \sim 6 Gy irradiation doses, respectively. All cells spent the same time from the cell culture to clonogenic assay.

Results and discussion

Particle diameter and crystal structure of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids

As shown in HRTEM images (Fig. 4a(I–VI)), the monodisperse and ultrasmall particle diameter (d) ranged from 1.0 to 2.5 nm with the d_{avg} of 1.5 nm which was estimated from a log-normal function fit to the observed particle diameter distribution (Fig. 4b). XRD patterns before and after TGA showed that the asprepared powder sample was amorphous owing to ultrasmall particle diameters, but the TGA-treated powder sample showed a cubic structure owing to particle growth,⁴⁷ with a cell constant of $a = 10.82$ Å (Fig. S6 and Table S1[†]), which is consistent with the literature (JCPDS card no. 43-1014).⁴⁸ In the previous experiment,⁴⁹ we observed that free Gd^{3+} ion concentration in solution owing to dissociation of the $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticles coated with ligands was below the detection limit of the ICPAES. Therefore, the dissociation of the $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids will be negligible *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Paper
 Results and discussion
 Particle diameter on distantion of the semi-fieral on the semi-fieral on the semi-fieral on the semi-fieral on the semi-fieral online that the semi-fieral one of the semi-fieral one of th

Surface coating results with a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA

The surface coating of a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA on the nanoparticle surface was demonstrated by recording FT-IR absorption spectra (Fig. 5a). As shown in Fig. 5a, characteristic symmetric stretches of C-H at 2920 cm^{-1} , COO $^-$ at 1400 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1},$ and C–O at 1065 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1},$ and antisymmetric stretch of \rm{COO}^- at 1550 \rm{cm}^{-1} were observed in the sample, confirming the successful surface-coating of the nanoparticles with a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA. Here, the $C=O$ symmetric stretch of PAA and Rho-PAA at 1704 cm^{-1} was split into symmetric and antisymmetric stretches of COO $^-\,$ in the sample and red-shifted. These are caused by electrostatic bonding between the COO^- of PAA and Rho-PAA, and Gd^{3+} on the nanoparticle surface (see Fig. 1a for the surface coating structure). This type of bonding corresponds to a hard acid of COO $^-\:$ – hard base of Gd^{3+} type of interaction.^{50–52} Splitting and redshift have been observed in many metallic oxide nanoparticles coated with ligands with -COOH groups,⁵³⁻⁵⁶ supporting our result.

The amount of surface coating with a mixture of PAA and Rho-PAA on the nanoparticle surface was estimated from a TGA curve (Fig. 5b). The initial mass drop of 9.4% between room temperature and \sim 105 °C was due to water and air desorption. The mass drop of 64.9% after this was due to burning of PAA and Rho-PAA in air. The remaining mass of 25.7% was due to $Gd₂O₃$, as confirmed from its XRD pattern (Fig. S6†). Grafting density,⁵⁷ corresponding to the average number of ligands coated per nanoparticle unit surface area, was estimated to be \sim 1.5 nm $^{-2}$ using the bulk density of Gd₂O₃ (7.41 g cm $^{-3}$),⁵⁸ the surface-coating amount of 64.9% estimated as above, and the d_{av} of 1.5 nm determined by HRTEM imaging. The average number of ligands coated per nanoparticle was estimated to be \sim 10 by multiplying the grafting density by the nanoparticle surface area (πd_{avg}^2) , indicating that two Rho-PAA and eight PAA coated each nanoparticle on average.

In vitro cytotoxicity results

It is well-known that gadolinium is toxic.⁵⁹ As shown in Fig. 6a, uncoated $Gd₂O₃$ particles (purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA) exhibited high toxicities in both NCTC1469 normal and U87MG tumor cell lines. Gadolinium MRI contrast agents can cause nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) if free Gd^{3+} ions are deposited in tissues.⁶⁰ Owing to this, bare $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticles cannot be used for biomedical applications without coating. Therefore, $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticles were coated with hydrophilic and biocompatible PAA and then functionalized with Rho in this study. As shown in Fig. 6b, the ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids exhibited high cell viabilities such that \sim 93% in DU145, \sim 99% in NCTC1469, and \sim 80% in U87MG cell lines at 500 µM Gd, showing good biocompatibility.

Relaxivities and map images

 r_1 and r_2 values were estimated to be 22.6 and 29.5 $\rm s^{-1}$ mM $^{-1}$ $(r_2 /$ $r_1 = 1.3$), respectively, from the $1/T_1$ and $1/T_2$ plots versus the Gd concentration (Fig. 7a). The r_1 value was \sim 6 times higher than those^{1,2} of commercial Gd-chelates. This higher r_1 value and r_2 / r_1 ratio (= 1.3) which is close to 1, suggested that the nanoparticle colloids would be a powerful T_1 MRI contrast agent. This was confirmed in vitro from their R_1 and R_2 map images, clearly showing dose-dependent contrast enhancements with increasing Gd concentration (Fig. 7b). This higher r_1 value is owing to ultrasmall particle size and hydrophilic surfacecoating with PAA.⁶¹

Fig. 10 (a) Histograms of cell viabilities of irradiated U87MG tumor cells normalized with respect to those of the corresponding unirradiated cells for control, Gadovist, and sample. (b) Histograms of net cell deaths obtained by subtracting the normalized control cell death from those of sample and Gadovist.

nanoparticles than unfolated

nanoparticles

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Gd-DTPA

In vivo T_1 MR images at 1.5 T

The effectiveness of ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids as a powerful T_1 MRI contrast agent was demonstrated by recording T_1 MR images at 1.5 T as a function of time after intravenous administration. Positive contrast enhancements were clearly observed in the liver, heart, kidneys, and bladder of a nude mouse after intravenous administration (Fig. 8a). The SNRs are plotted as a function of time (Fig. 8b). The SNRs in the liver, heart, and kidneys initially increased and then slowly decreased with time while the SNR in the bladder increased with time up to 4 h, indicating a slow renal excretion. Renal excretion is due to the ultrasmall particle size of the nanoparticle colloids, as observed by others.9,38,62 However, a slow renal excretion is likely related to the Rho conjugated to surfacecoated PAA. The Rho may bind non-covalently to proteins in blood plasma such as albumin, fibrinogen, and globulins, as observed for other organic dyes such as FITC and fluorescein,⁶³ which consequently slowed down the renal excretion. The mouse did not die after in vivo experiment. From the SNR plots in Fig. 8b and using the half-areas of the plots with baseline corrections after extrapolations to the pre-SNR values, the half-life of the nanoparticle colloids in the heart and liver was estimated to be \sim 155 min. Therefore, the halflife of the nanoparticle colloids in the mouse would be \sim 155 min.

In vitro GdNCT results

tumor); I.P. (intraperitoneal injection into tumor inside peritoneum). ^c NA: not applicable.

The photos of the 6 sets of cell dishes taken 2 weeks after colonial formation are shown in Fig. 9. The cell viabilities of U87MG cells were estimated by cell counting. The cell viability of irradiated cells (12 min, Fig. 9) in each set was then normalized with respect to that of the corresponding unirradiated cells (0 min, Fig. 9). The results are plotted in histograms (Fig. 10a), showing consistency between cell viabilities of the two cell numbers. Therefore, we took an average of the cell viabilities for the two cell numbers. Cell death in the control was also observed. This was caused by the high dose of irradiation, as observed by others,^{12,19,21,22,27} which should be avoided because cells should not be damaged by thermal neutron beam itself. By subtracting the control cell death from those of sample and Gadovist, the net cell deaths of sample and Gadovist were estimated and plotted in histograms (Fig. 10b). The sample exhibited an average net cell death of 28.1%, which was 1.75 times higher than that of Gadovist. This suggests a higher cellular uptake of the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids than Gadovist, since the GdNCT effect is proportional to Gdconcentration in tumor cells as observed in various nanocomposites.25,27,28,31,32 This higher cellular uptake is critical for in vivo GdNCT experiments, and closely related to surface compositions.⁶⁴

Gd-chemicals that have been applied to or proposed for GdNCT experiments are provided in Table 1. As given in Table 1, however, studies of GdNCT agents are not rich. Furthermore, approximately half of the studies listed in Table 1 only reported Gd concentrations accumulated in tumor cells without GdNCT experiments.^{31–34,37,65–74} Nevertheless, these previous studies demonstrated the significance and potential of GdNCT in tumor therapy: in vitro GdNCT studies have shown significant tumor cell death as in this study^{12,19-23,27,28} and in vivo GdNCT studies have shown significant tumor growth suppression.^{18,21,28-30} The limitations of the previous studies are as follows. Commercial molecular T_1 MRI contrast agents were not suitable for *in vivo* GdNCT applications because they lack the ability to target tumor cells.⁶⁵ Nanocomposites were generally too large to be intravenously administrated.^{24,25,30-32,35} Therefore, GdNCT agents which can circulate freely through capillary vessels after intravenous administration and then target tumor cells, are needed. In this respect, ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloid synthesized in this study may be one of candidates suitable for this purpose after conjugation with targeting ligand, which thus will be explored in the future. One control is the matrix that the three computed is on proposed for the second of the second o

pH-sensitive fluorescent tumor cell detection

As expected, the aqueous ultrasmall nanoparticle colloidal suspension exhibited stronger fluorescent intensities at more acidic pH values (λ_{em} = 582 nm, λ_{ex} = 562 nm) (Fig. 11) and pHdependent solution colors (Fig. S7†), similar to free Rho. This pH-dependent fluorescent property of the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids was applied to tumor cell detection.

Optical and fluorescent microscopy images of both DU145 tumor and NCTC1469 normal cells were taken before and after treatments with Rho and the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids. Before treatment (=control), no fluorescence was observed in both DU145 tumor and NCTC1469 normal cells (Fig. 12a). For Rho-treated cells, a brighter fluorescence was observed in DU145 tumor cells than that in NCTC1469 normal cells, as expected because the pH of tumor cells is more acidic than that of normal cells which is nearly neutral⁴² ($\lambda_{ex} = 562$)

Fig. 11 Plots of pH-dependent fluorescent spectra of aqueous ultrasmall Gd₂O₃ nanoparticle colloidal suspensions (2 mM Gd) (pH = 4.0– 7.7) ($\lambda_{\rm ex} = 562$ nm).

Fig. 12 Optical (top) and fluorescent (bottom) microscopy images of (I) DU145 tumor cells and (II) NCTC1469 normal cells (a) before $($ =control) and after treatments with (b) Rho (1.0 mM Rho) and (c) ultrasmall Gd₂O₃ nanoparticle colloids (2.5 mM Gd) ($\lambda_{ex} = 562$ nm).

nm) (Fig. 12b). This proves that Rho can serve as a pH sensitive tumor cell detection probe, as consistent with previous reports.³⁸–⁴⁰ For nanoparticle colloid treated cells, a stronger fluorescence was also observed in DU145 tumor cells than that in NCTC1469 normal cells owing to the conjugated Rho in the nanoparticle colloids (Fig. 12c). This result proves the pHsensitive tumor cell detection ability of the ultrasmall nanoparticle colloids.

Conclusions

Excellent performance of ultrasmall Gd_2O_3 nanoparticle colloids ($d_{\text{avg}} = 1.5 \text{ nm}$) in T₁ MRI, in vitro GdNCT, and pHsensitive tumor cell detection was demonstrated. The results, as summarized below, indicate that the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids are the potential candidate for use as a multifunctional tumor theragnostic agent.

(1) Hydrophilic and biocompatible PAA was used as a surface-coating ligand that was partly conjugated with Rho through amide bond for pH-sensitive tumor cell detection (mole ratio of PAA : Rho = $5:1$).

(2) Ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids suspended in triple distilled water exhibited a very high r_1 value of 22.6 s⁻¹ mM^{-1} ($r_2/r_1 = 1.3$). As a result, highly positive contrast enhancements were observed in T_1 MR images in a nude mouse after intravenous administration, proving their potential as a powerful T_1 MRI contrast agent.

(3) Ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids were applied to GdNCT in vitro. They showed significant U87MG tumor cell death after thermal neutron beam irradiation (0.5 mM Gd, \sim 6 Gy). The net tumor cell death (corrected by tumor cell death by thermal neutron beam only) was estimated to be 28.1%, which was 1.75 times higher than that obtained using commercial Gadovist.

(4) Ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids showed stronger fluorescent intensities in DU145 tumor cells than in NCTC1469 normal cells owing to conjugated Rho, proving their pHsensitive fluorescent tumor cell detection ability.

Author contributions

SLH synthesized and characterized the ultrasmall $Gd₂O₃$ nanoparticle colloids, XM, TT and AMY contributed to

experiments, HC and YC took and analyzed MRI data, ITO and KSC measured and analyzed cytotoxicity and fluorescent microscopy image data, KHJ, MHK and YJL performed GdNCT experiments, and GHL wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Abbreviations

PAA Polyacrylic acid

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Basic Science Research Program (Grant no. 2017R1A2B3003214 to YC and 2016R1D1A3B01007622 to GHL) and the Basic Research Laboratory (BRL) Program (Grant no. 2013R1A4A1069507) of the National Research Foundation funded by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology, South Korea. The authors wish to thank the Korea Basic Science Institute for allowing us to use their XRD diffractometer.

Notes and references

- 1 P. Caravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry and R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2293–2352.
- 2 R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev., 1987, 87, 901–927.
- 3 S.-B. Yu and A. D. Watson, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2353–2377.
- 4 J. H. Hubbell and S. M. Seltzer, Tables of X-ray mass attenuation coefficients and mass energy-absorption coefficients from 1 keV to 20 MeV for elements $Z = 1$ to 92 and 48 additional substances of dosimetric interest, The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Standard Reference Database 126, Table 3, 2004available at http://www.nist.gov/pml/data/xraycoef/.
- 5 N. S. Hosmane, J. A. Maguire, Y. Zhu and M. Takagaki, Boron and Gadolinium Neutron Capture Therapy for Cancer Treatment, World Scientific, Singapore, 2012.
- 6 G. Leinweber, D. P. Barry, M. J. Trbovich, J. A. Burke, N. J. Drindak, H. D. Knox, R. V. Ballad, R. C. Block, Y. Danon and L. I. Severnyak, Nucl. Sci. Eng., 2006, 154, 261–279.
- 7 A. Deagostino, N. Protti, D. Alberti, P. Boggio, S. Bortolussi, S. Altieri and S. G. Crich, Future Med. Chem., 2016, 8, 899– 917.
- 8 E. S. Yim, B. Zhao, D. Myung, L. C. Kourtis, C. W. Frank, D. Carter, R. L. Smith and S. B. Goodman, J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A, 2009, 91, 894–902.
- 9 J.-L. Bridot, A.-C. Faure, S. Laurent, C. Rivière, C. Billotey, B. Hiba, M. Janier, V. Josserand, J.-L. Coll, L. V. Elst, R. Muller, S. Roux, P. Perriat and O. Tillement, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 5076–5084.
- 10 J. Y. Park, M. J. Baek, E. S. Choi, S. Woo, J. H. Kim, T. J. Kim, J. C. Jung, K. S. Chae, Y. Chang and G. H. Lee, ACS Nano, 2009, 3, 3663–3669.
- 11 T. J. Kim, K. S. Chae, Y. Chang and G. H. Lee, Curr. Top. Med. Chem., 2013, 13, 422–433.
- 12 J.-L. Bridot, D. Dayde, C. Rivière, C. Mandon, C. Billotey, S. Lerondel, R. Sabattier, G. Cartron, A. L. Le Pape, G. Blondiaux, M. Janier, P. Perriat, S. Roux and O. Tillement, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 2328–2335.
- 13 Y. Chang, K. S. Chae and G. H. Lee, Bioinspired, Biomimetic Nanobiomater., 2016, 5, 167–170.
- 14 C. Salt, A. J. Lennox, M. Takagaki, J. A. Maguire and N. S. Hosmane, Russ. Chem. Bull., 2004, 53, 1871–1888.
- 15 S. A. Enger, V. Giusti, M.-A. Fortin, H. Lundqvist and P. M. Rosenschöld, Radiat. Meas., 2013, 59, 233-240.
- 16 J. T. Masiakowski, J. L. Horton and L. J. Peters, Med. Phys., 1992, 19, 1277–1284.
- 17 D. P. Gierga, J. C. Yanch and R. E. Shefer, Med. Phys., 2000, 27, 1685–1692.
- 18 V. N. Mitin, V. N. Kulakov, V. F. Khokhlov, I. N. Sheino, A. M. Arnopolskaya, N. G. Kozlovskaya, K. N. Zaitsev and A. A. Portnov, Appl. Radiat. Isot., 2009, 67, S299–S301.
- 19 G. De Stasio, P. Casalbore, R. Pallini, B. Gilbert, F. Sanità, M. T. Ciotti, G. Rosi, A. Festinesi, L. M. Larocca, A. Rinelli, D. Perret, D. W. Mogk, P. Perfetti, M. P. Mehta and D. Mercanti, Cancer Res., 2001, 61, 4272–4277.
- 20 N. A. P. Franken, J. W. J. Bergs, T. T. Kok, R. R. N. Kuperus, F. Stecher-Rasmussen, J. Haveman, C. Van Bree and L. J. A. Stalpers, Oncol. Rep., 2006, 15, 715–720.
- 21 B. Hofmann, C.-O. Fischer, R. Lawaczeck, J. Platzek and W. Semmler, Invest. Radiol., 1999, 34, 126–133.
- 22 Y. Horiguchi, S. Kudo and Y. Nagasaki, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater., 2011, 12, 044607.
- 23 K. C. Hwang, P. D. Lai, C.-S. Chiang, P.-J. Wang and C.-J. Yuan, Biomaterials, 2010, 31, 8419–8425.
- 24 H. Ichikawa, T. Uneme, T. Andoh, Y. Arita, T. Fujimoto, M. Suzuki, Y. Sakurai, H. Shinto, T. Fukasawa, F. Fujii and Y. Fukumori, Appl. Radiat. Isot., 2014, 88, 109–113.
- 25 H. Tokumitsu, J. Hiratsuka, Y. Sakurai, T. Kobayashi, H. Ichikawa and Y. Fukumori, Cancer Lett., 2000, 150, 177-182.
- 26 N. Dewi, H. Yanagie, H. Zhu, K. Demachi, A. Shinohara, K. Yokoyama, M. Sekino, Y. Sakurai, Y. Morishita, N. Iyomoto, T. Nagasaki, Y. Horiguchi, Y. Nagasaki, J. Nakajima, M. Ono, K. Kakimi and H. Takahashi, Biomed. Pharmacother., 2013, 67, 451–457.
- 27 T. Peters, C. Grunewald, M. Blaickner, M. Ziegner, C. Schütz, D. Iffland, G. Hampel, T. Nawroth and P. Langguth, Radiat. Oncol., 2015, 10, 52–65.
- 28 P. Mi, N. Dewi, H. Yanagie, D. Kokuryo, M. Suzuki, Y. Sakurai, Y. Li, I. Aoki, K. Ono, H. Takahashi, H. Cabral, N. Nishiyama and K. Kataoka, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 5913–5921.
- 29 N. Dewi, P. Mi, H. Yanagie, Y. Sakurai, Y. Morishita, M. Yanagawa, T. Nakagawa, A. Shinohara, T. Matsukawa, K. Yokoyama, H. Cabral, M. Suzuki, Y. Sakurai, H. Tanaka, K. Ono, N. Nishiyama, K. Kataoka and H. Takahashi, J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol., 2016, 142, 767–775. PSC Advances Security. Neutricle Design, 6. Burished, 7. The Paper, C. Granton Access Article is article in the Common Access Article is article in the Creative Common Access Articles. A statistical on 2013. Downloaded the
	- 30 Y. Akine, N. Tokita, K. Tokuuye, M. Satoh, Y. Fukumori, H. Tokumitsu, R. Kanamori, T. Kobayashi and K. Kanda, J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol., 1992, 119, 71–73.
	- 31 H. Kobayashi, S. Kawamoto, T. Saga, N. Sato, T. Ishimori, J. Konishi, K. Ono, K. Togashi and M. W. Brechbiel, Bioconjugate Chem., 2001, 12, 587–593.
	- 32 H. Tokumitsu, H. Ichikawa and Y. Fukumori, Pharm. Res., 1999, 16, 1830–1835.
	- 33 T. Fujimoto, H. Ichikawa, T. Akisue, I. Fujita, K. Kishimoto, H. Hara, M. Imabori, H. Kawamitsu, P. Sharma, S. C. Brown, B. M. Moudgil, M. Fujii, T. Yamamoto, M. Kurosaka and Y. Fukumori, Appl. Radiat. Isot., 2009, 67, S355–S358.
	- 34 F. Shikata, H. Tokumitsu, H. Ichikawa and Y. Fukumori, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2002, 53, 57–63.
	- 35 H. K. Cho, H.-J. Cho, S. Lone, D.-D. Kim, J. H. Yeum and I. W. Cheong, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 15486–15493.
	- 36 H. S. Choi, W. Liu, P. Misra, E. Tanaka, J. P. Zimmer, B. I. Ipe, M. G. Bawendi and J. V. Frangioni, Nat. Biotechnol., 2007, 25, 1165–1170.
	- 37 M. Longmire, P. L. Choyke and H. Kobayashi, Nanomedicine, 2008, 3, 703–717.
	- 38 S.-L. Shen, X.-P. Chen, X.-F. Zhang, J.-Y. Miao and B.-X. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2015, 3, 919–925.
	- 39 Q. Wang, L. Zhou, L. Qiu, D. Lu, Y. Wu and X.-B. Zhang, Analyst, 2015, 140, 5563–5569.
	- 40 C. Rivas, G. J. Stasiuk, J. Gallo, F. Minuzzi, G. A. Rutter and N. J. Long, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 14284–14293.
	- 41 K. Vuu, J. Xie, M. A. McDonald, M. Bernado, F. Hunter, Y. Zhang, K. Li, M. Bednarski and S. Guccione, Bioconjugate Chem., 2005, 16, 995–999.
	- 42 M. R. Duchen, Mol. Aspects Med., 2004, 25, 365–451.
	- 43 X. Zhang, Y. Shiraisi and T. Hirai, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 5039– 5042.
	- 44 N. Niamsa, C. Kaewtong, W. Srinonmuang, B. Wanno, B. Pulpoka and T. Tuntulani, Polym. Chem., 2013, 4, 3039– 3046.
	- 45 Y. Li, R. Tong, H. Xia, H. Zhang and J. Xuan, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 7739–7741.
	- 46 N. A. P. Franken, H. M. Rodermond, J. Stap, J. Haveman and C. von Bree, Nat. Protoc., 2006, 1, 2315–2319.
	- 47 K. Kattel, J. Y. Park, W. Xu, H. G. Kim, E. J. Lee, B. A. Bony, W. C. Heo, J. J. Lee, S. Jin, J. S. Baeck, Y. Chang, T. J. Kim, J. E. Bae, K. S. Chae and G. H. Lee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 3325–3334.
	- 48 a = 10.813 Å. JCPDS-International Centre for Diffraction Data, card no. 43-1014, PCPDFWIN, vol. 1.30, 1997.
- 49 M. W. Ahmad, W. Xu, S. J. Kim, J. S. Baeck, Y. Chang, J. E. Bae, K. S. Chae, J. A. Park, T. J. Kim and G. H. Lee, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 8549.
- 50 R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 3533–3539.
- 51 R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ., 1968, 45, 581–587.
- 52 R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ., 1968, 45, 643–648.
- 53 O. W. Duckworth and S. T. Martin, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2001, 65, 4289–4301.
- 54 S. J. Hug and D. Bahnemann, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2006, 150, 208–219.
- 55 S. J. Hug and B. Sulzberger, Langmuir, 1994, 10, 3587–3597.
- 56 C. B. Mendive, T. Bredow, M. A. Blesa and D. W. Bahnemann, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 3232– 3247.
- 57 M. K. Corbierre, N. S. Cameron and R. B. Lennox, Langmuir, 2004, 20, 2867–2873.
- 58 W. M. Haynes, D. R. Lide and T. J. Bruno, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 96th edn, CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, 2015–2016, pp. 4–64.
- 59 M. Rogosnitzky and S. Branch, BioMetals, 2016, 29, 365–376.
- 60 H. S. Thomsen, Eur. J. Radiol., 2006, 16, 2619–2621.
- 61 X. Miao, S. L. Ho, T. Tegafaw, H. Cha, Y. Chang, I. T. Oh, A. M. Yaseen, S. Marasini, A. Ghazanfari, H. Yue, K. S. Chae and G. H. Lee, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 3189–3197.
- 62 J. F. Hainfeld, D. N. Slatkin, T. M. Focella and H. M. Smilowitz, Br. J. Radiol., 2006, 79, 248–253.
- 63 (a) H. Maeda and N. Ishida, J. Biochem., 1969, 65, 777–783; (b) H. Kawauchi, K. Tuzimura, H. Maeda and N. Ishida, J. Biochem., 1969, 66, 783–789.
- 64 A. Verma, O. Uzun, Y. Hu, Y. Hu, H.-S. Han, N. Watson, S. Chen, D. J. Irvine and F. Stellacci, Nat. Mater., 2008, 7, 588–595.
- 65 G. De Stasio, D. Rajesh, P. Casalbore, M. J. Daneils, R. J. Erhardt, B. H. Frazer, L. M. Wiese, K. L. Richter, B. R. Sonderegger, B. Gilbert, S. Schaub, R. J. Cannara, J. F. Crawford, M. K. Gilles, T. Tyliszczak, J. F. Fowler, L. M. Larocca, S. P. Howard, D. Mercanti, M. P. Mehta and R. Pallini, Neurol. Res., 2005, 27, 387–398. Paper Week Article articles. Published on 1/31/2025 2:04:51 American Articles. Published on 1/421/2022
 SC C. A. Thus Commons A. The C. A. Thus article. S. Commons A. The Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 April 2018. C. A
	- 66 H. Tokumitsu, H. Ichikawa, Y. Fukumori and L. H. Block, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1999, 47, 838–842.
	- 67 A. M. Dierling, B. R. Sloat and Z. R. Cui, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2006, 62, 275–281.
	- 68 M. O. Oyewumi and R. J. Mumper, Bioconjugate Chem., 2002, 13, 1328–1335.
	- 69 M. J. Moghaddam, L. de Campo, M. Hirabayashi, P. A. Bean, L. J. Waddington, J. A. Scoble, G. Coiac and C. J. Drummond, Biomater. Sci., 2014, 2, 924–935.
	- 70 T. Watanabe, H. Ichikawa and Y. Fukumori, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm., 2002, 54, 119–124.
	- 71 U. M. Le and Z. Cui, Int. J. Pharm., 2006, 312, 105–112.
	- 72 M. O. Oyewumi, R. A. Yokel, M. Jay, T. Coakley and R. J. Mumper, J. Controlled Release, 2004, 95, 613–626.
	- 73 S. Gao, X. Liu, T. Xu, X. Ma, Z. Shen, A. Wu, Y. Zhu and N. S. Hosmane, ChemistryOpen, 2013, 2, 88–92.
	- 74 H. Kobayashi, S. Kawamoto, M. Bernardo, M. W. Brechbiel, M. V. Knopp and P. L. Choyke, J. Controlled Release, 2006, 111, 343–351.