# RSC Advances

# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 19804

Received 6th April 2018 Accepted 23rd May 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02974a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Owing to the growing renewable energy demand, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), put forward by O'Regan and Grätzel in  $1991<sup>1</sup>$  have received much attention as an alternative to traditional silicon based solar cells. Ru complexes have maintained a clear lead when employed in DSSCs, with a power conversion efficiency up to  $11\%$ <sup>2,3</sup> The advantages of such Ru complexes include broad absorption and appropriate excited-state oxidation potentials for electron injection into the conduction band of the semiconductor.<sup>2,3</sup> However, the expensive cost and environmental issues of Ru complexes limit their wide applications in industrial and commercial fields. In order to find cleaner materials for DSSCs, much effort has been focused on the development of new organic dyes because of their low cost and high molar absorption coefficients, as well as the facile modi fication of their molecular structures.<sup>4,5</sup>

# Theoretical design of porphyrin sensitizers with different acceptors for application in dyesensitized solar cells†

Xingyi Jin,<sup>\*a</sup> Dongyuan Li,<sup>a</sup> Libo Sun,<sup>a</sup> Cheng-Long Wang<sup>b</sup> and Fu-Quan Bai  $\bullet$ <sup>\*b</sup>

Using density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) methods, three porphyrin dyes with different acceptors, such as carboxylic acid, cyanoacrylic acid, and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide, have been designed. Compared to the best sensitizer (YD2-o-C8) so far, these designed dyes have small highest occupied orbital to lowest unoccupied orbital (HOMO–LUMO) band gaps, and wide absorptions with large oscillator strength at porphyrin Q bands. And the designed Dye1 is similar to YD2-o-C8 in electronic coupling with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , while improved Dye2 and Dye3 are better than YD2-o-C8, thus, Dye2 and Dye3 will be much faster for electron injection in dye-sensitized solar cell systems based on their long-term stable and efficient anchor groups. All these features show that our designed dyes, especially Dye2 and Dye3, have better absorption performance and faster electron injection. In addition, our results point out that 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide is a new promising acceptor. This study is expected to assist the molecular design of new efficient dyes for the advancement of dye-sensitized solar cells. PAPER<br>
Theoretical design of porphyrin sensitizers with<br>
Cive Article Online<br>
Cive the rist Adv. 2018 a 19804<br>
Sensitized solar cells<sup>+</sup><br>
Cive the risk Adv. 2018 a 19804<br>
Sensitized solar cells<sup>+</sup><br>
Xingy Jin,<sup>30</sup> Dongyuan

Among new organic dyes, porphyrins have attracted significant interest as DSSCs sensitizers. They have rigid macrocyclic structures with strong absorption in the visible region and indistinguishable electron transfer kinetics from those of the efficient Ru complexes.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, their optical, electrochemical, and photophysical properties can be easily modulated the peripheral substitutions due to multiple reaction sites, including four *meso* and eight  $\beta$ -positions.<sup>7,8</sup> Thus, more and more porphyrins have been synthesized and used as sensitizers divided into a class of its own for DSSCs.<sup>7-11</sup> The most wellknown example is YD2 firstly reported by Yeh and Diau,<sup>9</sup> which attained a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 6.8%. The device performance of YD2 was further improved by Grätzel and co-workers,<sup>12</sup> giving an efficiency of 10.9%, which lasted as a highest record for DSSC at that time. In 2011, based on YD2, Grätzel and co-workers synthesized YD2-o-C8 and achieved an optimized performance of  $12.3\%$ .<sup>10</sup> Whereafter, few potential porphyrin dyes with the prototypical structure of D– $\pi$ –A were proposed and reported creatively to leading high PCE beyond 13% at full sun illumination.<sup>13,14</sup> However, from the spectroscopic point of view, these efficient sensitizers show relatively less absorption intensity in the region of 600 to 800 nm.10,15,16 In order to enhance the absorption intensity in that region, three new porphyrin-based sensitizers with different acceptor groups (as shown in Fig. 1) such as carboxylic acid, cyanoacrylic acid, and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide are designed and studied by using the density functional theory (DFT) and time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) methods. The carboxylic acid and cyanoacrylic acid are two traditional anchors of dyes for



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>1<sup>st</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, China-Japan Union Hospital, Jilin University, Xiantai Street No. 126, Changchun 130033, People's Republic of China. E-mail: xyjin\_cjuh@ 163.com

 ${}^{\rm b}$ Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, International Joint Research Laboratory of Nano-Micro Architecture Chemistry, Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130023, People's Republic of China. E-mail: baifq@jlu. edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional calculations, figure, detail of the calculated absorption energies  $(\lambda)$ , oscillator strength  $(f)$ , and electron transition configurations for all designed dyes and reference dye YD2-o-C8 are given. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra02974a



Fig. 1 Structures of the designed dyes and reference dye.

dye-sensitized solar cells, and many dyes with them show decent performance.<sup>15</sup>–<sup>22</sup> 2-Cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide is a ramification of hydroxamate.<sup>23,24</sup> Among these, hydroxamic acid stands out for its long-term stability and efficient electron injection. It has already been proven to be a potential anchor, and it is also confirmed theoretically the hydroxamate is expected to provide valuable hints into the design of efficient anchor group for DSSC application.<sup>25</sup> Hence it can be inferred that 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide is also a potential anchor. In summary, our anchor modification from the carboxylic acid, cyanoacrylic acid and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide groups is rational means.

The geometry structures, electronic structures, and optical properties, the interfacial electron transfer (IET) of these dyes are explored aiming to understand the relationship between structures and properties for the new dyes as well as reference dye of classical YD2-o-C8. The results reveal that the designed dyes should have better performance than the existing efficient dyes due to their improved optical properties meet our request well.

### Computational details

For these porphyrin dyes, we have tried already to use several DFT functional alone with 6-31G\* basis set for C, H, O, N, S atoms, and Stuttgart RLC ECP basis set for Zn atom to optimize the ground state geometries under default convergence criteria threshold  $(10^{-8})$ .<sup>26</sup> The calculated results showed that there is little difference in the geometric parameters optimized with different functionals. While the B3LYP functional functional has been widely used so  $far<sub>,27-32</sub>$  so we just listed important geometric parameters obtained by B3LYP functional in Table S1† and used the corresponding geometries (shown in Fig. S1†)

to further calculations.<sup>32</sup> Frequency calculations were performed at the same level as geometry optimization to ensure that the ground-state geometries correspond to a minimum point on the potential energy surfaces. In order to choose a relatively reliable functional to simulate the absorption spectra of the porphyrin sensitizers here, we performed benchmark calculations for the reference dye (YD2-o-C8), which have recently been studied experimentally and considered to be an efficient dye.<sup>10</sup> For the purpose of saving the computational cost, the  $C_6H_{13}$  and  $C_8H_{17}$  in ancillary ligands were replaced by hydrogen and methyl groups, respectively. Here different TDDFT methods were used, including B3LYP,<sup>27</sup> PBE0,<sup>33</sup> BHandH, $^{34}$  and CAM-B3LYP, $^{35}$  with a mixed basis set (6-31G\* basis set for C, H, O, N, S atoms and Stuttgart RLC ECP basis set for Zn atom). The solvent effects of tetrahydrofuran (THF, the solvent used to record the experimental spectra) on the benchmark calculations, was considered in the framework of the self-consistent reaction field polarizable continuum model (PCM).<sup>36</sup> The calculated lowest absorption energies by different TDDFT methods along with experimental value of YD2-o-C8 are summarized in Table 1. Compared the absorption energy values at B and Q bands with available experimental values, it shows that the TD-B3LYP in the THF medium provides better agreement with the experimental results.<sup>10,32</sup> Hence, the TD-B3LYP in THF environment was chosen for further calculations. The absorption spectra with the 30 lowest-energy electronic transitions were afterwards simulated by using Gaussian function with the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 0.33 eV. All the above calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01) program package.<sup>37</sup> Paper<br>
Open Access Article 2:27:23 PM and the same of the same of

The dye adsorbed on the TiO<sub>2</sub> (101) systems were obtained by geometry optimization at the DFT level, using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP).38,39 In order to understand the  $dye@TiO<sub>2</sub>$  interaction, a large TiO<sub>2</sub> cluster with the majority (101) of the surface exposed was simulated by  $7 \times 4$  unit cells with two periodic atom layers. This model is enough to neglect molecular interactions between the periodic replicas and has been applied widely in many previous investigations.<sup>29,31,32</sup> The calculations have been performed using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Wang91 (PW91) exchange–correlation functional. It is worth noting that the PW91 functional was chosen over B3LYP and other hybrid functionals due to its relatively small computational cost and satisfactory accuracy. The Brillouin zones were sampled with the Monkhorst–Pack k-points of  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  for bulk calculation. An energy cutoff of 400 eV was used in these calculations as well as a single gamma k-point sampling because of the large size of the supercell. A conjugated gradient algorithm with an energy criterion of 0.001 eV was used for atomic convergence, and it

Table 1 The absorption energies of YD2-o-C8 calculated by different TDDFT methods as well as the experimental value

	B3LYP	PBE <sub>0</sub>	BHandHLYP CAM-B3LYP		Exp <sub>10</sub>
Q Band	637	617	570	596	645
B Band	436	424	391	396	448

ensured that the forces were smaller than 0.03 eV  $\AA^{-1}$  in all cases.

The survival probability,  $P(t)$ , was defined as the probability that the photoexcited electron remains in the adsorbate molecule at the time t after excitation of the system.<sup>25,40-43</sup>  $P(t)$  allows the IET time scales and was computed as the projection of the time-evolved electronic wave function onto the atomic orbitals (AOs) of the molecular adsorbate. The time-evolved wave function is expressed as a linear combination of AOs,

$$
|\Psi(\tau)\rangle = \sum_{i} B_{i}(t)|\chi_{i}\rangle \tag{1}
$$

where the  $|\chi_i\rangle$  represents the atomic orbitals,  $B_i(t)$  represents the expansion coefficients and can be computed according to

$$
B_i(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} Q_i^{\mathbf{q}} C_{\mathbf{q}} \exp\left[-(i/\hbar) E_{\mathbf{q}} t\right]
$$
 (2)

where  $C_q$  is the expansion coefficient of the initial state

$$
|\Psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} C_{\mathbf{q}} |\mathbf{q}\rangle \tag{3}
$$

The eigenvectors and eigenvalues  $Q^q$  and  $E_q$  were obtained by solving the generalized EH eigenvalue problem,

$$
HQ^q = E_q S Q^q \tag{4}
$$

here  $H$  represents the extended Hückel Hamiltonian matrix,  $S$  is the atomic orbital overlap matrix. Finally, the time-evolved electronic wave function was project onto the atom orbitals of the molecular adsorbate to obtain the survival probability  $P(t)$ :

$$
P(t) = \left| \sum_{i}^{\text{MOL}} \sum_{j} B_{i}^{*}(t) B_{j}(t) S^{ij} \right| \tag{5}
$$

where the summation over  $i$  runs through the atomic orbitals of the adsorbate, and the summation over  $j$  runs through the complete basis.

### Results and discussion

### Orbital energy level

As we know, suitable energy levels of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) orbitals of the sensitizer molecule are required to match the conduction band (CB) of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  semiconductor and the redox potential of  $I^-/{I_3}^-$  electrolyte, respectively.<sup>44</sup> The calculated data for HOMO, LUMO, and HOMO–LUMO gap for all dyes 1–3 and YD2-o-C8 are depicted in Fig. 2. To ensure efficient electron injection from the excited dye into the conduction of TiO<sub>2</sub>, the LUMO must be higher than the TiO<sub>2</sub> conduction band edge  $(-4.00 \text{ eV} \text{ vs. vacuum})$ .<sup>2</sup> Although the LUMO of Dye2 is the lowest, it is still above the CB of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Therefore, the four dyes are capable of injecting electrons into the CB of TiO<sub>2</sub>. In order to lead fast dye regeneration, the HOMO must be lower than redox  $I^{-}/I_{3}^{-}$  potential (-4.6 eV vs. vacuum).<sup>45</sup> For the designed dyes, as well as reference dye (YD2 **o-C8**), the HOMOs which are much lower than  $I^{-}/I_{3}^{-}$  redox



Fig. 2 The energy levels of the HOMO and LUMO of the all mentioned dyes.

potential ensure a fast and efficient regeneration of the dye cation radical. From Fig. 2, it can be found that the energy gaps of all the designed dyes are smaller than the reference dye YD2 o-C8. The calculated HOMO–LUMO gaps are sorted in the order: Dye2  $(1.93 \text{ eV}) \approx$  Dye3  $(1.93)$  < Dye1  $(2.2 \text{ eV})$  < YD2-o-C8  $(2.32 \text{ eV})$ eV). According to the previous studies that the sensitizers that have smaller energy gap values show higher harvesting efficiency in the DSSCs,<sup>46,47</sup> hence our designed dyes will likely show better light harvesting efficiency than the reference dye.

### Molecular orbitals distribution

The molecular orbitals play a significant role in the chargeseparated states concerned to the solar cell efficiency. For creating an efficient charge-separated state, the HOMO must be localized on the donor subunit and the LUMO must be localized on the acceptor subunit. Fig. 3 depicts the electron density distributions of the frontier molecular orbitals from HOMO-1 to LUMO+1 for all the porphyrin sensitizers that were obtained under B3LYP level. Fig. 3 shows that the electron densities of HOMO for all sensitizers are delocalized predominantly on



Fig. 3 Molecular orbitals of the new Dye1-Dye3 and the reference dye YD2-o-C8.

porphyrin macrocycle and diphenylamino units, while the LUMOs are spread from porphyrin to acceptor units. The calculations indicate that distributions of electron between HOMO and LUMO are separate, thus the transition from HOMO to LUMO of these porphyrin sensitizers should be considered as good charge separated states with intramolecular chargetransfer (ICT) upon excitation.<sup>32</sup> From Fig. 3, we can observe that the HOMO orbitals of all sensitizers show similar features, so do HOMO-1 orbitals. However, the LUMO shapes are different and the LUMO+1 are also different. These differences in LUMO and LUMO+1 are due to the different strengths of electron-withdrawing acceptors.

In order to obtain more information about the HOMO, LUMO, and LUMO+1 for these porphyrin dyes, the MO compositions were calculated and listed in Table 2. For all porphyrin dyes, the contributions of donor groups to the HOMO are above 75%. However, the compositions of different acceptor to the LUMO are obviously different. In case of similar molecular geometry when anchored to  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , the large contribution of acceptor to the LUMO will enhance the electronic coupling between the dye and the titanium 3d orbitals and favor the electron injection.<sup>48</sup> Our results show that the contributions from the acceptors of the Dye2 and Dye3 are much larger than that in the reference dye YD2-o-C8. For reference dye, the contribution of the acceptor to the LUMO is just 3%, which is around 30% lower than the designed Dye2 and Dye3 but similar with the Dye1. What's more, the contribution from the acceptor groups of Dye2 and Dye3 to the LUMO+1 are comparatively larger than YD2-o-C8. Therefore, our designed Dye2 and Dye3 should have stronger electron coupling with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and be more favor for electron injection than reference dye YD2-o-C8.

### UV-vis absorption spectra

It is well known that a broad absorption covering the whole visible spectra region (400–800 nm) and even extend to the nearinfrared region  $(\sim 1000 \text{ nm})$  is necessary to attain high light harvesting efficiency (LHE). Consequently the absorption spectra of all dyes were investigated and show in Fig. 4, and the calculated excited energies, oscillator strengths, and transition

Table 2 Molecular orbital composition (%) of HOMO, LUMO, and LUMO+1 of all designed dyes and reference dye YD2-o-C8







Fig. 4 The calculated absorption spectra of all mentioned dyes.

characters are listed in Table S2.† As shown in Table S2† and Fig. 4, these porphyrin sensitizers evidently exhibit two major absorption band gaps: short wavelength Soret (B) bands in the 400–500 nm region and long wavelength Q-band in the 550– 750 nm region.<sup>10,32</sup> Markedly, the B and Q bands for all designed porphyrins dyes cover broader range of absorption wavelengths than the reference dye YD2-o-C8. The Q band of YD2-o-C8 is at 637 nm, whereas the Q bands of the designed porphyrins Dye1– 3 are at 665, 742, 742 nm, respectively. Moreover, the Q bands contributed from the HOMO to LUMO transitions for the designed porphyrin dyes are more intense than the reference dye YD2-o-C8. In order to compare the LHE accurately, we can get the approximate values of LHE with the following equation,<sup>49,50</sup> LHE  $= 1 - 10^{-f}$ , where f is the oscillator strength of the dye corresponding to  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ . So the LHE of the reference dye and Dye1–3 were calculated to be 0.686, 0.891, 0.953, and 0.956, respectively. The broadening and red shift of the absorption bands together with increased intensity of the Q bands, the designed dyes probably have improved light harvesting efficiencies.

It is also noteworthy that the use of cyanoacrylic acid and 2 cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide instead of carboxylic acid results in largely red shifts and significant broadening on the absorptions in Dye2 and Dye3 systems. From a comparison between Dye2 and Dye3, it can turn out that the 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide acceptor performs slight better in oscillator strength of Q band than the cyanoacrylic acid acceptor. Therefore, we can conclude that the 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide may be a better acceptor to capture the sunlight than the popular cyanoacrylic and carboxylic acid acceptors.

### Electron injection from the sensitizer

In dye/TiO<sub>2</sub> adsorption system, dye can form bidentate chelating, bidentate bridging, and monodentate ester-type binding with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surfaces.<sup>51</sup> The Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) results reported by Ma et al. show that both the bidentate chelating and bidentate bridging modes for two porphyrin derivatives with carboxylic acid acceptors.<sup>52</sup> In the present work, we have just considered dyes bound up with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ slab in one kind of forms, bidentate bridging, which was most studied in the literature and was thought to be the most stable.<sup>53</sup> The optimized structures of  $dye/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  complexes are shown in Fig. 5. The bond distances between O of Dye3 and 5c-Ti (O–Ti bond) of Dye3-TiO<sub>2</sub> complex are 1.9646 and 2.0459  $\AA$ . The average O–Ti bond distance of Dye3 is slightly shorter than that of other complexes, indicating that a slightly stronger binding of Dye3 with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  cluster and conceivable stronger electronic coupling. The binding energies computed as  $E(TiO<sub>2</sub>-dye)$  $E$ (dye)– $E$ (TiO<sub>2</sub>) were evaluated for these systems, where  $E$ (dye),  $E(TIO<sub>2</sub>)$ , and  $E(TIO<sub>2</sub>-dye)$  denote the total energy of isolated dye,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  slab, and dye@TiO<sub>2</sub> complex, respectively. A negative value of binding energy indicates stable adsorption, and the calculated results are  $-0.92$  eV,  $-1.02$  eV,  $-1.15$  eV, and  $-1.16$  eV for YD2-o-C8, and Dye1–Dye3, respectively, which are sufficiently large to allow the assumption that the dye molecules are chemisorbed on the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Our computed values for the adsorption energies of Dye1–Dye3 on the surface showed that Dye3 produced a medium binding in contrast with other hydroxyacrylamide dyes.<sup>54</sup> In most cases, the surrounding water stability of hydroxamate could compensate the adsorption energy to an impressive degree. **EXAMPRONS CONSULTER CONSULTER** 



Fig. 5 The dyes adsorbed on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  slab obtained by PW91 and the corresponding O-Ti bond lengths (Å).



Fig. 6 Computed electron survival probabilities  $P(t)$  with the electron injection times corresponding to photo-excited surface complexes for all mentioned dyes.

Fig. 6 compares the IET dynamics in every sensitizer attached to TiO<sub>2</sub> after  $\pi \to \pi^*$  excitation of each sensitizer (considering electron injection from the LUMO of sensitizer molecules). It shows that the electron injection times decrease in the order: Dye3 < Dye2  $\ll$  YD2-o-C8 < Dye1. The huge difference in the injection rates between Dye1 and Dye2 is likely due to the presence of the cyano group. Dye3 has the fastest injection rate mostly due to its strongest interaction with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ slab. The similar dyes with bithiophene and CPDT (cyclopenta [1,2-*b*:5,4-*b'*]dithiophene) as  $\pi$  bridge present absolute stronger quenching than biphenyl  $\pi$  bridge, which may be explained by the twisted molecular structure of the former with thiophene derivatives as the spacer. The strong quenching of the photoluminescence of dyes with bithiophene and CPDT as  $\pi$  bridge suggested that the electron transfer from the excited dyes to semiconductors is absolutely fast and efficient.<sup>32</sup> The cyanoacrylic acid and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide groups as anchor can help the process of electron injection further.

## Conclusions

In this work, three porphyrin sensitizers with different acceptors (carboxylic, cyanoacrylic, and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide) for reformative DSSCs are designed and compared directly based on DFT and TDDFT calculations. The TD-B3LYP results are in agreement with experimental measurement and this functional is best for simulating the absorption spectra in our calculations. The results also show that designed dyes, especially Dye2 and Dye3, have smaller HOMO–LUMO band gaps and more broadening absorption bands together with more intensity in the Q bands than referenced YD2-o-C8. The contribution of anchoring groups of our sensitizer Dye2 and Dye3 to LUMO is 30–32%, whereas the so far known best sensitizer YD2-o-C8 contributed 2%. Clear insights into the simulation of the IET for all sensitizers were demonstrated, and our designed Dye2 and Dye3 have much faster electron injection rates into  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface than YD2-o-C8 dye is pointed out. From our calculated results, the designed dyes (especially Dye2 and Dye3) would be better candidates for DSSCs than referenced YD2-o-C8. With 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide acceptor, Dye3

performs the best in oscillator strength of Q band, implying that it is the most efficient sensitizer and 2-cyano-N-hydroxyacrylamide with elongated conjugated framework is promising acceptor. Our computed values for the adsorption energies of these dyes on the anatase surface showed that 2-cyano-Nhydroxyacrylamide produced a medium binding. Nevertheless the water stability of hydroxamate could compensate the smaller adsorption energy, rendering long-term durability to the device. Inspection of the IET process reveals that hydroxamate anchors provided efficient IET dynamics, with a timescale less than 1000 fs. The injection dynamics reflect the fact that the LUMO orbital has contributions from the anchoring groups, and stronger electronic coupling at the interface. We hope that our current work would provide new guideline to design new improved porphyrin dyes for DSSCs preparation. Paper West Articles are chosen articles of the state of state is a Nature Common and Solution and Solution and Solution and Solution and Solution and Solution and Solution-Noncommercial and Solution-Noncommercial and Solut

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21573088 and 21203071) and the Jilin Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 201215031) and Young Scholar Training Program of Jilin University.

## References

- 1 B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, Nature, 1991, 353, 737-740.
- 2 M. Grätzel, Nature, 2001, 414, 338-344.
- 3 M. Grätzel, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2004, 164, 3-14.
- 4 Y. S. Yen, H. H. Chou, Y. C. Chen, C. Y. Hsu and J. T. Lin, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 8734.
- 5 Y. Xie, Y. Tang, W. Wu, Y. Wang, J. Liu, X. Li, H. Tian and W.-H. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 14055–14058.
- 6 H. Imahori, T. Umeyama and S. Ito, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1809–1818.
- 7 C. W. Lee, H. P. Lu, C. M. Lan, Y. L. Huang, Y. R. Liang, W. N. Yen, Y. C. Liu, Y. S. Lin, E. W. G. Diau and C. Y. Yeh, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 1403–1412.
- 8 H. Song, Q. Liu and Y. Xie, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 1811– 1824.
- 9 C. P. Hsieh, H. P. Lu, C. L. Chiu, C. W. Lee, S. H. Chuang, C. L. Mai, W. N. Yen, S. J. Hsu, E. W. G. Diau and C. Y. Yeh, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 1127–1134.
- 10 A. Yella, H. W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, M. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W. Diau, C. Y. Yeh, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, Science, 2011, 334, 629-634.
- 11 Y. Tang, Y. Wang, X. Li, H. Agren, W.-H. Zhu and Y. Xie,  $ACS$ Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 27976–27985.
- 12 T. Bessho, S. M. Zakeeruddin, C. Y. Yeh, E. W. Diau and M. Grätzel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6646-6649.
- 13 A. Yella, C.-L. Mai, S. M. Zakeeruddin, S.-N. Chang, C.-H. Hsieh, C. Y. Yeh and M. Grätzel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2973–2977.
- 14 S. Mathew, A. Yella, P. Gao, R. Humphry-Baker, B. F. E. Curchod, N. Ashari-Astani, I. Tavernelli, U. Rothlisberger, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Graätzel, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 242–247.
- 15 S. B. Mane, J.-Y. Hu, Y.-C. Chang, L. Luo, E. W.-G. Diau and C.-H. Hung, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 6882–6884.
- 16 C.-H. Wu, M.-C. Chen, P.-C. Su, H.-H. Kuo, C.-L. Wang, C.-Y. Lu, C.-H. Tsai, C.-C. Wu and C.-Y. Lin, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 991–999.
- 17 T. Wei, X. Sun, X. Li, H. Ågren and Y. Xie, *ACS Appl. Mater.* Interfaces, 2015, 7, 21956–21965.
- 18 R. B. Ambre, G. F. Chang and C. H. Hung, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 725–727.
- 19 K. Kurotobi, Y. Toude, K. Kawamoto, Y. Fujimori, S. Ito, P. Chabera, V. Sundström and H. Imahori, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 17075–17081.
- 20 G. Di Carlo, A. Orbelli Biroli, M. Pizzotti, F. Tessore, V. Trifiletti, R. Ruffo, A. Abbotto, A. Amat, F. De Angelis and P. R. Mussini, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 10723–10740.
- 21 S. H. Kang, M. S. Kang, I. T. Choi, J. Y. Hong, M. J. Ju and H. K. Kim, ChemElectroChem, 2013, 1, 637–644.
- 22 G. Yang, Y. Tang, X. Li, H. Agren and Y. Xie, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 36875–36885.
- 23 T. P. Brewster, S. J. Konezny, S. W. Sheehan, L. A. Martini, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, V. S. Batista and R. H. Crabtree, Inorg. Chem., 2013, 52, 6752–6764.
- 24 W. R. McNamara, R. L. Milot, H.-e. Song, R. C. Snoeberger III, V. S. Batista, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, Energy Environ. Sci., 2010, 3, 917–923.
- 25 W. Li, L. G. Rego, F.-Q. Bai, C.-P. Kong and H.-X. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 19690–19693.
- 26 A. Bergner, M. Dolg, W. Küchle, H. Stoll and H. Preuß, Mol. Phys., 1993, 80, 1431–1441.
- 27 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1988, 37, 785–789.
- 28 S. Karthikeyan and J. Y. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 10973–10979.
- 29 M. J. Zhang, Y. R. Guo, G. Z. Fang and Q. J. Pan, Comput. Theor. Chem., 2013, 1019, 94–100.
- 30 K. B. Ornso, J. M. Garcia-Lastra and K. S. Thygesen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 19478–19486.
- 31 M. Xie, F.-Q. Bai, J. Wang, Y.-Q. Zheng and Z. Lin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2018, 20, 3741–3751.
- 32 J. Lu, B. Zhang, S. Liu, H. Li, H. Yuan, Y. Shen, J. Xu, Y. Cheng and M. Wang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 24755–24762.
- 33 C. Adamo and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 6158– 6170.
- 34 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1372.
- 35 T. Yanai, D. P. Tew and N. C. Handy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2004, 393, 51–57.
- 36 J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 2999–3094.
- 37 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin,

M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle and J. A. Pople, Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009. **SC Advances** McC. Strain, O. Farian, J. C. Chines, Articles. Article. Chinese Article. Published on 2018. Article. Chinese Article. Chinese Commons Attribution-Neutron-NonCommercial Chinese Commons Commons Attribution-Non

- 38 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1996, 54, 11169–11186.
- 39 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci., 1996, 6, 15–50.
- 40 L. G. C. Rego and V. S. Batista, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 7989–7997.
- 41 S. G. Abuabara, L. G. C. Rego and V. S. Batista, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 18234–18242.
- 42 S. G. Abuabara, C. W. Cady, J. B. Baxter, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 11982–11990.
- 43 W. R. McNamara, R. C. Snoeberger, G. Li, J. M. Schleicher, C. W. Cady, M. Poyatos, C. A. Schmuttenmaer, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14329–14338.
- 44 P. Qin, X. Yang, R. Chen, L. Sun, T. Marinado, T. Edvinsson, G. Boschloo and A. Hagfeldt, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 1853–1860.
- 45 G. Zhang, Y. Bai, R. Li, D. Shi, S. Wenger, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Gratzel and P. Wang, Energy Environ. Sci., 2009, 2, 92–95.
- 46 R. Ma, P. Guo, H. Cui, X. Zhang, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 10119-10124.
- 47 N. Santhanamoorthi, C. M. Lo and J. C. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 524–530.
- 48 D. P. Hagberg, T. Edvinsson, T. Marinado, G. Boschloo, A. Hagfeldt and L. Sun, Chem. Commun., 2006, 2245–2247.
- 49 J. Zhang, H.-B. Li, S.-L. Sun, Y. Geng, Y. Wu and Z.-M. Su, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 568–576.
- 50 M. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Kay, I. Rodicio, R. Humphry-Baker, E. Müller, P. Liska, N. Vlachopoulos and M. Grätzel, *J. Am.* Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 6382–6390.
- 51 M. G. K. Kalyanasundaram, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1998, 77, 347– 414.
- 52 T. Ma, K. Inoue, K. Yao, H. Noma, T. Shuji, E. Abe, J. Yu, X. Wang and B. Zhang, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2002, 537, 31– 38.
- 53 P. Persson, R. Bergström and S. Lunell, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 10348–10351.
- 54 W. Li, L. G. C. Rego, F.-Q. Bai, J. Wang, R. Jia, L.-M. Xie and H.-X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 3992–3999.