# RSC Advances

# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 28668

Received 17th April 2018 Accepted 25th July 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra03278e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Nickel(II)-catalyzed tandem C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-H bond activation and annulation of arenes with gemdibromoalkenes†

Yu[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6446-4101) Shi, Meng-Sheng Li, Fangdong Zhang and Baohua Chen <sup>1</sup>

A nickel(II)/silver(I)-catalyzed tandem C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-H activation and intramolecular annulation of arenes with dibromoalkenes has been successfully achieved, which offers an efficient approach to the 3 methyleneisoindolin-1-one scaffold. Attractive features of this system include its low cost, ease of operation, and its ability to access a wide range of isoindolinones.

Over the past years, the transition-metal-catalyzed oxidative C– H/C–H cross-coupling reaction has emerged as a useful, atomand step-economic synthetic protocol to construct a series of important N-heterocycles.<sup>1</sup> In this context, the synthesis of isoindolinones has attracted considerable attention owing to their interesting biological and pharmaceutical properties,<sup>2</sup> as well as their usefulness as precursors for the synthesis of structurally diverse and complex molecules (Scheme 1).<sup>2c,3</sup> Several methods have successfully been developed toward isoindolinone synthesis based on  $Pd<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup> Cu<sub>1</sub><sup>5</sup> Ru<sub>1</sub><sup>6</sup> and Rh<sup>7</sup> slits.$ Among these reactions, the oxidative coupling reactions of benzamides with alkenes<sup>4b,6,7a,f,g</sup> or alkynes<sup>5a,5d</sup> exhibit high atom economy and the application of this strategy to simple arenes is still largely underdeveloped.<sup>8</sup> For instance, in 2015, Zhang's group<sup>9</sup> revealed cobalt-catalyzed oxidative alkynylation and cyclization of simple arenes and terminal alkynes with silver-cocatalyst via 2-fold C–H bond and N–H bond cleavage and C–C bond and C–N bond formation. In 2016, Song's group<sup>10</sup> developed a method of a cobalt $(n)$ -catalyzed decarboxylative C–H activation/annulation of benzamides and alkynyl carboxylic acids and nickel( $\pi$ )-catalyzed C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-H alkynylation/ annulation cascade with terminal alkynes to synthesize 3 methyleneiso-indolin-1-ones. Zhang also reported a nickelcatalyzed oxidative alkynylation with amides and terminal acetylenes.<sup>10c</sup> In addition, from an environmentally point of view, in  $2015$ , wei's group<sup>11</sup> described an operationally simple, Pdcatalyzed C–H functionalization for the synthesis of important and useful isoindolinones from readily available carboxamides and carboxylic acids or anhydrides. The protocol avoided the use of excess oxidants including benzoquinone,  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$ , or PAPER<br> **(A)** Check for updates<br> **(A)** Check for updates<br> **CFACTION**<br> **CFACTIO** 

 $Ag_2CO_3$  of previous all the reactions, thus generating stoichiometric amounts of undesired wastes.

YAL SOCIETY<br>**CHEMISTRY** 

To our knowledge, the synthesis of alkynes is among the most fundamental and important synthetic transformations due to the unique reactivity of alkynes including addition, oxidation, reduction, and in particular cyclization.<sup>12</sup> However, the lack of reactivity of alkynes, more electron-deficient than the corresponding alkenes, makes it harder to couple them with heteroarenes. As a consequence, terminal alkyne precursors have been developed to facilitate acetylene exchange.<sup>13</sup> Halogenoalkynes,<sup>14</sup> hypervalent alkynyliodoniums,<sup>15</sup>acetylenic sulfones,<sup>16</sup> copper acetylides<sup>17</sup> and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -ynoic acids<sup>18</sup> allowed the generation of more activated alkyne moieties thus broadening the applications of direct alkynylation reactions to heterocycles. Among these alternatives, gem-dihaloalkenes emerged as more efficient coupling partners than the corresponding monohalogenated alkynes along with being inexpensive and readilyavailable.<sup>19</sup> Indeed, the two geminal halogen atoms on the alkenyl carbon enhance the reactivity of metal complexes thus facilitating cross coupling reactions.<sup>20</sup> Stable and readilyavailable 1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes and our interests in the C–H activation $21$  led us to consider using these reagents in the C-H functionalization to construct the valuable isoindolinones. We can envision that the abundance and structural diversity of the



Scheme 1 Representative isoindolinones with biological and pharmaceutical.

State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Metal Chemistry and Resources Utilization of Gansu Province, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China. E-mail: chbh@lzu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra03278e

## Results and discussion

Initially, our investigation to explore the Ni( $\scriptstyle\rm II$ )-catalyzed C sp $^2$ –H activation began with the reaction of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamide (1a) and (2,2-dibromovinyl) benzene (2a) in the presence of selected catalysts as shown in Table 1. Gratifyingly, (Z)-3 benzylidene-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one 3a was obtained in 54% yield when NiCl $\cdot$ 6H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mol%) was employed in xylene at 140 °C for 12 h (Table 1, entry 1). In order to search out an appropriate condition, we firstly screened a series of  $Ni(II)$ salts and  $Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (10 mol%) was chosen as the superior catalyst to give the corresponding product with a yield of 69% (entry 4). Moreover, the replacement of Ni( $\pi$ ) salts with Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and  $Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  gave a slightly less yield (entries 5 and 6). These results reconfirmed that  $Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  is the optimal nickel catalyst source with a great advantage that other metal catalysts cannot match. Subsequently, the solvent effect was also evaluated and the promotional effect of the common solvents, such as DMF, DMSO, dioxane, and MeCN decreased drastically except chlorobenzene delivered 3a in up to 68% yield (entries 8–11). We suppose that the enhanced reactivity with aromatic solvent arises in part from its coordination with nickel catalyst, which would facilitate the formation of nickel chelate, intermediate. To further improve the conversion, we turned our attention to the oxidant effect and here we screened a number of silver( $I$ ) salts and another oxidant Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, which showed  $Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  was the best choice which promoted the formation of ring-closing reaction to give the desired product (entries 12–16). Next, we investigated the remarkable effect of additives using sodium iodide and different ammonium salts, however the reaction even did not work except TBAB with 58% yield, which implicated that the main role of TBAI in this transformation may be the phase transfer catalyst (entries 17-20). And finally, we examined the reaction under argon and oxygen atmosphere, obtained 37% and 41% yield respectively, which indicated the influence of gas atmosphere for the reaction was very low



Scheme 2 Nickel(II)/silver(I)-catalyzed alkynylation/annulation of arenes with dibromoalkenes.

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction condition<sup>6</sup>

	Paper						View Article Online <b>RSC Advances</b>	
	aldehydes (used the preparation of gem-dibromoethylenes via		Table 1 Optimization of the reaction condition <sup>a</sup>					
	wittig reaction) as well as the merits of C-H functionalization would make the synthetic methods desirable and attractive. Herein, we wish to disclose the nickel $(n)/s$ ilver $(n)$ -mediated tandem transformation involving sequential $C(sp^2)$ -H/ $C(sp^2)$ -H alkynylation and intramolecular annulation of unactivated arenes with dibromoethylenes with the assistance of 8-amino- quinoline (Scheme 2). These features of this approach opera- tional simplicity, a wide-ranging substrate scope, and tolerance of various synthetically useful functional groups.	O ٠Q Br 1 а		Ph 2 a	Catalyst, Solvent Oxidant, Additive Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> , 140°C, 12h		.Ph ٠Q O 3 а	
		Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Oxidant	Additive	Yield $(\%)^t$	
		$\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{2}$	NiCl·6H <sub>2</sub> O Ni (acac) <sub>2</sub>	Xylene Xylene	$Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$	TBAI TBAI	54 62	
	<b>Results and discussion</b>	3 4 5	$Ni(OTf)_2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	Xylene Xylene Xylene	$Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$	<b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b> TBAI	52 73 42	
	Initially, our investigation to explore the Ni( $\pi$ )-catalyzed C sp <sup>2</sup> -H activation began with the reaction of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benza- mide $(1a)$ and $(2,2$ -dibromovinyl) benzene $(2a)$ in the presence of selected catalysts as shown in Table 1. Gratifyingly, (Z)-3- benzylidene-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one 3a was obtained in 54% yield when NiCl $\cdot$ 6H <sub>2</sub> O (10 mol%) was employed in xylene at 140 °C for 12 h (Table 1, entry 1). In order to search out	6 $\overline{7}$ $\,$ 8 $\,$ 9 10 11 12 13	Co(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·4H <sub>2</sub> O $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$	Xylene Xylene DMF <b>DMSO</b> PhCl Dioxane Xylene Xylene	$Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ AgOAc Ag <sub>2</sub> O	<b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b> TBAI <b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b>	31 $\mathbf{0}$	
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. Article. Published on 13 August 2018. Downloaded on 4/23/2025 1:45:32 PM							Trace 41 68	
							56 11 20	
	an appropriate condition, we firstly screened a series of Ni(II) salts and $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ (10 mol%) was chosen as the superior	14 15	$Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$	Xylene Xylene	AgOTf $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	<b>TBAI</b> <b>TBAI</b>	$\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$	
	catalyst to give the corresponding product with a yield of 69% (entry 4). Moreover, the replacement of $Ni(n)$ salts with $Cu(OAc)_2$	16 17 18	$Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$	Xylene Xylene Xylene	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$	<b>TBAI</b> NaI <b>TBAB</b>	0 0 58	
	and $Co(OAc)2·4H2O$ gave a slightly less yield (entries 5 and 6). These results reconfirmed that $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ is the optimal	19 20 21	$Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$	Xylene Xylene Xylene	$Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$	TBAF·4H <sub>2</sub> O Me <sub>4</sub> NCl	$\bf{0}$ Trace $\boldsymbol{0}$	
	nickel catalyst source with a great advantage that other metal catalysts cannot match. Subsequently, the solvent effect was	$22^c$ $23^d$	$Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$ $Ni(PPh3)2Cl2$	Xylene Xylene	$Ag_2CO_3$ $Ag_2CO_3$	TBAI TBAI	64 61	
	also evaluated and the promotional effect of the common solvents, such as DMF, DMSO, dioxane, and MeCN decreased drastically except chlorobenzene delivered 3a in up to 68% yield (entries 8-11). We suppose that the enhanced reactivity with	$a$ Reaction condition: compound 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ (2 equiv.), oxidant (4 equiv.), additive (3 equiv.) solvent (2.0 mL), under air, 110 °C, 12 h. $b$ Estimated by <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectroscopy using $CH_2Br_2$ as an internal reference, $Q =$ quinolin-8-yl. $\epsilon$ Under Ar. $d$ Under O <sub>2</sub> .						
Open Access IX.	aromatic solvent arises in part from its coordination with nickel catalyst, which would facilitate the formation of nickel chelate,		(entries 22 and 23). In addition, no reaction was observed in the					

(entries 22 and 23). In addition, no reaction was observed in the absence of the nickel catalyst or silver salt and additive, indicating the necessity of both catalyst,  $Ag(r)$  salts and additives for the reaction (entries 7, 15, 21).

Under the optimized conditions, we examined the applicability of the catalytic system for different directing groups, as shown in Scheme 3. Interestingly, N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamide (1a-1) could promote the alkynylation/annulation reaction smoothly as well, delivering the corresponding isoindolinone in 73% yield, with high selectivity. Nevertheless, other common directing groups including the monodentate group, N-methoxybenzamide  $(1a-2)$ , N- $(naphthalen-1-yl)$  benzamide  $(1a-3)$ , the bidentate coordinating groups, N-(pyridin-2-yl) benzamide (1a-4) and N-(pyridin-1-oxide-2-yl) benzamide (1a-5) were incapable of promoting the reaction. These control experiments showed the indispensable role of the 8-aminoquinoline moiety for this reaction.

Next, we set out to explore the scope of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamide partners as summarized in Scheme 4. To our delight, the reaction system could accelerate the tandem reaction of a wide array of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamides with (2,2 dibromovi-nyl)benzene, delivering a series of functionalized 3 methyleneisoindolin-1-ones in moderate to excellent yields. The



Scheme 3 The effect of directing groups for the alkynylation/annulation reaction under standard reaction conditions.

positions of substituent on arenes had a remarkable effect on the transformation. While the substituent was installed on the ortho-position of the aromatic ring, the reaction did not proceed smoothly with only 31% yield (3ba–ca, 3ga–ha), which implicated that steric hindrance has a huge effect on the transformation. N-(Quinolin-8-yl) benzamides bearing the synthetically valuable groups, such as F, Cl,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CF<sub>3</sub>$ , were also tolerated as well in this transformation, delivering the corresponding products (3ba–fa) in moderate yields. Notably, the protocol was also compatible with heterocyclic substrates, liberating 3ka in acceptable yields (63.5%).

Subsequently, we investigated a series of various dibromoalkenes under the optimized conditions as displayed in Scheme 5. Electron-rich and electron-deficient gem-dibromo olefins were all successfully engaged in this transformation (3ab–3ah). Dibromoalkenes bearing both electron-rich groups (Me, OMe and N-propyl substitutions) allowed better results furnishing alkynylation and cyclization products (3ae–3ah) between 54 to 81% isolated yields. The steric hindrance of the ortho-position on aromatic ring had an apparent effect on the



Scheme 4 Scope of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamides<sup>a,b</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Reaction condition: compound 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol),  $Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ (10 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 equiv.), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (4 equiv.), TBAI (3 equiv.), solvent  $(2.0 \text{ mL})$ , under air, 140 °C, 12 h.  $b$  solated yield of 3 by flash column chromatography.  $Q =$  quinolin-8-yl, TBAI = tetrabutylammonium iodide.

reaction. Comparing with the gem-dibromoalkenes bearing substituents on the *para*-site (3ab, 58.5%; 3af, 70%), the *ortho*substituted ones gave lower yields (3ac, 44%; 3ae, 54.5%). Additionally, we were pleased to notice that alkyl gem-dibromoalkenes were also tolerated under the catalytic system, delivering 3-methyleneisoindolin-1-ones in acceptable yields (3ai, 62.5%; 3aj, 69%), respectively.

Very recently, the transition of metal-catalyzed tandem C–H activation and annulation of arene with terminal alkyne has been reported by many researchers, especially in which the  $Co(n)/Co(m)$  and Ni(1)/Ni(m) catalytic cycle was proved.<sup>9,22a</sup> On the basis of the above results of experiments and relevant literatures.<sup>22</sup> We speculated that the reaction might also proceed through a  $Ni(i)/Ni(m)$  catalytic process and the plausible reaction mechanism has been proposed in Scheme 6. The catalytic cycle initiates with the oxidation of  $Ni(II)$  by  $Ag_2CO_3$  to give Ni( $\text{III}$ ) species, which activated the inert sp<sup>2</sup> C–H bond of N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide (1a) to generate the key intermediate II. Subsequently, the attack of the corresponding bromoalkyne via dehydrobromination of the dibromoalkene into intermediate II to oxidize addition gives the essential intermediate III, which undergoes the reductive elimination to give the alkynylated product IV and liberate the  $Ni(II)$  species. The oxidation of  $Ni(\pi)$  to  $Ni(\pi)$  by silver salts continues the cycle. And the *ortho*alkynyl amide IV occurs rapidly intramolecular cyclization in the presence of  $Ag_2CO_3$  and TBAI to give the 3-**PSC Advances** Worwide Paper Commons are the common and the common on  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a



Scheme 5 Scope of various dibromoalkenes<sup>a,b</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Reaction condition: compound 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol),  $Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (10 mol%),  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  (2 equiv.), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (4 equiv.), TBAI (3 equiv.), solvent (2.0 mL), under air,  $140 \degree C$ ,  $12 \degree h$ .  $\degree$  Isolated yield of 3 by flash column chromatography.  $Q =$  quinolin-8-yl, TBAI = tetrabutylammonium iodide



methyleneisoindolin-1-one products 3aa. Additionally, the possible pathway of a part of products is through the radical exchange of intermediate II with alkynyl radical and subsequent reductive elimination to give the alkynylated product IV through a  $Ni(m)/Ni(r)$  mechanism.<sup>22</sup>

# **Conclusions**

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient, operationally simple, and scalable nickel/silver-catalyzed unactivated C  $\rm{sp}^2\rm{-}H$ bond activation and cyclization for the synthesis of isoindolinones divergently with excellent selectivity from readily available gem-dibromoalkenes. This protocol enables operational convenience with good tolerance of various aromatic amides and dibromoalkenes. Furthermore, this practical methodology may provide insight into the development of transition metal catalyzed C–H functionalization and further complement existing synthetic methods.

# Experimental

#### General information

Unless otherwise noted, all of the reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without purification. All product mixtures were analyzed by thin layer chromatography glass-backed silica TLC plates with a fluorescent indicator from Branch of Qingdao Haiyang Chemical CO. LTD. UV-active compounds were detected with a UV lamp ( $\lambda = 254$  nm). For flash column chromatography, silica gel (200-300 mesh) was used as stationary phase. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra ere recorded on a Bruker Advance III 400 MHz spectrometer in deuterated chloroform. The chemical shifts  $(\delta)$  are reported in parts per million relatives to tetramethylsilane. The multiplicities of signals are designated by the following abbreviations: s

(singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet). Coupling constants  $(J)$  are given in hertz.  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectra were recorded using a 100 MHz spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported relative to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  C = 77.00 ppm). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with a Waters Micromass GCT instrument, accurate masses were reported for the molecular ion  $([M]^{+}$  or  $[M + H]^{+}$ ).

#### General procedure for the preparation of carboxamides

To the solution of carboxylic acid (10 mmol) and 10 drops of DMF in 30 mL dry DCM at 0  $^{\circ}$ C, oxalyl chloride (20 mmol) was added dropwise under Ar. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stirred for another 5 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give crude acid cholid, which was used directly for next step without further purification.

To the mixture of 8-aminoquinoline (10 mmol) and  $Et_3N$  (12 mmol) in dry DCM (30 mL) at 0  $\degree$ C, the crude acid chloride obtained from previous step in 20 mL dry DCM was added dropwise. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . The mixture was extracted, washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The combined organic layers were dried  $(MgSO<sub>4</sub>)$  and concentrated in vacuum and then purified by silica gel chromatography with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate as the eluent to afford the corresponding amide products (reference: Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 7863–7866).

#### General procedure for the preparation of gem-dibromoalkenes

To an ice cooled stirred solution of aldehyde (5.0 mmol) and carbon tetrabromide (2.5 g, 7.5 mmol) in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (40 mL) was added slowly a solution of triphenylphosphine (4.0 g, 15.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (30 mL) by several portions. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was diluted with hexane (100 mL) and purified directly by column chromatography on silica gel. If it is not specified, hexane was used as an eluent for the column chromatography (reference: RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 2322–2326).

#### Typical procedure for  $copper(n)/silver(i)$ -catalyzed sequential alkynylation and annulation of arenes with gemdibromoalkenes

A mixture of N-(quinolin-8-yl) benzamides (1, 24.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(10 mol%, 6.2 mg), Ag<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (110.3 mg, 0.4 mmol), TBAI (110.8 mg, 0.3 mmol), gem-dibromoalkenes (2, 0.2 mmol) and xylene (2.0 mL) was added to a 25 mL open tube. The tube was stirred at 140  $\degree$ C for 12 h under air. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the reaction solution was treated with dilute p-toluenesulfonic acid for half hour. A saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate (10.0 mL) was added to the reaction tube and the pH of the solution in the reaction tube is neutralized to about 6–7. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ , and the organic phase was combined and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and was concentrated in vacuo. Then the mixture was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $= 2 : 1$  as eluent to afford the desired products (3). And then calculated the yields. BSC Advances Complete, the mixture was diluted with hexane (106 mL) and  $(k, 11)$ , 6.59 (d,  $j = 1.5$  Licence.  $k$  Creative is licensed in the second on the second on 13 August 2018. Downloaded in 23, 2025. David 1:45:32 PM

#### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3a)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.86 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.98  $(dd, J = 11.2, 4.6 Hz, 2H$ , 7.89  $(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H)$ , 7.69  $(td, J = 1.25)$ 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.60–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.48 (dd,  $J = 7.3$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 2H), 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.67 (dd,  $J = 11.1$ , 4.3 Hz, 1H), 6.58–6.51 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.25, 150.47, 144.47, 138.77, 136.16, 135.92, 134.26, 133.62, 132.36, 130.14, 129.19, 128.94, 128.49, 128.38, 128.22, 126.39, 126.12, 125.75, 124.03, 121.33, 119.76, 107.49, 77.50, 77.18, 76.86. MS (ESI) m/z:  $348.1 \,[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ .

#### $(Z)$ -3-Benzylidene-5-fluoro-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3b)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.85 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.85  $(dd, J = 4.2, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.95 (m, 2H), 7.59 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dd,  $J = 7.4$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33-7.27 (m, 3H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.69 (dt,  $J = 8.2$ , 4.1 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d 167.21, 150.49, 144.32, 135.95, 135.34, 133.99, 133.14, 130.09, 128.94, 128.58, 128.16, 126.43, 126.34, 126.21, 125.75, 124.50, 121.37, 117.16, 116.92, 108.49, 106.98, 106.73, 77.44, 77.12, 76.81. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 366.1 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

#### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-5-chloro-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3c)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.84 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H$ , 7.92  $(d, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 7.87  $(d, J = 1)$ 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dd,  $J = 7.4$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.28 (m, 2H), 6.78

 $(s, 1H)$ , 6.69 (dt,  $J = 8.8$ , 4.3 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.27, 150.53, 144.35, 140.32, 138.84, 135.95, 135.19, 134.00, 133.19, 130.12, 129.60, 128.98, 128.66, 128.21, 126.79, 126.48, 126.41, 125.78, 125.37, 121.43, 120.18, 108.69, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI) m/z: 382.0 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

## (Z)-3-Benzylidene-5-methyl-2-(quinolin-8-yl)isoindolin-1-one (3d)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.84 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.96  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H$ , 7.87  $(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H)$ , 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd,  $J = 7.3$ , 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d,  $J =$ 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.67 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 1H), 6.56–6.49 (m, 4H), 2.55 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d 168.33, 150.41, 144.47, 143.04, 139.14, 136.24, 135.90, 134.36, 133.73, 130.32, 130.13, 129.60, 128.92, 128.37, 128.21, 126.35, 126.01, 125.74, 123.87, 121.27, 120.07, 107.04, 77.46, 77.14, 76.83, 22.30. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 362.1 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-5-methoxy-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1 one (3e)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.84 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$ ), 7.89  $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.56  $(dd, J = 8.2,$ 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (dd,  $J = 7.4$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.09 (dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.67 (ddd,  $J = 8.7, 4.7, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.57-6.50 (m, 4H), 3.96 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.05, 163.62, 150.40, 144.52, 141.05, 136.20, 135.91, 134.41, 133.63, 130.16, 128.95, 128.34, 128.23, 126.38, 126.09, 125.76, 125.56, 121.38, 121.27, 116.58, 107.12, 103.81, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 55.94. MS (ESI) m/z:  $378.1 \,[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ .

### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-6-methyl-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3f)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.84 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$ ), 7.79-7.78 (m, 1H), 7.76 (d,  $J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 7.57 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J  $=$  7.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd,  $J = 4.4$ , 3.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J =$ 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.69–6.64 (m, 1H), 6.56–6.49 (m, 4H), 2.51 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.42, 150.45, 144.56, 139.52, 136.40, 136.30, 135.90, 134.44, 133.83, 133.44, 130.17, 128.96, 128.63, 128.41, 128.28, 126.39, 126.00, 125.76, 124.12, 121.31, 119.59, 106.74, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 21.72. MS  $(ESI)$  m/z: 362.1  $[M]^{+}$ .

### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-5-phenyl-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3g)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.86 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.07-8.04 (m, 2H), 7.97 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd,  $J = 7.9$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.29  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.71–6.65 (m, 1H), 6.58–6.51 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.10, 150.49, 145.82, 144.48, 140.81, 139.42, 136.25, 135.95, 135.12, 134.33, 133.64, 130.23, 129.14, 129.00, 128.58, 128.51, 128.27, 127.69, 127.28, 126.43, 126.16,

125.81, 124.47, 121.36, 118.54, 107.58, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS  $(ESI)$  m/z: 424.1  $[M]^{+}$ .

#### (Z)-3-Benzylidene-5-nitro-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3h)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.83 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.76  $(d, J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.42 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.15 \text{ (d, } J =$ 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd,  $J = 8.2$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51  $(dd, J = 7.4, 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.36-7.31  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.97  $(s, 1H)$ , 6.76–6.70 (m, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.11, 150.86, 150.64, 144.02, 139.58, 136.09, 134.65, 133.49, 132.79, 132.59, 130.03, 129.03, 128.17, 126.81, 126.59, 125.82, 125.28, 124.09, 121.61, 115.70, 110.61, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 393.1  $[M]^{+}$ .

#### (Z)-3-(4-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3i)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.83 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.04– 7.97 (m, 2H), 7.87 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd,  $J = 13.6$ , 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 2H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.50–6.43 (m, 4H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) d 168.13, 150.54, 144.38, 138.52, 136.86, 136.01, 134.17, 132.49, 132.09, 131.91, 130.25, 129.43, 129.38, 129.00, 128.62, 128.39, 126.36, 125.89, 124.14, 121.54, 119.81, 105.91, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 382.0 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

## (Z)-3-(2-Chlorobenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3j)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.89 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.02-7.92 (m, 3H), 7.71 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.54 (m, 3H), 7.34-7.28 (m, 2H), 6.86 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.62 (t,  $J =$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.14 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.24, 150.79, 144.49, 138.40, 137.24, 135.85, 133.82, 133.01, 132.50, 132.44, 130.49, 130.00, 129.52, 128.76, 128.62, 128.54, 127.89, 127.72, 125.77, 124.34, 124.09, 121.39, 120.13, 104.37, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI) m/z: 382.0  $[M]^{+}.$ 

### (Z)-3-(2-Iodobenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3k)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.94 (dd, J = 3.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02-7.97 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.60  $(d, J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d,  $J =$ 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 2H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.39–6.33 (m, 2H), 6.21 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.23, 150.99, 144.46, 138.38, 137.86, 137.25, 136.58, 135.93, 133.80, 132.51, 130.14, 129.87, 129.50, 128.76, 128.64, 128.48, 127.81, 125.79, 125.75, 124.12, 121.42, 120.03, 110.49, 99.83, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 474.0  $[M]^{+}$ .

### (Z)-3-(2-Methoxybenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1 one (3l)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.86 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.98 (m, 1H), 7.97-7.95 (m, 1H), 7.92 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td,  $J = 7.6$ , 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (td,  $J = 7.9$ , 4.1 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (dd,  $J =$ 

7.3, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.27 (m, 2H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.67 (ddd,  $J =$ 8.5, 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 5.92 (td,  $J = 7.4$ , 0.7 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.33, 156.18, 150.34, 144.60, 138.77, 136.38, 135.95, 134.10, 132.22, 130.05, 130.00, 129.00, 128.79, 128.46, 128.39, 127.93, 125.52, 123.91, 122.52, 121.11, 120.10, 118.57, 108.84, 103.92, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 55.03. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 378.1 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

## (Z)-3-(4-Methylbenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3n)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.83 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.97  $(t, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ , 7.85  $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.65  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.42 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 6.31 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.17, 150.38, 144.52, 138.81, 135.82, 135.72, 134.38, 132.25, 130.53, 130.07, 128.99, 128.91, 128.28, 128.14, 128.06, 127.00, 125.72, 123.93, 121.29, 119.67, 107.67, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 20.95. MS (ESI) m/z:  $362.1 \,[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ .

### (Z)-3-(4-Propylbenzylidene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl)isoindolin-1-one (3o)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.83 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.00-7.92 (m, 2H), 7.86 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.66 (td,  $J = 7.6$ , 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.54  $(dd, J = 7.8, 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.47  $(dd, J = 7.4, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 2H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.45 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 6.32 (d,  $J$  $= 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.22 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 1.42–1.32 (m, 2H), 0.80 (t,  $J$  $= 7.3$  Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.18, 150.40, 144.54, 140.56, 138.80, 135.89, 135.81, 134.38, 132.26, 130.89, 130.11, 129.02, 128.88, 128.32, 128.08, 126.53, 125.72, 123.98, 121.25, 119.70, 107.73, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 37.53, 24.47, 13.80.  $MS (ESI) m/z: 390.1 [M]^{+}.$ Paper<br>  $\sqrt{124.47}$ ,  $124.47$ ,  $121.56$ ,  $118.44$ ,  $107.58$ ,  $77.48$ ,  $77.18$ ,  $78.56$ ,  $114.60$ ,  $124.76$  (ed.)<br>  $\sqrt{124.47}$  (m) with  $\sqrt{144.47}$  (m)  $\sqrt{144.47}$  (m)  $\sqrt{144.47}$  (m)  $\sqrt{144.47}$  (m)  $\sqrt{144.47}$  (m)

### (Z)-4-Benzylidene-5-(quinolin-8-yl)-4,5-dihydro-6H-thieno[2,3 c] pyrrol-6-one (3p)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.08  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$ ), 7.76  $(d, J = 5.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.72  $(dd, J = 8.2,$ 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51  $(dd, J = 7.3, 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.44-7.39  $(m, 1H)$ , 7.37  $(dd, J = 8.3, 4.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.29  $(d, J = 5.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.09  $(d, J = 1.2)$ 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.04-6.99 (m, 1H), 6.94 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 6.79 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.32, 151.10, 146.16, 145.52, 144.79, 136.93, 136.37, 136.27, 134.06, 130.99, 129.48, 129.00, 128.96, 128.87, 128.12, 127.41, 125.89, 124.66, 121.68, 104.66, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84. MS (ESI) m/z: 354.0 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

### (Z)-3-(Cyclohexylmethylene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1-one (3q)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.89 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.24  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H$ , 8.00–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.80 (dd,  $J = 7.3$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.69-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.60 (td,  $J$  $= 7.6, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.48 (td,  $J = 7.5, 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd,  $J = 8.3$ , 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.45  $(d, J = 10.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.72  $(dt, J = 14.6, 6.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.48–1.42 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.35 (m, 1H), 1.26 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 1.23–1.17 (m, 1H), 1.15–1.07 (m, 1H), 0.93–0.85 (m, 2H),  $0.74\,(\mathrm{ddd}, J = 12.0, 10.8, 3.5\,\mathrm{Hz}, 2\mathrm{H}).$   $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

d 168.48, 151.33, 145.68, 138.84, 136.21, 135.72, 134.04, 131.93, 130.67, 129.30, 129.27, 128.42, 128.39, 126.35, 123.76, 121.92, 119.40, 115.12, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 35.28, 33.32, 33.15, 25.69, 25.57, 25.45. MS (ESI)  $m/z$ : 353.1 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

### (Z)-3-(Cyclopropylmethylene)-2-(quinolin-8-yl) isoindolin-1 one (3r)

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.19  $(dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$ ), 7.93  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 7.90  $(dd, J = 8.2,$ 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd,  $J = 7.3$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.66–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.57  $(td, J = 7.7, 0.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.46 (t, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.41 (dd, J = 8.3,$ 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13–5.06 (m, 1H), 0.40–0.32 (m, 2H), 0.28 (dd,  $J =$ 9.2, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 0.11 (ddd,  $J = 11.9$ , 8.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), -0.04 (tdd,  $J = 8.5, 6.4, 4.5$  Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.07, 151.23, 145.52, 138.15, 136.16, 135.46, 135.28, 131.89, 130.66, 129.20, 129.14, 128.16, 127.82, 126.26, 123.74, 121.82, 118.97, 113.93, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 8.76, 8.66. MS (ESI) m/z: 312.1 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the project sponsored by National Science Foundation of P. R. China (No. 21102063) and Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province of China (1107RJYA080).

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente and A. R. Kapdi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9792-9826; (b) P. Thansandote and M. Lautens, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 5874–5883; (c) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 624–655; (d) T. W. Lyons and M. S. Sanford, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1147–1169; (e) R. J. Jazzar, J. Hitce, A. Renaudat, J. Sofack-Kreutzer and O. Baudoin, Chem.– Eur. J., 2010, 16, 2654–2672; (f) T. Satoh and M. Miura, Chem.–Eur. J., 2010, 16, 11212–11222; (g) L. McMurray, F. O'Hara and M. J. Gaunt, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1885– 1898; (h) C. S. Yeung and V. M. Dong, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1215–1292; (i) C. Liu, H. Zhang, W. Shi and A. Lei, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1780–1824; (j) S. H. Cho, J. Y. Kim, J. Kwak and S. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5068– 5083; (k) J. Yamaguchi, A. D. Yamaguchi and K. Itami, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8960–9009; (l) C. Zhang, C. Tang and N. Jiao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3464–3484; (m) G. Song, F. Wang and X. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3651–3678; (n) P. B. Arockiam, C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 5879–5981; (o) N. Kuhl, N. Schroder and F. Glorius, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 1443–1460; (p) Q. Zhang, K. Chen and B.-F. Shi, Synlett, 2014, 25, 1941–1945.
- 2 (a) M. H. Norman, D. J. Minick and G. C. Rigdon, J. Med. Chem., 1996, 39, 149–157; (b) Z.-P. Zhuang, M.-P. Kung, M. Mu and H. F. Kung, J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 157–166;

(c) Y. Kato, M. Takemono and K. K. Achiwa, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1999, 47, 529–535; (d) T. R. Belliotti, W. A. Brink, S. R. Kesten, J. R. Rubin, D. J. Wustrow, K. T. Zoski, S. Z. Whetzel, A. E. Corbin, T. A. Pugsley, T. G. Heffner and L. D. Wise, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 1998, 8, 1499–1502; (e) T. Lübbers, P. Angehrn, H. Gmünderb and S. Herziga, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 4708–4714; (f) D. K. Luci, E. C. Lawson, S. Ghosh, W. A. Kinney, C. E. Smith, J. Qi, Y. Wang, L. K. Minor and B. E. Maryanoff, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 4958-4961; (g) M. Lamblin, A. Couture, E. Deniau and P. Grandclaudon, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 1466–1471.

- 3 (a) V. Rys, A. Couture, E. Deniau and P. Grandclaudon, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 6615–6619; (b) M. Jacolot, M. Jean, N. Tumma, A. Bondon, S. Chandrasekhar and P. Weghe, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 7169–7175.
- 4 (a) K. Orito, A. Horibata, T. Nakamura, H. Ushito, H. Nagasaki, M. Yuguchi, S. Yamashita and M. Tokuda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14342–14343; (b) D.-D. Li, T.-T. Yuan and G.-W. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12789–12791; (c) Q. Yu, N. Zhang, J. Huang, S. Lu, Y. Zhu, X. Yu and K. Zhao, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 11184–11188; (d) N. Zhang, Q. Yu, R. Chen, J. Huang, Y. Xia and K. Zhao, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 9464–9466; (e) Y. Xu, W. Hu, X. Tang, J. Jinwu Zhao, W. Wu and H. Jiang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6843–6846; (f) X. Kou, Y. Li, L. Wu, X. Zhang, G. Yang and W. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 5566–5569. BSC Advances Worwide.com 21 August 2018. Article. Published on 13 August 2018. Downloaded on 13 August 2018. Downloaded on 14 August 2018. Downloaded under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Unported Unported Unp
	- 5 (a) J. Dong, F. Wang and J. You, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 2884– 2887; (b) H.-L. Wang, M. Shang, S.-Z. Sun, Z.-L. Zhou, B. N. Laforteza, H.-X. Dai and J.-Q. Yu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 1228–1231; (c) W. Miura, K. Hirano and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 4034-4037; (d) K. Takamatsu, K. Hirano and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 4066–4069; (e) C. Yamamoto, K. Takamatsu, K. Hirano and M. Miura, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 7675–7684.
	- 6 (a) R. Manoharan and M. Jeganmohan, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 2929–2932; (b) X. G. Li, M. Sun, K. Liu and P. N. Liu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2015, 357, 395–399; (c) M.-C. Reddy and M. Jeganmohan, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4866–4869.
	- 7 (a) F. Wang, G. Song and X. Li, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5430– 5433; (b) C. Zhu and J. R. Falck, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 1674–1676; (c) T. K. Hyster, K. E. Ruhl and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5364–5367; (d) B. Zhou, W. Hou, Y. Yang and Y. Li, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 4701–4706; (e) W. Hou, B. Zhou, Y. Yang, H. Feng and Y. Li, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1814–1817;  $(f)$  A. M. Martinez, N. Rodríguez, R. G. Arrayás and J. C. Carretero, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6105–6107; (g) S. Cai, C. Chen, P. Shao and C. Xi, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3142–3145; (h) M. Fujioka, T. Morimoto, T. Tsumagari, H. Tanimoto, Y. Nishiyama and K. Kakiuchi, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 2911–2923.
	- 8 (a) N. Matsuyama, M. Kitahara, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2358; (b) T. d. Haro and C. Nevado, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1512; (c) Y. Wei, H. Zhao, J. Kan, W. Su and M. Hong, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

2010, 132, 2522; (d) S. H. Kim, S. H. Park and S. Chang, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 5162.

- 9 J.-T. Zhang, H. Chen, C. Lin, Z.-X. Liu, C. Wang and Y.-H. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12990–12996.
- 10 (a) X.-Q. Hao, C. Du, X.-J. Zhu, P.-X. Li, J.-H. Zhang, J.-L. Niu and M.-S. Song, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 3610–3613; (b) X.-X. Zheng, C. Du, X.-M. Zhao, X. Zhu, J.-F. Suo, X.-Q. Hao, J.-L. Niu and M.-P. Song, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 4002–4011; (c) C. Lin, J. Zhang, Z. Chen, Y. Liu, Z. Liu and Y. H. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2016, 358, 1778–1793.
- 11 H.-W. Liang, W. Ding, K. Jiang, L. Shuai, Y. Yuan and Y. Wei, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 2764–2767; .
- 12 (a) L. Ackermann, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 281–295; (b) R. Chinchilla and C. Nájera, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 1783-1826.
- 13 J. P. Brand and J. Waser, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 4165– 4179.
- 14 (a) F. Besselievre and S. Piguel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9553–9556; (b) N. Matsuyama, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 4156–4159; (c) Y. Ano, M. Tobisu and N. Chatani, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12984–12986; (d) J. He, M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan and J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 3387–3390; (e) E. Brachet and P. Belmont, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 7519– 7529; (f) N. Sauermann, M. J. Gonzalez and L. Ackermann, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 5316–5319; (g) J. Zheng, L. Huang, Z. Li, W. Wu, J. Li and H. Jiang, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5894–5897; (h) Y.-J. Liu, Y.-H. Liu, S.-Y. Yan and B.-F. Shi, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6388–6391. Paper Week onto 2019, 112, 222, 223, 2019
	- 15 (a) J. P. Brand, J. Charpentier and J. Waser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9346–9349; (b) J. P. Brand and J. Waser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 7304–7307; (c) Z.-Z. Zhang, B. Liu, C.-Y. Wang and B.-F. Shi, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 4094–4097; (d) R. Boobalan, P. Gandeepan and C.-H. Cheng, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 3314–3317.
	- 16 (a) J. Gong and P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 4486–4487; (b) J. S. Xiang and P. L. Fuchs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 5269–5272.
- 17 C. Theunissen and G. Evano, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4488–4491.
- 18 (a) J. Kim, D. Kang, E. J. Yoo and P. H. Lee, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 35, 7902–7906; (b) K. Park and S. Lee, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 14165–14182.
- 19 (a) P. B. Berciano, S. Lebrequier, F. Besselievre and S. Piguel, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 4038–4041; (b) L. Ackermann, C. Kornhaass and Y. Zhu, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 1824–1826; (c) G. C. Reddy, P. Balasubramanyam, N. Salvanna and B. Das, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 471–474; (d) C. Shen, Y. Yang, Z. Liu and Y. Zhang, Synth. Commun., 2014, 44, 1970–1977;  $(e)$  A. Srivastava, L. Aggarwal and N. Jain,  $ACS$ Comb. Sci., 2015, 17, 39–48.
- 20 (a) G. Chelucci, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 1344–2146; (b) J. Aziz, T. Baladi and S. Piguel, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 4122–4133; (c) Y. Li, L. Cheng, Y. Shao, S. Jiang, J. Cai and N. Qing, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2015, 4325–4329; (d) W. Dai, H. Shi, X. Zhao and S. Cao, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 4284–4287; (e) R. T. Thornbury and F. D. Toste, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 11629–11632.
- 21 (a) Z. Huang, R. Shang, Z.-R. Zhang, X.-D. Tan, X. Xiao and Y. Fu, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 4551–4557; (b) L. Liu, Y. Lv, Y. Wu, X. Gao, Z. Zeng, Y. Gao, G. Tang and Y. Zhao, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 2322–2326; (c) A.-K. Morri, Y. Thummala and V.-R. Doddi, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 4640–4643; (d) M. Zhao, L. Ming, J. Tang and X. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 416–419.
- 22 (a) Q.-Q. Yan, Z.-K. Chen, W.-L. Yu, H. Yin, Z.-X. Liu and Y.-H. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 2482–2485; (b) X.-S. Wu, Y. Zhao and H.-B. Ge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1789– 1792; (c) J. Yi, L. Yang, C.-G. Xia and F.-W. Li, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 6213–6221; (d) V. G. Landge, G. Jaiswal and E. Balaraman, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 812–815; (e) W.-F. Song, S. Lackner and L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2477–2480; (f) Y.-J. Liu, Z.-Z. Zhang, S.-Y. Yan, Y.-H. Liu and B.-F. Shi, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 7899–7902; (g) B. Wang, C.-X. Lu, S.-Y. Zhang, G. He, W. A. Nack and G. Chen., Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 6260–6263.