




Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 32325

# Chemical bond parameters, bond energy and the local crystal sites of $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ in $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ phosphor

Yuhan Zhu, Yu Pan, Wenjun Wang, Haibing Xu, Liqun Zhou, Xiaoguang Liu\* and Ling Li \*

The local crystal sites occupied by  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor were investigated experimentally and theoretically.  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  was synthesized by high-temperature solid-state method in air. The crystal structure and optical properties of the phosphor were studied by X-ray powder diffraction and photoluminescence, respectively. Two different  $\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$  CT broad bands with the peaks at 266 and 283 nm in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  were detected, indicating the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  sites occupied Ca2 and Ca1, respectively. The different sharp f–f emission spectra under the excitation of 283 and 266 nm proved that there are two different local lattice environments around  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  existing in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ . Environmental factor  $h_e$ , the standard deviation of environmental factor (EFSD)  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  and the bond energy were used to illustrate and explain the site occupancy mechanism of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  into the host lattice. By comparing the intensity ratios of  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$  transition to the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$  transition,  $I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_2)/I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_1)$  of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  at Ca2 (7.381) was found to be 2.5 times stronger than that of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  at Ca1 site (2.933).  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  was calculated to analyze the  $I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_2)/I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_1)$  value. On the basis of the bond valence model, a bond-energy method was used to study the occupancy of the Eu ion, which indicated that the preferential sites of Eu ion occupancy in the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  are the Ca2 and Ca1 sites. All three theoretical calculation results are consistent with each other.

Received 28th July 2018  
 Accepted 3rd September 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra06357e

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## 1. Introduction

White LEDs have the advantages of high brightness, low energy consumption, long life, small size, no radiation, no pollution, etc. It is considered the new generation of green light sources. The two commonly used methods for implementing white LEDs are: blu-ray chip and YAG:Ce<sup>3+</sup> yellow phosphor combination, and near-ultraviolet LED chip combined with the trichromatic phosphor. However, the former lacks the red portion, resulting in a lower color rendering index (less than 80) and a higher color temperature (greater than 40), while the latter is less commercially available and lacks suitable red light materials.<sup>1–6</sup> Therefore, finding a suitable red luminescent material and improving the color rendering index has become an important issue in the development of trichromatic white LEDs. In the rare earth family,  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions are widely used in various fields of luminescent materials because they have good luminescence properties and can emit red fluorescence with good monochromaticity.<sup>7–9</sup> The luminescence of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  derived from the 4f<sup>6</sup> transition

consists of sharp peaks of the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ -doped inorganic compound in the red region.<sup>10–12</sup>

Rare earth ion-doped borate luminescent materials have high UV transparency, nonlinear characteristics, good stability and optical properties, and they are expected to become a promising fluorescent material, attracting more and more research worldwide.<sup>13–15</sup> Among the nonlinear optical crystal materials that have been found, ultraviolet and deep ultraviolet nonlinear optical crystals that have excellent performance are almost all borate compounds.  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  was first reported by Lei *et al.* in 1989. The crystal was also a new type of nonlinear optical crystal. The powder doubling effect was measured to be 2–3 KDP, and the transmission range was 190–3600 nm.<sup>16,17</sup> There are many published papers focusing on energy transfer and color-tunability of rare earth (e.g., Bi<sup>3+</sup>, Ce<sup>3+</sup>, Tb<sup>3+</sup>)-doped  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ .<sup>18,19</sup> There are three kinds of octahedrons surrounding the Ca ions that could be substituted by  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ . They have different covalence, average bond lengths, central ion coordination numbers, and charges of ligands in chemical bonds. These result in  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions with different photoluminescence properties. For the above reasons,  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  compound with Ca<sup>2+</sup> and B<sup>3+</sup> cationic sites can be selected as a host lattice, and  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions are used as a good activator. However, studies on the effects of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  on the CT properties and

Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Organochemical Materials, Ministry-of-Education Key Laboratory for the Synthesis and Applications of Organic Functional Molecules, Hubei University, Wuhan, Hubei, China. E-mail: lililing402431@hotmail.com; liuxiaoguang402@hotmail.com



the local crystal sites occupied by  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor are rarely reported.

The environmental factor ( $h_e$ ) was used to investigate the sites of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  based on the relationship between the CT bands of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and the crystal structure of the host lattice.  $h_e$  can be calculated using the complex crystal chemical bond theory. The smaller the  $h_e$ , the larger the charge transfer energy from  $\text{O}^{2-}$  to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ . Through the literature, we know that the PL intensity ratio between the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$  and  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$  transition of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  increases as the crystal distortion increases. The degree of distortion was calculated by the environmental factor standard deviation (EFSD)  $\sigma(h_e)$ . This can provide information on the Eu ion sites. At the same time, the bond energy theory can be used to discuss the site occupancy of dopants into the host. In previous papers, this method has been proven; for example, the site preferential occupancy for Eu in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7$ ,  $\text{Sr}_9\text{Gd}(\text{VO}_4)_7$  and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7/\text{Sr}_9\text{Gd}(\text{VO}_4)_7$ ,  $\text{CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$  phosphors,<sup>20</sup> as well as  $\text{Bi}^{2+}$  in  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$  crystals,<sup>21</sup> have been solved through the bond energy method. Furthermore, this method was also used in study of bond energy and preferential occupancy of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  doped in the  $\text{Ca}_{10}\text{M}(\text{PO}_4)_7$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}, \text{K}$ ) systems.<sup>22</sup>

In our work,  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  doped  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  was prepared by a high-temperature solid-state method. We firstly used the chemical bond parameters and analyzed the sites of Eu ion systematically based on the refined crystal structure parameters. Then, the site occupancy of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  was investigated by analyzing the luminescent properties and environmental factor  $h_e$  and the standard deviation of environmental factor (EFSD)  $\sigma(h_e)$  of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  when  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  replaced the different  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sites in the matrix. Finally, the bond energy method was applied to illustrate and explain the site occupation of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  into the host lattice.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Synthesis of material

$\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor was prepared by high-temperature solid-state reaction in air. The desired  $\text{CaF}_2$  (A.R., Macklin),  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$  (A.R., Sinopharm),  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (99.99%, Aladdin), and  $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3$  (99.99%, Aladdin) were weighed according to the stoichiometric ratio of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ , and the raw materials were placed in an agate mortar and ground for half an hour. The uniformly mixed raw materials were transferred to an alumina crucible, heated in a crucible calciner to  $1150^\circ\text{C}$ , and then kept for 4 h. After the sample was cooled to room temperature, it was ground into a powder to obtain the desired sample.

### 2.2 Material characterization

In this experiment, we used a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer to analyze the crystal structure of the prepared phosphor with Cu  $K\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ \AA}$ ) radiation and acceleration voltage of 30 kV. The scanning range was 5 to 80 degrees. The sample was detected by FLS980 fluorescence spectrometer to obtain the excitation spectrum and emission spectrum. The excitation source was a 450 W Xe lamp with a slit width of 2 nm

and a measured spectral range of 200 nm to 750 nm, with a resolution of 0.2 nm. The sample was tested at room temperature. The Rietveld structure refinement was performed using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)<sup>23</sup> software in order to determine the change of crystal structure.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Phase characterization and crystal analysis

Fig. 1 is an XRD pattern of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  sample. Comparing the sample diffraction pattern with the standard card, it was found that the diffraction peak data were basically consistent with the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  (ICSD-65763) card data, with a  $C1m1$  space group and a monoclinic crystal system. There is an impurity phase, namely,  $\text{Ca}_3\text{B}_2\text{O}_6$ . Fortunately, a very small amount of  $\text{Ca}_3\text{B}_2\text{O}_6$  has no effect on our system. Therefore, the substitution of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  into  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  did not change the structure of the crystal.

Fig. 2 shows the crystal structure of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ . There are three Ca ion coordination environments in this structure, namely, Ca1, Ca2 and Ca3. The anionic structural group of the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  crystal is a planar  $\text{BO}_3$  group. Both Ca and the surrounding anions form a  $\text{CaO}_4\text{X}_2$  ( $\text{X} = \text{F}$  or  $\text{O}$ ) octa-coordination, in which Ca(1) forms a  $\text{CaO}_5\text{F}$  octahedron with five O atoms and one F atom, and Ca(2) forms a distorted octahedral structure with six O atoms. Ca(3) is coordinated with two F atoms and four O atoms. These polyhedrons are connected to the  $\text{BO}_3$  group by sharing O atoms to form a three-dimensional space structure.

The crystal structure of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  (ICSD-65763) was used as the starting model for structure refinement. The Rietveld method refers to the point-by-point comparison of the calculated and measured values of the diffraction intensity of a crystal using a computer program, and the least squares method is used to adjust the parameters of the structural atoms and of the peak shape, so that the calculated peak shape is consistent with the measured peak shape. In the structural




Fig. 1 Observed, calculated and difference X-ray diffraction patterns of an  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (1%)-doped  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  phosphor (the inset gives [20–40]  $2\theta$  range).






Fig. 2 Crystal structure of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  and the coordination environment of Ca1, Ca2, and Ca3.

Table 1 Final crystallographic and refinement of parameter of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ ;  $E$  is the error between the original and refined value

Formula	$\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$	$E$
Space-group	$C1m1$	
$a/\text{\AA}$	8.132	0.003
$b/\text{\AA}$	16.054	0.003
$c/\text{\AA}$	3.542	0.003
$\alpha = \gamma$	$90^\circ$	0
$\beta$	$100.946^\circ$	0
$R_{\text{wp}}$	5.30%	
$R_{\text{p}}$	9.35%	
$\chi^2$	6.806	

refinement of this paper, based on the XRD peak of the existing  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  crystal and  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  standard card as the background, the set function is type 4, and about 30 terms were refined. Fig. 1 shows the observed, calculated and difference results for the Rietveld refinement of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor. The peak intensities and positions exhibited few differences between the experimental and calculated patterns. Crystallographic and refinement parameters are summarized in Table 1. The results show that almost all diffraction peaks can be directed to  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  with a monoclinic unit cell ( $C1m1$ ).

Table 2 Atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters ( $U_{\text{iso}}/\text{\AA}^2$ ) for  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ ;  $E$  is the error between the original and refined value

Name	$x$	$y$	$z$	$E_{\text{position}}$	Mult	Occ	$U_{\text{iso}}$	$E_{U_{\text{iso}}}$
Ca1	0.6501	0.1177	0.7786	0.013	4	1	0.0231	0.001
Ca2	0.0278	0.1796	0.4421	0.02	4	1	0.0145	0.002
Ca3	0.2583	0	0.0769	0.04	2	1	0.0283	0.02
F1	0.4691	0	-0.3287	0.03	2	1	0.0737	0.01
O1	0.8282	0.0743	0.3639	0.01	4	1	0.0603	0.002
O2	-0.001	0.3279	0.2448	0.018	4	1	0.0504	0.001
O3	0.0722	0	0.5116	0.024	2	1	0.0066	0.002
O4	0.829	0.2254	0.8459	0.049	4	1	0.0307	0.02
O5	0.2071	0.143	0.0125	0.02	4	1	0.0134	0.001
B1	0.8626	0.307	0.0036	0.014	4	1	0.0594	0.011
B2	0.9239	0	0.3499	0.006	2	1	-0.0113	0.003

The cell parameters  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $\beta$ , etc., are close to those of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  (ICSD-65763). The atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  phosphor are listed in Table 2. The above results show that the crystal structure data of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  simulated by refinement can be well matched with its experimental data.

### 3.2 Photoluminescence properties

Fig. 3(b) is an excitation spectrum of the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  sample at a monitoring wavelength of 633 nm, which is composed of a broad excitation band of 225–350 nm, derived from the charge transfer transition of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , where the strongest absorption peak is at 266 nm. The emission spectrum at an excitation wavelength of 266 nm is shown in Fig. 3(d), consisting of emission peaks at around 576, 592, 612, 652 and 708 nm, corresponding to  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_0$ ,  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$ ,  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ ,  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_3$ , and  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_4$  transitions of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions, respectively.<sup>24,25</sup> We can clearly see that the charge transfer transition peaks of samples O to Eu have a significant shift when the monitoring wavelengths are 576, 612, 619 and 633 nm, as shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). The two broadband peaks ( $\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$ ) are located at 266 and 283 nm, respectively, which means that the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions exhibit two lattice environments in the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  matrix. However, the excitation and emission spectra of Eu-doped  $\text{Ca}_3\text{B}_2\text{O}_6$  are completely different with our luminescence properties of Eu-doped  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ . The former shows an emission band peaking at 422 nm and excitation peaks at 362, 380 and 394 nm ( $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 616$  nm). These prove that the different luminescence of Eu is due to Eu occupying the different sites in the  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ .<sup>26</sup>

When the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ion deviates from the center of the inversion, due to the opposite parity configuration in the 4f configuration, the parity selection in the crystal is relaxed and the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$  electric dipole transition will occur. If the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ion is located in the non-inversion center, its emission spectrum will be dominated by the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$  electric dipole transition, and the emission spectrum will be around 610 nm. For the electronic transition of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ion, the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_0$  transition originally belongs to the forbidden transition. However, when it is in the ten symmetry positions of  $C_5$ ,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_6$ ,  $C_{2V}$ ,  $C_{3V}$ ,  $C_{4V}$  and  $C_{6V}$ ,  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_0$  transition emission will occur, and the emission






Fig. 3 (a) and (b) Excitation spectra under different monitoring wavelengths of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$ ; (c) and (d) emission spectra of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  under 266 and 283 nm excitation.

spectrum peak will be around 580 nm. A  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$  transition peak appears in each site.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, based on the number of the peaks, the number of occupied sites of the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ion crystals can be judged. Fig. 3(c) and (d) show the emission spectra of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  upon excitation at 266 nm and 283 nm, respectively. Their peaks locate at 576 nm, which is due to  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$  transition of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions. Each  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$  transition peak corresponds to a lattice. Two  $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$  peaks, A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub>, were found in Fig. 3(c) and (d), which indicate that  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  doping in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  has two sites.<sup>28–30</sup> The data are shown in Table 3 for the luminescent levels of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  upon 266 and 283 nm excitation.

Since CT energy is susceptible to the central ionic environment, it can be quantitatively expressed by using environmental factors ( $h_e$ ).  $h_e$  consists of four chemical bond parameters: the bond volume polarization ( $\alpha_b^\mu$ ), the covalency ( $f_c^\mu$ ), the coordination number (C. N.) and the presented charge of the ligand ( $Q_B^\mu$ ). Its calculation formula is as follows:<sup>31</sup>

$$h_e = \left( \sum_{\mu} f_c^\mu \alpha_b^\mu Q_B^{\mu 2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

where  $f_c^\mu$  represents the covalent value of  $\mu$  type bond,  $Q_B^\mu$  is the charge exhibited by the nearest anion and  $\alpha_b^\mu$  stands for the polarizability of the  $\mu$ -type chemical bond volume.

The four chemical bond parameters, with any change, will cause a shift in the CT bands. As  $h_e$  increases, the CT energy decreases, which means that the CT bands will produce a red shift. In Table 4, we can see that the  $h_e$  values of Ca1, Ca2, and Ca3 are 0.6397, 0.7439, and 0.3514, respectively. Therefore, it can be known that Eu will occupy the Ca1 and Ca2 sites, and at the same time, the O–Eu1 CT band at the Ca1 site corresponds to the peak at 266 nm and the O–Eu2 CT band at Ca2 corresponds to the position at 283 nm.

Obviously, the intensity of f–f transitions is affected by the crystal environment because of the different symmetry of the doping ions occupying the host sites. When the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  is doped into  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ , the Eu ions occupy the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sites, wherefore,

Table 3 The data for the luminescent levels of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  upon 266 and 283 nm excitation

Energy level transition	The location of the peak (nm) ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 266 \text{ nm}$ )	Intensity	The location of the peak (nm) ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 283 \text{ nm}$ )	Intensity
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$	576		576	
	583		581	
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$	592	233 668.172	592	149 367.984
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$	612	685 254.813	618	1 102 500
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_3$	652		651	
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_4$	708		708	
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$		2.933		7.381
$^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$				






**Table 4** The chemical bond parameters, environmental factor  $h_e$ , the experimental  $O^2 \rightarrow Eu^{3+}$  charge transfer band, the environmental factor of any individual bond ( $h_{e_i}$ ) and their standard deviation of the Ca–O environmental factors  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$

Central ion	Bond type	Distance (Å)	$f_c^\mu$	$\alpha_b^\mu$	$Q_B^\mu$	C.N.	$h_e$	$(h_{e_i})$	$\sigma(h_{e_i})$	O–Eu charge transfer peak
Ca1/Eu	Ca1–O1	2.3556	0.1160	0.4491	1.3333	1	0.6397	0.3043	0.1607	266 nm
	Ca1–O1	2.3960	0.1151	0.4819	1.3333	1		0.3140		
	Ca1–O2	2.2283	0.1191	0.3569	1.3333	1		0.2748		
	Ca1–O2	2.4020	0.1150	0.4870	1.3333	1		0.3155		
	Ca1–O4	2.2428	0.1187	0.3666	1.3333	1		0.2781		
	Ca1–F	2.3810	0.0258	0.2053	1.3333	1		0.0970		
Ca2/Eu	Ca2–O1	2.3237	0.1167	0.4244	1.3333	1	0.7439	0.2967	0.0184	283 nm
	Ca2–O2	2.4795	0.1135	0.5557	1.3333	1		0.3348		
	Ca2–O4	2.4653	0.1137	0.5426	1.3333	1		0.3312		
	Ca2–O4	2.5136	0.1129	0.5882	1.3333	1		0.3436		
	Ca2–O5	2.3311	0.1165	0.4300	1.3333	1		0.2984		
	Ca2–O5	2.3726	0.1156	0.4627	1.3333	1		0.3083		
Ca3/Eu	Ca3–O3	2.2691	0.1050	0.3493	1.0000	1	0.3514	0.1915	0.0520	
	Ca3–O3	2.3551	0.1031	0.4080	1.0000	1		0.2051		
	Ca3–O5	2.3363	0.1056	0.2512	0.6667	1		0.1086		
	Ca3–O5	2.3371	0.1056	0.2515	1.0000	1		0.1630		
	Ca3–F	2.4366	0.0257	0.2289	1.0000	1		0.0767		
	Ca3–F	2.4497	0.0257	0.2347	1.0000	1		0.0777		

the f–f transition relative intensity is mainly affected by the  $Ca^{2+}$  symmetry. The different  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_J$  transition intensities of  $Eu^{3+}$  depend on the local symmetry of the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion crystal field. The  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$  transition is sensitive, while the  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$  transition is stable to the crystal field environment. For example, when the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion is in a site with a strict inversion center, it will be dominated by the allowable  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$  magnetic dipole transition, and the emission spectrum is around 590 nm, which is orange light. When the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion is in the site away from the

inversion center, the parity selection in the crystal is relaxed, and a  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$  electric dipole transition will occur; the emission spectrum is around 610 nm, emitting red light. If the intensity of the  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$  transition is much higher than the intensity of  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$ , the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion mainly occupies the non-inversion symmetry of the lattice. It is known that the PL intensity ratio between  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2$  and  $^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1$  transition of  $Eu^{3+}$  increases as the crystal distortion increases. The degree of distortion can be calculated by using the standard deviation of



**Fig. 4** The coordination environment mechanism of Ca1 (or Eu1), Ca2 (or Eu2), and Ca3 (or Eu3) in  $Ca_5(BO_3)_3F:1\% Eu$ .



Table 5 Required  $d_0$  and  $J$  values

Ions	$d_0$ (Å)	$J$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )
Ca <sup>2+</sup> -O <sup>2-</sup>	1.967	126.60
Ca <sup>2+</sup> -F <sup>-</sup>	1.842	187.03
B <sup>3+</sup> -O <sup>2-</sup>	1.371	126.30
Eu <sup>3+</sup> -F <sup>-</sup>	2.056	163.35
Eu <sup>3+</sup> -O <sup>2-</sup>	2.074	109.40

environmental factor (EFSD) $\sigma(h_{e_i})$ ,<sup>22,32</sup> which can be calculated as below:

$$\sigma(h_{e_i}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (h_{e_i} - \delta)^2} \quad (2)$$

where

$$h_{e_i} = (f_c^\mu \alpha_b^\mu)^{1/2} Q_B^\mu \quad (3)$$

and

$$\delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N h_{e_i} \quad (4)$$

The related chemical parameters of the covalency  $f_c^\mu$ , the present charge of the ligand in the binary crystals, and the polarizability of the chemical bond volume  $\alpha_b^\mu$  are shown in Table 4. On the basis of the eqn (2)–(4), their standard deviation for the six Ca–O environmental factors ( $\sigma(h_{e_i})$ ) of Ca1O<sub>5</sub>F,

Ca<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, and Ca<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub> polyhedrons in Ca<sub>5</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F:1% Eu can be calculated to be 0.1607, 0.0184 and 0.052, respectively. Generally, the  $I(^5D_0/{}^7F_2)/I(^5D_0/{}^7F_1)$  value of Eu<sup>3+</sup> increases with increasing  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$ . The  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  value of Ca1O<sub>5</sub>F in Ca<sub>5</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F:1% Eu is larger than that of Ca<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. By comparison, the intensity ratio of <sup>5</sup>D<sub>0</sub> → <sup>7</sup>F<sub>2</sub> transition to the <sup>5</sup>D<sub>0</sub> → <sup>7</sup>F<sub>1</sub> transition of Eu<sup>3+</sup> at Ca2 (7.381) is 2.5 times stronger than that of Eu<sup>3+</sup> at Ca1 site (2.933). Therefore, the  $I(^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_2)/I(^5D_0 \rightarrow {}^7F_1)$  of Eu<sup>3+</sup> in the Ca1O<sub>5</sub>F site is stronger than that in the Ca<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub> site. Ca1 and Ca2 correspond to excitations at 283 nm and 266 nm, respectively. However, this is contrary to the conclusion drawn in Table 4. In ideal state, the order of  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  is in Fig. 4. When Eu<sup>3+</sup> is doped into Ca<sub>5</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F, part of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions must be occupied by Eu<sup>3+</sup>, so the local environment of Eu<sup>3+</sup> must be changed in order to keep the conservation of charge in Fig. 4(a) and (b). At this time, one F of the sub-stationary [(Eu1)O<sub>5</sub>F]\* and [(Eu3)O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>]\* will be replaced by an O to maintain their own stability; [(Eu2)O<sub>6</sub>]\* will receive an F, and it will eventually become a 7-coordinated environment in Fig. 4(c). In summary, we can conclude that the Ca2 distortion degree should be greater than that of Ca1. Therefore, Ca1 and Ca2 correspond to excitations at 266 nm and 283 nm, respectively, consistent with the results obtained in Table 4.

### 3.3 Chemical bond energy calculation

From the point of view of matched valence, the bond energy of Eu<sup>3+</sup> into the Ca<sub>5</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F phosphor can be estimated by the following equation,<sup>20,33</sup>

Table 6 The bond parameters of the central atom and values of bond energy when Eu<sup>3+</sup> locates at Ca and B sites in Ca<sub>5</sub>(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>F. All of the bond energy units are kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>

Central atom	Coordination atom	Count	$d$ (Å)	$E_{M-O}$	$E_{Eu^{3+}-O^{2-}}^M$	$\Delta E_{Eu^{3+}-O^{2-}}^M$
Ca1	O2	1×	2.2283	62.4781	48.0633	11.0351
	O4	1×	2.2428	60.0770	46.2162	
	O1	1×	2.3556	44.2901	34.0716	
	O1	1×	2.3960	39.7088	30.5473	
	O2	1×	2.4020	39.0701	30.0559	
	F1	1×	2.3810	41.3517	31.8111	
	Ca2	O1	1×	2.3237	48.2781	
O2		1×	2.4795	31.6867	46.2162	
O4		1×	2.4653	32.9264	34.0716	
O4		1×	2.5136	28.8969	30.5473	
O5		1×	2.3311	47.3221	30.0559	
O5		1×	2.3726	42.3012	31.8111	
Ca3		O3	1×	2.2691	55.9549	37.1394
	O3	1×	2.3551	44.3500	24.3760	
	O5	1×	2.3363	46.6617	25.3297	
	O5	1×	2.3371	46.5609	22.2299	
	F1	1×	2.4366	35.5821	36.4041	
	F1	1×	2.4497	34.3444	32.5416	
	B1	O4	1×	1.4296	107.8004	734.209
O5		1×	1.5032	88.35499	707.712	
O2		1×	1.3091	149.2999	687.725	
B2	O1	1×	1.4297	107.7712	624.1322	636.8898
	O1	1×	1.4304	107.5676	622.9525	
	O3	1×	1.2329	183.4429	1062.3664	



$$E_{M-O} = J \exp\left(\frac{d_0 - d_{M-O}}{0.37}\right) \left(\frac{V_N}{V_M}\right) \quad (5)$$

where  $V_M$  presents the dopant valence and  $V_N$  stands for the valence state of N. When the pure  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  phosphor does not have any dopant, the value of  $V_N/V_M$  is 1. This indicates that the valence state has no effect on the bond energy. If  $V_N/V_M$  is not equal to 1, it implies that the valence state effectively affects the crystal bond energy. Consequently, the effect of different valence states of the dopant on the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  site bond energy can be quantitatively described through eqn (5). The  $J$  and  $d_0$  values are given in the report of Li;<sup>34</sup>  $d_{M-O}$  represents the bond length between atoms M and O. Required  $d_0$  and  $J$  value tables are in Table 5. When Eu enters  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ , the bond energy difference is calculated by the following equation:

$$\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}} = |E_{M-O} - E_{\text{Eu-O}}| \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}}$  is the bond energy difference when the Eu ion locates at the site of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . As previously reported, we can know that the dopants preferentially occupy a site where the bond energy difference is small ( $\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}}$ ), that is, a site having a smaller absolute value of  $\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}}$ .<sup>20</sup>

According to the detailed crystallographic data from a pure  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  phosphor (longer Ca–O bonds of 1.967 Å; shorter B–O bonds of 1.371 Å; longer Ca–F bonds of 1.842 Å), all calculated  $E_{M-O}$  and  $\Delta E_{M-O}$  values of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  on both  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{B}^{3+}$  sites are shown in Table 6. The corresponding occupancies of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  are summarized, according to the calculated  $\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}}$  values. Dopants preferentially occupy the sites where the bond energy difference ( $\Delta E_{M-O}^{\text{Eu}}$ ) is smaller; that is,  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions preferentially occupy  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sites if  $|\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca}}| < |\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{B}}|$ ; they preferentially occupy  $\text{B}^{3+}$  sites if  $|\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca}}| > |\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{B}}|$ , otherwise.

There are three  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sites and two  $\text{B}^{3+}$  sites in the structure of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ . On the basis of the bond energy method, the values of  $\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca}}$  and  $\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{B}}$  have been listed in Table 6. The order of difference of bond energy that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{B}^{3+}$  ions are replaced by  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  is  $\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca2}} < \Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca1}} < \Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca3}} \ll \Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{B1}} < \Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{B2}}$ , which means that  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  preferentially replaces Ca2 and Ca1. According to our calculation,  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  preferentially occupies Ca2 and Ca1 sites, which is consistent with the environmental factor, the  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  analysis and PL spectrum.

## 4. Conclusions

The photoluminescence of  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  shows that the excitation spectrum consists of some broadband from the  $\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$  charge transfer (CT) band and some sharp emission peaks derived from the f–f transition of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ . Two different  $\text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{3+}$  CT broad bands with the peaks at 266 and 283 nm in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}:1\% \text{Eu}^{3+}$  can be assigned to the  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  sites occupying Ca1 and Ca2, respectively. Two  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_0$  peaks,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , were found, which implies that  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  doped in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  has two sites. According to the dielectric theory of the crystal, the important chemical bonds such as the polarizability, the covalency and the environmental factor were quantitatively calculated. When  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions occupy the Ca1, Ca2 and Ca3 sites of

$\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$ , their environmental factors are 0.6397, 0.7439 and 0.3514, respectively. The intensity ratio of  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$  transition to the  ${}^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$  transition of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  at Ca2 (7.381) is 2.5 times stronger than that of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  at Ca1 site (2.933). The calculated ideal  $\sigma(h_{e_i})$  showed that the  $I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_2)/I({}^5\text{D}_0/{}^7\text{F}_1)$  value at Eu1 is larger than that at Eu2 site. The local nonequivalence substitution distortion model was proposed to explain the result. The smaller deviation value of the bond energy method of  $\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca2}}$  and  $\Delta E_{\text{Eu-O}}^{\text{Ca1}}$  showed that the preferential sites of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ion occupancy in  $\text{Ca}_5(\text{BO}_3)_3\text{F}$  are Ca2 and Ca1. All of results are consistent with each other. The three theoretical methods provide us a new strategy to study the occupancy of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ -doped inorganic compounds.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Grant no. 21301053 and 21571165) and Hubei Natural Science Foundations from Science and Technology Department of Hubei Province (2018CFB517).

## Notes and references

- 1 D. Liu, Y. Jin, Y. Lv, G. Ju, C. Wang, L. Chen, W. Luo and Y. Hu, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1111/jace.15877, ahead of print.
- 2 H.-W. Wei, X.-M. Wang, H. Jiao and X.-P. Jing, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **726**, 22–29.
- 3 H. Xu, L. Wang, L. Tan, D. Wang, C. Wang and J. Shi, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2018, **101**, 3414–3423.
- 4 R. Wei, L. Wang, F. Hu, X. Li, X. Peng, Y. Shi, H. Guo and J. Qiu, *J. Lumin.*, 2018, **197**, 291–296.
- 5 A. K. Pal, S. Som and C.-H. Lu, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, **44**, 18256–18263.
- 6 Y. Miao, K. Wang, L. Gao, B. Zhao, H. Wang, F. Zhu, B. Xu and D. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 8122–8134.
- 7 P. Chen, D. Yang, W. Hu, J. Zhang and Y. Wu, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **689**, 169–173.
- 8 Z. Xie, W. Zhou, W. Zhao, H. Zhang, Q. Hu and X. Xu, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **685**, 177–184.
- 9 T. S. Sreena, P. P. Rao, A. K. V. Raj and T. R. A. Thara, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **751**, 148–158.
- 10 G. Li, Y. Wei, W. Long and G. Xu, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2017, **95**, 86–94.
- 11 A. Kruopyte, R. Giraitis, R. Juskenas, D. Ensling, T. Justel and A. Katelnikovas, *J. Lumin.*, 2017, **192**, 520–526.
- 12 D. Kumar, B. P. Singh, M. Srivastava, A. Srivastava, P. Singh, A. Srivastava and S. K. Srivastava, *J. Lumin.*, 2018, **203**, 507–514.
- 13 K. Das, A. Marathe, X. Zhang, Z. Zhao and J. Chaudhuri, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 95055–95061.



- 14 A. Huang, Z. Yang, C. Yu, Z. Chai, J. Qiu and Z. Song, *Mater. Lett.*, 2016, **185**, 440–442.
- 15 P. Chen, F. Mo, A. Guan, R. Wang, G. Wang, S. Xia and L. Zhou, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 2016, **108**, 148–153.
- 16 G. Chen, Y. Wu and P. Fu, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 2006, **292**, 449–453.
- 17 N. Kozhaya, M. Ferriol, M. Cochez, M. Aillerie and A. Maillard, *Opt. Mater.*, 2011, **33**, 1621–1625.
- 18 X. Li, P. Li, Z. Wang, S. Liu, Q. Bao, X. Meng, K. Qiu, Y. Li, Z. Li and Z. Yang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 8792–8803.
- 19 L. Yi, J. Zhang, Z. Qiu, W. Zhou, L. Yu and S. Lian, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 67125–67133.
- 20 L. Li, W. Wang, Y. Pan, Y. Zhu, X. Liu, H. M. Noh, B. K. Moon, B. C. Choi and J. H. Jeong, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 1191–1202.
- 21 L. Li, J. Cao, B. Viana, S. Xu and M. Peng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 6499–6506.
- 22 W. Wang, Y. Pan, Y. Zhu, H. Xu, L. Zhou, X. Liu, L. Li, H. M. Noh and J. H. Jeong, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 6507–6518.
- 23 B. H. Toby, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2001, **34**, 210–213.
- 24 Y. Zhang, A. Abraha, R. Zhang, T. Shahbazyan, M. Fadavi, E. Heydari and Q. Dai, *Opt. Mater.*, 2018, **84**, 115–122.
- 25 W. You, Z. Xiao, F. Lai, X. Ye, C. Wang and H. Jiang, *Zhongguo Xitu Xuebao*, 2016, **34**, 11–16.
- 26 M. Shi, C. Zhu, M. Lu, X. Meng and M. Wei, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1111/jace.15782, ahead of print.
- 27 J. B. Gruber, U. Vetter, T. Taniguchi, G. W. Burdick, H. Hofsaess, S. Chandra and D. K. Sardar, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2011, **110**, 023104.
- 28 L. Zhou, J. Shi and M. Gong, *J. Lumin.*, 2005, **113**, 285–290.
- 29 P. A. Tanner, Y. Y. Yeung and L. Ning, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 2771–2781.
- 30 Y. Zhang, Q. Xiao, H. He, J. Zhang, G. Dong, J. Han and J. Qiu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 10140–10145.
- 31 L. Li, X. Liu, H. M. Noh, S. H. Park, J. H. Jeong and K. H. Kim, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2015, **620**, 324–328.
- 32 L. Li, Y. Pan, W. Wang, W. Zhang, Z. Wen, X. Leng, Q. Wang, L. Zhou, H. Xu, Q. Xia, L. Liu, H. Xiang and X. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **726**, 121–131.
- 33 Y. He and D. Xue, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 13238–13243.
- 34 L. Li, Y. Pan, W. Wang, Y. Zhu, W. Zhang, H. Xu, L. Zhou and X. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **731**, 496–503.

