Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 3360

Received 20th December 2017 Accepted 5th February 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c7sc05395a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Catalysis plays a pivotal role in molecular and macromolecular C-C bond forming chemistry. The development of comparable reactions for the catenation of other p-block elements, however, has proceeded at a markedly slower pace. Nonetheless, the identification of useful target main group molecules and materials over the past decade has prompted significant progress in the field.¹ For example, catalytic dehydrocoupling/ dehydrogenation of amine-boranes has become an area of widespread interest, largely motivated by potential applications in hydrogen storage² and transfer,³ and the formation of novel ceramic thin films and polymeric materials.^{1,4} The latter can be regarded as BN analogues of polyolefins, but with distinct properties and possible applications, for example as piezoelectrics and precursors to boron-based solid state materials.⁴ Consequently, a wide variety of catalyst systems have been developed to promote the dehydrogenation of amine-boranes in general, with the vast majority based on mid to late transition metals (e.g. Re,⁵ Fe,⁶ Ru,⁷ Rh,^{4e,8} Ir^{4c,9} and Ni¹⁰).¹¹ With regards to the dehydropolymerisation of primary amine-boranes using Brookhart's catalyst, $[IrH_2(POCOP)]$ (POCOP = 2,6-bis(di-tertbutylphosphinito)benzene),12 our group has reported the

Titel Jurca, Theresa Dellermann, D Naomi E. Stubbs, Diego A. Resendiz-Lara, George R. Whittell* and Ian Manners*

Precatalysts active for the dehydropolymerisation of primary amine–boranes are generally based on mid or late transition metal. We have found that the activity of the precatalyst system formed from $Cp^{R}_{2}TiCl_{2}$ and 2nBuLi towards the dehydrogenation of the secondary amine–borane $Me_{2}NH\cdot BH_{3}$, to yield the cyclic diborazane $[Me_{2}N-BH_{2}]_{2}$, increases dramatically with increasing electron-donating character of the cyclopentadienyl rings (Cp^{R}) . Application of the most active precatalyst system $(Cp^{R} = \eta - C_{5}Me_{5})$ to the primary amine–borane $MeNH_{2}\cdot BH_{3}$ enabled the first synthesis of high molar mass poly(*N*-methylaminoborane), $[MeNH-BH_{2}]_{n}$, the BN analogue of polypropylene, by an early transition metal such as catalyst. Significantly, unlike other dehydropolymerization precatalyst system was also active towards a range of substrates including BzNH_2 · BH_3 (Bz = benzyl) yielding high molar mass polymer. Moreover, in contrast to the late transition metal catalysed dehydropolymerisation of MeNH_2 · BH_3 and also the Ziegler–Natta polymerisation of olefins, studies indicate that the Ti-catalyzed dehydropolymerization reactions proceed by a step-growth rather than a chain-growth mechanism.

formation of high molar mass ($M_n > 50\ 000\ g\ mol^{-1}$) [MeNH– BH₂]_n (5) from MeNH₂·BH₃ (4).^{4a,e} Other middle to late metal catalysts, such as [CpFe(CO)₂]₂,^{6b} [Rh(Ph₂P(CH₂)₄PPh₂)]⁺,⁴ⁱ and [Rh(κ^2 -*P*,*P*-xantphos){ η^2 -H₂B(CH₂CH₂^tBu)·NMe₃}]⁺,^{4e,8e} have also been shown to be effective in this role, and in certain cases key mechanistic information has been elucidated. These polymerisations thus appear to proceed by a chain-growth coordination-insertion mechanism.^{1e,4c,e} Metal-free routes involving free, transient aminoborane monomers have also been recently reported, but remain mechanistically unclear.^{4g,13}

In addition to our report of $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$ (ref. 6b) as an example of an earth abundant transition metal catalyst, we also described the use of the group 4 metallocene precatalysts Cp2- $TiCl_2$ (6a vide infra)/two equiv. of nBuLi or $Cp_2Ti(PMe_3)_2$ as reasonably efficient dehydrocoupling catalysts for the secondary amine-borane $Me_2NH \cdot BH_3$ (1), yielding the cyclodiborazane [Me₂N-BH₂]₂ (3) (Scheme 1).¹⁴ Others^{11b,15,16} have also reported the use of neutral Ti^{II} and Zr^{II}, and also cationic Zr^{IV} precatalysts for the dehydrocoupling of **1**. From these studies, two general reaction mechanisms have been proposed. Compound 1 may react with the active catalyst to form Me₂N=BH₂ as the intermediate, which then dimerizes to afford 3 in an off-metal process,^{15,17} as shown for late transition metal catalyst systems.1c,2a,10b,18 Alternatively, 1 may be dehydrocoupled to form the linear diborazane Me2NH-BH2-NMe2- $BH_3(2)$ as the intermediate, which then yields 3 in a subsequent on-metal, ring-closing dehydrogenation step and indicates a rather different mechanism.14,19 Our group has also reported

Open Access Article. Published on 06 March 2018. Downloaded on 6/14/2025 1:10:47 AM.

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, UK. E-mail: Ian.Manners@bristol.ac.uk

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7sc05395a

Step-growth titanium-catalysed dehydropolymerisation of amine-boranes†



Scheme 1 Titanocene-catalysed dehydrocoupling of $Me_2NH \cdot BH_3$ (1) to give $[Me_2N-BH_2]_2$ (3).

the preparation of paramagnetic Ti^{III} species related to the catalytic reaction,²⁰ and identified the Ti^{III} -amido-borane complex [Cp₂Ti(NMe₂BH₃)] (**6b**, *vide infra*) as being more active than either [**6a** + 2*n*BuLi], or Cp₂Ti(PMe₃)₂ for the dehydrocoupling of **1** to give 3 (*via* 2).²¹ To date, however, the polymerisation of the primary amine-borane MeNH₂·BH₃ using a catalyst system based on an early transition metals such as Ti or Zr has not be observed.

Herein, we report structure-correlated kinetic studies of different titanium based precatalyst systems for the dehydrogenation of the secondary amine-borane $Me_2NH \cdot BH_3$ (1), and based on these results, the first successful dehydropolymerisation of primary amine-boranes, yielding high molecular weight polyaminoboranes, that proceeds by a step-growth rather than a chain-growth mechanism.

Results

Dehydrogenation of N,N-dimethyl amine-borane

Our initial investigations were based on the influence of cyclopentadienyl ligand substitution on the activity of a series of twocomponent precatalysts, which were formed by $\text{Cp}_2^{\text{R}}\text{TiCl}_2$ and 2nBuLi. We therefore explored the dehydrocoupling of amineborane **1** (1 M in toluene) mediated by 2 mol% of [**6c**-**e** + 2nBuLi] at 22 °C in toluene. Previously reported precatalysts [**6a** + 2nBuLi]¹¹ and Ti(m) species **6b** (ref. 21) were also investigated under identical conditions for comparative purposes (Chart 1), as well as the reaction of [**6e** + 2nBuLi] in THF. All reactions were conducted in sealed J. Young NMR tubes, and monitored



Chart 1 Ti-based amine-borane dehydrocoupling/dehydropolymerisation precatalyst components **6a–e**.

by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy.^{22a} Rapid initial conversion of **1** $(\delta^{11}B - 13.8 \text{ ppm})$ to linear diborazane **2** $(\delta^{11}B 1.6 \text{ ppm})$ (internal BH₂), -13.8 ppm (terminal BH₃)) was detected, followed by slower subsequent conversion of **2** to cyclodiborazane **3** $(\delta^{11}B 4.9 \text{ ppm})$, presumably with concomitant release of H₂. The compounds (Me₂N)₂BH $(\delta^{11}B 28.4 \text{ ppm})$ and Me₂N=BH₂ $(\delta^{11}B 37.4 \text{ ppm})$ were also identified in the reaction mixture, but in very minor amounts (Fig. S1–S6†). All chemical shifts and coupling constants for the products were consistent with those reported in the literature.^{5b,15}

Precatalyst [6a + 2nBuLi] resulted in the slowest conversion to 3, only reaching high (>90%) conversion after 690 min.^{22b} Switching to precatalysts $6b^{20}$ and [6c + 2nBuLi] resulted in an increased reaction rate, with reaction completion at 390 and 420 min, respectively. Most significantly, reactions with precatalysts [6d/6e + 2nBuLi] proceeded at a substantially faster rate, reaching complete conversion to 3 after 180 min for 6d, and remarkably, in under 30 min in the case of 6e (Fig. 1 and S6[†]). A change in solvent from toluene to THF for **6e** results in nearly no conversion of 1 after 12 h, despite the latter being a better solvent for 1. This reduction in activity is therefore most probably caused by coordination of the solvent to the active site of the catalyst (Fig. S7[†]). The observed difference between 6d and 6e is particularly informative, as these ligands are effectively isosteric as indicated by the similar coordination gap aperture (cga) values of *ca*. 58 and 55°, respectively.²³ In addition to influencing the rate and strength of substrate bonding, this feature would also be expected to similarly affect the existence of any off-cycle dimerization, or the formation of an "tucked-in complex".24 They do, however, exhibit different electronic properties, as shown through IR spectroscopy of the corresponding $[Cp^{R}Fe(CO)_{2}]_{2}$ complexes $(\nu(CO) \text{ for } [Cp^{R}Fe(CO)_{2}]_{2} =$ 1762, 1938 and 1755, 1922 cm⁻¹ for Cp^R = *t*BuC₅H₄ and C₅Me₅, respectively).25 This result strongly suggests that the trend of increasing reaction rate from 6a-e is most probably a consequence of the increasing electron-donating character of the Cp^R ligands rather than any steric factor.

For the most active precatalyst [**6e** + 2nBuLi], this translated to a turnover frequency (TOF) of 141 h⁻¹ (based on 45% conversion to 3 after 5 min, see Table S1†) and this value is in



Fig. 1 Reaction profiles for the formation of **3** from the catalytic dehydrocoupling of **1** with precatalysts [2 mol% **6**a,**c**-**f** + 2nBuLi], and **6**b as monitored by ¹¹B{¹H} (96 MHz, toluene- d_{R}) NMR spectroscopy.

the range (35–420 h⁻¹) reported for the conversion of **1** to **3** by isolable Cp_2^R Ti precatalysts.¹⁵ Increased reaction rates were also reported for these species on incorporation of electron donating SiMe₃ groups on Cp^R , however, the disambiguation of the role of steric and electronic effects was not possible. Nonetheless, dehydrocoupling with precatalysts [**6a,c-e** + 2*n*BuLi] and **6b** proceeded *via* linear diborazane **2** rather than Me₂N=BH₂ as the major intermediate, which differs from that reported for the isolable Ti^{II} precatalysts (Scheme 1).

Dehydropolymerisation of primary amine-boranes

Prompted by the high activity of precatalysts [6d/6e + 2nBuLi]towards 1 we endeavoured to test them towards the dehydropolymerisation of primary amine-borane 4. Preliminary kinetic studies were conducted with ca. 2 mol% catalyst in toluene solution at 22 °C in sealed J. Young NMR tubes, and the reactions were monitored by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S8, S9[†]). For the reaction of [6d + 2nBuLi] with 4 the spectra show the instant formation of polyaminoborane 5 ($\delta^{11}B$ –6.1 ppm, and -18 ppm assigned to the end-group) as well as the presence of (MeNH)₂BH, 9 (δ¹¹B 27.7 ppm), which formed presumably via redistribution of amine-borane 4. Simultaneously, [MeNH- BH_2]₃, 7 ($\delta^{11}B$ –5.8 ppm) could be detected, which was further dehydrogenated forming [MeN-BH]₃ 8 (δ^{11} B 32.5 ppm) after *ca.* 5 h (product assignment based on the literature, see Fig. S8[†]).^{4a,c,6b} Unreacted amine-borane 4 was still present in the reaction mixture even after 23 h. On the other hand [6e + 2nBuLi] led to a complete consumption of 4 after ca. 8 h and formation of predominantly polymer 5 and byproducts 7 (95% combined for 5 and 7, as the peaks were unresolvable in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum), 8 (4%) and 9 (minimal amounts) (Fig. S9 and S10[†]).²⁶ It is noteworthy that in this case 7 and 8 are the only species observed after ca. 1 h. Based on these promising results we focused the remainder of our dehydropolymerisation studies on precatalyst [6e + 2nBuLi] (Scheme 2).

Catalytic dehydropolymerisation reactions of 4 were focused on the isolation and characterisation of polymer 5 with precatalyst [6e + 2nBuLi] and conducted in toluene (1.5 M in substrate) at 22 °C. To optimize the conditions for the formation of the high molecular weight polyaminoborane 5, variable catalyst loadings from 0.4–7 mol% were screened initially at



Scheme 2 Catalytic dehydropolymerisation of 4 with precatalyst [6e + 2nBuLi] to give 5 and byproducts 7–9.

both 8 h and 16 h (see Scheme 2 and Fig. S11-S18[†]). After precipitation of the reaction mixture into cold hexanes and removal of both the soluble catalyst and byproducts, all reactions led to the isolation of white polymeric 5 (with yields of 53-72% limited by the above-mentioned side reactions), which was characterised by ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy and Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC). A steady increase in molar mass (M_n) and a concomitant decrease in polydispersity index (PDI = M_w / $M_{\rm n}$) was observed with increasing catalyst loading. Consistent with the former was the decreasing intensity of the end-group resonance (δ^{11} B ca. -18 ppm) with respect to that of the main-chain (δ^{11} B ca. -6 ppm) in the ¹¹B NMR spectra (Fig. S11-S18[†]). This observation served to confirm the original assignment, and in combination with the absence of any well-resolved coupling in the corresponding proton-coupled spectra, suggests the lack of significant amounts of unreacted 4. Increased reaction times (from 8 h to 16 h) only served to afford polyaminoboranes with lower M_n values (see Fig. 2, and Table S2[†]). The optimal conditions for the formation of 5 involved 7 mol% [6e + 2nBuLi] and 8 h reaction time, yielding polymer with $M_n =$ 54 000 g mol⁻¹ (PDI = 1.6).



Fig. 2 Graphical representation of molar mass (M_n and M_w in g mol⁻¹) of 5 obtained from the reactions of 4 with precatalyst [6e + 2*n*BuLi] as a function of catalyst loading (0.4–7 mol%) and reaction time (8 h and 16 h) at 22 °C.

To extend the substrate scope of the dehydropolymerisation reaction, the N-benzyl (Bz) substituted amine-borane $BzNH_2 \cdot BH_3$ (10a) was reacted under previously optimised conditions, yielding a white, sparingly soluble precipitate (Scheme 3).27 GPC analysis of the THF-soluble fraction indicated the presence of high molar mass polymer **11a** with $M_n =$ 101 700 g mol⁻¹ (PDI = 1.15) (Fig. S28[†]). Further studies carried out on the dehydropolymerisation reaction showed the formation of byproducts 12a, 13a and 14a after approximately 1 h, which is analogous to the results for the dehydropolymerisation 4 (Fig. S20[†]). Surprisingly, previous attempts to of dehydropolymerise this substrate using the well-established Ir catalyst [IrH₂(POCOP)], skeletal nickel or [Rh(COD)Cl]₂ have been unsuccessful and showed no reaction. These results encouraged us to perform similar dehydropolymerisation



Scheme 3 Catalytic dehydropolymerisation of 10a-c (I) and of a mixture of 10a and 10d (II) with precatalyst [6e + 2nBuLi] to give polymers 11a-d and the respective byproducts 12a-c, 13a-c and 14a-c. The ratio of monomers 10a and 10b of the copolymer 11d was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy giving a n/m ratio of 2.

reactions using the *N*-4-phenylbutyl (10b) and the *N*-thiophenylmethyl amine–borane (10c) as substrates as well as an equimolar mixture of BzNH₂·BH₃ (10a) and *n*BuNH₂·BH₃ (10d) (Scheme 3). This yielded the homopolymers 11b and 11c and the copolymer 11d, respectively. All reactions yielded high molar mass polymers with $M_n = 349 \ 100 \ \text{g mol}^{-1}$ (PDI = 1.30, 11b), 95 600 \ g mol^{-1} (PDI = 1.29, 11c) and 131 900 \ g mol^{-1} (PDI = 1.33, 11d) (Table 1, Fig. S28 and S32†). In contrast to poly(*N*-benzylaminoborane) 11a, the latter polymers (11b–d) were completely soluble and could be further characterized by ¹H, ¹³C and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (11d) (Fig. S21–S27, S29–S31 and S33†).

Table 1 Yields, molecular weights and polydispersity indices for isolated polymers 11a-c from the reaction of 10a-c with [6e + 2nBuLi] (7 mol%, 8 h, 22 °C)

	Yield (%)	Molecular weight M_n (g mol ⁻¹)	Molecular weight $M_{\rm w} ({ m g mol}^{-1})$	PDI
11a	31	101 700	116 700	1.15
11b	61	349 100	453 700	1.30
11c	44	95 600	124 400	1.29
11 d	44	131 900	175 400	1.33

Mechanistic studies

Further mechanistic studies were carried out on the dehydropolymerisation of $MeNH_2 \cdot BH_3$ (4) using 7 mol% of [6e + 2nBuLi]. We studied the effect of reaction time in more detail by isolating polyaminoborane 5 after 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h (see Fig. S34–S37†), in addition to the 8 and 16 h time points already recorded. A steady increase in M_n and a concomitant decrease in PDI of 5 with increasing reaction time up to the 8 h time point was observed (see Fig. 3, S38,† and Table 2). The observation of a decrease in molar mass and increased PDI at prolonged (8–16 h) reaction times was attributed to depolymerisation and dehydrogenation to afford 8 and 9 (Fig. S39†). Similar observations have been reported with [CpFe(CO)₂]₂ (ref. 6b) as a precatalyst, whilst this effect was

much less significant in the case of [IrH₂(POCOP)].^{4c} We also found that cyclotriborazane 7, which is likely formed as an intermediate during the depolymerisation of 5, was rapidly dehydrogenated by [6e + 2nBuLi] (1 h, toluene, 22 °C) to yield borazine 8 (see Fig. S40[†]). Interestingly, both the Ti- and Ir-catalysed dehydropolymerisations showed an increase in $M_{\rm n}$ with catalyst loading. In the case of the Ir precatalyst, this observation was tentatively interpreted in terms of a chaingrowth mechanism that involved an initial, rate-determining dehydrogenation step to form transient MeNH=BH₂, followed by coordination polymerisation to form 5.4c As for the Ir-catalysed reaction,²⁸ attempts to trap the highly reactive MeNH=BH₂ using cyclohexene,²⁹ to form MeNH=BCy₂, were unsuccessful in the case of the Ti precatalyst (see Fig. S41[†]). This suggests that if the primary aminoborane is indeed formed as an intermediate, it either remains coordinated or is consumed more rapidly than it undergoes hydroboration with the cyclic olefin.



Fig. 3 Graphical representation of molecular weights (M_n and M_w in g mol⁻¹) from GPC analysis of isolated polyaminoborane **5** from the reactions of **4** with precatalyst [**6e** + 2*n*BuLi] (7 mol%, 0.5–16 h, 22 °C) (see Table 2).

Table 2 Substrate conversion (from ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy) and molecular weights (from GPC) for 5 from the reaction of 4 with precatalyst [6e + 2nBuLi] (7 mol%, 0.5–8 h, 22 °C) in toluene

Time (h)	Conversion of 4 (%)	Molecular weight $M_{\rm n}~({ m g~mol}^{-1})$	Molecular weight <i>M</i> _w (g mol ⁻¹)	PDI
0.5	80 (ref. 31)	3700	22 000	6.2
1	88 (ref. 31)	4400	23 000	5.0
2	90	8500	35 000	4.0
4	92	12 100	46 600	3.8
8	97	54 000	85 000	1.6

Significantly, in the Ti-catalysed polymerisation a steady increase in molar mass was observed from 0.5 h (conversion of 4 = 80%, $M_n = 3700 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, PDI = 6.2) up to 8 h (conversion = 97%, $M_n = 54\ 000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, PDI = 1.6) before depolymerisation and dehydrogenation of the polyaminoborane 5 were detected (Table 2) .³⁰ This is indicative of a step-growth polycondensation process and contrasts with the behavior found for the dehydropolymerisation of 4 with [IrH₂(POCOP)] as precatalyst. In the latter case high molar mass 5 was detected even at low conversions of 4, as befits a chain-growth mechanism.^{4c}

The existence of a step-growth polymerisation mechanism for the Ti-catalysed dehydropolymerisation of 4 is consistent with the intermediacy of linear diborazane 2 in the dehydrogenation of 1. It is also supported by several further experiments. For example, treatment of isolated, low molar mass 5 $(M_{\rm n} = 2600 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, \text{ PDI} = 4.3)$ with a further quantity of 7 mol% of [6e + 2nBuLi] in toluene for 7.5 h afforded higher molar mass 5 ($M_n = 18000$ g mol⁻¹, PDI = 1.8), which demonstrates that monomer is not required to form high molar mass polymer (see Fig. S42 and S43[†]).³² Consistent with the hypothesis that the Ti- and Ir-catalysed polymerisations proceed via fundamentally different mechanisms, the molar mass of 5 $(M_{\rm n} = 3100 \text{ g mol}^{-1}, \text{PDI} = 2.7)$ only increased marginally $(M_{\rm n} =$ 6700 g mol⁻¹, PDI = 2.5) upon treatment with $[IrH_2(POCOP)]$, (see Fig. S44 and S45[†]), whereas under these conditions, the Ir precatalyst converts 4 to 5 with a $M_{\rm n}$ of 262 600 g mol⁻¹ (PDI = 1.7) (Fig. S47[†]).

Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully optimised the precatalyst system for secondary amine-boranes based on Cp^R₂TiCl₂/ 2nBuLi by systematic variation of the cyclopentadienyl ligand steric and electronic properties. Based on these results and with an extension to primary amine-boranes, we report the first example of an early transition metal-mediated synthesis of high molar mass polyaminoboranes via dehydropolymerisation of and N-benzyl (and related) *N*-methyl substituted amine-boranes. The presented precatalyst system, based on earth abundant titanium, was shown to augment the amine-borane substrate scope exhibited by state-of-the art catalysts, e.g. Brookhart's iridium catalyst, skeletal nickel or [Rh(COD)Cl]₂. Further investigations into the mechanistic

pathway for the dehydropolymerisation of $MeNH_2 \cdot BH_3$ suggested that it proceeds by a step-growth rather than a chain-growth mechanism.

Previously, the catalytic dehydropolymerisation of intrinsically polar primary amine–borane substrates has required mid to late transition metal centers. It is interesting to note that, in the case of olefins, the analogous developments occurred historically in the reverse order, starting with early metals before the more recent successful development of late transition metal catalysts.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

T. J. thanks the EU for a Marie Curie postdoctoral fellowship, T. D. thanks the Humboldt Foundation for a Feodor-Lynen fellowship, D. R. L. thanks CONACyT and I. M. and N. E. S. thank EPSRC for support. We thank Owen Metters and Lena Stoll for supplying various substrates/catalysts and help with data acquisition.

Notes and references

- (a) E. M. Leitao, T. Jurca and I. Manners, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, 5, 817–829; (b) R. Waterman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 5629– 5641; (c) R. J. Less, R. L. Melen and D. S. Wright, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 2191–2199; (d) A. M. Priegert, B. W. Rawe, S. C. Serin and D. P. Gates, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, 45, 922– 953; (e) H. C. Johnson, T. N. Hooper and A. S. Weller, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2015, 49, 153–220.
- 2 (a) A. Staubitz, A. P. M. Robertson and I. Manners, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110, 4079–4124; (b) B. Peng and J. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2008, 1, 479–483; (c) F. H. Stephens, V. Pons and R. T. Baker, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 2613–2626; (d) N. C. Smythe and J. C. Gordon, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 509–521; (e) D. W. Himmelberger, C. W. Yoon, M. E. Bluhm, P. J. Carroll and L. G. Sneddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 14101–14110.
- 3 (a) X. Yang, L. Zhao, T. Fox, Z.-X. Wang and H. Berke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 2058–2062; (b) A. P. M. Robertson,
 E. M. Leitao and I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19322–19325; (c) E. M. Leitao, N. E. Stubbs,
 A. P. M. Robertson, H. Helten, R. J. Cox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 16805–16816; (d) M. E. Sloan, A. Staubitz, K. Lee and I. Manners, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 672–675; (e) X. Yang, T. Fox and
 H. Berke, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 2053–2055; (f)
 C. C. Chong, H. Hirao and R. Kinjo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 3342–3346; (g) S. Li, G. Li, W. Meng and H. Du, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12956–12962.
- 4 (a) A. Staubitz, A. Presa Soto and I. Manners, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6212–6215; (b) B. L. Dietrich, K. I. Goldberg, D. M. Heinekey, T. Autrey and J. C. Linehan, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 8583–8585; (c)

A. Staubitz, M. E. Sloan, A. P. M. Robertson, A. Friedrich, S. Schneider, P. J. Gates, J. Schmedt auf der Günne and I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 13332-13345; (d) A. N. Marziale, A. Friedrich, I. Klopsch, M. Drees, V. R. Celinski, J. Schmedt auf der Günne and S. Schneider, Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13342–13355; Am. (e) J. H. C. Johnson, E. M. Leitao, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 9078-9093; (f) N. E. Stubbs, T. Jurca, E. M. Leitao, C. H. Woodall and I. Manners, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 9098-9100; (g) O. J. Metters, A. M. Chapman, A. P. M. Robertson, C. H. Woodall, P. J. Gates, D. F. Wass and I. Manners, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 12146-12149; (h) V. A. Du, T. Jurca, G. R. Whittell and I. Manners, Dalton 45, 1055–1062; (*i*) R. Dallanegra, Trans., 2016, A. P. M. Robertson, A. B. Chaplin, I. Manners and A. S. Weller, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3763-3765; (j) C. Lichtenberg, M. Adelhardt, T. L. Gianetti, K. Meyer, B. de Bruin and H. Grützmacher, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 6230-6240; (k) M. W. Lui, N. R. Paisley, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson and E. Rivard, Chem.-Eur. J., 2016, 22, 2134-2145; (l) X. Wang, T. N. Hooper, A. Kumar, I. K. Priest, Y. Sheng, T. O. M. Samuels, A. W. Robertson, M. Pacios, H. Bhaskaran, A. S. Weller and J. H. Warner, CrystEngComm, 2017, 19, 285-294.

- 5 (a) Y. Jiang and H. Berke, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3571–3573;
 (b) Y. Jiang, O. Blacque, T. Fox, C. M. Frech and H. Berke, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 5493–5504.
- 6 (a) R. T. Baker, J. C. Gordon, C. W. Hamilton, N. J. Henson, P.-H. Lin, S. Maguire, M. Murugesu, B. L. Scott and N. C. Smythe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 5598-5609; (b) J. R. Vance, A. P. M. Robertson, K. Lee and I. Manners, Chem.-Eur. J., 2011, 17, 4099-4103; (c) J. F. Sonnenberg and R. H. Morris, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1092-1102; (d) J. R. Vance, A. Schäfer, A. P. M. Robertson, K. Lee, J. Turner, G. R. Whittell and I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 3048-3064; (e) C. Lichtenberg, L. Viciu, M. Adelhardt, J. Sutter, K. Meyer, B. de Bruin and H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 5766-5771; (f) A. Glüer, M. Förster, V. R. Celinski, J. Schmedt auf der Günne, M. C. Holthausen and S. Schneider, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 7214-7217; (g) P. Bhattacharya, J. A. Krause and H. Guan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 11153-11161; (h) F. Anke, D. Han, M. Klahn, A. Spannenberg and T. Beweries, Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 6843-6847; (i) N. T. Coles, M. F. Mahaon and R. L. Webster, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 2262-2268.
- 7 (a) M. Käß, A. Friedrich, M. Drees and S. Schneider, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 905–907; (b) A. Friedrich, M. Drees and S. Schneider, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 10339– 10342; (c) D. F. Schreiber, C. O'Connor, C. Grave, Y. Ortin, H. Müller-Bunz and A. D. Phillips, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 2505–2511; (d) N. Blaquiere, S. Diallo-Garcia, S. I. Gorelsky, D. A. Black and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14034–14035.
- 8 (*a*) T. M. Douglas, A. B. Chaplin and A. S. Weller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14432–14433; (*b*) R. Dallanegra, A. B. Chaplin

and A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, **48**, 6875–6878; (c) M. E. Sloan, T. J. Clark and I. Manners, Inorg. Chem., 2009, **48**, 2429–2435; (d) L. J. Sewell, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and A. S. Weller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 3598–3610; (e) H. C. Johnson and A. S. Weller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, **54**, 10173–10177; (f) C. A. Jaska, K. Temple, A. J. Lough and I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 9424–9434.

- 9 (a) M. C. Denney, V. Pons, T. J. Hebden, D. M. Heinekey and K. I. Goldberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 12048–12049; (b) T. J. Hebden, M. C. Denney, V. Pons, P. M. B. Piccoli, T. G. Koetzle, A. J. Schultz, W. Kaminsky, K. I. Goldberg and D. M. Heinekey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10812– 10820.
- 10 (a) R. J. Keaton, J. M. Balcquiere and R. T. Baker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 1844–1845; (b) A. P. M. Robertson, R. Suter, L. Chabanne, G. R. Whittell and I. Manners, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 12680–12691; (c) M. Vogt, B. de Bruin, H. Berke, M. Trincado and H. Grützmacher, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 723– 727.
- 11 For examples of early and early-to-mid transition metal catalysts for the dehydrogenation of amine-borane adducts: see, for example; (a) Y. Kawano, M. Uruichi, M. Shimoi, S. Taki, T. Kawaguchi, T. Kakizawa and H. Ogino, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 14946–14957; (b) A. M. Chapman, M. F. Haddow and D. F. Wass, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 8826–8829.
- 12 I. Göttker-Schnetmann, P. White and M. Brookhart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 1804–1811.
- 13 (a) C. Marquardt, T. Jurca, K.-C. Schwan, A. Stauber, A. V. Virovets, G. R. Whittell, I. Manners and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 13782–13786; (b) C. A. De Albuquerque Pinheiro, C. Roiland, P. Jehan and G. Alcaraz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 1519–1522.
- 14 M. E. Sloan, A. Staubitz, T. J. Clark, C. A. Russell, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3831– 3841.
- 15 D. Pun, E. Lobkovsky and P. J. Chirik, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3297–3299.
- 16 T. Beweries, S. Hansen, M. Kessler, M. Klahn and U. Rosenthal, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 7689–7692.
- 17 Y. Luo and K. Ohno, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 3597-3600.
- 18 C. J. Stevens, R. Dallanegra, A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, S. A. Macgregor, B. Ward, D. McKay, G. Alcaraz and S. Sabo-Etienne, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 3011–3020.
- 19 A similar Ti^{II}-Ti^{IV} cycle based on theoretical work with 2 as an intermediate: see J. Tao and Y. Qi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, 745-746, 479-486.
- 20 (a) The potential presence of Ti^{III} species under catalytic dehydrogenation conditions was initially suggested by the isolation of a Ti^{III}-amido-borane complex [Cp₂Ti(NH₂BH₃)] from the reaction of Cp₂TiCl₂ with Li[NH₂BH₃]: see D. J. Wolstenholme, K. T. Traboulsee, A. Decken and G. S. McGrady, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 5769–5772; (b) Recently, Ti^{III}-phosphinoaryloxide species have been shown to catalytically dehydrogenate **1**: see M. Klahn,

D. Hollmann, A. Spannenberg, A. Brückner and T. Beweries, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 12103–12111.

- 21 H. Helten, B. Dutta, J. R. Vance, M. E. Sloan, M. F. Haddow,
 S. Sproules, D. Collison, G. R. Whittell, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and
 I. Manners, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 437–440.
- 22 (*a*) Reactions conducted in sealed vessels proceeded at a slower rate than open systems, due to the build-up of H_2 pressure; (*b*) For comparison, in ref. 14 conversion of 1 to 3, by 6a + 2*n*BuLi (2 mol% in toluene) was complete in 240 min in a system which was periodically opened to draw aliquots for NMR spectroscopy, and thereby releasing H_2 gas build up.
- 23 For cga values for analogous Cp^R₂ZrCl₂ complexes see:
 P. C. Möhring and N. J. Coville, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 250, 18–35.
- 24 (a) I. F. Urazowski, V. I. Ponomaryov, O. G. Ellert,
 I. E. Nifani'ev and D. A. Lemenovskii, *J. Organomet. Chem.*,
 1988, 356, 181–193; (b) J. E. Bercaw, R. H. Marvich,
 L. G. Bell and H. H. Brintzinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972,
 94, 1219–1238.
- 25 IR data (^tBuC₅H₄) see: M. A. El-Hinnawi, M. Y. El-Khateeb,
 I. Jibril and S. T. Abu-Orabi, *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem.*, 1989, **19**, 809–826. For (C₅Me₅) see: K. R. Pope and
 M. S. Wrighton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 4545–4552.
- 26 This NMR experiment was performed in THF to provide complete solubility of all substrates in the reaction

mixture. The reaction was halted after 8 h by removal of the solvent (see Fig. $S10^{\dagger}$).

- 27 The lack of solubility prevented purification of the polymers by means of the method described in the ESI.†
- 28 A. P. M. Robertson, E. M. Leitao, T. Jurca, M. F. Haddow,
 H. Helten, G. C. Lloyd-Jones and I. Manners, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 12670–12683.
- 29 V. Pons, R. T. Baker, N. K. Szymczak, D. J. Heldebrant, J. C. Linehan, M. H. Matus, D. J. Grant and D. A. Dixon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6597–6599.
- 30 Due to the optimisation of the reaction for maximised molecular weight and minimised depolymerisation PDIs less than 2 are observed (for an ideal step growth mechanism the M_w/M_n ratio should be 2 after complete monomer conversion).
- 31 These numbers are based on the amount of **4** in solution, and therefore may overestimate conversion due to limited solubility in toluene. In the first 1 h of reaction, there is a observable amount of **4** that is not solubilised, but this is consumed as the reaction progresses.
- 32 The molar mass of the polymer obtained from further treatment of low molar mass 5 with precatalyst is nevertheless significantly lower than that from amine-borane 4 over similar time periods (see Fig. 2). This is consistent with 4 participating as a more reactive substrate in the polycondensation process.