

Showcasing research from Dr Anukul Jana's laboratory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Hyderabad, India, along with collaboration between Professor V. Chandrasekhar, TIFR Hyderabad, India and Professor Dr David Scheschkewitz, Saarland University, Germany

Reactivity enhancement of a diphosphene by reversible N-heterocyclic carbene coordination

This paper is dedicated to Professor G. Mehta on his 75th birthday.

We have demonstrated the reversible coordination of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC), a Lewis base, to a diphosphene, which leads to the reactivity enhancement of the diphosphene moiety, resulting in a ready hydrolysis and hydrogenation reaction. We were able to show that the hydrolysis reaction is catalytic.

As featured in:

See Cem B. Yildiz, David Scheschkewitz, Vadapalli Chandrasekhar, Anukul Jana *et al.*, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4235.

rsc.li/chemical-science

Chemical Science

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 4235

Reactivity enhancement of a diphosphene by reversible N-heterocyclic carbene coordination†

Debabrata Dhara, D^a Pankaj Kalita, D^b Subhadip Monda[l,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5151-3987)^a Ramakirushnan Suriya Narayanan[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5463-4063) D^a Kaustubh R. Mote, D^a [V](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5600-8034)olker Huch.^c Michael Zimmer,^c Cem [B.](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1968-2980) [Y](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1968-2980)ildiz[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0424-4673) \mathbf{D}^{*d} David Sc[hesc](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1657-1321)hkewitz, \mathbf{D}^{*c} Vadapalli Chandrasekhar D^{*ae} and Anukul Jana D^{*a}

Diphosphene Ter^{Mes}P = PTer^{Mes} (1; Ter^{Mes} = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃; Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂) and NHC^{Me₄} 2 (NHC^{Me₄</sub>} $= 1.3.4.5$ -tetramethylimidazol-2-ylidene) exist in an equilibrium mixture with the NHC^{Me₄}-coordinated diphosphene 3. While uncoordinated 1 is inert to hydrolysis, the NHC adduct 3 readily undergoes hydrolysis to afford a phosphino-substituted phosphine oxide with the liberation of NHC^{Me4}. On this basis, conditions suitable for the catalytic use of NHCMe₄ were identified. Similarly, while the hydrogenation of free diphosphene 1 with $H_3N·BH_3$ is very slow, 3 reacts instantaneously with $H_3N·BH_3$ at room temperature to afford a dihydrodiphosphane. **EDGE ARTICLE**
 (a) Cheek for undates
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Cheek for undates
 $\frac{1}{$

Received 22nd January 2018 Accepted 26th March 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc00348c

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Reversible coordination/binding between the substrate and catalyst is pivotal to catalytic reactions. This paradigm has been the raison d'être for the use of a vast number of transition metal complexes in catalysis as exemplified by many celebrated compounds such as Wilkinson's catalyst.¹ Another crucial feature of many catalytic reactions is the role of auxiliary Lewis bases/donors in the activation of the catalyst to facilitate product formation.² While transition metal complexes are a strong mainstay in this field, there have been efforts to examine if systems based on main-group elements can also be useful in catalytic reactions. An important challenge in this endeavor is the design of compounds that, like their transition metal counter parts, are involved in reversible reactions with substrates and auxiliary activators such as bases.³ An important breakthrough in this area has been the seminal report of Stephan and co-workers on the reversible activation of dihydrogen by the frustrated Lewis pair effect of a compound containing a P–B motif.⁴ Numerous other reports followed including the reversible coordination/binding of ethylene with distannynes,⁵ phosphines with CO₂,⁶ N-heterocyclic carbenes with cyclotrisilenes,⁷ and reversible oxidative addition of organoboronate esters at the carbon $\left[\Pi\right]$ center of cyclic alkyl amino carbene.⁸ Main-group compounds can indeed display a transition metal-like behavior as exemplified by the stoichiometric activation of small molecules⁹ as well as ligand exchange at the reactive low-valent centers.¹⁰

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

In the above context, recently Bertrand et al. reported a singlet (phosphino)phosphinidene, I, which is electrophilic despite its P–P multiple bond character and the presence of a formal negative charge at the phosphinidene center.¹¹ It was also shown that ligand exchange could occur at the phosphinidene centre of I (Scheme 1).¹² On the other hand, Robinson's NHC^{Dip}-stabilized P₂, **II** (Scheme 1)¹³ which can be considered as an interconnected bis-phosphinidene dimer does not undergo ligand exchange with more nucleophilic NHCs such as $\mathrm{NHC}^{\mathrm{Me}_4}$ and $\mathrm{NHC}^{\mathrm{iPr}_2\mathrm{Me}_2}$.¹⁴

Scheme 1 Chemical structures of phosphino phosphinidene I, donor stabilized diphosphorus(0) II, and diphosphene III ($Ar^* = 2.6$ -CHPh₂- $4-tBUC_6H_2$, NHC^{Dip} = 1,3-(2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃)₂-imidazole-2-ylidene, R = general monoanionic ligand).

a Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Hyderabad, Gopanpally, Hyderabad-500107, Telangana, India. E-mail: ajana@tifrh.res.in

b School of Chemical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhimpur-Padanpur, Jatni, Khurda, Bhubaneswar-752050, Odisha, India

Krupp-Chair of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Saarland University, 66123[,] Saarbrücken, Germany. E-mail: scheschkewitz@mx.uni-saarland.de

d Department of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, University of Aksaray, Aksaray, Turkey. E-mail: cemburakyildiz@aksaray.edu.tr

e Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India. E-mail: vc@iitk.ac.in

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Thermodynamic data of equilibrium between 1 and 3, solution and solid state NMR spectra, UV/vis spectra, NMR simulation of compound 6, X-ray crystallographic data and theoretical details. CCDC 1588456 (3), 1588457 (4), 1588458 (5), 1588459 (6) and 1588460 (7). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8sc00348c

The intriguing difference of reactivity between I and II and most importantly the fact that reversible coordination of Lewis bases to multiply bonded main group species is limited⁷ prompted us to investigate the coordination behaviour of an NHC as an auxiliary base towards a diphosphene III as well as the influence on its reactivity. In this context, it may be mentioned that Matsuo and co-workers recently reported the cleavage of the P–P double bond of Rind-substituted diphosphene ($Rind = 1,1,3,3,5,5,7,7$ -octa-R-substituted s-hydrindacen-4-yl) by an NHC to yield two NHC-coordinated phosphinidene fragments. The mechanism was proposed to proceed via the formation of an NHC-coordinated, highly polarized diphosphene as a transient intermediate.¹⁵ The coordination of NHC to the heteronuclear and thus naturally polarised $P=C$ bond of phosphaalkenes has been studied by Gates et al.¹⁶

Results and discussion

Since the isolation of the sterically protected diphosphene, Mes*P=PMes* (Mes* = 2,4,6-tBu₃-C₆H₂), by Yoshifuji and coworkers¹⁷ several other diphosphenes have been isolated and characterized.¹⁸ We deliberately chose the relatively unreactive diphosphene Ter^{Mes}P=PTer^{Mes}, 1^{19} and probed its binding with NHC $^{\rm Me}$ 4, 2. $^{\rm 20}$ The treatment of 1 with a stoichiometric amount of 2 at room temperature resulted in an immediate colour change from yellow to deep red (Scheme 2). The $^{31}P_{1}^{(1}H\}$ NMR of the reaction mixture showed two upfield doublets of equal intensity at $\delta = -95.36$ ppm and -0.78 ppm $(^{1}J_{(P,P)} = 423$ Hz) along with the signal of the free diphosphene 1 at $\delta = 492$ ppm in about 70 : 30 ratio. As this ratio remained unaltered even after 2 h, we suspected that the coordination of NHC might indeed be reversible. The remarkable difference in the chemical shifts accompanied by a large coupling constant proves the presence of two non-equivalent phosphorus nuclei in different electronic environments, which is consistent with the formation of the NHC-diphosphene adduct 3 (Scheme 2). Chemical Scheme

The interaction content are the content are the content are the content are the second on 27 March 2018. The content are the second of the second of this are an access a diphericle into a second under the

The single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of 3 reveals that NHC^{Me₄} is coordinated to one of the P-centres of the former diphosphene moiety (Fig. 1). The P–P bond length in 3 is 2.134(2) A and thus longer than that observed in 1 $(2.029(1)$ A),²¹ but still substantially shorter than the P–P single bond of diphosphanes, e.g. (6-Me-2-pyridyl)(SiMe₃)₂CPH]₂ (2.222(3) Å).²² The calculated Wiberg Bond Order (WBO) (1: 1.812; 3: 1.116) and the partial NBO charges (1: P1 = 0.280, P2 = 0.326; 3: P1 = 0.494, P2 $= -0.116$) at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory

Scheme 2 Reaction of 1 and 2 under the reversible formation of 3 $(Ter^{Mes} = 2, 6-Mes₂C₆H₃, Mes = 2, 4, 6-Me₃C₆H₂, LA = Ph₃B and ZnCl₂).$

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 3 (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity).

clearly indicate elongation and polarization of the $P=$ P bond upon coordination of NHC.²³

The two nonbonding electron pairs at P2 implied by the ylidic nature of ³ correspond to the HOMO and HOMO-1 (Fig. 2). While the HOMO almost exclusively consists of a porbital centered at the formally negatively charged P center, the HOMO-1 and the HOMO-2 are delocalized across the P–P unit and therefore correspond to the s-type lone pairs at the phosphorus centers (Fig. 2). The LUMO is mainly delocalized over the P–C bond between the carbenic carbon and P1.

The carbenic carbon C1 is connected to the P1 center with an angle of $113.26(18)^\circ$ with respect to the P1-P2 bond vector. The two terphenyl ligands adopt a trans-arrangement with a torsion angle of C8–P1–P2–C32 = $166.8(2)^\circ$. The bond distance between the carbenic carbon and coordinated phosphorus of 3 is 1.876(2) \AA and hence slightly larger than the corresponding distance in NHC Me_4 -coordinated phosphaalkene, MesP=CPh₂ (1.8512 Å) .^{16b}

Fig. 2 Frontier molecular orbitals of the compound 3 at 0.04 atomic units.

To substantiate our assertion of reversible coordination of NHC to the P=P moiety, we recorded the $\rm ^{31}P(^{1}H)$ NMR of a 1 : 1 mixture of 1 and 2 (0.0805 M solution in toluene- d_8) at variable temperatures (253 to 293 K). Indeed, the proportion of adduct 3 increases at lower temperature as expected on grounds of entropic arguments. We calculated the Gibbs enthalpy of formation of 3 to be $\Delta G_{298} = -11.2 \pm 1.1$ kJ mol⁻¹.²³ In order to check the solvent dependency of the equilibrium, we recorded the solution NMR of a 1 : 1 mixture of 1 and 2 in C_6D_6 and THF d_8 at room temperature. While diphosphene 1 and NHC-adduct 3 are observed in an almost 3 : 7 ratio along with free NHC Me_4 in the comparatively apolar C_6D_6 , the more polar THF-d₈ leads to a shift of equilibrium in favour of the polarized adduct 3 (90%). As expected on grounds of the law of mass action, the addition of 2 equivalents of NHC^{Me₄ to 1 shifts the equilibrium almost} completely towards the adduct 3. On the other hand, when equivalent amounts of Lewis acids such as Ph_3B or ZnCl₂ are added, NHC Me_4 is effectively scavenged and free diphosphene 1 regenerated (Scheme 2). In order to confirm the exclusive presence of 3 in the solid state, we also performed CP-MAS ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR.²³ This reversible addition of NHC^{Me₄}, 2, to diphosphene, 1, has similarities with the reactivity of transition metal complexes in terms of ligand exchange.³ Edge Article

To substantiate our assetuent of treesible conditions of $\frac{1}{2}$

Therefore, the resultive Source Common and the equilibrium, we recorded
 $\frac{1}{2}$

concesses at lower temperature as expected une generati

In order to further substantiate the reversibility of NHC coordination, we monitored the changes in the absorption of 1 (in THF) with increasing concentrations of 2 by UV/vis spectroscopy. A THF solution of free diphosphene 1 absorbs at λ_{max} $=$ 448, 372, and 317 nm. Upon gradual addition of NHC^{Me₄}, 2, the absorbance at 448 nm increases while the absorbance at 372 nm decreases in intensity as the equilibrium is shifted towards 3. The absorbance at $\lambda = 317$ nm is shifted to $\lambda =$ 324 nm when the NHC Me_4 concentration exceeds more than 1.11 equivalents (1.33, 2.22, and 4.44 equivalents). A clear isosbestic point is revealed at $\lambda = 392$ nm (Fig. 3). From the UV/vis studies the Gibbs enthalpy of formation of 3 is estimated to be ΔG_{298} = -13.30 kJ mol⁻¹, which is close to the value obtained from the VT-NMR study ($\Delta G_{298} = -11.2 \pm 1.1$ kJ mol $^{-1}$).²³

Scheme 3 Reaction of 3 with H₂O (Ter^{Mes} = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃, Mes = $2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂$).

Due to the pronounced polarization of the $P=$ P moiety upon coordination of NHC^{Me4}, an enhanced reactivity was anticipated. We probed the addition reactions of water and dihydrogen in the presence of NHC^{Me_4} as benchmarks as free diphosphene 1 is inert towards hydrolysis or hydrogenation (Scheme 3). Thus far, only the hydrolysis of Lewis acidcoordinated diphosphene and heteroleptic diphosphenes has been reported.²⁴

Addition of one equivalent of H_2O to a THF solution of 3 (1 : 1 mixture of 1 and 2) at RT led to an immediate colour change from red to yellow (Scheme 3). The presence of four new multiplets in the $31P$ NMR spectrum with each two of equal intensity at $\delta = 9.55$ and -77.36 ppm and $\delta = 28.50$ and -46.76 ppm indicates complete conversion to two new species.

Indeed, the molecular structure determination reveals the formation of phosphino substituted phosphine oxide 4 (Fig. 4) and phosphido phosphine oxide 5 (Fig. 5) in the course of the reaction (Scheme 3). The solid state structures of these two compounds match well with that of solution state NMR data. The P–P distances involved are 2.1946(8) \AA for 4 and 2.1099(11) \AA for 5.

Fig. 3 UV/vis spectra of 1 (black line) in THF with increasing concentrations of 2.

Fig. 4 Molecular structure of 4 at thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level; all the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except P–H.

Fig. 5 Molecular structures of 5 at thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level; all the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except P–H and imidazolium C–H.

These distances are longer than those in 1 or 3 $(1:1.985(2),$ $3: 2.134$ Å). The P-O bond length found in 4 is 1.478(2) Å, which is shorter compared with that of 5 $(1.492(2)$ Å) presumably because of a H-bonding interaction with an imidazolium cation.²⁵ The P–P distance in 4 (2.1946(8) \AA) is slightly longer than that observed in the metal carbonyl (Lewis acid) free phospha-Wittig– Horner reagent, $(Mes*PH-P(O)(OEt)_2)$ (2.1854 Å).²⁶

The Lewis acid free phospha-Wittig–Horner reagent, $(Mes*PH-P(O)(OEt)₂)$, is known to be readily deprotonated to the lithiated phospha-Wittig-Horner reagent, $Mes*P=$ P(OLi)(OEt)₂.²⁶ Similarly, the phosphino substituted phosphine oxide 4 is transformed to the corresponding ion pair 5 through deprotonation by NHC Me_4 , 2 (Scheme 3). The complete formation of 5 from 4 is observed when 2 equivalents of 2 are added. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of the phosphino substituted phosphine oxide 4 exhibits two doublets at $\delta = 9.55$ and -77.36 ppm with a $1_{I_{\rm P-P}}$ of 248 Hz. In 5 the corresponding resonances are observed at $\delta = 28.50$ and -46.76 ppm with a $^{1}J_{\text{P-P}}$ of 464 Hz. These trends are similar to those observed in Mes*PH– $P(O)(OEt)_2$ (¹J_{P-P} = 222 Hz) and Mes*P= $P(OLi)(OEt)_2$ (¹J_{P-P} = 615 Hz).²⁶ Despite the imidazolium character of the NHC moiety in 5, the addition of $BPh₃$ as a NHC scavenger results in the liberation of free 4 as previously observed for standard NHCcoordinated main group species (Scheme 3). 27 The exclusive formation of 4 has also been achieved by the addition of Et_3 -N HCl to the reaction mixture. In view of the apparent equilibrium, we expected the hydrolysis of 1 to be catalytic in NHC^{Me₄. In addition, the calculated relative free energy for the} formation of 4 from 3 (3 + H₂O \rightarrow 4 + 2) is determined to be $\Delta G_{298} = -12.1$ kcal mol⁻¹ at the B3LYP-D3/6311-G(d,p) level of theory.²³ Indeed, treatment of 1 at -78 °C with one equivalent of H2O in the presence of 10 mol% of 2 leads to >90% of 4 (TON $= 9$, Scheme 3).²³ At higher temperatures, the hydrolysis of NHC^{Me_4} becomes competitive significantly reducing the yield of 4 and thus the TON.

The reaction of 3 with H_2 does not proceed under ambient conditions. On the other hand, 3 reacts instantaneously with $NH₃·BH₃$ as a dihydrogen source affording two diastereomers

 $(d/l-6)$ and *meso*-6) of dihydrodiphosphane, (Scheme 4). The parent diphosphene 1 only reacts sluggishly with $NH_3 \cdot BH_3$ taking more than 7 days to afford the product in modest yields.

The 31 P NMR spectra of d/l -6 and meso-6 exhibit an AA'XX' pattern with the peaks centered at $\delta = -109.9$ and -101.4 ppm, respectively (Fig. 6). To determine the magnitudes of the coupling constants of the AA'XX' spin system, a simulation of the $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{31}\mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra was performed (Fig. 6). 28 Previously, Erickson et al. reported the formation of one of the diastereomers of compound 6 by the tin-catalyzed dehydrocoupling of $\mathrm{Ter^{Mes}PH_{2}.^{29}}$ Based on the current study it can be concluded that the previously reported diastereomer had the meso configuration. The initially formed racemic mixture of d/l -6 is slowly converted to the *meso*-isomer, **meso-6**, reaching equilibrium within 12 h at room temperature. This has been confirmed by performing the reaction and measuring ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR at -50 °C. DFT calculations at the B3LYP-D3/6-311G(d,p) level of theory indeed show that the **meso-6** isomer is lower in free energy than d/l -6, by $\Delta G_{298} = 2.3$ kcal mol⁻¹.²³ Operation Science

Contract Article 2018. Depend on 27 March 2018. Depe

Single crystal X-ray diffraction on crystalline samples of 6 exclusively resulted in a structural model in line with meso-6 (Fig. 7). As this model depends on the somewhat ambiguous determination of the hydrogen positions H1P and H1P*, we cannot exclude the presence of a mixture of isomers in the solid state. Indeed, the CP-MAS ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}H$ } NMR of a crystalline sample shows the presence of both diastereomers.

Finally, to address the complete cleavage of the P–P bond present in 3, we monitored the 1 : 2 reaction between 1 and 2. After four days a colour change from deep red to yellow occurred and we observed the appearance of a new ³¹P resonance at $\delta =$ -77 ppm, which is in the range for a carbene-stabilized

Scheme 4 Reaction of 3 with $NH_3·BH_3$ (Ter^{Mes} = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃, Mes $= 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂$

Fig. 6 Comparison between the experimental (black, upper curve) and simulated (red, lower curve) $31P$ NMR spectrum of (A) meso-6 and (B) d/l-6 compounds. The scalar couplings used for the simulations are shown on the lower right side.

Fig. 7 Molecular structure of meso-6 (thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

Scheme 5 Reaction of 3 with NHC^{Me₄ (Ter^{Mes} = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃, Mes =} $2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2$

Fig. 8 Molecular structure of 7 at thermal ellipsoids of 50% probability level; all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

phosphinidene.³⁰ Subsequently we heated the reaction mixture at 105 \degree C for 30 h to ensure complete dissociation resulting in the formation of 7 (Scheme 5).

The distance between phosphorus and the carbenic carbon is 1.786(2) \AA (Fig. 8), which is comparable with that reported for a NHC-stabilized phosphinidene.³¹ However it is shorter than the distance between the phosphorus and carbenic carbon of 3 $(1.8306(19)$ Å).

The formation of 7 from 3 is strong experimental corroboration for the mechanism proposed by Matsuo and co-workers for the cleavage of a $P=$ P bond in a diphosphene.¹⁵

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that the coordination of an N-heterocyclic carbene to a heavier double bond results in a striking reactivity enhancement. The reversible coordination of the NHC to a diphosphene significantly enhances the reaction rate of hydrolysis and hydrogenation by the ammonia–borane complex. In the case of hydrolysis, we have demonstrated that the reaction is catalytic in NHC.

Experimental

General

All experiments were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in a PL-HE-2GB Innovative Technology GloveBox. n-Hexane, diethyl ether, THF, and toluene were dried using a PS-MD-5 Innovative Technology solvent purification system. Benzene was refluxed over sodium/ benzophenone, and then distilled and stored under argon. Starting compounds $\mathrm{Ter}_{2}^{\mathrm{Mes}} P_{2}$, 1^{19} and $\mathrm{NHC}^{\mathrm{Me}_{4}},$ 2^{20} were prepared according to known literature procedures. Benzene- d_6 was dried and distilled over potassium under argon. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker NanoBay 300 MHz NMR machine. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were referenced to the peaks of residual protons of the deuterated solvent (^{1}H) or the deuterated solvent itself $(^{13}C_{1}^{(1)}H)$. Solid-state $^{31}P_{1}^{(1)}H$ NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer with a wide-bore magnet and operating at 400.13 MHz $(^1\mathrm{H})$ and 163.32 MHz (^{31}P) . Powdered samples were packed in a 4 mm o.d. zirconia rotor. The diameter of the Probe is 89 mm with a spinning speed of 13 KHz. The $^{31}P{^1H}$ CP MAS experiment was performed using a ${}^{1}H$ 90° pulse of 3.3 µs, with a contact time of 5 ms, CPD Spinal64 as the decoupling scheme, and a recycle delay of 3 s. UV/vis spectra were acquired using a Jasco V-670 spectrometer using quartz cells with a path length of 0.1 cm. Elemental analyses were performed on a Leco CHN-900 analyzer. Melting points were determined in closed NMR tubes under an argon atmosphere and are uncorrected. Edge Article

Conclusions Article is a numering the sub-demonstrated for the first time during the sub-demonstrated on the first time complete on the first time complete the commonstrated on the SCR (θ) and the conditi

Synthesis of 3

10 mL of THF is added to a Schlenk flask containing 1 (0.357 g, 0.518 mmol) and 2 (0.084 g, 0.673 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for about 5 minutes, all volatiles are removed under vacuum. The resulting residue is extracted with 10 mL of n -pentane (2 times) and the combined filtrate is kept at -30 °C overnight resulting in the formation of bright red crystals, yield: 0.211 g (51.9%). In solution compound 3 is in equilibrium with 1 and 2. NMR data from a 1 : 1 stoichiometric ratio of 1 and 2 in C_6D_6 at RT (almost 30% of 1 and 2 and 70% of 3): mp: 185 \degree C (decomposed). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 1.25$ (s, 3H, C–CH₃ of NHC $^{\rm Me_4)}$, 1.30 (s, 3H, C–C H_3 of NHC $^{\rm Me_4)}$, 1.57 (s, 2H, C–C H_3 of 2), 1.89 (br, 14H, 6H from CH_3 of Mes and remaining 8H from CH_3 of Mes of 1), 2.17 (s, 18H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.21 (s, 16H, 12H from CH₃ of Mes and remaining 4H from CH_3 of Mes of 1), 2.66 (s, 3H, N–C H_3) of NHC^{Me₄)}, 3.35 (br, 5H, 3H from N–CH₃ and 2H from N–CH₃ of 2), 6.53 (s, br, 2H, Ar–H), 6.62–6.67 (m, 4H, Ar–H), and 6.78–7.04 (m

13H, 8H from Ar–H and 5H from Ar–H of 1) ppm. NMR data from a 1 : 2 stoichiometric ratio of 1 and 2 in C_6D_6 at RT to get unambiguous 1H NMR data of 3. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = 1.28 (s, 3H, C–C H_3 of NHC $^{\text{Me}_4}$), 1.33 (s, 3H, C–C H_3 of NHC $^{\text{Me}_4}$), 1.82 (br, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.16 (s, 18H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.21 (s, 12H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.66 (s, 3H, N–C H_3 of NHC Me_4), 3.36 (s, br, 3H, N–C H_3 of NHC^{Me₄} and 6H, N–CH₃ of 2), 6.52 (s, br, 2H, Ar–H), 6.62–6.66 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 6.78 (s, br, 4H, Ar–H), and 6.86–7.04 (m, 4H, Ar–H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.43 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 7.73$ (1C, C–CH₃ of $\rm NHC^{Me_4}\rm),~8.29~(1C,~C\neg CH_3~of~NHC^{Me_4}\rm),~21.07~(3C,~CH_3~of~Mes),$ 21.37 (4C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.92 (3C, CH₃ of Mes), 22.66 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 22.88 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 30.46 (1C, d, $\beta_{\text{(P,C)}} = 26.85 \text{ Hz}$, N-CH₃ of NHC^{Me₄), 32.83 (1C, d, 3 J_(P,C) = 19.39 Hz, N–CH₃ of NHC^{Me₄),}} 120.00 (1C, Ar-CH), 123.04 (1C, CH₃-C of NHC^{Me₄), 125.82 (1C,} CH₃–C of NHC^{Me4}), 126.89 (1C, Ar–CH), 127.31 (2C, Ar–CH), 127.63 (2C, Ar–CH), 127.98 (2C, Ar–CH), 128.29 (1C, Ar–CH), 128.58 (3C, Ar–CH), 130.46 (1C, Ar–CH), 130.51 (1C, Ar–CH), 133.77 (2C, Ar– C_{quad} , 135.55 (2C, Ar– C_{quad}), 135.70 (3C, Ar– C_{quad}), 137.46 (2C, Ar– C_{quad} , 138.22 (1C, Ar– C_{quad}), 138.40 (1C, Ar– C_{quad}), 138.78 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), 140.95 (2C, Ar- C_{quat}), 142.78 (1C, Ar- C_{quat}), 142.84 (2C, Ar- C_{quat} , 146.93 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 147.18 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 150.17 (1C, Ar– C_{quat} , 150.28 (1C, NCN), 151.83 (1C, d, $^{1}J_{\text{(C,P)}} = 9.50$ Hz, Ar- C_{quat}), and 152.33 (1C, Ar- C_{quat}) ppm. ³¹P NMR (121.5 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = -95.36$ (d, $^{1}J_{\text{P,P}} = 423$ Hz) and -0.78 (d, $^{1}J_{\text{P,P}} = 423$ Hz) ppm. CP-MAS ³¹P{¹H} NMR (163.32 MHz, 298 K): $\delta = -99.07$ (d, $^{1}J_{(p,p)} =$ 430 Hz, 1P) and 3.99 (d, $^{1}J_{(p,p)} = 430$ Hz, 1P) ppm. UV/vis (*n*hexane): IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): $\bar{v} = 405$ (s), 414 (vs), 422 (s), 435 (w), 449 (vs), 474 (vw), 489 (vw), 501 (vw), 530 (m), 548 (vw), 576 (m), 588 (m), 657 (vw), 669 (m), 712 (s) 738 (br, s), 768 (w), 788 (s), 802 (s), 846 (vs), 872 (vw), 907 (br, vw), 998 (vw), 1030 (s), 1080 (w), 1107 (w), 1178 (m), 1239 (w), 1363 (m), 1439 (m), 1569 (w), 1607 (w), 1652 (w), and 2356 (w). Open Access Article. Published on 27 March 2018. Downloaded on 10/7/2024 12:26:32 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8sc00348c)**

Reaction of 3 with $BPh₃$

In a glovebox, 1 (0.020 g, 0.029 mmol) and 2 (0.0047 g, 0.037 mmol) were dissolved in THF (0.2 mL) in an NMR tube. After about 5 minutes BPh_3 (0.0091 g, 0.037 mmol, in 0.2 mL THF) was added resulting in an instant colour change from red to yellow. Subsequent addition of 0.1 mL C_6D_6 allowed for the recording of a ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectrum, which revealed the complete conversion of 2 into 1.

Synthesis of $2 \cdot BPh_3$

Inside the glovebox, 0.019 g (0.08 mmol) of BPh_3 was weighed into an NMR tube. A benzene- d_6 solution of 0.010 g (0.08 mmol, in 0.5 mL $\rm C_6D_6)$ of 1 was added at room temperature. $\rm ^1H$ NMR measurements confirm the formation of $2\cdot B Ph_3$. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 1.11$ (s, 6H, CH₃C–CCH₃), 2.63 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 7.18–7.27 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.34 (t, $^{3}J_{\text{(H,H)}} = 7.40$ Hz, 6H, ArH), and 7.68 (d, $\mathcal{I}_{\rm (H,H)} = 6.95$ Hz, 6H, ArH) ppm.

Reaction of 3 with $ZnCl₂$

Inside the glovebox, 1 (0.024 g, 0.035 mmol) and 2 (0.0056 g, 0.045 mmol) were dissolved in THF (0.2 mL) in an NMR tube. After about 5 minutes $ZnCl₂$ (0.0061 g, 0.045 mmol, in 0.2 mL THF) was added leading to an instant colour change from red to

yellow. After addition of 0.1 mL C_6D_6 , a ³¹P NMR spectrum was recorded showing complete conversion of 2 into 1.

Synthesis of 4

In a 50 mL Schlenk flask, 0.392 g (0.57 mmol) of compound 1 and 0.080 g (0.64 mmol) of 2 were dissolved in 15 mL of THF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. Subsequently, degassed water $(12 \mu L, 0.65 \text{ mmol})$ was added at room temperature and allowed to stir for 1.5 h. The red colour of the solution slowly transformed into orange. This reaction mixture was then added into a THF (5 mL) suspension of $Et_3N \cdot HCl$ (0.094 g, 0.68 mmol) and allowed to stir for 30 minutes resulting in the gradual fading of the colour. All volatiles were then evaporated and extracted with hot n -hexane (15 mL). Incipient crystallization happened at room temperature. Isolated yield 0.310 g (78%). Mp: >190 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 1.79$ (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 1.93 (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.05 (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.28 (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.31 (s, 12H, CH₃ of Mes), 3.21 (ddd, $^{1}J_{\rm (P,H)} = 248.70$ Hz, $^{2}J_{\rm (H,P)} = 13.34$ Hz, $^{3}J_{\rm (H,H)} =$ 8.10 Hz, 1H, HP(O)-P-H), 7.19 (ddd, $^{1}J_{\rm (P,H)} = 473.31$ Hz, $^{2}J_{\rm (H,P)} =$ 32.35 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{(H,H)}} = 8.10$ Hz, 1H, H–P(O)–PH), 6.70–6.74 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 6.77 (br, 1H, Ar–H), 6.79–6.81 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 6.87 (s, 4H, Ar–H), and 6.96–7.07 (m, 2H, Ar–H) ppm. $^{13}C_1^{(1)}H$ } NMR (75.43 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 21.03$ (2C, CH_3 of Mes), 21.37 (2C, CH_3 of Mes), 21.63 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.72 (6C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.79 (1C, CH3 of Mes), 129.01 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.04 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.14 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.36 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.47 (1C, Ar–CH), 129.87 (1C, Ar–CH), 129.98 (3C, Ar–CH), 132.58 $(d, {}^{4}J_{\text{(C,P)}} = 2.27 \text{Hz}, 1 \text{C},$ Ar–CH), 133.42 (d, $\frac{1}{2}J_{\text{P,C}} = 4.52$ Hz, 1C, Ar–C_{quat}), 134.51 (d, $\frac{1}{2}L_{\text{Q}} = 4.52$ Hz, 1C, Ar–C, and 136.74 (2C, Ar–C, and 136.75 (2C) $J_{\rm (P,C)}$ = 4.52 Hz, 1C, Ar–C_{quat}), 136.74 (2C, Ar–C_{quat}), 136.75 (2C, Ar–Cquat), 136.77 (2C, Ar–Cquat), 137.93 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 139.50 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), 145.70 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 145.83 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 147.58 (d, 1C, $J_{(C,P)} = 5.28$ Hz), and 147.73 (d, 1C, $J_{(C,P)} = 4.52$ Hz) ppm. ³¹P NMR (121.5 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 9.55$ (ddd, $J_{\text{(P,P)}} = 248.70 \text{ Hz}, \frac{1}{J_{\text{(P,H)}}} = 473.31 \text{ Hz}, \frac{2}{J_{\text{(H,P)}}} = 13.34 \text{ Hz}, \text{HP(O)}$ PH) and -77.36 (dt, $^{1}J_{\text{(P,P)}} = {}^{1}J_{\text{(P,H)}} = 248.70$ Hz, $^{2}J_{\text{(H,P)}} =$ 32.35 Hz, Ter-P-H) ppm. ${}^{31}P_1^{\{1}\}$ NMR (121.5 MHz, $\rm{C_6D_6}$, 298 K): δ = 9.55 (d, ¹J_(P,P) = 248.70 Hz, HP(O)–PH) and –77.36 (d, ¹J_(P,P) $= 248.70$ Hz, Ter-P-H) ppm. CP-MAS $^{31}P_1^{(1)}H$ } NMR (163.32 MHz, 298 K): $\delta = 9.64$ (d, $^{1}J_{(p,p)} = 230$ Hz, HP(O)–PH) and -78.48 (d, $^{1}J_{\rm (p,p)}$ = 230 Hz, Ter-P-H) ppm. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): $\bar{\nu}$ = 1131 (s), 1187 (s), 1232 (s), 1307 (w), 1370 (m), 1412 (w), 1431 (m), 1450 (m), 1466 (w), 1482 (w), 1501 (m), 1513 (w), 1534 (s), 1553 (s), 1564 (m), 1605 (m), 1633 (w), 1677 (m), 1691 (m), 1712 (m), 1726 (m), 1764 (w), 1785 (w), 1820 (w), 1841 (w), 1862 (w), 1886 (w), 1500 (w), 1555 (s), 1570 (m), 1610 (m), 1641 (m), 1676 (m) 1711 (m), 1727 (m), 2313 (m, P–H), 2352 (s, P–H), 2727 (m), and 2849 (w). Elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{48}H_{52}OP_2$ (706.87): C, 81.56; H, 7.41. Found: C, 80.81; H, 7.17.

Synthesis of 5

In a 50 mL Schlenk flask, 0.510 g (0.740 mmol) of compound 1 and 0.120 g (0.960 mmol) of 2 were dissolved in 15 mL of THF. Water (17.4 µL, 0.960 mmol) was added at room temperature and allowed to stir for 1.5 h. The red colour of the solution slowly transformed into orange. The reaction mixture was then Edge Article Chemical Science Chemical Science Chemical Science Chemical Science Chemical Science Chemical Science

evaporated and extracted with hot toluene (20 mL). Crystallization occurred upon cooling at room temperature. Isolated yield 0.530 g (86.13%). Mp: >190 °C (decomposed) and at 175 °C the color changed slowly towards white. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, THF-d₈, 298 K): $\delta = 1.80$ (s, 6H, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 1.81 (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 1.92 (s, 6H, C–C H_3 of NHC $^{\text{Me}_4}$), 2.00 (s, 6H, C H_3 of Mes), 2.18 (s, 12H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.22 (s, 6H, CH₃ of Mes), 3.51 (s, 6H, N–C H_3 of NHC $^{\rm{Me}_4}$), 6.32 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 6.34 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 6.44 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 6.47 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 6.50–6.54 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 6.57 (s, 3H, Ar–H), 6.74 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 7.08 (t, 1H, $\frac{3}{J_{\text{[H,H)}}}$ = 7.60 Hz, Ar– H), 6.96 (d, 1H, $^{1}J_{\text{P,H}} = 464.15$ Hz, P–H), and 10.65 (s, br, 1H, N– CH–N of NHC^{Me₄)} ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.43 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = 7.66 (2C, C–CH₃ of NHC^{Me₄), 21.02 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.05} (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.34 (2C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.44 (2C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.58 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.61 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 22.14 (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 22.32 (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 22.47 (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 22.55 (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 33.42 (2C, CH_3 – C of NHC^{Me₄), 119.82} $(2C, Ar-CH), 126.31 (2C, 4, 5-C of NHC^{Me}₄), 127.56 (3C, Ar-CH),$ 128.04 (2C, Ar–CH), 128.18 (2C, Ar–CH), 128.37 (2C, Ar–CH), 128.52 (1C, Ar–CH), 129.63 (1C, Ar–CH), 129.72 (1C, Ar–CH), 134.42 (2C, Ar–C_{quat}), 134.90 (2C, Ar–C_{quat}), 136.66 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), 137.26 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), 137.56 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), 137.87 (2C, Ar–Cquat), 141.51 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 141.55 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 142.90 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 142.95 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 143.04 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 143.08 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 143.25 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 144.63 (1C, Ar– C_{quat} , 144.65 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 144.72 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), and 144.76 (1C, NCN) ppm. ³¹P NMR (121.5 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 28.50$ (t, $1P, {}^{1}J_{(P,P)} = {}^{1}J_{(P,H)}$ 464.15Hz, P-P-O) and -46.76 (d, 1P, ${}^{1}J_{(P,P)} =$ 464.15 Hz, P–P–O) ppm. ${}^{31}{\rm P} \{ ^1{\rm H} \}$ NMR (121.5 MHz, C $_6{\rm D}_6$, 298 K): $\delta = 28.50 \text{ (d, }^1J_{\text{(P,P)}} = 464.15 \text{ Hz}, \text{P-P-O) and } -46.76 \text{ (d, }^1J_{\text{(P,P)}} =$ 464.15 Hz, *P*–P–O) ppm. CP-MAS ³¹P{¹H} NMR (163.32 MHz, 298 K): $\delta = 27.04$ (d, $^{1}J_{(p,p)} = 465$ Hz, P–P–O) and -47.00 (d, $^{1}J_{(P,P)} =$ 465 Hz, P-P-O) ppm. UV/vis (THF): $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon) = 330$ (5244), 390 (9754), and 445 (4410) nm (L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): \bar{v} = 750 (s), 844 (s), 907 (s), 988 (vs), 1157 (w), 1216 (w), 1270 (w), 1369 (m), 1426 (vw), 1444 (w), 1466 (m), 1485 (m), 1548 (m), 1563 (w), 1582 (w), 1642 (s), 1657 (s), 1688 (s), 1707 (m), 1723 (s), 1754 (m), 1779 (m), 1809 (vw), 1838 (s), 1857 (s), 1879 (m), 1900 (m), 1926 (w), 1951 (w), 1979 (w), 2352 (m P–H), 2380 (m, P–H), and 2921 (br). Elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{55}H_{64}N_2OP_2$ (831.06): C, 79.49; H, 7.76; N, 3.37. Found: C, 79.60; H, 7.89; N, 3.73. Edge Article

common de carrier quantity and common based on a six of a 30 minutes during which the red colour of the solution-

minute common apple on the minutes are common based on a six of the in colours. The showed e

Catalytic hydrolysis of 1

0.277 g (0.402 mmol) of 1 and 0.005 g (0.0402 mmol) of 2 were dissolved in about 10 mL of THF (10 mL) and cooled down to -78 °C. Subsequently, 8 μ L (0.45 mmol) of water was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Subsequent ${}^{31}{\rm P} \{ ^1{\rm H} \}$ NMR measurements show the formation of 4 in about 95% spectroscopic yield.

Synthesis of 6

In a 50 mL Schlenk flask, 0.334 g (0.49 mmol) of compound 1 and 0.080 g (0.64 mmol) of 2 were dissolved in 15 mL of THF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. Afterwards, 10 mL of a THF solution of $NH_3·BH_3$ (0.020 g, 0.64 mmol) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes during which the red colour of the solution slowly faded to colourless. $31P$ NMR showed complete formation of compound 6 as d/l and meso isomers. All volatiles were evaporated and the residue was extracted with hot nhexane (15 mL). Crystallization occurred at room temperature, and a second crop was obtained at $-20\,^{\circ}$ C. Isolated yield 0.300 g (89%). Mp: >190 °C. ¹H NMR of the *meso* isomer (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = 1.90$ (s, 12H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.05 (s, 12H, CH₃ of Mes), 2.28 (s, 12H, CH_3 of Mes), 3.02 (centre of the AA $'XX'$ multiplet pattern, 2H, PH–PH, fitted with $^{1}J_{(31P,31P)} = 94.5$ Hz, $^{3}L_{1} = 17.0$ Hz, $^{1}L_{1} = 216$ Hz, $^{2}L_{1} = 10.9$ Hz) $J_{(1\text{H},1\text{H})} = 17.0 \text{ Hz}, \frac{1}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 216 \text{ Hz}, \text{ and } \frac{2}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 10.9 \text{ Hz},$ 6.74 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 6.76 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 6.81 (s, 4H, Ar–H), 6.83 (s, 4H, Ar–H), and 6.98 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{(H,H)}} = 7.41, 2H, \text{Ar–}H$) ppm. Selected ¹H NMR of the d/l isomer (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 1.91$ (s, 12H), 1.96 (s, $12H$), 2.30 (s, $12H$), and 4.01 (centre of the AA $'XX'$ multiplet pattern, 2H, PH–PH, fitted with $^{1}J_{(31P,31P)} = 260.8$ Hz,
 $^{3}L_{1} = 12.9$ Hz, $^{1}L_{1} = 201$ Hz, and $^{2}L_{1} = 13$ $J_{(1\text{H},1\text{H})} = 12.9 \text{ Hz}, \frac{1}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 201 \text{ Hz}, \text{ and } \frac{2}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 13$ Hz) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.43 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta = 21.35$ (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 21.38 (2C, CH_3 of Mes), 21.41 (1C, CH_3 of Mes), 21.48 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.55 (2C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.61 (1C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.71 (4C, CH₃ of Mes), 128.69 (2C, Ar-CH), 128.79 (2C, Ar–CH), 128.92 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.08 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.02 (2C, Ar– CH), 129.12 (2C, Ar–CH), 129.37 (2C, Ar–CH), 134.14 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 134.27 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 134.41 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), 136.12 (1C, Ar-C_{quat}), 136.36 (3C, Ar-C_{quat}), 136.54 (1C, Ar-C_{quat}), 136.65 (3C, Ar– C_{quat}), 136.76 (3C, Ar– C_{quat}), 139.88 (3C, Ar– C_{quat}), 140.05 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 140.70 (1C, Ar–Cquat), 146.78 (2C, Ar– C_{quat}), and 146.87 (1C, Ar- C_{quat}) ppm. 31 P NMR (121.5 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = -101.4$ (*meso* isomer, centre of the AA'XX' pattern as seen in the ¹H decoupled spectrum; non-decoupled spectrum fit with $^{1}J_{(31P,31P)} = 94.5$ Hz, $^{3}J_{(1H,1H)} = 17.0$ Hz,
 $^{1}L_{1} = -216$ Hz, and $^{2}L_{1} = -10.9$ Hz (the same as that for $J_{(31P,1H)} = 216$ Hz, and $^{2}J_{(31P,1H)} = 10.9$ Hz (the same as that for the corresponding ¹H spectrum)) and -109.9 (d/l isomer, centre of the AA'XX' pattern as seen in the ${}^{1}H$ decoupled spectrum; non-decoupled spectrum fit using $^{1}_{J(31P,31P)} = 260.8$ Hz, ^{3}L $J_{(1\text{H},31\text{H})} = 12.9 \text{ Hz}, \frac{1}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 201 \text{ Hz}, \text{ and } \frac{2}{J_{(31\text{P},1\text{H})}} = 13 \text{ Hz}$ (the same as that for the corresponding ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum)) ppm. ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR (121.5 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = -101.38 (s, *meso* isomer) and -109.9 (s, d/l Isomer) ppm. CP-MAS ³¹P{¹H} NMR (163.32 MHz, 298 K)): $\delta = -101.38$ (s, meso isomer) and $-$ 104.13 (s, *d*/*l* isomer) ppm. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): \bar{v} =: 845 (s), 1398 (w), 1432 (w), 1457 (w), 1485 (w), 1567 (s), 1610 (s), 1647 (vw), 1726 (m), 1757 (vw), 1804 (vw), 1866 (m), 1932 (m), 2325 (s, P–H), 2403 (vw, P–H), 2727 (s), 2855 (w), and 2907 (w). Elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{48}H_{52}P_2$ (690.87): C, 83.45; H, 7.59. Found: C, 82.56; H, 7.27.

Synthesis of 7

In a 25 mL Schlenk flask 0.202 g (0.293 mmol) of compound 1 and 0.072 g (0.586 mmol) of 2 were dissolved in 10 mL of toluene. The red reaction mixture was heated at 105 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 h. During the course of the reaction, the color of the reaction mixture changed from red to yellow. After 12 h at 0 $^{\circ}$ C yellow crystals of 7 were obtained. The resulting mother liquor was concentrated to 5 mL and kept at -20 °C. After one day a second crop of crystals was collected. Total yield: 0.225 g (81.8%). Single

crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from a saturated solution of toluene at 0° C after one day. Mp: $>$ 200 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = 1.25 (s, 6H, C–CH₃ of NHC^{Me₄), 2.17 (6H, *p*-CH₃ of Mes), 2.40 (12H, *o*-CH₃ of Mes),} 2.84 (s, 6H, N–C H_3 of NHC $^{\text{Me}_4}$), 6.78 (4H, Ar–H), and 6.99–7.08 $\rm (m, 3H$ Ar–*H*) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.43 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): $\delta =$ 8.83 (2C, C–CH₃ of NHC^{Me₄), 21.51 (4C, CH₃ of Mes), 21.56 (2C,} CH_3 of Mes), 34.12 (N– CH_3 of NHC^{Me₄), 34.24 (N– CH_3 of} NHC $^{\rm Me_4}$), 122.50 (1C, Ar-CH), 122.55 (2C, CH₃-C of NHC $^{\rm Me_4}$), 128.53 (4C, Ar–CH), 128.98 (2C, d, $J_{\text{(C,P)}} = 1.38$, Ar–CH), 134.37 $(1C, Ar-C_{\text{quad}}), 136.26 (1C, Ar-C_{\text{quad}}), 142.78 (1C, Ar-C_{\text{quad}}),$ 142.82 (1C, Ar-C_{quat}), 144.68 (1C, Ar-C_{quat}), 144.86 (1C, Ar- C_{quad}), 150.67 (1C, Ar- C_{quad}), 151.42 (1C, Ar- C_{quad}), 167.40 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}), and 168.70 (1C, Ar– C_{quat}) ppm. ³¹P NMR (121.5 MHz, C_6D_6 , 298 K): $\delta = -77$ ppm. CP-MAS ${}^{31}P_1{}^{1}H$ } NMR (163.32 MHz, 298 K): $\delta = -77.05$ ppm. UV/vis (*n*-hexane): $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon) = 446$ $(11\ 244)$, 382 (5375), and 310 (2553) nm $(L\ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\ \mathrm{cm}^{-1})$. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): \bar{v} = 408 (s), 420 (vs), 432 (s), 465 (vs), 552 (s), 583 (m), 593 (vs), 658 (m), 677 (s), 720 (m), 745 (s), 775 (m), 797 (s), 856 (vs), 879 (s), 907 (s), 1042 (br, vs), 1085 (m), 1107 (m), 1185 (w), 1234 (w), 1660 (m), 1705 (m), 1856 (m), 1876 (w), 2346 (br, w), and 2372 (w). Elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{31}H_{37}N_2P$ (468.62): C, 79.45; H, 7.96; N, 5.98. Found: C, 79.24; H, 8.02; N, 6.06. Openical Science

Openical Science Article Common Access Articles. The control of the Creative Common Common

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Hyderabad, Gopanpally, Hyderabad-500107, Telangana, India, SERB-DST (EMR/2014/001237), India, Research Group Linkage Programme of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany, and Saarland University, Germany. VC is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India, for a National J. C. Bose fellowship. We thank the reviewers for their comments to improve the manuscript.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1966, 1711–1732; (b) P. Meakin, J. P. Jesson and C. A. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 3240–3242; (c) S. K. Tanielyan, R. L. Augustine, N. Marin and G. Alvez, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 159–169.
- 2 (a) N. H. Park, E. V. Vinogradova, D. S. Surry and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 8259– 8262; (b) P. L. Arrechea and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12486–12493; (c) D. A. Culkin and J. F. Hartwig, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 3398–3416; (d) B. Su, T.-G. Zhou, X. W. Li, X. R. Shao, P. L. Xu, W. L. Wu, J. F. Hartwig and Z. J. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 1092–1096; (e) X. Jiang, J. J. Beiger and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 87–90.
- 3 P. P. Power, Nature, 2010, 463, 171–177.
- 4 G. C. Welch, R. R. S. Juan, J. D. Masuda and D. W. Stephan, Science, 2006, 314, 1124–1126.
- 5 Y. Peng, B. D. Ellis, X. Wang, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, Science, 2009, 325, 1668–1670.
- 6 F. Buß, P. Mehlmann, C. Muck-Lichtenfeld, K. Bergander ¨ and F. Dielmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1840–1843.
- 7 (a) K. Leszczyńska, K. Abersfelder, A. Mix, B. Neumann, H. G. Stammler, M. J. Cowley, P. Jutzi and D. Scheschkewitz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6785– 6788; (b) M. J. Cowley, V. Huch, H. Rzepa and D. Scheschkewitz, Nature Chem., 2013, 5, 876–879.
- 8 A. F. Eichhorn, S. Fuchs, M. Flock, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10209–10213.
- 9 (a) G. H. Spikes, J. C. Fettinger and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12232; (b) G. D. Frey, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller and G. Bertrand, Science, 2007, 316, 439–441.
- 10 (a) A. Jana, M. Majumdar, V. Huch, M. Zimmer and D. Scheschkewitz, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 5175–5181; (b) A. Jana, I. Omlor, V. Huch, H. S. Rzepa and D. Scheschkewitz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9953– 9956.
- 11 (a) L. Liu, D. A. Ruiz, D. Munz and G. Bertrand, Chem, 2016, 1, 147–153; (b) M. M. Hansmann, R. Jazzar and G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 8356–8359.
- 12 M. M. Hansmann and G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 15885–15888.
- 13 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14970–14971.
- 14 D. Dhara, D. Mandal, A. Maiti, C. B. Yildiz, P. Kalita, N. Chrysochos, C. Schulzke, V. Chandrasekhar and A. Jana, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 19290–19298.
- 15 N. Hayakawa, K. Sadamori, S. Tsujimoto, M. Hatanaka, T. Wakabayashi and T. Matsuo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 5765–5769.
- 16 (a) J. I. Bates, P. Kennepohl and D. P. Gates, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9844-9847; (b) P. K. Majhi, K. C. F. Chow, T. H. H. Hsieh, E. G. Bowes, G. Schnakenburg, P. Kennepohl, R. Streubel and Derek P. Gates, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 998–1001.
- 17 M. Yoshifuji, I. Shima, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu and T. Higuchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 4587–4589.
- 18 M. Yoshifuji, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2016, 607–615.
- 19 E. Urnéžius and J. D. Protasiewicz, Main Group Chem., 1996, 1, 369–372.
- 20 N. Kuhn and T. Kratz, Synthesis, 1993, 561–562.
- 21 J. D. Protasiewicz, M. P. Washington, V. B. Gudimetla, J. L. Payton and M. C. Simpson, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2010, 364, 39–45.
- 22 P. C. Andrews, S. J. King, C. L. Raston and B. A. Roberts, Chem. Commun., 1998, 547–548.
- 23 See the ESI† for the details.
- 24 (a) J. Borm, G. Huttner, O. Orama and L. Zsolnai, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 282, 53–67; (b) N. Nagahora, T. Sasamori, N. Takeda and N. Tokitoh, Chem.–Eur. J., 2004, 10, 6146–6151; (c) C. Moser, F. Belaj and
- R. Pietschnig, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 12589–12591; (d)
- L. Weber, S. Buchwald, A. Rühlicke, H. G. Stammler and
- B. Neumann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1993, 619, 934–942.
- 25 D. Mandal, B. Santra, P. Kalita, N. Chrysochos, A. Malakar, R. S. Narayanan, S. Biswas, C. Schulzke, V. Chandrasekhar and A. Jana, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 8898–8910.
- 26 K. Esfandiarfard, A. I. Arkhypchuk, A. Orthaber and S. Ott, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 2201–2207.
- 27 (a) A. Jana, V. Huch, H. S. Rzepa and D. Scheschkewitz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 289–292; (b) H. Cui, J. Zhang and C. Cui, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 1–4.
- 28 Z. Tošner, R. Andersen, B. Stevensson, M. Edén, N. C. Nielsena and T. Vosegaard, J. Magn. Reson., 2014, 246, 79–93. Edge Article

R. Pictochial₂, Guern-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 12589-1259; (d) 28 Z. Tokare, R. Andrear, D. S. Newron, 2018. Download Science

1. Weiner, S. Newron, 2018. Downloaded the Christine Commons Commons Article is like.
	- 29 K. A. Erickson, L. S. H. Dixon, D. S. Wright and R. Waterman, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2014, 422, 141–145.
	- 30 O. Back, M. H. Ellinger, C. D. Martin, D. Martin and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2939–2943.
	- 31 T. Krachko, M. Bispinghoff, A. M. Tondreau, D. Stein, M. Baker, A. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg and H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 7948–7951.