

Showcasing research from Professor Hiroko Yamada's laboratory, Division of Materials Science, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST), Ikoma, Japan.

A photochemical layer-by-layer solution process for preparing organic semiconducting thin films having the right material at the right place

In this report, we employ a photoreaction-based layer-by-layer solution process for preparing ternary organic photovoltaic layers. This process is applicable to a variety of compounds, and enables the preparation of multicomponent organic semiconducting thin films having the right compound at the right place. The resulting ternary photovoltaic devices afford high internal quantum efficiencies, leading to an approximately two times higher power conversion efficiency as compared to the corresponding binary bulk-heterojunction system. This work opens up new possibilities in designing materials and active layers for solution-processed organic electronic devices.

# ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

# As featured in:



Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 6614.

rsc.li/chemical-science

# Chemical Science

# EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 6614

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 20th April 2018 Accepted 16th July 2018 DOI: 10.1039/c8sc01799a

DOI: 10.1039/C03C01/998

rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

Organic semiconductors are quite diverse in structure and properties. By combining this diversity with precise control over their arrangement, one can construct sophisticated multicomponent systems wherein different materials synergistically accomplish a complex electronic or optoelectronic process. Organic photovoltaic devices (OPVs) are a prime example in this context. A photovoltaic active layer typically comprises two or more different compounds with different electronic characteristics, and its structural parameters such as the degree of phase separation and the vertical profile of material composition are as critical as the molecular structure in determining device efficiency.1 Accordingly, there has been growing demand, in association with the development of OPV technology, for effective processing techniques that enable precise structural control of multicomponent semiconducting thin films. Several approaches have already been proposed to meet this need; for

# A photochemical layer-by-layer solution process for preparing organic semiconducting thin films having the right material at the right place<sup>+</sup>

Mitsuharu Suzuki, <sup>(b)</sup> \*<sup>a</sup> Yuji Yamaguchi,<sup>b</sup> Kensuke Uchinaga,<sup>a</sup> Katsuya Takahira,<sup>b</sup> Cassandre Quinton,<sup>a</sup> Shinpei Yamamoto,<sup>a</sup> Naoto Nagami,<sup>a</sup> Mari Furukawa,<sup>a</sup> Ken-ichi Nakayama <sup>(b)</sup> \*<sup>bc</sup> and Hiroko Yamada <sup>(b)</sup> \*<sup>a</sup>

The synergistic action of properly integrated semiconducting materials can bring about sophisticated electronic processes and functions. However, it is often a great challenge to achieve optimal performance in organic devices because of the limited control over the distribution of different materials in active layers. Here, we employ a unique photoreaction-based layer-by-layer solution process for preparing ternary organic photovoltaic layers. This process is applicable to a variety of compounds from wide-band-gap small molecules to narrow-band-gap  $\pi$ -extended systems, and enables the preparation of multicomponent organic semiconducting thin films having the right compound at the right place. The resulting ternary photovoltaic devices afford high internal quantum efficiencies, leading to an approximately two times higher power-conversion efficiency as compared to the corresponding binary bulk-heterojunction system. This work opens up new possibilities in designing materials and active layers for solution-processed organic electronic devices.

example, the layer-by-layer deposition by using orthogonal solvents or post-deposition chemical conversion,<sup>2</sup> and the use of annealing or a solvent additive to induce adequate degrees of phase separation and crystallization.<sup>3-9</sup> However, each approach more or less has limitations in scope and applicability, leaving much room for further exploration toward new methodologies.

Along these lines, we have recently reported that a photoreaction-based layer-by-layer solution process ("photoprecursor approach") offers unique opportunities in controlling the structure of organic semiconducting thin films.<sup>10-16</sup> In this method,  $\alpha$ -diketone (DK) derivatives of acenes are employed as photoreactive precursors, which undergo decarbonylative aromatization upon irradiation of visible light corresponding to the n- $\pi^*$  transition of the  $\alpha$ -diketone moiety (*ca.* 470 nm, Fig. 1a). DK-type photoprecursors are generally higher in solubility than corresponding acenes, thereby enabling the deposition of insoluble acenes through a stepwise manner in which a photoprecursor is solution-processed into a thin-film form and then converted to an acene compound by an *in situ* photoreaction.

Importantly, when solubility of the resulting acene compound is sufficiently low, another material can be deposited on top of it as a solution. Our proof-of-concept study demonstrated that the photoprecursor approach could be applied to the preparation of p-i-n-type photovoltaic active layers where a p:n blend (intermixed layer or i-layer) was sandwiched between neat p- and n-type materials (p- and n-layers).<sup>13</sup> This triple-layer structure was predicted to afford



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Division of Materials Science, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology (NAIST), Ikoma, Nara 630-0192, Japan. E-mail: msuzuki@ms.naist.jp; hyamada@ms.naist.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Organic Device Engineering, Yamagata University, Yonezawa, Yamagata 992-8510, Japan

Department of Material and Life Science, Division of Advanced Science and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan, E-mail: nakayama@mls.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8sc01799a



Fig. 1 (a) General scheme of the photo-induced decarbonylative aromatization employed in the photoprecursor approach; (b) chemical structures of the newly synthesized DK-type photoprecursors PhBADTDK and AtDDK, and their photoreaction products PhBADT and AtD; (c) schematic illustration of the p-i-n-type OPV evaluated in this work.

higher photovoltaic efficiency as compared to the p:n-blend monolayer system (*i.e.*, standard bulk-heterojunction (BHJ) system) owing to the combination of efficient charge-carrier generation in the i-layer and swift charge-carrier extraction through the p- and n-layers. Indeed, the p–i–n devices prepared *via* the photoprecursor approach afforded up to more than three times higher power-conversion efficiencies (PCEs) as compared to the corresponding BHJ devices. This significant improvement manifests the importance of controlling the vertical composition profile in the active layer, which is often hard to achieve with conventional solution processes.

Multilayer structures such as p–i–n are also attractive in other aspects. For instance, different layers can be independently optimized depending on their required functions; specifically, swift and selective transport of photogenerated charge carriers for the p- and n-layers, or efficient photoabsorption and charge-carrier generation for the i-layer.<sup>2</sup> Organic semiconductors can take full advantage of this benefit because of their highly diverse and tunable nature. Another advantage is the high freedom in optimizing thin-film optics. As the thickness of each sublayer can be altered on demand, the active-layer structure may be tuned such that photons are selectively and effectively absorbed at the right position in order to make maximum use of incident sunlight.<sup>17</sup>

By taking into account these benefits, as well as the compatibility with wet processing and the mildness of the postdeposition photoreaction, we expect that the photoprecursor approach may serve as an effective means for preparing efficient, large-area and flexible OPVs. Towards this goal, the present work significantly extends the scope of the photoprecursor approach from the previous proof-of-concept report.<sup>13</sup> The following describes synthesis and photoreactivity of two new DK-type derivatives of small-molecule organic semiconductors PhBADT and AtD, which are specifically chosen/ designed for the p- and i-layers in p-i-n-type OPVs, respectively (Fig. 1b and c). We also compared different types of active layers in order to confirm the previously suggested advantages accompanying the control over the vertical composition profile in the active layer.<sup>13,17-21</sup> Overall, this contribution illustrates the effectiveness of the photoprecursor approach toward achieving well-performing OPVs having the right material in the right place.

## **Results and discussion**

#### Molecular design and synthesis

The p-layer in p-i-n-type OPVs should have high transparency and hole-transport capability. Herein, PhBADT is the material of choice for the p-layer, because it is transparent in a wide range of the visible region.<sup>22</sup> PhBADT is also known to form three dimensionally extended  $\pi$ - $\pi$  contacts in the solid state, which is favourable in achieving effective hole-transport paths.<sup>23</sup> Furthermore, PhBADT is scarcely soluble, while the corresponding DK-type photoprecursor PhBADTDK is well soluble. This is highly important in the context of constructing multilayer structures *via* the photoprecursor approach. PhBADTDK is accessible in three steps from PhBADT as shown in Scheme 1a. The conversion of PhBADTDK to PhBADT has been spectroscopically confirmed both in solution and in the thin-film state (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

On the other hand, the i-layer should efficiently absorb the sunlight and generate charge carriers. AtD, a conjugate of anthrathiophene (At) and dithienyldiketopyrrolopyrrole (DTDPP), is newly designed to fit the needs for the photovoltaic process and the wet processing via the photoprecursor approach. DFT calculations have shown that the DTDPP unit is the main contributor to both the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of AtD (see the next section and Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). Therefore, the configuration of AtD does not follow the commonly employed "weak donor/strong acceptor" design in which the donor unit is a main contributor to the HOMO, while the acceptor unit (DTDPP is among the common examples) to the LUMO.<sup>24</sup> Rather, the central DTDPP group in AtD serves as a primary dye unit ensuring high light absorptivity, while the two At units serve as a modulator of the frontier-orbital energy levels through  $\pi$ -extension. The At units are also the points of introducing the photo-reactive DK group. Having two DK units



assures high contrast in solubility between the photoprecursor (AtDDK, well soluble) and the photoreaction product (AtD, scarcely soluble). The synthesis of AtDDK can be achieved *via* the Stille coupling between the corresponding derivatives of DTDPP and At units, followed by hydrolysis and Swern oxidation to generate the DK units (Scheme 1b). The conversion of AtDDK to AtD has been confirmed similarly to the case of PhBADTDK (Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>).

#### **Electronic structures**

The calculated frontier-orbital coefficient distributions of PhBADT and AtD are shown in Fig. 2a and b. In PhBADT, both the HOMO and LUMO delocalize over the whole  $\pi$ -framework, even though the phenyl groups are not coplanar against the central anthradithiophene core (the dihedral angle is 27°, Fig. S4a†). On the other hand, the frontier orbitals of AtD relatively localize at the DTDPP unit as mentioned in the previous

section, although its  $\pi$ -framework is optimized to be fully coplanar (Fig. S4b†). Nonetheless, the HOMO of AtD managed to extend to the end of At units, suggesting that it would be still possible to form effective intermolecular HOMO–HOMO contacts and thus hole-transport paths in the solid state.

Shown in Fig. 2c and d are the UV-Vis absorption spectra and experimentally determined frontier-orbital energies of PhBADT and AtD in the thin-film state. The absorption onset of PhBADT is located at 460 nm, while AtD has a strong absorption at 600–700 nm with an onset around 760 nm. Note that AtD has, despite its simple structure, a similar photoabsorption range to those of high-performance photoabsorbers such as PTB7-Th.<sup>25</sup> In addition, AtD is rather transparent around 470–500 nm at which the absorption of the photo-reactive DK unit is located. This is beneficial for minimizing the interruption of the intended photo-induced decarbonylation by the masking effect of the photoreaction product. With regard to the frontier-orbital



Fig. 2 (a and b) Frontier-orbital coefficient distribution in PhBADT and AtD calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. Note that the ethylhexyl groups of AtD are simplified to methyl for saving the calculation time. (c) Photoabsorption spectra of thin films of PhBADT and AtD prepared by the photoprecursor approach. (d) Energy-level diagram of PhBADT and AtD along with  $PC_{71}BM$  and electrode materials. The values are adopted from the literature<sup>26</sup> except those for PhBADT and AtD. The HOMO levels of PhBADT and AtD were determined by photoelectron yield spectroscopy in air and the LUMO levels were calculated to be "HOMO + absorption onset energy". See the ESI† for experimental details.

energy levels, there is no offset between the HOMOs of PhBADT and AtD, and thus barrier-free extraction of holes from the ilayer to the p-layer is expected. On the other hand, the LUMO-LUMO offset between AtD and  $PC_{71}BM$  has been estimated to be 0.2 eV (-3.8 eV for AtD and -4.0 eV for  $PC_{71}BM^{26}$ ), which is around the smallest possible for ensuring efficient electron transfer from the p-type material to the n-type material. Thus, AtD is tuned to have ideal frontier-orbital energies as a partner of  $PC_{71}BM.^{24}$  Overall, these computational and experimental results suggest that PhBADT, AtD, and  $PC_{71}BM$ constitute an optimal ternary system in terms of the molecular electronic structure for constructing a p-i-n photovoltaic layer.

#### Photovoltaic performance

The p–i–n OPVs comprising PhBADT, AtD, and  $PC_{71}BM$  were fabricated *via* the photoprecursor approach as schematically illustrated in Fig. 3. The p-layer was deposited by spin-coating of PhBADTDK in chloroform followed by irradiation with a blue LED (470 nm) to form PhBADT. The i-layer was deposited in a similar manner with chloroform as the cast solvent, but from a blend solution of AtDDK and  $PC_{71}BM$  instead of PhBADTDK. The ratio between AtDDK and  $PC_{71}BM$  in the cast solution was optimized to be 1 : 1 by weight (Table S1†) and kept the same throughout this study. Lastly,  $PC_{71}BM$  was spin-coated as a chloroform solution to complete the p–i–n structure.

The thickness of each sublayer was controlled by changing the concentration of cast solution, and the best photovoltaic performance was obtained when p, i and n-layers were deposited by using solutions of 1, 9 and 7 mg mL $^{-1}$ , respectively. The ratio of the three components in the resulting thin film was roughly estimated to be about 1:3:10 through fitting analysis of absorption spectra (Fig. S6<sup>†</sup>). Note here that the exact thickness of each sublayer is hard to define in the present case because dissolution and mixing cannot be fully avoided. Nonetheless, the advantage of sequential deposition of different materials over the one-time deposition of a mixture is obvious as described below. We expect that higher control over the sublayer thickness would be achieved by employing an n-type material that can be completely "insolubilized" via the precursor approach. Work along this line is underway in our group,<sup>10</sup> and the result will be reported elsewhere in due course.

The maximum power conversion efficiency (PCE) achieved in thus-prepared p-i-n-type active layer was 4.7% associated with a short-circuit current density ( $J_{\rm SC}$ ) of 10.6 mA cm<sup>-1</sup>, an opencircuit voltage ( $V_{\rm OC}$ ) of 0.89 V, and a fill factor (FF) of 49.8% (Table 1, Entry 1). As compared to the previously reported proofof-concept system (PCE = 2.9%,  $J_{\rm SC}$  = 5.8 mA cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $V_{\rm OC}$  =



Fig. 3 Schematic description of the procedure for fabricating p-i-n-type OPVs through the photoprecursor approach. ETL-1 is a fullerenebased solution-processable cathode buffer reported by Li *et al.*<sup>27</sup>

Table 1 Entry	Photovoltaic parameters <sup>a,b</sup>							
	Active layer structure <sup>c</sup>	$J_{\rm SC}^{\ \ d}  ({\rm mA \ cm^{-2}})$	$V_{\mathrm{OC}}{}^{d}\left(\mathrm{V}\right)$	$\mathrm{FF}^{d}$ (%)	$\operatorname{PCE}^{d}(\%)$	$\text{PCE}_{\text{ave}}^{e}$ (%)	$R_{\rm S}^{f}(\Omega~{\rm cm}^2)$	$R_{\rm P}^{f}(\Omega \ {\rm cm}^2)$
1	p–i–n	10.6	0.89	49.8	4.7	$4.3\pm0.3$	16	424
2	ВНЈ	1.6	0.83	23.5	0.30	$0.29\pm0.02$	433	465
3	p–i	2.8	0.92	24.6	0.63	$0.58\pm0.09$	213	307
4	i–n	9.7	0.89	48.1	4.1	$3.9\pm0.4$	21	423
5	p–i–n (with SVA) <sup>g</sup>	12.7	0.88	52.6	5.9	$5.5\pm0.3$	11	411

<sup>*a*</sup> Measured under simulated AM1.5G illumination at 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. <sup>*b*</sup> General device structure: [ITO/PEDOT:PSS (30 nm)/active layer/ETL-1/Al (80 nm)]. <sup>*c*</sup> Concentrations of cast solutions: PhBADTDK for p-layer, 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>; AtDDK:PC<sub>71</sub>BM (1 : 1) for i-layer, 9 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>; PC<sub>71</sub>BM for n-layer, 7 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. All these solutions are in chloroform. <sup>*d*</sup> Parameters of the best-performing cells. <sup>*e*</sup> Averages and standard deviations calculated from at least four devices. <sup>*f*</sup>  $R_{s_1}$  series resistance;  $R_{p_1}$  parallel resistance. <sup>*g*</sup> Annealed with THF vapor for 120 s.

#### **Chemical Science**

0.91 V, FF = 55%),<sup>13</sup> the increase in  $J_{SC}$  is significant. This can be attributed mainly to the improved photoabsorption capability of AtD in comparison with 2,6-bis[5'-(2-ethylhexyl)-(2,2'-bithiophen)-5-yl]anthracene (EH-DBTA, see Fig. S5† for the chemical structure). Indeed, the photovoltaic response in the present device covers the whole range of visible light (350–750 nm) as a result of complementary photoabsorption of AtD and PC<sub>71</sub>BM (Fig. 4b). In addition, the employment of PhBADT, instead of the prototype p-layer material 2,6-di(2-thienyl) anthracene (DTA, see Fig. S5† for the chemical structure) has enhanced  $J_{SC}$  and FF (Table S2†). Thus, the layer-by-layer solution process *via* the photoprecursor approach is successfully advanced from a proof-of-concept demonstration to the stage of material optimization for achieving efficient OPVs.

We have then examined the effectiveness of the p-i-n structure by comparing it with the corresponding BHJ monolayer device. In addition, partial structures of the p-i-n active layer, namely p-i and i-n bilayers, were also evaluated.

The results are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 4. Note that the concentrations of cast solutions were kept the same as those for the p–i–n device to allow direct comparison, but optimized for each active-layer structures except the case of p–i–n.

The BHJ system showed a considerably lower PCE of 0.30%  $(J_{SC} = 1.6 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}, V_{OC} = 0.83 \text{ V}, \text{ and FF} = 23.5\%)$  than the p-i-n device (Table 1, Entry 2). A high series resistance  $(R_S)$  is apparent in this case, indicating the lack of effective charge-carrier paths in the active layer. This is also reflected to the significantly deteriorated  $J_{SC}$  and FF. In turn, the low PCE of the BHJ device clearly demonstrates the advantage of employing the p-i-n structure when the morphology of the BHJ layer is far from ideal.

In the p-i device, photovoltaic efficiency was improved by approximately twice (PCE = 0.63%) as compared to the BHJ device. This improvement can be attributed mainly to the increase in  $J_{SC}$  from 1.6 to 2.8 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (Table 1, Entry 3). The external and internal quantum efficiencies (EQE and IQE) were uniformly enhanced over the whole range of active wavelengths (Fig. 4b and c), and thus the photons absorbed by AtD and

 $PC_{71}BM$  should have equally contributed to the higher  $J_{SC}$ . Here, the IQE values were estimated using the method employed by Yu *et al.*<sup>28</sup> Although this method does not account for parasitic absorption and thus can underestimate the "true" quantum efficiency,<sup>29</sup> the estimated IQEs would still provide useful information on systematic comparison between devices.

On the other hand, the photoabsorption by p-layer should not significantly affect the EQE because of its short absorption onset wavelength and thinness (<10 nm). A possible explanation for the enhancement in  $J_{SC}$  would be that the charge recombination was reduced by the selective extraction of holes into the p-layer. Another likely cause is the blocking effect of the wideband-gap p-layer which keeps excitons from being quenched in the PEDOT:PSS buffer.<sup>30</sup> At the same time, however, such positive effects should be limited to an adjacent segment around the p-i interface, and the overall photovoltaic process is still low because of the unfavourable morphology in the i-layer as evidenced by the low FF and high  $R_{S}$ .

The i-n system afforded a much higher PCE of 4.1% than the BHJ system (Table 1, Entry 4). As the  $J_{SC}$  and FF were both largely increased (from 1.6 to 9.7 mA  $cm^{-2}$  and from 23.5 to 48.1%, respectively), improvement in charge-extraction efficiency should be a main reason for the significantly higher PCE. In this case, a drastic change of the vertical composition profile in the ilayer can be expected, because the insoluble p-type material (AtD) settling on the soluble n-type material ( $PC_{71}BM$ ) should be washed away at least partially during the deposition of the nlayer from a chloroform solution. Note here that PC<sub>71</sub>BM is newly supplied from the cast solution, and thus the BHJ-type structure in the i-layer should be mostly maintained, as indicated by the similarity in the EQE profile between i-n and p-i-n devices. This would lead to the formation of an active layer in which the concentration of the n-type material increases from the bottom (anode side) to the top (cathode side). Such a vertical phase separation has been suggested as being favourable for the charge-carrier collection process.31-33 It should also be noted here that the sequential solution deposition enables preparing this type of material distribution by design, rather than



Fig. 4 Photovoltaic response of the OPVs prepared without annealing treatment (Entries 1-4 in Table 1). (a) J-V curves; (b) EQE spectra; (c) IQE spectra. Data are of the best-performing cell in each active-layer structure and obtained under simulated AM1.5G illumination at 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>. EQE: external quantum efficiency, IQE: internal quantum efficiency.



Fig. 5 Tapping-mode AFM images of AtD:PC<sub>71</sub>BM (1 : 1) blend films before (a) and after (b) SVA with THF. The scale bars correspond to 0.5  $\mu$ m. The RMS values of surface roughness are 0.32 and 0.48 nm for (a) and (b), respectively.

extensive screening of deposition conditions for each different combination of materials. Another factor potentially influencing is the annealing effect by the cast solvent (chloroform) which improves the phase purity and thus charge-carrier transport efficiency in the i-layer. This latter aspect is examined in more detail in the next section. The photoabsorption by the n-layer, on the other hand, would not be a main factor in the improvement of photocurrent, because the EQE has increased throughout the whole of active wavelengths rather than only those corresponding to the PC<sub>71</sub>BM absorption.

# Annealing of BHJ layers prepared by the photoprecursor approach

The effect of solvent vapor annealing (SVA) on the AtD:PC<sub>71</sub>BM BHJ system was screened using several different solvents (Table S3†). This preliminary examination showed that SVA with chloroform, as mentioned above, considerably improved PCE (Table S3, Entry S7†). An even better result was obtained with tetrahydrofuran (THF), affording a five times higher PCE as compared to the non-annealed device prepared in the same batch (Table S3, Entry S9†). The SVA treatment in this screening

was performed after the photoreaction of AtDDK to AtD. Thus, these results demonstrate that hardly soluble molecules equipped with minimal flexible substituents can still rearrange to a considerable degree in the solid state by merely exposing to solvent vapor for a relatively short period (60 s). At the same time, preliminary experiments also showed that other common morphology-control techniques such as thermal annealing and the use of a high-boiling-point additive were not effective in the present system (Tables S4 and S5†).

Analysis of the surface morphology by atomic force microscopy (AFM) showed that SVA with THF increased the grain size and surface roughness (Fig. 5a and b). Namely, grains of tens of nanometers in diameter became apparent after the SVA treatment, while the pre-annealing film showed a much finer texture. In addition, the root-mean-square (RMS) roughness increased from 0.32 to 0.48 nm. Such a morphological change has been often related to the increase in phase purity and thus charge-carrier transport efficiency,<sup>7</sup> which seems also the case for the present AtD:PC<sub>71</sub>BM system wherein  $J_{SC}$  and FF have been largely enhanced upon SVA.

The SVA with THF was then applied to the p–i–n system. This treatment was found best to apply after deposition of the i-layer,



Fig. 6 Photovoltaic characteristics of p-i-n OPVs. (a) J-V curves and (b) photoabsorption, EQE and IQE spectra of the best-performing p-i-n OPV prepared with THF SVA; (c) light-intensity dependence of  $J_{SC}$  of the best-performing p-i-n devices prepared with THF SVA; (c) light-intensity dependence of JSC of the best-performing p-i-n devices prepared with and without THF SVA. The  $\alpha$  values are 0.981 for the device with SVA and 0.974 without SVA.

while it was not as effective after deposition of the n-layer (Table S6<sup>†</sup>). The duration of SVA was optimized at 120 s, although the process window was rather wide, with the PCE changing little within the range of 60–180 s (Table S7<sup>†</sup>). The annealing significantly improved the photovoltaic efficiency of the p–i–n device to afford the maximum PCE of 5.9% (Entry 5 in Table 1, and Fig. 6a). The improvement can be ascribed mainly to the enhanced  $J_{\rm SC}$  (10.6  $\rightarrow$  12.7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) and FF (49.8  $\rightarrow$  52.6%). Fig. 6b shows the photoabsorption, EQE, and IQE spectra of the best-performing p–i–n cell prepared with THF SVA. The EQE was enhanced at all the active wavelengths and the maximum EQE reached 60%, while it was around 50% without SVA (Fig. 4b), indicating a considerable improvement in charge-carrier collection efficiency. This is well reflected in the high IQE, the maximum of which reaches almost 100%.

The variation in  $J_{SC}$  as a function of illumination intensity was also measured for the p–i–n devices with and without THF SVA (Fig. 6c). In general, the power-law dependence of  $J_{SC}$  upon light intensity ( $J_{SC} \propto I^{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  is an exponential factor) is observed for OPVs, and  $\alpha = 1$  indicates an efficient sweep-out of charge carriers prior to recombination. The  $\alpha$  values are as high as 0.981 and 0.974 for the devices with and without THF SVA, respectively. Thus, the p–i–n device without SVA already had a relatively high efficiency of charge-carrier collection, and the efficiency was further enhanced upon THF SVA.

## Conclusions

In summary, this contribution has clearly demonstrated that the photoprecursor approach is applicable to a wide variety of semiconductors from simple wide-band-gap organic compounds as PhBADT to  $\pi$ -extended narrow-band-gap compounds as AtD. This versatility enabled the controlled deposition of ternary photovoltaic layers containing independently optimized two different p-type materials. The advantage of the thus-obtained p-i-n-type OPV was confirmed through the systematic comparison of different active-layer structures, wherein the p-i-n device afforded a one-order higher efficiency than the corresponding BHJ device (4.7% vs. 0.3%). This increase in PCE can be ascribed to the improved vertical composition profile, which enables more efficient chargecarrier collection, as manifested by the higher  $J_{\rm SC}$  and FF.<sup>31-33</sup> It has also been shown that the solid-state molecular arrangement in BHJ layers can be significantly modified without heating, even when molecules are equipped with only minimal flexible substituents so that they are insoluble. Accordingly, SVA of the AtD:PC71BM layer considerably improved the PCE of the p-i-n OPV (4.7  $\rightarrow$  5.9%). The 5.9% PCE is indeed the highest reported for p-i-n-type OPVs prepared by solution process,<sup>18,19</sup> and importantly even higher PCEs can be expected upon further optimization of the active-layer structure as indicated by the increasing photocurrent at negative bias voltages (Fig. 6a).

It would be worth emphasizing that the present photoprecursor approach is characterized by the mildness of the postdeposition reaction in which only visible-light irradiation is required. In relation to this aspect, it is known that fullerenes and their derivatives undergo photo-induced [2 + 2] addition in the solid state. Indeed, the photoreactivity of fullerenes has been a subject of several studies concerning the stability of BHJ OPVs containing  $PC_{61}BM$ .<sup>34–40</sup> By contrast,  $PC_{71}BM$  is reported to be much less susceptive to the [2 + 2] reaction owing to the lower number of reactive double bonds.<sup>41,42</sup> In the present case of photoprecursor approach, any sign of the [2 + 2] dimerization or oligomerization has not been detected in terms of the change in photoabsorption<sup>34</sup> and solubility<sup>43,44</sup> during the *in situ* photoreaction (Fig. S7†). It is therefore assumed that the effect of the [2 + 2] addition would not be significant, if any, to the performance of the OPVs prepared in this work.

Another advantage of the photoprecursor approach is that it allows molecules to be free from extensive decollation with flexible and insulating substituents, enabling efficient intermolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$  contact in the solid state. This will be highly beneficial in enhancing electronic performance and morphological stability of organic semiconducting thin films. Now that its material scope is largely extended, we postulate that the photoprecursor approach can serve as an effective means for the controlled preparation of high-performance photovoltaic layers and other multicomponent organic semiconductors having "the right material in the right place".

# Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the CREST program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) (No. JP26105004, JP16H02286, JP16K17949 and JP17H03134) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), Japan Regional Innovation Strategy Program by the Excellence (J-RISE) sponsored by JST, NAIST foundation, Izumi science and technology foundation, and the program for promoting the enhancement of research universities in NAIST supported by MEXT. We thank Ms Yoshiko Nishikawa at NAIST for assistance in mass spectroscopic measurements.

### Notes and references

- 1 K. Leo, *Elementary Processes in Organic Photovoltaics*, Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- 2 Y. Wang and X. Zhan, Adv. Energy Mater., 2016, 6, 1600414.
- 3 Q. Wan, X. Guo, Z. Wang, W. Li, B. Guo, W. Ma, M. Zhang and Y. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 6635–6640.
- 4 G. Ren, E. Ahmed and S. A. Jenekhe, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, 1, 946–953.
- 5 J. S. Moon, C. J. Takacs, S. Cho, R. C. Coffin, H. Kim, G. C. Bazan and A. J. Heeger, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 4005–4008.
- 6 L. A. Perez, J. T. Rogers, M. A. Brady, Y. Sun, G. C. Welch, K. Schmidt, M. F. Toney, H. Jinnai, A. J. Heeger, M. L. Chabinyc, G. C. Bazan and E. J. Kramer, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 6531–6541.

- 7 K. A. O'Hara, D. P. Ostrowski, U. Koldemir, C. J. Takacs,
   S. E. Shaheen, A. Sellinger and M. L. Chabinyc, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 19021–19029.
- 8 Y. Zhao, Z. Xie, Y. Qu, Y. Geng and L. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **90**, 043504.
- 9 A. Sharenko, M. Kuik, M. F. Toney and T.-Q. Nguyen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 3543–3550.
- K. Kawajiri, T. Kawanoue, M. Yamato, K. Terai, M. Yamashita, M. Furukawa, N. Aratani, M. Suzuki, K. Nakayama and H. Yamada, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.*, 2017, 6, M3068–M3074.
- C. Quinton, M. Suzuki, Y. Kaneshige, Y. Tatenaka, C. Katagiri, Y. Yamaguchi, D. Kuzuhara, N. Aratani, K. Nakayama and H. Yamada, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, 3, 5995–6005.
- 12 S. Masuo, W. Sato, Y. Yamaguchi, M. Suzuki, K. Nakayama and H. Yamada, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2015, **14**, 883– 890.
- 13 Y. Yamaguchi, M. Suzuki, T. Motoyama, S. Sugii, C. Katagiri, K. Takahira, S. Ikeda, H. Yamada and K. Nakayama, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, 4, 7151.
- M. Suzuki, T. Aotake, Y. Yamaguchi, N. Noguchi, H. Nakano,
  K. Nakayama and H. Yamada, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *C*, 2014, 18, 50–70.
- 15 H. Yamada, Y. Yamaguchi, R. Katoh, T. Motoyama, T. Aotake, D. Kuzuhara, M. Suzuki, T. Okujima, H. Uno, N. Aratani and K. Nakayama, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 11638–11640.
- 16 M. Suzuki, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Takahashi, K. Takahira, T. Koganezawa, S. Masuo, K. Nakayama and H. Yamada, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 8644–8651.
- 17 B. Maennig, J. Drechsel, D. Gebeyehu, P. Simon, F. Kozlowski, A. Werner, F. Li, S. Grundmann, S. Sonntag, M. Koch, K. Leo, M. Pfeiffer, H. Hoppe, D. Meissner, N. S. Sariciftci, I. Riedel, V. Dyakonov and J. Parisi, *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.*, 2004, **79**, 1–14.
- 18 Y. Matsuo, Y. Sato, T. Niinomi, I. Soga, H. Tanaka and E. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 16048–16050.
- 19 T. L. Chen, J. J.-A. Chen, L. Catane and B. Ma, Org. Electron., 2011, 12, 1126–1131.
- 20 M. Hiramoto, H. Fujiwara and M. Yokoyama, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1991, **58**, 1062–1064.
- 21 B. Ray and M. A. Alam, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2012, **99**, 204–212.
- 22 A. Pietrangelo, B. O. Patrick, M. J. MacLachlan and M. O. Wolf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 4918–4926.
- 23 Y. Yi, L. Zhu and J.-L. Brédas, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 5215–5224.
- 24 H. Zhou, L. Yang, S. Stoneking and W. You, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2010, **2**, 1377–1383.

- 25 S. Zhang, L. Ye, W. Zhao, D. Liu, H. Yao and J. Hou, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 4653–4659.
- 26 Y. Liu, C.-C. Chen, Z. Hong, J. Gao, Y. (Michael) Yang,
   H. Zhou, L. Dou, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3, 3356.
- 27 C.-Z. Li, C.-C. Chueh, H.-L. Yip, K. M. O'Malley, W.-C. Chen and A. K.-Y. Jen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 8574–8578.
- 28 Y. Liang, Z. Xu, J. Xia, S.-T. Tsai, Y. Wu, G. Li, C. Ray and L. Yu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, E135–E138.
- 29 A. Armin, M. Velusamy, P. Wolfer, Y. Zhang, P. L. Burn, P. Meredith and A. Pivrikas, *ACS Photonics*, 2014, **1**, 173–181.
- 30 M. Hirade and C. Adachi, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2011, 99, 153302.
- 31 Z. Xiao, Y. Yuan, B. Yang, J. VanDerslice, J. Chen, O. Dyck,
   G. Duscher and J. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 3068–3075.
- 32 X. Guo, N. Zhou, S. J. Lou, J. Smith, D. B. Tice, J. W. Hennek, R. P. Ortiz, J. T. L. Navarrete, S. Li, J. Strzalka, L. X. Chen, R. P. H. Chang, A. Facchetti and T. J. Marks, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, 7, 825–833.
- 33 L.-M. Chen, Z. Xu, Z. Hong and Y. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 2575–2598.
- 34 L. N. Inasaridze, A. I. Shames, I. V. Martynov, B. Li, A. V. Mumyatov, D. K. Susarova, E. A. Katz and P. A. Troshin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 8044–8050.
- 35 I. F. Domínguez, A. Distler and L. Lüer, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2017, 7, 1601320.
- 36 T. Heumueller, W. R. Mateker, A. Distler, U. F. Fritze, R. Cheacharoen, W. H. Nguyen, M. Biele, M. Salvador, M. von Delius, H.-J. Egelhaaf, M. D. McGehee and C. J. Brabec, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 247–256.
- 37 G. E. Morse, A. Tournebize, A. Rivaton, T. Chassé, C. Taviot-Gueho, N. Blouin, O. R. Lozman and S. Tierney, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 11884–11897.
- 38 H. C. Wong, Z. Li, C. H. Tan, H. Zhong, Z. Huang, H. Bronstein, I. McCulloch, J. T. Cabral and J. R. Durrant, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 1297–1308.
- 39 A. Distler, T. Sauermann, H.-J. Egelhaaf, S. Rodman, D. Waller, K.-S. Cheon, M. Lee and D. M. Guldi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, 4, 1300693.
- 40 F. Piersimoni, G. Degutis, S. Bertho, K. Vandewal, D. Spoltore, T. Vangerven, J. Drijkoningen, M. K. V. Bael, A. Hardy, J. D'Haen, W. Maes, D. Vanderzande, M. Nesladek and J. Manca, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.*, 2013, 51, 1209–1214.
- 41 P. C. Eklund, A. M. Rao, P. Zhou, Y. Wang and J. M. Holden, *Thin Solid Films*, 1995, **257**, 185–203.
- 42 A. M. Rao, M. Menon, K.-A. Wang, P. C. Eklund,
  K. R. Subbaswamy, D. S. Cornett, M. A. Duncan and
  I. J. Amster, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1994, 224, 106–112.
- 43 A. Dzwilewski, T. Wågberg and L. Edman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 4006–4011.
- 44 J. Wang, C. Larsen, T. Wågberg and L. Edman, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2011, 21, 3723–3728.