RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 1939

Improved electrical transport properties of polycrystalline $La_{0.8}(Ca_{0.12}Sr_{0.08})MnO₃$ ceramics by Ag₂O doping

Gang Dong, Tao Sun, Fuquan Ji, Yang Liu, Shuai Zhang, Zhiheng Yang, Xiaohan Yu, Y[u](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7413-7817)nbiao Duan, Zhidong Li and Xiang Liu ¹

Polycrystalline La_{0.8}(Ca_{0.12}Sr_{0.08})MnO₃:mol%Ag_x (LCSMO:Ag_x, x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4) ceramics were synthesized by the sol-gel technique. Structural, electrical and magnetic properties of the LCSMO:Ag_x ceramics were investigated in detail. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data analyses revealed that all the samples were crystalized in the orthorhombic structure with space group of Pnma. With the increase in Ag doping (x), the grain sizes of the LCSMO: Ag_x samples increased and the amount of grain boundaries (GBs) decreased accordingly. At the same time, the Mn–O bond distance and the Mn–O–Mn bond angles changed correspondingly, leading to the slight increase in the lattice constants (a , b and c) and slight expansion of cell volume (V). For the LCSMO:Ag_x sample with $x = 0.3$, the optimal values of temperature coefficient of resistivity (TCR) and magnetoresistance (MR) reached 16.22% K⁻¹ (265.1 K) and 42.07% K⁻¹ (270.48 K), respectively. In addition, the fitting analysis of ρ -T curves showed that the experimental data were consistent with the theoretical calculation data. In the $T < T_{\text{MI}}$ (metal-insulator transition temperature) region, the electrical conduction mechanism of LCSMO: A_g was clarified by electron-magnon, electron-electron and electron-phonon scattering. In the $T > T_{\text{MI}}$ region, the resistivity data were interpreted by using the adiabatic small-polaron hopping model. Furthermore, in the entire temperature range, the phenomenological equation called the percolation model was used to explain the resistivity data and the phase-separation mechanism of ferromagnetic metallic (FM) and paramagnetic insulating (PI) phases. All the obtained results indicated that the improvement in the electrical properties of the LCSMO:Ag_x samples was attributed to the doping of Ag, which changed the A-site (La, Ca and Sr ions) average ion radius, the Mn–O–Mn bond angles and the Mn–O bond distance. In addition, the grain size increased, which led to improvement in the Mn^{4+} ion concentration and the GBs connectivity in the LCSMO: Aq_x polycrystalline ceramics. PAPER
 IMPROVed electrical transport properties of
 IMPROVed electrical transport properties of
 Dollar the SC 48, 200 4 doping
 Case the SC 48, 200 4 doping
 Case the SC 48, 200 4 doping
 Case of the SC 48, 20

Received 12th October 2018 Accepted 20th December 2018 DOI: 10.1039/c8ra08463g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Mixed valent perovskite manganite with $RE_{1-x}AE_xMnO_3$, where RE and AE represent rare earth ions $(La^{3+}, Ce^{3+}, Pr^{3+}, Sm^{3+})$ Nd^{3+} , *etc.*) and divalent alkaline earth ions $(Ca^{2+}, Sr^{2+}, Ba^{2+}, etc.),$ respectively, have been studied extensively for understanding and applying their unique electrical and magnetic properties and the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect.¹⁻⁷ In particular, the perovskite manganite has the metal-insulator transition (MI) features and magnetic phase transition from ferromagnetic metallic (FM) to paramagnetic insulating (PI) phase near the Curie temperature (T_c) . It is well known that this phenomenon can be clarified by the double-exchange (DE) mechanism, $8,9$ phase separation, $10,11$ grain boundaries (GBs) effect^{12,13} and Jahn-Teller $[JT]$ distortion.^{14,15} Hence, these

mechanisms can be beneficial to understand the magnetic and electrical properties of the perovskite manganese oxides.

Several studies have found that modulated amounts of Ag doping can increase the magnetoresistance (MR) and temperature coefficient of resistivity (TCR) of the perovskite manganese oxides, which can be used for infrared radiation and magnetic devices (uncooled magnetic sensors).^{16–18} It is known that the CMR effect of manganite under strong magnetic fields undoubtedly limits its practical applications in the fields of electrical and magnetic materials and devices.¹⁹⁻²² Therefore, the recent studies have focused on the ways to obtain large MR and TCR values at low-intensity magnetic fields to fulfill practical and technical applications. Efforts have been made to induce MR enhancements by various Ag doping under an applied magnetic field of 1 T or less.^{23,24} However, the La_{1-x} . CaSr)_xMnO₃ materials with $x = 0.2$ have been rarely investigated in spite of their interesting physical properties under low magnetic fields.¹¹

School of Material Science and Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650093, Yunnan, China. E-mail: lxjim@sina.com

Fig. 1 (a) X-ray diffraction patterns of LCSMO:Ag_x polycrystalline ceramics. (b) and (c) Rietveld refinement plots of a typical LCSMO:Ag_x with $x =$ 0 and 0.3, respectively. (d) A typical perovskite orthorhombic structure with space group of Pnma for LCSMO:Ag_x ceramics. (e) The spin angle between adjacent Mn ions. (f) Sketch of electron transition.

In addition, surface morphology, particle sizes, chemical homogeneity and microstructure are affected by the preparation methods,^{5,25,26} which play an important role in the performance of the manganite materials and devices (the T_c , T_{MI} and CMR values). Hence, in this study, $La_{0.8}(Ca_{0.12}Sr_{0.08})MnO_3$: mol%Ag_x (LCSMO:Ag_x, $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$ and 0.4) ceramics were fabricated by the sol–gel technique. This method was a quick and easy approach to achieve uniform composition, and the sample also had high purity and homogeneity. The results showed that Ag doping in low-intensity magnetic fields can effectively improve the electrical and magnetic properties of $LCSMO:Ag_x$ ceramics, resulting in greater TCR and MR values.

2. Materials and methods

 $LCSMO:Ag_x$ ceramics were prepared by using the two-step approach. In the first step, the powder samples were prepared by the sol–gel technique. The solution composed of $La(NO₃)₃·nH₂O$, $Mn(NO₃)₂$ aqueous solution (50% by mass), $Sr(NO₃)₂$ and $Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O$ was mixed in deionized water by continuous stirring. The ratio of La, Ca, Sr and Mn cations was 0.8 : 0.12 : 0.08 : 1. Citric acid was used as the complexing agent and ethylene glycol was used as the polymerization agent in the mixed solution. Under magnetic stirring, the mixture was continuously heated until a brown gel was formed. Then, the gel was thoroughly dehydrated in an oven at 120 \degree C for 24 h. In an agate mortar, the achieved powder precursor was ground and then pre-burned in a calciner at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 h. In the second step, Ag₂O was added to the pre-calcined powder for mixing in molar ratio (mol%, 0–0.4). The mixed powder was completely ground into a fine powder.¹⁷ Then, the powder was pressed into a disk by a tablet machine by applying 16 MPa pressure. The pellets were sintered at 1450 $^{\circ}$ C under flowing oxygen for 12 h in high-purity Al_2O_3 crucibles.^{27,28}

The crystal structure of $LCSMO:Ag_x$ ceramics were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Ultima IV). A scanning

electron microscope (SEM, SU8010) was used for analyzing the surface morphology and microstructures of the samples. The resistance-temperature and magnetization-temperature profile of the samples were measured by using the standard four-probe method in the temperature range from 100 K to 330 K and under an applied external magnetic field of 1 T with Keithley instruments.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1(a) displays the XRD patterns of the LCSMO: Ag_x polycrystalline ceramics, and the inset graph shows the enlarged view of the (200) and (121) peaks in the 2θ range of 31-34°. Clearly, it can be seen that $LCSMO:Ag_x$ ceramics show one

single phase without any impurity peaks. The samples revealed a typical perovskite orthorhombic structure with space group of Pnma. In addition, no diffraction peaks of Ag were detected in all the samples. Since the process sintering temperature (1450 $\rm ^{\circ}C$) was much higher than the melting point of Ag (962 $\rm ^{\circ}C$), the content of Ag reduced to a certain extent beyond the detection range of the XRD instrument.^{17,29} Moreover, some Ag was detected in the silver ion state, where the Ag replaced the A sites $(Ca^{2+}, La^{3+}or Sr^{2+})$.²⁹ Therefore, the actual amount of silver in the sample was much lower than that used in Ag doping (x) , and the silver diffraction peak was extremely difficult to detect. With the increase in Ag addition, the (200) and (121) peaks of LCSMO: Ag_x samples deviated, which explains that the Ag-

Fig. 2 SEM images of the surface morphology of LCSMO:Ag_x ($x = 0-0.4$) ceramics.

Fig. 3 Particle size distribution chart of LCSMO:Ag_x ($x = 0-0.4$) ceramics.

doping leads to changes in lattice, as displayed in the inset of Fig. 1(a).

Fig. 1(b) and (c) illustrate the Rietveld XRD patterns of the samples with $x = 0$ and $x = 0.3$. The Rietveld analysis results can be seen in Table 1. Clearly, the Rietveld spectrum diffraction peak parameters (R_e , R_p , R_b , and χ) further certify the singlephase (*Pnma* space group) for LCSMO: Ag_x ceramics, as shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1(d). With the increase in the Ag content ($x \le$ 0.2) in the parent compound LCSMO, the lattice constants (a, b, c) c, and V) and the A-site cationic radius $(\langle r_{\rm A} \rangle)$ increased. Clearly, the doped Ag ions entered into the LCSMO matrix and partially replaced the A sites because the radius of $Ag⁺ (1.28 \text{ Å})$ is similar to that of La³⁺ (1.16 Å), Ca²⁺ (1.12 Å) and Sr²⁺ (1.26 Å). However, this substitution was limited, resulting in a constant crystal structure of the sample. As the amount of Ag doping further increased, the lattice constant decreased at $x = 0.3$. The possible reason is the precipitation of metallic silver on the surface of the samples. Furthermore, a reduction in $Mn^{3+}(0.65 \text{ Å})$ ion content and an increase in the content of $Mn^{4+}(0.53 \text{ Å})$ with a smaller radius was observed.³⁰ The introduction of Ag in the A sites imply a partial conversion of Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} ions, as shown in Fig. 1(f). The increase in tetravalent Mn content led to a decrease in the average size of the A-site cationic radius, and in turn, resulted in the reduction in the lattice constant. The change in cell volume is shown in Table 1.

The increase in $\langle r_A \rangle$ changed the tolerance factor (τ) , which is defined by $\tau = (r_A + r_O)/(r_{Mn} + r_O)$. Fig. 1(e) presents the spin angle between adjacent Mn ions. The lattice constant also changed with the increase in x, and this change in τ led to

variations in Mn–O bond distance $(d_{\mathrm{Mn-O}_1}$ and $d_{\mathrm{Mn-O}_2})$ and Mn– O–Mn bond angles ($\theta_{\text{Mn-O}_1-Mn}$ and $\theta_{\text{Mn-O}_2-Mn}$). The change in bond angle and bond distance affected the DE. This could be the reason why the increase in x significantly improved the DE interaction between $Mn^{3+}-O^{2-}-Mn^{4+}$ ions. However, the Ag substitution was limited, resulting in less tolerance factor (τ) changes. Therefore, the change in Mn–O–Mn bond angle was not large enough to cause changes in space groups.

Fig. 2(a-d) shows the SEM images of the LCSMO: Ag_x ceramics. The grain sizes increased first and then decreased as the amount of added Ag increased; the grain sizes of all the samples are clearly displayed in the figure. The change in grain sizes of the samples can be beneficial to increase the ceramic density and enhance the connectivity of GBs and the electrical properties of the doped system.³¹ The surface morphology of the $x = 0.3$ sample was more homogeneous than that of the other samples.

The grain sizes of LCSMO: Ag_x ceramics were analyzed with Nona Measurer 1.2 software to better understand the morphology of the sample. Fig. 3(a–d) exhibits the statistic results of the grain sizes. With the increase in Ag doping amount, the grain sizes changed from 11.1 μ m to 20.0 μ m. It is reported in the literature that the grain sizes are affected by various factors such as doping conditions, sintering temperature and preparation methods.²⁵ Thus, in order to increase the grain sizes of the LCSMO: Ag_x samples, it was very crucial to control the doping addition and the technological parameters for preparation. As the grain sizes increased, the GBs of the samples decreased, reducing the resistivity of the samples.

Fig. 4 Resistivity as a function of temperature for LCSMO: $Ag_x(x = 0 -$ 0.4) ceramics.

Fig. 4 clearly presents the temperature dependence of resistivity (ρ) of LCSMO:Ag_x polycrystalline ceramics in the temperature range from 100 K to 330 K. With the increase in Ag addition, the resistivity of all the samples showed similar trends and the conductivity increased significantly. As the temperature increases, it can be seen that all samples display transition from FM to PI at T_{MI} . The aggregation of metallic Ag in GBs improved the microstructure of the grain surface, thus enhancing the connectivity of GBs and improving the conductivity of LCSMO: Ag_x ceramics. Therefore, the resistivity of the samples decreased with Ag addition. In addition, the substitution of silver ions in place of A-site ions changed the Mn–O bond distance and Mn–O–Mn bond angles. These changes enhanced the DE interaction and JT effect.

Fig. 5 reveals the curves presenting the TCR of LCSMO: Ag_x ceramics. The TCR is defined by the relationship: $TCR(\%) = \frac{dR/dT}{R} \times 100\%$ (*R* and *T* are the resistance and temperature, respectively). The Ag doping caused substitution of the A-site ion by Ag⁺, significantly affecting the electronic transport properties of the samples. With the increase in x , the TCR increased first and then decreased. With the increase in the Ag content ($x \le 0.3$), the resistivity of the sample significantly reduced, but the DE enhancement was not significant. At this time, the influence of resistivity on the TCR should play a dominant role. Therefore, the value of TCR increased. With the Ag doping at $x = 0.3$, the maximum TCR reached 16.22 K⁻¹. As the content of Ag doping further increased, the value of TCR decreased. The possible reason for this is that the resistivity hardly changes. The substitution of silver was limited, and the tolerance factor did not change, resulting in the enhancement of the Mn–O double exchange effect as the Mn^{4+} ion content increased. At this point, the influence of DE enhancement on TCR dominated, and resistivity slightly affected TCR.

These materials have different conduction mechanisms for resistivity in different temperature ranges.³²–³⁴ Therefore, in

order to better understand the conductive behavior of $LCSMO:Ag_x$ ceramics, in this study, we analyzed the three temperature ranges and the ρ –T data were fitted, including the metallic regime ($T < T_{\text{MI}}$), insulating regime ($T > T_{\text{MI}}$), and all temperature zones (100 \leq T \leq 330 K). The following sections discuss these three temperature conditions in detail.

Fig. 6(a) represents that at $T < T_{\text{MI}}$ range, all samples exhibited a metallic behavior. In order to study the relative intensities of the dissimilar scattering mechanisms produced in the metallic region, the ρ –T data were fitted using the following empirical equation:³⁵

$$
\rho_{\rm FM}(T) = \rho_0 + \rho_2 T^2 + \rho_{4.5} T^{4.5} \tag{1}
$$

where ρ_0 is the residual resistivity caused by GB scattering and other temperature-independent mechanisms. ρ_2T^2 arises from the electron–electron scattering process. The $\rho_{4.5}T^{4.5}$ is obtained from the electron–electron, electron–magnon and electron– phonon scattering processes, which can be used to square the linear correlation coefficient R^2 to evaluate the fit. Fig. 6(a) and Table 2 show the fitted figures and related data, respectively. It can be seen that ρ_0 decreases as the Ag content increases, as presented in Table 2. The possible reason is that Ag doping increases the grain size and reduces the GB scattering, which causes the decrease in the resistivity. In addition, ρ_0 and ρ_2T^2 in eqn (1) were much larger than $\rho_{4.5}T^{4.5}$ for all samples. In the metallic region, GB and electron–electron scattering would play a main role in the sample.

Fig. 5 TCR as a function of temperature for LCSMO: Aq_x samples.

Fig. 6 Fitted resistivity curves for different temperature ranges, (a). $T < T_{ML}$ (b) $T > T_{ML}$ (c) all temperature zones (100 K $\leq T \leq 330$ K)

At high temperatures ($T > T_{\text{MI}}$), the temperature-dependent electrical resistivity can be analyzed by means of the wellknown adiabatic small-polaron hopping model,³⁶ which was fitted using eqn (2).

$$
\rho_{\text{PM}}(T) = \rho_a T e^{E_a/K_B T} \tag{2}
$$

where ρ_a , E_a and K_B are respectively the resistivity coefficient, the polaron hopping conduction activation energy and the Boltzmann constant. The fitted figures are given in Fig. 6(b) and the related data thus obtained are tabulated in Table 2. It can be seen clearly that E_a gradually decreased with the increase in the amount of Ag doping. This may be due to the increase in grain size, which led to an increase in the interconnectivity between the grains, thus enhancing the possibility of conducting

electrons. However, the increase in Ag doping also enhanced the possibility of conducting electronic transitions to the adjacent sites, so the conduction bandwidth increased and E_a decreased. Due to this effect, the reduction of E_a could be the important reason for the decrease in the resistivity of the sample.

To study the electrical transport properties over all the temperature zones, particularly $T \approx T_{\text{MI}}$, we analyzed the resistivity data in the competition mechanism by the phenomenological percolation model.³⁷ In the $T \approx T_{\text{MI}}$ zone, FM and PI coexist and compete with each other. The model takes into account the fact that the FM and PI regions are electrically coupled in series,^{34,38} and also accounts for the contributions

Fig. 7 Resistivity as a function of temperature and fitting curves for LCSMO:Ag_x. samples at 0 T and 1 T magnetic fields, the inset graph shows the MR-T image of LCSMO:Agx.

caused by the terms ρ_{FM} (T) and ρ_{PM} (T). Therefore, the combined equation³⁶ is given by eqn (3) .

$$
\rho(T) = f \rho_{\rm FM}(T) + (1 - f) \rho_{\rm PI}(T) \tag{3}
$$

where $f = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\Delta U/K_B}}$ and $1 - f$ are the volume concentration of FM region and PI region, respectively. In this equation, $\rho_{\text{FM}}(T)$ and ρ_{PI} (T) come from eqn (1) and (2), respectively. $\Delta U \approx -U_0(1-T/T_c^{\text{mod}})$ is the energy difference between FM and PI phases. $T_{\rm c}^{\rm mod}$ denotes the temperature was used in the model near to T_{MI} where resistivity has a maximum value. For all the presently studied samples, the resistivity data were fitted over all the temperature zones by using eqn (3) , and the fitting parameters are given in Table 2. The fitting graphs are shown in

Fig. 6(c), and it is clearly seen that the experimental data were in excellent agreement with the theoretical model and the R^2 mean was close to 1 for all samples. It can be seen from the table results that U_0 increased with the increase in Ag doping amount. This indicated that all the samples became more conductive, which was in consistent with the resistivity measurements.

The influence of magnetic field and CMR on the magnetic transport properties of the samples was studied. Fig. 7(a–e) presents the variation in ρ –T and fitted curves from 100 K to 330 K of LCSMO: Agx ceramics measured under 0 T and 1 T magnetic field. Here, MR was defined as MR (%) = $[\rho_{(0,T)} - \rho_{(H,T)}]/\rho_{(0,T)} \times$ 100%, where $\rho_{(0,T)}$ and $\rho_{(H,T)}$ are the resistivity at 0 T and 1 T magnetic field, respectively. As the amount x increased, the MR increased first and then decreased. When the Ag doping was $x =$

Fig. 8 Temperature dependence of ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phase volume. fraction at 0 T and 1 T

0.3, the maximum MR was 42.07%. However, the MR value decreased at $x = 0.4$. This phenomenon was due to the diamagnetic properties of metallic Ag, which may increase the magnetic disorder. This shows that the continuous increase in Ag content may be unfavorable for the MR value of the samples.

Fig. 7 (a–e) display that when subjected to a 1 T magnetic field, the resistivity drops and the T_{MI} value moves toward higher temperatures. This change may be due to the increase in the tunneling of conducting electrons under the influence of a magnetic field, which is related to the direction of the spin of the electron and the extra magnetic field. Magnetic fields can provide extra energy to the sample, making it easier for free electrons to migrate. The activation energy E_a (obtained from Table 2) was reduced in the sample under 1 T extra magnetic field. This phenomenon may be caused by the spin alignment with the magnetic field and the reduction in charge localization while the energy of electron jumping was less. It can be further explained

that the decrease in E_a was due to the action of a magnetic field, which was the main cause of the decrease in resistivity.

Fig. 8 (a–e) represent the fitted data of ρ –T of all the samples under 0 T and 1 T magnetic fields. The curve of f and $1 - f$ vs. temperature was obtained.

From eqn (3), it can be inferred that

 $(1) f = 0$ for $T \gg T_c^{\text{mod}}$, $f = 1$ for $T \ll T_c^{\text{mod}}$ and $f = f_c = 0.5$ at T_c $T_{\rm c}^{\rm mod}$

 (2) $(1-f)$ = 1 for $T \gg T_c^{\rm mod}$, $(1-f)$ = 0 for $T \ll T_c^{\rm mod}$ and $(1-f)$ $f = f_c = 0.5$ at $T = T_c^{\text{mod}}$

where f_c is the percolation threshold.

When the sample was at low temperature $(T < T_{\rm c}^{\rm mod}), f$ was close to 1 and the sample remained semiconducting. The volume fraction of the ferromagnetic phase was near to 0 at high temperature ($T > T_{\rm c}^{\rm mod}$), and the samples became metallic. With the increase in Ag doping, $T_{\rm c}^{\rm mod}$ showed a downward trend. Since the samples were affected by an external magnetic field,

Paper RSC Advances

the FM phase changed in volume fraction. It was easier for a portion of the PI phase to transition to the FM phase, which could cause an increase in resistivity, thus enhancing the effect of the CMR.²⁸ This behavior was observed in other literature reports and was consistent with the magnetic transitions that were common in magnetization measurements, thus suggesting that the f involved here had a similar physical significance as magnetization decreased.

4. Conclusions

 $La_{0.8}(Ca_{0.12}Sr_{0.08})MnO_3: mol%Ag_x$ polycrystalline ceramics were synthesized by using the conventional sol–gel reaction technique. The structure, surface morphologies, and electrical and magnetic transport performance for all samples were systematically studied in the present study. XRD analysis showed that all the samples had a single phase with orthorhombic structure. As x increased, the resistivity of the samples decreased sharply, and T_{MI} and conductive properties increased significantly. Simultaneously, with the increase in amount of x doping, the TCR and MR increased first and then decreased. For the LCSMO:Ag_x sample with $x = 0.3$, the optimal values of TCR and MR reached 16.22% K^{-1} and 42.07% $\mathrm{K}^{-1},$ respectively. The main diffraction peak (121) shifted to a low angle, indicating the replacement of A sites by Ag. In T_{MI} , analyses of the FM region, T $\langle T_{\rm MI}$ displays that the electrical transport was mainly controlled by the electron–electron, electron–phonon, and electron–magnon scattering processes. In addition, analyses of the PI region indicated that the adiabatic small-polaron hopping model was suitable for use in $T > T_{\text{MI}}$. Finally, the entire temperature region data were fitted and analyzed by using the phenomenological equation called the percolation model, which explained the FM and PI phase separation mechanism. Paper

Open Access Article in the Monte Common in P. Ny phase, with $\frac{1}{2}$ Access Articles. Attack Article. Published on 14 PM access Articles. Attack Articles. Attack Articles. Attack Articles. Attack Articles Article

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Nature Science Foundation Committee (Grant No. 11674135), the Innovation Training Program for College Students (Grant No. 20180179), and the Analysis and Testing Foundation of Kunming University of Science and Technology (Grant No. 2018M20172230057).

References

- 1 J. B. Goodenough, J. Appl. Phys., 1997, 81, 5330–5335.
- 2 J. B. Goodenough, Aust. J. Phys., Astrophys. Suppl., 1999, 52, 155–186.
- 3 T. V. Ramakrishnan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2007, 19, 125211.
- 4 X. B. Yuan, Y. H. Liu, C. J. Wang and L. M. Mei, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, 2005, 19, 4467–4473.
- 5 S. Zhao, X.-J. Yue and X. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 13240– 13246.
- 6 S. Vadnala, P. Pal and S. Asthana, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2016, 27, 6156–6165.
- 7 A.-M. Haghiri-Gosnet and J.-P. Renard, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2003, 36, R127–R150.
- 8 C. Zener, Phys. Rev., 1951, 81, 440–444.
- 9 C. Zener, Phys. Rev., 1951, 82, 403–405.
- 10 A. Moreo, S. Yunoki and E. Dagotto, Science, 1999, 283, 2034– 2040.
- 11 E. Dagotto, T. Hotta and A. Moreo, Phys. Rep., 2001, 344, 1– 153.
- 12 R. Mahesh, R. Mahendiran, A. K. Raychaudhuri and C. N. R. Rao, Appl. Phys. Lett., 1996, 68, 2291–2293.
- 13 R. Gross, L. Alff, B. Büchner, B. H. Freitag, C. Höfener, J. Klein, Y. Lu, W. Mader, J. B. Philipp and M. S. R. Rao, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2000, 211, 150–159.
- 14 K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskiĭ, Phys.-Usp., 1982, 25, 231–256.
- 15 J. Jiang, S. Zhao, T. Sun, Q.-m. Chen and X. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 3915–3920.
- 16 R. Tripathi, V. P. S. Awana, H. Kishan and G. L. Bhalla, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2008, 320, L89–L92.
- 17 S. Zhao, X. Yue and X. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 4594–4598.
- 18 H. Hwang, S. W. Cheong, P. Radaelli, M. Marezio and B. Batlogg, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, 75, 914–917.
- 19 D. G. Li, Y. T. Mai, J. Xoing, Y. H. Xiong, Z. L. Liu and C. S. Xiong, J. Supercond. Novel Magn., 2012, 26, 719–723.
- 20 H. Y. Hwang, S. W. Cheong, P. G. Radaelli, M. Marezio and B. Batlogg, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, 79, 914–917.
- 21 D. Cao, Y. Zhang, W. Dong, J. Yang, W. Bai, Y. Chen, G. Wang, X. Dong and X. Tang, Ceram. Int., 2015, 41, S381– S386.
- 22 C. S. Xiong, L. G. Wei, Y. H. Xiong, J. Zhang, D. G. Li, Q. P. Huang, Y. D. Zhu and X. S. Li, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2007, 40, 1331–1334.
- 23 Z. H. Xiong, D. Wu, Z. V. Vardeny and J. Shi, Nature, 2004, 427, 821–824.
- 24 V. Dediu, M. Murgia, F. C. Matacotta, C. Taliani and S. Barbanera, Solid State Commun., 2002, 122, 181–184.
- 25 D. Li, Q. Chen, Z. Li, Y. Li, H. Zhang and Y. Zhang, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 3448–3453.
- 26 X. Yin, X. Liu, Y. Yan and Q. Chen, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2014, 70, 361–365.
- 27 T. Sun, S. Zhao, F. Ji and X. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 2400– 2406.
- 28 T. Sun, J. Jiang, Q. Chen and X. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 9865–9874.
- 29 X. Yue, Y. Zhan, X. Liu, G. Gu, Q. Wang and X. Yin, J. Low Temp. Phys., 2015, 180, 356–362.
- 30 S. Choura-Maatar, R. M'nassri, W. Cheikhrouhou-Koubaa, M. Koubaa, A. Cheikhrouhou and E. K. Hlil, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 50347–50357.
- 31 L. W. Lei, Z. Y. Fu, J. Y. Zhang and H. Wang, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 2006, 128, 70–74.
- 32 S. P. Altintas, A. Amira, N. Mahamdioua, A. Varilci and C. Terzioglu, J. Alloys Compd., 2011, 509, 4510–4515.
- 33 J. Khelifi, A. Tozri, F. Issaoui, E. Dhahri and E. K. Hlil, Ceram. Int., 2014, 40, 1641–1649.
- 34 S. Das and T. K. Dey, Solid State Commun., 2005, 134, 837–842.

- 35 S. O. Manjunatha, A. Rao, T. Y. Lin, C. M. Chang and Y. K. Kuo, J. Alloys Compd., 2015, 619, 303–310.
- 36 S. Hcini, S. Zemni, A. Triki, H. Rahmouni, M. Boudard and M. Oumezzine, J. Supercond. Novel Magn., 2013, 26, 2181– 2185. **ISC Advances**

OPEN ACCESS Article. Published on 14 January 2019. Downloaded on 11/25/2024 1:30:26 PM. This article. Published under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) See Creative Commerci
	- 37 S. O. Manjunatha, A. Rao, Subhashini and G. S. Okram, J. Alloys Compd., 2015, 640, 154–161.
	- 38 G. Li, H. D. Zhou, S. J. Feng and X. J. Fan, J. Appl. Phys., 2002, 92, 1406–1410.