



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 3436

# Nb-doped and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated granular secondary LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles as cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries

Chunliu Li,<sup>†ab</sup> Linchao Zhang,<sup>†a</sup> Junfeng Yang,<sup>id</sup>\*<sup>a</sup> Zhuoming Xie,<sup>a</sup> Tao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jianxin Wang,<sup>c</sup> Qianfeng Fang<sup>ab</sup> and Xianping Wang<sup>\*a</sup>

In this work, to improve the cyclability and high-temperature performance of cubic spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (LMO) as cathode materials, Nb<sup>5+</sup>-doped LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powders coated and uncoated with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and/or B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were synthesized via the modified solid-state reaction method. It was found that Nb<sup>5+</sup>-doped and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated LMO powders comprising 5 μm granular agglomerated fine primary particles smaller than 350 nm in diameter exhibited superior electrochemical properties with initial discharge capacity of 101.68 mA h g<sup>-1</sup>; we also observed capacity retention of 96.31% after 300 cycles at room temperature (RT) and that of 98% after 50 cycles at 55 °C and 1C rate.

Received 15th November 2018  
Accepted 10th January 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09407a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have widespread applications in consumer electronic devices and potential applications in electric vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles on account of their high operating voltage and high energy density.<sup>1–3</sup> To date, a variety of electrode materials have been developed.<sup>4–9</sup> LiCoO<sub>2</sub> and LiFePO<sub>4</sub> are the most widely used cathode materials in commercial LIBs because of their good cycle life (>500 cycles); however, they have several drawbacks such as high cost, toxicity of Co for LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, poor electronic and ionic conductivity, relatively low specific capacity and one-dimensional channels for lithium-ion diffusion for LiFePO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>10</sup> In this context, cubic spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (LMO) as a cathode material has attracted continuous and considerable attention owing to its low cost, natural abundant resources of manganese, high safety, facile 3D Li<sup>+</sup>-ion diffusion pathways, and nontoxicity. However, the cycling performance of LMO degrades rapidly due to the Jahn–Teller distortion associated with high-spin Mn<sup>3+</sup>, dissolution of manganese into the electrolyte and undesirable electrode–electrolyte reaction, particularly at elevated temperatures (55 °C), which seriously restrict its application in commercial LIBs.<sup>11–13</sup>

Various strategies have been attempted to solve these issues, and these mainly include surface coating, doping and

morphology control.<sup>14–22</sup> Coating LMO particles with metal oxides or fluorides can improve their cycling performance and rate capability by decreasing the contact area between the electrolyte and electrode materials, therefore reducing Mn dissolution.<sup>14–17</sup> Furthermore, ion doping of Nb, Al and Ni, respectively or collectively, can induce the formation of a high concentration of Mn<sup>4+</sup> ions on the surface of LMO, thereby suppressing the Jahn–Teller distortion and eventually improving cycling stability.<sup>18–20</sup> Moreover, the morphology of LMO particles has also been found to be a key factor in determining its electrochemical properties, for example, to study how spherical LMO exhibits better performance than polyhedral LMO.<sup>21,22</sup> Hence, it is reasonably expected that through morphology control, coating and doping simultaneously, the electrochemical performance of LMO can be improved to a larger extent. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, studies on their synergetic effects have not been reported so far.

In this work, Nb-doped LMO powders coated with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were synthesized through a modified solid-state reaction. The effects of particle morphology, doping and coating on the electrochemical properties of LMO were investigated in detail.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Material preparation

Four kinds of LMO samples were synthesized by a modified solid-state reaction. Stoichiometric amounts of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, manganese oxides (MnO<sub>2</sub> or MnO<sub>2</sub> + MnO + Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> were mixed homogeneously by ball milling. Then, the resulting mixtures were sintered at 450 °C for 5 h and 780 °C for 20 h subsequently to obtain Nb-doped LMO. Thereafter, the mixtures were ground, mixed with Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and/or B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and sintered at 750 °C for 10 h. The selected sintering temperature

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, PR China. E-mail: jfyang@issp.ac.cn; xpwang@issp.ac.cn





<sup>b</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, PR China

<sup>c</sup>Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315201, PR China

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.



was 750 °C, which is higher than the melting point of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; therefore, the mixture of liquid Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with Nb-doped LMO facilitated the formation of a thin surface layer on LMO particles. The whole synthesis process is depicted in the following equations.<sup>23–27</sup> The final obtained materials were labelled as LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD, as specified in Table 1.



## 2.2 Material characterization

The crystal structure of all samples was characterized by the X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique using a Rigaku SmartLab diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (40 KV, 250 mA) in the range of 10–90° with a step size of 0.02° s<sup>-1</sup>. Lattice parameters of the as-prepared samples were acquired using the Jade 6.0 refinement software. The morphology and elemental composition were characterized by scanning electron microscopy equipped with EDS (SEM, S-3400N).

## 2.3 Electrochemical test

An organic-based slurry was prepared *via* mixing active materials, carbon black, and poly(vinyl difluoride) (PVDF) at a weight ratio of 80 : 10 : 10 in the *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. The formed slurry was pasted on an aluminum foil and then dried at 120 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The prepared electrodes were punched into  $\varnothing$  12 mm disks and used as the working electrode thereafter. The loading mass density of the active material was about 8 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. CR2032 type coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with a lithium metal foil (Aldrich) as the counter electrode, Whatman filter paper (Alfa Aesar) as the separator, and 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EC/DMC/EMC (1 : 1 : 1 by volume) (Alfa Aesar) as the electrolyte. The cycling test was performed by using a Neware battery tester within the voltage range of 3.0–4.2 V *vs.* Li<sup>+</sup>/Li under the galvanostatic mode at different current densities (1C = 110 mA g<sup>-1</sup>) at RT or 55 °C, respectively. Electrochemical




Fig. 1 XRD patterns of different LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> samples: LMOA, LMOB, LMOC, LMOD.

impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was carried out on a Chenhua CHI600E workstation between 10<sup>6</sup> and 10<sup>-2</sup> Hz with amplitude of 10 mV.

## 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 displays the XRD patterns of Nb-doped LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with and without Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating. All the XRD patterns of the four samples matched well with those of single-phase spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; distinct diffraction peaks were observed from the (111), (311) and (400) crystal planes and relatively weak peaks were observed from the (222), (331), (511), (440), and (531) planes.<sup>5,28</sup> No peaks of impurities were observed after doping and coating, which indicated that both B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layers existed in the amorphous state. Fig. 2 shows the SEM images of all samples. Nb-doped LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with MnO<sub>2</sub> as the Mn source and without the coating (LMOA) consisted of polygon- or pyramid-type particles of about 500 nm to few microns in diameter, with quite clean and smooth edges. After B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating (LMOB) or B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating (LMOC) through sintering at 750 °C for 10 h, the edges of all the particles were passivated and obscure. However, their particle sizes exhibited different behaviors: significant increase for the sample LMOB with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating and almost no change for the sample LMOC with B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating; this indicated the beneficial effect of the liquid B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer on suppressing the particle growth during sintering at 750 °C for 10 h. The EDS elemental mapping of O, Mn, Al, Nb and B in the selected area of sample LMOC (Fig. 3) revealed that Al and B are homogeneously coated on LMO

Table 1 The specific components of the LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> samples

Sample	Mn oxides	Li source	Li : Mn	Nb : Mn	Coating
LMOA	MnO <sub>2</sub>	Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.05 : 2	0.02 : 1.98	—
LMOB	MnO <sub>2</sub>	Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.05 : 2	0.02 : 1.98	0.2 mol% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
LMOC	MnO <sub>2</sub>	Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.05 : 2	0.02 : 1.98	0.2 mol% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + 0.1 mol% B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
LMOD	85Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> + 10MnO <sub>2</sub> + 5MnO (wt%)	Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	1.05 : 2	0.02 : 1.98	0.2 mol% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> + 0.1 mol% B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>






Fig. 2 SEM images of different  $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$  samples: LMOA, LMOB, LMOC, LMOD.

microspheres. In contrast, the sample LMOD with the mixture of  $\text{MnO}$ ,  $\text{MnO}_2$  and  $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$  as Mn sources and  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  as the coating consisted of granular secondary particles of about 5 microns in diameter, which comprised fine primary particles (smaller than about 350 nm in size) with obscure edges.

Fig. 4 shows the initial charge–discharge curves of all four samples at 1.0C rate in the voltage range of 3.0–4.2 V. It can be clearly seen that all samples exhibited similar voltage profiles in the initial discharge processes with two voltage plateaus at 4.0 V and 4.1 V, which were ascribed to the step-by-step  $\text{Li}^+$ -ion deintercalation from the host oxide, as shown in eqn (6) and (7).<sup>29,30</sup> The initial discharge capacities of LMOA, LMOB, LMOC

and LMOD were  $109 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ ,  $106 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ ,  $102 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$  and  $102 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ , respectively, showing a decreasing trend with increasing coating content due to the inactive nature of these coatings toward  $\text{Li}^+$  ions.





Fig. 5 shows the cycling stability of all samples at a current density of 1.0C between 3.0 and 4.2 V at 25 °C and 55 °C. At 25 °C (Fig. 5a), the Nb-doped and uncoated  $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$  (LMOA)




Fig. 3 EDS mappings of the selected area in sample LMOC.






Fig. 4 The initial charge–discharge curves of LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD.

exhibited significant capacity fading with cycling; the specific discharge capacity quickly decreased to around  $30 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$  after 130 cycles. After  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating or  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  coating, respectively, the cycling stability of Nb-doped  $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$  could be improved significantly but was still not completely satisfactory, especially in the case of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating (LMOB), for which rapid capacity fading was observed after 230 cycles. By contrast, the sample LMOD, consisting of spherical secondary particles and with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  coating, displayed excellent cycling stability with capacity retention as high as 96.31% after the 300<sup>th</sup> cycle. At an elevated temperature of  $55^\circ\text{C}$ , the cycling stability of all samples was measured at 1.0C between 3.0 and 4.2 V (Fig. 5b). After 50 cycles, the capacity retention values of samples LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD were 95.40%, 93.25%, 94.57% and 97.98%, respectively. The superior cycling stability of sample LMOD to that of others could be attributed to their different morphologies because of the use of different manganese oxides as raw materials since all the other factors including Li/Mo ratio, crystal structure, Nb content and their coating composition were completely identical. Furthermore, by comparison with the reported results illustrated in Table 3, it could be seen that our  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ -coated and Nb-doped granular LMO




Fig. 6 The rate performances of LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD at various current densities.

secondary particles exhibited much better cycling stability at both  $25^\circ\text{C}$  and  $55^\circ\text{C}$  than pure LMO,<sup>19,31,32</sup> Al(Mg, Ce, Ni, Mn, Nb)-doped LMO,<sup>12,18,19,31,33</sup> and  $\text{AlF}_3(\text{La-Sr-Mn-O}, \text{V}_2\text{O}_5, \text{ZrO}_2)$ -coated LMO, indicating the synergetically beneficial effect of doping, coating, and special morphology of granular secondary particles in our work.

Fig. 6 presents the normalized discharge capacity of all samples cycled at different current rates between 3.0 and 4.2 V (vs.  $\text{Li/Li}^+$ ). Overall, their discharge capacities decreased gradually with increasing C-rate at first and then, the capacities increased with decreasing C-rate, reaching a minimum at the highest current density of 7C. Clearly, LMOB and LMOD presented better rate capability than LMOA and LMOC, especially at 7C rate. Fig. 7 shows the EIS graph of Nb-doped LMO with and without coating in the fully discharged state in the (a) 1<sup>st</sup> and (b) 100<sup>th</sup> cycle. All the Nyquist plots contain a semicircle in the higher frequency ranges and an inclined straight line in the lower frequency ranges. The diameter of the semicircle represents the charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) at the electrode/electrolyte interface, and the inclined straight line corresponds to the diffusion of lithium ions into the bulk of the electrode (Warburg impedance). The spectrum was fitted well




Fig. 5 The cycling performances of LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  (a) and at  $55^\circ\text{C}$  (b) at a current density of 1C.






Fig. 7 Nyquist plots of samples LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD with an amplitude of 5.0 mV over a frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz in the discharged state of 4.0 V: (a) in the first cycle; (b) in the 100<sup>th</sup> cycle; (c) the equivalent circuit.

Table 2 The fitting results of EIS spectra based on the equivalent circuit

Sample	1 <sup>st</sup> cycle		100 <sup>th</sup> cycle	
	$R_s/\Omega$	$R_{ct}/\Omega$	$R_s/\Omega$	$R_{ct}/\Omega$
LMOA	3.83	275.30	10.19	886.60
LMOB	2.95	249.40	6.79	624.00
LMOC	4.53	148.80	2.11	341.50
LMOD	2.41	91.90	2.52	302.50

on an equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 7c, where  $R_s$ ,  $Q$ ,  $R_{ct}$ , and  $Z_w$  represent the ohmic resistance of the electrolyte, constant phase element (CPE), charge-transfer resistance, and Warburg impedance arising from the diffusion of  $\text{Li}^+$  ions in the

electrode, respectively. The values of  $R_s$  and  $R_{ct}$  are listed in Table 2. Notably,  $R_s$  in the case of sample LMOA without coating exhibited the largest increase after 100 cycles among the values of all samples, suggesting that surface coating can effectively inhibit the dissolution of an active material into the electrolyte, due to which the conductivity remains unchanged. The sample LMOD exhibited the smallest charge transfer resistance in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and 100<sup>th</sup> cycle among all samples, demonstrating its best electrochemical activity. The diffusion coefficient of lithium ions could be calculated from the plots in the low-frequency region according to the following two equations:<sup>14,34</sup>

$$D_{\text{Li}} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2} \quad (8)$$

Table 3 Comparison of the cycling performances of LMO between our work and literature

Sources	Performance	
	Initial capacity ( $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ ) and capacity retention after $n$ cycles at RT	Initial capacity ( $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ ) and capacity retention after $n$ cycles at 55 °C
Our work	101.7, 96.3% after 300 cycles	105.11, 98% after 50 cycles
$\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 19)	104.2, 61.9 after 150 cycles	
Al-doped $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 31)	Unknown	93.1, 96 after 30 cycles
Mg-doped $\text{LiMg}_{0.08}\text{Mn}_{1.92}\text{O}_4$ (ref. 33)	99.3, 93.2 after 150 cycles	Unknown
Ce-doped $\text{LiMn}_{1.99}\text{Ce}_{0.01}\text{O}_4$ (ref. 12)	106, 85% after 150 cycles	Unknown
Nb-doped $\text{LiMn}_{1.5}\text{Nb}_{0.5}\text{O}_4$ (ref. 18)	115, 87% after 100 cycles	Unknown
Ni, Mn codoped $\text{LiNi}_{0.03}\text{Mo}_{0.01}\text{Mn}_{1.96}\text{O}_4$ (ref. 19)	114, 91.2% after 300 cycles	Unknown
La-Sr-Mn-O coated $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 35)	129.9, 90.6% after 500 cycles	129.9, 93.6% after 130 cycles
$\text{AlF}_3$ -coated $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 15)	103.4, 90% after 100 cycles	Unknown
$\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ -coated $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 17)	113, 90% after 200 cycles	112.7, 79.7% after 100 cycles
$\text{ZrO}_2$ -coated $\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4$ (ref. 36)	118, 90.1% after 400 cycles	119, 88.9% after 150 cycles






Fig. 8 The plot comparison of  $Z'$  vs.  $\omega^{-0.5}$  of samples LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD.

$$Z' = R_s + R_{ct} + \sigma\omega^{-0.5} \quad (9)$$

Here,  $R$  is the gas constant,  $T$  is the absolute temperature,  $A$  is approximately equal to the electrode area ( $\text{cm}^2$ ),  $n$  is the number of electrons in the specific electrochemical reactions,  $F$  is the Faraday constant, and  $C$  is the molar  $\text{Li}^+$  ion concentration;  $Z'$  is the real part of the impedance,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency in the low-frequency region, and  $\sigma$  is the Warburg factor. Based on experimental results in the low-frequency zone, the relationship between  $Z_{re}$  and the reciprocal square root of  $\omega$  can be obtained, as depicted in Fig. 8. The  $\sigma$  values of samples LMOA, LMOB, LMOC and LMOD could be further concluded as 56.16, 38.55, 60.76 and 26.48, and the diffusion coefficients of lithium ions in these four samples were  $1.02 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $2.16 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $8.69 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $4.58 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Clearly, the sample LMOD exhibited the highest  $\text{Li}^+$  ion diffusion coefficient, suggesting the beneficial effect of appropriate morphology and coating on increasing the  $\text{Li}^+$  ion diffusion coefficient.

## 4. Conclusions

We successfully fabricated Nb-doped LMO with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and/or  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  coatings and different morphologies by modified solid-state sintering. The morphology of Nb-doped LMO could be controlled *via* using different Mn oxides as precursors, on which the surface coating could be realized through mixing with  $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$  and/or  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  and sintering at  $750^\circ\text{C}$ . During this, the liquid  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  layer could suppress LMO particle growth, whereas the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  layer played a negligible role. Eventually, Nb-doped and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ -coated granular LMO secondary particles, consisting of 350 nm spherical primary particles, were obtained with superior electrochemical performance; we also observed high capacity retention of 96.31% after 300 cycles at RT and that of 98% after 50 cycles at  $55^\circ\text{C}$  at 1C rate.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51502300) and the Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 1608085QE88).

## Notes and references

- J. M. Tarascon and M. Armand, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 359–367.
- D. Larcher and J. M. Tarascon, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 19–29.
- L. Ben, H. Yu, B. Chen, Y. Chen, Y. Gong, X. Yang, L. Gu and X. Huang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 35463–35475.
- J. M. Paulsen, C. L. Thomas and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1999, **146**, 3560–3565.
- A. V. Potapenko and S. A. Kirillov, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **258**, 9–16.
- J.-S. Kim, K. Kim, W. Cho, W. H. Shin, R. Kanno and J. W. Choi, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 6358–6365.
- R. Wang, X. Li, Z. Wang and H. Zhang, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **34**, 131–140.
- X. Chen, G. Xu, X. Ren, Z. Li, X. Qi, K. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Huang and J. Zhong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 6581–6588.
- M. Qiu, Z. T. Sun, D. K. Sang, X. G. Han, H. Zhang and C. M. Niu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 13384–13403.
- D. Deng, *Energy Sci. Eng.*, 2015, **3**, 385–418.
- M. Armand and J. M. Tarascon, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 652.
- M. Michalska, D. A. Ziółkowska, J. B. Jasiński, P. H. Lee, P. Ławniczak, B. Andrzejewski, A. Ostrowski, W. Bednarski, S. H. Wu and J. Y. Lin, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **276**, 37–46.
- C. Jiang, Z. Tang, S. Wang and Z. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, **357**, 144–148.
- S. Tao, H. Zhao, C. Wu, H. Xie, P. Cui, T. Xiang, S. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Fang, Z. Wang, W. Chu, B. Qian and L. Song, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **199**, 203–208.
- A. Tron, Y. D. Park and J. Mun, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **325**, 360–364.
- G. H. Waller, P. D. Brooke, B. H. Rainwater, S. Y. Lai, R. Hu, Y. Ding, F. M. Alamgir, K. H. Sandhage and M. L. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **306**, 162–170.
- H. Ming, Y. Yan, J. Ming, J. Adkins, X. Li, Q. Zhou and J. Zheng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **120**, 390–397.
- T.-F. Yi, Y. Xie, Y.-R. Zhu, R.-S. Zhu and M.-F. Ye, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **211**, 59–65.
- M. Chen, P. Chen, F. Yang, H. Song and S. Liao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **206**, 356–365.
- Y. Fu, H. Jiang, Y. Hu, Y. Dai, L. Zhang and C. Li, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **54**, 3800–3805.
- L. Xiao, Y. Guo, D. Qu, B. Deng, H. Liu and D. Tang, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **225**, 286–292.
- H.-L. Zhu, Z.-Y. Chen, S. Ji and V. Linkov, *Solid State Ionics*, 2008, **179**, 1788–1793.
- M. M. Thackeray, *Prog. Solid State Chem.*, 1997, **25**, 1–71.
- B. Zhu, B. Fang and X. Li, *Ceram. Int.*, 2010, **36**, 2493–2498.
- X. Hu, L. Cui, T. Liu, Z. Zheng, Y. Tang and A. Lu, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2015, **427**, 69–75.



- 26 G. Xia, N. Li, D. Li, R. Liu, N. Xiao and D. Tian, *Mater. Lett.*, 2012, **79**, 58–60.
- 27 M. He, X. L. Chen, B. Q. Hu, T. Zhou, Y. P. Xu and T. Xu, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2002, **165**, 187–192.
- 28 F. Marchini, E. J. Calvo and F. J. Williams, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **269**, 706–713.
- 29 Y. Fu, Y.-J. Gu, Y.-B. Chen, H.-Q. Liu and H.-H. Zhou, *Solid State Ionics*, 2018, **320**, 16–23.
- 30 M.-Y. Zhao, Z.-Y. Ji, Y.-G. Zhang, Z.-Y. Guo, Y.-Y. Zhao, J. Liu and J.-S. Yuan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **252**, 350–361.
- 31 T. Kakuda, K. Uematsu, K. Toda and M. Sato, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **167**, 499–503.
- 32 T. Eriksson, A. M. Andersson, C. Gejke, T. Gustafsson and J. O. Thomas, *Langmuir*, 2002, **18**, 3609–3619.
- 33 M. Xiang, C.-W. Su, L. Feng, M. Yuan and J. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **125**, 524–529.
- 34 C. Yang, S. Yu, C. Lin, F. Lv, S. Wu, Y. Yang, W. Wang, Z.-Z. Zhu, J. Li, N. Wang and S. Guo, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 4217–4224.
- 35 H.-Q. Wang, F.-Y. Lai, Y. Li, X.-H. Zhang, Y.-G. Huang, S.-J. Hu and Q.-Y. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **177**, 290–297.
- 36 G. Li, X. Chen, Y. Liu, Y. Chen and W. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 16753–16761.

