



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 12886

First-principles study of the layered thermoelectric material TiNBr

Shuofeng Zhang,^a Ben Xu,^{a,b} Yuanhua Lin,^c Cewen Nan^c and Wei Liu^d

Layer-structured materials are often considered to be good candidates for thermoelectric materials, because they tend to exhibit intrinsically low thermal conductivity as a result of atomic interlayer interactions. The electrical properties of layer-structured materials can be easily tuned using various methods, such as band modification and intercalation. We report TiNBr, as a member of the layer-structured metal nitride halide system MNX (M = Ti, Zr, Hf; X = Cl, Br, I), and it exhibits an ultrahigh Seebeck coefficient of 2215 $\mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ at 300 K. The value of the dimensionless figure of merit, ZT , along the A axis can be as high as 0.661 at 800 K, corresponding to a lattice thermal conductivity as low as 1.34 W (m K)^{-1} . The low κ_l of TiNBr is associated with a collectively low phonon group velocity ($2.05 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ on average) and large phonon anharmonicity that can be quantified using the Grüneisen parameter and three-phonon processes. Animation of the atomic motion in highly anharmonic modes mainly involves the motion of N atoms, and the charge density difference reveals that the N atoms become polarized with the merging of anharmonicity. Moreover, the fitting procedure of the energy-displacement curve verifies that in addition to the three-phonon processes, the fourth-order anharmonic effect is also important in the integral anharmonicity of TiNBr. Our work is the first study of the thermoelectric properties of TiNBr and may help establish a connection between the low lattice thermal conductivity and the behavior of phonon vibrational modes.

Received 10th January 2019

Accepted 11th March 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra00247b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

TiNBr belongs to a series of layer-structured metal nitride halides of the form MNX, where M is Ti, Zr, or Hf and X is Cl, Br, or I. Many researchers have systematically investigated the superconductivity and the intercalation situations of these systems.^{1–7} This kind of compound has easily tunable electrical properties, and their layered structure may help them introduce extra phonon scattering processes *via* atomic interlayer interactions, which tend to cause the materials to exhibit intrinsically low thermal conductivity,^{8–10} thus making them possible candidates as thermoelectric materials.

Thermoelectric materials enable direct conversion between thermal and electrical energy, and can generate environmentally-friendly energy from waste heat. Normally, we use the dimensionless figure of merit (ZT) to characterize the thermoelectric conversion efficiency. The ZT value is defined as $ZT = (S^2\sigma/\kappa)T$, where S , σ , κ and T are the Seebeck coefficient,

electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity, and absolute temperature, respectively. In the fractional term of ZT , the numerator $S^2\sigma$ is also called the power factor. To improve the value of ZT , its simple expression provides researchers with explicit objectives, such as increasing the power factor and decreasing the thermal conductivity.¹¹ However, the well-known interdependence between S , σ and κ complicates the procedure. Consequently, we often try to maintain a high power factor and to reduce the lattice thermal electricity, or to optimize the power factor in materials that have an intrinsically low thermal conductivity.¹²

In recent decades, a large number of thermoelectric materials have been discovered. A common feature among many of the high performance thermoelectric materials, such as BiCuSeO, is that many of them have a layered crystal structure. As mentioned above, TiNBr is a kind of material that has a layered and anisotropic crystal structure.^{1,2,13} Because the thermoelectric properties of TiNBr have not been systemically investigated, we conducted first-principle calculations on the electronic transport properties and thermal transport properties of TiNBr, and surprisingly found that it exhibits attractive thermoelectric properties. The ZT value of TiNBr along A axis can be as high as 0.661 at 800 K when the electronic relaxation time was conservatively set as $0.8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}$, and the corresponding lattice thermal conductivity can be low as 1.34 $\text{W (m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})$.

^aSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, People's Republic of China

^bKey Laboratory of Advanced Materials of Ministry of Education of China, People's Republic of China. E-mail: xuben@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

^cState Key Laboratory of New Ceramics and Fine Processing, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

^dKey Laboratory of Advanced Materials of Ministry of Education of China, People's Republic of China



It is known that phonons play an important role in heat transfer and influence the tuning of the thermal conductivity. For instance, the leading thermoelectric material, PbTe, exhibits giant anharmonic phonon scattering,¹⁴ and resonant bonding leads to low thermal conductivity in rock salt IV–VI compounds such as SnTe and Bi₂Te₃.¹⁵ To understand the reason behind the intrinsically low lattice thermal conductivity of TiNBr from a theoretical perspective, we additionally explored the relationship between the phonon behavior and the thermal conductivity based on the mode level phonon group velocity and Grüneisen parameter analysis. The anharmonic phonon scattering of different vibration modes was also investigated.^{16,17} The data indicates that TiNBr is a prospective thermoelectric material, that consists of Earth-abundant and harmless elements.

2. Computational details

For the calculations, we used Density Functional Theory (DFT) based on first principles as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).^{18,19} The Local Density Approximation (LDA) + U using the projected augmented wave (PAW) method was used for the exchange and correlation effects.^{20,21} The LDA + U method takes into account orbital dependence of the Coulomb and exchange interactions which is absent in the LDA. However, LDA + U still tends to underestimate band gaps because it insufficiently accounts for exchange-correlation effects of the localized 3 d electrons, and so we also adopted the HSE06 hybrid functional to calculate the band structure. The HSE06 hybrid functional is based on a screened Coulomb potential for the exchange interaction.^{22,23} E_{xc} is given by

$$E_{xc}^{\omega\text{PBEh}} = aE_x^{\text{HF,SR}}(\omega) + (1 - a)E_x^{\text{PBE,SR}}(\omega) + E_x^{\text{PBE,LR}}(\omega) + E_c^{\text{PBE}}(1)$$

where ω is an adjustable parameter governing the extent of short-range interactions. The ω PBE hybrid (ω PBEh) is equivalent to PBE0 for $\omega = 0$ and asymptotically reaches PBE for $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

The wave functions are expanded in a plane wave basis with a kinetic energy cut off of 500 eV. A Monkhorst–Pack k -mesh of $39 \times 39 \times 19$ was used to sample the Brillouin Zone (BZ), and the energy and atomic force convergence thresholds were set as 10^{-6} eV and 10^{-3} eV \AA^{-1} , respectively.²⁴

The BoltzTrap code²⁵ was used to calculate the electronic transport properties. Using this code, the thermoelectric properties, including the electrical conductivity (σ) and electronic thermal conductivity (κ_e), were calculated with respect to the relaxation time (τ), which means that we get σ/τ and κ_e/τ from BoltzTrap. The ZT value can be calculated as:

$$ZT = \frac{S^2 \sigma}{\kappa} T = \frac{S^2 \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \tau}{\kappa_1 + \frac{\kappa_e}{\tau}} T = \frac{S^2 \frac{\sigma}{\tau} T}{\frac{\kappa_1}{\tau} + \frac{\kappa_e}{\tau}} \quad (2)$$

If σ/τ and κ_e/τ are fixed, the final value of ZT increases when the relaxation time increases. We adopted a conservative value

of $\tau = 0.8 \times 10^{-14}$ s to calculate the ZT value, and this also ensures that the result tends to be underestimated.

The harmonic and anharmonic interatomic force constants (IFCs) were obtained using the real-space finite displacement difference method. A $3 \times 3 \times 2$ supercell that contained 108 atoms was constructed for the force calculations, and the energy and atom force convergence thresholds were set as 10^{-8} eV and 10^{-8} eV \AA^{-1} , respectively. A Monkhorst–Pack k -mesh of $6 \times 6 \times 4$ was used to sample the BZ using the Phonopy package.²⁶ Space group symmetry properties were used to reduce the calculation cost and numerical noise of the force constants; they can also greatly simplify the determination of the dynamical matrix constructed on the basis of the harmonic IFCs.^{27,28}

The calculation of lattice thermal conductivity was conducted using the ShengBTE code²⁹ based on the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (pBTE). This code uses the second-order (harmonic) and third-order (anharmonic) IFCs combined with a full solution of the pBTE to successfully predict the lattice thermal conductivity (κ_l).^{30,31} A Monkhorst–Pack k -mesh of $2 \times 2 \times 1$ was used to sample the BZ, and a cutoff radius r_{cutoff} was introduced to disregard interactions between atoms that had a distance larger than a certain value for practical purposes.^{28,32} Based on the convergence test of κ with varying values of r_{cutoff} , we chose the cutoff interactions to include up to the 8th nearest neighbors (corresponding to the cutoff distance of 4.6864 \AA) and a Q-grid of $11 \times 11 \times 5$ for calculating the κ_1 of TiNBr. Additionally, the Born effective charges (Z^*) and dielectric constants (ϵ) were obtained using the density functional perturbation theory (DFPT), which is added to the dynamical matrix as a correction to account for long-range electrostatic interactions.

3. Results

3.1. Structural information

TiNBr materials crystallize in the $Pm\bar{m}n$ space group. The optimized geometry structure is shown in Fig. 1. The calculations were performed on the primitive cell containing six atoms (two atoms for each element). The positions of the N atoms are (0, 0,




Fig. 1 Crystal structure of TiNBr. The superlattice shows a $3 \times 3 \times 2$ primitive cells. Representations of the different atoms are noted in the legend.



0.950) and (0.5, 0.5, 0.050), those of the Ti atoms are (0.5, 0, 0.904) and (0, 0.5, 0.096), and those of the Br atoms are (0, 0, 0.338) and (0.5, 0.5, 0.662). Several different methods were employed to optimize the structure including PBE and LDA, both with or without +U, and we found LDA + U can achieve the best result compared with experiment. According to research by Chang-Hong Chien *et al.*, The Coulomb parameter, U, and exchange terms (screened), J, for the Ti atoms were chosen as 6.6 eV and 0.78 eV, respectively.⁴² The calculated value of the lattice constants compared to the experimental results reported by Zhang *et al.* is given in Table 1.² The optimized lattice constants of TiNBr are in good agreement with the experimental results, and this confirms the reliability of the method used.

3.2. Electrical properties

The calculated electronic band structure of TiNBr and the density of states (DOS) are shown in Fig. 2.

Table 1 Calculated and experimental lattice constants of TiNBr

	<i>a</i> (Å)	<i>b</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)
This work	3.999	3.359	8.139
Experimental	3.927	3.349	8.332

TiNBr is a direct-band gap semiconductor that has both the conduction band minimum (CBM) and the valence band maximum (VBM) at point Z. Using HSE06, the band gap is calculated as 1.299 eV, and this is much larger than the LDA + U value of 0.883 eV. Because of the superiority of the HSE06 hybrid functional in calculating band gaps and because of the lack of an experimental value, we use the HSE06 result as input in the subsequent calculations if a band gap value is necessary.

TiNBr tends to form p-type semiconductors. Thus, the upper part of the valence band has the greatest effect on the electrical properties.⁸ As shown in Fig. 2(a), the overall dispersion has relatively low curvature, especially along the Z–T line, where the curves are almost straight and flat, indicating a large carrier effective mass around the VBM.³³ Previous studies have proven that the light band provides good electrical conduction, and the heavy band is favorable for a high Seebeck coefficient.^{12,34,35}

The total DOS near the band gap and the projected density of states (PDOS) are shown in Fig. 2(b)–(e). Although rapid changes in the DOS represent a large Seebeck coefficient,^{12,36} one can infer from Fig. 3(a) that the corresponding carrier density is rather small around the Fermi level chemical potential, and this results in a moderate power factor. Conducting non-equivalent doping may be a promising approach for optimizing the electrical conductivity and for obtaining good thermoelectric properties. The PDOS give the detailed contribution




Fig. 2 Calculated band structure (a) and (projected) density of states near the Fermi energy (b)–(e) for TiNBr. The dashed lines represent the Fermi levels and are set to zero.






Fig. 3 The variation of (a) the Seebeck coefficient, (b) the electrical conductivity with respect to chemical potential (μ) at different temperatures.

of the atomic orbitals that compose in TiNBr. The s-orbitals of Ti, N and Br make a negligible contribution. The p-orbitals of N and Br contribute more in the valence band while Ti-d orbitals dominate in the conduction band. This information provides a lot of inspiration if we want to modify the electrical properties of TiNBr.

In addition, because TiNBr has a layered structure, intercalation may also help promote the electrical properties without introducing too many negative effects with respect to the thermal properties. Zhang *et al.* reported that, when TiNBr was electron-doped by the intercalation of alkali metal, it can transform into a superconductor.² Because of the excellent electrical conductivity of copper, we tried to intercalate Cu atoms into the interlayer gap between the two adjacent bromide layers in a proportion of $\text{TiNBrCu}_{0.25}$, but the concentration of copper was too high to maintain the semiconductive character of the system, and the thermal conductivity was consequently also so high that the whole ZT value was not improved. Although we did not study this concept in more depth, reducing the concentration of the intercalation atoms or choosing other elements that have electronegativity more similar to bromine may help improve the performance.

At different temperatures, the electrical properties of TiNBr as a function of chemical potential are shown in Fig. 3. A positive value of the chemical potential (μ) compared to the Fermi level chemical potential indicates n-type doping, and a negative value of μ indicates p-type doping. The Seebeck coefficient as a function of chemical potential at different temperatures (300, 500, 700, and 800 K) is shown in Fig. 3(a). The absolute value of the maximum Seebeck coefficient value is very high ($2215 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$) and is obtained near the Fermi level chemical potential at 300 K, corresponding to n-type doping. In Fig. 3(a), the peaks of the Seebeck coefficient curves at different temperatures are near the Fermi level chemical potential; at such points, the Seebeck coefficient dominates the overall electrical properties of a semiconductor. It is also obvious that the maximum value of the Seebeck coefficient decreases with an increase in temperature.

Fig. 3(b) shows the variation of the electrical conductivity with chemical potential and temperature. The electrical conductivity increases slightly as the temperature goes up because of the increase in the carrier density. The value of the electrical conductivity is higher for the negative chemical

potential compared to the positive one, indicating that the p-type composition has higher electrical conductivity than the n-type doping has. The overall impact of temperature on the electrical conductivity is not comparable to that of the chemical potential.

3.3. Phonon dispersion and thermoelectric properties

To investigate the stability and thermal properties of TiNBr, we first calculated the phonon spectrum using a $3 \times 3 \times 2$ superlattice, as shown in Fig. 4(a). There are no imaginary frequencies, which proves that this particular structure is thermodynamically stable. The primitive cell of TiNBr contains 6 atoms. Thus, the calculated phonon spectrum has 18 dispersion relations. The phonon spectrum reveals the lattice vibration modes of TiNBr. Analyzing the atomic vibrations provided by Phonopy, the motion of each atom can be identified, and the interactions between atoms can be depicted in detail. Normally, the upper branches contribute more from lighter atoms, and the lower branches contribute more from heavier atoms. This theory is also applicable in the present study, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Specifically, the N atoms dominate in Fig. 4(b) upper branches where the frequency is higher than 10 THz, and the Br




Fig. 4 The (a) phonon spectrum and corresponding (b) PDOS of TiNBr.



atoms mainly contribute to the lowest branches where the frequency is lower than 5 THz. The middle weight Ti atoms dominate the middle frequency region. Additionally, the heights of the peaks in Fig. 4(b) also indicate the density of degeneracy, and this accounts for the intensity of the interactions between the different branches. The total DOS is consistent with the phonon spectrum. Moreover, the phonon spectrum has relatively flat curves, indicating a small phonon group velocity based on the formula $V_g = d\omega/dq$; this is beneficial for the low thermal conductivity. A visible explanation regarding the phonon group velocity in TiNBr is provided later.

The anisotropy lattice thermal conductivities (κ_l) of TiNBr are provided in Fig. 5(a). In general, the total thermal conductivity is the sum of the electronic thermal conductivity, κ_e , and the lattice thermal conductivity, κ_l . Because the electronic thermal conductivity of TiNBr is orders of magnitude smaller than the lattice thermal conductivities, only the latter one makes a significant contribution to the integral thermal conductivity. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the value of κ_l for TiNBr converges quickly when the temperature is higher than 300 K. The values of κ_l for the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes at 800 K are 1.34 W mK⁻¹, 1.59 W mK⁻¹, and 0.49 W mK⁻¹, respectively. Although the value of κ_l along the *c* axis is the lowest of the three, the electrical conductivity along this direction is too low to ensure good thermoelectric performance. We care more about the intrinsic low lattice thermal conductivity along the other two directions, which are attributed to the small phonon group velocity and strong phonon-phonon scattering.

With the combination of the electrical and thermal properties, the major criterion for the performance of a thermoelectric material, namely the figure of merit (*ZT*), can be calculated. Fig. 5(b) shows how the *ZT* varies with temperature. The chemical potential is 0.23916 Ry at which the *ZT* value is highest, and the carrier concentration is 0.0313 per unit cell, which corresponds to a moderate Seebeck coefficient and to electrical conductivity with *n*-doping. The *ZT* values along the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes at 800 K are 0.66, 0.43, and 0.42, respectively. It is obvious that TiNBr exhibits best thermoelectric property with a temperature gradient applied along the *a*-axis. The Seebeck coefficient generally decreases with an increase in temperature because of the emergence of more thermally excited charge carriers. However, at the particular chosen chemical potential, the decreasing rate at which the Seebeck coefficient changes with temperature is much slower than that of the lattice thermal conductivity. Thus, the overall *ZT* value gradually increases with an increase in temperature. The calculated *ZT* value is based on a conservative relaxation time constant that we chose as 0.8×10^{-14} s. When the temperature increases, this approximation may be less effective because relaxation time decreases remarkably at high temperature. Thus, we set the upper limit of temperature at 800 K, and estimations beyond this temperature are less significant.

In fact, in Fig. 5(a), the method we use to calculate the lattice thermal conductivity takes the nonharmonic effects into account to get a more reasonable result. For comparison, we also conducted the same calculation using the quasi-harmonic approximation (QHA). In this approximation, the lattice

thermal conductivity can be estimated using the following relationship.³⁷

$$\kappa_l = \frac{A\overline{M}\theta^3\delta}{\gamma^2n^3T} \quad (3)$$




Fig. 5 The values of the lattice thermal conductivity and the values of *ZT* for TiNBr along the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes. (a) The lattice thermal conductivity along the different axes of TiNBr. (b) The values of *ZT* along the different axis of TiNBr. (c) Comparison of the calculated lattice thermal conductivity using the quasi-harmonic approximation and an approach that accounts for nonharmonic effects.



where n is the number of atoms in the primitive cell, δ^3 is the volume per atom, θ is the Debye temperature, \bar{M} is the average mass of the atoms in the crystal, and A is a collection of physical constants. (Specifically, $A \approx 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$ if κ is in W mK^{-1} , \bar{M} in atomic mass unit, and δ in \AA). Here γ is the temperature-dependent average Grüneisen parameter, and it can be obtained from the QHA; a visualization of the data is given in the next section. The comparative results are shown in Fig. 5(c), in which the results of the QHA calculations are depicted in red symbols. It is clear that the QHA calculated lattice thermal conductivity is far greater than that calculated using the nonharmonic effects, and this indicates that intrinsic scattering effects are not included in the QHA. This effect is favorable for the intrinsically low thermal conductivity of TiNBr, which is discussed in detail in the next section.

3.4. Mode level analysis for the low κ_1

To explain the low lattice thermal conductivity, we perform a detailed analysis based on the mode level phonon group velocity, Grüneisen parameter, phonon scattering rate, and vibrational mode. The mode level phonon group velocity is shown in Fig. 6, and the different colors of the scattering data points correspond to different phonon modes or to different branches of the phonon spectrum. Colors near blue are used to depict the modes that have lower frequency, and colors near red represent the modes that have higher frequency. Thus, we can see intuitively the contributions of different modes and make comparisons between them. The same coloring scheme for the data points was also adopted for the following figures. As Fig. 6 clearly shows, most data correspond to a phonon group velocity lower than $6 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. It is only in the high frequency region that some data points appear with high phonon group velocity. The average phonon group velocity in the irreducible wedge is only $2.05 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, and this means that the phonon is generally transported slowly in the TiNBr lattice irrespective of the vibrational mode and position in Q -space. This partly contributes to low lattice thermal conductivity. The flattening of the phonon dispersion curves, as shown in Fig. 6(a), is part of the reason behind the collectively low phonon group velocity.




Fig. 6 Mode level phonon group velocity v_g of TiNBr.

For further insight regarding the atomic anharmonic interactions, phonon–phonon scattering and the Grüneisen parameter (γ) were considered. It is well known that the phonon–phonon scattering process is determined by the anharmonic nature of structures,³⁸ and the Grüneisen parameter (γ) is often considered to be an anharmonicity parameter that reflects how much the phonon vibrations in a crystal lattice deviate from harmonic oscillations. Therefore, the magnitude of the anharmonicity in the TiNBr lattice can be roughly quantified by the Grüneisen parameter. To this end, we examined the phonon anharmonicity of TiNBr by calculating the Grüneisen parameter, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. The temperature-dependent average Grüneisen parameter of TiNBr is also plotted and shown in the inset figure. The value of γ tends to be high when the frequency is low, indicating relatively strong anharmonicity because of the strong interactions between the acoustic and optical branches, as discussed earlier. The value of γ decreases with an increase in frequency, indicating that the interaction between different vibrational modes becomes milder. For the temperature-dependent average Grüneisen parameter, one can observe that the Grüneisen parameter tends to increase sharply in the low temperature region, and gradually decrease to a stable value of 2.45 at 800 K.

Furthermore, we delve into the detailed processes of phonon–phonon scattering to gain a more fundamental understanding of the mechanisms underlying the low κ_1 . Normally, of the kinds of anharmonic schemes that contribute to the final scattering rate, three-phonon processes play a vital role and are much more important than higher-order effects.^{15,39,40} Therefore, understanding the three-phonon induced scattering process can provide insight regarding anharmonicity. Three-phonon process contributions to the scattering rate with respect to different vibrational modes are shown in Fig. 8, and each subfigure corresponds sequentially to a certain branch. The longitudinal scale plates are all set to the same value, and then the contributions of different branches can be compared in a straightforward way. Fig. 8(a) shows the situation where the temperature is 300 K, and Fig. 8(b) shows that of 800 K. In




Fig. 7 Grüneisen parameter of TiNBr. Inset: the temperature-dependent average Grüneisen parameter of TiNBr.






Fig. 8 Contributions of mode level three-phonon processes to the scattering rate at (a) 300 K and (b) 800 K. Each subfigure corresponds sequentially to a certain branch of the phonon vibrational mode.

Fig. 8(a), we can see clearly that of all of the vibrational modes, the 11th vibrational mode (second of the fourth row) has the maximum three-phonon process contribution to the scattering rate. The Ti, Br, and N atoms all join the lattice vibration, and the Ti atoms have the largest contribution, as seen in Fig. 4. This particular kind of collective vibration has the largest incidence of three-phonon processes and corresponds to large anharmonicity. As surprising as it seems, the 17th vibrational mode also has a high three-phonon process contribution to the scattering rate, and only N atoms dominate the vibration. The case at 800 K that is depicted in Fig. 8(b) shows little change compared to that at 300 K, except that the integral scattering rate increases because of the thermal excitation, and several new modes, such as the 12th and 17th modes, can have large enough contributions to be roughly the same as the 11th vibrational mode. Indeed, in comparing the scattering rates at different temperatures, the difference generally decreases with an increase in frequency, and this is also consistent with the trend in the average Grüneisen parameter.

To gain more detailed information regarding the vibrational mode, we additionally observed the vibrational motions of the atoms in the 11th and 17th vibrational modes using animation.

Screenshots from these animations are shown in Fig. 9. The atomic motions of the Ti, N, and Br atoms are shown in red, blue, and yellow, respectively. The arrows indicate the initial vibrational directions, and the lengths of arrows indicate the relative amplitudes. It is surprising to observe that these modes, which all have relatively large three-phonon process contributions, all mainly involve motion of the N atom. This is indicated by the comparatively long arrows that represent the motion of the N atoms. Indeed, in the animation, the N atoms move in the same phase or converse phases for the 11th and 17th vibrational modes, respectively, while the other atoms barely move. This means that the high three-phonon process contribution is mainly because of the motion of the N atoms.

The 12th mode is another special mode, and it exhibits interlayer atomic motion as depicted in Fig. 9(c). As shown, the Ti and N atoms form a layer and vibrate at the same pace along the *c* axis, and the Br atoms form another layer and occupy a converse phase. The influence interlayer atomic motion has on vibrational mode is the advantage of a layered material, and this particular mode contributes an additional scattering rate to the phonons. Fig. 8(a) indicates that this kind of phonon scattering process has a pretty large contribution, ranking fourth among all of the vibrational modes at 300 K, and when the temperature increases to 800 K, the improvement to the phonon scattering rate induced by this interlayer atomic motion is more significant than that by any other modes. Thus, the interlayer effect is important at high temperature.

The harmonic or anharmonic components of the energy-displacement curve can also be used to determine the origin of the anharmonicity in the TiNBr lattice. Specifically, here we use the amplitude of a particular vibrational mode (the 11th vibrational mode because of its maximum three-phonon process contribution) as the criterion for atom displacement to guarantee consistency with the corresponding phonon mode. The total energy computed using first-principles varies with the change in amplitude as shown in Fig. 10. We use polynomial functions of different orders to fit the curve. The original harmonic function does not fit the curve, indicating the anharmonicity of TiNBr.^{9,41} The charge density difference between the initial position and the position where the energy-displacement curve starts to deviate from the harmonic curve is investigated and depicted in Fig. 11; the light red and green parts around the N atoms represent the accumulation of




Fig. 9 Atomic motions of Ti, N, and Br atoms are shown in red, blue, and yellow, respectively. The arrows indicate the initial vibration directions, and the lengths of the arrows indicate the relative amplitudes. (a) 11th vibrational mode, (b) 17th vibrational mode, and (c) 12th interlayer vibrational mode.






Fig. 10 Analysis of phonon anharmonicity in TiNbBr using an energy–displacement curve, namely the total energy (together with harmonic and higher order fittings) with respect to the amplitude of a particular vibrational mode.




Fig. 11 Charge density differences between the initial position and the position where the energy–displacement curve starts to deviate from the harmonic curve. Red and green parts correspond to positive and negative charge accumulation, respectively.

positive and negative charge, respectively. It is clear that the N atoms become polarized with the merging anharmonicity, and this is consistent with what we know from the animation of the atomic movement. Then, when we use a third-order polynomial function to fit the curve, the fit does not improve much. It is only when the fourth-order polynomial function is adopted that the energy–displacement curve is perfectly fitted. It is apparent that, in addition to the three-phonon processes, fourth-order anharmonic effects also play an important role in the integral anharmonicity of TiNbBr.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have performed a comprehensive study on the thermoelectric properties of TiNbBr including the band structures, the phonon dispersion, the mode Grüneisen parameters, and other thermoelectric properties using DFT and solving the Boltzmann transport equation with first-principles calculations.

TiNbBr tends to form p-type semiconductors with a band gap of 1.299 eV. The absolute value of the Seebeck coefficient near the Fermi level at 300 K can be as high as $2215 \mu\text{V K}^{-1}$, which corresponds to n-type doping. The electronic structure of TiNbBr indicates that the electrical properties can be enhanced by intercalation between the two adjacent bromide layers.

Phonon dispersion and the corresponding PDOS indicate the thermodynamic stability of TiNbBr. By solving the phonon BTE, we get low values of 1.34 W mK^{-1} , 1.59 W mK^{-1} , and 0.49 W mK^{-1} at 800 K for the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes, respectively, for the intrinsic lattice thermal conductivity. Combined with the former electrical properties, these yield promising values of 0.66, 0.43, and 0.42 for the figure of merit (*ZT*) along the *a*, *b*, and *c* axes, respectively, at 800 K if we conservatively set the electronic relaxation time as $0.8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s}$.

To understand the underlying mechanism for the low κ_1 of TiNbBr, a systematic mode level analysis based on the phonon group velocity, Grüneisen parameter, phonon scattering rate, and vibrational modes is performed. The root cause of the low κ_1 for TiNbBr is the collectively low phonon group velocity ($2.05 \times 10^3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ on average) and the large phonon anharmonicity, which can be quantified using the Grüneisen parameter and three-phonon processes. The animation of the atomic motion in highly anharmonic modes mainly involves the motion of the N atoms, and the charge density difference reveals that the N atoms become polarized with the merging of anharmonicity. Moreover, the fitting procedure for the energy–displacement curve verifies that, in addition to the three-phonon processes, the fourth-order anharmonic effect is also important in the integral anharmonicity of TiNbBr.

The significance of this paper is that, for the first time, we conducted comprehensive and systematic first-principles calculations on a material for which the thermoelectric properties have never been investigated. Furthermore, we have established a connection between the low lattice thermal conductivity and the behavior of phonon vibrational modes. The promising high Seebeck coefficient, the *ZT* value, and low thermal conductivity of TiNbBr will benefit applications of this material in the field of energy conversion.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Yandong Sun and Dr Kerong Hu of Tsinghua University for their helpful discussions. This work was supported by the National Key Research Programme of China, under grant no. 2016YFA0201003, Ministry of Sci & Tech of China through a 973-Project under grant no. 2013CB632506, NSF of China (51672155 and 51532003) and by the Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology. The calculations were also conducted at the National Super-computer Center in Tianjin, and the calculations were performed on TianHe-1(A).



References

- 1 S. Zhang, M. Tanaka, H. Zhu and S. Yamanaka, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **26**, 085015, ISSN 0953-2048 1361-6668.
- 2 S. Zhang, M. Tanaka, E. Watanabe, H. Zhu, K. Inumaru and S. Yamanaka, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **26**, 122001, ISSN 0953-2048 1361-6668.
- 3 Y. Saito, Y. Kasahara, J. Ye, Y. Iwasa and T. Nojima, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 409, ISSN 0036-8075.
- 4 S. Yamanaka, H. Kawaji, K.-I. Hotehama and M. Ohashi, *Adv. Mater.*, 1996, **8**, 771, ISSN 1521-4095.
- 5 T. Ito, Y. Fudamoto, A. Fukaya, I. Gat-Malureanu, M. Larkin, P. Russo, A. Savici, Y. Uemura, K. Groves and R. Breslow, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **69**, 134522.
- 6 T. Takano, A. Kitora, Y. Taguchi and Y. Iwasa, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **77**, 104518.
- 7 Y. Taguchi, A. Kitora and Y. Iwasa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 107001.
- 8 J. Ding, B. Xu, Y. Lin, C. Nan and W. Liu, *New J. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 083012, ISSN 1367-2630.
- 9 G. J. Snyder and E. S. Toberer, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, **7**, 105, ISSN 1476-1122.
- 10 T. M. Tritt and M. Subramanian, *MRS Bull.*, 2006, **31**, 188, ISSN 1938-1425.
- 11 L.-D. Zhao, S.-H. Lo, Y. Zhang, H. Sun, G. Tan, C. Uher, C. Wolverton, V. P. Dravid and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Nature*, 2014, **508**, 373, ISSN 0028-0836.
- 12 D. Zou, S. Xie, Y. Liu, J. Lin and J. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 8888, ISSN 2050-7488 2050-7496.
- 13 R. Juza and J. Heners, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1964, **332**, 159, ISSN 1521-3749.
- 14 O. Delaire, J. Ma, K. Marty, A. F. May, M. A. McGuire, M. H. Du, D. J. Singh, A. Podlesnyak, G. Ehlers, M. D. Lumsden, *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 614, DOI: 10.1038/nmat3035, ISSN 1476-1122.
- 15 S. Lee, K. Esfarjani, T. Luo, J. Zhou, Z. Tian and G. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3525, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4525.
- 16 Z. Qin, G. Qin, X. Zuo, Z. Xiong and M. Hu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 4295, ISSN 2040-3372 (Electronic) 2040-3364 (Linking), URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28295111>.
- 17 G. Qin, X. Zhang, S.-Y. Yue, Z. Qin, H. Wang, Y. Han and M. Hu, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**(16), 165445, ISSN 2469-9950 2469-9969.
- 18 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927025696000080>, ISSN 0927-0256.
- 19 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169.
- 20 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133.
- 21 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758.
- 22 J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria and M. Ernzerhof, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **118**, 8207, ISSN 0021-9606 1089-7690.
- 23 J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria and M. Ernzerhof, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **124**, 219906, ISSN 0021-9606 1089-7690.
- 24 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1976, **13**, 5188.
- 25 G. K. H. Madsen and D. J. Singh, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2006, **175**, 67, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465506001305>, ISSN 0010-4655.
- 26 A. Togo, F. Oba and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **78**, 134106, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.78.134106.
- 27 K. Esfarjani and H. T. Stokes, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **77**, 144112.
- 28 W. Li, L. Lindsay, D. Broido, D. A. Stewart and N. Mingo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, **86**, 174307.
- 29 W. Li, J. Carrete, N. A. Katcho and N. Mingo, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2014, **185**, 1747, ISSN 00104655.
- 30 J. Ma, W. Li and X. Luo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**, 082103, ISSN 0003-6951.
- 31 J. Carrete, N. Mingo and S. Curtarolo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**, 101907, ISSN 0003-6951.
- 32 D. Broido, M. Malorny, G. Birner, N. Mingo and D. Stewart, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **91**, 231922, ISSN 0003-6951.
- 33 K. Kaur, *Europhys. Lett.*, 2017, **117**, 47002, ISSN 0295-5075 1286-4854.
- 34 S.-D. Guo, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 47953.
- 35 W. Liu, X. Tan, K. Yin, H. Liu, X. Tang, J. Shi, Q. Zhang and C. Uher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **108**, 166601.
- 36 M.-S. Lee, F. P. Poudeu and S. D. Mahanti, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **83**, 085204, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.085204.
- 37 G. S. Nolas, J. Sharp, and J. Goldsmid, *Thermoelectrics: basic principles and new materials developments*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, vol. 45, ISBN 3662045699.
- 38 L. Lindsay and D. Broido, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2008, **20**, 165209, ISSN 0953-8984.
- 39 F. R. Rollins, L. H. Taylor and P. H. Todd, *Phys. Rev.*, 1964, **136**, A597, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.136.A597.
- 40 A. Cepellotti, G. Fugallo, L. Paulatto, M. Lazzeri, F. Mauri and N. Marzari, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6400, ISSN 2041-1723.
- 41 L.-D. Zhao, C. Chang, G. Tan and M. G. Kanatzidis, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 3044.
- 42 C.-H. Chien, S. H. Chiou, G. Y. Guo and Y. D. Yao, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2004, **282**, 275-278, DOI: 10.1016/j.jmmm.2004.04.064, ISSN 0304-8853.

