



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 13153

Elastic and hydrostatic behaviour of a zinc dietary supplement, zinc glycinate hydrate†

Muhammad Azeem,^a Muhammad Asif,^b Di Gui,^a Liyuan Dong,^a Chunlei Pei,^{*c} Peixiang Lu^{ad} and Wei Li^{id *ae}

Coordination polymer based dietary supplement tablets are commonly consumed in our daily life and play an important role in the pharmaceutical industry. To fully understand their tableting process, their mechanical properties need to be comprehensively studied. In this work, the elastic and hydrostatic behaviour of a zinc supplement, zinc glycinate hydrate ($\text{Zn}[\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{NH}_2]_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$), have been studied *via* density functional theory (DFT) calculations and high-pressure synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction. This material has a pseudo-layered structure and can be successfully exfoliated into nanosheets. The DFT calculated elastic moduli along the principal axes (13.84–36.11 GPa) indicate a significant elastic anisotropy of ZnG as expected for a layered system, and the directional dependent elastic modulus can be corroborated with the underlying atomic structure. In addition, the calculated *B/G* ratios (1.30–3.83) according to Pugh's criterion reveal that ZnG could be brittle under uniaxial stress (*B* and *G* are bulk modulus and shear modulus, respectively). Furthermore, the measured *B* is ~ 31 GPa, which lies in the middle of the values between inorganic dietary supplements and small organic drug crystals. These results provide some quantitative information about the tableting process of the hybrid dietary supplement which could be different from their inorganic and organic pharmaceutical counterparts.

Received 16th January 2019
 Accepted 17th April 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra00385a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Research on coordination polymers (CPs) has attracted immense attention in the past two decades due to their chemical diversity and combined properties of both organic and inorganic materials.^{1–3} CPs have been found to show promising applications in catalysis,⁴ gas storage and separation,⁵ ferromagnetism,⁶ and multiferroics.⁷ In addition to these applications, CPs can also serve as dietary supplements which have been widely consumed in our daily life to provide mineral nutrition.⁸ In terms of composition, CP based dietary supplements have better bioactivity and fewer side-effects than conventional purely inorganic food supplements, and play a more important role in the pharmaceutical industry.⁹ There are many CP based supplements, which include calcium (*e.g.* calcium gluconate, calcium aspartate),

zinc (*e.g.* zinc glycinate, zinc acetate), iron (*e.g.* ferrous fumarate), magnesium (*e.g.* magnesium malate), copper (*e.g.* copper glycinate), and potassium (*e.g.* potassium citrate) derivatives.^{10–12}

Dietary supplements can be used in many dosage forms like powder, liquid, soft gel, capsule and tablet. Overall, the tablet form offers various advantages such as low cost, long term storage stability, good portability and easy usage.¹³ During tableting, these crystalline materials need to be milled and compacted, and the discrete elastic and plastic deformation in this complex process strongly determines the tabletability.⁸ For example, strong elastic recovery of food supplemental materials during compaction process could lead to detrimental capping and delamination.¹⁴ In addition, a better plasticity *via* slipping and motion of dislocation along some specific slipped planes, may result in more facile milling and better compactability.¹⁵ And the presence of water molecules in hydrated dietary supplements can also facilitate plastic deformation which could give tablets good strength and large volume reduction.¹⁶ In this context, a thorough understanding of the intrinsic elastic and plastic properties of these CPs based supplements at an atomic level is highly needed. However, there are very few studies concerning this important area, and the currently available knowledge is limited to a calcium system, calcium fumarate trihydrate.⁸ Considering the vast chemical and structural diversity of CPs based dietary supplements, other systems also need to be explored.

In the present work, we study the elastic and hydrostatic behaviour of a dietary supplement, zinc glycinate hydrate, (Zn

^aSchool of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

^bSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China

^cSchool of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China. E-mail: chunlei.pei@tju.edu.cn

^dHubei Key Laboratory of Optical Information and Pattern Recognition, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430205, China

^eSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China. E-mail: wl276@nankai.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra00385a



[O₂CCH₂NH₂]₂·H₂O, ZnG) with a pseudo 2D structure through combined high-pressure powder X-ray diffraction (HP-PXRD) experiments and first principles calculations. Our results demonstrate the intrinsic relationship between the underlying crystal structure and hydrostatic behaviour and elasticity of this hybrid dietary supplement. Moreover, we found that the strong mechanical anisotropy of this 2D hybrid supplement results in its easy delamination into nanosheets under shearing stress which need to be taken into account during tableting.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis

All chemicals and solvents purchased as reagent grade and were used as received without further purification. Typically, glycine (0.23 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of water and heated up to 75 °C. Meanwhile, zinc hydroxide (0.15 g, 1.5 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of water in another beaker at room temperature. Both solutions were mixed and placed under refrigeration overnight. The solution was taken and filtrate was mixed with 10 mL of absolute ethanol and placed the mixture in a fume hood for slow evaporation at room temperature. Colorless plates of ZnG single crystals were obtained and washed with chilled ethanol.

2.2 Preparation of nanosheets

The nanosheets were prepared by mixing 0.1 mg (ZnG powder) in 7 mL (ethanol) in a 10 mL cylindrical vial and sonicated for about 20 min in a KQ3200DE ultrasonic cleaner equipment with fixed power (120 W) and frequency (40 kHz). The mild milky suspension in the vial was centrifuged at a rate of 5000 rpm for 5 minutes.

2.3 Atomic force microscopy

A Digital Instrument Multimode SPM in the tapping mode with BRUKER RTESPA-300 tip was used for observing the surface topographies and the corresponding thickness of different exfoliated nanosheets,¹⁷ deposited on a clean silicon substrate (1 × 1 cm²) by drop casting method. The images were taken at a resolution of 256 × 256 pixel, by scanning an area of 10 × 10 μm² at a rate of 1.00 Hz. Besides that, the values of operation point, integral gain, and P gain were fixed at 0.153 V, 1500 and 0.001, respectively.

2.4 Transmission electron microscopy

Bright field images of as prepared nanosheets dropped on a holy carbon coated copper grids were collected with Titan 60-300 Cs, corrected transmission electron microscope operating at 300 kV, while selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were obtained by JEM-2100 electron microscope operating at 200 kV.

2.5 Scanning electron microscopy

Scanned images of as prepared nanosheets on silicon substrates were collected with the scanning electron microscope Quanta 200, operating at 30 kV.

2.6 High-pressure synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction

A diamond anvil cell (DAC) with a culet diameter of 400 μm and a stainless-steel gasket of 40 μm thickness were used. A synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 0.6199 \text{ \AA}$) was focused to a 36 × 12 μm² spot by means of Kirkpatrick–Baez mirrors to collect data by Pilatus 2M detector integrated *via* a FIT2D suit of package,¹⁸ on 4W2 beamline at Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF) at different pressures.¹⁹ A well ground powder sample was loaded in a hole of above mentioned stainless-steel gasket (200 μm of diameter) with few ruby balls. The ruby chips were used for pressure calibration, while silicon oil as a hydrostatic medium in DAC. The pressure was determined by ruby fluorescence inside DAC with an error of 0.1 GPa.²⁰ The unit cell parameters for different pressures were refined by the Le Bail method.

2.7 First-principles calculation

The first principles calculations were made to obtain the elastic anisotropy of material by using CASTEP,²¹ a total energy package based on plane-wave pseudo-potential density functional theory.²² The functions developed in local density approximation (LDA),^{23,24} were used to indicate the exchange–correlation energy. Optimized ultrasoft pseudo-potentials,²⁵ were adopted to model the effective interaction between the valence electrons and atomic cores, which allowed us to use a set of relatively small plane-wave basis without compromising the computational accuracy. The kinetic energy cut-off was set as 400 eV and Monkhorst–Pack,²⁶ *k*-point mesh spanning was less than 0.04 Å⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Crystal structure

ZnG crystalizes in the monoclinic crystal system with space group *C2/c* as reported previously.²⁷ There are two crystallographic independent zinc atoms (Zn1 and Zn2) which are chelated by two different glycinate in the asymmetric unit. Interestingly, the two chelating glycinate rings around both zinc atoms show almost perpendicular orientations. The O6 of Zn2 and O4 of Zn1 bound to Zn1 and Zn2, respectively, to form a zinc tetramer with pending O2 and O8 from carboxylate groups C2 and C8, and adjacent tetramers link each other to form a one-dimensional (1D) polymer chain approximately along the $\langle 410 \rangle$ direction. These 1D polymer chains deviate 26.67(1)° and 63.33(1)° from the *a*- and *b*-axis, respectively. In addition, there are also three water molecules at different positions in the asymmetric unit, namely O9, O10 and O11. These three water molecules, amino and carboxylate groups of the two glycinate ligands form abundant hydrogen bonding which connect the 1D chains along other two orthogonal directions. Strikingly, there are significantly more hydrogen bonding connections within the *ab*-plane but much less along the *c*-axis, making this compound a pseudo 2D system in terms of hydrogen bonding strength. The layered arrangement and hydrogen bonding modes in the *ab*-plane are displayed in Fig. 1a, and adjacent layers of tetramers are stacked along the *c*-



axis with a layer thickness of ~ 0.746 nm (Fig. 1b). The arrangements of hydrogen bonding along other directions are shown in Fig. S1a and b.†

3.2 Physical exfoliation and characterizations

The pseudo 2D nature of ZnG crystal suggests that it could be exfoliated into nanosheets as expected for a 2D material. Accordingly, the ZnG crystals were ground into powder and sonicated in ethanol for about 20 min to obtain nanosheets. The typical surface morphology and thickness of as-prepared nanosheets measured by AFM are shown in Fig. 2. The nanosheets stacking like overlapped snowflakes are displayed on Fig. 2a, which have different lateral dimensions at the micron size. The stepwise nanosheets pointed in Fig. 2a exhibit different heights of nanosheets, which is commonly expected from liquid-assisted exfoliation correspond to 4-, 6-, 7-, and 12-layers thickness. However, nanosheets from other scans exhibit same thicknesses with a uniform height difference about ~ 4.2 nm (Fig. S2†). The AFM characterisations give clear evidence that ZnG has a typical behaviour of 2D materials.

The morphology, crystallinity of exfoliated nanosheets were also examined by TEM characterization. The bright field image of stacked nanosheets is shown in Fig. 2c which show that the thickness and lateral sizes of these nanosheets are different in the dark and bright areas. This variation in morphology of different areas may be attributed to incomplete delamination or restacking of exfoliated nanoflakes.^{28,29} Like other CPs and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), ZnG nanosheets are also very sensitive to the electron beam, which leads to the damages (holes) on the surface of nanosheets seen in Fig. 2c. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were collected to examine the crystallinity of the exfoliated nanosheets, and the diffracted spots shown in Fig. 2d correspond to the (800), (440) and equivalent planes.

SEM images show stacking feature of exfoliated nanosheets. The lateral sizes are different at various points showing the irregular breakdown of nanosheets during exfoliation under the application of mechanical forces by sonicator (Fig. 3).

3.3 Elastic properties

To fully understand the layered structural nature of ZnG, its full elastic constants were calculated using the density functional




Fig. 2 AFM surface topographies and corresponding height and TEM images. Where (a) step wise stacking of nanosheets. (b) Graphical representation of thickness, ~ 3.1 , ~ 4.6 , ~ 5.4 , and ~ 9.2 nm from the bottom layer correspond to 4-, 6-, 7-, and 12-layers thickness with different symbolic indicators. (c) Bright field image of exfoliated nanosheets by TEM with holes. (d) SAED patterns of layered nanosheets.

theory (DFT) through the CASTEP codes. The calculated 13 independent elastic constants are listed in Table S1.† The elastic moduli (E), shear moduli (G) and Poisson's ratios (ν) were then extracted from the DFT calculation results (Table S2†). The 3D view of E and its projection normal to the (100) plane are displayed in Fig. 4a and b. Clearly, the a -axis is the stiffest direction, while the c -axis is the most compliant axis. In the 3D view, E along different orientations is represented by an irregular sphere with elliptical corners, which indicates the significant anisotropy of ZnG crystal. The yellow, light blue and green corners pointing to the [100], approximate [010] and [001] direction, respectively. As shown in the 2D view, $E_{[100]}$ and $E_{\sim[001]}$ are 36.11 and 13.84 GPa, respectively. In addition, $E_{\sim[010]}$ is 30.61 GPa, which is very close to $E_{[100]}$ but is more than twice of $E_{\sim[001]}$. Clearly, ZnG is significantly compressible along the c -axis but much stiffer along the a - and b -axis, which is expected for this pseudo-layered system and consistent with the observation of successful exfoliation.




Fig. 1 Crystal structure of ZnG. (a) Two dimensional layered arrangement in the ab -plane. (b) Layer stacking pattern along the c -axis. Color scheme: Zn, light green; O, red; C, grey; N, blue and H, white.




Fig. 3 SEM images of ZnG nanosheets at different magnification (a and b).






Fig. 4 Surface counters of direction depended elastic moduli: (a) 3D view; (b) 2D view projected normal to the (100) plane.

The elastic anisotropy of the layered structure, defined as the ratio $A_E = E_{[100]}/E_{[001]}$ is about 2.61. This A_E value is about an order of magnitude lower than graphite, and about 50% of those values from those of h-BN, MoS₂, WS₂, black P and a layered hybrid perovskite [(benzylammonium)₂PbBr₄].³⁰ Accordingly, the low A_E of ZnG gives rise to a lower ease level of exfoliation,³¹ as observed from AFM and TEM experiments. Furthermore, the difference in $E_{(100)}$ and $E_{(010)}$ can be explained by the underlying structural arrangements within the pseudo-2D layer. Specifically, the 1D ZnG polymer chains are 26.67(1)° and 63.33(1)° with respect to the *a*- and *b*-axis, which gives rise to more projection of the rigid 1D chains along [100] rather than [010], hence higher rigidity along [100] and more compliance along [010].

Compared with other types of dietary supplements, the E values of ZnG is very close to the values of hybrid dietary supplement, calcium fumarate trihydrate, and inorganic dietary supplement, MgO (Table 1). However, these E values are substantially lower than those from CaCO₃ and much higher than those of zinc acetate dihydrate and small molecule pharmaceutical crystals.

The 3D and 2D contours of Poisson's ratio (ν) of ZnG are displayed in Fig. 5, which show the Poisson's ratios are between 0.01 to 0.76. These values are narrower than those of graphene (0.99 to 0.01) and broader than h-BN (0.49 to 0.06), but approximate to those from [(benzylammonium)₂PbBr₄] (0.87 to 0.01).³⁰ It is well known that the smaller the Poisson's ratio is, the more brittle the material is.³² A solid is usually defined as brittle when its Poisson's ratios lower than 0.26. For ZnG crystal,

it has many directions which possess Poisson's ratios smaller than this critical value, hence implying that it could be brittle in nature under uniaxial stretching or compressing. We also calculated the values of shear modulus (G) (6.52–19.15 GPa) and corresponding values of B/G (1.30–3.83). According to the Pugh's criteria, materials with $B/G < 1.75$ are brittle. In this regard, ZnG would be brittle.³³ Nevertheless, this brittle feature of ZnG would facilitate its milling during the tableting process. The different uniaxial elastic properties of ZnG (E and ν) indicate that its tableting would be in principle different from those organic drug crystals and inorganic food supplements.

3.4 Hydrostatic behaviour

To explain the hydrostatic behaviour of ZnG single crystal under pressure, we performed HP-PXRD experiments at ambient temperature. The collected powder patterns were refined using the Le Bail method to obtain lattice parameters at different pressures (Table S7†). The relative changes in lattice parameters (a , b , c , V and β) are shown in Fig. 6a–d from ambient to 12.10 GPa. The percentage decrease in a , b , c , and V are 4.81%, 5.39%, 11.94% and 20.81%, respectively, which shows a normal compressing behaviour under pressure. This normal behaviour could be perceived in the Bragg peak shifting from a lower to a higher angle (Fig. 7a and b). Eventually, the ZnG unit cell shrinks more along the *c*-axis (stacked-layers direction) as interlayer spacing distance decreases rapidly with increasing pressure, followed by the *b*-axis, and the least compressibility is along the *a*-axis.

Consequently, from the HP-PXRD and DFT calculations we can conclude that material shows mechanical anisotropic




Fig. 5 Surface counters of direction depended Poisson's ratios: (a) 3D view; (b) 2D view projected normal to the (100) plane. (c) 2D view projected normal to the (010) plane.

Table 1 Elastic and bulk moduli of some reported small molecule drug crystals and dietary supplement compounds

Category	Material	E (GPa)	K_0 (GPa)	Ref.
Inorganic	CaCO ₃	~80	~73	35
	MgO	~300	~160	36
Organic pharmaceutical molecules	Chlorothiazide	Not reported	~13	37
	Piracetam (form V)	Not reported	~13	38
	DL-Mandelic acid (form II)	Not reported	~13	39
	Theophylline–methyl gallate	~15	Not reported	15
	Aspirin	~6–10	Not reported	40 and 41
Hybrid dietary supplement	Calcium fumarate trihydrate	~16.7–33.4	~20	8
	Zinc acetate dihydrate	~4.3–5.8	~20	17
	ZnG	~14 (out-of-plane), ~31–36 (in-plane)	~31	This work






Fig. 6 Relative changes in lattice parameters of ZnG unit cell as a function of pressure. (a) Relative changes in a and c , (b) in b , (c) in β . (d) Evolution of unit cell volume as a function of pressure. The solid lines in (a), (b) and (d) indicate the fits using the second-order Birch–Murnaghan equation of state.

behaviour under pressure (0 to 12.10 GPa) without undergoing any phase transition (Fig. 7). Pressure-induced phase transformation can potentially hinder the tableting process, because it can cause instability and ineffectiveness in pharmaceutical materials.¹⁶ Therefore, this virtue could be advantageous to the pharmaceutical formulation of ZnG.

The bulk modulus (K_0) of ZnG was calculated using the second-order Birch–Murnaghan equation of state (EoS) through the PASCAL software.³⁴ The resultant value of K_0 is 31 ± 2 GPa, which is reasonably close to the obtained value through DFT calculations (25 ± 2 GPa). The K_0 of ZnG indicates its higher resistance to hydrostatic compression compared to some small molecule pharmaceutical materials and CPs-based dietary supplements (Table 1), which could affect the compactability of ZnG powder in the tableting process. However, this value is substantially smaller than those from inorganic dietary supplements, implying a more facile compaction attributed to its hybrid nature. The relative changes calculated by PASCAL software for principal axes and corresponding linear compressibility along different directions are observed in the indicatrix shown in Fig. S5,[†] in which the principal axis X_1 , X_2

and X_3 are along the a -, approximately b - and c -axis, respectively. The least linear compressibility is along X_1 ($K_{X_1} = 3.79 \text{ TPa}^{-1}$), followed by X_2 ($K_{X_2} = 4.75 \text{ TPa}^{-1}$), and finally the largest along X_3 ($K_{X_3} = 9.11 \text{ TPa}^{-1}$).

According to our DFT calculations, the values of Young's modulus along [100], [010] and [001] are 36.11, 30.61 and 13.84 GPa, respectively. These results show that ZnG can be compressed easily along [001] direction but hard along [100]. The behaviour can be observed in high-pressure synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction measurements in which reduction in lattice parameter ' a ' (along [100] direction) is the smallest (4.81%) as compared to the values (5.39% and 11.94%) for lattice parameters ' b ' and ' c ' (along \sim [010] and [001] directions, respectively). Similarly, the calculated compressibilities using PASCAL software, based on experimental data show the same trend. These values change as; compressibility along X_1 (approximately along a -axis) < compressibility along X_2 (along b -axis) < compressibility along X_3 (approximately along c -axis), which is in reasonable agreement with our DFT calculations.

4. Conclusions

The physical exfoliation, elastic and hydrostatic behaviour of zinc glycinate hydrate, $\text{Zn}[\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{NH}_2]_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, have been comprehensively explored *via* microscopic characterizations, DFT calculations and HP-PXRD. Interestingly, this 1D coordination polymer has a pseudo-2D feature and can be successfully exfoliated into nanosheets like other 2D crystals. Such a 2D nature of ZnG particles could limit the powder flowability, which might be detrimental to the die filling process and the following compaction. Moreover, the small B/G values and the existence of abundant hydrogen-bonding in ZnG indicate that it could be brittle upon compression. Furthermore, the HP-PXRD experiments reveal that there is no phase transition up to 12.10 GPa, which could exclude any structural changes and associated function alterations during milling and compaction in the pharmaceutical process. Based on the above quantitative findings, we envision that the tableting process should be adapted for manufacturing hybrid dietary supplements compared with their inorganic and organic pharmaceutical counterparts.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21571072) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Nankai University, No. 63196006). The work concerning *in situ* HP-PXRD measurements were performed at beamline 4W2, Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF). We also thank the Analytical and Testing Center in Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST) for technical support. Special




Fig. 7 HP-PXRD patterns at different pressure points. (a) Shifting of peaks from lower to a higher angle for all pressures. (b) Enhanced Bragg peaks of (001), (110) and (111).



thanks to Dr Zhao Lu at Analytical and Testing Center of HUST for the guidance of TEM characterizations.

Notes and references

- 1 C. N. R. Rao, A. K. Cheetham and A. Thirumurugan, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2008, **20**, 083202.
- 2 A. K. Cheetham and C. Rao, *Science*, 2007, **318**, 58–59.
- 3 A. K. Cheetham, C. N. R. Rao and R. K. Feller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4780–4795.
- 4 P. Horcajada, R. Gref, T. Baati, P. K. Allan, G. Maurin, P. Couvreur, G. Ferey, R. E. Morris and C. Serre, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 1232–1268.
- 5 J. R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H. C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477–1504.
- 6 D. F. Weng, Z. M. Wang and S. Gao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3157–3181.
- 7 P. Jain, V. Ramachandran, R. J. Clark, H. D. Zhou, B. H. Toby, N. S. Dalal, H. W. Kroto and A. K. Cheetham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 13625–13627.
- 8 S. Sun, S. Henke, M. T. Wharmby, H. H. M. Yeung, W. Li and A. K. Cheetham, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 11186–11192.
- 9 L. García-Rico, J. Leyva-Perez and M. E. Jara-Marini, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2007, **45**, 1599–1605.
- 10 D. A. Straub, *Nutr. Clin. Pract.*, 2007, **22**, 286–296.
- 11 H. Jesserer, *Dtsch. Med. Wochenschr.*, 1967, **92**, 1776–1777.
- 12 J. C. Larsen, K. K. Norby, P. Lund and V. M. Beltoft, *EFSA J.*, 2008, EFSA-Q-2008-059.
- 13 L. Han, J. Elliott, A. Benthams, A. Mills, G. Amidon and B. Hancock, *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 2008, **45**, 3088–3106.
- 14 V. Mazel, V. Busignies, H. Diarra and P. Tchoreloff, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2013, **102**, 4009–4014.
- 15 S. Chattoraj, L. Shi and C. C. Sun, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 2466–2472.
- 16 S. Varughese, M. S. Kiran, U. Ramamurty and G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2013, **52**, 2701–2712.
- 17 G. Feng, W.-X. Zhang, L. Dong, W. Li, W. Cai, W. Wei, L.-J. Ji, Z. Lin and P. Lu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1309–1315.
- 18 J. Hammersley, *FIT2D V12.012 Reference Manual*, ESRF, Grenoble, France, 1996.
- 19 D. Gui, L.-J. Ji, A. Muhammad, W. Li, W.-Z. Cai, Y.-C. Li, X.-D. Li, X. Wu and P.-X. Lu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 751–755.
- 20 G. J. Piermarini, S. Block, J. Barnett and R. Forman, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1975, **46**, 2774–2780.
- 21 S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. Probert, K. Refson and M. C. Payne, *Z. Kristallogr. - Cryst. Mater.*, 2005, **220**, 567–570.
- 22 M. Payne, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 1992, **64**, 1045.
- 23 J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1981, **23**, 5048–5079.
- 24 D. M. Ceperley and B. Alder, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1980, **45**, 566.
- 25 A. M. Rappe, K. M. Rabe, E. Kaxiras and J. D. Joannopoulos, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1990, **41**, 1227–1230.
- 26 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188.
- 27 S. Konar, K. Gagnon, A. Clearfield, C. Thompson, J. Hartle, C. Ericson and C. Nelson, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2010, **63**, 3335–3347.
- 28 L. Dou, A. B. Wong, Y. Yu, M. Lai, N. Kornienko, S. W. Eaton, A. Fu, C. G. Bischak, J. Ma and T. Ding, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 1518–1521.
- 29 Y. Peng, Y. Li, Y. Ban, H. Jin, W. Jiao, X. Liu and W. Yang, *Science*, 2014, **346**, 1356–1359.
- 30 G. Feng, Y. Qin, C. Ran, L.-J. Ji, L. Dong and W. Li, *APL Mater.*, 2018, **6**, 114201.
- 31 L.-J. Ji, Y. Qin, D. Gui, W. Li, Y. Li, X. Li and P. Lu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**(24), 8732–8738.
- 32 L.-Y. Dong, S.-J. Sun, Z.-Y. Deng, W. Li, F.-X. Wei, Y.-J. Qi, Y.-C. Li, X.-D. Li, P.-X. Lu and U. Ramamurty, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2018, **141**, 49–58.
- 33 S. F. Pugh, *London, Edinburgh Dublin Philos. Mag. J. Sci.*, 2009, **45**, 823–843.
- 34 M. J. Cliffe and A. L. Goodwin, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2012, **45**, 1321–1329.
- 35 C. Merkel, J. Deuschle, E. Griesshaber, S. Enders, E. Steinhauser, R. Hochleitner, U. Brand and W. W. Schmahl, *J. Struct. Biol.*, 2009, **168**, 396–408.
- 36 D. Cáceres, I. Vergara, R. González and Y. Chen, *Philos. Mag. A*, 2002, **82**, 1159–1171.
- 37 I. D. H. Oswald, A. R. Lennie, C. R. Pulham and K. Shankland, *CrystEngComm*, 2010, **12**, 2533–2540.
- 38 F. P. Fabbiani, D. R. Allan, W. I. David, A. J. Davidson, A. R. Lennie, S. Parsons, C. R. Pulham and J. E. Warren, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2007, **7**, 1115–1124.
- 39 W. Cai, J. d. Marciniak, M. Andrzejewski and A. Katrusiak, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7279–7285.
- 40 Y. Kim, K. Machida, T. Taga and K. Osaki, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1985, **33**, 2641–2647.
- 41 S. Varughese, M. S. R. N. Kiran, K. A. Solanko, A. D. Bond, U. Ramamurty and G. R. Desiraju, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 2236.

