# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 15217

Received 19th March 2019 Accepted 8th May 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02096a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) has attracted considerable attention due to its important applications in food, industrial engineering, agriculture, medicine and health, clinical control and the environment.<sup>1-3</sup> Thus, it would be very valuable to develop a simple, fast, reliable and accurate technique to detect H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Among the various techniques proposed for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> monitoring, electrochemical methods are the most convenient and effective.4-6 Unfortunately, enzyme-based biosensors for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> detection cannot be subjected to harsh environments because enzymes can easily degrade, denature and become inactive after they are applied onto the electrode surface, and enzyme-based biosensors have high costs and storage requirements.7 To overcome several of the limitations defined above, peroxidase-like nanocomposite-based

# Facile synthesis of a zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 with reduced graphene oxide hybrid material as an efficient electrocatalyst for nonenzymatic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensing<sup>+</sup>

Suling Yang, <sup>bab</sup> Ning Xia, \*<sup>ab</sup> Mengyu Li,<sup>ab</sup> Panpan Liu,<sup>ab</sup> Yuxin Wang<sup>ab</sup> and Lingbo Qu<sup>abc</sup>

A zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8)/reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nanocomposite was formed by using an efficient synthetic method. The morphology and structure of the ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposite were characterized by scanning electron spectroscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) mapping. The ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposites were immobilized on a carbon paste electrode (CPE) to construct a high-performance nonenzymatic electrochemical  $H_2O_2$  sensor. A cyclic voltammetry (CV) study showed that the ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposites displayed better electrocatalytic activity toward  $H_2O_2$  reduction compared to that of ZIF-8. An amperometric study indicated that the  $H_2O_2$  sensor displayed high performance, which offered a low detection limit (0.05  $\mu$ M) (S/N = 3), a high sensitivity (4.01  $\mu$ A mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>), and a wide linear range (from 1.0 to 625  $\mu$ M). An electrochemical reaction mechanism was proposed for  $H_2O_2$  reduction on the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE. Importantly, the asfabricated  $H_2O_2$  sensor exhibited good reproducibility and excellent selectivity. Furthermore, the constructed high-performance sensor was utilized to monitor the  $H_2O_2$  levels in real samples, and satisfactory results were obtained. These results demonstrated that the ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposite can be used as a good electrochemical sensor material in practical applications.

nonenzymatic electrochemical sensors for  $H_2O_2$  detection have been developed in recent years. To obtain various nanocomposites with peroxidase-like bioactivity, noble metal-based materials (Pt, Pd, Ag, Au, *etc.*),<sup>8-10</sup> carbon-based composites,<sup>11,12</sup> metal oxide-based composites (MnO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>13</sup> ZnO,<sup>14</sup> Sn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,<sup>15</sup> *etc.*), MOFs,<sup>15,16</sup> *etc.*, have been proposed and constructed to prepare nonenzymatic  $H_2O_2$  sensors. Moreover, MOFs are worth further studying for the preparation of ideal catalytically active nanocomposites.<sup>17</sup>

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), formed by metal connectors and organic linker molecules through strong coordinating bonds, have attracted enormous attention in recent years due to their advantages of ordered crystalline structures, large pore volumes, highly accessible surface areas, chemical tunability and available metal sites.<sup>18</sup> Owing to these remarkable merits, MOFs have been widely applied in the areas of catalysis, drug delivery, and gas storage.<sup>17,19–21</sup> Moreover, MOFs and their derived nanomaterials are interesting electrode materials and have been used in solar cells, energy storage devices, lithium-ion rechargeable batteries, fuel cells, and sensors.<sup>22–24</sup> Nevertheless, the few adverse properties of MOFs are their poor thermal stability, weak electrical conductivity, and low mechanical strength and unstable nature in aqueous solution, which limit their further use as electrochemical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455002, PR China. E-mail: yang\_suling@163.com; xianing82414@163.com; Fax: +86 3722900040; Tel: +86 03722900040

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Henan Key Laboratory of New Opto-electronic Functional Materials, PR China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, PR China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02096a

View Article Online Paper

sensors. In recent years, high-conductivity materials (carbonbased materials, noble metal-based materials, transition metal-based materials, *etc.*) have been coupled with MOFs to form nanocomposites and improve the electrochemical properties and electron conductivities of MOFs.<sup>25–27</sup> The utilization of MOFs as a component in the preparation of metal-MOF/ graphene-based materials is a convenient and effective strategy to enhance the electrochemical performance of MOFs.<sup>1,4</sup>

Graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms in a twodimensional lattice, has received widespread attention due to its interesting nanostructure and extraordinary properties, such as high electron conductivity and exceptionally high surface area. These excellent properties make graphene a promising component for the preparation of graphene-MOF composites to enhance the electrochemical performance of MOFs. In recent years, many techniques have been developed to prepare graphene-MOF composites, including in situ growth, hydrothermal, direct mixing, Pickering emulsion polymerization, and atomic layer deposition methods.28-30 However, the abovementioned methods still have shortcomings regarding the scalability and controllability of the preparation process for graphene-MOF composites. The strategy of directly mixing MOFs with graphene has been widely applied for obtaining graphene-MOF composites,<sup>31,32</sup> but the preparation process often suffers from the following problems. The addition of the MOF components may not effectively prevent the aggregation of graphene nanosheets, which normally results in an uneven dispersion of each of the components within the resulting graphene-MOF composite. Although several researchers<sup>33,34</sup> have reported that 3D graphene structures can be applied as templates for the production of graphene-MOF composites with uniform hierarchical structures, complex synthetic steps are normally required. Thus, it is still challenging to develop a low-cost, high-dimensionally controllable and convenient synthesis for graphene-MOF composites.

In this research, chitosan (CS) was used to reduce graphene oxide (GO) into reduced GO (rGO) and introduce functional groups ( $-NH_2$ , -COOH) onto the surface of rGO. In addition, CS enables rGO to be well dispersed in an aqueous solution. ZIF-8 is a new subclass of porous metal–organic frameworks in which divalent metal cations are linked by imidazolate anions into tetrahedral frameworks that frequently possess a zeolite topology. We proposed a general, simple, and inexpensive approach, called the simple mixing method, for the preparation of ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposites, which can be used as electrode-modifying materials. The prepared ZIF-8/rGO nanocomposite, derived from a ZIF-8 and graphene, exhibited excellent electrochemical performance for the application of nonenzymatic detection of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. Chemicals

Ultrapure water was obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q UF-Plus Ultrapure Water System (Mequon, WI, US) (18 M $\Omega$  cm) and used throughout the experiments unless otherwise noted. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

(30% w/w in H<sub>2</sub>O), 2-methylimidazole,  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ , glucose, ethanol, uric acid (UA), ascorbic acid (AA) and methanol, graphite powder (99.95%, 325 mesh), and CS (75% deacetylated) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. All other chemicals used were of at least analytical reagent grade. A CS solution (0.5 wt%) was prepared by dissolving CS powder in an acetic acid (1 wt%) solution and then adjusting the pH to 5–6. The prepared CS solution was stored in a refrigerator until used. A 0.1 M pH 7.0 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution was used for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> detection. All solutions were deoxygenated by purging with nitrogen gas before use.

#### 2.2. Instruments

A CHI 842C electrochemical workstation (Austin, TX, USA) was used to perform the electrochemical experiments. A conventional three-electrode system was used, in which either a bare CPE or modified CPE was used as the working electrode, Ag/ AgCl (saturated KCl) and a platinum wire were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. The potentials measured during the electrochemical experiments refer to this reference electrode. The surface morphology was characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, JEOL 7401 F) and an X-ray diffractometer (Philips, X'pert, Netherlands).

### 2.3. Synthesis of ZIF-8

The ZIF-8 was synthesized by reacting the precursor mixture at room temperature according to a previously reported protocol.<sup>35</sup> Briefly, a solid mixture of 2-methylimidazole,  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ , and methanol was prepared with a mass ratio of 1.1 : 1 : 32 in a glass vial and stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Then, the precipitate was collected by filtration, washed subsequently with ethanol for several times, dried in a vacuum drying oven at 30 °C for 1 day, and stored in a vial for further characterization.

### 2.4. Preparation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> biosensors

GO was prepared according to a modified Hummers' method.<sup>36</sup> rGO was obtained by using CS as a reductant to reduce GO similar to a previously reported method.<sup>4</sup> In simple, equivalent volumes of 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> GO and 0.5 wt% CS solutions were fully stirred until a uniform yellow-brown solution was achieved. Subsequently, the above-mentioned solution was placed in a 90 °C water bath accompanied by vigorous stirring for 5 h until the color of the solution turned black. Finally, the resulting product was centrifuged and washed 3 times to obtain the CS-functionalized rGO. The detailed characterization results and discussion of the CS-rGO are shown in Fig. S1.<sup>†</sup>

The ZIF-8/rGO composite was prepared through a simple mixing method. Briefly, the prepared ZIF-8 crystals (30 mg) were rapidly added into a methanol dispersion of graphene (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, 20 mL) under vigorous sonication to form a ZIF-8/rGO suspension. Afterwards, the prepared composite suspension was subjected to a freeze-dry process to remove the methanol and obtain a ZIF-8/rGO composite powder. A bare CPE was prepared by mixing spectral graphite and amount of silicone oil in a mass ratio of 80 : 30. The paste was thoroughly hand-mixed

### Paper

in a mortar and then firmly pressed into one end of a glassy tube (d = 4 mm). Electrical contact was established by a copper wire inserted deep into the opposite end of the tube. For electrode modification, 2 mg of the ZIF-8/rGO powder was dispersed into 1 mL of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide by sonication. A 6.0 µL aliquot of the ZIF-8/rGO suspension was drop cast onto the CPE to form the ZIF-8/rGO-modified CPE (ZIF-8/rGO/CPE). For comparison, a ZIF-8/CPE and rGO/CPE were prepared through a similar procedure.

## 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Morphology and physical characterization of the ZIF-8 nanosphere

The characterizations of rGO are shown in Fig. S1 and S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The morphology of the as-synthesized ZIF-8 nanosphere and the ZIF-8 nanosphere/rGO was recorded by SEM. Fig. 1a clearly reveal that the product consists of isometrical nanoparticles ZIF-8 nanosphere with a narrow size distribution. A statistical evaluation of 200 nanospheres results in an average diameter of 110 nm. As shown in Fig. 1b, the SEM image of ZIF-8 nanosphere/rGO nanocomposite shows that numerous ZIF-8 nanosphere disperse in rGO. The ZIF-8 exhibits milk-white





Fig. 1 Typical SEM images of (a) the as-synthesized the Zn-MOF nanosphere and (b) the Zn-MOF/rGO.

particles due to the light scattering of the nanospheres. The transparent flake-like rGO with wrinkled and folded features is readily observed. Due to the smooth and planar nanosheets provided by rGO sheets, the ZIF-8 can well-proportioned dispersed with each other on the rGO nanosheets instead of aggregation.

XRD patterns of experimental ZIF-8 and reported ZIF-8 were presented in Fig. 2. The XRD pattern of ZIF-8 showed strong and narrow peaks (black pattern), indicating that the as-prepared sample had good crystallinity. The main peak positions of the ZIF-8 were in the range of  $5-50^{\circ}$ . Comparison of all the observed peaks taken from the experimental ZIF-8 sample with those of a previously reported pattern<sup>37</sup> (see Fig. 2) demonstrates that the product is single-phase ZIF-8 material.

The weight percentage of the ZIF-8, rGO and ZIF-8/rGO composite was obtained by TGA (see Fig. 3), which was recorded from 25 to 800  $^{\circ}$ C with a heating flow air. The 65% weight



**Fig. 2** Experimental XRD pattern of the nanosphere ZIF-8 powder (black pattern) and XRD pattern simulated from the ZIF-8 crystal structure data<sup>37</sup> (blue pattern).



Fig. 3 TGA curves of the ZIF-8, rGO, and ZIF-8/rGO composite.

loss of the ZIF-8 is entirely from the removal of the guest molecules (*e.g.*, methanol) from the cavities, some species (*e.g.*, Hmim) from the surfaces of the nanocrystals, and organic linker molecules. The final white solid residue was ZnO.<sup>38</sup> It is worth noting that ZnO remain stable during the heating process, and rGO was completely burned out. The 90% weight loss of the ZIF-8/rGO composite is entirely from weight loss of the ZIF-8 and the burning of the rGO.

Raman spectra of the as-prepared GO, rGO and ZIF-8/rGO are given in Fig. S3 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). It provided convincing evidence for the graphene fragment structure. As can be seen, the rGO and GO show the characteristic D-band ( $\sim$ 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and G-band ( $\sim$ 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to the characteristic D and G bands of carbon materials. Generally speaking, the D band represents the structural defects and disordered structures, while the G band is assigned to the  $E_{2g}$  vibration mode of sp<sup>2</sup> domain indicative of the degree of graphitization. The D/G intensity ratio  $(I_D/I_G)$  is often used to reflect the structural disorder. The as-prepared CS-functionalized rGO is found to have the high  $I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm G}$  of ~0.55, obviously lower than the  $I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm G}$  of  $\sim$ 0.95 observed for GO, similar to that of reported functionalized graphene,39 which indicates the successful preparation of functionalized rGO. After ZIF-8/rGO composite formation, the increase in intensity ratio for D/G (0.64) is observed. This confirms successful deposition of ZIF-8 on rGO sheets.

The electro conductivity of all the samples was demonstrated by recording CVs in a suitable redox probe. CV measurements were carried out in the 5.0 mM  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}/[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$  and 0.1 M KCl solutions at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. As shown in Fig. S4 (ESI†), ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (a) has the strongest peak current, followed by rGO/CPE (b), and while ZIF-8/CPE (d) gives the unconspicuous peak current. It was therefore shown that ZIF-8/ rGO/CPE has superior electron transport capability than rGO/ CPE.

From the BET (Brunauer, Emmett and Teller) analysis, the observed N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms of the ZIF-8, rGO and ZIF-8/rGO composite samples are shown in Fig. S5 (ESI†). The samples show similar isotherm curves. According to the BET analysis, the specific surface area of ZIF-8, rGO and ZIF-8/rGO composite is 195.38, 170.00 and 284.10 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This is an evidence to suggest that the incorporation of ZIF-8 to rGO can improve the surface area.

# 3.2. Electrochemical response of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at different electrodes

Fig. 4 shows the electrochemical responses of the different electrodes in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS containing 1.0 mM  $H_2O_2$ , which were obtained using cyclic voltammetry (CV), including ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (curve a), rGO/CPE (curve b), ZIF-8/CPE (curve c) and CPE (curve d). As can be observed, no detectable signal was observed for the bare CPE after the addition of  $H_2O_2$ , indicating the negligible electrocatalytic activity of the bare CPE (curve a) toward  $H_2O_2$ . However, in terms of rGO/CPE (curve b) and ZIF-8/CPE (curve c), after the addition of 1.0 mM  $H_2O_2$ , an obvious increase in the current at negative potential is observed, indicating that both rGO and ZIF-8 showed electrocatalytic activity



Fig. 4 CVs obtained for the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (a), rGO/CPE (b), ZIF-8/CPE (c) and CPE (d) in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS containing 1.0 mM  $H_2O_2$ , and the CV obtained for ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (e) in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS at a scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

toward  $H_2O_2$ . When the two electrocatalysts were integrated together to form a ZIF-8/rGO hybrid-materials-modified electrode, a remarkably high current at negative potential is obtained, which is the highest among all of the electrodes. According to the above observations, a possible electrochemical reaction mechanism can be proposed for  $H_2O_2$  reduction on the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE. In general,  $H_2O_2$  may be reduced according to the following equation:

$$H_2O_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2H_2O$$

The reduction current for  $H_2O_2$  can be increased on the surface of the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE.

Among them, ZIF-8, delivers the better catalytic activity towards  $H_2O_2$  electro-reduction. The main catalytic center can be attributed to the discrete Zn nodes in ZIF-8 owe to the reverse oxidation of Zn<sup>1+</sup> to Zn<sup>2+</sup>.<sup>40</sup> Besides, the existence of rGO in the ZIF-8/rGO structure can improve the charge-transfer ability of the modified electrode. The other plausible reason for the enhanced catalytic activity may be attributable to a synergistic effect between rGO and ZIF-8.

To further verify the electro-catalytic effect of ZIF-8/rGO, amperometric response was investigated at -0.3 V. As can be seen from Fig. 5, compared to rGO/CPE (b), ZIF-8/CPE (c) and CPE (d), the highest current response of ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (a) was displayed, which well revealed the considerable electro-catalytic activity of nanocomposite toward the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.3. Amperometric sensing of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

The rapid and sensitive  $H_2O_2$  detection capabilities of the ZIF-8/ rGO modified CPE were tested through the amperometric response upon successive injections of different amounts of  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 6). At applied potential of -0.3 V. When the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  changes from 1.0  $\mu$ M to 625  $\mu$ M, the response current and  $H_2O_2$  concentration shows linear relationship in the ranges of 1.0  $\mu$ M to 168  $\mu$ M and 168  $\mu$ M to 625  $\mu$ M, respectively (Fig. 7). The regression equations are:  $i_1 \mu A =$  Paper



Fig. 5 Amperometric response of ZIF-8/rGO/CPE (a), rGO/CPE (b), ZIF-8/CPE (c) and CPE (d) for successive additions of 0.1 mM  $H_2O_2$  in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS at an applied potential of -0.3 V.



Fig. 6 Amperometric response of the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE upon successive injections of different amounts of  $H_2O_2$  into a stirring 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) solution at an applied potential of -0.3 V.

 $-1.0519 - 0.1143C/\mu M$  (r = 0.9969) for the range of 1.0  $\mu M$  to 168  $\mu$ M (Fig. 7) and  $i_i \mu$ A = -7.0676 - 0.0970C/ $\mu$ M (r = 0.9910) for the range of 168 µM to 625 µM (Fig. 7). The different accumulation efficiency at different concentrations results in the different slopes of the two calibration curve. According to the following equation:<sup>41</sup> the limit of detection (LOD) = 3.3 ( $s_{\nu/x}/b$ ), where  $s_{\nu/x}$  is the residual standard deviation, *b* the slope of the calibration plot. This method usually implies a decision about controlling both false positive and false negative errors ( $\alpha = \beta =$ 0.05). The lower limit of detection was calculated to be 0.05  $\mu$ M. Table 1 lists the comparative characteristics of the as-prepared sensor with those of previously reported sensors for the detection of  $H_2O_2$ . The detection limit of  $H_2O_2$  (0.05  $\mu$ M) is lower than several MOF-based H2O2 sensors,342,43 graphene-based H2O2 sensors,30,44,45 and biomolecule-based H2O2 sensors.46,47 Here, it is worth noting that Song et al.4 prepared H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensors by combining sulfonated graphene with hemin, chitosan (CS), Cu-



Fig. 7 (a) Plot of the response current of  $H_2O_2$  vs. its concentrations; (b) in the range of 1.0–168  $\mu$ M; (c) in the range of 168–625  $\mu$ M.

MOF and rGO. Although this biosensor demonstrated several excellent characteristics, the intrinsically biomolecular-based biosensor limits its widespread application due to the challenges in retaining the native stability and reaction activity of the biomolecule. However, in contrast, the  $H_2O_2$  sensor constructed in this work displays several obvious advantages, such as a simple fabrication process, an excellent performance and a good electrocatalytic ability toward  $H_2O_2$  without the aid of peroxide enzymes.

Under the optimized conditions, the reproducibility and stability of the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE were studied. The same ZIF-8/GR/CPE was independently measured the same  $H_2O_2$  solution 5 times, and the relative standard deviation (RSD) of the electrochemical response was 5.5%. These results revealed that the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE had good reproducibility. Between measurements, the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE was stored at room temperature. When used once per 1 day, 98.5% and 95.6% of the initial response of the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE toward  $H_2O_2$  remained after 1 and 5 days, respectively. These results indicated the excellent stability of the ZIF-8/rGO/CPE.

# 3.4. Interference studies and detection of $H_2O_2$ in real sample

If the prepared sensor can be applied to analyze  $H_2O_2$  in physiological samples, then it will be a meaningful device. Glycine, AA, glucose, UA and NaCl are common molecules in the physiological samples. An interference investigation was carried out by recording the amperometric response upon successive injection of glycine, AA, glucose, UA and NaCl, as shown in Fig. 8. The ZIF-8/rGO/CPE showed an obvious current response toward  $H_2O_2$ . With the successive additions of glycine, AA, glucose, UA and NaCl, no obvious amperometric response is observed, according to a relative error of  $< \pm 15\%$ , indicating that the presence of these interferences do not affect the measurement of  $H_2O_2$ .

To further investigate the use of the sensor for practical analysis, the constructed sensor was applied to detect  $H_2O_2$  in

Table 1 Com	arative characteristics of	of the as-prepared	d sensor and severa	l reported sensors	for the detection of $H_2O_2$
-------------	----------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------

Electrode material	Reduction potential	Linear range/µM	$LOD/\mu M$	Ref.	
Cu-MOF	-0.2  V (Ag/AgCl)	1-900	1.0	38	
Cr-MOF	-0.375 V (Ag/AgCl)	25-500 mM	3.52 mM	3	
Co-MOF	-0.40 V (standard Hg/HgO)	5–9.0 mM	3.76 mM	39	
Graphene/Cu <sub>2</sub> O	-0.4  V (Ag/AgCl)	800-7800	20.8	40	
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /GO-PAMAM/Au	-0.2  V (Ag/AgCl)	0.2-1000	2	41	
Cytc-HRP/ConA/HRP/MUA-MCH/Au	-0.05  V (SCE)	20-3000	7.83	42	
Peroxidase/ionic liquid/Au/titanate	-0.4 V(Ag/AgCl)	5-1000	2.1	43	
Cu-hemin MOFs/CS-rGO	-0.175 V (SCE)	0.065-410	0.019	4	
Zn-MOF/ rGO	-0.3 (Ag/AgCl)	1.0-625	0.05	This wo	



Fig. 8 The amperometric response of ZIF-8/rGO/CPE to consecutive injections of 25  $\mu\text{M}$  H\_2O\_2, 0.25 mM glycine, 0.25 mM AA, 0.25 mM glucose, 0.25 mM UA and 1.25 mM NaCl in 0.1 M pH 7.0 PBS solution. Applied potential: -0.30 V

**Table 2** Determination of  $H_2O_2$  concentration in the milk sample (n =3)

	Added (µM)	Proposed sen	sor	Quantitative assay kit		
Sample		Found $(\mu M)$	Recovery	Found (µM)	Recovery	
1	2	$1.90\pm0.20$	95.0%	$1.95\pm0.11$	97.5%	
2	20	$20.00\pm0.13$	100.0%	$18.6\pm0.06$	93.0%	
3	200	$195.0 \pm 0.04$	97.5%	$203.6\pm0.21$	101.8%	

milk samples because H2O2 is often used as a preservative agent in milk. The concentrations of H2O2 in milk samples were determined with the standard addition method. In order to confirm the accuracy of this method, a  $H_2O_2$  quantitative assay kit (water-compatible) was used as a control experiment. The UV-Vis absorbance spectra were obtained on a UV-2700 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu), and the maximum absorption wavelength of 560 nm was used for the quantitative assay. A comparison of the results of the prepared electrode and the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> quantitative assay kit is listed in Table 2. The results indicate that the sensor developed in this work could be efficiently used for the determination of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in real samples.

#### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a novel nanocomposite was developed comprising ZIF-8 nanospheres with rGO. The resulting ZIF-8/ rGO nanocomposite demonstrated a high electrocatalytic ability toward H2O2 reduction. Compared to the known sensors of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the proposed electrode exhibits several interesting advantages: (i) simplicity of the preparation method for the direct crystallization of ZIF-8 in air; (ii) high sensitivity due to the large surface area, good electrocatalytic activity of ZIF-8 and electrical conductivity of graphene; (iii) excellent selectivity owing to the size exclusion provided by ZIF-8; (iv) rapid response because of the inherent properties of ZIF-8 and rGO; and (v) good stability of the modified electrode. The results showed that the ZIF-8/rGO is a promising electrode material for application in electroanalysis, and it may introduce new methods to construct nonenzymatic electrochemical sensors that have excellent activity and high sensitivity.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The present work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, No. 21804002), the Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents at the University of Henan Province (No. 18HASTIT005), Key scientific research projects in Henan colleges and Universities (No. 19A150016) and Anyang Science and Technology Bureau (No. 28).

### References

- 1 Y. Zhou, C. Li, Y. Hao, B. Ye and M. Xu, Talanta, 2018, 188, 282-287.
- 2 R. Zhang and W. Chen, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 89, 249-268.
- 3 N. S. Lopa, M. M. Rahman, F. Ahmed, S. Chandra Sutradhar, T. Ryu and W. Kim, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 274, 49-56.
- 4 L. Wang, H. Yang, J. He, Y. Zhang, J. Yu and Y. Song, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 213, 691-697.

- 5 S. L. Yang, G. Li, G. F. Wang, L. X. Liu and L. B. Qu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2016, **688**, 910–916.
- 6 N. Isoaho, E. Peltola, S. Sainio, J. Koskinen and T. Laurila, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 35802–35812.
- 7 Y. Y. Zhang, X. Y. Bai, X. M. Wang, K. K. Shiu, Y. L. Zhu and H. Jiang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 9459–9465.
- 8 Y. Sun, M. Luo, X. Meng, J. Xiang, L. Wang, Q. Ren and S. Guo, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 3761–3767.
- 9 L. L. Tian, K. D. Xia, W. P. Hu, X. H. Zhong, Y. L. Chen, C. Yang, G. G. He, Y. Y. Su and L. Li, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, 231, 190–199.
- 10 S. Berbeć, S. Żołądek, A. Jabłońska and B. Pałys, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **258**, 745-756.
- 11 Y. Yuan, F. Zhang, H. Wang, J. Liu, Y. Zheng and S. Hou, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 30542–30547.
- 12 C. Peng, S. Zhou, X. Zhang, T. Zeng, W. Zhang, H. Li, X. Liu and P. Zhao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **270**, 530–537.
- 13 K. Vijayalakshmi, A. Renitta, K. Alagusundaram and A. Monamary, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **214**, 43–439.
- 14 Y. Zheng, Z. Wang, F. Peng and L. Fu, *Braz. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2016, **52**, 781–786.
- 15 C. Zhang, M. Wang, L. Liu, X. Yang and X. Xu, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2013, **33**, 131–134.
- 16 B. Sherino, S. Mohamad, S. N. A. Halim and N. S. A. Manan, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 254, 1148–1156.
- 17 Z. Liang, C. Qu, D. Xia, R. Zou and Q. Xu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2018, 57, 4891–4896.
- 18 S. L. James, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2003, 32, 276-288.
- 19 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, A. M. Asiri and H. García, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2016, 55, 5414–5445.
- 20 X. Chen, R. Tong, Z. Shi, B. Yang, H. Liu, S. Ding, X. Wang, Q. Lei, J. Wu and W. Fang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, **10**, 2328–2337.
- 21 C. Y. Gao, H. R. Tian, J. Ai, L. J. Li, S. Dang, Y. Q. Lan and Z. M. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 11147–11150.
- 22 D. Y. Ahn, D. Y. Lee, C. Y. Shin, H. T. Bui, N. K. Shrestha, L. Giebeler, Y. Y. Noh and S. H. Han, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 12930–12935.
- 23 K. M. Choi, J. H. Park and J. K. Kang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 5088–5093.
- 24 Z. Song, N. Cheng, L. Andrew and X. Sun, *Catalysts*, 2016, 6, 1–19.
- 25 Y. Zhang, X. Bo, C. Luhana, H. Wang, M. Li and L. Guo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6885–6887.

- 26 P. Arul and S. Abraham John, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, 235, 680–689.
- 27 Y. Shu, Y. Yan, J. Chen, Q. Xu, H. Pang and X. Hu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 22342–22349.
- 28 M. S. Rahmanifar, H. Hesari, A. Noori, M. Y. Masoomi, A. Morsali and M. F. Mousavi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 275, 76–86.
- 29 L. Liu, Y. Yan, Z. Cai, S. Lin and X. Hu, Adv. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 5, 1701548.
- 30 F. Zhang, L. Liu, X. Tan, X. Sang, J. Zhang, C. Liu, B. Zhang,
  B. Han and G. Yang, *Soft Matter*, 2017, 13, 7365–7370.
- 31 C. Petit and T. J. Bandosz, Adv. Mater., 2009, 21, 4753-4757.
- 32 M. Jahan, Q. Bao and K. P. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6707–6713.
- 33 X. Cao, B. Zheng, X. Rui, W. Shi, Q. Yan and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2014, **53**, 1404–1409.
- 34 X. Ge, Z. Li and L. Yin, Nano Energy, 2017, 32, 117-124.
- 35 X. Xu, W. Shi, P. Li, S. Ye, C. Ye, H. Ye and T. Lu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 6058–6065.
- 36 W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339.
- 37 N. Chang, Z. Y. Gu and X. P. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 39, 13645–13647.
- 38 J. Cravillon, S. Münzer, S. J. Lohmeier, A. Feldhoff, K. Huber and M. Wiebcke, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 1410–1412.
- 39 H. Tetsuka, R. Asahi, A. Nagoya, K. Okamoto, I. Tajima, R. Ohta and A. Okamoto, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 5333–5338.
- 40 Y. Wang, P. Hou, Z. Wang and P. Kang, *ChemPhysChem*, 2017, 18, 3142-3147.
- 41 E. Desimoni, B. Brunetti and R. Cattaneo, *Ann. Chim.*, 2004, 94, 555–569.
- 42 D. Zhang, J. Zhang and R. Zhang, *Talanta*, 2015, **144**, 1176–1181.
- 43 L. Yang, C. Xu, W. Ye and W. Liu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, 215, 489–496.
- 44 M. Liu, R. Liu and W. Chen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, 45, 206–212.
- 45 X. Yang, L. Wang, G. Zhou, N. Sui, Y. Gu and J. Wan, *J. Cluster Sci.*, 2014, **26**, 1–10.
- 46 Y. Song, Y. Wang, H. Liu and L. Wang, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2012, 7, 11206–11218.
- 47 X. Liu, H. Feng, R. Zhao, Y. Wang and X. Liu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2012, 31, 101–104.