RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 18196

Received 22nd April 2019 Accepted 22nd May 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02988e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Recently, increased water contamination caused by the emerging contaminant antimony (Sb) has raised global concern.¹⁻³ Anthropogenic activities such as manufacturing, mining and smelting are the main reasons for Sb contamination. For example, it has been previously reported that the total Sb concentration close to the field sites of the Xikuangshan area (Hunan, China) ranged from 2 to $6384 \ \mu g \ L^{-1.4.5}$ As a metalloid element, Sb is located in group 15 (VA) of the periodic table, structurally analogous to arsenic, but much less attention has been given to Sb removal when compared with arsenic.^{6,7} Generally, the most common aqueous Sb species are inorganic Sb(OH)^{6–} and Sb(OH)₃,⁸ and Sb(m) is 10 times more toxic than Sb(v). Because of its negative impact on human life, the World Health Organization has set the permissible amount of total Sb in drinking water at 5 $\mu g \ L^{-1}$.

Nanoscale iron (oxyhydr)oxide-modified carbon nanotube filter for rapid and effective Sb(III) removal†

Yanbiao Liu, ⁽¹⁾ *^{ab} Jinyu Yao,^a Fuqiang Liu,^a Chensi Shen,^{ab} Fang Li,^{ab} Bo Yang,^{*a} Manhong Huang^{ab} and Wolfgang Sand^{ac}

Herein, nanoscale iron (oxyhydr)oxide-coated carbon nanotube (CNT) filters were rationally designed for rapid and effective removal of Sb(III) from water. These iron (oxyhydr)oxide particles (<5 nm) were uniformly coated onto the CNT sidewalls. The as-fabricated hybrid filter demonstrated improved sorption kinetics and capacity compared with the conventional batch system. At a flow rate of 6 mL min⁻¹, a Sb(III) pseudo-first-order adsorption rate constant of 0.051 and a removal efficiency of >99% was obtained when operated in the recirculation mode. The improved Sb(III) sorption performance can be ascribed to the synergistic effects of convection-enhanced mass transport, limited pore size, and more exposed active sorption sites of the filters. The presence of 1–10 mmol L⁻¹ of carbonate, sulfate, and chloride inhibits Sb(III) removal negligibly. Exhausted hybrid filters can be effectively regenerated by an electrical field-assisted chemical washing method. STEM characterization confirmed that Sb was mainly sequestered by iron (oxyhydr)oxides. XPS, AFS and XAFS results suggest that a certain amount of Sb(III) was converted to Sb(V) during filtration. DFT calculations further indicate that the bonding energy for Sb(III) onto the iron (oxyhydr)oxides was 2.27–2.30 eV, and the adsorbed Sb(III) tends to be oxidized.

Various Sb treatment technologies, including adsorption,^{9,10} coagulation,¹¹ flocculation,¹² and membrane filtration,¹³ have been developed to remove Sb from water bodies. Among these, sorption is a widely applied and promising technique due to its limited energy requirement, high performance, cost-effectiveness, and ease of operation. Recently, various nano-scale sorbents with large surface area and Sb specificity have been exploited.^{14–16} For example, nanoscale iron-based materials have demonstrated enhanced performance towards Sb removal,¹⁷ owing to their chemical affinity and high adsorption capacity. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy indicated that Sb(m) and Sb(v) adsorbed at the hydrous ferric oxide surface as an inner-sphere complex with an average Sb–Fe distance of 3.02 Å and 3.19 Å, respectively.¹⁸

However, despite the progress made on Sb adsorption capacity, the adsorption kinetics is still far from satisfaction. This can be explained by the poor driving force and relatively low concentrations detected in water. The time needed to reach equilibrium is usually several hours (*e.g.*, >28 h for the chitosan–iron(Π) composite)¹⁹ or even a few days (*e.g.*, 7 days for goethite).²⁰ Furthermore, nanomaterial is not reliable for direct application in the removal of Sb, as it is hindered by postseparation and reutilization. To address these problems, these sorbents can either be coated on macroscopic supporting materials or immobilized into the membrane. However, these designs usually achieve limited success due to inevitable blocking of active sorption sites of the nanoscale sorbents.

^aTextile Pollution Controlling Engineering Center of Ministry of Environmental Protection, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Road, Shanghai 201620, PR China. E-mail: yanbiaoliu@dhu. edu.cn; yangbo@dhu.edu.cn; Tel: +86 21 6779 8752

^bShanghai Institute of Pollution Control and Ecological Security, 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, PR China

Institute of Biosciences, Freiberg University of Mining and Technology, Freiberg 09599, Germany

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02988e

Paper

A carbon nanotube (CNT) filter coated with nanoscale iron (oxyhydr)oxides may provide a feasible solution to address these limitations.²¹ CNT can be readily assembled into a 3D self-support membrane by vacuum filtration.²² The network of CNT filters with large specific surface areas and limited pore size provides an ideal scaffold for nanoscale iron (oxyhydr) oxides. Improved Sb sorption kinetics and enhanced sorption capacity can be expected because of the synergistic effects of small pore size and more exposed sorption sites of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT network, as well as the flow-through design.

The aim of the present study is to develop an iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT hybrid filter for simultaneous adsorption and detoxification of Sb(III). To do this, we firstly developed a facile filtration route to prepare a binder-free iron (oxyhydr)oxidecoated CNT filter with more exposed active sites. Various advanced characterization techniques were employed to obtain detailed physicochemical characteristics of the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT filter. Sb(III) sorption kinetics were experimentally and theoretically examined. The impact of several key operational parameters on Sb sequestration performance were examined and optimized. Sb-spiked tap water was used to challenge the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter to evaluate its potential for use in practical engineering applications. This study provides new insights for the rational design of a continuous flow system for the decontamination of Sb and other similar heavy-metal ions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and materials

N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP, ≥99.5%), iron trichloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O, ≥99%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36– 38%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, ≥96%), nitric acid (HNO₃, 36– 38%), and ethanol (≥96%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (China). Sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, ≥98%), C₈H₄K₂O₁₂Sb₂·3H₂O and KSb(OH)₆ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs, $\langle d \rangle = 10$ –20 nm) were purchased from TimesNano Co., Ltd. (ChengDu, China). PTFE membranes ($\langle d \rangle = 47$ mm) were purchased from Millipore (Omnipore JWMP; Millipore). All aqueous solutions were prepared with ultra-pure water from a Milli-Q Direct 8 purification system.

2.2 Fabrication of iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter

The CNT was pre-treated with concentrated HNO₃ at 70 °C for 12 h to generate surface carboxylates (CNT-COO⁻) for Fe³⁺chelation.²³ First, 20 mg of CNT-COO⁻ powder was dispersed in 30 mL of NMP under probe-sonication for 30 min, followed by the addition of 10 mL of ethanol solution doped with 15 mg of FeCl₃·6H₂O. Then, the mixture solution was bath-sonicated for 20 min to allow [Fe³⁺] to chelate with the carboxylic groups of CNT. Further, 0.5 mL of 0.75 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ solution was added dropwise to transform the loaded [Fe³⁺] to nanoscale iron (oxyhydr)oxide *in situ*. The mixture was loaded onto a hydrophilic PTFE membrane *via* vacuum filtration and washed sequentially with 100 mL of ethanol and 200 mL of ultra-pure water. Finally, the as-obtained iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter was preserved in deionized water for sorption experiment or dried in a vacuum freeze dryer for further characterization.

2.3 Sb sorption experiments

Three modes were used to comparatively evaluate Sb(m) adsorption: recirculated filtration, single-pass filtration, and batch analysis. For the batch system, the as-fabricated iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter was put in a flask containing 100 mL of 900 μ g L⁻¹ Sb(m) solution at pH 7. The flask was sealed and put into a shaker at 25 °C and 150 rpm for 6 h. For recirculated filtration, the hybrid filter was placed in a commercial Whatman polycarbonate filtration casing (Fig. S1⁺). 100 mL of Sb(m) solution was pumped through an iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter and then returned. To examine Sb sorption kinetics, recirculated filtration was completed with a flow rate of 1.5, 3 and 6 mL min⁻¹, an initial Sb(m) concentration of 900 μ g L⁻¹, and pH 7. For single-pass filtration, 100 or 200 μ g L⁻¹ of Sb(m) solution was pumped at a fixed flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹ through an iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter at pH 7 until the effluent was no longer returned. The filter bed volume was 0.113 mL and the empty bed contact time (EBCT) was 4.5 s. The method of singlepass filtration bed volume calculation can be found in the ESI.† Aliquots were sampled at specific time intervals to investigate the sorption kinetics. The Sb concentration was determined using a Thermo Scientific iCAP-Q inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, Waltham, MA).

To evaluate the effect of pH on Sb(m) adsorption, the solution pH was adjusted from 3 to 9 using 0.1 mol L^{-1} HNO₃ or 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaOH. The experiments were completed in the recirculation mode using 100 mL of 900 µg L^{-1} reservoir. To investigate the effects of coexisting anions, 1 to 10 mmol L^{-1} of chloride, carbonate, silicate, sulfate and phosphate were added to the Sb(m) solution before passing through the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT filter and operated in the recirculation mode. The exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters were regenerated either by passing 100 mL of 5 mmol L^{-1} NaOH through it or by applying a negative total cell potential of -2 V while passing through 100 mL of 10 mmol L^{-1} NaOH solution.

2.4 Characterizations

The morphology of the filters was examined on a Hitachi S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Japan), a JEM-2100F transmission electron microscope (TEM, Japan), and an ARM200F scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM, Japan). The STEM was equipped with a high-angle annular dark field detector and a spherical aberration correction system. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was completed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Escalab 250Xi under high vacuum (1×10^{-9} torr), and all binding energies were calibrated and referenced to the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. The crystal structure of the filters was analyzed using a Rigaku D/max-2550 PC X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of the filters was examined on

a Quantachrome Autosorb iQ-c analyzer. The water contact angle was measured with a Kino SL200KS Optical contact angle & interface tension meter.

XAFS was measured at the XAFS station (beamlines BL14W1) of the Shanghai Synchrotron Irradiation Facility (SSRF) with a stored electron energy of 3.5 GeV and ring currents of 200 mA.²⁴ Because of the small nominal concentration of Sb in the samples, data were collected in the fluorescence mode. Data processing was performed with the use of the IFEFFIT software package.²⁵ The EXAFS function, χ , was obtained by subtracting the post-edge background from the overall absorption and was later normalized with respect to the edge jump step.

2.5 Theoretical sorption analysis by DFT

The sequestration of Sb(m) or Sb(v) was performed by DFT calculations using the CP2K package.²⁶ The model building process and instructions are detailed in the ESI. \dagger

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterizations of iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters

Fig. 1 displays the FESEM and TEM characterizations of the CNT and iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter, respectively. The CNT network showed a smooth surface with a tube diameter of 22 ± 7 nm, while the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT network produced a much rougher surface with an increased tube diameter of 25 ± 6 nm. It can be seen that a thin layer of nanoscale iron (oxyhydr) oxide (<5 nm) was uniformly coated onto the surface of the CNTs. Similar XRD patterns of the filter samples were obtained

regardless of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide coating, possibly due to the limited loading amount of iron (oxyhydr)oxide (Fig. S2[†]). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results suggested that the asfabricated iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter contained 20 mg (88.9%) of CNTs and 2.5 ± 0.5 mg (11.9%) of iron (oxyhydr)oxide (Fig. S3[†]), and the filter diameter was 40 mm; only 1.4 ± 0.3 mg of iron (oxyhydr)oxide was available after placing in the filtration casing with an effective filtration diameter of 30 mm. Limiting the amount of iron (oxyhydr)oxide led to only a slight increase in the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area after iron (oxyhydr)oxide loading (174.2 m² g⁻¹ vs. 170.4 m² g^{-1}). Meanwhile, the filter hydrophilicity was slightly improved after the iron (oxyhydr)oxide coating, as manifested by the decreased water contact angle from 111° (before loading) to 101° (after loading) (Fig. S4⁺). XPS analyses also confirmed the successful coating of iron (oxyhydr)oxide onto the CNT sidewalls (Fig. S5[†]). While the CNT filter showed a superficial elemental atomic ratio of 98.5% C and 1.5% O, that of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter was 91.3% C, 6.8% O, and 1.9% Fe. All these evidences suggest the successful fabrication of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT hybrid filter.

3.2 Sb(m) sorption kinetics

The impact of the operation mode (batch vs. filtration), flow rate (1.5, 3, and 6 mL min⁻¹), and pH (3, 5, 7 and 9) on the overall Sb(m) sequestration kinetics were further evaluated. A control experiment on Sb(m) sorption by a CNT-alone filter only exhibited negligible sorption (Fig. S6†), suggesting that CNTs did not have a specific affinity to Sb(m). Sb(m) sorption kinetics



Fig. 1 FESEM and TEM images of plain CNT (a and b) and the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT (c and d) filter.

of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter were systematically studied and summarized in Fig. 2a. To quantitatively demonstrate the Sb(m) sorption kinetics, experimental data were fitted by the pseudo-first-order model, as given in eqn (1) and (2). The corresponding parameters were available in Table 1.

$$\ln\left(\frac{q_{\rm e}-q_{\rm t}}{q_{\rm e}}\right) = kt \tag{1}$$

$$q_t = q_e(1 - e^{-kt}) \tag{2}$$

where q_t represents the amount adsorbed at time t (min), q_e is the amount adsorbed at equilibrium, and k is the pseudo-firstorder adsorption rate constant (inverse min). A q_e value of 5.28 mg g⁻¹ and a k of 0.0052 s⁻¹ was obtained in the conventional batch mode over 6 h, while both q_e and k increased significantly in the recirculated filtration. As shown in Fig. 2a, the Sb(m) sorption kinetics increased with the flow rate, indicating that filter adsorption is the rate-determining step. At flow rates of 1.5, 3, and 6 mL min⁻¹, the q_e values were 6.68, 7.01 and 7.06 mg g⁻¹ with k values of 0.018, 0.028 and 0.051 min⁻¹, respectively. Meanwhile, the corresponding equilibrium times also decreased from >4 h to 1.5 h when the flow rate increased from 1.5 mL min⁻¹ to 6 mL min⁻¹. The k at a flow rate of 6



Fig. 2 (a) Sorption kinetics of Sb(III) adsorption by the iron (oxyhydr) oxides/CNT filter. Comparison of the batch mode (blank) and the recirculation mode with various flow rates of 1.5 (red), 3 (blue), and 6 mL min⁻¹ (green); (b) effect of pH (3, 5, 7, 9) on Sb(III) sorption.

Table 1 Kinetics parameters of pseudo-first-order Sb(III) sorption

	$k ({ m min}^{-1})$	$q_{\rm e} ({\rm mg~g^{-1}})$	R^2
Batch	0.0052	5.28	0.997
1.5	0.018	6.68	0.986
3	0.028	7.01	0.998
6	0.051	7.06	0.999

mL min⁻¹ was ~10 times higher than that of the batch mode. For Sb removal efficiency, the batch mode only removed 75% of the initial Sb(m) and did not reach equilibrium after 6 h. When the flow rate increased from 1.5 mL min⁻¹ to 6 mL min⁻¹, the corresponding removal efficiency increased from 94% to >99% (Fig. S7†). This can be explained by the convection-enhanced mass transport of the flow-through system, while the conventional batch reactor depends on diffusion-controlled mass transport. Besides, the small pore size in the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT network (<80 nm) also enhanced the adsorption of Sb by the hybrid filter. Fig. 2b shows that the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT filter worked effectively across a wide pH range. This is because the Sb(m) species (*i.e.*, Sb(OH)₃) in the aqueous solution remain unchanged from pH 3 to 10.²⁷

3.3 Sorption isotherms

Sb(III) sorption isotherms on the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter were conducted in the recirculation mode and fitted with the Freundlich isotherm model as given in eqn (3):

$$q_{\rm e} = K_{\rm f} C_{\rm e}^{1/n} \tag{3}$$

where q_e is the Sb amount sorbed by the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/ CNT filter at equilibrium, $C_{\rm e}$ is the equilibrium concentration, while $K_{\rm f}$ and *n* are constants, respectively, related to adsorption capacity and intensity. Fitting results suggest that the data fit the Freundlich model well with a correlation coefficient of 0.991 (Fig. S8[†]). For Sb(III), the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter maximum adsorption capacity reached 44.22 mg g⁻¹ ($C_e = 1307$ μ g L⁻¹). The actual maximum sorption capacity could be even greater as the value of q_e did not reach a plateau. The ascalculated $K_{\rm f}$ and *n* were 2.17 and 2.4, respectively. Note that the Sb(III) adsorption capacity of iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters are comparable with powdered or granular iron oxyhydroxides18,20 and other iron-based sorbents.10,28 The improved performance can be attributed to convection-enhanced mass transport in the flow-through design, smaller pore size, and improved availability of the sorption sites of the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT filters.

3.4 STEM and XPS analyses

We further conducted scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) to demonstrate Sb sequestration. Fig. 3 and S9[†] present the high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) images and elemental mapping of an Sb-loaded iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter. As shown from the mapping results of Fe and C, these iron (oxyhydr)oxide particles were uniformly coated onto the



Fig. 3 HAADF image (a) of the Sb-loaded iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter and the corresponding EDS elemental mapping: (b) C + O + Sb + Fe, (c) C, (d) O, (e) Fe, (f) Sb; and (g) XPS Fe 2p spectra, (h) XPS O 1s spectra of the fresh iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter and (i) XPS Sb 3d spectra of the Sb-loaded iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter.

CNT sidewalls. This result was consistent with TEM characterizations. In addition, the elemental distribution of Sb was consistent with that of Fe (or O), suggesting that Sb was mainly sequestered by the coated iron (oxyhydr)oxide.

XPS was performed to further examine the elemental composition of the iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter before and after Sb sorption. As shown in Fig. S5,† the XPS survey pattern of a fresh iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter confirmed the presence of C 1s, O 1s, Fe 2s, Fe 2p, and Fe 3p, while an Sb 3d characteristic peak was identified on an Sb-loaded filter sample. A high-resolution scan of Fe 2p over a small window is presented in Fig. 3g. The characteristic peaks centred at 710 and 712 eV can be assigned to Fe(II) and Fe(III), respectively. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3h, the O 1s spectrum can be deconvoluted into four peaks, assigned to the oxygen from hydroxyl, ether and carbonyl (533.1 eV), Fe-O-H (531.8 eV), Fe-O-C (531.2 eV), and Fe-O-Fe (530.2 eV), respectively. The presence of surface Fe-O-H confirmed the species of FeOOH, and Fe-O-Fe may be attributed to species of FeOOH and Fe₂O₃. It should be mentioned that Fe-O-C is the largest constituent of surface O atoms, indicating the strong interaction between iron particles and the CNT network support. The XPS results of O 1s indicate that the iron (oxyhydr)oxides were mainly composed of FeOOH and Fe_2O_3 . In comparison, the characteristic peak of Sb 3d appeared on an Sb-loaded iron (oxyhydr)oxides/filter (Fig. 3i). Further fitting the Sb 3d spectrum suggested that certain Sb(m) were converted to Sb(v), as supported by the co-existence of Sb(m), centred at 530.2 eV, and Sb(v), centred at 532.3 eV. The transformation of Sb(m) to Sb(v) may be due to oxidation by these surficial iron oxides. Detailed explanations will be provided in the DFT calculation section.

The conversion of Sb(m) to Sb(v) was further supported by the determination of effluent Sb species by atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS). As presented in Fig. 4a, both Sb_{total} and Sb(m) decreased exponentially with time. Only negligible Sb(v) was detected in the effluent within the initial 2 h, while its concentration increased linearly afterwards. The change in Sb species suggests that the continuous-flow filter enables simultaneous sequestration and oxidization of Sb(m). This result is in good accordance with the XPS characterization of the used filter sample. More solid evidence could be obtained from the XAFS characterization, which provides essential information on the valence states of the adsorbed Sb species. Fig. 4b presents Sb K-edge XANES spectra of an exhausted



Fig. 4 (a) Changes in the concentration Sb_{total} and Sb species as a function of time as determined by AFS. (b) Sb K-edge XANES spectra for the Sb-adsorbed iron (oxyhydr)oxides/CNT filter.

iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter sample. This technique provides solid evidences on the oxidation state of Sb, as indicated by the energy of the adsorption edge. Generally, the edge energy increases with an increase in the oxidation state.²⁹ Comparing the Sb XANES spectra of the Sb(m)-loaded filter with those of the reference compounds (*i.e.*, KSb(OH)₆ and $C_8H_4K_2O_{12}Sb_2$ were used as references for Sb(v) and Sb(m), respectively), indicated that Sb was present in the valence states of Sb(v) and Sb(m).

3.5 Effect of solution chemistry

Fig. 5a shows the effect of the selected co-existing anions on Sb(m) removal. The results suggest that the presence of 1–10 mmol L^{-1} of carbonate, sulphate, and chloride showed negligible inhibition of Sb(m) removal. This can be explained by the different sorption mechanisms of Sb and these co-existing anions. Sb(m) was chemisorbed onto iron (oxyhydr)oxide particles *via* the formation of an innersphere complex,¹⁷ while carbonate, sulphate, and chloride were adsorbed by an alternative manner of electrostatic attraction. Contrarily, the presence of phosphate and silicate reduced Sb(m) removal efficiency by 10–15% and 17–36%, respectively. Phosphorus and antimony are known to have similar physicochemical properties, since they are both in group VA of the periodic table. It is reasonable to believe that competitive adsorption between phosphate and



Fig. 5 (a) Effect of competing anions on the adsorption behavior of Sb(III) on the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter. Experimental conditions: $[Sb(III)]_{in}$ of 900 µg L⁻¹, flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹, pH of 7, and recirculation mode. (b) Comparison of two regeneration methods for exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters. Experimental conditions: $[Sb(III)]_{in}$ of 200 µg L⁻¹, flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹, pH of 7, and single-pass filtration mode. (c) Performance of the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter when challenged with Sb(III)-spiked tap water. Experiment was conducted in the single-pass filtration mode, $[Sb(III)]_{in}$ of 100 µg L⁻¹, flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹ and pH of 7.

Sb(m) occurred, evidently inhibiting the Sb(m) uptake performance due to the limited active sites available.^{30,31} Meanwhile, silicate has been reported to be a strongly interfering anion for arsenic (As, a structural analog to Sb) adsorption onto iron oxide.³² Similarly with Sb, silicate is also inclined to react with metal oxides to form an innersphere complex.³³ This similar chemisorption mechanism of silicate and Sb(m) could explain the pronounced

RSC Advances

suppression of Sb(m) sequestration when compared with other coexisting anions. It is worth noting that the concentration of competing anions is much higher than the actual aquatic conditions, such that the inhibitory effect could be limited in practical applications.

3.6 Regeneration of exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters

The regeneration of the exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter was examined comparatively by either passing through 100 mL of 5 mM NaOH solution (*i.e.*, by chemical washing) or applying a negative total cell potential of -2 V while passing through 100 mL of 5 mM NaOH and 10 mM Na₂SO₄ solution (*i.e.*, by chemical washing and electrical repulsion). As shown in Fig. 5b, the regeneration performance of the latter method was always better than that of the former. After three single-pass filtration cycles (i.e., ~11 000 bed volumes), Sb(III) removal efficiency was still as high as 80.2% when regenerated using the electrical field-assisted chemical washing method. Such performance dropped slightly to 78.5% in the fourth cycle. In comparison, a Sb(III) removal efficiency of 77.1% was obtained over only two consecutive runs when regenerated by chemical washing alone. This efficiency dropped to 72.5% in the fourth cycle. The slight increment in Sb(III) removal caused by the applied electrical field can be ascribed to the electrostatic repulsion of the negatively charged Sb(v). This indicates that the regeneration of the exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter by chemical washing combined with electrostatic repulsion is an effective choice. The optimization of other key operational factors (*e.g.*, applied voltage, flow rate, electrolyte compositions and concentration) require further investigation.

3.7 Removal performance of Sb(m)-spiked tap water

Compared to deionized water, tap water is believed to be more complex as it contains complex salts and organic matters.³⁴ To evaluate the practical application potential of the iron (oxyhydr) oxide/CNT filter technology, 100 μ g L⁻¹ Sb(m) spiked tap water further challenged the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter and was operated in the single-pass operation mode. As shown in Fig. 5c, the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter produced 1000 bed volumes of effluent before the removal efficiency of Sb(III) dropped below 90%. This performance was slightly lower compared with that obtained in the DI-H₂O matrix, which may be ascribed to the relatively complex composition within the tap water (with a TOC of 2.0 mg L^{-1}). It is to be noted that the hydraulic retention time (HRT) within the filter was only <2 s at a flow rate of 1.5 mL min $^{-1}$, which is much lower than the conventional fix-bed filtration with a typical HRT of several minutes or hours.³⁵ It can be envisaged that the removal performance could be further increased by further recirculating the effluent. Moreover, negligible iron leaching was detected in the effluent (<5.2 μ g L^{-1}), implying the desirable stability of the as-fabricated iron

Fig. 6 The optimized adsorption geometries of Sb(μ) on FeOOH (a), Sb(ν) on FeOOH (b), Sb(μ) on Fe₂O₃ (c), and Sb(ν) on Fe₂O₃ (d). Color code: antimony atoms are yellow, oxygen atoms are red, iron atoms are pink and hydrogen atoms are white.

(oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter in a continuous-flow filtration process.

3.8 DFT calculations

To understand the sequestration mechanism of Sb(III) and Sb(v) using the iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filter, DFT calculations were performed to investigate the interaction of Sb(OH)₃ and $Sb(OH)_6^-$ with the iron (oxyhydr)oxides (e.g., Fe₂O₃ and FeOOH). A six-layer (4 \times 4) Fe₂O₃ facet and a six-layer α -FeOOH facet were employed in the simulation. DFT results suggest that the corresponding binding energies for Sb(III) onto the FeOOH and Fe₂O₃ surfaces were 2.27 and 2.30 eV, respectively. In comparison, Sb(v) could adsorb strongly onto the surfaces of FeOOH and Fe₂O₃ with increased bonding energies of 4.41 and 5.10 eV, respectively. The difference in binding energies can be attributed to the different species of Sb(m) and Sb(v) in the medium. The negatively-charged Sb(v) could be anchored strongly onto the positively-charged iron (oxyhydr)oxide via electrostatic attraction, while Sb(m) is neutrally charged between pH of 3 to 9 and is not affected by the electrostatic interactions. Moreover, DFT results also indicate that the adsorbed Sb(m) tends to be oxidized. As suggested by Mulliken charge analysis, the net charge of Sb(III) increased from 1.08 (before adsorption) to 1.23 when sequestered (by either FeOOH or Fe_2O_3). As shown in Fig. 6, Sb(III) species is not fully coordinated, which enabled OH from the aqueous phase to oxidize Sb(III) to Sb(v). Also, the projected density of states (PDOS, Fig. S10[†]) suggests that the HOMO-LUMO gap of Sb(III) shrunk significantly from 5.34 eV to 2.57 eV after sorption, which caused Sb(m) to become more reactive. In contrast, the net charge of Sb(v) increased from 1.48 to 1.55 on FeOOH and to 1.59 on Fe_2O_3 after adsorption. In other words, when Sb(m) was adsorbed by FeOOH or Fe₂O₃, 0.15 electrons were imparted to $Sb(OH)_3$ from the surface oxidative layer. Whereas, a slightly weaker charge transfer was observed for Sb(v), only 0.07 (FeOOH) and 0.11 (Fe₂O₃) from the surface to the adsorbed Sb(v). A similar trend had been reported previously by both experimental and theoretical studies.36-39 These DFT results were consistent with the above results of XPS and XAFS characterization and the Sb valence state analysis of the effluent performed by XAFS.

4. Conclusions

A flow-through iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT hybrid filter system was developed for rapid and effective sorption removal of Sb(m) from aqueous solution. Compared to conventional batch system, the proposed filter technology demonstrated enhanced sorption kinetics and capacity. This could be explained by the synergistic effects of flow-through design, convection-enhanced mass transport, limited pore size and more exposed active sites of the filters. Experimental and theoretical evidence suggests that a certain amount of Sb(m) was converted to Sb(v) during filtration, which significantly decreased the toxicity of Sb. The proposed filter technology works effectively across a wide pH range and exhausted iron (oxyhydr)oxide/CNT filters can be effectively regenerated by an electrical field-assisted chemical wash method. Overall, this study provides new insights into the removal of Sb(m) compounds and other similar toxic heavy-metal ions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2018YFF0215703), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2232019G-11), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai, China (No. 18ZR1401000), and the Shanghai Pujiang Program (No. 18PJ1400400).

References

- 1 I. Herath, M. Vithanage and J. Bundschuh, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2017, 223, 545–559.
- 2 M. O. Obiakor, M. Tighe, L. Pereg and S. C. Wilson, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **47**, 2208–2258.
- 3 M. Ren, S. Ding, Z. Fu, L. Yang, W. Tang, D. C. W. Tsang, D. Wang and Y. Wang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2018, 367, 427–436.
- 4 M. He, Environ. Geochem. Health, 2007, 29, 209-219.
- 5 X. Wang, M. He, J. Xi and X. Lu, Microchem. J., 2011, 97, 4-11.
- 6 L. Yohai, H. G. Mejia, R. Procaccini, S. Pellice, K. L. Kunjali, J. Dutta and A. Uheida, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 8280–8289.
- 7 J. Wang, T. Zhang, M. Li, Y. Yang, P. Lu, P. Ning and Q. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 22694–22709.
- 8 M. Filella, N. Belzile and Y. Chen, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 2002, 59, 265–285.
- 9 N. You, T.-H. Liu, H.-T. Fan and H. Shen, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 5106–5113.
- 10 Z. Qi, H. Lan, T. P. Joshi, R. Liu, H. Liu and J. Qu, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 66990–67001.
- 11 Z. Wu, M. He, X. Guo and R. Zhou, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2010, 76, 184–190.
- 12 B. Ma, X. Wang, R. Liu, Z. Qi, W. A. Jefferson, H. Lan, H. Liu and J. Qu, *Water Res.*, 2017, **121**, 171–177.
- 13 B. Ma, X. Wang, R. Liu, W. A. Jefferson, H. Lan, H. Liu and J. Qu, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2017, **53**7, 93–100.
- 14 H. Yang, X. Lu and M. He, *Environ. Technol.*, 2018, **39**, 1515–1521.
- 15 Y. Liu, P. Wu, F. Liu, F. Li, X. An, J. Liu, Z. Wang, C. Shen and W. Sand, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **53**, 1527–1535.
- 16 G. Li, P. Zhao, H. Zheng, L. Yang, S. Lu and P. Peng, J. Hazard. Mater., 2018, 354, 8–16.
- 17 J. Wang, Y. Chen, Z. Zhang, Y. Ai, L. Liu, L. Qi, J. Zhou,
 H. Sun, D. Niu and Q. Liang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.,
 2018, 6, 12925–12934.
- 18 X. Guo, Z. Wu, M. He, X. Meng, X. Jin, N. Qiu and J. Zhang, J. *Hazard. Mater.*, 2014, **276**, 339–345.
- 19 B. Lapo, H. Demey, T. Carchi and A. M. Sastre, *Polymers*, 2019, **11**, 351.

- 20 A.-K. Leuz, H. Mönch and C. A. Johnson, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **40**, 7277–7282.
- 21 Y. Liu, H. Liu, Z. Zhou, T. Wang, C. N. Ong and C. D. Vecitis, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 7974–7980.
- 22 Y. Liu, Y. Zheng, B. Du, R. R. Nasaruddin, T. Chen and J. Xie, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 2999–3007.
- 23 G. Gao, Q. Zhang, Z. Hao and C. D. Vecitis, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 2375–2383.
- 24 H. S. Yu, X. J. Wei, J. Li, S. Q. Gu, S. Zhang, L. H. Wang, J. Y. Ma, L. N. Li, Q. Gao, R. Si, F. F. Sun, Y. Wang, F. Song, H. J. Xu, X. H. Yu, Y. Zou, J. Q. Wang, Z. Jiang and Y. Y. Huang, *Nucl. Sci. Tech.*, 2015, 26, 7.
- 25 M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2001, 8, 322-324.
- 26 J. Hutter, M. Iannuzzi, F. Schiffmann and J. VandeVondele, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci., 2014, 4, 15–25.
- 27 L. Yan, J. Song, T. Chan and C. Jing, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 6335–6341.
- 28 S. Mishra, J. Dwivedi, A. Kumar and N. Sankararamakrishnan, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 95865–95878.
- 29 L. Fu, K. Shozugawa and M. Matsuo, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **73**, 31–37.

- 30 B. Zhang, N. Chen, C. Feng and Z. Zhang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, 353, 361–372.
- 31 R. Liu, L. Chi, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Sui, T. Xie and H. Arandiyan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **357**, 159–168.
- 32 X. Zhang, M. Wu, H. Dong, H. Li and B. Pan, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 6326–6334.
- 33 N. V. Tran, A. K. Tieu, H. Zhu, H. T. T. Ta, T. D. Ta and H. M. Le, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 20827–20840.
- 34 N. Zhang, B. Xu, F. Qi and J. Kumirska, *Water Res.*, 2016, **98**, 242–249.
- 35 Z. He, R. Liu, H. Liu and J. Qu, *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 2015, **32**, 95–102.
- 36 J. Luo, C. Hu, X. Meng, J. Crittenden, J. Qu and P. Peng, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 2255–2264.
- 37 X. Guo, Z. Wu, M. He, X. Meng, X. Jin, N. Qiu and J. Zhang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2014, **276**, 339–345.
- 38 N. Belzile, Y. Chen and Z. Wang, Chem. Geol., 2001, 174, 379– 387.
- 39 S. E. Mason, T. P. Trainor and C. J. Goffinet, *Comput. Theor. Chem.*, 2012, **987**, 103–111.