RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 22116

Received 4th June 2019 Accepted 30th June 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04222a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Green chemistry is a key aspect to ensure sustainable development and manufacturing strategies.¹ Catalysts composed of nanoparticles (NPs) contribute to the design of cleaner chemical processes with reduced environmental impact.² In this framework, nano-engineered catalysts represent a promising avenue to enhanced catalytic activity and selectivity in chemical processes.³ Hybrid nanocomposite materials combine individual properties and synergistically enhance catalytic characteristics leading to multifunctional catalysts.⁴ The use of metal/ metal oxide nanocomposites is promising since it can overcome the barriers to implementation of pure metal nanoparticle catalysts such as enhanced mechanical and chemical stability, increased catalytic activity due to support effects, and may diminish undesired catalyst inhibition.⁵⁻⁷

In addition to catalytic properties, metallic nanoparticles such as gold (Au) can be excited under light irradiation of

Green synthesis of Au decorated CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles for catalytic reduction of 4 nitrophenol and dimethylphenylsilane oxidation

Samuel Saire-Saire,^a Eduardo C. M. Barbosa, D^b Daniel Garcia,^b [Lea](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9533-2133)ndro H. Andrade,^b Sergi Garcia-Segura,^c Pedro H. C. Camargo^b and Hugo Alarcon D^{*a}

Gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) have been widely employed in catalysis. Here, we report on the synthesis and catalytic evaluation of a hybrid material composed of Au NPs deposited at the surface of magnetic cobalt ferrite (CoFe₂O₄). Our reported approach enabled the synthesis of well-defined Au/CoFe₂O₄ NPs. The Au NPs were uniformly deposited at the surface of the support, displayed spherical shape, and were monodisperse in size. Their catalytic performance was investigated towards the reduction of 4 nitrophenol and the selective oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane to dimethylphenylsilanol. The material was active towards both transformations. In addition, the LSPR excitation in Au NPs could be employed to enhance the catalytic performance, which was demonstrated in the 4-nitrophenol reduction. Finally, the magnetic support allowed for the easy recovery and reuse of the $Au/CoFe₂O₄$ NPs. In this case, our data showed that no significant loss of performance took place even after 10 reaction cycles in the oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane to dimethylphenylsilanol. Overall, our results indicate that Au/CoFe₂O₄ are interesting systems for catalytic applications merging high performances, recovery and re-use, and enhancement of activities under solar light illumination. **PAPER**
 EXERCT AND SECTION CONTINUES CO

certain wavelengths due to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). Excitation of the LSPR in plasmonic nanoparticles can accelerate and control molecular transformations.⁸ When the frequency of an incident electromagnetic wave matches that of the oscillation of conduction electrons, the creation of energetic charge carriers are induced on the surface that can participate to enhance the rates of molecular transformations $(e.g.$ charge transfer or excitation processes).^{8,9} It has been observed that synergies between metallic and plasmonic catalysis resulted in light-driven enhanced catalytic processes.^{10,11}

In this context, excellent opportunities arise for application of hybrid nanocomposites composed of Au with magnetic oxides in catalysis.¹² Au nanoparticles supported on magnetic oxides may serve to avoid suspension aggregation and catalytic deactivation.13,14 Meanwhile, the surface coating of magnetic nanoparticles precludes the agglomeration of superparamagnetic nanoparticles enhancing their dispersion in solution.^{15,16} In addition, the magnetic properties facilitate nanocatalyst recovery by the application of an external magnetic field.¹⁶ This noticeable reduces the operational expenditures and allows, at least in principle, an easy reuse of the catalyst.

The main implementation barrier of hybrid nanocatalyst arises from their complex synthesis,17,18 the non-uniform decoration of metallic nanoparticles^{19,20} and recycling.²¹ In this paper, a novel green synthesis approach to hybrid magnetic nanocomposite catalyst based on Au NPs decorated on cobalt ferrite $(CoFe₂O₄)$ is reported. The syntheses of both

a Center for Development of Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería, Av. Tupac Amaru 210, Rímac 15333, Lima, Peru. E-mail: halarcon@uni.edu.pe

^bDepartamento de Química Fundamental, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 748, 05508-000, São Paulo-SP, Brazil

c Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for Nanotechnology-Enabled Water Treatment, School of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-3005, USA

nanoparticles and their composite were conducted under mild conditions and using water as solvent. The use of CoFe_2O_4 as stable magnetic substrate enhances catalyst stability and enables reusability after magnetic recovery for several cycles. Homogeneous distribution of Au NPs over the CoFe_2O_4 magnetic support was obtained due to the successful functionalization of the surface through silanization, which is not attained by conventional in situ synthesis procedures. Then, the catalytic activity was evaluated towards the reduction of 4 nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol and the selective oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane to dimethylphenylsilanol. Moreover, plasmonic enhanced catalysis was observed under visible light irradiation due to the LSPR excitation of Au NPs. Magnetic recovery and reuse of catalyst indicated great reliability and stability of the produced nanocomposite. Our results indicate that nanocatalysts present dual functionality for reduction and oxidation processes, its performance can be enhanced by visible-light illumination, and the magnetic component facilitates recovery from the reaction medium. Paper

Macchesism and their composite series conducted under middle since of Ostyl₂0, as were synchronical conductions and their commonstrations are also the commonstrations and the synchronical series are the synchroni

2. Experimental methods

2.1 Chemicals

Catalytic activity on reduction reaction was evaluated from the transformation of 4-nitrophenol (p-NP, 99%) supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Selective oxidation catalysis was evaluated from the oxidation of dimethyl(phenyl)silane $(C_8H_{12}Si, 98%)$ supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Magnetic cobalt ferrite was synthesized using ACS reagent quality iron(π) chloride anhydrous and cobalt chloride hexa-hydrated purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck, respectively. Gold nanoparticles were synthesized using tetrachloroauric(iii) acid trihydrate (HAuCl₄ \cdot 3H₂O, \geq 99%) supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Other chemicals used in nanosynthesis processes such as trisodium citrate di-hydrate, tannic acid, potassium carbonate, methylamine (40% w/w), (3 aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES), and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) were of analytical grade supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and Merck. Reductant agent sodium borohydride was supplied by Merck. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q system with resistivity > 18.2 MΩ cm at 25 °C.

2.2 Catalyst synthesis

Magnetic catalyst core of CoFe_2O_4 was synthesized following previous report.²² Briefly, 5 mL of 1 mM FeCl_2 solution and 5 mL of 0.5 mM CoCl₂ acidic solutions at pH 1.5 adjusted with HCl are added to 18 mL of 4.5 mM SDS solution under continuous magnetic stirring at 25 °C for 30 min. This ensures a Fe : Co molar ratio of 2 : 1 in the micellar solution. Temperature is increased to 80 \degree C and 1.8 mL of methylamine solution 8.3 M added to the reactor, which quickly modifies solution coloration to green. After 7–10 min solution becomes brownish due to $CoFe₂O₄$ crystallization. Reaction is kept under continuous stirring at 80 \degree C for 3 h. Nanoparticles were separated using a magnetic field and washed with a 5% $NH₃$ solution and ultrapure water.

Size-controlled synthesis of sub-10 nanometer gold particles were synthesized following a kinetically controlled seededgrowth strategy.²³ The use of traces of tannic acid in excess of sodium citrate during gold nucleation allows the formation of size-controlled gold nanoparticles. Stabilization solution was prepared by adding 100 μ L of 2.5 mM tannic acid solution was added to 150 mL of 2.2 mM sodium citrate solution in 1.0 mM potassium carbonate prior heating up to 70° C. Then, dropwise addition of 1.4 mL of 18 mM tetrachloroauric (m) acid solution promoted the nucleation of gold nanoparticles obtaining a reddish-orange solution characteristic of nano-gold colloidal suspensions.

Pristine CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles were decorated with Au NPs. The metal oxide CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles were functionalized with APTES to enhance coordination of gold nanoparticles to their surface. Nanoparticles of CoFe_2O_4 (50 mg) were dispersed in 110 mL of ethanol, then 10 mL of APTES solution was added to the suspension. The mixture was sonicated for 10 min under inert atmosphere prior adding 10 mL of 4.0 M acetic acid to attain ca . pH 6.0. After pH adjustment the reaction was kept at 75 °C under mechanical stirring in reflux for 12 h. Functionalized material was magnetically separated and washed with ethanol and ultrapure water. Then, the gold decorated cobalt ferrite nanocomposite catalyst $(Au/CoFe₂O₄)$ was obtained by dispersing 2 mg of APTES-functionalized CoFe₂O₄ in 10 mL of colloidal Au nanoparticle suspension and stirred for 30 min. The $Au/CoFe₂O₄$ was magnetically separated and washed with ultrapure water.

2.3 Analytical procedures and instruments

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the catalysts were obtained using a JEOL FEG-SEM JSM 6330F. SEM samples were prepared by drop-casting an aqueous suspension of the particles on a Si wafer, followed by drying under ambient conditions prior analysis. Crystallographic analyses were conducted by X-ray diffractometry (XRD) employing a Bruker D2 Phaser using Cu K_{α} ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) radiation source with an scanning window of 20-90°. The IR spectra were collected with an FT-IR spectrophotometer Bruker Alpha in transmittance mode within a wavenumber range of $400-4000$ cm^{-1} . Size distribution of synthesized gold nanoparticles was determined by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) analyses using a ZetaSizer Nano ZS. Atomic percentage of gold was quantified through total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF) using a S2-PICOFOX with internal standard. Chemical conversion of 4-nitrophenol to p-aminophenol was monitored by UV-vis analysis using an Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer. Oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane was evaluated from the concentration decay followed by gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) with a Shimadzu GCM-QP2010SE coupled to a RTx®-5MS fused silica capillary column using a temperature ramp of 1 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ from 80 °C up to 280 °C. Plasmonic-enhanced catalysis experiments were carried out using a solar simulator lamp ORIEL of 100 mW cm^{-2} in the 315-750 nm range placed 5 cm over the catalytic reactor.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Au/CoFe₂O₄ catalyst characterization

The SEM images (Fig. 1A) depict the spheroidal structure of the $CoFe₂O₄$ magnetic NPs. They displayed an average diameter of 90 nm. Functionalization of the pristine nanoparticles with APTES did not modify the characteristic structure of CoFe_2O_4 , whereas contributed to diminish agglomeration of nanoparticles (Fig. 1B). Fig. 1C and D show the SEM of the CoFe_2O_4 magnetic NPs after the deposition of Au NPs at their surface $(Au/CoFe₂O₄)$. It can be observed a homogeneous distribution of spherical Au nanoparticles that are well-dispersed on the $CoFe₂O₄$ surface. This result is explained by the role of APTES to act as sites for Au NPs heterogeneous nucleation and growth. Dynamic light scattering analysis of the suspension of Au nanoparticles led to an average size of 7 nm, which is in agreement with the SEM images.

The FTIR spectra for CoFe_2O_4 is shown in Fig. 2a. It depicts an intense peak at 600 cm^{-1} characteristic of M-O stretching vibrations previously observed for the tetrahedral sites of cobalt ferrite.²⁴ Characteristic SDS characteristic stretching vibration signals corresponding to C–O at 2915 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\mathrm{, s=}$ O at 2848 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\mathrm{,}$ and C–H at 1220 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1},$ were not observed. 25 The IR spectrum of CoFe_2O_4 after surface silanization with APTES depicts noticeable differences respect to the pristine surface. A quick comparison with FTIR spectra of APTES allows identifying the presence of intense peaks at 1100 cm^{-1} and 770 cm^{-1} corresponding to Si-O and Si-O-C, respectively.²⁶ Furthermore, two additional stretching vibration bands associated to N–C at 1390 cm^{-1} and C–H at 3000 cm^{-1} were observed.²⁷ These results suggest the successful functionalization of CoFe_2O_4 , which agrees with the successful attachment of Au NPs observed in Fig. 1C and D. **SS.** Access Articles. **Article** and **discussion**

2. Anterior published articles are the published anticles are the components of the state and the published are the disputed and article is licensed under a component of

The extinction UV-vis spectra of colloidal dispersions of Au NPs (Fig. 3, green trace) depicted a band at 513 nm that corresponds to the dipole mode of LSPR excitation.²⁸ In contrast,

Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of (a) CoFe_2O_4 , (b) APTES modified CoFe_2O_4 , and (c) APTES.

absorbance bands were not observed in colloidal dispersions of CoFe_2O_4 or modified APTES-CoFe₂O₄ (Fig. 3, black and blue traces). However, the Au nano-enabled composite $Au/CoFe₂O₄$ catalyst presented a similar characteristic band than colloidal Au with a maximum at 526 nm (Fig. 3, red trace). This result demonstrate that the band observed in the composite is associated to the characteristic dipole mode of LSPR excitation of gold, which remains photoactive when attached by the APTES linker to the CoFe₂O₄ surface.

Fig. 4 shows the X-ray diffractograms of CoFe_2O_4 and Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$. Both diffractograms depict characteristic peaks of crystallographic planes of iron spinels (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440); in agreement with the JCPDS cards (PDF 22-1086). These crystallographic planes are characteristic of other spinel structures.²⁹ These results demonstrate the synthesis of defined spinel crystalline structures of $CoFe₂O₄$ and not the formation of amorphous oxides. Debye–Scherrer calculations allowed identifying a crystallite size of 15.42 nm for CoFe_2O_4 . It is important to note that identical XRD diffractograms where observed for APTES functionalized CoFe_2O_4 , which surface silanization did not modified the characteristic crystallographic

Fig. 1 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (A) pristine CoFe₂O₄, (B) APTES modified CoFe₂O₄, and (C and D) Au/CoFe₂O₄ catalysts.

Fig. 3 UV-vis extinction spectra from aqueous suspensions containing (a) CoFe_2O_4 , (b) Au nanoparticles, (c) APTES–CoFe₂O₄, and (d) Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$.

Fig. 4 X-ray diffractogram of (black line) CoFe_2O_4 and (red line) Au/ CoFe₂O₄ NPs

structure of the catalyst. However, surface decorated Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$ presented additional peaks corresponding to Au as a result of the deposition of Au NPs to the surface. These new signals were associated to crystallographic planes (111), (200), (220), and (311) corresponding to the fcc structure of metallic Au.30,31 This result agrees with the successful formation of Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$ as observed in the SEM characterization (see Fig. 1).

3.2 Catalytic activity: reduction of 4-nitrophenol

The catalytic activity of the Au/CoFe₂O₄ material with 2.72% mol Au was initially evaluated towards the reduction of 4-nitrophenol. Fig. 5A illustrates the characteristic UV-vis spectra of p-NP solutions with a maximum absorbance at 317 nm.³² In presence of reductant NaBH4, the solution changes to a yellow coloration due to the deprotonation of the hydroxyl group yielding 4-nitrophenolate ions with a maximum absorbance at 405 nm.³³ Fig. 5A depicts the isosbestic point for 4-nitrophenol/ 4-nitrophenolate at 348 nm. Reduction of 4-nitrophenolate towards 4-aminophenol was not observed in absence of catalyst. This suggests that only with the presence of N aBH₄ the reduction reaction does not occur. However, a drastic decrease in the absorbance of the 405 nm band of 4-nitrophenolate was

observed along with the formation and increase of 4-aminophenol absorption band at 300 nm, in the presence of the catalyst (see Fig. 5B).³⁴ This result suggests the quick catalytic conversion mediated by $Au/CoFe₂O₄$ that acts as a redox mediator for 4-nitrophenolate reduction with NaBH₄.

After this initial test, we then employed light excitation to investigate the effect of LSPR over the reaction rates. Fig. 6 shows the 4-nitrophenol reduction employing CoFe_2O_4 and Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$ as catalysts in the dark and under light excitation from a solar simulator in the 315–750 nm range. The use of pristine CoFe_2O_4 as catalyst allowed attaining 94% conversion in 30 min after an initial induction time of 6 min.³⁵ The induction time is not observed when the reduction reaction with CoFe_2O_4 is conducted under light irradiation. However, similar total conversion of $ca. 94\%$ is attained after 30 min of reaction. The pseudo-first order rate constant (k) was calculated from the depletion kinetics of 4-nitrophenol after the induction time. The *k* in the dark of $1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.99$) was slightly superior (ca. 1.4-fold) to the photo-assisted catalysis with a k of 8.2×10^{-4} s⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.91$). This result agrees with the identical removal attained after 30 min of reaction $(cf.$ Fig. 6A). It may be inferred that the light irradiation reduces the induction time in detrimental of the charge transfer kinetics. Paper $\frac{3}{2}$

The company of the strength of 10 July 2019. The proposition between the strength on 10 July 2019. The present of the commons are the strength on 10 July 2019. The present of the commons are the strength

Faster kinetics were described by the nanocomposite Au/ CoFe_2O_4 catalyst with 2.72% mol Au due to the higher catalytic activity of Au NPs relative to CoFe_2O_4 (see Fig. 6B). Here, a complete conversion of 4-nitrophenol was achieved after 20 min of reaction. Light irradiation enhances the catalytic response due to the synergetic effect between metallic and plasmonic catalysis.^{36,37} Note that complete conversion was attained in half of the reaction time with high selectivity towards 4-aminophenol. Here, the plasmonic enhancement is well-represented by the acceleration of the conversion kinetics by the values of the kinetic rate constants. The decoration of magnetic CoFe_2O_4 with Au nanoparticles increases kinetic rates over 5-folds relative to CoFe_2O_4 due to the higher catalytic activity of Au nanoparticles. Catalytic conversion of 4-nitrophenol with Au/CoFe₂O₄ in the dark reported k_1 values of 4.4 \times 10^{-3} s⁻¹ ($R^2 = 0.99$). Meanwhile the kinetics was increased

Fig. 5 (A) UV-vis spectra for 4-nitrophenol (blue line) and 4-nitrophenoxide (red line) solutions. (B) Time-dependent change in the UV-vis spectra during 4-nitrophenol reduction to 4-aminophenol in presence of NaBH₄ and Au/CoFe₂O₄ catalyst with 2.72% mol Au.

Fig. 6 (A and B) 4-Nitrophenol concentration profile as a function of reaction time in the dark (black trace) and under light irradiation (red trace) using CoFe₂O₄ or Au/CoFe₂O₄ with 2.72% mol Au as catalysts. (C and D) Kinetics analysis of 4-nitrophenol conversion assuming pseudo-first order kinetics after induction time for (a) CoFe_2O_4 or (b) Au/CoFe₂O₄ NPs.

under light irradiation with k_1 values of 5.4 \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹ (R^2 = 0.98). Experiments conducted under light irradiation in absence of catalyst showed null reduction, which indicates light–catalyst interaction as key element on the catalytic conversion. Enhancement of the catalytic activity under light irradiation can be associated with the formation of energetic charge carriers (hot electrons) that can be injected into 4 nitrophenolate LUMO orbitals and accelerate the transformation.³⁸ Here, SPR-excited hot electrons can be transferred to the lowest unoccupied adsorbate state that forms an activated transient adsorbate species with weakened bonds, which facilitates the transfer of M–H from the metal surface to the 4 nitrophenol adsorbate accelerating the reduction reaction towards 4-aminophenol.³⁸ The evaluation of the turn over frequency (TOF) shows an almost 6-fold enhancement due to LSPR from 180 h⁻¹ in the dark up to 696 h⁻¹ under light irradiation. Note that these values are higher than previously reported TOF of 0.7 h^{-1} for Au/boehmite,³⁹ 2.5 h^{-1} Au NPs on mesoporous silica,⁴⁰ 46 h⁻¹ for Au nanoparticles supported on silica nanotubes, 41 116 h $^{-1}$ for Au/g-C₃N₄, 42 or 324 h $^{-1}$ Au/glass ber.⁴³ The high catalytic properties can be related to the homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles that enhances the accessibility to catalytic sites of the nanostructured composite. On top of that, catalyst magnetic recovery allowed reuse in

several cycles, which displayed an excellent catalyst reliability after 10 cycles as shown in Fig. 7.

3.3 Selective oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane

Organosilanes are widely used in polymeric synthesis,⁴⁴ antimicrobials,⁴⁵ and as nucleophilic coupling partners.⁴⁶

Fig. 7 Yield percentage of \Box 4-aminophenol from the catalyzed reduction of 4-nitrophenol by Au/CoFe₂O₄ with 2.72% mol Au after several cycles of catalyst magnetically recovered reuse.

Organosilane manufacturing processes rely on the use of strong oxidants that yield toxic undesired sub-products.47,48 This section studies the catalytic activity of nanocomposite Au/ $CoFe₂O₄$ as green alternative synthesis route of dimethylphenylsilanol using water and oxygen as oxidants under mild conditions (ambient temperature and 1 atm of pressure).

Null oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane was observed using pristine CoFe_2O_4 as catalyst. However, Au NPs introduces catalytic centers for selective oxidation. However, conversion and selectivity are dependent on the solvent used as media for the catalytic transformation towards dimethylphenylsilanol. Table 1 summarizes dimethylphenylsilane oxidative conversion percentage attained after 10 min of reaction in different solvents. The catalytic activity of the $Au/CoFe₂O₄$ NPs after addition of 100 µL of water as oxidant increases from 22% in water, to 83% in tetrahydrofuran (THF), and 96% in acetone. It is important to remark that conversion in acetone was drastically reduced to 54% when 100 μ L of water was not added, being the catalytic conversion probably associated to the trace water concentration of the solvent. This behavior allows inferring the role of water as oxidant for dimethylphenylsilanol production. This is in agreement with the identification of the water oxygen as the source of the hydroxyl group addition during the selective oxidation.49,50 In this frame, the low conversion observed in water may be the blocking of catalytic sites by an excess of water molecules, which diminishes the effective contact between reactants on the catalyst surface. This pseudo-poisoning due to the higher affinity of water molecules with the catalyst surface hinders the extension of the reaction resulting in lower catalytic activity. Moreover, the low solubility of silane in neat water may difficult the interaction between silane and catalyst. When acetone and THF (both water-soluble solvents) are employed, the greater solubility of silane facilitates the oxidation process. Acetone was identified as preferred solvent for selective oxidation due to the high conversion percentages attained in short reaction times of 10 min and the selectivity towards dimethylphenylsilanol of 96%, notoriously superior to the 88% observed in THF. Open Access Article. Published on 16 July 2019. Downloaded on 1/13/2025 5:25:27 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra04222a)**

Further analysis of catalytic conversion by GC-MS depicts the reaction kinetics of dimethylphenylsilane that attains a plateau after 8 min of oxidative conversion on $Au/CoFe₂O₄$. Fig. 8 depicts the two main products identified as result of the catalytic reaction on the nanocomposite catalyst: (i) dimethylphenylsilanol with 96.23% selectivity, and (ii) disiloxane with 3.77% selectivity at the end of reaction. Interestingly, the time course

Table 1 Effect of solvent selection on the selectivity and conversion of dimethylphenylsilane towards dimethylphenylsilanol using nanocomposite catalyst Au/CoFe₂O₄ with 0.04% mol loading of Au after 10 min of reaction. The reactions were conducted in 0.5 mL of solvent

Solvent	H ₂ O (μL)	Conversion (%)	Selectivity to silanol $(\%)$
H_2O	100	22.07	100
THF	100	82.78	88.68
Acetone	100	96.23	96.23
Acetone		53.877	100

Fig. 8 \Box Conversion of dimethylphenylsilane catalyzed oxidation by Au/CoFe₂O₄ in acetone with 100 μ L of H₂O. Time course of yielded products (A) dimethylphenylsilanol, and (O) disiloxane.

profile of disiloxane illustrates a maximum concentration of 11.0% at 4 min of reaction that decreases down to 3.77%. This trend can be explained due to the reversibility on the formation of disiloxane that can result in the increased yield of silanol observed at the end of the reaction.⁵¹

The effect of the Au loading in the reaction was investigated as shown in Table 2. Lower catalytic oxidation was observed for lower contents of Au below 0.04 mol% due to the null catalytic activity of CoFe_2O_4 discussed above. The lower activity results also in a higher accumulation of disiloxane, which decreases the desired selectivity towards dimethylphenylsilanol. Maximum conversion and selectivity was observed for 0.04 mol%, where further increases on the content of Au NPs on the nanocomposite would result in a unnecessary higher cost of catalytic material.

Even though the CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles have null catalytic oxidation activity, their magnetic property can provide a relevant functionality to the composite nanocatalyst. The magnetic properties of the nanocomposite catalyst allow easy and quick separation under application of a magnetic field for catalyst reuse. Catalyst was recovered with a neodymium magnet from solution in less than 15 s, which allowed recovery for redispersion and reuse. Fig. 9 shows the highly reproducible conversion of dimethylphenylsilane after 10 cycles with merely a slight decrease in selectivity. Reused catalyst presents higher

Table 2 Effect of Au content on the selectivity and conversion of 0.05 µM dimethylphenylsilane towards dimethylphenylsilanol in acetone with 100 µL of water after 20 min of reaction

Au loading $(mod\%)$	Conversion (%)	Selectivity to silanol $(\%)$
0.01	32.35	87.45
0.02	45.744	91.61
0.04	96.23	96.23
0.06	90.777	90.777
0.08	89.025	89.025
1.0	90.325	90.325

Fig. 9 Yield percentage of \Box) dimethylphenylsilanol and \Box) siloxane from the catalyzed oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane by Au/CoFe₂O₄ in acetone with 100 μ L of H₂O after several cycles of catalyst magnetically recovered reuse.

stabilization of Au–Si bonds which increases the concentration of these adsorbed intermediates on the gold surface.⁵² Higher ratio of Au–Si over adsorbed water favors the formation of siloxane over oxidation towards silanol. To overcome the preferential competitive reaction towards siloxane higher reaction times are required to enhance the reversible transformation of siloxane towards silanol. In this frame, dimethylphenylsilanol production after 10 min of reaction decreased down to 42% in the $10th$ cycle. Extending reaction time to 20 min increased dimethylphenylsilanol yield up to 90%.

4. Conclusion

We reported on the synthesis of nanocomposites comprised of magnetic Au/CoFe₂O₄ NPs. The efficient deposition of Au at their surface was achieved through CoFe_2O_4 core functionalization by silanization with APTES. The catalytic activity was evaluated for 4-nitrophenol reduction and organosilanol syntheses by selective oxidation. The Au/CoFe₂O₄ NPs presented high catalytic activity towards the 4-nitrophenol reduction which could be enhanced under light irradiation as a result of LSPR excitation. We also evaluated the performances towards the silanol oxidation to produce dimethylphenylsilanol as product of interest for chemical industry. Our results demonstrate the role of solvent selection to increase conversion percentage and desired product yield. The magnetic properties of the catalyst allowed easy recovery and re-use. Re-dispersed catalysts reported high reliability in reagent conversion and product selectivity. Therefore, we believe that $Au/CoFe₂O₄ NPs$ may represent interesting materials for catalytic applications merging high performances, recovery and re-use, and enhancement of activities under solar light illumination.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Educación (MINEDU) of Peru through the agreement "Inter-institutional Cooperation Agreement between the Ministerio de Educación and the Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería" (No. 401-2017-MINEDU) and through the Master of Science Program (No. 208- 2015-FONDECYT). This work was supported by FAPESP (grant number 2015/26308-7; 2017/02854-8). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brazil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. PHCC thanks the CNPq for the research fellowship. E. C. M. B. thank FAPESP for the fellowship (grant number 2015/11452-5).

References

- 1 M. Poliakoff, P. Licence and M. W. George, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem., 2018, 13, 146–149.
- 2 M. Kaushik and A. Moores, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem., 2017, 7, 39–45.
- 3 Z. C. Zhang, B. Xu and X. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7870–7886.
- 4 M. N. Chen, L. P. Mo, Z. S. Cui and Z. H. Zhang, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem., 2019, 15, 27–37.
- 5 J. J. Gao, P. Du, Q. H. Zhang, X. Shen, F. K. Chiang, Y. R. Wen, X. Lin, X. J. Liu and H. J. Qiu, Electrochim. Acta, 2019, 297, 155–162.
- 6 H. O. N. Tugaoen, S. Garcia-Segura, K. Hristovski and P. Westerhoff, Sci. Total Environ., 2017, 599–600, 1524–1551.
- 7 Y. Wei, Z. Zhao, X. Yu, B. Jin, J. Liu, C. Xu, A. Duan, G. Jiang and S. Ma, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 2958–2970.
- 8 T. P. Araujo, J. Quiroz, E. C. M. Barbosa and P. H. C. Camargo, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci., 2019, 39, 110–122.
- 9 U. Aslam, V. G. Rao, S. Chavez and S. Linic, Nat. Catal., 2018, 1, 656–665.
- 10 Y. Zhang, S. He, W. Guo, Y. Hu, J. Huang, J. R. Mulcahy and W. D. Wei, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 2927–2954.
- 11 M. J. Kale, T. Avanesian and P. Christopher, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 116–128.
- 12 K. N. Heck, S. Garcia-Segura, P. Westerhoff and M. S. Wong, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 906–915.
- 13 D. Shi, F. Sheng, X. Zhang and G. Wang, Talanta, 2018, 185, 106–112.
- 14 E. R. Riva, I. Pastoriza-Santos, A. Lak, T. Pellegrino, J. Pérez-Juste and V. Mattoli, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2017, 502, 201– 209.
- 15 S. Behrens, Nanoscale, 2011, 3, 877–892.
- 16 M. Nasrollahzadeh, M. Bagherzadeh and H. Karimi, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2016, 465, 271–278.
- 17 Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X. Yang, J. Huang, W. Chen, X. Lv, C. Li and C. Li, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 50454–50461.
- 18 M. Nasrollahzadeh, S. Mohammad Sajadi, A. Rostami-Vartooni, M. Alizadeh and M. Bagherzadeh, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2016, 466, 360–368.
- 19 P. Dobrowolska, A. Krajewska, M. Gajda-Raczka, B. Bartosewicz, P. Nyga and B. J. Jankiewicz, Materials, 2015, 8, 2849–2862.
- 20 P. Pallavicini, E. Cabrini, A. Casu, G. Dacarro, Y. Antonio Diaz-Fernandez, A. Falqui, C. Milanese and F. Vita, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 21088–21098.
- 21 J. M. Walker and J. M. Zaleski, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 1535– 1544.
- 22 C. Cannas, A. Ardu, A. Musinu, D. Peddis and G. Piccaluga, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 6364–6371.
- 23 J. Piella, N. G. Bastús and V. Puntes, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 1066–1075.
- 24 B. Gillot, Vib. Spectrosc., 1994, 6, 127–148.
- 25 R. P. Sperline, Langmuir, 1997, 13, 3715–3726.
- 26 S. Villa, P. Riani, F. Locardi and F. Canepa, Materials, 2016, 9, 826.
- 27 X.-C. Shen, X.-Z. Fang, Y.-H. Zhou and H. Liang, Chem. Lett., 2004, 33, 1468–1469.
- 28 K. A. Willets and R. P. Van Duyne, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 2006, 58, 267–297.
- 29 J. Xiong, Q. Wu, X. Mei, J. Liu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhao, D. Wu and J. Li, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 7915–7930.
- 30 S. Mourdikoudis, R. M. Pallares and N. T. K. Thanh, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 12871–12934.
- 31 T. Bhowmik, M. K. Kundu and S. Barman, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 38760–38773.
- 32 E. Menumerov, R. A. Hughes and S. Neretina, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 7791–7797.
- 33 S. Panigrahi, S. Basu, S. Praharaj, S. Pande, S. Jana, A. Pal, S. K. Ghosh and T. Pal, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 4596– 4605.
- 34 M. Nasrollahzadeh, S. M. Sajadi, A. Rostami-Vartooni, M. Bagherzadeh and R. Safari, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2015, 400, 22–30.
- 35 S. Wunder, F. Polzer, Y. Lu, Y. Mei and M. Ballauff, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 8814–8820.
- 36 G. Baffou and R. Quidant, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 3898– 3907.
- 37 S. Linic, P. Christopher and D. B. Ingram, Nat. Publ. Gr., 2011, 10, 911–921.
- 38 E. C. M. Barbosa, J. L. Fiorio, T. Mou, B. Wang, L. M. Rossi and P. H. C. Camargo, Chem.–Eur. J., 2018, 24, 12330–12339.
- 39 D. Jana, A. Dandapat and G. De, Langmuir, 2010, 26, 12177– 12184.
- 40 Y. Deng, Y. Cai, Z. Sun, J. Liu, C. Liu, J. Wei, W. Li, C. Liu, Y. Wang and D. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 8466-8473.
- 41 Z. Zhang, C. Shao, P. Zou, P. Zhang, M. Zhang, J. Mu, Z. Guo, X. Li, C. Wang and Y. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3906– 3908. Paper Week Room Access Articles. A. Knjinistonia, M. Gajida-Baccha, 36 G. Baffors and R. Quidant, Chem, Soc. Rev., Oriento, Alexans Articles. Published on 16 July 2019. Downloaded a L. Fublished on 18. Published and C. Re
	- 42 Y. Fu, T. Huang, B. Jia, J. Zhu and X. Wang, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 202, 430–437.
	- 43 J. He, W. Ji, L. Yao, Y. Wang, B. Khezri, R. D. Webster and H. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4151–4155.
	- 44 V. Chandrasekhar, R. Boomishankar and S. Nagendran, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 5847–5910.
	- 45 Y. Kim, S. Farrah and R. H. Baney, Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents, 2007, 29, 217–222.
	- 46 S. E. Denmark and N. S. Werner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 16382–16393.
	- 47 S. M. Sieburth and W. Mu, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 7584– 7586.
	- 48 P. D. Lickiss and R. Lucas, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 521, 229–234.
	- 49 K. Mori, M. Tano, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani and K. Kaneda, New J. Chem., 2002, 26, 1536–1538.
	- 50 C. M. Kisukuri, D. J. Palmeira, T. S. Rodrigues, P. H. C. Camargo and L. H. Andrade, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 171–179.
	- 51 R. N. Lewis, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 1969, 159, 291–298.
	- 52 S. Yochelis, E. Katzir, Y. Kalcheim, V. Gutkin, O. Millo and Y. Paltiel, J. Nanotechnol., 2012, 2012, 903761.