# **RSC Advances**

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 30134

Received 28th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra06811b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Accepted 16th September 2019

Ir(III)-catalyzed thioether directed arene C–H alkenvlation\*

Chen Li,<sup>a</sup> Xian Chen,<sup>†</sup> Rui-Peng Bao,<sup>†</sup> Dong-Li Li,<sup>\*a</sup> Kun Zhang<sup>a</sup> and Dong-Hui Wang 匝 \*b

In this study, we demonstrate an Ir(m)-catalyzed thioether directed alkenylation of arene C-H bonds under mild reaction conditions. The selectivity for mono- or di-alkenylation is controlled by the concentration of alkene and oxidant loading. Various functional groups are tolerated, and moderate to good yields of alkenylated products are achieved.

Transition-metal catalyzed C-H functionalizations of arene compounds have attracted tremendous attention in the development of new synthetic methods, due to their innate step- and atom-economy.1 In the past decade, the directing-group strategy has witnessed great success;<sup>2</sup> among the achievements, the majority of directing groups use N- or O-atom based directing groups, such as pyridines, oxazolines, amides, imines, carboxylic acids and their derivatives.<sup>3</sup> The sulfur-atom is widely present in medicines and agrochemicals<sup>4</sup> and the direct functionalization of S-containing compounds will significantly benefit the fast diversification of bioactive molecules. Herein we report an Ir(III)-catalyzed thioether directed alkenylation of arene C-H bonds under mild reaction conditions.

Though sulfur atom is strong coordinative to transition metals, and poisonous of catalysts occur in some catalytic processes, recently breakthrough have revealed that thioethers are efficient directing groups for C-H olefinations. In 2012, Zhang reported a Pd-catalyzed C-H alkenylation of thioethers (Fig. 1a). The intermediate in this reaction was isolated, which identified that sulfur was the directing-atom for the first time.5 In 2013, Shi reported Rh-catalyzed C-H alkenylation of benzylthioether (Fig. 1b).6 More recently, Miura and co-workers reported Rh-catalyzed selective C4 C-H alkenylation of indoles using 3-thioethers as directing groups (Fig. 1c).7 Other sulfurcontaining functional groups, such as thiocarbonyls8 and sulfoxides,9 have also been reported in directing C-H arylations, alkenylations, alkylations, and cyclizations with Pd-, Rh-, and Ru-catalysts.<sup>10</sup> Despite these successes, the demand is still high

"School of Biotechnology & Health Sciences, Wuyi University, 22 Dongcheng Vill., Jiangmen, Guangdong 529020, China. E-mail: wyuchemldl@126.com

for S-atom directed C-H functionalization protocol that proceeds with mild reaction conditions, controllable C-H selectivity, diverse functional group tolerance, and generally applicable catalytic system. Herein, we report an Ir(III)-catalyzed thioether directed mono-alkenylation and di-alkenylation of arene C-H bonds that proceeds under mild and controllable conditions (Fig. 1d).

We started to investigate this transformation by examining the reactivity between benzyl p-tolyl sulfide (1a) and ethyl acrylate (2a). When using 1.5 mol% of [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> as the catalyst, 6 mol% of AgBF<sub>4</sub> as the activator for the Ir-catalyst, and 1.2 equiv. of Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant in DCE at 80 °C for 12 h, the desired C-H alkenylation product 3a was obtained in 38% yield (Table 1, entry 1). After optimizing solvents, HFIP was found a superior solvent over DCE, acetone, diethyl ether, or trifluoromethylbenzene, by giving 80% of isolated yield (entries 2-



Fig. 1 Thioethers directed ortho C-H alkenylation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Self-Assembly Chemistry for Organic Functional Molecules, Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, CAS, 345 Lingling Rd., Shanghai 200032. E-mail: Chinadhwang@sioc.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra06811b

<sup>‡</sup> These authors contributed equally.

### Table 1 Optimization of conditions<sup>a</sup>

$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $					
Entry	[Ag]	Oxidant	Solvent	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	
1	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	DCE	38 <sup>c</sup>	
2	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Acetone	34	
3	AgBF <sub>4</sub>	$Cu(OAc)_2$	$Et_20$	N.D.	
4	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	PhCF <sub>3</sub>	38	
5	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	HFIP	$87(80)^{c}$	
6	$AgBF_4$	AgOAc (2.2 equiv.)	HFIP	72	
7	$AgBF_4$	AgTFA (2.2 equiv.)	HAP	70	
8	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(TFA)_2 \cdot XH_2O$	HFIP	12	
9	$AgBF_4$	BQ	HFIP	N.D.	
10	$AgBF_4$	$O_2$	HAP	N.D.	
11	$AgBF_4$	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (20 mol%)	HFIP	40	
12	$AgSbF_6$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	HFIP	62	
13	AgPF <sub>6</sub>	$Cu(OAc)_2$	HFIP	64	
14	$AgNTf_2$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	HAP	62	

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.4 mmol), **2a** (2.0 equiv.), [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mol%), [Ag] (6.0 mol%), oxidant (1.2 equiv.), 0.2 M, air, 80 °C, 12 h. <sup>b</sup> Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using CH2Br2 as an internal standard. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.

5). Next, oxidants were screened. AgOAc and AgTFA provided the desired product in 72% and 70% yields, respectively (entries 6-7). While Cu(TFA)<sub>2</sub>-hydrate provided only 12% yield of 3a (entry 8). Benzoquinone (BQ) and O2 were found inefficient for the reaction, and no desired product was found from these reaction mixtures (entries 9–10). When reducing  $Cu(OAc)_2$ loading amount to 20 mol%, the yield of the desired product decreased to 40% (entry 11), which indicated that  $Cu^{2+}$  was critical oxidant for this catalytic reaction. Various silver salts, which worked as the activator to promote the Ir-catalyst's efficiency, was also examined, AgSbF4, AgPF6, and AgNTf2 gave the desired product in good yields (entries 12-14), but AgBF<sub>4</sub> was superior over the others.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we next examined the substrate scope of thioethers for the mono-alkenylation (Table 2). Various electron-rich substrates provided the desired products in good yields when use 3 mol% of [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> as the catalyst. Sterically bulky substituents on the benzyl ring were well tolerated. For example, benzyl rings containing methyl groups at the ortho-, meta-, and para-positions readily provided the desired products in 70-84% yields (3b-3d). 3-Methoxybenzyl p-tolyl sulfane provided 66% yield of ortho-olefinated products at the 2-, and 6-positions with the ratio of 1 : 3, and the less hindered 6-position was favorable (3e). Other electron-donating substituents, such as substrates containing dimethoxy and tert-butyl groups offered good yield of the desired products, 76% and 71% yields were achieved, respectively (3f-3g). The reaction of 2-naphthylmethyl p-tolyl sulfane took place selectively at the less hindered  $\beta$ -position and provided 3h in 93% yield. For electron-deficient substrates, the transformation showed sluggish reactivity than those electron-

Table 2 Mono-alkenylation of thioethers<sup>4</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1 (0.4 mmol), 2a (1.1 equiv.), [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (3 mol%), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1.2 equiv.), AgBF<sub>4</sub> (12 mol%), HFIP (2 mL), 80 °C, 12 h. <sup>b</sup> 5 mol% Ir-catalyst. <sup>c</sup> 4 mol% Ir-catalyst.

rich substrates. When increasing the [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> catalyst loading to 5 mol%, good yields were achieved. For example, halide substituents, such as fluoro-, chloro- and bromo- were compatible with the reaction conditions, and provided the desired alkenylation product in 66%, 58%, and 60% yield, respectively (3i-3k). The biaryl substrates that contain electron withdrawing groups, such as ester and cyano substituents, readily afforded the desired mono-olefinated product in 72% and 75% yield (3l, and 3m). Other carbonyl derivatives offered the desired products in good yields, too, which include, a benzoyl (3n, 60% yield), esters (3o, and 3p, 81%, and 60% yield, respectively), and an amide (3q, 65% yield). The substituents of p-tolyl sulfide were also examined. In this event, we found that the electron-deficient substituents provided higher yields of the desired products than those electron-rich ones. For example, when replacing the para-methyl group to the methoxy (-OMe, 3r) or *tert*-butyl  $(-^{t}Bu, 3s)$ , the reaction provided

moderate yields (70% for each). While replacement of the paramethyl group with fluoro (-F, 3t) or trifluoromethyl (-CF<sub>3</sub>, 3u) groups, good yields achieved (83% and 86%, respectively). Notably, in all of these samples, only benzyl C-H bonds are active for the olefination, and the arylthiol C-H bonds are inactive.

Next, we investigated the alkene scope for this transformation with benzyl p-tolyl sulfane (1a) (Table 3). Acrylates were good coupling partners for this reaction, various of alkoxyl groups on acrylate provided C-H alkenylation products in good yields, which included cyclohexyl (4a, 65% yield), benzyl (4b, 65% yield), n-butyl (4c, 78% yield), iso-butyl (4d, 71% yield), 2cyanoethyl (4e, 80% yield), 2-furanylmethyl (4f, 71% yield), and 4-hydroxylbutyl (4g, 72% yield). Other electron-deficient alkenes also afforded the desired products in moderate to good yields, such as phenyl vinyl sulfone (4i, 70% yield), acrolein (4j, 66% yield), N,N-dimethylacrylamide (4k, 71% yield), and ethyl vinyl ketone (41, 77% yield).

Though a high degree of mono-alkenylation products achieved from these substrates, we occasionally observed some dialkenylation products from reaction mixtures. For example, we have isolated 5a from the reaction of 1a and 2a in 12% yield (entry 6, Table 1). In order to get a high yield of dialkenylation product 5a, we optimized the reaction conditions. To our delight, when increasing Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> to 2.4 equiv. and acrylate to 4 equiv., the dialkenylated product 5a was afforded in 82% yield as a single product. With these conditions, we examined the substrate scope for the dialkenylations (Table 4). Various

> [Cp\*lrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (3 mol%) Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1.2 equiv)

HFIP (0.2 M), 80°C, 12 h, air

R

4a-41

4c, 78%

4f. 71%

4i 70%

4I, 77%

AaBF₄ (12 mol%)

4b, 65%

4e, 80%

Table 3	Substrate	scope	for	alkenes <sup>a</sup>
---------	-----------	-------	-----	----------------------

1a

65%

No.

4d, 71%

4a. 72%

4i. 66%

2 (1.1 equiv.)

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1a (0.4 mmol), 2 (1.1 equiv.), [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (3 mol%), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1.2 equiv.), AgBF<sub>4</sub> (12 mol%), HFIP (2 mL), 80 °C, 12 h. <sup>b</sup> 5 mol% Ir-catalyst.

4k, 71%<sup>b</sup>

View Article Online

Paper



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.4 mmol), **2a** (4.0 equiv.), [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.4 equiv.), AgBF<sub>4</sub> (20 mol%), HFIP (2 mL), 80 °C, 12 h.

electron-rich arenes preceded dialkenylation smoothly and provided the desired products in good to high yields. para-Methyl, tert-butyl, and n-butyl substituent arenes delivered the desired products in 81%, 80%, and 80% yields (5b-5d), respectively. para-Benzyl alcohol was well tolerated and provided the desired product 5e in 71% yield. meta-Methoxy and dimethoxy substituents were good for the transformation and provided the diolefinated products in 75% and 88% yields (5f and 5g). The electron-deficient substrates also provided the dialkenylation products in good yields. For example, ester substituents on the diphenyl substrate offered the desired product 5h in 80% yield. Chloro-, bromo- and acetal substituents at the para-position of arenes delivered the desired products in 68–81% yields (5i–5k). It was interesting that the methyl benzyl sulfane provided the desired dialkenylated product 5l in 71% yield under these conditions.

A plausible mechanism was proposed for this Ir-catalyzed ortho-alkenylation (Fig. 2):11 [Cp\*IrCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> reacted with AgBF<sub>4</sub> to provide the catalytic active Ir(III) species A. The coordination of thioether 1 with A and followed C-H activation provided iridacycle B. The coordination of olefin 2 with iridacycle B and followed insertion into Ir-C bond provided the seven-membered iridacycle C. Subsequent β-H elimination delivered the desired



Fig. 2 Plausible mechanism.

product. The regeneration of  $Ir(\ensuremath{\mathrm{In}})$  catalyst with the  $Cu(OAc)_2$  finished the catalytic cycle.

In conclusion, we have developed an Ir-catalyzed *ortho*alkenylation of arene C–H bonds using thioether as the directing group. This protocol undergo under mild and operationally simple conditions. The reaction exhibits broad functional group compatibility, and provides mono- or di-alkenylation products in good to high yields.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0202900), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB20000000), and the CNSF 21871286. X. C. thanks the Foundation from Guangdong Education Department (No. 2016KCXTD005, and 2017KSYS010).

# Notes and references

- For reviews, see: (a) C. Jia, T. Kitamura and Y. Fujiwara, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 633; (b) I. V. Seregin and V. Gevorgyan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 1173; (c) J. Wencel-Delord, T. Droge, F. Liu and F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 4740; (d) K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa and J.-Q. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 788.
- 2 (*a*) L. N. Lewis and J. F. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 2728; (*b*) S. Murai, F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda and N. Chatani, *Nature*, 1993, **366**, 529.
- 3 For books, see: (a) J.-Q. Yu, L. Ackermann and Z. Shi, C-H Activation, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2010; (b) M. H. Emmert and C. J. Legacy, Arene Chemistry: Reaction Mechanisms and Methods for Aromatic Compounds, ed. J. Mortier, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ, 2016 For

reviews, see: (c) S. R. Neufeldt and M. S. Sanford, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, **45**, 936; (d) G. Rouquet and N. Chatani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 11726; (e) R.-Y. Zhu, M. E. Farmer, Y.-Q. Chen and J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 10578; (f) L. Ackermann, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, **47**, 281; (g) O. Daugulis, J. Roane and L. D. Tran, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, **48**, 1053; (h) C. He, W. G. Whitehurst and M. J. Gaunt, Chem, 2019, 5, 1031.

- 4 (a) N. Naowarojna, R. Cheng, L. Chen, M. Quill, M. Xu, C. Zhao and P. Liu, *Biochemistry*, 2018, 57, 3309; (b) J. Zhao and X. Jiang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, 29, 1079; (c) B. Ashwood, M. Pollum and C. E. Crespo-Hernández, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2019, 95, 33; (d) S. Fujii, T. Sawa, H. Motohashi and T. Akaike, *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, 2019, 176, 607; (e) P. Putnik, D. Gabrić, S. Roohinejad, F. J. Barba, D. Granato, K. Mallikarjunan, J. M. Lorenzo and D. Bursaé Kovačević, *Food Chem.*, 2019, 276, 680; (f) C. Zhao, K. P. Rakesh, L. Ravidar, W.-Y. Fang and H.-L. Qin, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, 162, 679.
- 5 (a) J. Yao, M. Yu and Y. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 3205; (b) M. Yu, Y. Xie, C. Xie and Y. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2164; (c) B. Wang, Y. Liu, C. Lin, Y. Xu, Z. Liu and Y. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 4574; (d) B. Wang, C. Lin, Y. Liu, Z. Fan, Z. Liu and Y. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 973.
- 6 (a) X.-S. Zhang, Q.-L. Zhu, Y.-F. Zhang, Y.-B. Li and Z.-J. Shi, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 11898; (b) X.-S. Zhang, Y.-F. Zhang, Z.-W. Li, F.-X. Luo and Z.-J. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 5478; (c) X.-S. Zhang, Y.-F. Zhang, K. Chen and Z.-J. Shi, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 1096.
- 7 (a) Y. Unoh, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 704; (b) Y. Unoh, Y. Yokoyama, T. Satoh, K. Hirano and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 5436; (c) C. N. Kona, Y. Nishii and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 4898; (d) M. Shigeno, Y. Nishii, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2018, 7, 1334; (e) S. Moon, Y. Nishii and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 233; (f) C. N. Kona, Y. Nishii and M. Miura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9856; (g) Y. Yokoyama, Y. Unoh, R. A. Bohmann, T. Satoh, K. Hirano, C. Bolm and M. Miura, Chem. Lett., 2015, 44, 1104.
- 8 (a) J. E. Spangler, Y. Kobayashi, P. Verma, D.-H. Wang and J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 11876; (b) P. Jain, P. Verma, G. Xia and J.-Q. Yu, Nat. Chem., 2017, 9, 140; (c) A. T. Tran and J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10530; (d) Z.-J. Cai, C.-X. Liu, Q. Gu and S.-L. You, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 1296.
- 9 (a) R. Samanta and A. P. Antonchick, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5217; (b) T. Wesch, F. R. Leroux and F. Colobert, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2139; (c) C. K. Hazra, Q. Dherbassy, J. Wencel-Delord and F. Colobert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 13871; (d) B. Wang, C. Shen, J. Yao, H. Yin and Y. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 46; (e) Q. Dherbassy, J.-P. Djukic, J. Wencel-Delord and F. Colobert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 4668.
- 10 (a) B. Xu, W. Liu and C. Kuang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 2576;
  (b) P. Villuendas and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, 17, 3178;
  (c) M. Tobisu, Y. Masuya, K. Baba and N. Chatani,

Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 2587; (d) C. W. Cavanagh, M. H. Aukland, Q. Laurent, A. Hennessy and D. J. Procter, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 5286; (e) Y.-C. Zhu, Y. Li, B.-C. Zhang, F.-X. Zhang, Y.-N. Yang and X.-S. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 5129; (f) L. Jin, J. Wang and G. Dong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 12352; (g) K.-X. Tang, C.-M. Wang, T.-H. Gao, L. Chen, L. Fan and L.-P. Sun, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 26; (h) H. Shi and D. J. Dixon, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 3733; (*i*) Y.-H. Liu, P.-X. Li, Q.-J. Yao, Z.-Z. Zhang, D.-Y. Huang, M. D. Le, H. Song, L. Liu and B.-F. Shi, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 1895; (*j*) A. M. Romine, K. S. Yang, M. K. Karunananda, J. S. Chen and K. M. Engle, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, DOI: 10.1021/ acscatal.9b01471.

11 J. Kim and S. Chang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2203.