# RSC Advances



## PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 36471

## Iron oxide encapsulated by copper-apatite: an efficient magnetic nanocatalyst for N-arylation of imidazole with boronic acid†

Othmane Amadine, D<sup>\*a</sup> Younes Essamlali,<sup>a</sup> Abdallah Amedlous<sup>ab</sup> and Mohamed Zahouily\*<sup>ab</sup>

N-Arylation of imidazole was carried out with various arylboronic acids on iron oxide encapsulated by copper-apatite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite), producing excellent yields. Firstly, the iron nanoparticles were prepared using a solvothermal method, and then they were encapsulated by copper-apatite to obtain magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite nanocatalysts. Several physico-chemical analysis techniques were used to characterize the prepared nanostructured  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite$  catalyst. The prepared  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite$ was used as a nanocatalyst for N-arylation of imidazole with a series of arylboronic acids with different substituents to reaffirm the effectiveness of this magnetic nanocatalyst. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite nanocatalyst can also be easily separated from the reaction mixture using an external magnet. More importantly, the as-prepared  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite exhibited good reusability and stability properties in successive cycles. However, there was a notable loss of its catalytic activity after multiple cycles. **PAPER**<br> **The Context of the subsection of the Context of the Context of the subsection of the subsection of the subsection and <b>efficient magnetic nanocatalyst for N-arylation c**<br> **Context of the subsection of the subsec** 

Received 2nd September 2019 Accepted 1st November 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra06991g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1. Introduction

Over the years, N-arylheterocycles have gained prominence due to their presence in a wide variety of natural products and bioactive compounds. They have also played an important role as building blocks in organic synthesis.<sup>1,2</sup> There is a special emphasis on N-aryl imidazole because it exhibits antipsychotic, antiallergic, and herbicidal<sup>3,4</sup> properties, as well as others. The development of a mild and highly efficient method for the synthesis of N-arylheterocycles over classical Ullman type,<sup>5,6</sup> nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions,<sup>7</sup> or coupling with organometallic reagents has recently gained considerable attention in synthetic chemistry.<sup>8,9</sup> But the harsh conditions of these reactions, such as very high temperature and strong bases have restricted and limited their applications. At the turn of the  $21<sup>st</sup>$  century, recent development of Narylation with boronic acids unleashed the power of Cheteroatom bond formation reaction due to the mild reaction conditions (room temperature, weak base and ambient atmosphere).<sup>10-13</sup> However, the synthetic scope of this reaction is strongly limited due to some disadvantages, such as product

contamination – toxic waste produced after separation of the catalyst, which cannot be easily recovered after the reaction.<sup>14</sup> These disadvantages can be overcome by anchoring the metal on suitable supports, which can be easily recovered, and then potentially be reusable with a minimal amount of product contamination. Recently, diverse forms of heterogeneous catalysts have been developed for N-arylation, such as cellulose supported copper $(0)$ ,<sup>15</sup> polymer supported Cu $(n)$ ,<sup>16</sup> MCM-41-immobilized bidentate nitrogen copper $(i)$ ,<sup>17</sup> CuO nanoparticles<sup>18</sup> and Cu-exchanged fluorapatite.<sup>19</sup> Magnetic separation has also received a lot of attention as a solid catalyst separation technology, since it can be very efficient and fast. Numerous studies have focused on the immobilization of copper catalytic systems on a magnetic medium based on iron in order to separate them by the simple application of a magnet.<sup>20,21</sup> In the same way, Alper and co-workers have immobilized Cu( $i$ ) catalyzed on the surface of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticle-supported L-proline as a recyclable and recoverable catalyst for N-arylation of heterocycles.

Calcium phosphate, such as hydroxyapatite and its derivates appear very attractive due to their ion-exchange capability, adsorption capacity, and acid-base properties.<sup>22</sup> Hydroxyapatite based materials have been used in the biomedical field<sup>23,24</sup> lately and in some important chemical transformations.<sup>25-28</sup> The latter applications are related to its well-known ability to immobilize divalent and trivalent metal ions, by partial cationic exchange with calcium.<sup>29-33</sup> Recently, the possibility to combine the properties of apatite with other inorganic phases within core– shell nanostructures has attracted great attention. For instance, hydroxyapatite/TiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>34</sup> hydroxyapatite/carbon nanotubes,<sup>35</sup> and

a VARENA Center, MAScIR Foundation, Rabat Design, Rue Mohamed El Jazouli, Madinat El Irfane, 10100-Rabat, Morocco. E-mail: m.zahouily@mascir.com; o.amadine@mascir.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de Matériaux, Catalyse et Valorisation des Ressources Naturelles, URAC 24, FST, Université Hassan II-Casablanca, Morocco

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Complete experimental procedures and spectral data the compounds listed in Table 4. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra06991g



Scheme 1 N-Arylation of imidazole with boronic acid by a nanocatalyst ( $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite).

hydroxyapatite/SiO<sub>2</sub> (ref. 36) core-shell nanoparticles have been studied for different applications, such as photocatalytic processes, fluorescence imaging and drug delivery. Indeed, the combination of hydroxyapatite with iron oxide particles could be useful to design sorbents that combine large activity and easy recovery via magnetic separation.<sup>37,38</sup> Hence, several iron oxidehydroxyapatite nanocomposites have been described in the literature.<sup>39-45</sup> However, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite has only rarely been explored, and to the best of our knowledge, the use of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)$ Cu-apatite as a heterogeneous catalyst for the N-arylation of imidazole has not been reported in literature.  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is one of the cheaper magnetic oxide, which act in this study as a high surface area framework that is coated by the growth of Cu-apatite shell. The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  core has to facilitate the recycle of nanocatalysts through magnetic separation. This present work was achieved within our progressive program to develop an ecofriendly and efficient approach for the synthesis of various products.<sup>46</sup>–<sup>50</sup> This is the background upon which this work is situated upon, and the objective is based on the synthesis and characterization of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)$ @Cu-apatite nanoparticles, and then their use as magnetic catalysts for the N-arylation of imidazole with arylboronic acids (Scheme 1). FISC Advances<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  PH-B(OH)<sub>2</sub>  $\frac{F_{12}(3/2)(x \times 2000)}{2}$  are the signal on 11 November 12 November 2019. Download to 11 November 2019.<br>
Access Article 2000 a particle is limit to the commons and the simulation in t

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials and apparatus

Copper(II) nitrate  $(Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, \geq 98.0\%)$ , ferric chloride  $(FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , calcium nitrate  $(CaNO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$ ,  $\geq$ 99.0%), ammonium hydrogen phosphate  $((NH_4)_2HPO_4)$ , sodium acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>COONa), ethylene glycol (EG), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), ammonia solution (NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 30%), poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG, 1000) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and were used as received without any further purification. Deionized water was used in all experiments. Xray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts were obtained at room temperature on a Bruker AXS D-8 diffractometer using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation in Bragg–Brentano geometry ( $\theta$ –2 $\theta$ ). SEM and STEM micrographs were obtained on a Tecnai G2 microscope at 120 kV. The average diameter of sample was quantified from the SEM image using ImageJ software. The gas adsorption data was collected using a Micromeritics 3Flex Surface characterization analyzer, using nitrogen. Prior to nitrogen sorption, all samples were degassed at 150 °C overnight. The specific surface areas were determined from the nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms (at  $-196$  °C), using the BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) method. Pore size distributions were calculated from the  $N_2$  adsorption isotherms with the "classic theory model" of Barret, Joyney and Halenda (BJH).

Fourier transformation infrared (FT-IR) spectra of samples in KBr pellets were measured on a Bruker Vector 22 spectrometer. Magnetic properties of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite were investigated in a MPMS-XL-7AC superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. The magnetic measurements were performed from  $-15000$  to 15000 Oe at room temperature. The element content of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@Cu-q$ patite materials was determined by an Elemental analysis was realized using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP AES; Ultima 2 - Jobin Yvon). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO d6, using a Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer.

#### 2.2. Catalyst preparation

2.2.1. Preparation of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles. The magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles used in this study were synthesized using a solvothermal method, adapting methodologies described in the literature.<sup>51</sup> In a typical procedure, 5.0 mmol of the FeCl<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 6H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 20 mL of ethylene glycol, and then 20 mmol of NaOH was added to the resultant mixture with vigorous stirring. Then, the obtained EG solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and sealed. After reacting at 180  $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h, the autoclave was cooled down to ambient temperature and the mixture was withdrawn from the reactor with a magnet bar to get the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ magnetic NPs, which were then washed with water and ethanol and dried at 40 °C for 24 h.

2.2.2. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite nanocomposite. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cuapatite nanocomposite was prepared along a hydrothermal route.<sup>52</sup> Firstly, 0.30 g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs was dispersed in 100 mL distilled water, and then 12 g of urea was added. Then, 10 mL of a solution produced from a mixture of  $Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$  (0.5904 g) and  $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$  (0.604 g) was added into the above solution in drops (molar ratio of Ca : Cu  $1:1$ ). After heating at 90 °C for 2 h, 10 mL of  $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$  (0.1981 g) solution was also added in drops and the pH value of the solution was tuned to 10 with ammonia water (molar ratio of Ca : P  $10:6$ ). After 0.5 h, the solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, where it was sealed and heated at 165  $\degree$ C for 12 h. Finally, the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite NPs were collected using a magnet bar.

### 2.3. General procedure of imidazol's N-arylation with arylboronic acid

In a 50 mL round-bottomed flask, imidazole (1 mmol), phenylboronic acid (1.2 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (1.5 mmol) and  $Fe_3O_4@Cu$ apatite (15 mol%) were added and stirred in MeOH under air at 60  $\degree$ C for the required time, monitoring by TLC. After completion, the mixture was diluted with  $H_2O$  and the product was extracted with EtOAc (3 times). The combined extracts were washed with brine (3 times) and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The product was purified using column chromatography (60–120 mesh silica gel, eluting with EtOAc–hexane). The structures of the prepared products were confirmed by  ${}^{1}$ H NMR and assigned on the basis of their spectral data in comparison with those reported in the literature.



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (a) and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite (b).



Fig. 2 FT-IR spectra of (a) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Catalyst characterization

XRD was used to analyze the crystalline phases of the asprepared  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite samples (Fig. 1). The Fig. 1a shows the characteristic diffraction peaks of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ nanoparticles observed clearly at  $2\theta = 30.2$ , 35.4, 43.3, 53.7, 57.3, and 62.98, which are attributed to the (220), (311), (400),  $(422)$ ,  $(511)$ , and  $(440)$  Bragg reflections of the face-centered cubic lattice of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles, respectively (JCPDS no. 19-0629). In the XRD pattern of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite (Fig. 1b), we can observe the presence of a secondary phase in which the diffraction peaks correspond to calcium copper phosphate  $Ca_{20}Cu(PO_{4})_{14}$  (JCPDS 49-1080). The average crystallite size of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite was estimated using the Debye– Scherrer formula, which gave 21 and 33 nm for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q<sub>0</sub>Cu-apatite, respectively.$ 

Table 1 Lattice parameter, crystallite size, BET surface area and pore volume for all as-synthesized samples catalysts

Samples	Crystallite size <sup><i>a</i></sup> (nm)	$S_{\rm BET}$ <sup><math>D</math></sup> $(m^2 g^{-1})$	$V_{\text{meso}}$ $(mL g^{-1})$	$D_{\text{meso}}$ (nm)
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	21	22	0.0305	6.5391
$Fe3O4(a)Cu-apatite$	33	84	0.1350	7.1465

 $a$  Calculated by Debye–Scherer equation.  $b$  Calculated from adsorption of the N<sub>2</sub> isotherm.  $\epsilon$  Calculated from desorption of the N<sub>2</sub> isotherm.

The FTIR spectra of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$  and  $Ce<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)$ sented in Fig. 2. This figure shows the FTIR spectrum of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a)$ Cu-apatite nanoparticles, where there are observable peaks around 1020, and 1090, 964, 600, 561 and 470  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , which are attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching mode of P–O group and bending mode of O–P–O group, respectively. The characteristic bands at about 3571 and  $3641$  cm<sup>-1</sup> are related to the stretching vibrations of the OH group. The weak and broad bands at around  $1470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $873 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are most likely assigned to carbonate groups adsorbed during the synthesis process. In addition, the fingerprint of iron



Fig. 3 Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and BJH pore size distribution of the (a)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and (b)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite.

oxide is assigned in the FTIR spectroscopy to the Fe–O stretching vibration, and detected towards 587  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ .

The calculated texture parameters of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cuapatite are summarized in Table 1. The calculation of the BET surface area for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is 22 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and the pore volume is 0.0305  $\text{cm}^3$   $\text{g}^{-1}$ . The surface area and pore volume of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite are 84  $\mathrm{m^2~g^{-1}}$  and 0.1350  $\mathrm{cm^3~g^{-1}}$ , respectively. This change in surface properties was assumed to be due to the coating of Cu-apatite on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles. The rough surface provides more pores for nitrogen adsorption. The nitrogen sorption isotherm of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is type IV, displaying a hysteresis loops type H3, indicating the mesoporous nature of the material (Fig. 3a). For the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)Cu$ apatite, the nitrogen sorption isotherm is type (IV) with a hysteresis loop type H3, which proves the existence of mesopores according to the IUPAC manifests (Fig. 3b).

The corresponding pore size distribution curve indicates that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite have a centralized pore size distribution around 4 and 11 nm, respectively, which corresponding to mesoporous materials (inset Fig. 3a and b).

SEM was used to study the surface morphology of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite (Fig. 4). The micrographs show that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is composed of spherical nanoparticles. Furthermore, in the case of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite$ , some particles were observed on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , which may be due to the coating of the magnetic nanoparticles with Cu-apatite nanoparticles. From the SEM images of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , we determined that the average sizes and the relative standard deviation (SD) values for the diameters of the spherical Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> MNPs was 264  $\pm$  28 nm. Moreover, ICP analysis was performed to determine the weight of element composition of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)Cu$ -apatite. From the ICP analysis, the



Fig. 4 SEM images of (a and b) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (c and d) Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite and diameter distribution of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles (e).



Fig. 5 STEM images of (a and b)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and (c and d)  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ apatite.

Ca, P, Fe and Cu content were found to be 30.96, 18.63, 47, 1.83%, respectively.

STEM was used to analyze the microstructure of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite materials. From Fig. 5a and b, it can be observed that  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  NPS show a very good dispersion of spherical particles. These  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  spheres were further used as cores for the growth of Cu-apatite shells to obtain the Fe3O4@Cu-apatite core–shell nanostructures. Compared to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  cores, the outside surfaces became coarse after the growth process, as shown in Fig. 5c and d. These results indicate that the Cu-apatite nanoparticles were successfully loaded onto the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  sphere surfaces, which clearly demonstrates the formation of core–shell nanostructures. We have also noticed that Cu-apatite was still tightly anchored on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ even after the severe conditions under which the sample preparation for STEM analysis were conducted (a long time of mechanical stirring and sonication), suggesting the existence of strong interactions between Cu-apatite and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . **ESC** Advances<br>
Sensitive through distribution of the ST and the C-C-<br>
mention are distributed on the ST and the properties are and part columbic of the BT<br>
synthesis are spin at the published on the ST and the propertie

> The magnetic properties of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q<sub>4</sub>)$ Cu-apatite were investigated using SQUID from  $-15000$  to 15000 Oe at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 6 the saturation



Fig. 6 Magnetization curves of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite.



Fig. 7 Photographs of the separation processes  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite$ : (a) without external magnetic field, and (b and c) with external magnetic field.

Table 2 Evaluation and screening of catalysts<sup>6</sup>

Entry	Catalyst	Yields <sup><math>b</math></sup> (%)	
1		n.r.	
2	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	n.r.	
3	Cu-apatite	98	
$\overline{4}$	$Fe3O4(Q)Cu-apatite$	97	
5	Cu-apatite@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	47	

 $a$  Reaction conditions: catalyst (5 mol%), arylboronic acids (1 mmol), imidazole (1 mmol), base (*A* mmol), methanol (2 mL), reflux for 6 h.  $\frac{b}{n}$  Isolated yield.

magnetization (Ms) of Fe $_{3}O_{4}$  is close to 57 emu  $\rm g^{-1}$ , a higher value than that obtained for the corresponding  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a)Cu$ apatite (30.66 emu  $\rm g^{-1}$ ). These results indicate that Fe $\rm _3O_4$  core was successfully coated with a Cu-apatite shell. Moreover, the field-dependent magnetization curves of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cuapatite show negligible remanence (Mr) and coercivity (Hc), indicating superparamagnetic behavior for both samples at room temperature.

This superparamagnetism can make the magnetic nanoparticles dispersible in the solution with negligible magnetic interactions between each other, which avoids magnetic clustering. As shown in the Fig. 7,  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@Cu-q$ patite can be dispersed in deionized water to form a stable brown suspension before magnetic separation. However, when a magnet was placed close to the reaction vessel for a while, it could be observed that the synthesized samples were rapidly attracted to the magnet side, and a nearly colorless solution was obtained.

#### 3.2. N-Arylation of imidazole over  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)$ @Cu-apatite catalyst

Firstly, the activities of some samples were screened, such as: Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Cu-apatite, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite and Cu-apatite@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyzing imidazole N-arylation using phenyl boronic acid as a model reaction. The resultant obtained results are summarized in Table 2. First of all, the reaction doesn't occur without the addition of a catalyst (Table 2, entry 1), and the iron oxide is also inactive in this reaction (Table 2, entry 2). However, the reaction of N-arylation of imidazole was occurring when Cuapatite was used as catalyst with a yield of 98% (Table 2, entry 3). These results can be explained by the presence of the copper, which play an important role as catalytic element for enhancing the cross-coupling reaction. Additionally, coating iron oxide by copper-apatite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite) in order to separate them by the simple application of a magnet has no significant effect on its catalytic activity (Table 2, entry 4) with a yield of 97%. On the other hand, coating Cu-apatite by  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  decrease their catalytic activity (Table 2, entry 5), this can be explain by the decrease of the contact surface of the catalytic element and the reactants. This result shows the importance of the catalytic system developed in this work (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite) for the *N*-arylation of imidazole. **Example 11 November 2019.** This are propulso controlled on the spherical controlled on 11 November 2019. The Controlled on 11 November 2019. The Controlled Universed under the spherical controlled on 12 November 2019. Th

The influence of various reaction parameters, such as type of solvent, nature of the base, reaction temperature, and catalyst

Table 3 N-Arylation of imidazole with arylboronic acid in various solvents and bases in the presence of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite<sup>a</sup>

$$
HM \wedge MH + \wedge_{\text{solvent, Base, Temp.}} F_{\text{c}_jO_4 \oplus \text{Cu-apatic}} \wedge F \wedge H \wedge H \wedge H \wedge H \wedge H
$$



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: arylboronic acid (1 mmol), imidazole (0.5 mmol), base (1 mmol), solvent (2 mL) for 6 h.  $^b$  Isolated yield.

Table 4 N-Arylation of N(H)-heterocycles with aryl boronic acid in the presence of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite<sup>a</sup>

	presence of $Fe3O4$ @Cu-apatite <sup>a</sup>			noted that the presence of the base was necessary for the
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Cu-apatite $N(H)$ -heterocycle + Ar-B(OH) <sub>2</sub> <b>Ar N-heterocycle</b> Methanol, K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>			achievement of the reaction as reported in the literature. This is explained by the role of the base to activate the boronic acid in the mechanism of the reaction.	
Samples	$N(H)$ -heterocycle	Aryl	Yield $^b$ (%)	The study of the influence of temperature, in the case of using MeOH, indicated that this reaction is very sensitive to
1	Imidazole	$C_6H_5$	89	changes in temperature (Table 3, entries 7-10). According to
$\mathbf{2}$	Imidazole	$4$ -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	87	these studies we can conclude that temperature is a key
3	Imidazole	$3$ -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	85	parameter in this type of reaction, and the optimum yield was
$\overline{4}$	Imidazole	$2$ -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	83	obtained at 60 °C. Furthermore, the decreasing the catalys
$\sqrt{5}$	Imidazole	$4-MeO-C6H4$	92	loading to half results in a yield of 84% (Table 3, entry 12)
6	Imidazole	$4\text{-}\mathrm{NO}_2\text{-}\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_4$	78	which demonstrate the influence of the catalyst amount in this
$\overline{7}$	Indole	$C_6H_5$	91	
8	Indole	$4 - CH_3 - C_6H_4$	86	type of reactions. Thus, the optimum conditions for this reac
9	Indole	$3$ -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	88	tion are at 60 °C in MeOH in the presence of 15 mol% of
10	Indole	$2$ -CH <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	85	nanocatalyst with respect to imidazole and $K_2CO_3$ (1 mmol) as
11	Indole	$4-MeO-C6H4$	96	the base (Table 3, entry 11).
12	Indole	$4\text{-}NO_2\text{-}C_6H_4$	72	With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, a variety of
	<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Cu-apatite (15 mol%), arylboronic acid (1 mmol), N(H)-heterocycles (0.5 mmol), K2CO <sub>3</sub> (1 mmol), methanol (2 mL), $60 °C$ for 6 h. $\frac{b}{c}$ Isolated yield.			arylboronic acids were chosen as the substrates in this $N$ imidazole cross-coupling reaction and the results are shown ir Table 4. The substitution effects of arylboronic acid were
100 80 Yields (%) 60 40				investigated. It was found that the reaction with phenylboronic acids with an electron donating group afforded better yields (Table 4, entries 1-5) than with electron withdrawing groups (Table 4, entries 6). Similar observation was made when indole was used in place of imidazoles to obtain the corresponding N arylindole (Table 4, entries 8-10). Another benefit of this nanocatalyst system consists of its
20 0	2 1	3 4	5	ease of recyclability. The coupling of imidazole with arylboronic acid was chosen as a model reaction for the reusability study (Fig. 8). After the cross-coupling reaction, the recovered catalys was washed twice by dichloromethane and water, dried at room
				temperature before being reused under similar conditions for

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite (15 mol%), arylboronic acid (1 mmol), N(H)-heterocycles (0.5 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1 mmol), methanol (2 mL), 60 °C for 6 h. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.



Fig. 8 Reuse performance of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite catalyst in the Narylation of imidazole. Reaction conditions:  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ @Cu-apatite (10 mol%), arylboronic acid (1 mmol), imidazole (0.5 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (1 mmol), methanol (2 mL), 60 °C for 8 h.

loading was investigated. The results obtained are summarized in Table 3. Initially, with 20 mol% of the catalyst and  $K_2CO_3$  as a base, the screening of the effect of different solvents showed that  $H_2O$  may not be the optimal solvent, when the reaction was carried out in EtOH or MeOH, the yield of the product 1-phenyl-1H-imidazole was isolated in 87 and 97%, respectively (Table 3, entry 1 and 3). After optimizing the solvent, the effect of the base was studied (Table 3, entries 4–6), and it was found that the best

Another benefit of this nanocatalyst system consists of its ease of recyclability. The coupling of imidazole with arylboronic acid was chosen as a model reaction for the reusability study (Fig. 8). After the cross-coupling reaction, the recovered catalyst was washed twice by dichloromethane and water, dried at room temperature before being reused under similar conditions for the next run. It has to be noted that the catalytic behavior of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(a)$ Cu-apatite catalyst remains nearly the same for the five successive runs. After the 5th cycle, a notable loss of the catalytic activity was observed. The N-arylation of N-arylheterocycles over Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite was compared with other catalysts reported in the literature as tabulated in Table 5. From this, we can show clearly that our  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(@Cu-apatite catalyst exhibited)$ a best catalytic activity of N-arylation of imidazole comparing with other catalytic systems.

In order to investigate the behavior of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)Cu$ -apatite catalyst during recycling experiments, XRD and STEM analysis were performed (Fig. 9). It was observed from the XRD pattern of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite catalyst that, after one catalytic cycle, the crystalline composition of the catalyst remained unchanged.

Table 5 Comparison of activity of different heterogeneous catalysts in the N-arylation reaction of imidazole

Catalyst	Reaction conditions	Yield $(\% )$	Ref.	
CuI-zeolite	Methanol, 65 $\degree$ C, 17 h	95	53	
Silica-supported copper $(n)$	Methanol, 70 $\degree$ C, 6 h	$78 - 98$	54	
$Cu-FAP$	Methanol, $K_2CO_3$ , r.t., 6 h	88	55	
$Cu(0)$ -cellulose	Methanol, reflux, 2.5 h	98	15	
$Fe3O4(a)Cu-apatite$	Methanol, 60 $\degree$ C, 6 h	96	This work	



Fig. 9 XRD (a) and STEM (b) of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu-apatite after the first$ catalytic test.

Also, from STEM analysis, Cu-apatite was still tightly anchored on the surface of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  after one catalytic cycle. The catalytic effect of copper ions leached from the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite was studied in the N-arylation reaction, which was carried out under the optimum conditions. After 2 hours of heating, the catalyst was removed and the remaining reaction mixture was reheated. It was observed that the concentration of the desired product didn't increase even after heating for an additional 8 h. To further evaluate the catalytic effect of copper ions leached from the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)Cu-apatite$ , ICP analysis was used against the catalyst before and after reaction. The copper concentration of the catalyst was found to be 1.83 wt% for the fresh catalyst and 1.82 wt% after one catalytic cycle in  $N$ -arylation of imidazole, which confirms negligible copper leaching. Paper Weeklyne and the computer of the Common Access Articles. Published on 11 November 2019. Downloaded the Common Access Articles. The Common Access Article is licensed and the Common Access Articles. The median of the

### 4. Conclusion

In summary, magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Cu$ -apatite core–shell nanocatalysts have been successfully prepared using a hydrothermal method. The physico-chemical properties of these materials were evaluated by FT-IR, XRD, SEM, STEM as well as the adsorption– desorption of nitrogen. The prepared catalysts showed potential capability for the preparation of N-arylimidazoles through the Narylation reaction under mild conditions. Thereafter, the optimal reaction conditions were explored, and methanol was identified as the optimum solvent of the reaction, which is environmentally friendly. Furthermore, the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(Q)Cu$ -apatite can also be easily separated by an external magnet and reused for five runs with only a slight decrease in its catalytic activity.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgements

The financial assistance of the MAScIR Foundation, towards this research is hereby acknowledged.

## References

1 P. Cozzi, G. Carganico, D. Fusar, M. Grossoni, M. Menichincheri, V. Pinciroli, R. Tonani, F. Vaghi and P. Salvati, J. Med. Chem., 1993, 36, 2964–2972.

- 2 T. Gungor, A. Fouquet, J. M. Teulon, D. Provost, M. Cazes and A. Cloarec, J. Med. Chem., 1992, 35, 4455–4463.
- 3 K. M. Meyers, N. Kim, J. L. Méndez-Andino, X. E. Hu, R. N. Mumin, S. R. Klopfenstein, J. A. Wos, M. C. Mitchell, J. L. Paris, D. C. Ackley, J. K. Holbert, S. W. Mittelstadt and O. Reizes, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 814–818.
- 4 I. Salama, C. Hocke, W. Utz, O. Prante, F. Boeckler, H. Hübner, A. Torsten Kuwert and P. Gmeine, J. Med. Chem., 2007, 489–500.
- 5 P. E. Fanta, Synthesis, 1974, 1974, 9–21.
- 6 K. Matsuo, Y. Shichida, H. Nishida, S. Nakata and M. Okubo, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1994, 7, 9–17.
- 7 A. Kiyomori, J.-F. Marcoux and S. L. Buchwald, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 2657–2660.
- 8 P. Y. S. Lam, S. Deudon, K. M. Averill, R. Li, M. Y. He, A. Philip DeShong and C. G. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 7600–7601.
- 9 G. I. Elliott and J. P. Konopelski, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 3055– 3057.
- 10 J. Baruah, K. Gogoi, A. Dewan, G. Borah and U. Bora, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 2017, 38, 1203–1208.
- 11 A. Gogoi, G. Sarmah, A. Dewan and U. Bora, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 31–35.
- 12 I. Munir, A. F. Zahoor, N. Rasool, S. A. R. Naqvi, K. M. Zia and R. Ahmad, Mol. Diversity, 2019, 23, 215–259.
- 13 A. Siva Reddy, K. Ranjith Reddy, D. Nageswar Rao, C. K. Jaladanki, P. V. Bharatam, P. Y. S. Lam and P. Das, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 801–806.
- 14 Y. Zou, H. Lin, P. A. Maggard and A. Deiters, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 2011, 4154–4159.
- 15 K. R. Reddy, N. S. Kumar, B. Sreedhar and M. L. Kantam, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 252, 136–141.
- 16 S. M. Islam, S. Mondal, P. Mondal, A. S. Roy, K. Tuhina, N. Salam and M. Mobarak, J. Organomet. Chem., 2012, 696, 4264–4274.
- 17 R. Xiao, H. Zhao and M. Cai, Tetrahedron, 2013, 69, 5444– 5450.
- 18 S. K. Das, P. Deka, M. Chetia, R. C. Deka, P. Bharali and U. Bora, Catal. Lett., 2018, 148, 547-554.
- 19 B. M. Choudary, C. Sridhar, M. L. Kantam, A. G. T. Venkanna and B. Sreedhar, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 9948–9949.
- 20 N. Panda, A. K. Jena, S. Mohapatra and S. R. Rout, Tetrahedron Lett., 2011, 52, 1924–1927.
- 21 R. Zhang, C. Miao, Z. Shen, S. Wang, C. Xia and W. Sun, ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 824–830.
- 22 S. Sebti, M. Zahouily, H. Lazrek, J. Mayoral and D. Macquarrie, Curr. Org. Chem., 2008, 12, 203–232.
- 23 R. Z. LeGeros, Calcium phosphates in oral biology and medicine, 1991, vol. 15.
- 24 M. Epple, K. Ganesan, R. Heumann, J. Klesing, A. Kovtun, S. Neumann and V. Sokolova, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 18–23.
- 25 A. Solhy, R. Tahir, S. Sebti, R. Skouta, M. Bousmina, M. Zahouily and M. Larzek, Appl. Catal., A, 2010, 374, 189– 193.
- 26 A. Smahi, A. Solhy, H. El Badaoui, A. Amoukal, A. Tikad, M. Maizi and S. Sebti, Appl. Catal., A, 2003, 250, 151–159.
- 27 R. Tahir, K. Banert, A. Solhy and S. Sebti, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 246, 39–42.
- 28 A. Solhy, J. H. Clark, R. Tahir, S. Sebti and M. Larzek, Green Chem., 2006, 8, 871–874.
- 29 Q. Y. Ma, S. J. Traina, T. J. Logan and J. A. Ryan, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1993, 27, 1803–1810.
- 30 X. Cao, L. Q. Ma, D. R. Rhue and C. S. Appel, Environ. Pollut., 2004, 131, 435–444.
- 31 M. Mouflih, A. Aklil and S. Sebti, J. Hazard. Mater., 2005, 119, 183–188.
- 32 Z. Elouear, J. Bouzid, N. Boujelben, M. Feki, F. Jamoussi and A. Montiel, J. Hazard. Mater., 2008, 156, 412–420.
- 33 S. Saoiabi, S. El Asri, A. Laghzizil, A. Saoiabi, J. L. Ackerman and T. Coradin, Chem. Eng. J., 2012, 211–212, 233–239.
- 34 F. Z. Shi, Y. G. Li, H. Z. Wang and Q. H. Zhang, Adv. Mater. Res., 2012, 465, 66–71.
- 35 A. A. White, S. M. Best and I. A. Kinloch, Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol., 2007, 4, 1–13.
- 36 S. Blindow, M. Pulkin, D. Koch, G. Grathwohl and K. Rezwan, Adv. Eng. Mater., 2009, 11, 875–884.
- 37 L. Dong, Z. Zhu, Y. Qiu and J. Zhao, Chem. Eng. J., 2010, 165, 827–834.
- 38 P. Xu, G. M. Zeng, D. L. Huang, C. L. Feng, S. Hu, M. H. Zhao, C. Lai, Z. Wei, C. Huang, G. X. Xie and Z. F. Liu, Sci. Total Environ., 2012, 424, 1–10.
- 39 C.-H. Hou, S.-M. Hou, Y.-S. Hsueh, J. Lin, H.-C. Wu and F.-H. Lin, Biomaterials, 2009, 30, 3956–3960.
- 40 D. Wang, X. Duan, J. Zhang, A. Yao, L. Zhou and W. Huang, J. Mater. Sci., 2009, 44, 4020–4025.
- 41 Z. Yang, X. Gong and C. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2010, 165, 117– 121.
- 42 A. Mir, D. Mallik, S. Bhattacharyya, D. Mahata, A. Sinha and S. Nayar, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med., 2010, 21, 2365–2369.
- 43 E. B. Ansar, M. Ajeesh, Y. Yokogawa, W. Wunderlich and H. Varma, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2012, 95, 2695–2699.
- 44 R. K. Singh, A. M. El-Fiqi, K. D. Patel and H.-W. Kim, Mater. Lett., 2012, 75, 130–133.
- 45 E. M. Múzquiz-Ramos, D. A. Cortés-Hernández, J. C. Escobedo-Bocardo, A. Zugasti-Cruz, X. S. Ramírez-Gómez and J. G. Osuna-Alarcón, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med., 2013, 24, 1035–1041. Open Access Articles. Articles. Article. Article. Article. Published on 11 November 2019. Download Access Articles. Article is licensed under a structure is licensed under a creative Commons Articles. Articles Articles Art
	- 46 A. Amedlous, O. Amadine, Y. Essamlali, K. Daanoun, M. Aadil and M. Zahouily, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 14132–14142.
	- 47 Y. Essamlali, O. Amadine, H. Maati, K. Abdelouahdi, A. Fihri, M. Zahouily, R. S. Varma and A. Solhy, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2013, 1, 1154–1159.
	- 48 O. Amadine, Y. Essamlali, A. Fihri, M. Larzek and M. Zahouily, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 12586–12597.
	- 49 O. Amadine, H. Maati, K. Abdelouhadi, A. Fihri, S. El Kazzouli, C. Len, A. El Bouari and A. Solhy, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2014, 395, 409–419.
	- 50 Y. Essamlali, O. Amadine, M. Larzek, C. Len and M. Zahouily, Energy Convers. Manage., 2017, 149, 355–367.
	- 51 H. Deng, X. Li, Q. Peng, X. Wang, J. Chen and Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2782–2785.
	- 52 P. Li, L. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Sun and Y. Zhang, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2016, 156, 49–54.
	- 53 T. Garnier, R. Sakly, M. Danel, S. Chassaing and P. Pale, Synthesis, 2016, 49, 1223–1230.
	- 54 L.-Y. Zhang and L. Wang, Chin. J. Chem., 2006, 24, 1605– 1608.
	- 55 M. L. Kantam, G. T. Venkanna, C. Sridhar, A. Bojja Sreedhar and B. M. Choudary, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9522–9524.