RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 42272

Received 22nd October 2019 Accepted 9th December 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra08659e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction 1

Coordination polymers (CPs) from the assembly of inorganic clusters and tunable organic linkers are extensively investigated as promising materials for applications in various fields,¹⁻⁴ such as life sciences,^{5,6} environmental areas,⁷⁻¹⁰ medicinal science^{11,12} and the nuclear industry.13 Due to the simultaneous introduction of organic ligands and metal centers, some specific properties have been endowed to the CPs with versatile functions like biological activity,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ molecular magnetism,^{17,18} electronic conductivity,19 second-order nonlinear optics (NLO) activity,20,21 ferromagnetism,^{22,23} catalysis,²⁴⁻²⁶ and molecular recognition.27-30 Among these applications, CPs with luminescent recognition properties attracted special attention for their great advantages of structural tunability, high sensitivity and efficiency. A variety of CPs-based luminescence sensors were synthesized for the aim of detecting metal cations, aromatic explosives and organic pollutants.31-33 In the past several decades, antibiotics and pesticides originally used in the

Three new coordination polymers based on bis(4-(4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)methane: syntheses, structures, multiresponsive luminescent sensitive detection for antibiotics and pesticides, and antitumor activities*

Chang-Sheng Wang,^a Qi Huang,^a Xia Wang,^a Yu-Tong Zhang,^a Dong-Sheng Ma, 回^a Ying-Hui Yu^b*^a and Jin-Sheng Gao^{*ab}

Three novel coordination polymers (CPs), namely, $\left[\left[Ag_2(L)_2(MO_4O_{13}) \cdot (CH_3CN) \right] \right]_n$ (1), $\left[\left[Zn(L)(1,4-bdc)_2 \cdot 2(1,4-bdc)_2 \cdot 2(1,4-bdc)_$ $H_2bdc)]_n$ (2), {[Cd(L)(1,4-bdc)_{0.5}]}_n (3) have been synthesized under solvothermal conditions by the reaction of bis(4-(4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)methane (L) and varied metal salts. Their structures are determined by single X-ray crystal diffraction, and further characterized by elemental analysis, IR, TGA and PXRD. CP 1 with ammonium molybdate as a secondary ligand displays a 2D network with (2,3,3,3,4)-connected net topology and the point symbol of $\{4\cdot 8^2\}_6 \{4\cdot 8^4\cdot 10\}_2 \{8\}$, CP 2 and CP 3 with 1,4-H₂bdc as a secondary ligand demonstrate 3D structures with different topologies. CP 2 exhibits high sensibility and low detection limit in the recognition of antibiotics (NZF, NFT and FZD) and pesticide (DCN) identification. CP 1 demonstrates good anti-tumor activity toward the tested glioma cells. The possible luminescent sensitivity and anti-tumor mechanisms are also discussed.

> medical and agricultural fields have emerged as one of typical stubborn organic pollutants in water, simple and convenient methods for their effective recognition is fully meaningful. The design of luminescence sensing materials represents an attractive way to efficiently detect such organics.

> N-Heterocyclic compounds such as pyridine, pyrazine and triazole, which are easily obtained and modified, were widely used and reported for the construction of CPs. In 2018, several 3D MOFs based on triazole ligand were found to own excellent stability in water and could be used as recyclable multiresponsive sensors for metal ions, anions and antibiotics.34,35 Interestingly, triazole and its derivatives usually exhibit good biological activities, which could be maintained in their coordination compounds. As Srinivasa Budagumpi research group reported in 2019, several silver(1) and gold(1) CPs with coumarinfunctionalized 1,2,4-triazol-5-ylidene ligands, exhibit good performance in cytotoxic studies.36,37

> In this article, we choose a bidentate triazole ligand bis(4-(4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)methane and ammonium molybdate, 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (1,4-H2bdc) as ligands to build functional CPs (Scheme 1). Three novel CPs, namely, $\{ Ag_2(L)_2 \}$ $(Mo_4O_{13}) \cdot (CH_3CN)]_n$ (1), $\{[Zn(L)(1,4-bdc)_2 \cdot 2(1,4-H_2bdc)]\}_n$ (2), ${[Cd(L)(1,4-bdc)_{0.5}]}_n$ (3) were successfully obtained and their structures were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, elemental analysis, IR analysis and thermal gravimetric analysis. The antibiotic and pesticide fluorescence recognition and antitumor activities were studied.

[&]quot;School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, China. E-mail: Yuyinghui@hlju.edu.cn; gaojins@hlju.edu.cn; Fax: +86-451-86609151; Tel: +86-451-86609001

^bAgricultural College, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Table S1-S5 and Fig. S1-S9. CCDC 1952081-1952083. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9ra08659e



bis(4-(4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)methane (L)

Scheme 1 Structures of L and 1,4-H₂bdc.

2 Experimental sections

2.1 General methods and materials

All of chemicals and reagents used in the experiment were purchased and without further purification. The IR spectra were obtained on a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a DGTS detector (32 scans). We used the KBr compression method with the scanning ranging from 4000 to 500 cm^{-1} . The elemental analyses of C, H by the PerkinElmer 2400 elemental analyzer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out by a PerkinElmer STA 6000 with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under atmosphere from 50 to 800 °C. The PXRD data of the samples were collected on a RigakuD/MAX-3B diffractometer using Cu-Ka radiation $(\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å})$ and 2θ ranging from 5 to 50°. Luminescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5301 spectrophotometer. UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2700 spectrophotometer. Electrochemical testing was performed on an electrochemical analyzer potentiostat model 600E from CH Instruments.



1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (1,4-H₂bdc)

2.2 Synthesis of $\{ [Ag_2(L)_2(Mo_4O_{13}) \cdot (CH_3CN)] \}_n$ (1)

The mixture of AgNO₃ (17 mg, 0.1 mmol), L (30.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O (123.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), acetonitrile (8 mL) and H₂O (2 mL) were sealed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel container and heated at 130 °C for three days. After cooled to room temperature, the colorless and transparent short block crystals of 1 were separated by filtration. Yield: 72% (based on Ag(I)). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for 1 (C₃₆H₃₁N₁₃O₁₃Ag₂Mo₄): C, 29.73%; H, 2.13%; N, 12.53%; found: C, 30.14%; H, 2.07%; N, 12.32%. IR (solid KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3100 m, 3030 m, 2800 m, 1650 m, 1540 w, 1400 s, 870 s, 790 s, 734 m, 680 m, 581 s, 527 w.

2.3 Synthesis of $\{[Zn(L)(1,4-bdc)_2 \cdot 2(1,4-H_2bdc)]\}_n$ (2)

The mixture of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (29.8 mg, 0.1 mmol), L (30.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), 1,4-H₂bdc (16.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), acetonitrile (8.0 mL) and H₂O (2.0 mL) were stirred for 0.5 h in air with adjusting to pH = 8 by dilute sodium hydroxide and then sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel container (25 mL), and heated at 130 °C for three days. After cooled to room temperature, the light yellow

	1	2	3
Empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{31}Ag_2Mo_4N_{13}O_{13}$	$C_{33}H_{20}N_6O_8Zn$	$C_{21}H_{16}CdN_6O_2$
Fw	1453.24	693.92	496.80
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	P1	$P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	302	298	98
a (Å)	24.1943(9)	9.6385(2)	16.6171(5)
b (Å)	23.8328(9)	12.8687(3)	8.1566(2)
c (Å)	15.2210(6)	13.4092(3)	20.3047(6)
α (deg)	90	71.8180(10)	90
β (deg)	102.567(2)	75.2240(10)	110.4400(10)
γ (deg)	90	74.5340(10)	90
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	8566.4(6)	1495.94(6)	2578.80(13)
Z	8	2	4
$D_{\rm calc} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	2.254	1.541	1.280
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	2.113	0.886	0.871
F(000)	5632	708	992
Collected/unique	66 529/10 656	33 100/7393	24 943/5921
R (int)	0.0611	0.0289	0.0232
GOF on F^2	1.090	1.017	1.060
$R_1^a \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0855	0.0333	0.0268
$wR_2^{b} [I > \sigma(I)]$	0.1978	0.0887	0.0776
R_1^a (all)	0.1479	0.0407	0.0311
wR_2^b (all)	0.2603	0.0933	0.0803



Fig. 1 (a) Asymmetric unit ball stick model of CP 1; (b) 2D network structure of CP 1; (c) schematic view of 2D (2,3,3,3,4)-connected framework with a point symbol of $\{4\cdot8^2\}_6\{4\cdot8^4\cdot10\}_2(8)$; symmetry code: (I) -x + 3/2, -y + 3/2, -z + 2; (II) x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, z + 1/2; (III) x + 1/2, -y + 3/2, z + 1/2; (IV) x - 1/2, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, z - 1/2; (V) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2; -y + 1/2; -y + 1/2, -y + 1/2; -

and transparent block crystals of 2 were separated by filtration. Yield: 52% (based on Zn(II)). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for 2 ($C_{33}H_{20}N_6O_8Zn$): C, 57.07%; H, 2.88%; N, 12.11%; found: C, 56.43%; H, 2.91%; N, 12.24%. IR (solid KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3123 s, 2757 m, 2611 m, 2483 w, 1715 s, 1605 s, 1560 s, 1385 s, 1248 s, 1047 m, 882 w, 745 s, 571 w, 525 w.

2.4 Synthesis of ${[Cd(L)(1,4-bdc)_{0.5}]}_n$ (3)

The mixture of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (64.1 mg, 0.2 mmol), L (30.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), 1,4-H₂bdc (16.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), acetonitrile (8.0 mL) and H₂O (2.0 mL) were sealed in a 25 mL Teflon lined stainless

steel container and heated at 140 °C for three days. After cooled to room temperature, the light blue and transparent strip crystals of 3 were separated by filtration. Yield: 47% (based on Cd(π)). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for 3 (C₂₁H₁₆N₆O₂Cd): C, 50.72%; H, 3.22%; N, 16.91%; found: C, 49.93%; H, 3.57%; N, 16.74%. IR (solid KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3453 s, 3105 w, 1952 w, 1568 s, 1385 s, 1248 m, 1101 s, 983 w, 920 w, 745 s, 644 s, 534 s.

2.5 X-ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data of CPs 1–3 were collected on a Bruker APEX CCD area detector imaging plate diffractometer

Paper

equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), and the test temperature of CPs 1–3 is 302 K, 298 K and 98 K respectively. The structures were solved by direct methods and then refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 using SHELXL-2017/1 crystallographic software package. All isolated O atoms have been considered as water atoms. The crystal parameters, refinement results and data collection for 1–3 are listed in Table 1.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Structural description

3.1.1 Crystal structure of { $[Ag_2(L)_2(Mo_4O_{13}) \cdot (CH_3CN)]$ }_{*n*}(1). X-ray analysis reveals that CP 1 crystallizes in monoclinic system with a *C*2/*c* space group. The asymmetric unit of CP 1 contains two Ag(1) atoms, two L molecules, one $[Mo_4O_{13}]$ molecule and one free CH₃CN molecule (Fig. 1(a)). Ag1 is four-coordinated by three N (N7, N10^{II}, N6^{III}) atoms from different L molecules and one O (O11) atom from $[Mo_4O_{13}]$, displaying a distorted

tetrahedron coordination geometry. The coordination environment of Ag2 atom can be described as a triangular geometry defined by three N (N5, N9, N8^V) atoms from different L molecules. The Ag-N bond lengths range from 2.193(12) to 2.395(11) Å, the N-Ag-N bond angles range from 102.2(4) to 152.6(4), which are all in the normal ranges. In CP 1, Ag1 and Ag2 atoms connect the ligands to form a ring structure {[(Ag1)(L)]₂-[(Ag2)(L)]₂}, in which one [Mo₈O₂₆] cluster generated by two [Mo₄O₁₃] is filled. A 2D network structure is thus formed through Ag1-[Mo₈O₂₆] cluster-Ag1 (Fig. 1(b)). To better understand the 2D structure of CP 1, topological analysis is carried out. If considering L as a 3-connected node, [Mo₈O₂₆] cluster as a 2-connected node, Ag1 and Ag2 as 4- and 3-connected nodes respectively, the 2D structure of CP 1 can be seemed as a (2,3,3,3,4)-connected net topology with the point symbol of $\{4 \cdot 8^2\}_6 \{4 \cdot 8^4 \cdot 10\}_2 \{8\}$ (Fig. 1(c)).

3.1.2 Crystal structure of $\{[Zn(L)(1,4-bdc)_2 \cdot 2(1,4-H_2bdc)]\}_n$ (2). X-ray analysis reveals that CP 2 crystallizes in triclinic system with a *P*1 space group. An asymmetric unit of CP 2



Fig. 2 (a) Stick-ball representation of asymmetric unit of CP 2; (b) 3D framework of CP 2; (c) schematic view of 3D (2,2,4)-connected framework with a point symbol of $\{12\}$ {4:12⁵}{4}; symmetry code: (I) -x + 2, -y, -z + 2; (II) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (III) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1; (IV) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 2; (V) -x + 3, -y, -z + 2.

consists of one independent Zn(n) atom, one L ligand, two [1,4-bdc] ions with different coordination modes and two free [1,4-H₂bdc] molecules. Fig. 2(a) shows the coordination environment of Zn(n) atom in CP 2. The Zn(n) atom is coordinated by two N (N1, N4^T) atoms from two different L ligands and three O (O2, O3, O4) atoms from two different [1,4-bdc] ions. The Zn–O bond lengths range from 1.9430(13) to 1.9499(13) Å, the Zn–N bond lengths range from 2.0020(15) to 2.0484(15) Å, the N–Zn–N bond angle is 99.82(6), the N–Zn–O bond angles range from 96.46(6) to 119.60(7), the O–Zn–O bond angle range is 111.17(6), which are all in the normal ranges. The coordination environment of Zn(n) atom can be described as a trigonalbipyramidal geometry.

In CP 2, two L ligands connect two Zn(II) atoms to form a ring structure of [ZnLZnL], the distance of Zn(II)…Zn(II) is 14.55 Å. At the same time, each Zn(II) atom is also connected to two [1,4-bdc] ions with different coordination modes. The infinite [ZnLZnL] rings are further connected through [1,4-bdc] ions with different coordination modes to form an infinitely extended 3D structure (Fig. 2(b)). In order to further analyze and understand the 3D structure of CP 2, topological analysis is carried out. If considering the Zn(II) atom as a 4-connected node, L and two [1,4-bdc] ions with different coordination modes as 2-,2-, 2-connected nodes respectively, the 3D structure of CP 2 can be seemed as a (2,2,4)-connected net topology with the point symbol of $\{12\}\{4 \cdot 12^5\}\{4\}$ (Fig. 2(c)).

3.1.3 Crystal structure of $\{[Cd(L)(1,4-bdc)_{0.5}]\}_n$ (3). X-ray analysis reveals that CP 3 crystallizes in monoclinic system with a $P2_1/c$ space group. Fig. 3(a) shows the coordination environment of Cd(π) atom in CP 3. The asymmetric unit of CP 3 contains one Cd(π) atom, one L molecule, half of [1,4-bdc] ion. The Cd(π) atom is coordinated by four N (N2, N3^I, N5^{II} and N6^{III}) atoms from four different L molecules, two O (O1, O2^I) atoms from two different [1,4-bdc] ions, displaying six-coordinated geometry. The Cd–O bond lengths are 2.2424(18) and 2.3289(19) Å, the Cd–N bond lengths range from 2.322(2) to 2.382(2) Å, the O–Cd–O bond angle is 156.97(7), the N–Cd–O bond angles range from 85.65(8) to 167.70(7), which are all in the normal ranges. Therefore, the coordination environment of Cd(π) atom can be described as a distorted octahedral coordination configuration.

In CP 3, each L ligand connect four different Cd(II) atoms, and each Cd(II) atom is linked by four different ligands, thus forming an alternating 2D [CdLCdL] ring structure, the distance of Cd(II)····Cd(II) is 16.25 Å or 16.31 Å. Furthermore, each Cd(II) atom of [CdLCdL] ring is linked by two different [1,4-bdc] ions to generate a 3D structure eventually (Fig. 3(b)). In order to further analyze and understand the 3D structure of CP 3, topological analysis is carried out. If considering the [1,4-bdc] ion as a 4connected node, L and Cd(II) atom as 4- and 6-connected nodes respectively, the 3D structure of CP 3 can be seemed as a (4,4,6)connected net topology with the point symbol of $\{4^2 \cdot 8^3 \cdot 10\}$ $\{4^4 \cdot 6^2\}_2\{4^8 \cdot 6^6 \cdot 8\}_2$ (Fig. 3(c)).



Fig. 3 (a) Stick-ball representation of asymmetric unit of CP 3; (b) 3D framework of CP 3; (c) schematic view of 3D (4,4,6)-connected framework with a point symbol of $\{4^2 \cdot 8^3 \cdot 10\}\{4^4 \cdot 6^2\}_2\{4^8 \cdot 6^6 \cdot 8\}_2$; symmetry code: (I) -x + 2, y - 1/2, -z + 1/2; (II) x + 1, -y + 3/2, z + 1/2; (III) 3 - x + 1, -y + 1, z; (IV) -x + 2, y + 1/2, -z + 1/2; (V) x - 1, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2; (VI) -x + 2, -z + 1.

To further determine the purity of CPs 1-3, powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. S2[†]) was carried out. It is found that the diffraction peaks of the as-synthesized CPs are highly consistent with the simulated PXRD patterns, indicating their excellent phase purity. To study the stability of CPs 1-3, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. S3[†]) was conducted from 50 to 800 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under air atmosphere. For CP 1, the first weight loss of 2.88% from 50 °C to 175 °C can be ascribed to the loss of one free acetonitrile molecule (calcd 2.82%). The second weight loss of 23.85% from 175 $^{\circ}$ C to 600 $^{\circ}$ C can be ascribed to the loss of the two diphenylmethane molecules (calcd 23.12%). When the temperature reaches 750 °C, the triazole ring begins to break. It is supposed that the skeleton remains stable under high temperature, which indicates its potential to act as high temperature resistant material.³⁸ For CP 2, almost no weight loss is observed when the temperature is below 300 °C. The first weight loss of 22.76% from 300 °C to 390 °C can be ascribed to the loss of one free [1,4-H₂bdc] molecule (calcd 23.92%). The second weight loss of 63.36% from 390 °C to 703 °C is consistent with the loss of coordinated [1,4-bdc] ions and L (calcd 64.34%). The remaining weight of 14.88% corresponds to the formation of ZnO (calcd 11.74%). For CP 3, the first weight loss of 3.78% from 50 °C to 240 °C can be ascribed to the loss of one coordinated water molecule (calcd 3.63%). The second weight loss of 12.59% occurs from 240 $^\circ$ C to 397 °C due to the loss half of coordinated [1,4-bdc] ion (calcd 12.88%). Further decomposition of 57.12% from 397 °C to 740 °C is consistent with the loss of L (calcd 57.73%). The remaining weight of 26.51% was ascribed to the formation of CdO (calcd 25.76%).

3.3 Detection of antibiotics

Since the advent of penicillin in the 1950s, antibiotics have been widely used in the field of medicine. In the past several decades, antibiotics have been recognized as a type of serious organic pollutant to environment especially water. Currently, the detection of antibiotics mainly depends on precision apparatus such as mass spectrometry (MS), ion mobility spectrometry (IMS), liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (LC-MS), etc. All these methods are complex, inconvenient, and expensive.^{39,40} Therefore, developing simple and effective ways to detect antibiotics is of great importance. As one of ongoing research in our laboratory, we try to explore the application of CPs to identify trace organics such as antibiotics in water. The experimental results indicate that CP 2 owns better fluorescence recognition activity compared with CP 1 and CP 3. Therefore, CP 2 is selected to investigate its sensibility toward analytes (antibiotics) in water medium. First, CP 2 was grounded into powder using a mortar, then stirred in water for 2 hours to prepare a CP 2 suspension. 10 different antibiotics of 5 categories, namely nitrofurans (nitrofurazone, NZF; nitrofurantoin, NFT; furazolidone FZD), nitroimidazoles (metronidazole, MDZ; dimesulfonamides tridazole, DTZ), (sulfadiazine, SDZ; sulfamethazine, SMZ), amide alcohols (chloramphenicol, CAP; thiamphenicol, THI) and β -lactams (cephalexin, LEX) were

selected for luminescent recognition. Various antibiotic in DMF solutions with a concentration of 0.30 mM were added to 1 mM well-dispersed suspension of CP 2 in water. As shown in Fig. 4, nitrofuran antibiotics (NZF, NFT and FZD) have very obvious fluorescence quenching effects to the luminescence of CP 2. At the same time, it is also found that the emission peak of CP 2 had a significant red shift when the nitrofuran and nitro-imidazole antibiotics were added, indicating the decrease in the energy level of the π orbits of the ligand.⁴⁰

We performed quantitative luminescent titration experiments to assess the sensitivity of CP 2 toward three identifiable nitrofuran antibiotics. According to Fig. 5(a), (c) and (e), the fluorescence emission intensity of the sensor CP 2 drops dramatically as the concentration of antibiotics increases. When the antibiotics concentration is 0.1 mM, the luminescent quenching efficiencies of NFT, FZD and NZF toward CP 2 toward CP 2 reach 98.4%, 96.6% and 86.5%, calculated by $(I_0 - I)/I_0 \times 100\%$. CP 2 demonstrates the best recognition activity to NFT compared with the other antibiotics, suggesting that its potential application as a promising fluorescent probe for nitrofuran antibiotics. The luminescent quenching efficiency of antibiotics towards CP 2 is calculated by the Stern–Volmer (SV) equation: $I_0/I = 1 + K_{SV} \times [C]$, K_{SV} represents the quenching constant (M⁻¹), [C] represents the molar concentration of the analyte (M), I_0 and I represent the fluorescence emission intensity at certain excitation wavelength in the absence and presence of the analyte, respectively. Based on the K_{SV} values, the limitation of detection (LOD) of CP 2 toward NFT, FZD and NZF could be calculated by the formula of $3\delta/K_{SV}$, where σ is the standard deviation for three repeated tests of the fluorescence emission intensity ($\delta = 0.012$). As showed in Fig. 5(b), (d) and (f), it is found that the SV plots of NFT, FZD and NZF show good linear correlation at lower concentration, deviating from linearity and bending upward at higher concentration. Based on the experimental data, the calculated values of K_{SV} for NFT, FZD and NZF are 4.784×10^4 M⁻¹, 4.223×10^4 M⁻¹ and $2.664 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ respectively. The detection limits for NFT, FZD and NZF are 179 ppb, 192 ppb and 268 ppb, respectively. This



Fig. 4 Comparisons of the fluorescence intensities of CP 2 treated by different antibiotics of 0.30 mM.

work represents an example of a Zn-CP-based luminescence sensor for efficient detection of antibiotics.⁴⁰

In addition, the recyclability of the CP 2 was also investigated as a fluorescence detector toward nitrofuran antibiotics (NFT taken as an example). After the fluorescence detection experiments are completed, the solid compound of CP 2 is collected from the mixture by physical methods such as washing and centrifugation. It is worth noting that the sample can be reused



Fig. 5 (a) Fluorescence spectra of dispersed CP 2 suspension with the addition of NFT of different concentrations. (b) Low concentration fluorescence emission quenching linear relationship of NFT. (c) Fluorescence spectra of dispersed CP 2 suspension with the addition of FZD of different concentrations. (d) Low concentration fluorescence emission quenching linear relationship of FZD. (e) Fluorescence spectra of dispersed CP 2 suspension with the addition of NZF of different concentrations. (f) Low concentration fluorescence emission quenching linear relationship of FZD. (e) Fluorescence emission quenching linear relationship of NZF.

Paper

for five cycles and maintains its original fluorescence sensing activity, which further ensured its potential application as a fluorescent probe in antibiotics detection (Fig. 6).

3.4 Detection of pesticides

Pesticides play a vital role in agricultural production, however, which not only causes serious environmental pollution, but also becomes a great harm to human health.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Effective detection of pesticides in the environment is needed and CPs-based sensors provided a viable alternative technique. We tried to detect pesticides in water with CP 2 as a fluorescent probe which exhibited good performance in antibiotics recognition. We selected five frequently used pesticides, including 2,4-dichlorophenol, atrazine, 2,6-dichloro-4-nitroaniline (DCN), glufosinate and glyphosate. Fig. 7(a) shows the effect of the presence of pesticides (0.30 mM) on the fluorescence emission intensity of CP 2. Apparently, the fluorescent emission of CP 2 is nearly quenched by DCN, while other pesticides have little influence on the luminescence intensity of CP 2, suggesting that CP 2 has a highly sensitive selectivity for DCN in aqueous solution. In addition, anti-interference experiments showed that the presence of other pesticides did not affect the DCN-induced luminescence quenching response, further confirming its high selectivity for DCN (Fig. 7(b)). After the fluorescence detection experiments were accomplished, the solid compound of CP 2 was collected from the mixture by some physical methods such as washing and centrifugation to investigate its recycle ability. It is found that CP 2 can be reused for six cycles and still maintains the original sensibility toward DCN (Fig. 7(c)). The above results indicate that CP 2 has good anti-interference ability and excellent recycle ability as pesticide sensor. We also performed quantitative luminescent titration experiments to assess the sensitivity of CP 2 toward DCN. As shown in Fig. 7(d), as the concentration of DCN increases, the fluorescence emission intensity of the sensor (CP 2) drops dramatically. When the DCN concentration reached 0.12 mM, DCN produced a maximum quenching efficiency of 99.5%, calculated by $(I_0 - I)/I_0 \times 100\%$. When the concentration of DCN is increased, the fluorescence



Fig. 6 Reusability of CP 2 implemented with NFT (0.1 mM).

emission peak of CP 2 has a significant red shift, indicating that the energy level of the π orbital of the ligand is reduced.⁴⁰ Meanwhile, the fluorescence quenching efficiency is expressed by the Stern–Volmer equation. From Fig. 7(e), calculating the $K_{\rm SV}$ value is 2.795 × 10⁴ M⁻¹, and the limitation of detection (LOD) is 267 ppb that could be calculated by the formula of $3\delta/K_{\rm SV}$, where δ is the standard deviation for three repeated tests of the fluorescence emission intensity ($\delta = 0.012$). In summary, CP 2 could act as a fluorescent sensing material in the detection of trace pesticide DCN.^{44,45}

3.5 Mechanism of luminescent sensing

Energy, charge and structure are three important factors that affect fluorescence sensing. We studied the mechanism of CP 2 detection of antibiotics and DCN through subsequent experiments. First, we recovered the CP 2 powder after fluorescence recognition experiments toward nitrofuran antibiotics and DCN. After washing, centrifuging and drying, CP 2 was conducted PXRD analysis and the result was shown in Fig. S4.[†] No apparent changes were found in the PXRD patterns compared with the original ones, suggesting that the sensing process is not caused by structural collapse of CP 2. According to the previous report, another possible mechanism might be photoinduced electron transfer.46,47 The photoinduced excitation electrons can be transferred from the sensor to the LUMO of the analytes rather than the ground state of the sensor. The lower the LUMO energy of the analytes, the easier the electrons are transferred. Therefore, we tested the electrochemical spectra of 10 antibiotics and 5 pesticide analytes. The map is divided into two stages of oxidation and reduction (Fig. S7[†]). The HOMO level and LUMO level of the analyte are obtained according to the calculation formula (-|4.78 + x| eV) (Fig. S8[†]). It is found that NFT, FZD and NZF have the lowest LUMO energy level, which explains the luminescent quenching of CP 2. However, for DCN and other antibiotics with lower quenching ability toward CP 2, the order of their quenching efficiency is not in good accordance with their LUMO energy, indicating that photoinduced electron transfer might not be the only reason for the luminescence recognition in this system. As indicated previously,48 energy allocation and transfer were proposed as another possible mechanism, including Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) and inner filter effect. Generally, the spectral overlap between the absorbance spectrum and the emission spectrum of the framework structure has an impact on the energy transfer, which could cause the fluorescence quenching phenomenon. As shown in Fig. S9,† the good overlap of the CP 2 emission spectrum with the UV-vis absorption bands of nitrofuran antibiotics and DCN might explain such efficient fluorescence quenching of CP 2. Therefore, the abovementioned co-existence of electron-transfer and energy-transfer processes might be two possible explanations for the sensing activity of CP 2 toward nitrofuran antibiotics and DCN.47

3.6 Antitumor activity test

Every year, millions of people are killed by cancer, which has become a major threat to human health. At present, traditional

RSC Advances

chemotherapy has many limitations, such as severe side effects and multidrug resistance, which would obstruct therapeutic efficacy.^{49–52} Therefore, the development of antitumor drugs with lower side effects is of urgent need. We used flow cytometer to study the antitumor activities and mechanism of the newly prepared three CPs. In the experiments, antitumor activities were tested by adding CP 1, CP 2 and CP 3 to rat C6 cells (glioma cell line). First, certain amount of CPs was dissolved in water to make a solution of 1 μ M. Then, different amounts of the solution were added to the same cell population solution, and then



Fig. 7 (a) Fluorescence intensities of CP 2 immersed in 0.30 mM DMF solution with the addition of different pesticides. (b) Fluorescence spectra of CP 2 dispersed in DMF suspension upon incremental addition of DCN. (c) Fluorescence emission quenching linearity relationship at low concentration of DCN. SV plot of CP 2 upon incremental addition of DCN (inset). (d) Fluorescent response of CP 2 toward the competing pesticides (0.30 mM) or a mixture of competing pesticides (0.30 mM) and DCN (0.12 mM). (e) Reusability of CP 2 implemented with the DMF solution of DCN (0.12 mM).

Paper

the OD value of the cell solution after 6 hours was measured with an instrument. The final results are shown in Fig. 8, demonstrating that the three CPs do have certain antitumor activities toward the tested glioma cells. The curve of CP 1 is smoother than those of CP 2 and CP 3, indicating that CP 1 is more stable as a bactericidal drug. This further proves the excellent performance of the Ag organic complex exhibited in antitumor activity.^{53,54} The OD value was significantly reduced when 4 μ L of CP 1 was added, and the maximum effect was achieved when about 7 μ L was added, at which time only a small amount of tumor cells remained in the solution.

3.7 Mechanism of antitumor

The antitumor mechanism of CP 1 was explored based on the data measured by flow cytometer. Currently, the possible mechanism of anti-malignant drugs can be simply divided into two categories: cytotoxic and indirect cytotoxic.55-57 The action mechanism of cytotoxic drugs is different from each other, some inhibit dihydrofolate reductases such as methotrexate, some destroy intracellular DNA such as carboplatin, some inhibit protein synthesis such as vinblastine, and others produce mitochondria and release toxic reactive oxygen such as arsenic trioxide, and so on. We hypothesized that the antitumor mechanism of these CPs is to produce reactive oxygen species.58 Flow cytometry was used to count the total number of cells, by using forward scattered light and side scattered light to obtain a cell-to-FSC and SSC scattering signal. The FSC-A and SSC-A of all cells are concentrated in the same picture to get the FSC-A, SSC-A scatter plot (Fig. 9). It can be seen that most of the cells are distributed on the left side, indicating that their similar small particle size with little difference. It is proved that the total number of cells is correctly detected, and the initial zero adjustment can be adjusted based on the total number of cells at this time.

Since we hypothesized that the antitumor activity of CP 1 derived from mitochondria producing and releasing certain amount of reactive oxygen species that are toxic to the cell itself.



Fig. 8 The relationship between optical density and the amount of crystal.



Fig. 9 FSC-A, SSC-A of cell population.



Fig. 10 The relationship between the amount of cells and fluorescence intensity.

Therefore, the fluorescent dye FITC which can respond to the active oxygen component was selected to stain the cells and the instrument was adjusted to the wavelength range in which active oxygen could be detected according to related literature.⁵⁹ The fluorescence intensity of the cells at this time was examined, as shown in Fig. 10. It shows that the number of cells varies with the activity of reactive oxygen species. There are two peaks in Fig. 10, the distribution of fluorescence intensity is relatively dispersed, demonstrating that active oxygen is widely present in this cell population. Fig. 9 indicates a peak area of 51.9%, indicating that certain amount of active oxygen is produced in 51.9% of the cells. The anticancer mechanism might be the production and release of reactive oxygen species by the cells under the action of the CPs.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have succeeded in preparing three metalorganic compounds CPs 1–3. The presence of different metal ions and second ligands results in CPs with diverse topologies and different properties. CP 2 exhibits excellent luminescence sensing activity toward NFs and DCN which could be applied as an efficient, recyclable, and multiresponsive luminescence sensor. The low detection limits for DCN (267 ppb) and NFs (179 ppb for NFT, 192 ppb for FZD, 268 ppb for NZF) reveal the high sensitivity of CP 2 for trace pesticide and antibiotics. The high luminescence quenching efficiencies of NFs and DCN to CP 2 may be ascribed to a combined effect from photoinduced electron transfer and resonance energy transfer. CP 1 was found to have good antitumor activity, the mechanism of which might be caused by the production of reactive oxygen species from cellular mitochondria, indicating its potential value in antitumor drug research.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21501050).

References

- 1 S. Dissegna, K. Epp, W. R. Heinz, G. Kieslich and R. A. Fischer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1704501.
- 2 Q.-L. Zhu and Q. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 5468-5512.
- 3 W. P. Lustig, S. Mukherjee, N. D. Rudd, A. V. Desai, J. Li and S. K. Ghosh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 3242–3285.
- 4 Y. Liu, X.-Y. Xie, C. Cheng, Z.-S. Shao and H.-S. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2019, 7, 10743–10763.
- 5 M. Gimenez-Marques, T. Hidalgo, C. Serre and P. Horcajada, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **307**, 342–360.
- 6 J. Zhou, G. Tian, L. Zeng, X. Song and X.-w. Bian, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2018, 7, 1800022.
- 7 S.-W. Lv, J.-M. Liu, Z.-H. Wang, H. Ma, C.-Y. Li, N. Zhao and S. Wang, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **80**, 169–185.
- 8 Y. Liu, Z. Liu, D. Huang, M. Cheng, G. Zeng, C. Lai, C. Zhang, C. Zhou, W. Wang, D. Jiang, H. Wang and B. Shao, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **388**, 63–78.
- 9 J. Cao, Z.-h. Yang, W.-p. Xiong, Y.-y. Zhou, Y.-r. Peng, X. Li, C.-y. Zhou, R. Xu and Y.-r. Zhang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, 353, 126–137.
- 10 S. Mosleh, M. R. Rahimi, M. Ghaedi and K. Dashtian, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 61516–61527.
- 11 A. Chaix, E. Cueto-Diaz, A. Delalande, N. Knezevic,
 P. Midoux, J.-O. Durand, C. Pichon and F. Cunin, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 31895–31899.
- 12 W. Chen and C. Wu, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 2114-2133.
- 13 J. Li, X. Wang, G. Zhao, C. Chen, Z. Chai, A. Alsaedi, T. Hayat and X. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 2322–2356.
- 14 L. Wang, H. Zhu, Y. Shi, Y. Ge, X. Feng, R. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Ma and L. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 11384–11391.
- 15 M.-X. Wu and Y.-W. Yang, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1606134.

- 16 M. Esfahanian, M. A. Ghasemzadeh and S. M. H. Razavian, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed., Biotechnol.*, 2019, **47**, 2024–2030.
- 17 W.-N. Liu, W.-Q. Tong, L.-L. Ma, Y. Wang, J.-M. Wang, L. Hou and Y.-Y. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 7786–7793.
- 18 G. D. Degaga, R. Pandey, C. Gupta and L. Bharadwaj, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 14260–14267.
- 19 E. A. Dolgopolova, V. A. Galitskiy, C. R. Martin, H. N. Gregory, B. J. Yarbrough, A. M. Rice, A. A. Berseneva, O. A. Elegbavwo, K. S. Stephenson, P. Kittikhunnatham, S. G. Karakalos, M. D. Smith, A. B. Greytak, S. Garashchuk and N. B. Shustova, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5350–5358.
- 20 X. Liang, F. Zhang, H. Zhao, W. Ye, L. Long and G. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 6513–6516.
- 21 Z. Shi, L. Qin and H. Zheng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 4589-4594.
- 22 R. Dong, Z. Zhang, D. C. Tranca, S. Zhou, M. Wang, P. Adler,
 Z. Liao, F. Liu, Y. Sun, W. Shi, Z. Zhang, E. Zschech,
 S. C. B. Mannsfeld, C. Felser and X. Feng, *Nat. Commun.*,
 2018, 9, 2637.
- 23 X. Wang, G. Gou, D. Wang, H. Xiao, Y. Liu, M. Zhang,
 B. Dkhil, X. Ren and X. Lou, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 48779–48787.
- 24 J. Zhao, H. Huang, M. Liu, J.-H. Wang, K. Liu and Z.-Y. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 26450–26455.
- 25 C. Li, L. Lu, J. Wang, Q. Yang, D. Ma, A. Alowais, A. Alarifi,
 A. Kumar and M. Muddassir, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 29864–29872.
- 26 W. Mao, L. Xiang, C. A. Lamsfus, L. Maron, X. Leng and Y. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1081–1084.
- 27 Q. Qiu, H. Chen, Y. Wang and Y. Ying, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **38**7, 60–78.
- 28 J. Li, C. Ye, Y.-n. Wu, Y. Zhu, J. Xu, Y. Wang, H. Wang, M. Guo and F. Li, *Environ. Int.*, 2019, **125**, 135–141.
- 29 M. Yu, X. Yao, X. Wang, Y. Li and G. Li, *Polym*, 2019, **11**, 21201–21210.
- 30 Q. Huang, J.-H. Huang, L. Gu, J.-x. Ruan, Y.-H. Yu and J.-S. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 557–566.
- 31 X. Zhang, N.-Y. Xu, Q. Ruan, D.-Q. Lu, Y.-H. Yang and R. Hu, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 5714–5720.
- 32 X. Wang, Y. Han, X. X. Han, X. Hou, J.-J. Wang and F. Fu, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 19844–19852.
- 33 S. Senthilkumar, R. Goswami, V. J. Smith, H. C. Bajaj and S. Neogi, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 10295–10306.
- 34 H. He, Q.-Q. Zhu, F. Sun and G. Zhu, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2018, **18**, 5573–5581.
- 35 H. He, Q.-Q. Zhu, C.-P. Li and M. Du, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2019, **19**, 694–703.
- 36 D. Aucamp, S. V. Kumar, D. C. Liles, M. A. Fernandes, L. Harmse and D. I. Bezuidenhout, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47, 16072–16081.
- 37 G. Achar, C. R. Shahini, S. A. Patil, J. G. Malecki and S. Budagumpi, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 1216–1229.
- 38 X. Ji, S. Wang, C. Shao and H. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 19712–19720.
- 39 Z.-W. Zhai, S.-H. Yang, M. Cao, L.-K. Li, C.-X. Du and S.-Q. Zang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2018, **18**, 7173–7182.
- 40 X.-Y. Guo, F. Zhao, J.-J. Liu, Z.-L. Liu and Y.-Q. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 20035–20043.

- 41 H. Kwon, K. M. Chan and E. T. Kool, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 1801–1809.
- 42 T. Kumar, M. Venkateswarulu, B. Das, A. Halder and R. R. Koner, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 12382–12385.
- 43 Y. Kim, J. Lee and I.-S. Shin, Sci. Rep., 2019, 9, 10293.
- 44 C.-L. Tao, B. Chen, X.-G. Liu, L.-J. Zhou, X.-L. Zhu, J. Cao, Z.-G. Gu, Z. Zhao, L. Shen and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 9975–9978.
- 45 Z. Sun, Y. Bao, C. Wang, Z. Lin, A. Shi and H. Li, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2019, **494**, 266–270.
- 46 J.-M. Li, R. Li and X. Li, Crystengcomm, 2018, 20, 4962-4972.
- 47 N. Xu, Q. Zhang, B. Hou, Q. Cheng and G. Zhang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 13330–13340.
- 48 M. Yu, Y. Xie, X. Wang, Y. Li and G. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 21201–21210.
- 49 P. Li, J. Li, X. Feng, J. Li, Y. Hao, J. Zhang, H. Wang, A. Yin, J. Zhou, X. Ma and B. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2177.
- 50 D. Wang, J. Zhou, R. Chen, R. Shi, G. Xia, S. Zhou, Z. Liu, N. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Guo and Q. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **107**, 88–101.

- 51 I. B. Vasconcelos, T. G. da Silva, G. C. G. Militao, T. A. Soares,
 N. M. Rodrigues, M. O. Rodrigues, N. B. da Costa Jr,
 R. O. Freire and S. A. Junior, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 9437–9442.
- 52 C. Kim and B. Kim, Nutrients, 2018, 10, 1021.
- 53 S. Sahin-Bolukbasia and N. Sahin, J. Organomet. Chem., 2019, 891, 78–84.
- 54 M. Monticelli, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz, C. Tubaro and M. Rancan, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 2488–2495.
- 55 I. A. Lazaro, S. Haddad, J. M. Rodrigo-Munoz, C. Orellana-Tavra, V. del Pozo, D. Fairen-Jimenez and R. S. Forgan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 5255–5268.
- 56 A. R. Simovic, R. Masnikosa, I. Bratsos and E. Alessio, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **398**, 113011.
- 57 H. Zhang, W. Jiang, R. Liu, J. Zhang, D. Zhang, Z. Li and Y. Luan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 19687–19697.
- 58 X. D. Wang, Y. Y. Sun, F. Z. Qu, G. Y. Su and Y. Q. Zhao, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2019, **118**, 108589.
- 59 R. Bellam, D. Jaganyi, A. Mambanda, R. Robinson and M. D. Balakumaran, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 31877–31894.