Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 4192

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 12th January 2019 Accepted 5th March 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00190e

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Non-covalent interactions enable construction of large structural motifs from small molecules.¹⁻³ Molecular self-assembly, an important process typically driven by non-covalent interactions, is often dynamic and generally under thermodynamic control.4,5 With interest in the application of the self-assembly process to biomedical research, there is a growing demand with respect to preparing stable non-covalent assemblies in a biocompatible environment.⁶⁻⁸ However, supramolecular structures built through H-bonds are often studied in less polar aprotic solvents (such as CH_2Cl_2) to avoid the competition of Hbond interactions between substrates and solvents.^{9,10} Thus, the development of novel supramolecular systems which are stable in a polar protic solvent is highly desirable, though very challenging.^{11,12}

A G-quartet is an interesting supramolecular scaffold formed by H-bonds.13,14 As shown in Scheme 1, with an approximately 90-degree angle between the H-bond donor and acceptor, four

‡ Equal contribution.

Construction of a cross-layer linked G-octamer via conformational control: a stable G-quadruplex in H-bond competitive solvents†

Ying He, ‡^a Yanbin Zhang, ‡^b Lukasz [Woj](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3314-4564)tas,^a Novruz G. Akhmedov,^c David Thai,^a Heng Wang,^a X[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3189-1315)aopeng Li,^a Hao Guo D^{*b} and Xiaodong Shi D^{*a}

Methanol soluble and stable guanosine octamers were successfully achieved via H-bond self-assembly. Through structural conformational design, we developed a new class of guanosine derivatives with modification on guanine (8-aryl) and ribose (2',3'-isopropylidene). This unique design led to the formation of the first discrete G_8 -octamer with its structure characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction, MS and NMR spectroscopy. The G₈-octamer showed unique cation recognition properties, including the formation of a stable Rb⁺ templated G-quadruplex. Based on this observation, further modification on the 8-aryl moiety was performed to incorporate a cross-layer H-bond or covalent linkage. Similar G-octamers were obtained in both cases with structures confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Furthermore, the covalently linked G-quadruplex exhibited excellent stability even in MeOH and DMSO, suggesting a promising future for this new H-bond self-assembly system in biological and material applications. EDGE ARTICLE

COnstruction of a cross-layer linked G-octamer view Article Ohline

Construction of a cross-layer linked G-octamer view and the construction of a cross-layer linked G-octamer view and construction and compet

guanine units are held together to form a G-quartet. Through ion–dipole interactions, alkali and alkaline earth metal cations can enhance the process by serving as the template to coordinate with central oxygen atoms.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Stacking of G-quartets gives G-quadruplexes as bioactive building blocks found in DNA and RNA folding.18,19 In this case, the extent of G-quartet stacking in a G-quadruplex will be determined by the phosphate backbone, which is often associated with the formation of a counter folding subunit, such as the i-motif.²⁰

Inspired by this unique H-bond assembly, researchers have been devoted to developing guanine derivatives to achieve controllable G-quadruplex formation from small molecules.²¹⁻²³ Some interesting applications have been identified with various G-quartet assemblies, including lipophilic ion channels,²⁴⁻²⁶ supramolecular hydrogels, $27-29$ nanomaterials, $30,31$ potential targets for cancer therapy, $32,33$ and more. $34-37$ Although many examples of G-quartet formation through various modified

Scheme 1 G-quadruplex formation: equilibrium and stability.

a Department of Chemistry, University of South Florida, 4202 E. Fowler Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33620, USA. E-mail: xmshi@usf.edu

b Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai, 200438, People's Republic of China

c Department of Chemistry, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26505, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section, NMR spectra, ESI-MS spectra and crystallographic data. CCDC 1871565–1871570 and 1871754. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00190e

guanine derivatives have been reported, studies on controlling G-quartet stacking molecularity are relatively rare.^{38,39} Factors to be taken into consideration include the cation concentration,³⁹ the solvent, 40 the anion, 41 and so on. 42 In many cases, mixtures of various "stacking isomers" (G_8 , G_{12} or G_{16}) were observed, which highlights the significant challenges associated with controlling the vertical stacking.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ Moreover, the assembly of a specific and stable G-quadruplex in H-bond competitive solvents remains a challenging task.⁴⁸ Herein, we report the construction of the first G-octamer with structures characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction through monomer conformational design. Moreover, with this new system, stable G-quadruplexes were formed with signicantly improved stability. Through the design of cross-layer H-bonds and covalent linkage, G-octamers were prepared with excellent stability in MeOH (no dissociation) and even in 50% DMSO, which offers a potential opportunity to extend the H-bond assembly system into biosystems for future applications. Edge Article

Survive Counter Such Counter Survive Constraints Counter Counter Survive Counter Counter Counter Counter Counter

Results and discussion

Design, synthesis and characterization of G-octamers

Ideally, a G_4 -tetramer would be the most concise target towards the construction of a simple and stable assembled structure. However, with a metal template in solution, further stacking of G_4 -tetramers leads to the mixture of G-quadruplex species.⁴⁹ Thus, G_4 -tetramers are unfavorable for the formation of welldefined supermolecules.

The simplest plausible G-quadruplex would be a G-octamer which is likely to adopt either top-to-top (T–T) or bottom-tobottom (B-B) stacking patterns (Scheme 2A).⁵⁰ In previous

Scheme 2 Achieving a stable G-octamer by controlling G-quartet stacking.

studies, Meijer et al. employed different concentrations of a guanosine derivative resulting in the formation of tunable Goctamers.⁴¹ Spada has reported G-octamer formation upon exposure to UV light through alkene isomerization.⁵¹ Wu presented several excellent examples of G-octamer formation through π – π interactions using NMR and MS studies.⁵² Hirao and coworkers applied $Au(I)$ – $Au(I)$ interaction between two Gquartet layers to achieve a G-octamer confirmed by NMR and CD spectra.⁵³ However, to the best of our knowledge, no single crystal structure of a G-octamer has ever been reported, implying the challenging nature of preparing a stable and discrete G-octamer in a dynamic equilibrium.

To tackle this problem, we set out to design a G-derivative where the structure is rigid and predisposed for the conformation of a potential octamer structure so as to minimize the entropy cost involved in the self-assembly process. As shown in Scheme 2B, modification of guanosine often occurs on two positions: C-8 of purine and the hydroxyl of ribose. The Sessler group first reported on 8-aryl substituted guanosine in the formation of a G-quartet without templating cation in both solution and solid state.⁵⁴

This seminal work initiated the concept of conformational control for G-quartet formation: the steric effect between the aryl substituent and protected ribose helped guanosine to adopt a syn conformation, preventing the ribbon formation and giving a tetramer as the dominant conformation. On the other hand, Davis's group developed lipophilic guanosine with bulky ribose to form a G-hexadecamer (Scheme 2B) both in solution and solid state $(M^{n+} = K^+$, Ba²⁺, Sr²⁺, and Pb²⁺).⁵⁵ Notably, a picrate anion bridge played a crucial role: as revealed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Scheme 2C), four picrate anions linked two Goctamers through H-bonds between anion and two inner Gquartets. The two G-octamers (from adjacent inner and outer G4) gave top-to-bottom stacking with ribose interdigitated between the adjacent layers. Interestingly, the two inner layers adopted bottom-to-bottom (B–B) stacking, which is more favorable than the T–T mode with the cation binding on the more "naked" convex face between the two layers. This result aroused our interest in developing a G-octamer through similar B–B stacking.

Considering the steric interaction between the C-8 substituent and ribose, we postulated that incorporation of C-8 aryl and the rigid ribose ring might provide a new system with steric hindrance between the G-quartet to force the G_4 bowls to stack in a bottom-to-bottom manner, while obstructing ribose interdigitation at the top-face (Scheme 2C). To confirm this idea, compound 1b was designed, prepared and applied to assemble with various alkali and alkaline earth metal cations. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained and selected regions of the ¹H NMR spectra of these G-quadruplexes were compared with the Ghexadecamer from 1a as shown in Fig. 1.

As previously reported, treating 1a with alkali and alkaline earth metal salts $(K^+, Ba^{2+}, Sr^{2+}$ and so on) gave two sets of signals in the ¹H NMR spectra, corresponding to the inner and outer G-quartet.⁵⁶ Conducting similar cation binding experiments with 1b in CDCl₃ gave a single set of ${}^{1}H$ NMR signals in all cases $(M = K^+$, Ba^{2+} , and Sr^{2+} ; A^- = Picrate⁻ or PF_6^-). Furthermore, ESI-MS demonstrated a clear doubly charged

Fig. 1 1 H NMR spectra of G-quadruplexes (A) $[(1a)_{16}Ba_2]^{4+} \cdot (Pic^{-})_{4}$; (B) $[(1b)_8Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$; (C) $[(1b)_8K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$; (D) $[(1b)_8K]^+ \cdot (PF_6^-)$; (E) $[(1b)_8$ - $Sr]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^{-})_2$ in CDCl₃.

peak at $m/z = 2123.01$, corresponding to a mol. wt of 4246.68 for $[(1b)_{8}Ba]^{2+}$. The experimental and calculated isotope patterns further suggested an octameric composition. In addition, traveling wave ion mobility-mass spectrometry (TWIM-MS)⁵⁷ confirmed no formation of stacking isomers, which excluded the formation of random aggregates in gas phase (see ESI† for details).

Finally, single crystal structures were obtained and unambiguously verified the G_8 -octamer formation with the proposed bottom-to-bottom stacking (Fig. 2A). The top view of the crystal structure (Fig. 2B) shows the G-quartet self-assembly in the tail to tail orientation. The five crystal structures obtained with ligand ${\bf 1b}$ include monovalent cations $(\rm{K}^+$ and $\rm{Rb}^+)$ and divalent cations (Ba²⁺ and Sr²⁺). Picrate anion showed no clear binding with the G-quartet, consistent with what was observed in the $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ NMR spectra (see Fig. S2†). A complex with non-coordinated PF_6^- anion was also successfully obtained, $[(\textbf{1b})_8\text{K}]^+ \cdot (\text{PF}_6^-),$ confirming the "anion-free" binding mode of this new type of G_8 -quadruplex. The distances of the H-bond within the G_4 quartet and between the G_4 layers are compared in Table 1.

According to these crystal structures, all G-octamers from 1b gave G-quartets with an $(N1\cdots O6)$ and $(N2\cdots N7)$ H-bond distance of around 2.9 \AA , similar to that of the inner and outer layer in the G_{16} -hexadecamer formed from $1a^{46}$ These results indicated that both cations and the C-8 phenyl substituent had little influence on the H-bond in the G_4 -quartet.

Fig. 2 X-ray single crystal structure of the G_8 -octamer (A) $[(1b)_8 -$ Rb]⁺·(Pic⁻); (B) $[(1b)_8K]^+$ ·(PF₆⁻). Similar structures for $[(1b)_8K]^+$ ·(Pic⁻), $[(1b)_8Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$ and $[(1b)_8Sr]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$ were also obtained.§

However, the size of the G_8 was influenced by the average O-M distances of all these complexes from 1b, which might follow the trend where higher ionic potential (Z/r) resulted in shorter O–M distance $(2.75-2.81 \text{ Å})$. Interestingly, in comparison with the G₁₆-hexadecamer $[(1a)_{16}Ba_2]^{4+} \cdot (Pic^-)_4$, the G₈-octamers $[(1b)_8Ba_2]^{2+}$ (Pic⁻)₂ gave a slightly shorter distance between the two G_4 layers (2.89 Å vs. 3.06 Å and 3.58 Å). This result implied the stronger cation interaction of the $(1b)₄$ -quartet than the $(1a)₄$ -quartet. This improved cation interaction has been supported by G_4 -binding studies with Rb⁺ using the 1**b** ligand and led to the first crystal structures of Rb^+ coordinated G-quadruplexes. Among all the G_8 crystals, $[(1b)_8Rb]^+$ (Pic^-) gave the longest O–M and G_4-G_4 distance due to its large radii⁵⁸ and low valency. Very few examples of Rb coordinated G-quartets have been reported so far, indicating how challenging it is for guanosine to bind with Rb to form a discrete Gquadruplex.⁵⁹ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first single crystal structure of a G-quadruplex containing Rb^{+} , clearly suggesting the promising cation binding ability of guanosine derivative 1b. Openion Science

Published on 2019. **Published on 1/9/2025**

(a) $\frac{1}{2}$ Published on 2/2022 and 2/302 and 2/

Having successfully confirmed a new concise G_8 -quadruplex structure in solution (NMR), solid state (XRD) and gas phase (ESI-MS and TWIM-MS), we evaluated its stability in MeOH. Dissolving octamer $[(1b)_8K]^+$ ·(Pic $^-$) in CD₃OD gave a mixture of two sets of signals in NMR spectra, suggesting partial decomposition of this G_8 -octamer (vide infra). To obtain MeOH stable G-quadruplexes, further modification is still needed.

Cross-layer H-bonded G-octamers

To further improve the stability of the G-quadruplex, we sought to establish the interactions between the G-quartet layers. As highlighted in Fig. 2A, the 8-phenyl group in 1b adopted a tilted conformation and reached out from the G-quartet. This geometry provided an opportunity to further enhance the supramolecular structure by introducing new interactions between the two G-quartets.

Notably, the Rivera group have reported the formation of an intralayer H-bond between carbonyl oxygen with N(2)H within the same G-quartet by using 2'-deoxy guanosine derivatives without rigid ribose functionalization.^{34,36-38} This work suggested the possibility of forming extra H-bonds by using both hydrogens of the $N(2)$ –NH₂ group. Inspired by this work, a carbonyl group was introduced at the meta-position of the 8 aryl position of 1b as illustrated in Fig. 3A. Based on this design, we hypothesized that $N(2)-H_A$ would form a H-bond with neighboring guanosine within the G-quartet, while the $N(2)$ - H_B could interact with the carbonyl group by forming a cross-layer H-bond.

To confirm this idea, compound 1c was synthesized and applied to G-quadruplex construction upon interacting with metal cations. According to the 1 H NMR spectra, treating 1c with $Ba(Pic)_2$ led to the formation of a new G-quadruplex with one set of signals, similar to the G_8 -octamer obtained from 1b. Analysis of the NMR sample (in $CDCl₃$) by ESI-MS gave a dominant, doubly charged peak with m/z at 2291.34, corresponding to $[(1c)_8Ba]^{2+}$ (mw = 4582.68).

Table 1 G-quadruplex structural comparison (Å)

G-quadruplex		$d(N1\cdots$ O6)	$d(N2\cdots N7)$	$d(O-M)$	$d(G_4-G_4)$
$[(1a)_{16}Ba_2]^{4+} \cdot (Pic^-)_4^a$	Inner	2.92 ± 0.01	2.91 ± 0.07	2.75 ± 0.02	3.06 (i-o)
	Outer	2.86 ± 0.01	2.89 ± 0.04	2.79 ± 0.03	$3.58(i-i)$
$[(1b)_{8}K]^{+} \cdot (Pic^{-})$		2.82 ± 0.08	2.87 ± 0.04	2.72 ± 0.17	2.85
$[(1b)_{8}K]^{+}\cdot (PF_{6}^{-})$		2.82 ± 0.04	2.89 ± 0.05	2.77 ± 0.03	2.96
$[(1b)_{8}Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^{-})_{2}$		2.89 ± 0.04	2.89 ± 0.04	2.72 ± 0.05	2.89
$[(1b)_{8}Sr]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^{-})_{2}$		2.83 ± 0.03	2.86 ± 0.04	2.62 ± 0.05	2.75
$[(1b)_{8}Rb]^+(\text{Pic}^{-})$ a See ref. 46.		2.85 ± 0.03	2.89 ± 0.03	2.81 ± 0.05	3.04
	cross-layer H-bond		spectra of $[(1b)_8Ba]^{2+}$. (Pic ⁻) ₂ did not show apparent peaks of the N(2)-H signals until further cooling the sample to -40 °C. The		
G_4 -quartet G_4 -quartet (B) $[(1c)_8Ba]^{2+}(Pic^-)_2$ Fig. 3 (A) General design of establishing a cross-layer H-bond; (B)	TBSO (C) $[(1c)_8Ba]^{2+} \bullet (Pic^-)_2$ 3.09 Å 3.09 _A	1c Ó Cross-layer H-bond $d(G_4-G_4)$ distance angle 2.90Å 161.5°	results indicated that there might be an extra H-bond in the 1c complex to lock the $N(2)$ -NH ₂ from rapid exchange. Further- more, a significantly downfield shifted chemical shift (7.25 ppm) was ascribed to the N(2)-H _B proton in the $(1c)_{8}$ -octamer compared with the $(1b)_{8}$ -octamer (5.98 ppm). These observa- tions provide clear evidence of the formation of a cross-layer H- bond in the $(1c)_{8}$ -octamer as designed. as shown in Fig. 3B. The crystal structure also confirmed the presence of the cross-layer interactions with a mean H-bond distance of 3.09 Å and a bond angle of 161.5° , suggesting	Finally, the G_8 -octamer was verified by X-ray crystallography	

Fig. 3 (A) General design of establishing a cross-layer H-bond; (B) single crystal structure and (C) H-bond information of $[(1c)_8$ Ba]²⁺·(Pic⁻)₂.§

Having confirmed the G₈-octamer $[(1\mathbf{b})_8\text{Ba}]^{2^+}\cdot(\text{Pic}^-)_2$ formation, our next goal was to determine if there was a cross-layer Hbond as designed above. The ^{1}H NMR spectra of $[(1b)_{8}]$ Ba]²⁺·(Pic⁻)₂ and [(1c)₈Ba]²⁺·(Pic⁻)₂ did not show peaks corresponding to N(2)H at room temperature. This is likely due to the rapid exchange between the two $NH₂$ protons, even with the formation of a H-bond. Thus, the exchange rate of the two protons provides a direct indication of the H-bond strength in the G4-quartet. To explore the dynamic structure, variable temperature (VT) NMR experiments with $[({\bf 1}{\bf b})_8{\rm B}a]^{2^+}{\cdot}({\rm Pic^-})_2$ and $[({\bf 1c})_8{\cdot}$ Ba]²⁺·(Pic⁻)₂ were performed and are summarized in Fig. 4.

For complex $[({\bf 1c})_8{\rm Ba}]^{2^+}{\cdot}({\rm Pic^-})_2$, the NH $_2$ protons started appearing as broad peaks at $0 °C$ with the chemical shift at 10.28 ppm (H_A) and 7.25 ppm (H_B) . In contrast, the VT NMR

Fig. 4 (A) VT NMR spectra of $[(1b)_8Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$ and (B) VT NMR spectra of $[(1c)_8$ Ba]²⁺·(Pic⁻)₂ confirmed the cross-layer H-bond design in establishing a cross-layer H-bond.

With the confirmed cross-layer H-bonds, we evaluated the complex stability of $[(1c)_{8}K]^+$ (Pic⁻) in methanol. The results showed a similar stability to the complex formed with 1b (vide infra). Although the cross-layer H-bond approach could not boost G_8 -quadruplex stability in MeOH as anticipated, it provided an effective and novel approach to enhance Gquadruplex stability from monomer conformational design. Structural amendment was required to further improve the stability of the G_8 -octamer.

Cross-layer linkage through a covalent bond

To increase the stability to a new level, we turned to establishing a potential covalent linkage between the two G-quartets. By scrutinizing the crystal structure of $[(1b)_8Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$, we found that the distance between the two meta position of the phenyl ring from each tetramer was 4.0 \AA , a distance similar to three single bond lengths.⁵⁸ According to the observation, the meta position of the two phenyl groups could serve as a reference site for constructing cross-layer covalent linkers. The guanosine dimer 1d and 1d' were then prepared using the synthetic route summarized in Fig. 5A.

Fig. 5 (A) Synthesis of bis-guanosine derivatives. (B) Single crystal structure of $[(1d')4K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-) \$

Self-assembly of 1d with K^+ and Ba²⁺ cations in CDCl₃ gave a similar one-set of signals in the ¹H NMR spectra, consistent with the formation of a G_8 -octamer. ESI-MS of complexes from 1d and Ba²⁺ gave a doubly charged peak at $m/z = 2175.55$ as the dominant signal, indicating a mol. wt of 4351.1 for the supermolecule as $[(1d)₄Ba]²⁺$. An attempt to obtain a single crystal of the 1d complex failed initially, resulting in a rather thin, filmlike solid formation. Fortunately, the single crystal structure was successfully obtained by switching the monomer to $1d'$ using DMSO as a co-solvent, confirming the cross-layer covalent linked structure as proposed (Fig. 5B). Notably, for complex $[(1d')_4Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_{2}$, the dihedral angle between 8-aryl and guanine is 40.7 degrees, similar to the dihedral angles in complex $[(1\mathbf b)_8\mathbf B\mathbf a]^{2^+}\!\cdot$ (Pic $^-)_2$ (42.5 degrees). Overall, through Gmonomer conformational analysis, a series of G_8 -octamers was successfully prepared with functionalization at 8-phenyl (1b), a cross-layer H-bond linker (1c) and a covalent linker (1d).

G-quadruplex H-bond stability in MeOH

As discussed above, our intrinsic motivation in exploring these different G-quadruplexes was to develop H-bonded guanosine self-assembly that could survive in protic solvents (H-bond competitive). With all these different G_{16} and G_8 quadruplexes prepared, we dissolved them in CD $_{3}$ OD to compare the $^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ NMR spectra. As shown in Fig. 6A, N(1)–H and N(2)–H protons did not appear in $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with CD₃OD as the solvent due to the H/D exchange. Thus, evaluation of the ^{1}H NMR spectra will mainly be focused on the non-exchangeable aromatic protons and ribose protons. Dissolving the G_{16} -hexadecamer $[(1a)_{16}$ - $\mathrm{K}_4]^{4+}$ ·(Pic $^-)_4$ in CD $_3$ OD gave only one set of signals, identical to the 1a monomer in CD_3OD . The result suggested complete dissociation of the G_{16} -hexadecamer to 1a monomer in MeOH. Interestingly, the G₈-octamer $[(1b)_{8}K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$ and $[(1c)_{8}$ $[K]^{+}$ (Pic⁻) in CD₃OD gave two sets of signals, indicating the existence of dissociated monomer and possible oligomers or

 $Fig. 6$ (A) ¹H NMR spectra showing G-quadruplex stability in CD₃OD. (B) MS of $[(1d)_4Ba]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_2$ in MeOH.

a G-quadruplex in the solution phase. Although the exact structures of the guanosine species in these two cases are not determined at this moment, the fact that H-bonded guanosine complexes were formed with 1b and 1c clearly suggests the improved H-binding ability of these two monomers over 1a. Surprisingly, when dissolving the G₈-octamer $[(1d)_4K]^+(\text{Pic}^-)$ in $CD₃OD$, only one set of signals was observed. Notably, in this case, N(1)–H gave a broad signal at 12.95 ppm, clearly suggesting the formation of a G-quadruplex through a H-bond. NMR solvent signal suppression was applied for the G-quadruplex $[(1d)₄]$ K^{\dagger}_{I} (Pic⁻) in CD₃OH. The peak at 12.9 ppm clearly showed up and was confirmed to be $H1$ of G in the G-quadruplex (see detailed NMR spectra in Fig. S7†). Thus, with monomer 1d, a Gquadruplex remains intact in protic solvent $CD₃OD$. Impressively, this G-quadruplex did not dissociate even at elevated temperature in CD₃OD, with the N(1)H peak remaining at 60 °C (see Fig. S6[†] for VT NMR spectra). This observation indicated that there was a high kinetic barrier to break the 1d G-quartet for H/D exchange, which highlighted the stability of $[(\mathbf{1d})_4 \mathrm{K}]^+ \cdot (\mathrm{Pic}^-)$ in a H-bond competitive system. Injecting an MeOH solution of $[(1d)₄]$ Ba^{2+} ·(Pic⁻)₂ complex into ESI-MS gave a dominant double charged peak with $m/z = 2175.55$, corresponding to $[(1d)₄Ba]²⁺$ (Fig. 6B). It is noteworthy that TWIM-MS of this G-quadruplex in methanol solution was recorded as a single band $(m/z = 2175.55)$ with drift time at 14.33 ms, which is in agreement with the size of the G_8 -octamer (see detailed discussion in Table S1†). To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few stable G-quadruplex systems from small molecule self-assembly to survive in a Hbond competitive environment.

Evaluating G-quadruplex stability

With the success in maintaining G-quadruplex stability in protic solvent MeOH, we sought to evaluate whether a similar stability trend exists with polar aprotic solvents. DMSO is a strong polar solvent, which can disrupt the H-bond in Gquartets and cause the decomposition of G-quadruplexes. To evaluate how the incorporation of the phenyl group and crosslayer interaction impact on thermodynamic stability, Gquadruplexes were treated with $CDCl₃/DMSO-d₆$ solvent mixture. A summary of ¹H NMR spectra from these experiments is shown in Fig. 7.

As shown in the $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, G-quadruplexes from 1a, 1b and 1c started to dissociate in mixed solvents containing 20% DMSO- d_6 . Compared with the reported G_{16} -hexadecamer from $1a$,⁴⁶ the G₈-octamer formed by $1b$ and $1c$ showed comparable stability in 20% DMSO- d_6 . Eventually, all three Gquadruplexes gave complete dissociation in 50% DMSO- d_6 solution with only one set of signals corresponding to the monomer. In contrast, $[(1d)_4K]^+ (Pic^-)$ showed significantly improved stability, with only 2% complex dissociation in 50% $DMSO-d₆$. This result demonstrates the significantly enhanced stability of G-quadruplexes constructed by 1d.

To quantify the thermodynamic stability of G-quadruplexes,⁴⁷ VT NMR experiments were carried out for pure complexes of $[(1a)_{16}K_4]^{4+}$ \cdot $(\rm{Pic^-})_4$, $[(1b)_8K]^+ \cdot (\rm{Pic^-})$, $[(1c)_8K]^+ \cdot (\rm{Pic^-})$, and $[(1d)_4$ $[K]^{+}$ (Pic⁻) in CDCl₃/DMSO-d₆ with a fraction of 20% DMSO-d₆. The values of complex dissociation enthalpy and entropy for each G-quadruplex were calculated from van't Hoff plots and are compared in Table S6 (see detailed discussion in the ESI†). For complex $[(1d)₄K]^+ (Pic^-)$, no significant increase in monomer concentration was observed with the increase in temperature. This might be attributed to the high kinetic barrier for Gquadruplex $[(1d)₄K]⁺·(Pic⁻)$ dissociation. To confirm this hypothesis, a NOESY experiment at 50 \degree C was performed for $[(1b)_8K]^+ (Pic^-), [(1c)_8K]^+ (Pic^-)$ and $[(1d)_4K]^+ (Pic^-)$ (Fig. S14– S16†). The results suggested that kinetic exchange between the complex and monomer for $[(1d)_4K]^+$ (Pic⁻) was too slow to be recorded by NMR spectroscopy. Edge Article

article on distribution of distribution of the photon is article. Downloaded on the comparison of the photon is licensed under the both Creative Commons Commons Article is licensed under the state of the sta

In addition to DMSO titration, the stability of G_8 and G_{16} complexes could also be evaluated using tandem-MS by

Fig. 7 DMSO-d₆ titration: ¹H NMR spectra of (A) $[(1a)_{16}K_4]^{4+} \cdot (Pic^-)_{4}$ (B) $[(1b)_8K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$, (C) $[(1c)_8K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$, (D) $[(1d)_4K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$ in CDCl₃-: DMSO-d $_6$ with DMSO-d $_6$ fractions of 10%, 20% and 50%.

Table 2 Decomposition voltage

	$[(1a)_{8}Ba]^{2+}$	$[(1b)_{8}Ba]^{2+}$	$[(1c)_{8}Ba]^{2+}$	$[(1d)4Ba]2+$
$Start^a$	30 V	40 V	50 V	70 V
End ^a	40 V	45 V	65 V	80 V
	α Operating voltage (V).			

increasing the operating voltage. The cation fragments of Gquadruplexes were separated and treated with increasing voltage. The operating voltage for G-quadruplex cation fragment decomposition are summarized in Table 2.

It is noteworthy that $[(1a)_{16}Ba_2]^{4+} \cdot (Pic^{-})_4$ only showed a doubly charged peak at $m/z = 2123.31$ corresponding to $[(1a)_{8}Ba]^{2+}$, indicating that the picrate bridge dissociated under the MS conditions. Further comparison of all the cation fragments of the G-quadruplexes revealed a clear stability trend as $[(1d)_4Ba]^{2+} > [(1c)_8Ba]^{2+} > [(1b)_8Ba]^{2+} > [(1a)_8Ba]^{2+}$. Overall, the covalent linking strategy significantly helped to stabilize the G_8 octamer, both in H-bond competitive solvents and gas phase.

Conclusions

In summary, with modification on both guanine (8-aryl) and ribose (sterically hindered $2^{\prime},3^{\prime}$ position), a stable G_8 -octamer was formed with its structure characterized by single crystal Xray diffraction for the first time. Through the analysis of crosslayer interactions, a covalently tethered 8-aryl guanosine dimer was designed and prepared for supramolecular assembly. The expected G_8 -octamer was confirmed by X-ray, MS and NMR spectroscopy with significantly improved stability in MeOH and 1 : 1 DMSO/CDCl₃ mixture. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of discrete G-quadruplexes formed from small molecules with enhanced stability in a protic solvent (MeOH) and a polar aprotic solvent (DMSO). Meanwhile, formation of the stable G_8 -octamer with a concise and well-defined bottomto-bottom stacking mode provides a novel supramolecular platform. Incorporation of this new system into material and biological applications is expected and currently undergoing in our group.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the NSF (CHE-1665122), the NIH (1R01GM120240-01), and NSFC (21629201) for financial support.

Notes and references

§ All the structures reported in this article have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. The accession numbers for $[(1b)₈ Ba]^{2+}$ (Pic⁻)₂; $]_{2}$; $[(1b)_{8}K]^{+}\cdot(PF_{6}^{-})$); $[(1b)_8K]^+ \cdot (Pic^-)$); $[(1b)_8Sr]^{2+} \cdot (Pic^-)_{2};$ $[(1b)_8Rb]^+$ ·(Pic⁻); $[(1c)_8Ba]^2^+$ ·(Pic⁻)₂; $[(1d')_4K]^+$ ·(Pic⁻) reported in this paper are:

1871565, 1871566, 1871567, 1871568, 1871569, 1871570, 1871754, correspondingly.

- 1 M. Fujita, Structure and Bonding, Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 177–201.
- 2 D. S. Lawrence, T. Jiang and M. Levett, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2229–2260.
- 3 D. P. Craig and D. P. Mellor, Structure and Bonding, Springer, Berlin, 1976, pp. 1–48.
- 4 M. Fujita, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 417–425.
- 5 L. J. Prins, D. N. Reinhoudt and P. Timmerman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2382–2426.
- 6 P. Y. W. Dankers and E. W. Meijer, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2007, 80, 2047–2073.
- 7 H.-W. Jun, S. E. Paramonov and J. D. Hartgerink, Soft Matter, 2006, 2, 177–181.
- 8 P. Xin, P. Zhu, P. Su, J.-L. Hou and Z.-T. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 13078–13081.
- 9 J. T. Davis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 668–698.
- 10 J. L. Sessler, C. M. Lawrence and J. Jayawickramarajah, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 314–325.
- 11 C. L. D. Gibb and B. C. Gibb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 11408–11409.
- 12 G. V. Oshovsky, D. N. Reinhoudt and W. Verboom, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2366–2393.
- 13 J. T. Davis and G. P. Spada, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 296– 313.
- 14 G. P. Spada and G. Gottarelli, Synlett, 2004, 4, 596–602.
- 15 E. Bouhoutsos-Brown, C. L. Marshall and T. J. Pinnavaia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 6576–6584.
- 16 J. P. N. V. Hud, Quadruplex Nucleic Acids, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 100–130.
- 17 J. A. Walmsley, R. G. Barr, E. Bouhoutsos-Brown and T. J. Pinnavaia, J. Phys. Chem., 1984, 88, 2599–2605.
- 18 G. Biffi, M. Di Antonio, D. Tannahill and S. Balasubramanian, Nat. Chem., 2013, 6, 75.
- 19 J. N. Parkinson, Quadruplex Nucleic Acids, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 1–30.
- 20 M. Zeraati, D. B. Langley, P. Schofield, A. L. Moye, R. Rouet, W. E. Hughes, T. M. Bryan, M. E. Dinger and D. Christ, Nat. Chem., 2018, 10, 631–637.
- 21 I. C. M. Kwan, Y.-M. She and G. Wu, Can. J. Chem., 2011, 89, 835–844.
- 22 M. a. D. C. Rivera-Sánchez, M. García-Arriaga, G. Hobley, A. V. Morales-de-Echegaray and J. M. Rivera, ACS Omega, 2017, 2, 6619–6627.
- 23 M. d. C. Rivera-Sánchez, I. Andújar-de-Sanctis, M. García-Arriaga, V. Gubala, G. Hobley and J. M. Rivera, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10403–10405.
- 24 R. N. Das, Y. P. Kumar, O. M. Schütte, C. Steinem and J. Dash, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 34–37.
- 25 S. L. Forman, J. C. Fettinger, S. Pieraccini, G. Gottarelli and J. T. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 4060–4067.
- 26 M. S. Kaucher, W. A. Harrell and J. T. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 38–39.
- 27 T. Bhattacharyya, P. Saha and J. Dash, ACS Omega, 2018, 3, 2230–2241.
- 28 G. M. Peters and J. T. Davis, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 3188– 3206.
- 29 G. M. Peters, L. P. Skala and J. T. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 134–139.
- 30 M. García-Iglesias, T. Torres and D. González-Rodríguez, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 9446–9449.
- 31 D. González-Rodríguez, P. G. A. Janssen, R. Martín-Rapún, I. D. De Cat, S. De Feyter, A. P. H. J. Schenning and E. W. Meijer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4710–4719. Openical Science

Version, 1978, 1978, 1978, 1978, 1978, 1978, 1978, 1981, 1978, 1982, 1978, 1982, 19
	- 32 H. Han and L. H. Hurley, Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 2000, 21, 136–142.
	- 33 F. W. B. Van Leeuwen, W. Verboom, X. Shi, J. T. Davis and D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 16575–16581.
	- 34 J. E. Betancourt, C. Subramani, J. L. Serrano-Velez, E. Rosa-Molinar, V. M. Rotello and J. M. Rivera, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 8537–8539.
	- 35 S. Martic, G. Wu and S. Wang, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 8315– 8323.
	- 36 J. M. Rivera, M. Martín-Hidalgo and J. C. Rivera-Ríos, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 7562–7565.
	- 37 J. M. Rivera and D. Silva-Brenes, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 2350– 2353.
	- 38 M. Martín-Hidalgo and J. M. Rivera, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 12485–12487.
	- 39 K. B. Sutyak, P. Y. Zavalij, M. L. Robinson and J. T. Davis, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 11112–11115.
	- 40 J. E. Betancourt, M. Martín-Hidalgo, V. Gubala and J. M. Rivera, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 3186–3188.
	- 41 D. González-Rodríguez, J. L. J. van Dongen, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek, A. P. H. J. Schenning and E. W. Meijer, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 151–155.
	- 42 J. E. Betancourt and J. M. Rivera, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 16666–16668.
	- 43 J. E. Betancourt and J. M. Rivera, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2287– 2290.
	- 44 V. Gubala, J. E. Betancourt and J. M. Rivera, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4735–4738.
	- 45 S. B. Zimmerman, J. Mol. Biol., 1976, 106, 663–672.
	- 46 X. Shi, K. M. Mullaugh, J. C. Fettinger, Y. Jiang, S. A. Hofstadler and J. T. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 10830–10841.
	- 47 E. Fadaei, M. Martín-Arroyo, M. Tafazzoli and D. González-Rodríguez, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 460-463.
	- 48 M. García-Arriaga, G. Hobley and J. M. Rivera, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10492–10493.
	- 49 A. L. Marlow, E. Mezzina, G. P. Spada, S. Masiero, J. T. Davis and G. Gottarelli, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 5116–5123.
	- 50 M. García-Arriaga, G. Hobley and J. M. Rivera, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 6026–6035.
	- 51 S. Lena, P. Neviani, S. Masiero, S. Pieraccini and G. P. Spada, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3657–3660.
	- 52 S. Marti, X. Liu, S. Wang and G. Wu, Chem.–Eur. J., 2008, 14, 1196–1204.
	- 53 X. Meng, T. Moriuchi, M. Kawahata, K. Yamaguchi and T. Hirao, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 4682–4864.
	- 54 J. L. Sessler, M. Sathiosatham, K. Doerr, V. Lynch and K. A. Abboud, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 1300–1303.
- 55 X. Shi, J. C. Fettinger and J. T. Davis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2827–2831.
- 56 X. Shi, J. C. Fettinger and J. T. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6738–6739.
- 57 H. Wang, X. Qian, K. Wang, M. Su, W.-W. Haoyang, X. Jiang, R. Brzozowski, M. Wang, X. Gao, Y. Li, B. Xu, P. Eswara,

X.-Q. Hao, W. Gong, J.-L. Hou, J. Cai and X. Li, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 1815.

- 58 J. G. Speight, Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, McGraw-Hill, New York, 16th edn, 2005, pp. 1–150.
- 59 R. Ida and G. Wu, Chem. Commun., 2005, 4294–4296.