# **Chemical Science**

## EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 4200

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 18th January 2019 Accepted 11th March 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00303g

rsc.li/chemical-science

#### Introduction

## Block copolymer microparticles comprising inverse bicontinuous phases prepared via polymerizationinduced self-assembly†

YAL SOCIETY<br>**CHEMISTRY** 

Pengcheng Yang[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1808-3513)  $\mathbf{D}$ <sup>+</sup> Yin Ning,  $\mathbf{D}$  \* [Tho](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8289-6351)mas J. Neal, Elizabeth R. Jones, Bryony R. Parker and Steven P. Armes **D** \*

Traditionally, post-polymerization processing routes have been used to obtain a wide range of block copolymer morphologies. However, this self-assembly approach is normally performed at rather low copolymer concentration, which precludes many potential applications. Herein, we report a facile method for the preparation of block copolymer particles exhibiting complex internal morphology via polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA). More specifically, a series of diblock copolymers were synthesized by reversible addition–fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) alternating copolymerization of styrene (St) with N-phenylmaleimide (NMI) using a poly(N,N-dimethylacrylamide) (PDMAC) stabilizer as a soluble precursor. Conducting such PISA syntheses in a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) mixture leads directly to the formation of micrometer-sized PDMAC-P(St-alt-NMI) diblock copolymer particles at 20% w/w solids. Adjusting the degree of polymerization (DP) of the core-forming P(St-alt-NMI) block to target highly asymmetric copolymer compositions provides convenient access to an inverse bicontinuous phase. TEM studies of intermediate structures provide useful insights regarding the mechanism of formation of this phase. SEM studies indicate that the final copolymer particles comprise perforated surface layers and possess nanostructured interiors. In addition, control experiments using 1,4-dioxane suggest that the high chain mobility conferred by the MEK co-solvent is essential for the formation of such inverse bicontinuous structures. One-pot PISA formulations are reproducible and involve only cheap, commercially available starting materials, so they should be readily amenable to scale-up. This augurs well for the potential use of such nanostructured micrometer-sized particles as new organic opacifiers for paints and coatings. **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **Block coppolymer microparticles comprising inverse of the system of the system** 

It is well-known that AB diblock copolymers undergo spontaneous self-assembly to form ordered nanostructures both in the  $bulk<sup>1</sup>$  and also in solution.<sup>2</sup> Microphase separation is primarily driven by an unfavorable enthalpic interaction between the two blocks, which outweighs the relatively small entropy term. Block copolymer self-assembly in the bulk is usually conducted by annealing the initial structure above the glass transition temperature to achieve thermodynamic control and hence equilibrium morphologies. It is well-known that this strategy provides access to a wide range of morphologies, including 2D

or 3D periodic structures with long-range order.<sup>1,3</sup> In addition, traditional post-polymerization processing routes in dilute solution usually lead to the formation of spherical micelles,<sup>4,5</sup> cylindrical micelles,<sup>6,7</sup> lamellae<sup>8</sup> or vesicles.<sup>9,10</sup> Considerable attention has been devoted to the direct formation of structurally complex block copolymer nanoparticles using phase inversion.<sup>11</sup> For example, linear,<sup>12-15</sup> comb-like<sup>16-18</sup> or dendritic<sup>19,20</sup> amphiphilic diblock copolymers can self-assemble to form inverse bicontinuous phases in dilute solution. These aggregates are structurally similar to lipid cubosomes, and offer potential applications for templating, separation, catalysis and controlled release systems etc.<sup>21-23</sup>

According to the packing parameter concept introduced by Israelachvili and co-workers for small molecule surfactants,<sup>24</sup> inverted phases can be obtained when the packing parameter, P exceeds unity. The packing parameter is defined by the equation  $P = v/a_0l_c$ , where  $a_0$  is the area of the hydrophilic head-group and  $\nu$  and  $l_c$  are the volume and length of the hydrophobic component, respectively. Originally introduced to account for the diverse range of surfactant structures that can be formed in aqueous solution, this purely geometric concept was

Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Brook Hill, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, S3 7HF, UK. E-mail: p.yang.simon@gmail.com; Y.Ning@sheffield.ac.uk; s. p.armes@sheffield.ac.uk

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental protocols, DMF GPC curves, SEM and TEM images, SAXS, BET raw data and summary table of the control experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00303g

<sup>‡</sup> Current address: Allnex, Nieuwe Kannal 7N, 6709 PA, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

subsequently extended to include block copolymer selfassembly.25,26 Thus, targeting a highly asymmetric amphiphilic diblock copolymer (e.g. a very long hydrophobic block coupled with a relatively short hydrophilic block) provides a P value greater than unity. Moreover, the copolymer architecture also has a profound influence on the packing parameter. For example, block copolymers possessing a branched hydrophobic block show a strong tendency to form inverse morphologies in dilute solution.<sup>27</sup> Recent advances in synthetic polymer chemistry such as controlled radical polymerization<sup>28</sup> and click chemistry29,30 have enabled precise control over copolymer composition and architecture. Nevertheless, the traditional approach used to prepare inverse copolymer morphologies remains both time-consuming and inefficient. Typically, extremely long annealing times are required to attain thermodynamically stable inverse structures. Moreover, the relatively high molecular weight of the hydrophobic block means that the required highly asymmetric block copolymer chains often become kinetically-trapped during the processing step, which can prevent convenient access to well-defined inverse morphologies.<sup>31</sup> In addition, copolymer concentrations are invariably rather low (typically below 1.0% w/w), which unfortunately precludes many, if not all, potential commercial applications. Edge Article<br>
and schemes Article is included to include the complete the relationship of the relationship in the relationship of the relationship in the relationship in the relationship in the relationship in the relation

Over the past decade, polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) has provided a versatile new approach for the efficient preparation of block copolymer nano-objects in the form of concentrated colloidal dispersions.<sup>32–38</sup> PISA typically involves synthesizing a soluble homopolymer via RAFT solution polymerization<sup>39-41</sup> followed by chain extension of this precursor with a second block that becomes insoluble when it exceeds a certain critical length. This leads to the in situ formation of sterically-stabilized diblock copolymer nanoparticles. Classical morphologies (e.g. spheres, worms, lamellae or vesicles) similar to those obtained via traditional post-polymerization processing routes can be readily prepared via PISA at up to 50% w/w solids.<sup>42</sup> Moreover, highly convenient "one-pot" protocols have been reported for some PISA formulations.<sup>42-46</sup> However, as far as we are aware, there are only a few studies of the PISA synthesis of inverse morphologies such as hexagonally-packed hollow hoops  $(HHH)^{47}$  and porous nanospheres,<sup>48-50</sup> which have been reported for polystyrene-based diblock copolymers. Unfortunately, such formulations typically suffer from relatively slow rates of polymerization, which inevitably result in substantially incomplete monomer conversions. For example, less than 15% styrene conversion was achieved after 48 h at 80 °C when preparing the HHH phase.<sup>47</sup> This problem renders such PISA formulations unsuitable for commercial scale-up owing to the prohibitive cost of removing unreacted styrene monomer.

In the present study, we report the PISA synthesis of various inverse structures in the form of microparticles at 20% w/w solids using a much more efficient PISA formulation. Previously, we reported the preparation of conventional diblock copolymer nanoparticles via RAFT dispersion alternating copolymerization of styrene (St) with N-phenylmaleimide (NMI) utilizing a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture and a non-ionic

poly(N,N'-dimethylacrylamide) (PDMAC) stabilizer.<sup>51</sup> The resulting P(St-alt-NMI) core-forming block has a relatively high  $T_{\rm g}$  (219 °C),<sup>52</sup> which leads to the formation of oligolamellar vesicles (OLV) during PISA, as well as the more typical sphere and worm phases. The MEK co-solvent aids solubilization of the NMI monomer and solvates the core-forming block within the growing diblock copolymer micelles, thus enhancing the mobility of the growing P(St-*alt*-NMI) chains. Taking advantage of such high chain mobility, herein we target more asymmetric diblock copolymer compositions to investigate self-assembly beyond the bilayer phase. Three inverse bicontinuous phases were formed by such PDMAC-P(St-alt-NMI) chains, with each morphology depending on the relative volume fraction of the P(St-alt-NMI) core-forming block. Moreover, intermediate species observed by TEM provide useful insights regarding the likely mechanism for the evolution in copolymer morphology during these PISA syntheses. Furthermore, TEM studies of selected ultramicrotomed microparticles reveal complex internal structures owing to their inverse bicontinuous phases. Finally, we demonstrate that it is possible to fabricate such nanostructured microparticles via PISA using a highly convenient "one-pot" synthetic protocol.

#### Results and discussion

The PDMAC stabilizer block DP was fixed at 48, and all RAFT dispersion alternating copolymerizations were conducted at 20% w/w solids in a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture (see Scheme 1). These syntheses were much more efficient than the styrene-based methanolic formulations reported in the literature:<sup>47</sup>–<sup>49</sup> more than 90% conversion was achieved in all cases within 10 h at 70  $^{\circ} \textrm{C}$  (see Table 1). DMF GPC studies indicated unimodal but relatively broad molecular weight distributions (see Fig. S1, ESI†). However, these  $M_w/M_n$  values are comparable with those previously reported for the same PISA formulation,<sup>51</sup> especially given that higher degrees of polymerization are targeted in the present study. The somewhat broader molecular weight distribution is most likely owing to relatively slow activation of the PDMAC<sub>48</sub> stabilizer block rather than loss of RAFT end-groups, as previously suggested.<sup>51,53</sup> GPC studies indicate a high blocking efficiency for these diblock copolymers, suggesting minimal homopolymer contamination. In principle, polydisperse diblock copolymers may behave differently to near-monodisperse copolymers in terms of their microphase separation. However, it is well-known that broad copolymer molecular weight distributions do not prevent (and may well aid) block copolymer self-assembly in the solid state.<sup>54-56</sup> According to our earlier study, targeting a  $PDMAC_{48}P(St-alt-$ NMI)350 composition produced micrometer-sized ellipsoidal particles (see Fig. 1a).<sup>51</sup> Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis indicated that these relatively large particles comprised oligolamellar vesicles (OLV), comprising two or three stacked lamellae on average.<sup>51</sup> This finding is consistent with the higher contrast (darker) regions observed by TEM in the present study (see Fig. 1f). Interestingly, targeting a P(St-alt-NMI) DP of 450 overshoots the OLV phase, generating polydisperse ellipsoidal particles with internal morphologies (see Fig. 1b). These



Scheme 1 Reaction scheme for the RAFT alternating copolymerization of styrene (St) with N-phenylmaleimide (NMI) under dispersion polymerization conditions using a soluble poly(N,N-dimethylacrylamide) (PDMAC<sub>48</sub>) precursor in a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK solvent mixture at 70 °C.

ellipsoidal particles are relatively large: laser diffraction studies indicated a 'sphere-equivalent' volume-average diameter of around 9  $\mu$ m, compared to just 2.6  $\mu$ m diameter for the previously-reported OLV nano-objects.<sup>51</sup> Internal structure can be clearly observed at higher TEM magnification (see Fig. 1g), with evidence for spherical (or elongated spherical) domains ranging from ca. 30 to 290 nm diameter. As far as we are aware, this morphology has not been reported previously for PISA syntheses, so we suggest the term perforated ellipsoidal lamellae (PEL). Further increasing the target core-forming DP to 550 for the coreforming block led to the formation of even larger ellipsoidal particles with a 'sphere-equivalent' diameter of around 12  $\mu$ m (see Fig. 1c and d). Their internal morphology is difficult to characterize by TEM using an accelerating voltage of 80 kV (see Fig. 1h). However, using a 200 kV TEM instrument increases the penetration depth of the electron beam significantly, revealing a complex bicontinuous internal morphology (see Fig. 1d and i). Finally, ellipsoids with a 'sphere-equivalent' diameter of 14  $\mu$ m were obtained when targeting a core-forming block DP of 600. However, TEM studies could not reveal the internal morphology of these microparticles (see Fig. 1e, j and S2, ESI†). Each of these dispersions formed turbid pastes at 20% w/w solids. Operation Science.<br>
This are not are able to the second of the seco

Given the difficulty in analyzing their internal structure, the larger microparticles were embedded in an epoxy resin and ultramicrotomed to produce thin cross-sections for TEM studies. According to Fig. 2 (see also Fig. S3, ESI†), each ellipsoid is hollow: the darker regions correspond to the P(St-alt-NMI) copolymer, while the lighter regions represent internal voids. In general, increasing the DP of the P(St-alt-NMI) coreforming block produces smaller voids. This is in good agreement with the greater electron opacity observed for such particles in the initial TEM studies (see Fig. 1). The internal structure is illustrated at higher magnification in Fig. 2d-f. At first sight, the internal segregation observed for the PEL (see Fig. 2d) appears to be analogous to the well-known gyroid phase reported for block copolymers in the bulk<sup>1</sup> (see Fig. 2h). This corresponds to an inverted mixed phase comprising worms and lamellae (Fig. 2d). Similarly, the bicontinuous ellipsoids (BE) particles (see Fig. 2e) seem to correspond to an inverse worm phase (see Fig. 2j), with PDMAC stabilizer chains forming the cores surrounded by P(St-alt-NMI) coronal blocks (see Fig. 2i). In this context, we note that Eisenberg's group were the first to describe the formation of inverted worms in dilute solution by self-assembly of highly asymmetric poly(acrylic acid)-poly- (styrene) (PAA-PS)<sup>57</sup> or poly(ethylene oxide)-poly(styrene) (PEO-

Table 1 Summary of the synthesis conditions and characterization data obtained for a series of PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>x</sub> diblock copolymer microparticles prepared via RAFT dispersion alternating copolymerization of styrene with N-phenylmaleimide at 70 °C using AIBN initiator in an 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture at 20% w/w solids<sup>6</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Conditions: [St]/[NMI] comonomer feed molar ratio = 1.0; [macro-CTA]/[AIBN] molar ratio = 10. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in d<sub>6</sub>.<br>DMSO. <sup>c</sup> Actual DP of [St-alt-NMI] = target DP of P(St-alt-NMI) × overall a PDMAC<sub>53</sub> macro-CTA.



Fig. 1 Representative TEM images (a–e) illustrating the evolution in copolymer morphology that occurs for a series of  $PDMAC_{48} - P(St-alt-$ NMI)<sub>x</sub> diblock copolymer microparticles prepared at 70 °C using a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture via RAFT alternating dispersion copolymerization at 20% w/w solids. Higher magnification images (f–j) reveal the internal structure of these micrometer-sized particles. Scale bars correspond to 2  $\mu$ m (a–e) and 0.50  $\mu$ m (f–j).

PS)58,59 diblock copolymers. Such nano-objects exhibit a complex internal structure comprising hexagonally-packed hollow hoops or rods (HHH or HHR) distributed within a PS matrix. Moreover, Pan and co-workers recently reported the preparation of a HHH phase via the RAFT dispersion polymerization of styrene.<sup>47</sup> In this latter case, the highly regular arrangement of the hollow hoops was verified by analysis of TEM cross-sections.<sup>47</sup> On the other hand, it seems that PDMAC chains are just randomly packed within a P(St-alt-NMI) matrix for the BE microparticles obtained in the present study, with some spherical microdomains being observed (see Fig. 2e). The PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>546</sub> copolymer chains form large compound micelles  $(LCM)^{60}$  comprising isolated islands of the PDMAC stabilizer block located within a continuous P(St-alt-NMI) phase (see Fig. 2f). This internal structure resembles the inverted spheres (see Fig. 2l) that are formed by diblock copolymers in the bulk, where the relatively short hydrophilic PDMAC blocks are located within the cores and are surrounded by relatively long hydrophobic P(St-alt-NMI) coronal blocks (see Fig. 2k).

The morphology of this series of PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>x</sub> microparticles was also studied by SEM (see Fig. 3 and S4–S7,

ESI†). Low-magnification images clearly indicate the progressive growth in mean particle size and evolution in copolymer morphology that occur on systematically increasing the target DP of the P(St-alt-NMI) core-forming block (see Fig. 3). Highresolution SEM images showed that all inverse phase microparticles are actually enclosed within a perforated surface layer (see Fig. 3b–d, inset). Based on these SEM images, the average surface pore dimensions are estimated to be approximately 100  $\pm$  23 nm, 72  $\pm$  17 nm and 71  $\pm$  12 nm for PEL, BE and LCM, respectively. Conversely, no surface pores were discernible for OLV particles (Fig. 3a, inset). This observation suggests that initial phase separation occurs during the OLV-to-PEL transition (see later). The internal structure of selected microparticles was also studied by SEM by sectioning the microparticles using a razor blade (see Fig. 4). Their original morphology remains intact under the ultrahigh vacuum conditions required for SEM analysis. This is primarily owing to the relatively high  $T_{\rm g}$  (219 °C) of the hydrophobic P(St-alt-NMI) block. More interestingly, examination of cross-sections of these fractured microparticles confirmed that their internal structure is bicontinuous and bears a superficial resemblance to a triply periodic minimal surface (see Fig. 4). A *minimal surface* has its local area minimized, i.e., every point has zero mean curvature.<sup>61</sup> Triply periodic minimal surfaces are periodic in all three coordinate directions. At first sight, the internal structure of PEL (see Fig. 4a) appears to be a Schoen<sup>62</sup> gyroid surface. Increasing the DP of the P(St-alt-NMI) core-forming block to 512 (see Fig. 4b) or 546 (see Fig. 4c) results in an apparent transition from a Schoen gyroid surface to a Schwartz<sup>63</sup> P surface. According to Thomas et al.,<sup>64</sup> a high interfacial energy between the two blocks leads to strong segregation during diblock copolymer self-assembly. As microphase separation occurs, an area-minimizing surface is adopted in order to lower the total interfacial energy. Such minimal surfaces best satisfy this geometric constraint and have been observed for block copolymers both in melts<sup>65-67</sup> and also in solution.<sup>13,19,68,69</sup> Fig. 1)  $\frac{1}{2}$  Commons Article. Published on 12/4/2024 9:00:02 AM. This article is the model of the state of the s

> To gain a better understanding of the internal structure of these microparticles, SAXS was used to characterize three copolymer samples (PEL, BE and LCM), both in their powder form and also as 1.0% w/w dispersions in ethanol. A characteristic structural peak at  $q \approx 0.02$  Å was observed for the dispersions in each case (see Fig. S8, ESI†). This  $q$  value corresponds to a length scale of approximately 31 nm, which is attributed to the thickness of the continuous phase. As shown in Fig. 2d–f, TEM studies of cross-sectioned microparticles indicate that the thickness of the continuous copolymer phase is  $\sim$  46 nm for all three copolymers, regardless of the P(St-alt-NMI) block DP. However, TEM overestimates this characteristic length scale owing to an intrinsic artefact of the sample preparation.<sup>70</sup> The SAXS structure peaks are slightly shifted to higher  $q$  for the corresponding dried powders owing to the absence of solvation. In addition, another strong peak was observed at  $q = 0.0051 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (corresponding to 123 nm) for PEL. This feature was shifted to  $q = 0.0063 \text{ Å}^{-1}$  (corresponding to 100 nm) for BE but becomes much less prominent for LCM (see Fig. S8, ESI†). This latter peak is characteristic of the internal porosity within these microparticles, with the mean pore size being in good agreement with TEM images (see Fig. 2d–f).



Fig. 2 Cross-section TEM images (a–c) and corresponding higher magnification images (d–f) for ultramicrotomed PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>x</sub> microparticles embedded in epoxy resin reveal their complex internal morphologies. Schematic cartoons of various inverse structures: (g) perforated ellipsoidal lamellae, PEL; (i) bicontinuous ellipsoids, BE; (k) large compound micelles, LCM. Corresponding inverse morphologies for AB diblock copolymers in the bulk are: (h) bicontinuous gyroid; (j) hexagonally-packed cylinders; (l) body-centered cubic spheres. Scale bars correspond to 2  $\mu$ m (a–c) and 0.5  $\mu$ m (d–f).



Fig. 3 Representative SEM images illustrating the evolution in copolymer morphology for a series of  $PDMAC_{48} - P(St-alt-NMI)_{x}$ diblock copolymers prepared via PISA at 70 °C using a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture: (a) oligolamellar vesicles, OLV; (b) perforated ellipsoidal lamellae, PEL; (c) bicontinuous ellipsoids, BE; (d) large compound micelles, LCM. Insets show high magnification images of the surface morphology, with each scale bar corresponding to 500 nm.

Moreover, its breadth suggests a relatively broad pore size distribution. In summary, these SAXS studies confirm that these microparticles possess internal porosity but do not support the presence of triply periodic minimal surfaces.

For the current PISA formulation, the evolution in morphology from bilayers to various inverted morphologies can be readily rationalized in terms of molecular curvature,<sup>26</sup> which is in turn determined by the relative volume fractions of each block. Initially, the diblock copolymer morphology is OLV. This means that the relative volume fractions of the PDMAC block and the P(St-alt-NMI) block are approximately equal, hence low curvature interfaces are formed. Increasing the DP of P(St-alt-NMI) block increases its effective volume fraction, leading to an increase in copolymer curvature. Like the well-established morphology transitions observed for normal phases, $71$  this higher copolymer curvature drives a change in morphology from bilayers to inverted cylindrical micelles (i.e. inverted worms) and inverted spheres. The observation of trapped intermediates (see Fig. 5) during the OLV to PEL to BE transitions suggest that the mechanism for formation of these latter morphologies involves three steps: (i) initial OLV phase separation (see Fig. 5a), which results in the formation of worm-like particles and hollow bilayer structures (see Fig. 5d); (ii) fusion/ stacking of hollow bilayers to generate large aggregates (see arrows in Fig. 5b) – both TEM and SEM studies indicate a significant increase in particle size during the OLV to PEL transition (see Fig. 3); (iii) rearrangement to form more ordered



Fig. 4 (a–c) High resolution SEM images obtained for selected randomly-fractured PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>x</sub> microparticles (where  $x = 423$ , 512 or 546, respectively) revealing their bicontinuous internal structure. (d–f) High magnification images obtained for the internal morphologies shown in (a-c), respectively

internal structures (see arrow in Fig. 5c). This mechanism is similar to that proposed for the formation of  $HHH$ ,<sup> $47,57$ </sup> but these earlier studies did not involve intra-particle phase separation in the initial stages. In addition, unlike HHH or porous nanospheres, which were prepared from spherical vesicle precursors, the current inverse structures are generated from self-assembly of micrometer-sized ellipsoidal lamellae (OLV). This explains why (i) the inverse structures obtained in the present study possess an ellipsoidal morphology and (ii) the resulting particles predominantly lie in the  $\mu$ m (rather than nm) size range.

Control experiments conducted using a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/ 1,4-dioxane mixture under otherwise identical conditions (see Table S1, ESI†) yielded only conventional copolymer morphologies, e.g. spheres, worms and worm clusters (see Fig. S9a–c, ESI†). Increasing the target core-forming block DP did not afford inverted morphologies, but instead produced only illdefined, colloidally unstable aggregates (see Fig. S9d, ESI†). As discussed in our previous study,<sup>51</sup> MEK is a significantly better solvent for the structure-directing P(St-alt-NMI) block than 1,4 dioxane. Therefore, these results suggest that the high chain mobility conferred by the former co-solvent is essential to access inverted morphologies in such PISA formulations. This is consistent with various PISA syntheses involving polystyrenebased diblock copolymers reported in the literature.<sup>47-49</sup> As styrene is a good solvent for polystyrene, the styrene-rich domains increase the chain mobility and facilitate the evolution in

morphology towards inverted phases. In the present study, BET studies conducted using  $N_2$  gas as an adsorbate at 77 K indicate that these inverted structures possess significantly higher specific surface areas (42–53 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) than that of the OLVs (31 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), despite the larger size of the former particles (see Table 1). The higher specific surface areas observed for these porous microparticles indicate a reduction in internal void volume, which is consistent with electron microscopy observations (see Fig. 2 and 4). In addition, this relatively high surface area suggests that the nitrogen probe molecules used in the BET measurements can diffuse through surface pores in the perforated outer shell and hence access the internal bicontinuous network. The specific surface areas determined for these microparticles are approximately a factor of two lower than those reported by Kim and coworkers for similar diblock copolymer microparticles prepared via post-polymerization processing.<sup>19</sup>

In principle, the ability to conveniently prepare micrometer-sized particles exhibiting an inverse bicontinuous morphology via PISA is an important advantage for potential industrial scale-up. Therefore, we investigated the feasibility of a "one-pot" synthesis of such particles, targeting a diblock composition of PDMAC<sub>60</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>650</sub> (entry 8, Table 1). More specifically, DMAC (target  $DP = 60$ ; 40% w/w solids) was first polymerized to high conversion via RAFT solution polymerization at 70  $\degree$ C in pure ethanol using 4-cyano-4-(2phenylethanesulfanylthiocarbonyl)sulfanylpentanoic acid



Fig. 5 Representative TEM images obtained for intermediate morphologies formed during the PISA synthesis of PDMAC<sub>48</sub>-P(St-alt-NMI)<sub>x</sub> microparticles at 70 °C in a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture at 20% w/w solids. (a, b) The oligolamellar vesicle (OLV) to perforated ellipsoidal lamellae (PEL) transition (entries 2 & 3, Table 1); arrows indicate PEL particles, possibly formed via fusion/stacking of hollow bilayers. (c) The PEL to bicontinuous ellipsoid (BE) transition (entry 5, Table 1); red arrow indicates a relatively compact BE, possibly formed via intraparticle rearrangement from looser, more open structures such as that indicated by the blue arrow. (d) Schematic mechanism proposed for the initial phase separation, which involves expulsion of worms from the initial OLVs.

(PETTC) RAFT agent and AIBN initiator. After 2.5 h, this DMAC homopolymerization had attained 89% conversion. At this point, an equimolar mixture of styrene and NMI monomer in an MEK-rich ethanol/MEK mixture was added to the reaction vessel under nitrogen. For this one-pot protocol, the final composition of ethanol/MEK mixture was 50 : 50 w/w and the target copolymer concentration was 20% w/w solids. The alternating copolymerization was allowed to proceed for a further 10 h under RAFT dispersion polymerization conditions at 70  $^{\circ}$ C. Laser diffraction studies indicated that the final PDMAC $_{60}$ -P(St $alt$ -NMI)<sub>650</sub> microparticles obtained from this "one-pot" synthesis protocol were somewhat smaller (ca. 4.92  $\mu$ m) than



Fig. 6 Representative SEM images recorded for  $PDMAC_{53}-P(St-alt-$ NMI)624 microparticles prepared in a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK mixture at 20% w/w solids via a "one-pot" PISA protocol.

the PDMAC48-stabilized microparticles described above. However, SEM studies confirmed that the former microparticles had a similar perforated surface layer (see Fig. 6, left) and, more importantly, exhibited a bicontinuous internal morphology (see Fig. 6, right). Moreover, <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies indicated an overall comonomer conversion of 96% for this one-pot PISA protocol. This preliminary finding augurs well for the convenient synthesis of micrometer-sized particles with complex internal structures on a larger scale. In principle, these highly porous microparticles could be used as organic opacifiers for paint formulations. In this context, more intense light scattering could be achieved by optimizing the mean size of the internal voids so that they are approximately half the wavelength of visible light (e.g. for efficient scattering at a wavelength of 500– 600 nm, the void dimensions should be 250–300 nm, which is somewhat larger than the voids currently achieved). A further requirement for this particular application is that the diblock copolymer microparticles must remain intact during solvent evaporation as the wet paint dries. This should be feasible for this particular PISA formulation given the relatively high  $T_{\rm g}$  of the structure-directing P(St-alt-NMI) chains.

### Conclusions

In summary, polymerization-induced self-assembly has been exploited to prepare a range of  $PDMAC_{48} - P(St-alt-NMI)_x$  diblock

copolymer particles via RAFT dispersion alternating copolymerization of styrene with N-phenylmaleimide using a 50 : 50 w/w ethanol/MEK binary solvent mixture. TEM analysis confirmed that the resulting micrometer-sized particles possess an ellipsoidal morphology and complex internal nanostructures. For a fixed PDMAC stabilizer block DP, increasing the DP of the P(St-alt-NMI) block leads to a gradual evolution in morphology, from inverse gyroids (PEL) to inverse worms (BE) and finally to inverse spheres (LCM). SEM studies indicate that these inverse structures have highly porous surfaces with bicontinuous internal networks. The formation of such structures is most likely driven by minimization of the total interfacial energy. The mechanism for the formation of these inverse morphologies appears to be similar to that proposed by Eisenberg and co-workers, who obtained a HHH morphology via traditional post-polymerization processing of diblock copolymers in dilute solution.47,57 Control experiments utilizing 1,4 dioxane instead of MEK suggest that sufficiently high chain mobility is essential for achieving such inverse phases, otherwise only kinetically-trapped morphologies are obtained when increasing the relative volume fraction of the structuredirecting block. Such nanostructured micrometer-sized particles can be conveniently synthesized at high solids via a "onepot" PISA protocol using cheap starting materials and relatively benign solvents, so they may offer some potential as new organic opacifiers. However, such an application would require the mean size of the internal voids to be further optimized in order to maximize the light scattering and hence hiding power. This refinement is beyond the scope of the current study. Edge Article<br>
conselate reach vertical common access are the energy and the energy Article is licensed under a strengthen and a Figure 1913 of the strengthening of the strengthening of the strengthening on the strengtheni

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### Acknowledgements

EPSRC is thanked for postdoctoral support for P.-C. Y. and Y. N. (EP/K006290 and EP/P005241, respectively). S. P. A. also thanks an EPSRC Established Career Particle Technology Fellowship (EP/R003009). Mr C. J. Hill at the University of Sheffield Biomedical Science Microscopy Suite is thanked for assistance with ultramicrotomy and TEM studies.

## Notes and references

- 1 F. S. Bates and G. H. Fredrickson, Phys. Today, 1999, 52, 32– 38.
- 2 Y. Mai and A. Eisenberg, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 5969– 5985.
- 3 F. S. Bates, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, C. M. Bates, K. T. Delaney and G. H. Fredrickson, Science, 2012, 336, 434–440.
- 4 L. Zhang and A. Eisenberg, Science, 1995, 268, 1728–1731.
- 5 S. Liu and S. P. Armes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1413– 1416.
- 6 L. Zhang and A. Eisenberg, Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 2239– 2249.
- 7 Y.-Y. Won, H. T. Davis and F. S. Bates, Science, 1999, 283, 960–963.
- 8 H. Shen and A. Eisenberg, Macromolecules, 2000, 33, 2561– 2572.
- 9 B. M. Discher, Y.-Y. Won, D. S. Ege, J. C.-M. Lee, F. S. Bates, D. E. Discher and D. A. Hammer, Science, 1999, 284, 1143– 1146.
- 10 D. E. Discher and A. Eisenberg, Science, 2002, 297, 967–973.
- 11 S. D. Allen, S. Bobbala, N. B. Karabin and E. A. Scott, Nanoscale Horiz., 2019, 4, 258–272.
- 12 B. E. McKenzie, J. F. de Visser, H. Friedrich, M. J. M. Wirix, P. H. H. Bomans, G. de With, S. J. Holder and N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk, Macromolecules, 2013, 46, 9845–9848.
- 13 H. Yu, X. Qiu, S. P. Nunes and K.-V. Peinemann, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 4110–4119.
- 14 Y. Lin, E. T. Pashuck, M. R. Thomas, N. Amdursky, S.-T. Wang, L. W. Chow and M. M. Stevens, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 2361–2365.
- 15 X. Lyu, A. Xiao, W. Zhang, P. Hou, K. Gu, Z. Tang, H. Pan, F. Wu, Z. Shen and X.-H. Fan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 10132–10136.
- 16 A. L. Parry, P. H. H. Bomans, S. J. Holder, N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk and S. C. G. Biagini, Angew. Chem., 2008, 120, 8991–8994.
- 17 B. E. McKenzie, F. Nudelman, P. H. H. Bomans, S. J. Holder and N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10256–10259.
- 18 B. E. McKenzie, H. Friedrich, M. J. M. Wirix, J. F. de Visser, O. R. Monaghan, P. H. H. Bomans, F. Nudelman, S. J. Holder and N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 1521–3773.
- 19 Y. La, C. Park, T. J. Shin, S. H. Joo, S. Kang and K. T. Kim, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 534–541.
- 20 C. Park, Y. La, T. H. An, H. Y. Jeong, S. Kang, S. H. Joo, H. Ahn, T. J. Shin and K. T. Kim, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 6392.
- 21 F. H. Schacher, P. A. Rupar and I. Manners, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7898–7921.
- 22 M. Elsabahy and K. L. Wooley, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 2545–2561.
- 23 S. P. Nunes, Macromolecules, 2016, 49, 2905–2916.
- 24 J. Israelachvili, Intermolecular & Surface Forces, Academic Press, London, 2nd edn, 1991.
- 25 M. Antonietti and S. Förster, Adv. Mater., 2003, 15, 1323-1333.
- 26 A. Blanazs, S. P. Armes and A. J. Ryan, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2009, 30, 267–277.
- 27 A. Cho, Y. La, T. J. Shin, C. Park and K. T. Kim, Macromolecules, 2016, 49, 4510–4519.
- 28 A. H. E. Muller and K. Matyjaszewski, Controlled and Living Polymerizations, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co, Weinheim, 2009.
- 29 A. B. Lowe, Polym. Chem., 2010, 1, 17–36.
- 30 P. L. Golas and K. Matyjaszewski, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 1338–1354.
- 31 R. C. Hayward and D. J. Pochan, Macromolecules, 2010, 43, 3577–3584.
- 32 B. Charleux, G. Delaittre, J. Rieger and F. D'Agosto, Macromolecules, 2012, 45, 6753–6765.
- 33 J.-T. Sun, C.-Y. Hong and C.-Y. Pan, Soft Matter, 2012, 8, 7753–7767.
- 34 J.-T. Sun, C.-Y. Hong and C.-Y. Pan, Polym. Chem., 2013, 4, 873–881.
- 35 J. Rieger, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2015, 36, 1458–1471.
- 36 N. J. Warren and S. P. Armes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 10174–10185.
- 37 M. J. Derry, L. A. Fielding and S. P. Armes, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2016, 52, 1–18.
- 38 S. L. Canning, G. N. Smith and S. P. Armes, Macromolecules, 2016, 49, 1985–2001.
- 39 J. Chiefari, Y. K. Chong, F. Ercole, J. Krstina, J. Jeffery, T. P. T. Le, R. T. A. Mayadunne, G. F. Meijs, C. L. Moad, G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 5559–5562. Openical Science<br>
32 B. Charless, G. Delaitie, J. Riesger and F. D'Agosto, 52 P. Xang, L. P. D. Raciile and S. P. American Access Articles. March 2014, A. Charless Articles. Article. 2013. A. Science. A. Science. A. Scien
	- 40 G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, Aust. J. Chem., 2005, 58, 379–410.
	- 41 G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, Aust. J. Chem., 2009, 62, 1402–1472.
	- 42 M. J. Derry, L. A. Fielding and S. P. Armes, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 3054–3062.
	- 43 I. Chaduc, W. Zhang, J. Rieger, M. Lansalot, F. D'Agosto and B. Charleux, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2011, 32, 1270– 1276.
	- 44 W. Zhang, F. D'Agosto, O. Boyron, J. Rieger and B. Charleux, Macromolecules, 2011, 44, 7584–7593.
	- 45 I. Chaduc, M. Girod, R. Antoine, B. Charleux, F. D'Agosto and M. Lansalot, Macromolecules, 2012, 45, 5881–5893.
	- 46 I. Chaduc, A. Crepet, O. Boyron, B. Charleux, F. D'Agosto and M. Lansalot, Macromolecules, 2013, 46, 6013–6023.
	- 47 W.-J. Zhang, C.-Y. Hong and C.-Y. Pan, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2015, 36, 1428–1436.
	- 48 Z. Ding, C. Gao, S. Wang, H. Liu and W. Zhang, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 8003–8011.
	- 49 X.-Y. Zhang, D.-M. Liu, X.-H. Lv, M. Sun, X.-L. Sun and W.-M. Wan, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2016, 37, 1735–1741.
	- 50 C. Gao, J. Wu, H. Zhou, Y. Qu, B. Li and W. Zhang, Macromolecules, 2016, 49, 4490–4500.
	- 51 P. Yang, O. O. Mykhaylyk, E. R. Jones and S. P. Armes, Macromolecules, 2016, 49, 6731–6742.
- 52 P. Yang, L. P. D. Ratcliffe and S. P. Armes, Macromolecules, 2013, 46, 8545–8556.
- 53 J. Rieger, W. Zhang, F. o. Stoffelbach and B. Charleux, Macromolecules, 2010, 43, 6302–6310.
- 54 J. M. Widin, A. K. Schmitt, A. L. Schmitt, K. Im and M. K. Mahanthappa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 3834–3844.
- 55 N. A. Lynd, A. J. Meuler and M. A. Hillmyer, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2008, 33, 875–893.
- 56 N. A. Lynd and M. A. Hillmyer, Macromolecules, 2007, 40, 8050–8055.
- 57 L. Zhang, C. Bartels, Y. Yu, H. Shen and A. Eisenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1997, 79, 5034–5037.
- 58 K. Yu, C. Bartels and A. Eisenberg, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 9399–9402.
- 59 K. Yu, C. Bartels and A. Eisenberg, Langmuir, 1999, 15, 7157– 7167.
- 60 L. Zhang and A. Eisenberg, Science, 1995, 268, 1728–1731.
- 61 J. Gevertz and S. Torquato, Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top., 2009, 80, 011102.
- 62 D. Fischer-Colbrie and R. Schoen, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 1980, 33, 199–211.
- 63 H. A. Schwarz, Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen, Springer, Berlin, 1933.
- 64 E. L. Thomas, D. M. Anderson, C. S. Henkee and D. Hoffman, Nature, 1988, 334, 598–601.
- 65 K. I. Winey, E. L. Thomas and L. J. Fetters, Macromolecules, 1992, 25, 422–428.
- 66 W. Gòżdż and R. Holyst, Macromol. Theory Simul., 1996, 5, 321–332.
- 67 P. D. Olmsted and S. T. Milner, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 4011–4022.
- 68 T. H. An, Y. La, A. Cho, M. G. Jeong, T. J. Shin, C. Park and K. T. Kim, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 3084–3096.
- 69 Y. La, T. H. An, T. J. Shin, C. Park and K. T. Kim, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 10483–10487.
- 70 It is perhaps also noteworthy that the continuous copolymer phase for BE and LCM appears to be thicker than that for PEL as judged by SEM (see Fig. 4e and 4f). However, this is believed to be an artefact owing to the relatively thick gold overlayer used for the BE and LCM samples.
- 71 D. E. Discher and F. Ahmed, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2006, 8, 323–341.